

# 1 Introduction

This document is relevant to the targets:

- `monolithic`
- `monolithic_arkode`
- `monolithic_hs`

See the "Version History" section for more information. The section "Toy Problem" is unimplemented.

Let  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $V : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}$ , and  $\psi : (0, T) \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . The Nonlinear Schroedinger Equation (NSE) is given by:

$$-i\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial t} - \frac{1}{2}\Delta\psi + V\psi + \kappa|\psi|^2\psi = 0$$

With initial conditions:

$$\psi(0, \mathbf{x}) = \psi_0(\mathbf{x})$$

For all  $\mathbf{x} \in \Omega$  and with boundary conditions:

$$\psi(t, \mathbf{x}) = 0$$

For all  $(t, \mathbf{x}) \in (0, T) \times \partial\Omega$ .

Because  $\psi$  is complex-valued, it is convenient to separate the equation into real and imaginary parts. If we take  $\psi(t, \mathbf{x}) = u(t, \mathbf{x}) + iv(t, \mathbf{x})$  for  $u, v : (0, T) \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , we also have that  $|\psi|^2 = u^2 + v^2$ :

$$-i\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(u + iv) - \frac{1}{2}\Delta(u + iv) + V(u + iv) + \kappa(u^2 + v^2)(u + iv) = 0$$

So:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} - \frac{1}{2}\Delta u + Vu + \kappa(u^2 + v^2)u &= 0 \\ -\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \frac{1}{2}\Delta v + Vv + \kappa(u^2 + v^2)v &= 0\end{aligned}$$

## 2 Toy Problem

Let  $\Omega = [-1, 1]^n$ , and consider, for an example:

$$u(t, \mathbf{x}) = \cos(\pi t) \prod_{i=1}^n \cos(\pi x_i)$$

$$v(t, \mathbf{x}) = \sin(\pi t) \prod_{i=1}^n \cos(\pi x_i)$$

Then:

$$u(0, \mathbf{x}) = \prod_{i=1}^n \cos(\pi x_i)$$

$$v(0, \mathbf{x}) = 0$$

We have that:

$$\begin{aligned} u^2 + v^2 &= \cos^2(\pi t) \prod_{i=1}^n \cos^2(\pi x_i) + \sin^2(\pi t) \prod_{i=1}^n \cos^2(\pi x_i) \\ &= (\cos^2(\pi t) + \sin^2(\pi t)) \prod_{i=1}^n \cos^2(\pi x_i) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^n \cos^2(\pi x_i) \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $u^2 + v^2$  is independent of  $t$ . So:

Meanwhile:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_k} &= -\pi \cos(\pi t) \sin(\pi x_k) \prod_{i \neq k} \cos(\pi x_i) \\ \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_k^2} &= -\pi^2 \cos(\pi t) \prod_{i=1}^n \cos(\pi x_i) \\ &= -\pi^2 u \\ \Delta u &= \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_k^2} \\ &= -n\pi^2 u \end{aligned}$$

Similarly:

$$\Delta v = -n\pi^2 v$$

And:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} &= -\pi \sin(\pi t) \prod_{i=1}^n \cos(\pi x_i) \\
&= -\pi v \\
\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} &= \pi \cos(\pi t) \prod_{i=1}^n \cos(\pi x_i) \\
&= \pi u
\end{aligned}$$

Then, rewriting the first equation:

$$\begin{aligned}
Vu &= -\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2}\Delta u - \kappa(u^2 + v^2)u \\
&= -\pi u - \frac{n}{2}\pi^2 u - \kappa(u^2 + v^2)u \\
V(\mathbf{x}) &= -\pi - \frac{n}{2}\pi^2 - \kappa(u^2 + v^2)
\end{aligned}$$

And the second equation:

$$\begin{aligned}
Vv &= \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2}\Delta v - \kappa(u^2 + v^2)v \\
&= -\pi v - \frac{n}{2}\pi^2 v - \kappa(u^2 + v^2)v \\
V(\mathbf{x}) &= -\pi - \frac{n}{2}\pi^2 - \kappa(u^2 + v^2)
\end{aligned}$$

We see that the equations agree.

