# Working Paper 148 MODELLING THE REGIONAL SYSTEM: THE

POPULATION COMPONENT

By

P.H. Rees

Paper to be presented at the Second Franco-British Geographical Conference, Lyons, France, July, 1976

School of Geography University of Leeds Leeds LS2 9JT

May, 1976

#### Contents

#### Abstract

- 1. Definition of terms
- 2. The theory of spatial demographic accounting
- 3. Demographic accounts for British regions
- 4. Rates from the accounts
- 5. A forecast for British regions using the Rogers' model
- 6. Comments on the forecasts and suggestions for improvement

#### References

### List of Figures

- 1. The standard regions of Great Britain
- 2. Aggregate population accounts for British regions, 1965-66 (one year)
- 3. Aggregate population accounts for British regions, 1970-71 (one year)
- 4. Inflow-outflow accounts for Scotland and Mast Anglia
- 5. The H or transition rates matrix for British regions, 1970-71
- 6. The G' or growth rates matrix for 1970-71 for British regions
- 7. The forecast populations of British regions ('000s)
- 8. Birth rates 1970 and 1976, and forecast population 1991 for British regions
- 9. Part of the accounts matrix for 2000-2001

#### Abstract

The paper presents a linked set of analyses that model the population component of the regional system. Aggregate population accounts are presented for British standard regions for 1965-66 and 1970-71. A transition rates matrix is derived from the 1970-71 accounts, transformed into a growth rates matrix and used in a model that forecasts the population of the regions to 2001. The drawbacks and the strengths of the forecast are discussed.

#### MODELLING THE REGIONAL SYSTEM: THE POPULATION COMPONENT

#### P.H. REES

#### 1. Definition of terms

The first part of the title of this paper, 'Modelling the regional system', immediately conjures up in the mind of the reader a vast array of possible topics and models ranging from land use-transportation models through to ecosystem models. The second part of the title is meant to deflate these expectations and to narrow the discussion to a concern with population, that is, population change, population accounting and population forecasting. The regional system which will be used to illustrate the discussion will be that of the standard regions of Britain.

The principal aim of the paper is to sketch out a linked set of analyses and models that describe and attempt to forecast population change in a set of regions. In order to accomplish this aim in the short space available the focus is narrowed to that of the aggregate population only and only a small subset of the many possible models are cutlined. The set of analyses described in the paper thus form a prototype for a more extensive investigation of the changing British population (Rees, 1974, 1975).

The structure of the paper is as follows. In the next section, the framework of spatial population accounting is briefly reviewed. Population accounts for British regions for 1965-66 and 1970-71 are then presented in section 3, and the information they provide about the direction and pace of change in regional populations analyzed. Absolute measures of change are converted into relative measures through the computation of rates of birth, death, migration, survival and so on in section 4. Also generated in that section is the matrix of transition rates associated with the accounts.

Change in this matrix from 1965-66 to 1970-71 is examined. Then the matrix of growth rates of the regional population system is calculated from the transition rates matrix and from other information in the accounts.

The G matrix for 1970-71 is then used (section 5) in a model, first developed by Rogers (1966) for forecasting regional population and interregional flows, and results for a preliminary run of this model to 2001 are presented. These results are compared with official forecasts, and modifications to the initial model suggested. Criticisms of this model leads to proposals for the use of the alternative accounts based model in which easy to incorporate recent trends in birth rates, death rates and migration rates if available. A number of conclusions are reached about the appropriate strategy for modelling the demographic component of the regional system in this final section (section 8).

## 2. The theory of spatial demographic accounting

Accounting methods were first applied to demographic problems by Stone (1965, 1971a, 1971b) in a spatially aggregate form that recognised just two 'regions': 'our country' and 'the outside world'. Demographic accounts were first expressed in a spatially explicit form in Rees (1972) and the underlying concepts explored in Rees and Wilson (1973), Wilson and Rees (1974), and Rees and Wilson (1975) with a full statement appearing in Rees and Wilson (1976). Alternative perspectives on demographic accounting are provided in Rees (1975b, 1975c). Here a very brief review is given and the reader is referred to the works cited for fuller details.

