UNIVERSITY OF BRISTOL

January 2023 Examination Period

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING

Third Year Examination for the Degrees of Bachelor of Science Master of Engineering

COMS30039J Types and Lambda Calculus

TIME ALLOWED: 2 Hours

Answers to COMS30039J: Types and Lambda Calculus

Intended Learning Outcomes:

- Q1. This question concerns untyped PCF.
 - (a) For each of the following statements, is it true or false?
 - i. $(\lambda xy. xxy)(\lambda y. y)(\lambda z. zz) \triangleright (\lambda y. y)(\lambda y. y)(\lambda z. zz)$
 - ii. $(\lambda y. y)(\lambda y. y)(\lambda z. zz) > (\lambda xy. xxy)(\lambda y. y)(\lambda z. zz)$
 - iii. $(\lambda xy. xxy)(\lambda y. y)(\lambda z. zz) >^* (\lambda y. y)(\lambda y. y)(\lambda z. zz)$
 - iv. $(\lambda y. y)(\lambda y. y)(\lambda z. zz) >^* (\lambda xy. xxy)(\lambda y. y)(\lambda z. zz)$
 - v. $(\lambda xy. xxy)(\lambda y. y)(\lambda z. zz) \approx (\lambda y. y)(\lambda y. y)(\lambda z. zz)$

[5 marks]

Solution:

- i. False
- ii. False
- iii. True
- iv. False
- v. True
- (b) For each of the following equations, construct a term M that satisfies it for all N:
 - i. $MN \approx \lambda x.x$
 - ii. $MN \approx N(NN)$
 - iii. $MNM \approx N$
 - iv. $MN \approx MM$
 - v. $\underline{id} (\lambda x. M N) \approx \underline{const} (\underline{const} N) \underline{id}$

[5 marks]

Solution:

- i. $\lambda yx.x$
- ii. $\lambda x. x (xx)$
- iii. $\lambda xy.x$
- iv. fix $(\lambda f x. f f)$
- v. <u>id</u>
- (c) The factorial function n! is defined by the following equations:

$$0! = 1$$
$$(n+1)! = (n+1) * n!$$

i. Define a term <u>fac</u> that defines the factorial function in PCF. You may recall that there is a term <u>mult</u> satisfying:

$$\underline{\mathsf{mult}} \ \underline{n} \ \underline{m} \ \approx \ \underline{n * m}$$

ii. Prove that your definition works, i.e. for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\underline{\text{fac } \underline{n}} \approx \underline{n!}$.

[6 marks]

Solution:

- i. Define $\underline{fac} = fix (\lambda f x. ifz \times \underline{1} (\underline{mult} \times (f (pred x))))$
- ii. First observe by that, for any M:

$$\underline{\text{fac}} \ M \approx \underline{\text{ifz}} \ M \ \underline{1} \ (\underline{\text{mult}} \ M \ (\underline{\text{fac}} \ (\underline{\text{pred}} \ M)))$$

Then the proof is by induction on $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

• When n = 0:

$$\frac{\text{fac } 0}{\approx} \text{ ifz} \underline{0} \text{ } \underline{1} \text{ } (\underline{\text{mult } 0} \text{ } (\underline{\text{fac }} (\underline{\text{pred } 0})))$$

$$\approx 1$$

(using the above conversion). As required, since 0! = 1.

• When n = k + 1, we assume the induction hypothesis:

$$\underline{\text{fac}} \ \underline{k} \approx \underline{k!}$$

$$\underline{\mathsf{fac}}\ \underline{k+1}\ \approx\ \mathsf{ifz}\ \underline{k+1}\ \underline{1}\ (\underline{\mathsf{mult}}\ \underline{k+1}\ (\underline{\mathsf{fac}}\ (\mathsf{pred}\ \underline{k+1}))) \tag{1}$$

$$\approx \text{ mult } k + 1 \text{ (fac (pred } k + 1))}$$
 (2)

$$\approx \text{ mult } k + 1 \text{ (fac } \underline{k})$$
 (3)

$$\approx$$
 mult $k+1$ $k!$ (4)

$$\approx (k+1)*k! \tag{5}$$

With the penultimate line following from the induction hypothesis. By definition, (k+1)*k!=(k+1)!, as required.

