

**UNIVERSITY OF BRISTOL**

**Winter 2024 Examination Period**

**SCHOOL OF COMPUTER SCIENCE**

**Third Year Examination for the Degrees  
of  
Bachelor of Science  
Master of Engineering**

**Types and Lambda Calculus**

**TIME ALLOWED:  
1 Hour**

**Answers to : Types and Lambda Calculus**

**Intended Learning Outcomes:**

Credit will be given for partially correct answers. You may use any result from the course material, as long as it is labelled clearly. A reminder of key definitions is provided at the back of this section.

**Q1.** \* (a) For each of the following terms, give its normal form.

- i.  $(\lambda xy. x) \underline{2} \underline{3}$
- ii.  $\text{ifz } (\text{pred } \underline{1}) \text{ id } \text{const}$
- iii.  $(\lambda x. x \text{ z } \underline{5}) (\lambda xy. y)$
- iv.  $(\lambda f. (\lambda x. f (x \ x)))(\lambda x. f (x \ x)) (\lambda x. y)$
- v.  $\text{ifz } Z \underline{1}$

[10 marks]

**Solution:**

- i.  $\underline{2}$
- ii.  $\text{id}$
- iii.  $\underline{5}$
- iv.  $y$
- v.  $\text{ifz } Z \underline{1}$

\* (b) For each of the following typing judgements, give a type derivation to justify it.

- i.  $\vdash \lambda x. \text{pred } x : \text{Nat} \rightarrow \text{Nat}$
- ii.  $f : \text{Nat} \rightarrow \text{Nat}, g : \text{Nat} \rightarrow \text{Nat} \vdash \lambda x. g (f \ x) : \text{Nat} \rightarrow \text{Nat}$
- iii.  $\vdash \text{fix } (\lambda x. x) \text{ S} : \text{Nat} \rightarrow \text{Nat}$

[10 marks]

**Solution:**

i.

$$\frac{\frac{x : \text{Nat} \vdash \text{pred} : \text{Nat} \rightarrow \text{Nat} \quad x : \text{Nat} \vdash x : \text{Nat}}{x : \text{Nat} \vdash \text{pred } x : \text{Nat}}}{\vdash \lambda x. \text{pred } x : \text{Nat} \rightarrow \text{Nat}}$$

ii. With  $\Gamma = \{f : \text{Nat} \rightarrow \text{Nat}, g : \text{Nat} \rightarrow \text{Nat}\}$ :

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma, x : \text{Nat} \vdash f : \text{Nat} \rightarrow \text{Nat} \quad \frac{\frac{\Gamma, x : \text{Nat} \vdash g : \text{Nat} \rightarrow \text{Nat} \quad \Gamma, x : \text{Nat} \vdash x : \text{Nat}}{\Gamma, x : \text{Nat} \vdash g \ x : \text{Nat}}}{\Gamma, x : \text{Nat} \vdash f (g \ x) : \text{Nat}}}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x. f (g \ x) : \text{Nat} \rightarrow \text{Nat}}$$

iii. With  $A = \text{Nat} \rightarrow \text{Nat}$

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{}{\vdash \text{fix} : (A \rightarrow A) \rightarrow A} \quad \frac{x : A \vdash x : A}{\vdash \lambda x. x : A \rightarrow A}}{\vdash \text{fix} (\lambda x. x) : A} \quad \frac{}{\vdash S : A}}{\vdash \text{fix} (\lambda x. x) S : A}$$

\*\* (c) One of the following two types is inhabited by a closed, *pure* term and the other is not. Identify which is inhabited, and justify your answer by giving a closed, pure term that inhabits the type.

- i.  $a \rightarrow b$
- ii.  $(a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow ((c \rightarrow b) \rightarrow d) \rightarrow a \rightarrow d$

[5 marks]

**Solution:** Type (ii) has inhabitant  $\lambda xyz. y(\lambda y. xz)$ .

\*\* (d) Let  $x$  be a variable and  $N$  a term. Prove the following by induction on  $M$ :  
for all terms  $M$ , if  $x \notin \text{FV}(M)$  then  $M[N/x] = M$ .

[10 marks]

**Solution:** The proof is by induction on  $M$ .

- When  $M$  is a variable  $y$ , proceed as follows. Suppose (i)  $x \notin \text{FV}(M)$ . Then there are two cases:
  - If  $x = y$ , we have  $\text{FV}(M) = \{x\}$ , but this contradicts (i) and so the conclusion follows vacuously.
  - Otherwise, by definition,  $M[N/x] = y[N/x] = y = M$ .

- When  $M$  is a constant  $c$ , we suppose  $x \notin \text{FV}(M)$  and, by definition,  $M[N/x] = c[N/x] = c = M$ .

