

# Formal Review Template

Requester Name: \_\_Kelly Nguyen\_\_

Reviewer Name: \_\_Jacob Hensley\_\_

Date of Review: \_\_10/30/2025\_\_

Review Type (e.g., code, usability, design, other): Usability

## Scope:

Artifact Reviewed:

Froggy Fresh frog-detection desktop application

Review Objectives:

photo loading/navigation, results and testing view, graph

## Methodology:

Approach Taken (e.g., execute, inspect, observe): Uploaded photos, inspected the results and testing view

Evaluation Criteria (e.g., expected behavior, best practices, heuristic evaluation): \_clarity with set up, system feedback, navigation flow

Coverage / Limitations (e.g., test login only, ignore database integration): \_only front end user experience

---

## Findings

### Strengths:

- UI is simple and intuitive, was able to upload images easily. Results were clear and understandable. No major issues with UI at all.
- I really like the graph view of the data.

### Issues / Defects:

Description of Issue	Severity (Low/Med/High)	Evidence / Example	Suggested Fix
'Sort frogs' button title may be misleading.	low	'Sort frogs' button	I would change the button to

			something like 'evaluate images'