Response to the reviewer's comments

Geoff Jarrad and A.J. Roberts

May 3, 2018

We are pleased the reviewer found the approach promising, and have addressed the requested clarifications.

Remarks

- 1. Added a short paragraph to the Introduction to clarify that in general $U_j(0) \neq u(X_j, 0)$.
- 2. Clarified that ν and α are constant.
- 3. Detailed the requisite hypotheses of Haragus & Iooss, with minor changes in symbols to suit, and rephrased the theorem and proof accordingly. This also clarifies the smoothness conditions on F and G.
- 4. §4.2 clarifies that we used ode15s from Matlab.

Minor remarks

- 5. As Reviewer spotted, corrected (26).
- 6. Clarified that (5)–(6) are on a periodic domain and j is N-periodic.