

# Week 8: Reasoning with Derivations

## 1 Semantic Equivalence

\*\* 1. Suppose that  $S_1, S_2, S_3 \in \mathcal{S}$  are statements. Prove that the statement  $\{S_1; S_2\}; S_3$  is semantically equivalent to the statement  $S_1; \{S_2; S_3\}$ . That is, prove that for, any two states  $\sigma, \sigma' \in \text{State}$ ,  $\{S_1; S_2\}; S_3, \sigma \Downarrow \sigma'$  if, and only if,  $S_1; \{S_2; S_3\}, \sigma \Downarrow \sigma'$ .

\*\* 2.

- (a) Suppose that  $e \in \mathcal{A}$  is an arithmetic expression that is semantically equivalent to the arithmetic expression  $x \in \mathcal{A}$ . Prove that the statement  $x \leftarrow e$  is semantically equivalent to the statement skip.
- (b) Find an expression  $e \in \mathcal{A}$  that is *not* semantically equivalent to  $x$  but where the statement  $y \leftarrow 0; x \leftarrow e$  is semantically equivalent to  $y \leftarrow 0$ .

\*\* 3.

- (a) Prove that the statements  $\text{if } e \text{ then } S_1; S_3 \text{ else } S_2; S_3$  and the statement  $\{\text{if } e \text{ then } S_1 \text{ else } S_2\}; S_3$  are semantically equivalent for any Boolean expression  $e \in \mathcal{B}$  and statements  $S_1, S_2, S_3 \in \mathcal{S}$ .
- (b) Find an instance where a statement of the form  $\text{if } e \text{ then } S_1; S_2 \text{ else } S_1; S_3$  and the related statement  $S_1; \{\text{if } e \text{ then } S_2 \text{ else } S_3\}$  are not semantically equivalent for some Boolean expression  $e \in \mathcal{B}$  and statements  $S_1, S_2, S_3 \in \mathcal{S}$ .

\*\*\* 4. Suppose that  $e_1 \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $e_2 \in \mathcal{A}$  are arithmetic expressions such that  $x \notin \text{FV}(e_2)$  and  $y \notin \text{FV}(e_1)$ . Prove that the statement  $x \leftarrow e_1; y \leftarrow e_2$  and statement  $y \leftarrow e_2; x \leftarrow e_1$  are semantically equivalent. You may use the following result about the denotation of arithmetic expressions:

$$\text{if } \forall x \in \text{FV}(e). \sigma(x) = \sigma'(x) \text{ then } \llbracket e \rrbracket_{\mathcal{A}}(\sigma) = \llbracket e \rrbracket_{\mathcal{A}}(\sigma')$$

\*\* 5. Let us suppose we introduce a new language construct so that statements are defined by the following grammar:

$$S \rightarrow \text{skip} \mid x \leftarrow A \mid S_1; S_2 \mid \text{if } e \text{ then } S \text{ else } \dots \mid \text{if } e \text{ then } S$$

The operational behaviour of the new construct is given by the inference rules:

$$\frac{S, \sigma \Downarrow \sigma'}{\text{if } e \text{ then } S, \sigma \Downarrow \sigma'} \llbracket e \rrbracket_{\mathcal{B}}(\sigma) = \top \quad \frac{}{\text{if } e \text{ then } S, \sigma \Downarrow \sigma} \llbracket e \rrbracket_{\mathcal{B}}(\sigma) = \perp$$

Show that the statement if  $e$  then  $S$  is semantically equivalent to the statement if  $e$  then  $S$  else skip for any statement  $S \in \mathcal{S}$  and Boolean expression  $e \in \mathcal{B}$ .

## 2 Proving Termination

\*\* 6. Consider the following While program  $P$ :

```
x ← y;
while y + 1 ≤ x * x do
  x ← x - 1;
```

- Calculate the final state when executed in the initial states  $[y \mapsto 4]$  and  $[y \mapsto 5]$ .
- What function does this program compute?
- Prove by induction on  $\sigma(x)$  that this program terminates in any state  $\sigma \in \text{State}$  where  $\sigma(y) \geq 0$ . That is, prove that, for any state  $\sigma \in \text{State}$  such that  $\sigma(y) \geq 0$ , there exists a state  $\sigma' \in \text{State}$  such that  $P, \sigma \Downarrow \sigma'$  where  $P$  is the above program.