- When  $M$  is an application  $PQ$ , we assume the induction hypotheses:

IH1 if  $x \notin \text{FV}(P)$  then  $P[N/x] = P$

IH2 if  $x \notin \text{FV}(Q)$  then  $Q[N/x] = Q$

Suppose (i)  $x \notin \text{FV}(M)$ . Then, by definition,  $x \notin \text{FV}(P)$  and  $x \notin \text{FV}(Q)$ . Hence, it follows from (IH1) and (IH2) that  $P[N/x] = P$  and  $Q[N/x] = Q$ . By definition, therefore,  $M[N/x] = (PQ)[N/x] = P[N/x]Q[N/x] = PQ = M$ .

- When  $M$  is an abstraction  $\lambda y. P$ , we assume the induction hypothesis:

1. if  $x \notin \text{FV}(P)$  then  $P[N/x] = P$

Suppose  $x \notin \text{FV}(M)$ . By definition, either  $x = y$  or  $x \notin \text{FV}(P)$ , but, by the variable convention, we may assume  $x \neq y$ . Hence, we can apply the induction hypothesis to obtain  $P[N/x] = P$  and it follows by definition that  $M[N/x] = (\lambda y. P)[N/x] = \lambda y. P[N/x] = \lambda y. P = M$ .

- \*\*\* (e) Fix a one-hole context  $C[]$  containing no free variables, and a closed term  $M$ . Give a detailed proof that, if  $C[M]$  is typable, then  $C[\underline{\text{div}}]$  is typable.

[10 marks]

**Solution:** First observe that  $\vdash \underline{\text{div}} : A$  for any type  $A$  and any environment  $\Gamma$ :

$$\frac{\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash \text{fix} : (A \rightarrow A) \rightarrow A} \quad \frac{\Gamma, x : A \vdash x : A}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x. x : A \rightarrow A}}{\Gamma \vdash \text{fix} (\lambda x. x) : A}$$

We prove that, for all  $A$  and all  $\Gamma$ ,  $\Gamma \vdash C[M] : A$  implies  $\Gamma \vdash C[\underline{\text{div}}] : A$ , by induction on  $C[]$ .

- When  $C$  is just a hole  $[]$ , assume  $\Gamma \vdash C[M] : A$ . By definition  $C[\underline{\text{div}}] = \underline{\text{div}}$ , for which we have  $\Gamma \vdash \underline{\text{div}} : A$ , by the above.
- When  $C$  is of shape  $P D[]$ , we assume the induction hypothesis. Let  $A$  be a type and  $\Gamma$  an environment. Assume that  $\Gamma \vdash C[M] : A$ . Then, by inversion, there is some type  $B$  such that  $\Gamma \vdash P : B \rightarrow A$  and  $\Gamma \vdash D[M] : B$ . Then it follows from the induction hypothesis that  $\Gamma \vdash D[\text{fix}] : B$  and so the result follows by the definition of the type system.
- When  $C$  is of shape  $D[] Q$ , we assume the induction hypothesis. Let  $A$  be a type and  $\Gamma$  an environment. Suppose  $\Gamma \vdash C[M] : A$ . Then, by inversion, there is some type  $B$  such that  $\Gamma \vdash D[M] : B \rightarrow A$  and  $\Gamma \vdash Q : B$ . It follows from the induction hypothesis that  $\Gamma \vdash D[\underline{\text{div}}] : B \rightarrow A$  and so the result follows by definition.
- When  $C$  is of shape  $\lambda x. D[]$ , we assume the induction hypothesis. Let  $A$  be a type and  $\Gamma$  an environment. Suppose  $\Gamma \vdash C[M] : A$ . Then, by inversion,  $A$  has shape  $B \rightarrow C$  and  $\Gamma, x : B \vdash D[M] : C$ . Therefore, it follows from the induction hypothesis that  $\Gamma, x : B \vdash D[\underline{\text{div}}] : C$  and the result follows by definition.

- \*\*\* (f) Suppose we add the following additional redex-contraction pair to the definition of redexes:

$$\lambda x. M \ x \ / \ M \quad \text{when } x \notin \text{FV}(M)$$

Prove that the induced notion of reduction is not confluent.

[5 marks]

**Solution:** Suppose the augmented notion of reduction remains confluent. A counterexample is  $\lambda x. \text{fix } x$ . Using the augmented notion of reduction, we have  $\lambda x. \text{fix } x \triangleright^* \text{fix}$  and  $\lambda x. \text{fix } x \triangleright^* \lambda x. x (\text{fix } x)$ . However,  $\text{fix}$  is a normal form, so it follows from confluence that  $\lambda x. x (\text{fix } x) \triangleright^* \text{fix}$ . This is impossible, because for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the only term  $P$  that satisfies  $\lambda x. x (\text{fix } x) \triangleright^n P$ , is  $\lambda x. x^{n+1} (\text{fix } x)$ .