(d) Define the size |M| of a term M as the natural number given as follows:

$$|x| = 1$$

 $|c| = 1$
 $|(PQ)| = |P| + |Q|$
 $|(\lambda x. P)| = |P| + 3$

(cont.)

Show that there is no term length that satisfies the following equation, for all N:

$$\underline{\text{length}} N \approx |N|$$

[4 marks]

Solution: Suppose there is such a term length. Then we would have:

$$\underline{9} = \text{length}((\lambda x. x) y) \approx \text{length } y \approx \underline{1}$$

However, this is impossible since $\underline{9}$ and $\underline{1}$ are distinct beta normal forms.

(e) Prove that there is no term halting such that, for all *closed* terms M:

$$\frac{\text{halting } M}{\text{bas a normal form}} \approx \begin{cases} \underline{1} & \text{if } M \text{ has a normal form} \\ \underline{0} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

[5 marks]

Solution: Suppose there is a term <u>halting</u> that satisfies this specification. Then consider the term $N = \lambda x$. ifz (halting $(x \ x)$)<u>div</u> <u>1</u>. We reason equationally:

$$N N \approx \text{ifz (halting } (N N)) \underline{\text{div }} \underline{1}$$

By the specification, we have that, for all terms M, either (i) halting $M \approx \underline{1}$ or (ii) halting $M \approx \underline{0}$. We consider these two cases with M = N N:

- In case (i), it must be that N N has a normal form. However, by continuing the reduction above we obtain N $N \approx \underline{\text{div}}$. This implies that N N does not have a normal form! (If it did, then $\underline{\text{div}}$ would have the same normal form by confluence). Hence, we obtain a contradiction.
- In case (ii), it must be that N N does not have a normal form. However, by continuing the reduction above we obtain N $N \approx 1$, so N N does have a normal form by confluence. Hence, we obtain a contradiction.
- **Q2**. This question is about the type system.
 - (a) Give a typing derivation for each of the following judgements:

i.
$$x : \mathsf{Nat} \to \mathsf{Nat}, y : \mathsf{Nat} \to \mathsf{Nat} \vdash x (y \ 0) : \mathsf{Nat}$$

ii.
$$y : \mathsf{Nat} \vdash (\lambda z. z)(\mathsf{pred}\ y) : \mathsf{Nat}$$

iii.
$$y : \mathsf{Nat} \to \mathsf{Nat} \vdash (\lambda x. x. y) (\lambda z. z) : \mathsf{Nat} \to \mathsf{Nat}$$

[6 marks]

Solution:

i. With $\Gamma = \{x : a \rightarrow b, y : c \rightarrow a, z : c\}$:

ii. With $\Gamma = \{x : a \rightarrow b, y : a\}$.

$$\frac{\Gamma, z: b \vdash z: b}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda z. z: b \to b} \frac{\Gamma \vdash x: a \to b}{\Gamma \vdash xy: b} \frac{\Gamma \vdash y: a}{\Gamma \vdash (\lambda z. z)(xy): b}$$

iii.

- (b) For each of the following, find a closed term that inhabits the type:
 - i. $a \rightarrow b \rightarrow b$

ii.
$$(a \rightarrow a \rightarrow c) \rightarrow (c \rightarrow b) \rightarrow a \rightarrow b$$

iii.
$$((a \rightarrow b \rightarrow a) \rightarrow c) \rightarrow c$$

[6 marks]

Solution:

- i. $\lambda x y . y$
- ii. $\lambda xyz.y(xzz)$
- iii. $\lambda x. x(\lambda yz. y)$
- (c) Prove that $\vdash \lambda xy. xyy: C$ implies that C has shape $(A \to A \to B) \to A \to B$ for some types A and B.

