

# TYPES AND $\lambda$ -CALCULUS

## Problem Sheet 4

This week, Questions 3 and 6 will be marked.

- \* 1. Justify each of the following conversions  $M \approx N$  by finding a common reduct  $P$ , i.e. such that  $M \triangleright^* P$  and  $N \triangleright^* P$ .
- (a)  $(\lambda x. x)y \approx (\lambda xy. x) y z$
  - (b)  $(\lambda x. M)N \approx M[N/x]$
  - (c)  $\text{fix } (\underline{\text{const } 1}) \approx (\lambda x. x \ 2) (\underline{\text{const } (\text{pred } 2)})$
  - (d)  $z (\underline{\text{const id div}}) \underline{\text{div}} \approx z \underline{\text{id}} (\underline{\text{const div id}})$

Solution \_\_\_\_\_

- (a)  $y$
- (b)  $M[N/x]$
- (c)  $\underline{1}$
- (d)  $z \underline{\text{id div}}$

- \* 2. Define  $\underline{Y} = \lambda f. (\lambda x. f (x x))(\lambda x. f (x x))$ .

Show that  $\underline{Y}$  is also a fixed point combinator, i.e for all terms  $M$ :

$$\underline{Y} M \approx M (\underline{Y} M)$$

Solution \_\_\_\_\_

On the one hand:

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{Y} M &\triangleright (\lambda x. M (x x))(\lambda x. M (x x)) \\ &\triangleright M ((\lambda x. M (x x))(\lambda x. M (x x))) \end{aligned}$$

and, on the other:

$$M(\underline{Y} M) \triangleright M ((\lambda x. M (x x))(\lambda x. M (x x)))$$

so, they have a common reduct.

\*\* 3. Prove Lemma 8.1 of the notes, i.e. show all of the following:

**Reflexivity** For all  $M$ :  $M \approx M$ .

**Symmetry** For all  $M, N$ :  $M \approx N$  implies  $N \approx M$ .

**Transitivity** For all  $M, N$  and  $P$ :  $M \approx P$  and  $P \approx N$  implies  $M \approx N$ .

**Compatibility** For all  $M, N$  and  $C[\ ]$ : if  $M \approx N$  then  $C[M] \approx C[N]$ .

There is no need for any induction. For compatibility, you will need to use 9(b) from the previous problem sheet.

Solution

---

We prove each requirement separately:

**Reflexivity** Let  $M$  be a term. Then, there is a 0-step reduction sequence from  $M$  to  $M$  so, by definition,  $M \triangleright^* M$ . Hence, we can use the definition of convertibility with  $P = M$  to obtain  $M \approx M$ .

**Symmetry** Let  $M$  and  $N$  be terms and suppose  $M \approx N$ . Then, by definition of convertibility, there is a term  $P$  such that  $M \triangleright^* P$  and  $N \triangleright^* P$ . By definition, to show  $N \approx M$  we need some common reduct of  $N$  and  $M$ , so we can use the same witness  $P$  again.

**Transitivity** Let  $M, N$  and  $P$  be terms and suppose (i)  $M \approx P$  and (ii)  $P \approx N$ . Then, by definition of convertibility there are terms  $Q_1$  and  $Q_2$  such that (a)  $M \triangleright^* Q_1$ , (b)  $P \triangleright^* Q_1$ , (c)  $P \triangleright^* Q_2$  and (d)  $N \triangleright^* Q_2$ . By confluence applied to (b) and (c), we obtain a common reduct,  $R$ , of  $Q_1$  and  $Q_2$ . From this, (a) and (d) we obtain that  $M \triangleright^* Q_1 \triangleright^* R$  and  $N \triangleright^* Q_2 \triangleright^* R$  have  $R$  as a common reduct and hence, by definition,  $M \approx N$ .

**Compatibility** Let  $M, N$  be terms and  $C[\ ]$  a context. Suppose  $M \approx N$ , so that, by definition, there is a common reduct of  $M$  and  $N$ , say  $P$ , i.e.  $M \triangleright^* P$  and  $N \triangleright^* P$ . Then by 9(b) of the previous problem sheet,  $C[M] \triangleright^* C[P]$  and  $C[N] \triangleright^* C[P]$ . So  $C[P]$  is a common reduct of  $C[M]$  and  $C[N]$ . Hence, by definition,  $C[M] \approx C[N]$ .

\* 4. At the end of the lecture we defined addition, add, as:

$$\text{fix } (\lambda f x y. \text{ifz } x y (S (f (\text{pred } x) y)))$$

Give a complete reduction sequence from add 2 3 to 5.