### 3 Spatial Discretization

Consider a set of basis function  $\Phi_i : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ , where each  $\Phi_i(\mathbf{x}) = \varphi_{b_i} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{c_i}$ , where  $\varphi_j : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a set of basis functions ( $b_i$  is the base index of the index  $i$  and  $c_i$  is the component index of  $i$ ). We write  $\mathbf{w} = \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \end{bmatrix}$  and make the approximation  $\mathbf{w} = W_j \Phi_j$  (Einstein summation); furthermore, we approximate  $\frac{\partial \mathbf{w}}{\partial t} = \dot{W}_j \Phi_j$ . Then, we have that:

$$\begin{aligned} u &= W_j \varphi_{b_j} \delta_{1,c_j} \\ v &= W_j \varphi_{b_j} \delta_{2,c_j} \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} &= \dot{W}_j \varphi_{b_j} \delta_{1,c_j} \\ \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} &= \dot{W}_j \varphi_{b_j} \delta_{2,c_j} \end{aligned}$$

Then, we multiply and integrate the system with a basis function  $\Phi_i$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \Phi_i \mid \begin{array}{l} \partial_t v - \frac{1}{2} \Delta u + V u + \kappa(u^2 + v^2)u \\ -\partial_t u - \frac{1}{2} \Delta v + V v + \kappa(u^2 + v^2)v \end{array} \right\rangle &= 0 \\ i \left\langle \Phi_i \mid \begin{array}{l} \partial_t v \\ -\partial_t u \end{array} \right\rangle - \frac{1}{2} \left\langle \Phi_i \mid \begin{array}{l} \Delta u \\ \Delta v \end{array} \right\rangle + \left\langle \Phi_i \mid \begin{array}{l} V u \\ V v \end{array} \right\rangle + \kappa \left\langle \Phi_i \mid \begin{array}{l} u^3 \\ v^3 \end{array} \right\rangle + \kappa \left\langle \Phi_i \mid \begin{array}{l} u v^2 \\ u^2 v \end{array} \right\rangle &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

We consider each form separately:

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \Phi_i \mid \begin{array}{l} \partial_t v \\ -\partial_t u \end{array} \right\rangle &= \delta_{1,c_i} \langle \varphi_{b_i} \mid \partial_t v \rangle - \delta_{2,c_i} \langle \varphi_{b_i} \mid \partial_t u \rangle \\ &= \delta_{1,c_i} \left\langle \varphi_{b_i} \mid \dot{W}_j \varphi_{b_j} \delta_{2,c_j} \right\rangle - \delta_{2,c_i} \left\langle \varphi_{b_i} \mid \dot{W}_j \varphi_{b_j} \delta_{1,c_j} \right\rangle \\ &= (\delta_{1,c_i} \delta_{2,c_j} - \delta_{2,c_i} \delta_{1,c_j}) \langle \varphi_{b_i} \mid \varphi_{b_j} \rangle \dot{W}_j \\ \left\langle \Phi_i \mid \begin{array}{l} \Delta u \\ \Delta v \end{array} \right\rangle &= \delta_{1,c_i} \langle \varphi_{b_i} \mid \Delta u \rangle + \delta_{2,c_i} \langle \varphi_{b_i} \mid \Delta v \rangle \end{aligned}$$

We note that:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \varphi_{b_i} \mid \Delta f \rangle &= \int_{\Omega} \varphi_{b_i} \Delta f \, d\mathbf{x} \\ &= \oint_{\partial\Omega} \varphi_{b_i} \nabla f \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}} \, d\mathbf{s} - \int_{\Omega} \nabla \varphi_{b_i} \cdot \nabla f \, d\mathbf{x} \\ &= \langle \varphi_{b_i} \hat{\mathbf{n}} \mid \nabla f \rangle_{\partial\Omega} - \langle \nabla \varphi_{b_i} \mid \nabla f \rangle \end{aligned}$$

