

Theorem (Curry-Howard)

If we consider properly labelled proofs in the implicational fragment of propositional logic (i.e. the only connective is implication), then the following is true:

- *From a constructive proof of A from starting assumptions Γ , one can extract a term M such that $\Gamma \vdash M : A$.*
- *From each term M such that $\Gamma \vdash M : A$, we can extract a constructive proof that A follows from assumptions Γ .*

(This requires we accept that \rightarrow is an equally good way to write implication.)

Types	\leftrightarrow	Formulas
Programs	\leftrightarrow	Proofs
Inhabitation	\leftrightarrow	Provability