Solution

---

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \underline{\text{add } 2 \ 3} \\
 &= \text{fix } (\lambda f x y. \text{ifz } x y (S (f (\text{pred } x) y))) \underline{2 \ 3} \\
 &\triangleright (\lambda f x y. \text{ifz } x y (S (f (\text{pred } x) y))) \underline{\text{add } 2 \ 3} \\
 &\triangleright (\lambda x y. \text{ifz } x y (S (\underline{\text{add}} (\text{pred } x) y))) \underline{2 \ 3} \\
 &\triangleright (\lambda y. \text{ifz } \underline{2} y (S (\underline{\text{add}} (\text{pred } \underline{2}) y))) \underline{3} \\
 &\triangleright \text{ifz } \underline{2 \ 3} (S (\underline{\text{add}} (\text{pred } \underline{2}) \underline{3})) \\
 &\triangleright S (\underline{\text{add}} (\text{pred } \underline{2}) \underline{3}) \\
 &\triangleright S (\underline{\text{add } 1 \ 3}) \\
 &= S ((\lambda f x y. \text{ifz } x y (S (f (\text{pred } x) y))) \underline{\text{add } 1 \ 3}) \\
 &\triangleright S ((\lambda x y. \text{ifz } x y (S (\underline{\text{add}} (\text{pred } x) y))) \underline{1 \ 3}) \\
 &\triangleright S ((\lambda y. \text{ifz } \underline{1} y (S (\underline{\text{add}} (\text{pred } \underline{1}) y))) \underline{3}) \\
 &\triangleright S (\text{ifz } \underline{1 \ 3} (S (\underline{\text{add}} (\text{pred } \underline{1}) \underline{3}))) \\
 &\triangleright S (S (\underline{\text{add}} (\text{pred } \underline{1}) \underline{3})) \\
 &\triangleright S (S (\underline{\text{add } 0 \ 3})) \\
 &\triangleright S (S ((\lambda x y. \text{ifz } x y (S (\underline{\text{add}} (\text{pred } x) y))) \underline{0 \ 3})) \\
 &\triangleright S (S ((\lambda y. \text{ifz } \underline{0} y (S (\underline{\text{add}} (\text{pred } \underline{0}) y))) \underline{3})) \\
 &\triangleright S (S (\text{ifz } \underline{0 \ 3} (S (\underline{\text{add}} (\text{pred } \underline{0}) \underline{3})))) \\
 &\triangleright S (S (\underline{3})) \\
 &= \underline{5}
 \end{aligned}$$

\* 5.

(a) Prove that add satisfies the following equation:

$$\underline{\text{add}} \approx \lambda x y. \text{ifz } x y (S (\underline{\text{add}} (\text{pred } x) y))$$

- (b) Prove that add satisfies the following equations. Induction is not necessary.

$$\text{add } \underline{0} \ m \approx \underline{m} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{add } \underline{(n+1)} \ m \approx S (\text{add } \underline{n} \ m)$$

Hint: In practice, you nearly always want to replace an occurrence of add with the right-hand-side of the equation in (a), rather than by its actual definition (and the same can be said for any recursive function defined using “the recipe”).

Solution \_\_\_\_\_

- (a) The left-hand-side actually reduces to the right-hand-side in two steps.  
 (b) Then the first equation is true since (using the observation):

$$\text{add } \underline{0} \ m \approx \text{ifz } \underline{0} \ m \ (S (\text{add } (\text{pred } \underline{0}) \ m)) \approx \underline{m}$$

The second equation holds since:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{add } \underline{(n+1)} \ m &\approx \text{ifz } \underline{(n+1)} \ m \ (S (\text{add } (\text{pred } \underline{(n+1)}) \ m)) \\ &\approx S (\text{add } (\text{pred } \underline{(n+1)}) \ m) \\ &\approx S (\text{add } \underline{n} \ m) \end{aligned}$$

- \*\* 6. Use fix to define the recursive triangular number function: using the "recipe", give a combinator Tri that satisfies:

$$\text{Tri } \underline{0} \approx \underline{0} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Tri } \underline{(n+1)} \approx \text{add } \underline{(n+1)} \ (\text{Tri } \underline{n})$$

Convince yourself that  $\text{Tri } \underline{2} \approx \underline{3}$  (this is obvious if you believe that your implementation of Tri really satisfies the given equations).

Solution \_\_\_\_\_

Define Tri as  $\text{fix } (\lambda f n. \text{ifz } n \ n \ (\text{add } n \ (f \ (\text{pred } n))))$

- \*\* 7. Define multiplication, i.e. construct a term mult that satisfies the following specification:

$$\text{mult } \underline{0} \ m \approx \underline{0} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{mult } \underline{n+1} \ m \approx \text{add } \underline{m} \ (\text{mult } \underline{n} \ m)$$

Convince yourself that  $\text{mult } \underline{2} \ \underline{2} \approx \underline{4}$ .

Solution

---

Define mult = fix ( $\lambda f x y. \text{ifz } x \ 0 \ (\text{add } y \ (\text{mult } (\text{pred } x) y))$ ).

\*\* 8. Prove that if  $M \approx N$  and  $N$  is a normal form, then  $M \triangleright^* N$ .

Therefore, we now know that e.g.  $\text{Tri } \underline{2} \triangleright^* \underline{3}$  and  $\text{mult } \underline{2} \ \underline{2} \triangleright^* \underline{4}$ , so these definitions actually *compute* an output given an input.

Solution

---

Suppose  $M \approx N$  and  $N$  is a normal form. It follows from the definition of  $\approx$  that there is some common reduct  $P$  such that  $M \triangleright^* P$  and  $N \triangleright^* P$ . Since  $N$  is in normal form,  $N \triangleright^* P$  implies  $P = N$ . Hence,  $M \triangleright^* N$ .