We can define a matrix  $\underline{K}$  with elements  $K^{\alpha(i)\omega(j)}$  to be a matrix of population flows over a period of time (measured by numbers of people involved) between a set of 'origin' states represented by the rows and 'destination' states represented by the columns. The superscript  $\alpha(i)$ 

attached to the K variable refer to 'origin' states, a being the initial 'life state' and i the initial region of a person. The superscripts w(j) refer to final 'life state' and final region. There are two initial life states, existence at the start of a period (represented by superscript a) and birth during the period (represented by superscript  $\beta$ ), and two final life states, death during the period (represented by superscript  $\delta$ ) and survival at the end of the period (represented by superscript  $\sigma$ ). The K matrix can be partitioned into four parts therefore recognizing the four life state to life state transitions that can take place

$$K = \begin{cases} \{K^{\epsilon(i)\sigma(j)}\} & \{K^{\epsilon(i)\delta(j)}\} \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} \{K^{\beta(i)\sigma(j)}\} & \{K^{\beta(i)\delta(j)}\} \end{cases}$$

$$(1)$$

where  $K^{\varepsilon(i)\sigma(j)}$  refers to persons who exist in region i at the start of the period and survive in region j at the end; where  $K^{\varepsilon(i)\delta(j)}$  are persons likewise starting in existence in a region i who end the period dying in region j; where  $K^{\beta(i)\sigma(j)}$  and  $K^{\beta(i)\delta(j)}$  are the corresponding flows for persons born in the period in region i.

The accounts matrix can be specified for any number of regions but must always include a residual, 'rest of the world', region to close the system of accounts. In the case of the British regional system we describe later in the paper, we specify accounts with 11 regions, 10 regions within Britain and the 11th referring to the rest of the world. The resulting accounts matrix looks like this

$$K_{\beta(1)\alpha(1)} = \begin{bmatrix} K_{\beta(1)\alpha(1)} & K_{\beta(1)\alpha(2)} & K_{\beta(1)\alpha(1)} & K_{\beta(1)\beta(1)} & K_{\beta(1)\beta(2)} & K_{\beta(1)\beta(1)} \\ K_{\beta(1)\alpha(1)} & K_{\beta(1)\alpha(2)} & K_{\beta(1)\alpha(1)} & K_{\beta(1)\beta(1)} & K_{\beta(1)\beta(1)} & K_{\beta(1)\beta(2)} & K_{\beta(1)\beta(1)} \\ K_{\beta(1)\alpha(1)} & K_{\beta(1)\alpha(2)} & K_{\beta(1)\alpha(1)} & K_{\beta(1)\beta(1)} & K_{\beta(1)\beta(1)} & K_{\beta(1)\beta(1)} & K_{\beta(1)\beta(1)} \\ K_{\beta(1)\alpha(1)} & K_{\beta(1)\alpha(2)} & K_{\beta(1)\alpha(1)} & K_{\beta(1)\beta(1)} & K_$$

Since interest is focused on regions 1 to 10, the terms  $K^{\epsilon(11)\sigma(11)}$ ,  $K^{\epsilon(11)\delta(11)}$ ,  $K^{\epsilon(11)\delta(11)}$  and  $K^{\epsilon(11)\delta(11)}$  involving rest-of-the-world to rest-of-the-world population flows can be omitted from the analysis.

The population numbers that replace the  $K^{\alpha(i)\omega(j)}$  is an empirical study are normally unavailable in direct form, and have to be estimated using a model (here referred to as 'accounts based model') involving what information is known, involving the row and column constraints, and a set of hypotheses about the rates at which migrants  $(K^{\epsilon(q)})$  flows where  $i \neq j$ ) and infant migrants  $(K^{\beta(i)\phi(j)})$  flows) die. In the matrix below (equation (3)) the 'known' terms are picked out and row and column constraints have been added.

The terms of equation (2) which in equation (3) are represented by a dash (-) are those which must be estimated by an accounts based model (see Rees and Wilson (1973) and (1976) for a full description of these). This model uses as input the initial populations of the regions,  $K^{\epsilon(i)}(*)$ , with the asterisk representing summation over the superscript in the same position, to which the elements in the top half of the accounts matrix sum row-wise; the birth totals in the regions,  $K^{\delta(i)}(*)$ , to which the elements in the bottom half of the matrix sum row-wise; the death totals,  $K^{*}(*)^{\delta(i)}$ , to which elements in the right-hand half of the matrix sum column-wise; and the 'exist-survive' migrants,  $K^{\epsilon(i)}(*)$ ,  $i \neq j$ , who occupy the off diagonal positions in the top-left quadrant of the K matrix. The totals of columns in the left-hand half of K are the final populations in the period, the  $K^{*}(*)^{\sigma(i)}$ , which are a product of the model, although they may be used in some variants of the accounts based model.

In this brief survey of the theory underlying spatial demographic accounting, disaggregation of the population by age and sex (or by any other classification) is neglected. Readers are referred to Wilson and Rees (1974) and Rees and Wilson (1976) for full treatment. The neglect is continued in the applications that follow in order to highlight the regional or spatial issues involved, as opposed to those involving age and sex which are well treated elsewhere (Pressat, 1972; Keyfits, 1968).

#### 3. Demographic accounts for British regions

Figure 1 shows the boundaries of the regions for which accounts are constructed. These boundaries have changed twice since the 1961 census and the map shows those existing at the 1966 and 1971 census dates, together with the revised boundaries now current as a result of local government reorganization. Together the 10 regions make up 'Great Britain'.