\*\*\* 7. Recall the strong induction principle from the previous sheet:

In order to prove  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}. P(n)$ , prove:

- $P(0)$ ;
- And,  $P(n+1)$  under the assumption that  $P(m)$  holds for all  $m \leq n$ .

Using the strong induction principle prove the following program terminates:

```
while 1 ≤ x do
  x ← x - 2
```

when executed in any state where  $\sigma(x) \geq 0$ .

\*\*\* 8. Consider the following While program:

```
while 1 ≤ x + y do
  if x ≤ y
    then y ← y - 1
    else x ← x - 1
```

We wish to prove that this program will terminate.

Proof by induction need not be applied to a particular variable, but can be generalised to induction over an arbitrary function  $f : \text{State} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  of the state. In such a proof, the base case considers any state where  $f(\sigma) = 0$  and the inductive case considers any state where  $f(\sigma) = n + 1$  under the assumption that the property holds of  $f(\sigma) = n$ .

Using this principle, prove that the program terminates when executed in any state  $\sigma \in \text{State}$  such that  $\sigma(x), \sigma(y) \geq 0$  by induction over  $\sigma(x) + \sigma(y)$ .

### 3 Induction over Derivation

\*\* 9. Consider the non-terminating program “while true do skip”.

- (a) Re-formulate the statement  $\forall \sigma \in \text{State}. \nexists \sigma' \in \text{State}. \text{while true do skip}, \sigma \Downarrow \sigma'$  (i.e. the program doesn't terminate in any state) as a statement of the form  $\forall (S, \sigma, \sigma') \in \Downarrow. P(S, \sigma, \sigma')$  for some predicate  $P$ .
- (b) Using the formulation constructed in part 1, prove that the program does not terminate by structural induction. You may omit cases where the  $P(S, \sigma, \sigma')$  is trivially false.

\*\* 10. Consider the loop  $L$  from Question 6:

```
while y + 1 ≤ x * x do
  x ← x - 1;
```

- (a) Prove that, if  $L, \sigma \Downarrow \sigma'$ , then  $\sigma'(x)^2 \leq \sigma(y)$  for any states  $\sigma, \sigma' \in \text{State}$  by structural induction over the derivation.
- (b) Using this fact, informally argue that if  $x \leftarrow y, L, \sigma \Downarrow \sigma'$  then  $\sigma'(x)$  is the *largest* integer such that  $\sigma'(x)^2 \leq \sigma(y)$ .

\*\*\* 11. Let us extend the definition of free variables to apply to statements  $\text{FV} : S \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\text{Var})$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{FV}(\text{skip}) &= \emptyset \\ \text{FV}(x \leftarrow e) &= \text{FV}(e) \\ \text{FV}(S_1; S_2) &= \text{FV}(S_1) \cup \text{FV}(S_2) \\ \text{FV}(\text{if } e \text{ then } S_1 \text{ else } S_2) &= \text{FV}(e) \cup \text{FV}(S_1) \cup \text{FV}(S_2) \\ \text{FV}(\text{while } e \text{ do } S) &= \text{FV}(e) \cup \text{FV}(S) \end{aligned}$$

Prove the following statement by structural induction over derivations:

$$\text{if } S, \sigma \Downarrow \sigma' \text{ and } x \notin \text{FV}(S) \text{ then } S, \sigma[x \mapsto n] \Downarrow \sigma'[x \mapsto n]$$

You may use the following result about the denotation of arithmetic expressions:

$$\text{if } \forall x \in \text{FV}(e). \sigma(x) = \sigma'(x) \Rightarrow \llbracket e \rrbracket_{\mathcal{A}}(\sigma) = \llbracket e \rrbracket_{\mathcal{A}}(\sigma')$$

and the equivalent statement about Boolean expressions.