Formal Review Template

Requester Name: Nicole Clark

Reviewer Name: Jacob Hensley
Date of Review: 10/7/2025

Review Type (e.g., code, usability, design, other): Code

Scope:

Artifact Reviewed: https://github.com/uofm-capstone/fall2025_froggy_fresh/pull/3

Review Objectives: Evaluate the quality of the code/functionality

Methodology:

Approach Taken (e.g., execute, inspect, observe): Inspect

Evaluation Criteria (e.g., expected behavior, best practices, heuristic evaluation): Best practices

Coverage / Limitations (e.g., test login only, ignore database integration): Only concerned about the code committed in this pull request

Findings

Strengths:

- Code is well formatted and is consistent with the rest of the code base. No Major formatting/indention issues.

- Code is clear and understandable. Despite not being familiar with the codebase, I was able to understand what the code is doing.

Issues / Defects:

Description of Issue	Severity (Low/Med/High)	Evidence / Example	Suggested Fix
I am not sure what camera number is.	Low	Camera number is implemented well, but I'm not sure what it's used for.	Add a comment explaining what camera

			number is.