Figure 1 The standard regions of Great Britain



Addition of Northern Ireland to the list would have converted the regional system into one for the United Kingdom (of Great Britain and Northern Ireland), but figures for emigration from Northern Ireland to the other British regions are unavailable. Northern Ireland was therefore placed in the rest-of-the-world region.

Figure 2 shows the set of accounts prepared for the one year period 1965-66 (April 23/24 to April 23/24) prior to the date of the Sample This period was chosen as it was the one for which migrant Census 1966. information was available. This is displayed in the top left-hand quadrant (off-diagonal elements). The numbers given in the census migration tables have been corrected for underenumeration (see Smith and Rees, 1974; and Illingworth, 1975a, 1975b, for details of method). Emigrants to the restof-the-world are estimated by multiplying the total of immigrant figures for all regions (given in the census migration tables) by the ratio of emigrants to immigrants for 1965-66 (1.3516) given in the International Passenger Survey statistics (General Register Office, 1966) and then disaggregating this total by the regional shares revealed in a later survey for 1971. One might note, in passing, that the migration figures given by the retrospective census tables and by this method are about 50 per cent greater in magnitude than those recorded by the 'current' measuring devices (International Passenger Survey, Commonwealth Immigrant Act Statistics).

The initial populations of the regions (3307961...5206304) are estimated by backwards extrapolation from the mid-year estimate for 1965. The births and deaths totals are made up of appropriate shares of the births and deaths of 1965 and 1966 calendar years (see Rees and Wilson, 1976, Chapter 3 for methods). Infant migrants (children under 1 year of age migrating

							ı																						8.
		3307951	4707550	0928639	3266939	4957116	1554323	16932353	3578815	6021692	5206304	342451		53254171			58459	84921	122231	\$9806	94767	36305	303428	61723	45775	99209	5942	962652	5,216323
	25.25 25.23 20.25 20.25	55042	F6:567	83475	35565	51688	17709	198359	46005	35093	66127	2031	•	531562			X	8	763	325	493	\$	1781	39	238	631	11	5709	637271
	p= 1-4 y= 5/3	5	167	(A)	127	164	151	1118	224	19	313	Ö		7275			4.	+	-	-	-	-	in	-	۰		0	2	2769
	çı va	27.2	CN PA	25	Ē	18	93	36	50		65498	139		65878			o	Ö	O	0	. 0	9	0	ο,	0	629	gu.	63.	66599
	ca.s-	10	7	35	6	異	8	35	35	34815	ap	2		35109			e,	63	0	0	0	0	o	0	298	0	٥	80	35405
	es di	<u></u>	R	42	K	19/3	£4 £4	343	45346	10	22	163		46129			ę		0	Ø	0	0	173	393	Ö	O	;	363	46327
	F- 63	8	8	128	95	126	103	196043	£3	-	117	40		193124			Ç,	0	~	ø	-	0	1772	**	0	-	ø.	1765	195591
1965-66	vo 12	S.	16	12/4	25	5	17341	17	11	4	6	8		17720			. 0	0	0	O	0	143	**	0	0	0.	-	151	17870
7. 2h In	m.3	ន	2	**	17	\$1089	12	103	28	33	87	53		\$1563	-		9	O	0	0	163	6	0	¢	0	9	<b>=</b>	492	52054
	. → T.	8	ŗ.	is.	35102	×	83	108	či	F.	25	2		35552			G.	0	0	334	÷	0	ø	c	o	0	1 +	325	35877
A Company	mŽ.	R,	6	82732	*5	69	NP PT	85	23	33	4	153	ggagangurer saradi	85361	-		O	0	761	Ö	0	0	gn.	0	o	0	ingkreumskreum er skriumer gem	763	52123
	N IS	2	55502	10	2	R	-	83	64 e3	7	\$	137		56416			9	203	0	0	0	0	0	O.	Q	0	-	\$0%	55,53
	er Its	\$6538	€9	×	2	96	0	72	£-	17	in.	22		X3954			344	0	¢	0	0	0	0	c	0	9	-	345	39300
-	Sub Fotals	3.65915	4651392	661.2835	\$231276	4315429	1536514	:573-594	3532609	2555115	5142175	Notor		2622014	-		28:14	2 2 2 2 2	121453	59481	94274	26245	301547	61331	45477	99578	5925	956943	53585450
1	= 13	\$2.545	27624	44797	23243	31307	26319	: 69199	34449	9315	48865	o		450085			122	249	60\$	212	500	223	1635	5	52	466	0	4151	45037
	ភ្នំ ទ	4170	3419	X435	2594	2809	1268	1,4978	2330	1795	5037917	21662		5037087		<del></del>	K	5	32	22	27	-	73,	52	22	97603	317	7:136	5195404
	aste	g '	£ .	3411	1400	5775	781	283	4939	2610230	1187   50	7332		75 Tret-592	-		<b>:</b> -	22	F	ent.	23	<b> -</b>	102	S2	\$20%	gare gare	\$29	4555	2700402 5
!	u ii	2673	45.55	6513	377.4	11175	3737	53740	3424762	5405 26	7478	25302		3543230 (26	-	1	155	Ş	R	K	107	8	481	60,406	\$	R	451	pc/19	56934
1965	7	15412	E .	23.22	15654	21363	175%	16347869	42201 X4	12058	19785	175073		16700049 35			113	138	138	145	204	8	298362	347	102	185	Ž	25.65	17502367
	w f.	1633	2873	2502	4231	2424	1477675	30121 116	2532	310	1633	17.17b		1355581 16	-	naro de <del>Silverso</del>	4	25	Ñ	39	23	25715	270	18	10	9	293	26450	126577
Control of Cal.	5	2468	6.3	7513	622a	1652167	2322 14	157.05	7353	6283	87.50	2359		4903145 1		· ·	£3.	22	22	36	93292	8	177	*C3	12	10	410	74301	2937446
	- 3	35.97	13057	2559	3151590	9318 43	\$535	19264	3874	1973	1914	1,5742		3253654 49			R	11.0	ス	15185	55	24	176	120	11	9	239	80965	
-	mã.	6113	1073	645040	5479 31	987.8	2180	20547	55.5	5192	6734	25263		65920.13 32	-		\$1	26	\$20374	2	25	19	184	R	3	79	0 4	121453	6714327 259352
	e H	10024	4595239	10815 64	12499	4561	2370	15435	4036	1927	4156	176%		4541758 65			68	83558		41.4	E.	25	136	35	70	5	Ñ	84472	4726237
i	- 79	3195207	10756 459	1509	2750	3247	1521	12018	2789	1187	5497	13052		525,4210 46	-	namenta esta emaglecia.	57453	98		25	ñ	10	503	72	9	SZ.	722	58107	312317 4
1		1. Earth	2. Totheline & Liberalds	S. Forth Past	4. East 11dlands	o. 5. Wet Milanda	न ६, उत्तर ग्रह्मक	Sent States Sent	S. Coult Mank	9. [3]cs	10. Cotland	it, "st of		Statelle St			The second special second seco	2. N. Satisfied &	3. Each Wat	A. T. t. 1883 48	S 5, Tree Utilizada	5 6. E.ut Anglis	of 7. Cruth East	A G. Conti Prat	9. Walea	10 Scotlens	11. Lak of the	S 12 Cd 12 Cd	3172

