

TYPES AND λ -CALCULUS

Problem Sheet 4

This week, Questions 3 and 6 will be marked.

- * 1. Justify each of the following conversions $M \approx N$ by finding a common reduct P , i.e. such that $M \triangleright^* P$ and $N \triangleright^* P$.
- (a) $(\lambda x. x)y \approx (\lambda xy. x) y z$
 - (b) $(\lambda x. M)N \approx M[N/x]$
 - (c) $\text{fix } (\underline{\text{const } 1}) \approx (\lambda x. x \underline{2}) (\underline{\text{const } (\text{pred } 2)})$
 - (d) $z (\underline{\text{const id div}}) \underline{\text{div}} \approx z \underline{\text{id}} (\underline{\text{const div id}})$

Solution _____

- (a) y
- (b) $M[N/x]$
- (c) $\underline{1}$
- (d) $z \underline{\text{id div}}$

- * 2. Define $\underline{Y} = \lambda f. (\lambda x. f (x x))(\lambda x. f (x x))$.

Show that \underline{Y} is also a fixed point combinator, i.e show that, for all terms M :

$$\underline{Y} M \approx M (\underline{Y} M)$$

Solution _____

On the one hand:

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{Y} M &\triangleright (\lambda x. M (x x))(\lambda x. M (x x)) \\ &\triangleright M ((\lambda x. M (x x))(\lambda x. M (x x))) \end{aligned}$$

and, on the other:

$$M(\underline{Y} M) \triangleright M ((\lambda x. M (x x))(\lambda x. M (x x)))$$

so, they have a common reduct.

** 3. Prove Lemma 8.1 of the notes, i.e. show all of the following:

Reflexivity For all M : $M \approx M$.

Symmetry For all M, N : $M \approx N$ implies $N \approx M$.

Transitivity For all M, N and P : $M \approx P$ and $P \approx N$ implies $M \approx N$.

Compatibility For all M, N and $C[\]$: if $M \approx N$ then $C[M] \approx C[N]$.

There is no need for any induction. For compatibility, you will need to use 9(b) from the previous problem sheet.

Solution

We prove each requirement separately:

Reflexivity Let M be a term. Then, there is a 0-step reduction sequence from M to M so, by definition, $M \triangleright^* M$. Hence, we can use the definition of convertibility with $P = M$ to obtain $M \approx M$.

Symmetry Let M and N be terms and suppose $M \approx N$. Then, by definition of convertibility, there is a term P such that $M \triangleright^* P$ and $N \triangleright^* P$. By definition, to show $N \approx M$ we need some common reduct of N and M , so we can use the same witness P again.

Transitivity Let M, N and P be terms and suppose (i) $M \approx P$ and (ii) $P \approx N$. Then, by definition of convertibility there are terms Q_1 and Q_2 such that (a) $M \triangleright^* Q_1$, (b) $P \triangleright^* Q_1$, (c) $P \triangleright^* Q_2$ and (d) $N \triangleright^* Q_2$. By confluence applied to (b) and (c), we obtain a common reduct, R , of Q_1 and Q_2 . From this, (a) and (d) we obtain that $M \triangleright^* Q_1 \triangleright^* R$ and $N \triangleright^* Q_2 \triangleright^* R$ have R as a common reduct and hence, by definition, $M \approx N$.

Compatibility Let M, N be terms and $C[\]$ a context. Suppose $M \approx N$, so that, by definition, there is a common reduct of M and N , say P , i.e. $M \triangleright^* P$ and $N \triangleright^* P$. Then by 9(b) of the previous problem sheet, $C[M] \triangleright^* C[P]$ and $C[N] \triangleright^* C[P]$. So $C[P]$ is a common reduct of $C[M]$ and $C[N]$. Hence, by definition, $C[M] \approx C[N]$.

* 4. Following the recipe, addition add, may be defined as:

$$\text{fix } (\lambda f x y. \text{ifz } x y (S (f (\text{pred } x) y)))$$

Give a complete reduction sequence from add 2 3 to 5.

