## Types and $\lambda$ -calculus

## Problem Sheet 3

\* 1. Show that  $\Theta$  is also a fixed point combinator, i.e for all terms M:

$$\Theta M =_{\beta} M (\Theta M)$$

\*\* 2. In this question you will give an alternative predecessor combinator which, although longer, is more intuitive to explain.

We define the *Church Pair* of natural numbers m and n, written  $\lceil (m, n) \rceil$ , as the term  $\lambda z. z \lceil m \rceil \lceil n \rceil$ .

(a) Define combinators **Fst** and **Snd** with the property that:

$$\mathbf{Fst}^{\lceil}(m, n)^{\rceil} =_{\beta} \lceil m^{\rceil} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{Snd}^{\lceil}(m, n)^{\rceil} =_{\beta} \lceil n^{\rceil}$$

(b) Consider the following Haskell program pred' on natural numbers.

pred' 
$$n = \text{fst (foldn } n \text{ incr } (0,0))$$
  
where  

$$incr (n,0) = (n,1)$$

$$incr (n,1) = (n+1,1)$$

$$foldn 0 f x = x$$

$$foldn n f x = f (foldn (n-1) f x)$$

What is the result of computing foldn 3 incr (0,0)?

(c) Implement pred' as a  $\lambda$ -term operating on Church Numerals.

\*\* 3.

(a) Prove that natural number multiplication is  $\lambda$ -definable by programming a combinator **Mult**.

Hint: multiplication is iterated addition.

(b) Prove that your construction works by showing the following using induction on  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  or on  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  (which one works will depend on how you defined **Mult**):

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}. \ \forall m \in \mathbb{N}. \ \mathbf{Mult} \ \lceil m \rceil \lceil n \rceil =_{\beta} \lceil m * n \rceil$$

Hint: you may use the following fact without proving it:

$$\lceil k + 1 \rceil =_{\beta} Add \lceil 1 \rceil \lceil k \rceil$$

\*\* 4. Use **Y** to define the recursive triangular number function: using the "recipe", give a combinator **Tri** that satisfies:

$$\operatorname{Tri} \lceil 0 \rceil =_{\beta} \lceil 0 \rceil$$
 and  $\operatorname{Tri} \lceil n+1 \rceil =_{\beta} \operatorname{Add} \lceil n+1 \rceil (\operatorname{Tri} \lceil n \rceil)$ 

Convince yourself that  $\text{Tri } \lceil 2 \rceil =_{\beta} \lceil 3 \rceil$  (this is obvious if you believe that your implementation of Tri really satisfies the given equations).

\*\* 5. Prove that if  $M =_{\beta} N$  and N is a normal form, then  $M \twoheadrightarrow_{\beta} N$ .

Therefore, we now know that e.g.  $\text{Tri} \, \lceil 2 \rceil \rightarrow \beta \lceil 3 \rceil$ , so these definitions actually *compute* an output given an input.

\*\* 6. Show that  $\beta$ -normal forms are unique, i.e. show that if a term has two  $\beta$ -normal forms  $N_1$  and  $N_2$ , then they are actually the same term.

Therefore, we now know that e.g. **Tri**  $\lceil 2 \rceil \not \twoheadrightarrow_{\beta} \lceil 4 \rceil$ , so there is at most one output for each input.

\*\*\* 7. Show that there is no term *P* that satisfies  $P(MN) =_{\beta} N$ .