Programming Languages and Computation

Week 8: Reasoning with Derivations

1 Semantic Equivalence

** 1. Suppose that $S_1, S_2, S_3 \in \mathcal{S}$ are statements. Prove that the statement $\{S_1;\ S_2\};\ S_3$ is semantically equivalent to the statement $S_1;\ \{S_2;\ S_3\}$. That is, prove that for, any two states $\sigma, \sigma' \in \mathsf{State}$, $\{S_1;\ S_2\};\ S_3, \sigma \Downarrow \sigma'$ if, and only if, $S_1;\ \{S_2;\ S_3\}, \sigma \Downarrow \sigma'$.

** 2. Suppose that e is an arithmetic expression that is semantically equivalent to x. Prove that the statement $x \leftarrow e$ is semantically equivalent to the statement skip.

** 3. Suppose that e_1 and e_2 are arithmetic expressions such that $x \notin \mathsf{FV}(e_2)$ and $y \notin \mathsf{FV}(e_1)$. Prove that the statement $x \leftarrow e_1;\ y \leftarrow e_2$ is semantically equivalent to the statement $y \leftarrow e_2;\ x \leftarrow e_1$. You may use the following result about the denotation of arithmetic expressions:

$$\text{if } \forall x \in \mathsf{FV}(e).\, \sigma(x) = \sigma'(x) \text{ then } [\![e]\!]_{\mathcal{A}}(\sigma) = [\![e]\!]_{\mathcal{A}}(\sigma')$$

** 4. Prove that the statements if e then $S_1;\ S_2$ else $S_1;\ S_3$ and the statement $S_1;\ \{$if e then S_2 else $S_3\}$ are semantically equivalent for any Boolean expression $e \in \mathcal{B}$ and statements $S_1, S_2, S_3 \in \mathcal{S}$.

2 Proving Termination

** 5. Consider the following While program P:

```
x ← y;
while y + 1 ≤ x * x do
  x ← x − 1;
```

(a) Calculate the final state when executed in the initial states $[y \mapsto 4]$ and $[y \mapsto 5]$.

(b) What function does this program compute?

(c) Prove by induction on $\sigma(x)$ that this program terminates in any state $\sigma \in \mathsf{State}$ where $\sigma(y) \geq 0$. That is, prove that, for any state $\sigma \in \mathsf{State}$ such that $\sigma(y) \geq 0$, there exists a state $\sigma' \in \mathsf{State}$ such that $P, \sigma \Downarrow \sigma'$ where P is the above program.

*** 6. Recall the strong induction principle from the previous sheet:

> In order to prove $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}.\, P(n)$, prove:
>
> 1. $P(0)$;
> 2. And, $P(n+1)$ under the assumption that $P(m)$ holds for all $m \leq n$.

Using the strong induction principle prove the following program terminates:

```
while 1 ≤ x do
  x ← x − 2
```

*** 7. The induction principle needn't be restricted to induction over a particular variable but can generalised to induction over an arbitrary function $f : \text{State} \to \mathbb{N}$ of the state. In such a proof, the base case consider any state where $f(\sigma) = 0$ and the inductive case consider any state where $f(\sigma) = n + 1$ under the assumption that the property holds of $f(\sigma) = n$.

Using this principle, prove that the following While program terminates from any state $\sigma \in \text{State}$ where $\sigma(x), \sigma(y) \geq 0$ by induction over $\sigma(x) + \sigma(y)$.

```
while 1 ≤ x + y do
  if x ≤ y
    then y ← y − 1
    else x ← x − 1
```

3 Induction over Derivation

** 8. Recall the program P from Question 5:

```
x ← y;
while y + 1 ≤ x * x do
  x ← x − 1;
```

Prove that, if $P, \sigma \Downarrow \sigma'$ for any states $\sigma, \sigma' \in \text{State}$, then $\sigma'(x)^2 \leq \sigma(y)$. You will need to use structural induction over the derivation to handle the loop.

** 9. Prove that the program "while true do skip" does *not* terminate by structural induction for any given state $\sigma \in \text{State}$. That is, show that for all $\sigma \in \text{State}$, there does not exist any $\sigma' \in \text{State}$ such that while true do skip, $\sigma \Downarrow \sigma'$.

*** 10. Let us extend the definition of free variables to apply to statements $\mathsf{FV} : \mathcal{S} \to \mathcal{P}(\mathsf{Var})$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathsf{FV}(\mathsf{skip}) &= \emptyset \\
\mathsf{FV}(x \leftarrow e) &= \mathsf{FV}(e) \\
\mathsf{FV}(S_1;\ S_2) &= \mathsf{FV}(S_1) \cup \mathsf{FV}(S_2) \\
\mathsf{FV}(\mathsf{if}\ e\ \mathsf{then}\ S_1\ \mathsf{else}\ S_2) &= \mathsf{FV}(e_1) \cup \mathsf{FV}(S_1) \cup \mathsf{FV}(S_2) \\
\mathsf{FV}(\mathsf{while}\ e\ \mathsf{do}\ S) &= \mathsf{FV}(e) \cup \mathsf{FV}(S)
\end{aligned}$$

Prove the following statement by structural induction over derivations:

$$\text{if } S, \sigma \Downarrow \sigma' \text{ and } x \notin \mathsf{FV}(S) \text{ then } S, \sigma[x \mapsto n] \Downarrow \sigma'[x \mapsto n]$$

You may use the following result about the denotation of arithmetic expressions:

$$\text{if } \forall x \in \mathsf{FV}(e).\, \sigma(x) = \sigma'(x) \Rightarrow [\![e]\!]_{\mathcal{A}}(\sigma) = [\![e]\!]_{\mathcal{A}}(\sigma')$$

and the equivalent statement about Boolean expressions.