Solution

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \underline{\text{add } 2 \ 3} \\
 &= \text{fix } (\lambda f x y. \text{ifz } x y (S (f (\text{pred } x) y))) \underline{2 \ 3} \\
 &\triangleright (\lambda f x y. \text{ifz } x y (S (f (\text{pred } x) y))) \underline{\text{add } 2 \ 3} \\
 &\triangleright (\lambda x y. \text{ifz } x y (S (\underline{\text{add}} (\text{pred } x) y))) \underline{2 \ 3} \\
 &\triangleright (\lambda y. \text{ifz } \underline{2} y (S (\underline{\text{add}} (\text{pred } \underline{2}) y))) \underline{3} \\
 &\triangleright \text{ifz } \underline{2 \ 3} (S (\underline{\text{add}} (\text{pred } \underline{2}) \underline{3})) \\
 &\triangleright S (\underline{\text{add}} (\text{pred } \underline{2}) \underline{3}) \\
 &\triangleright S (\underline{\text{add } 1 \ 3}) \\
 &= S ((\lambda f x y. \text{ifz } x y (S (f (\text{pred } x) y))) \underline{\text{add } 1 \ 3}) \\
 &\triangleright S ((\lambda x y. \text{ifz } x y (S (\underline{\text{add}} (\text{pred } x) y))) \underline{1 \ 3}) \\
 &\triangleright S ((\lambda y. \text{ifz } \underline{1} y (S (\underline{\text{add}} (\text{pred } \underline{1}) y))) \underline{3}) \\
 &\triangleright S (\text{ifz } \underline{1 \ 3} (S (\underline{\text{add}} (\text{pred } \underline{1}) \underline{3}))) \\
 &\triangleright S (S (\underline{\text{add}} (\text{pred } \underline{1}) \underline{3})) \\
 &\triangleright S (S (\underline{\text{add } 0 \ 3})) \\
 &\triangleright S (S ((\lambda x y. \text{ifz } x y (S (\underline{\text{add}} (\text{pred } x) y))) \underline{0 \ 3})) \\
 &\triangleright S (S ((\lambda y. \text{ifz } \underline{0} y (S (\underline{\text{add}} (\text{pred } \underline{0}) y))) \underline{3})) \\
 &\triangleright S (S (\text{ifz } \underline{0 \ 3} (S (\underline{\text{add}} (\text{pred } \underline{0}) \underline{3})))) \\
 &\triangleright S (S (\underline{3})) \\
 &= \underline{5}
 \end{aligned}$$

* 5.

(a) Prove that add satisfies the following equation:

$$\underline{\text{add}} \approx \lambda x y. \text{ifz } x y (S (\underline{\text{add}} (\text{pred } x) y))$$

- (b) Prove that add satisfies the following equations. Induction is not necessary.

$$\text{add } \underline{0} \ m \approx \underline{m} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{add } \underline{(n+1)} \ m \approx S (\text{add } \underline{n} \ m)$$

Hint: In practice, you nearly always want to replace an occurrence of add with the right-hand-side of the equation in (a), rather than by its actual definition (and the same can be said for any recursive function defined using “the recipe”).

Solution _____

- (a) The left-hand-side actually reduces to the right-hand-side in two steps.
 (b) Then the first equation is true since (using the observation):

$$\text{add } \underline{0} \ m \approx \text{ifz } \underline{0} \ m \ (S (\text{add } (\text{pred } \underline{0}) \ m)) \approx \underline{m}$$

The second equation holds since:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{add } \underline{(n+1)} \ m &\approx \text{ifz } \underline{(n+1)} \ m \ (S (\text{add } (\text{pred } \underline{(n+1)}) \ m)) \\ &\approx S (\text{add } (\text{pred } \underline{(n+1)}) \ m) \\ &\approx S (\text{add } \underline{n} \ m) \end{aligned}$$

- ** 6. Use fix to define the recursive triangular number function: using the "recipe", give a combinator Tri that satisfies:

$$\text{Tri } \underline{0} \approx \underline{0} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Tri } \underline{(n+1)} \approx \text{add } \underline{(n+1)} (\text{Tri } \underline{n})$$

Convince yourself that $\text{Tri } \underline{2} \approx \underline{3}$ (this is obvious if you believe that your implementation of Tri really satisfies the given equations).

Solution _____

Define Tri as $\text{fix } (\lambda f n. \text{ifz } n \ n \ (\text{add } n \ (f \ (\text{pred } n))))$

- ** 7. Define multiplication, i.e. construct a term mult that satisfies the following specification:

$$\text{mult } \underline{0} \ m \approx \underline{0} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{mult } \underline{n+1} \ m \approx \text{add } \underline{m} (\text{mult } \underline{n} \ m)$$

Convince yourself that $\text{mult } \underline{2} \ \underline{2} \approx \underline{4}$.

Solution

Define mult = $\text{fix } (\lambda f x y. \text{ifz } x \ 0 \ (\text{add } y \ (f \ (\text{pred } x) \ y))))$.

** 8. Prove that if $M \approx N$ and N is a normal form, then $M \triangleright^* N$.

Therefore, we now know that e.g. $\text{Tri } \underline{2} \triangleright^* \underline{3}$ and $\text{mult } \underline{2} \ \underline{2} \triangleright^* \underline{4}$, so these definitions actually *compute* an output given an input.

Solution

Suppose $M \approx N$ and N is a normal form. It follows from the definition of \approx that there is some common reduct P such that $M \triangleright^* P$ and $N \triangleright^* P$. Since N is in normal form, $N \triangleright^* P$ implies $P = N$. Hence, $M \triangleright^* N$.