# Key Definitions for Types and Lambda Calculus

## Terms

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(Terms)} \quad M, N &::= x \mid c \mid (\lambda x. M) \mid (MN) \\ \text{(Constants)} \quad c &::= \text{fix} \mid Z \mid S \mid \text{pred} \mid \text{ifz} \end{aligned}$$

A term is said to be *pure* just if it contains no constants. Abbreviations:

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{n} &= S^n Z \\ \underline{\text{id}} &= \lambda x. x \\ \underline{\text{const}} &= \lambda xy. x \\ \underline{\text{sub}} &= \lambda xyz. xz(yz) \\ \underline{\text{div}} &= \text{fix } \underline{\text{id}} \end{aligned}$$

## Free Variables

$$\begin{aligned} \text{FV}(x) &= \{x\} \\ \text{FV}(c) &= \emptyset \\ \text{FV}(PQ) &= \text{FV}(P) \cup \text{FV}(Q) \\ \text{FV}(\lambda x. N) &= \text{FV}(N) \setminus \{x\} \end{aligned}$$

## Substitution

$$\begin{aligned} c[N/x] &= c \\ y[N/x] &= y && \text{if } x \neq y \\ y[N/x] &= N && \text{if } x = y \\ (PQ)[N/x] &= P[N/x]Q[N/x] \\ (\lambda y. P)[N/x] &= \lambda y. P && \text{if } y = x \\ (\lambda y. P)[N/x] &= \lambda y. P[N/x] && \text{if } y \neq x \text{ and } y \notin \text{FV}(N) \end{aligned}$$

## Redexes

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{pred } Z / Z \\ &\text{pred } (S \ N) / N \\ &\text{ifz } Z \ N \ P / N \\ &\text{ifz } (S \ M) \ N \ P / P \\ &(\lambda x. M) \ N / M[N/x] \\ &\text{fix } M / M \ (\text{fix } M) \end{aligned}$$

## One Step

$$C[] ::= [] \mid M \ C[] \mid C[] \ N \mid \lambda x. C[]$$

Define  $M \triangleright N$  just if there is a context  $C[]$  and a redex/contraction pair  $P / Q$  such that  $M = C[P]$  and  $N = C[Q]$ .

- If  $M \triangleright^* N$  then the term  $N$  is said to be a **reduct** of  $M$ .
- If  $M \triangleright^+ N$  then the term  $N$  is said to be a **proper reduct** of  $M$ .
- A term  $M$  without proper reduct is a **normal form**.
- A term  $M$  that can reduce to normal form **has a normal form** or is **normalisable**.
- A term  $M$  that has no infinite reduction sequences is said to be **strongly normalisable**.

## Reduction and Conversion

- $P \triangleright^0 Q$  just if  $P = Q$ .
- $P \triangleright^{k+1} Q$  just if there is some  $U$  such that  $P \triangleright^k U$  and  $U \triangleright Q$ .

Define  $M \triangleright^* N$  just if there is some  $n$  such that  $M \triangleright^n N$ .

We write  $M \approx N$  just if there is a term  $P$  such that  $M \triangleright^* P$  and  $N \triangleright^* P$ .

## Type Assignment

$$(\text{Types}) \quad A, B ::= \text{Nat} \mid a \mid (A \rightarrow B)$$

Let  $\mathbb{C}$  be the following collection of type assignments:

$$\begin{aligned} & \{Z : \text{Nat}\} \cup \{S : \text{Nat} \rightarrow \text{Nat}\} \cup \{\text{pred} : \text{Nat} \rightarrow \text{Nat}\} \\ & \cup \{\text{ifz} : \text{Nat} \rightarrow A \rightarrow A \rightarrow A \mid A \in \mathbb{T}\} \\ & \cup \{\text{fix} : (A \rightarrow A) \rightarrow A \mid A \in \mathbb{T}\} \end{aligned}$$

The typing rules are:

$$\begin{array}{c} x:A \in \Gamma \frac{}{\Gamma \vdash x : A} (\text{TVar}) \quad c:A \in \mathbb{C} \frac{}{\Gamma \vdash c : A} (\text{TCst}) \\[2ex] \frac{\Gamma \vdash M : B \rightarrow A \quad \Gamma \vdash N : B}{\Gamma \vdash MN : A} (\text{TApp}) \quad x \notin \text{dom } \Gamma \frac{\Gamma \cup \{x : B\} \vdash M : A}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x. M : B \rightarrow A} (\text{TAbs}) \end{array}$$

We say that a closed term  $M$  is **typable** just if there is some type  $A$  such that  $\vdash M : A$  is derivable in the type system. If  $\vdash M : A$ , then  $M$  is said to be an **inhabitant** of  $A$ . The **pure-term inhabitation problem**, is the problem of, given a type  $A$ , determining if there a closed, *pure* term  $M$  such that  $\vdash M : A$ .