And, because we have Dirichlet boundary conditions, we must have that  $\varphi_{b_i}(t, \mathbf{x}) = 0$  for all  $(t, \mathbf{x}) \in (0, T) \times \partial\Omega$ . So:

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \Phi_i \mid \begin{array}{l} \Delta u \\ \Delta v \end{array} \right\rangle &= -\delta_{1,c_i} \langle \nabla \varphi_{b_i} \mid \nabla u \rangle - \delta_{2,c_i} \langle \nabla \varphi_{b_i} \mid \nabla v \rangle \\ &= -\delta_{1,c_i} \langle \nabla \varphi_{b_i} \mid W_j \nabla \varphi_{b_j} \delta_{1,c_j} \rangle - \delta_{2,c_i} \langle \nabla \varphi_{b_i} \mid W_j \nabla \varphi_{b_j} \delta_{2,c_j} \rangle \\ &= -(\delta_{1,c_i} \delta_{1,c_j} + \delta_{2,c_i} \delta_{2,c_j}) \langle \nabla \varphi_{b_i} \mid \nabla \varphi_{b_j} \rangle W_j \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\langle \Phi_i \mid \begin{smallmatrix} Vu \\ Vv \end{smallmatrix} \right\rangle &= \delta_{1,c_i} \langle \varphi_{b_i} \mid Vu \rangle + \delta_{2,c_i} \langle \varphi_{b_i} \mid Vv \rangle \\
&= \delta_{1,c_i} \langle \varphi_{b_i} \mid W_j V \varphi_{b_j} \delta_{1,c_j} \rangle + \delta_{2,c_i} \langle \varphi_{b_i} \mid W_j V \varphi_{b_j} \delta_{2,c_j} \rangle \\
&= (\delta_{1,c_i} \delta_{1,c_j} + \delta_{2,c_i} \delta_{2,c_j}) \langle \varphi_{b_i} \mid V \varphi_{b_j} \rangle W_j
\end{aligned}$$

We will not use the fact that  $\mathbf{w} = W_j \Phi_j$  in considering the nonlinear forms at this time.

So:

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= (\delta_{1,c_i} \delta_{2,c_j} - \delta_{2,c_i} \delta_{1,c_j}) \langle \varphi_{b_i} \mid \varphi_{b_j} \rangle \dot{W}_j \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} (\delta_{1,c_i} \delta_{1,c_j} + \delta_{2,c_i} \delta_{2,c_j}) \langle \nabla \varphi_{b_i} \mid \nabla \varphi_{b_j} \rangle W_j \\
&\quad + (\delta_{1,c_i} \delta_{1,c_j} + \delta_{2,c_i} \delta_{2,c_j}) \langle \varphi_{b_i} \mid V \varphi_{b_j} \rangle W_j \\
&\quad + \kappa \delta_{1,c_i} \langle \varphi_{b_i} \mid u^3 + uv^2 \rangle \\
&\quad + \kappa \delta_{2,c_i} \langle \varphi_{b_i} \mid v^3 + u^2 v \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

If we define:

$$\begin{aligned}
M_{ij} &= (\delta_{1,c_i} \delta_{2,c_j} - \delta_{2,c_i} \delta_{1,c_j}) \langle \varphi_{b_i} \mid \varphi_{b_j} \rangle \\
A_{ij} &= \frac{1}{2} (\delta_{1,c_i} \delta_{1,c_j} + \delta_{2,c_i} \delta_{2,c_j}) \langle \nabla \varphi_{b_i} \mid \nabla \varphi_{b_j} \rangle \\
B_{ij} &= (\delta_{1,c_i} \delta_{1,c_j} + \delta_{2,c_i} \delta_{2,c_j}) \langle \varphi_{b_i} \mid V \varphi_{b_j} \rangle \\
C_i &= \kappa \delta_{1,c_i} \langle \varphi_{b_i} \mid u^3 + uv^2 \rangle + \kappa \delta_{2,c_i} \langle \varphi_{b_i} \mid v^3 + u^2 v \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