[5 marks]

Solution: By inversion twice we have that, necessarily:

$$C = D \rightarrow E$$
 and $E = F \rightarrow G$

and $x:D,y:F\vdash xyy:G$. By inversion, it follows that there is a type H and a type I such that $x:D,y:F\vdash xy:H\to G, x:D,y:F\vdash y:H$. By inversion,

 $x:D,y:F\vdash x:I\to H\to G$ and $x:D,y:F\vdash y:I.$ Finally, by inversion: $F=H\quad\text{and}\quad F=I\quad\text{and}\quad D=I\to H\to G$ Hence: $C=(F\to F\to G)\to F\to G$, as required.

(d) Define the type A[B/a] arising from the substitution of B for all occurrences of type variable a in A as follows:

$$a[B/b] = B$$
 if $a = b$
 $a[B/b] = a$ if $a \neq b$
 $Nat[B/b] = Nat$
 $(A_1 \rightarrow A_2)[B/b] = A_1[B/b] \rightarrow A_2[B/b]$

Extend substitution to environments by:

$$\Gamma[B/b] = \{x : A[B/b] \mid x : A \in \Gamma\}$$

Fix a type B and a type variable b. Prove that, for all M, Γ and A:

$$\Gamma \vdash M : A \text{ implies } \Gamma[B/b] \vdash M : A[B/b]$$

[5 marks]

Solution: The proof is by induction on M.

- When M is a variable x, we reason as follows. Let Γ be an environment and A a type. Suppose $\Gamma \vdash x : A$. Then, by inversion, there is $x : A \in \Gamma$. So, by definition, there is $x : A[B/b] \in \Gamma[B/b]$. Hence, $\Gamma[B/b] \vdash x : A[B/b]$ follows by (TVar).
- When M is an application PQ, we reason as follows. Assume the induction hypotheses. Let Γ be an environment and A a type. Suppose $\Gamma \vdash PQ : A$. Then, by inversion, there is a type C such that $\Gamma \vdash P : C \to A$ and $\Gamma \vdash Q : C$. It follows from the induction hypotheses that $\Gamma[B/b] \vdash P : (C \to A)[B/b]$ and $\Gamma[B/b] \vdash Q : C[B/b]$. By definition, $(C \to A)[B/b] = C[B/b] \to A[B/b]$ and so it follows from (TApp) that $\Gamma[B/b] \vdash PQ : A[B/b]$.
- When M is an abstraction $\lambda x. P$, we reason as follows. Assume the induction hypothesis. Let Γ be an environment and A a type. Suppose $\Gamma \vdash \lambda x. P : A$. By inversion, it follows that A is of shape $A_1 \to A_2$ for some A_1 and A_2 , and $\Gamma, x : A_1 \vdash P : A_2$. Then, it follows from the induction hypothesis that $(\Gamma, x : A_1)[B/b] \vdash P : A[B/b]$. By definition $(\Gamma, x : A_1)[B/b] = \Gamma[B/b], x : A_1[B/b]$ and so it follows from (TAbs) that $\Gamma[B/b] \vdash \lambda x. P : A_1[B/b] \to A_2[B/b]$.

- (e) Construct a closed term N and a term M with one free variable x, such that:
 - There are no types A, B such that $x:B \vdash M:A$,
 - but the closed term M[N/x] is typable.

[3 marks]

Solution: Take, for example, $M = \lambda y \cdot y \cdot (x \cdot \underline{id}) \cdot (x \cdot \underline{3})$. It can be shown by inversion that this term is not typable, because it would require x to have both function type and natural type. However, $\vdash M[\underline{id}/x] : ((a \to a) \to \mathsf{Nat} \to b) \to b$.