

# Miscellaneous Problems on Semantics and Computability

\* 1. For each of the following states  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3$ :

1.  $\sigma_1 = [x \mapsto 0, y \mapsto 0]$
2.  $\sigma_2 = [x \mapsto -1, y \mapsto 10]$
3.  $\sigma_3 = [x \mapsto -1, z \mapsto 10]$

calculate the following:

- (a) the denotation of the arithmetic expression  $x + (y * 3)$ ;
- (b) the denotation of the Boolean expression  $x == y \ \&\& \ x - y \leq 3$ ;
- (c) And, for each  $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ , a state  $\sigma$  such that  $\text{while } x \leq y \ \&\& \ !(x = y) \text{ do } x \leftarrow x + z, \sigma_i \Downarrow \sigma$  when one exists.

\* 2. Find two distinct states  $\sigma, \sigma' \in \text{State}$  such that  $\text{while } 1 \leq x \text{ do } y \leftarrow y + x, x \leftarrow x - 1, \sigma \Downarrow [y \mapsto 10]$  and likewise for  $\text{while } 1 \leq x \text{ do } y \leftarrow y + x, x \leftarrow x - 1, \sigma' \Downarrow [y \mapsto 10]$ .

\*\* 3. Suppose  $e \in \mathcal{B}$  is a Boolean expression and  $S_1, S_2, S_3 \in \mathcal{S}$  are statements. Prove that the statement  $\text{if } e \text{ then } S_1 \text{ else } S_2$  and the statement  $\text{if } e \text{ then } \{\text{if } e \text{ then } S_1 \text{ else } S_3\} \text{ else } \{\text{if } !e \text{ then } S_2 \text{ else } S_3\}$  are semantically equivalent.

\*\* 4. Let  $\mathbb{P}$  be the three element set  $\{+, -, \pm\}$ . We define the function  $\text{sign}_\sigma : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}$  for a given state

$\sigma \in \text{State}$  by recursion as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{sign}_\sigma(x) &= \begin{cases} + & \text{if } \sigma(x) \geq 0 \\ - & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ \text{sign}_\sigma(n) &= \begin{cases} + & \text{if } n \geq 0 \\ - & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ \text{sign}_\sigma(e_1 + e_2) &= \begin{cases} + & \text{if } \text{sign}_\sigma(e_1) = + \text{ and } \text{sign}_\sigma(e_2) = + \\ - & \text{if } \text{sign}_\sigma(e_1) = - \text{ and } \text{sign}_\sigma(e_2) = - \\ \pm & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ \text{sign}_\sigma(e_1 - e_2) &= \begin{cases} + & \text{if } \text{sign}_\sigma(e_1) = + \text{ and } \text{sign}_\sigma(e_2) = - \\ - & \text{if } \text{sign}_\sigma(e_1) = - \text{ and } \text{sign}_\sigma(e_2) = + \\ \pm & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ \text{sign}_\sigma(e_1 * e_2) &= \begin{cases} \pm & \text{if } \text{sign}_\sigma(e_1) = \pm \text{ or } \text{sign}_\sigma(e_2) = \pm \\ + & \text{if } \text{sign}_\sigma(e_1) = \text{sign}_\sigma(e_2) \\ + & \text{if } \text{sign}_\sigma(e_1) \neq \text{sign}_\sigma(e_2) \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Prove by structural induction over arithmetic expressions, for any arithmetic  $e \in \mathcal{A}$  and state  $\sigma \in \text{State}$ , that:

- If  $\text{sign}_\sigma(e) = +$ , then  $\llbracket e \rrbracket_{\mathcal{A}}(\sigma) \geq 0$ ;
- And, if  $\text{sign}_\sigma(e) = -$ , then  $\llbracket e \rrbracket_{\mathcal{A}}(\sigma) < 0$

You should treat this as a single induction proof rather than proving each cases separately.

\*\*\* 5. Let  $P$  be the following While program:

```
while  $x + 1 \leq y$  do  
   $y \leftarrow y - 1$ ;
```

Prove by induction, over a combination of  $x$  and  $y$ , that  $P$  terminates from any initial state  $\sigma \in \text{State}$  such that  $\sigma(x) \leq \sigma(y)$ . That is, prove, for all  $\sigma \in \text{State}$  such that  $\sigma(x) \leq \sigma(y)$ , that there exists some  $\sigma' \in \text{State}$  such that  $P, \sigma \Downarrow \sigma'$ . You may *not* assume that  $\sigma(x)$  or  $\sigma(y)$  are greater than or equal to 0.

