

TYPES AND  $\lambda$ -CALCULUS

## Problem Sheet 6

Questions 1 and 3 will be marked.

- \* 1. Give a type derivation/proof tree for the judgements:
- (a)  $\vdash (\lambda x. x) \underline{2} : \text{Nat}$
  - (b)  $x : \text{Nat}, y : \text{Nat} \vdash \text{ifz } y \ x \ (\text{pred } x) : \text{Nat}$
  - (c)  $\vdash \lambda x y. y x x : a \rightarrow (a \rightarrow a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow b$
  - (d)  $\vdash \lambda x y z. y(xz) : (a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow (b \rightarrow c) \rightarrow a \rightarrow c$
- \*\* 2. Give terms  $M$  in *normal form* that satisfy each of the following (you are *not* required to justify them with a proof tree, but you may wish to so as to check your answer):
- (a)  $\vdash M : (a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow a \rightarrow b$
  - (b)  $x : (a \rightarrow a) \rightarrow c \vdash M : c$
  - (c)  $\vdash M : a \rightarrow b \rightarrow \text{Nat}$
- \*\* 3. Use inversion to prove that the following terms are not typable:
- (a)  $\underline{1} (\lambda x. x)$
  - (b)  $\text{pred } (\lambda x. x)$
  - (c)  $\lambda x y. x y(yx)$

- \*\* 4. The following property is called *Weakening*:

For all  $\Gamma, \Gamma'$  and  $A$ : if  $\Gamma \vdash M : A$  and  $\Gamma \subseteq \Gamma'$  then  $\Gamma' \vdash M : A$ .

We can prove Weakening by induction on  $M$ .

*Proof.* The proof is by induction on  $M$ .

- When  $M$  is a variable  $x \dots$  (a)
- When  $M$  is a constant  $c$ , let  $A$  be a type,  $\Gamma$  and  $\Gamma'$  be type environments such that  $\Gamma \subseteq \Gamma'$  and suppose  $\Gamma \vdash c : A$ . By inversion, it follows that  $c : A \in \mathbb{C}$ . Therefore, the side condition is fulfilled to use (TCst) to also justify  $\Gamma' \vdash c : A$  (this rule does not place any requirements on the environment).
- When  $M$  is an application  $PQ$ , assume the induction hypotheses:

(IH1) For all  $\Gamma''$  and  $\Gamma'''$  and  $A'$ , if  $\Gamma'' \subseteq \Gamma'''$  and  $\Gamma'' \vdash P : A'$  then  $\Gamma''' \vdash P : A'$ .

(IH2) For all  $\Gamma''$  and  $\Gamma'''$  and  $A'$ , if  $\Gamma'' \subseteq \Gamma'''$  and  $\Gamma'' \vdash Q : A'$  then  $\Gamma''' \vdash Q : A'$ .

Let  $A$  be a type,  $\Gamma$  and  $\Gamma'$  be environments such that  $\Gamma \subseteq \Gamma'$ . Then suppose  $\Gamma \vdash PQ : A$ . By inversion, there must be a type  $B$  such that  $\Gamma \vdash P : B \rightarrow A$  and  $\Gamma \vdash Q : B$ . It follows from (IH1) with  $\Gamma'' := \Gamma$  and  $\Gamma''' := \Gamma'$  and  $A' := B \rightarrow A$  that  $\Gamma' \vdash P : B \rightarrow A$ . It follows from (IH2) with  $\Gamma'' := \Gamma$ ,  $\Gamma''' := \Gamma'$  and  $A' := B$  that  $\Gamma' \vdash Q : B$ . Therefore, by (TApp),  $\Gamma' \vdash PQ : A$ .

- When  $M$  is an abstraction  $\lambda x. P \dots$  (b)

□

Complete the remaining two cases.

\*\*\* 5. Find terms  $M$  and  $N$  such that:

- (i)  $M$  is not typable
- (ii)  $N$  is typable
- (iii)  $M \triangleright N$