We rewrite the above equation as:

$$\mathbf{0} = M\dot{\mathbf{W}} + (\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{B})\mathbf{W} + \mathbf{C}$$

## 4 Time Stepping

In order to solve the monolithic system using Crank-Nicolson time stepping, we write:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{0} &= \frac{1}{h} \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{W}_{k+1} - \mathbf{W}_k) + \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{B})(\mathbf{W}_{k+1} + \mathbf{W}_k) + \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{C}_{k+1} + \mathbf{C}_k) \\ \mathbf{0} &= \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{W}_{k+1} - \mathbf{W}_k) + \frac{h}{2}(\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{B})(\mathbf{W}_{k+1} + \mathbf{W}_k) + \frac{h}{2}(\mathbf{C}_{k+1} + \mathbf{C}_k) \end{aligned}$$

Where  $h$  is the chosen time step. So, define:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{R}_k(\mathbf{W}) &= \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{W} - \mathbf{W}_k) + \frac{h}{2}(\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{B})(\mathbf{W} + \mathbf{W}_k) + \frac{h}{2}(\mathbf{C} + \mathbf{C}_k) \\ &= (\mathbf{M} + \frac{h}{2}(\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{B}))\mathbf{W} + \frac{h}{2}\mathbf{C} + (-\mathbf{M} + \frac{h}{2}(\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{B}))\mathbf{W}_k + \frac{h}{2}\mathbf{C}_k \end{aligned}$$

Recall that  $\mathbf{C}$  can be defined by  $\mathbf{W}$ . To step from time step  $k$  to  $k+1$ , we must solve  $\mathbf{R}_k(\mathbf{W}) = \mathbf{0}$ ; we do so using Newton iteration, i.e., we repeatedly solve:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{W}_k^{(\ell)}}[\mathbf{R}_k]\Delta\mathbf{W}_k^{(\ell)} &= -\mathbf{R}_k(\mathbf{W}_k^{(\ell)}) \\ \mathbf{W}_k^{(\ell+1)} &= \mathbf{W}_k^{(\ell)} + \alpha_k \Delta\mathbf{W}_k^{(\ell)} \end{aligned}$$

Where  $\mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{W}_k^{(\ell)}}[\mathbf{R}_k]$  is the Jacobian matrix of  $\mathbf{R}_k$  evaluated at  $\mathbf{W}_k^{(\ell)}$  and  $\alpha_k$  is a step size chosen by the Newton solver. Once the residual norm  $\|\mathbf{W}_k^{(\ell)}\|$  is sufficiently small, we take  $\mathbf{W}_{k+1} = \mathbf{W}_k^{(\ell)}$ .

So, we must compute  $\mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{W}_k^{(\ell)}}[\mathbf{R}_k]$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{J}[\mathbf{R}_k] &= \frac{\partial \mathbf{R}_k}{\partial \mathbf{W}} \\ &= \mathbf{M} + \frac{h}{2}(\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{B}) + \frac{h}{2} \frac{\partial \mathbf{C}}{\partial \mathbf{W}} \\ &= \mathbf{M} + \frac{h}{2}(\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{B}) + \frac{h}{2} \mathbf{J}[\mathbf{C}] \end{aligned}$$

The Jacobian of  $\mathbf{C}$  is more difficult to write, but  $\mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{W}_k^{(\ell)}}[\mathbf{C}]$  can be computed using automatic differentiation.

## 5 Version History

The target `monolithic` implements the above problem without matrices and using only automatic differentiation. `monolithic_arkode` is similar but uses the SUNDIALS ARKODE solver; the detail of the solution is extremely low. `monolithic_hs` uses the method described above, which avoids unnecessary differentiation, although the results are not identical to those produced by `monolithic`.