The following question uses the notation  $\sigma \sim_x \sigma'$  which indicates, for some variable  $x \in \text{Var}$  and for two states  $\sigma, \sigma' \in \text{State}$ , that  $\sigma(y) = \sigma'(y)$  for all *other* variable  $y \in \text{Var} \setminus \{x\}$ . For example,  $[x \mapsto 2, y \mapsto 3] \sim_x [x \mapsto -100, y \mapsto 3]$  but  $[x \mapsto 2, y \mapsto 3] \not\sim_x [x \mapsto 2, y \mapsto 4]$ .

\*\*\* 6. Let us suppose we introduce a new language construct for Boolean expressions so that the extended grammar is given as follows:

$$B \rightarrow \text{true} \mid \text{false} \mid B \ \&\& \ B \mid B \ \parallel \ B \mid !B \mid \text{forall } x. B$$

The denotational semantics for the new construct is given by the following equation:

$$\llbracket \text{forall } x. e \rrbracket_B(\sigma) = \begin{cases} \top & \forall \sigma' \in \text{State}. \sigma \sim_x \sigma' \Rightarrow \llbracket e \rrbracket_B(\sigma') \\ \perp & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

with all other constructs retaining their original semantics.

- (a) Evaluate the denotation of the expressions  $\text{forall } x. x = 2$  and  $\text{forall } x. x \leq y \parallel y \leq x$  in the state  $[x \mapsto 2, y \mapsto 2]$ .
- (b) Prove that if  $e \in \mathcal{B}$  and  $e' \in \mathcal{B}$  are semantically equivalent Boolean expressions, then  $\text{forall } x. e$  and  $\text{forall } x. e'$  are semantically equivalent.
- (c) Suppose that  $e \in \mathcal{B}$  is a Boolean expression such that  $\llbracket e \rrbracket_{\mathcal{B}}(\sigma) = \llbracket e \rrbracket_{\mathcal{B}}(\sigma')$  for any two states  $\sigma \sim_x \sigma'$ . Prove that  $\text{forall } x. e$  is semantically equivalent to  $e$ .

\* 7. Show that the function  $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  defined by

$$f(x) \begin{cases} \simeq x + 1 & \text{if } x^2 - 1 \text{ is at least } 2022 \\ \uparrow & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

is computable.

\* 8. State whether each of the following statements is true or false.

- (a) Every injection has an inverse.
- (b) The set  $\mathbb{N}$  is decidable.
- (c) Some WHILE programs compute total functions.
- (d) If a function has an inverse, it must be a surjection.
- (e) The set of all WHILE programs is countable.

\* 9. Write a program that demonstrates that the function

$$f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$$

$$f(n) \begin{cases} \simeq 2^n & \text{if } n \text{ is divisible by } 3 \\ \uparrow & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

is computable.

\*\* 10. Construct a bijection  $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{N}$ . Prove that it is a bijection by constructing its inverse, and show that it is indeed an inverse.

\*\* 11. Show that the predicate

$$U = \{\ulcorner S_1 \urcorner \mid \text{for all } k \leq 100 \text{ it is true that } \llbracket S_1 \rrbracket_x(k) + 1 = \llbracket S_1 \rrbracket_x(k + 1)\}$$

is semi-decidable.

- \*\* 12. Show that if the predicates  $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  and  $B \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  are decidable then their *symmetric difference*, i.e. the set

$$A \oplus B = \{x \in \mathbb{N} \mid (x \in A \wedge x \notin B) \vee (x \notin A \wedge x \in B)\} = (A \cup B) - (A \cap B)$$

is also decidable.

- \*\*\* 13. Show that the predicate

$$V = \{\langle \ulcorner S_1 \urcorner, \ulcorner S_2 \urcorner \rangle \mid \text{there exists } k \in \mathbb{N} \text{ such that } \llbracket S_1 \rrbracket_x(k) + 1 = \llbracket S_2 \rrbracket_x(k + 1)\}$$

is undecidable. (The use of  $=$  above means that both sides of the equation must be defined, and equal.)