Types and λ -calculus

Problem Sheet 7

- ** 1. Suppose closed term M has a normal form $\lambda x. xx$. Prove that all reducts of M are untypable, i.e. M > N implies N untypable.
- ** 2.
- (a) Prove the following result by induction on *M*:

Let *B* be a type and *N* a term. For all terms *M*, types *A* and environments Γ : if $\Gamma, x:B \vdash M : A$ and $\Gamma \vdash N : B$ then $\Gamma \vdash M[N/x] : A$.

- (b) Prove the missing case in the proof of Lemma 12.2 from the notes: if $\Gamma \vdash (\lambda x. M)N : A$ then $\Gamma \vdash M[N/x] : A$.
- ** 3. Find pure terms that inhabit the following types (no need for justification):

(a)
$$(a \rightarrow a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow a \rightarrow b$$

(b)
$$(a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow (a \rightarrow c) \rightarrow (b \rightarrow c \rightarrow d) \rightarrow a \rightarrow d$$

(c)
$$(((a \rightarrow a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow c) \rightarrow c$$

- ** 4.
- (a) Find a *pure* term that inhabits the type $(((a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow b) \rightarrow b) \rightarrow a \rightarrow b$.
- (b) Give the corresponding proof of the corresponding formula.
- *** 5. The reason that we don't study full PCF in connection with the Curry-Howard correspondence is the presence of fix.

- (a) Use fix to show that every type is inhabited by some *PCF term* (not necessarily pure).
- (b) What is the consequence for the Curry-Howard correspondence extended to full PCF?

*** 6. The following property is called Subject Invariance:

if
$$M \approx N$$
 and $\Gamma \vdash M : A$ then $\Gamma \vdash N : A$

Is this property true for our type system? Either prove it or give a counterexample.