

Week 8: Reasoning with Derivations

1 Semantic Equivalence

** 1. Suppose that $S_1, S_2, S_3 \in \mathcal{S}$ are statements. Prove that the statement $\{S_1; S_2\}; S_3$ is semantically equivalent to the statement $S_1; \{S_2; S_3\}$. That is, prove that for, any two states $\sigma, \sigma' \in \text{State}$, $\{S_1; S_2\}; S_3, \sigma \Downarrow \sigma'$ if, and only if, $S_1; \{S_2; S_3\}, \sigma \Downarrow \sigma'$.

** 2.

- (a) Suppose that $e \in \mathcal{A}$ is an arithmetic expression that is semantically equivalent to the arithmetic expression $x \in \mathcal{A}$. Prove that the statement $x \leftarrow e$ is semantically equivalent to the statement skip.
- (b) Find an expression $e \in \mathcal{A}$ that is *not* semantically equivalent to x but where the statement $y \leftarrow 0, x \leftarrow e$ is semantically equivalent to $y \leftarrow 0$.

** 3.

- (a) Prove that the statements $\text{if } e \text{ then } S_1; S_3 \text{ else } S_2; S_3$ and the statement $\{\text{if } e \text{ then } S_1 \text{ else } S_2\}; S_3$ are semantically equivalent for any Boolean expression $e \in \mathcal{B}$ and statements $S_1, S_2, S_3 \in \mathcal{S}$.
- (b) Find an instance where a statement of the form $\text{if } e \text{ then } S_1; S_2 \text{ else } S_1; S_3$ and the related statement $S_1; \{\text{if } e \text{ then } S_2 \text{ else } S_3\}$ are not semantically equivalent for some Boolean expression $e \in \mathcal{B}$ and statements $S_1, S_2, S_3 \in \mathcal{S}$.

*** 4. Suppose that $e_1 \in \mathcal{A}$ and $e_2 \in \mathcal{A}$ are arithmetic expressions such that $x \notin \text{FV}(e_2)$ and $y \notin \text{FV}(e_1)$. Prove that the statement $x \leftarrow e_1; y \leftarrow e_2$ and statement $y \leftarrow e_2; x \leftarrow e_1$ are semantically equivalent. You may use the following result about the denotation of arithmetic expressions:

$$\text{if } \forall x \in \text{FV}(e). \sigma(x) = \sigma'(x) \text{ then } \llbracket e \rrbracket_{\mathcal{A}}(\sigma) = \llbracket e \rrbracket_{\mathcal{A}}(\sigma')$$

2 Proving Termination

** 5. Consider the following While program P :

```
x ← y;  
while y + 1 ≤ x * x do  
  x ← x - 1;
```

- (a) Calculate the final state when executed in the initial states $[y \mapsto 4]$ and $[y \mapsto 5]$.
- (b) What function does this program compute?
- (c) Prove by induction on $\sigma(x)$ that this program terminates in any state $\sigma \in \text{State}$ where $\sigma(y) \geq 0$. That is, prove that, for any state $\sigma \in \text{State}$ such that $\sigma(y) \geq 0$, there exists a state $\sigma' \in \text{State}$ such that $P, \sigma \Downarrow \sigma'$ where P is the above program.

*** 6. Recall the strong induction principle from the previous sheet:

In order to prove $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}. P(n)$, prove:

- 1. $P(0)$;
- 2. And, $P(n+1)$ under the assumption that $P(m)$ holds for all $m \leq n$.

Using the strong induction principle prove the following program terminates:

```
while 1 ≤ x do  
  x ← x - 2
```

when executed in any state where $\sigma(x) \geq 0$.

*** 7. The induction principle need not be restricted to induction over a particular variable but can be generalised to induction over an arbitrary function $f : \text{State} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ of the state. In such a proof, the base case considers any state where $f(\sigma) = 0$ and the inductive case considers any state where $f(\sigma) = n+1$ under the assumption that the property holds of $f(\sigma) = n$.

Using this principle, prove that the following While program terminates from any state $\sigma \in \text{State}$ where $\sigma(x), \sigma(y) \geq 0$ by induction over $\sigma(x) + \sigma(y)$.

```
while 1 ≤ x + y do  
  if x ≤ y  
    then y ← y - 1  
    else x ← x - 1
```

3 Induction over Derivation

** 8. Consider the non-terminating program “while true do skip”.

- (a) Re-formulate the statement: $\forall \sigma \in \text{State}. \nexists \sigma' \in \text{State}. \text{while true do skip}, \sigma \Downarrow \sigma'$ as a statement of the form $\forall (S, \sigma, \sigma') \in \Downarrow. P(S, \sigma, \sigma')$ for some predicate P .
- (b) Using the formulation constructed in part 1, prove that the program does not terminate by structural induction. You may omit cases where the $P(S, \sigma, \sigma')$ is trivially false.

** 9. Consider the loop L from Question 5:

```
while y + 1 ≤ x * x do
  x ← x - 1;
```

- (a) Prove that, if $L, \sigma \Downarrow \sigma'$, then $\sigma'(x)^2 \leq \sigma(y)$ for any states $\sigma, \sigma' \in \text{State}$ by structural induction over the derivation.
- (b) Using this fact, informally argue that if $x \leftarrow y, L, \sigma \Downarrow \sigma'$ then $\sigma'(x)$ is the *largest* integer such that $\sigma'(x)^2 \leq \sigma(y)$.

*** 10. Let us extend the definition of free variables to apply to statements $\text{FV} : S \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\text{Var})$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{FV}(\text{skip}) &= \emptyset \\
 \text{FV}(x \leftarrow e) &= \text{FV}(e) \\
 \text{FV}(S_1; S_2) &= \text{FV}(S_1) \cup \text{FV}(S_2) \\
 \text{FV}(\text{if } e \text{ then } S_1 \text{ else } S_2) &= \text{FV}(e) \cup \text{FV}(S_1) \cup \text{FV}(S_2) \\
 \text{FV}(\text{while } e \text{ do } S) &= \text{FV}(e) \cup \text{FV}(S)
 \end{aligned}$$

Prove the following statement by structural induction over derivations:

$$\text{if } S, \sigma \Downarrow \sigma' \text{ and } x \notin \text{FV}(S) \text{ then } S, \sigma[x \mapsto n] \Downarrow \sigma'[x \mapsto n]$$

You may use the following result about the denotation of arithmetic expressions:

$$\text{if } \forall x \in \text{FV}(e). \sigma(x) = \sigma'(x) \Rightarrow \llbracket e \rrbracket_{\mathcal{A}}(\sigma) = \llbracket e \rrbracket_{\mathcal{A}}(\sigma')$$

and the equivalent statement about Boolean expressions.