Instruction ropulation accounts for Pritish regions, 1965-66 (one year) Figure

between regions - the  $K^{\beta(i)\sigma(j)}$  terms) are estimated by applying the migration rates of the 'exist-survive' migrants to the regional birth totals with a division by 2 to reflect the shorter life span in the period of these infants. From these inputs the accounts matrix of Figure 2 was generated with sets of sub-totals and totals added using the SDAT computer programme (Rees and Wilson, 1974).

Exactly parallel accounts for British regions for the one year period 1970-71 prior to the 1971 census date (April 23/24, 1971) are shown in Figure 3. The known data input to the accounts based model is the same as that for 1965-66 except that the initial populations were interpolated between the June 30, 1969 and June 30, 1970 estimates by the Registrar General.

These two sets of demographic accounts present comprehensive pictures of population change among British regions 5 years ago and 10 years ago respectively. The system appears to have shifted relatively little in the five years between 1965\*66 and 1970-71 in structure with the latter containing larger population flows than the former. The gross totals of all population flows involved in the regional system are 54.217 millions in 1965-66 and 55.448 millions in 1970-71. We will look in the next section at the detailed differences in growth regime by calculating the demographic rates involved.

To get a picture of the gross changes taking place in the regional system we can summarize the two sets of accounts in more conventional form in terms of input flows and output flows. However, these tables differ from most conventional tables in having explicitly dealt with all possible flows into and out of the system - because they are derived from sets of Figure 4 shows these inflow-outflow-accounts accounts. For two regions - the first, Scotland, showing slight population decline, and the second, East Anglia, showing substantial population increase.

	w maranage-ea		oddin er r				-		nama		-				_			- دهري .	e ga aglassa		negation	grant res		-	-			-	yes wellsamper	 	a.u.
	N. W.		357240	4011646	6785282	3750266	5171999	#K50191	7,511740	3757678	2732200	5212750	367795			54558750		i	3,000	81995	11409T	N. Service	30104	38538	Trotz	STORE	90267	18224	673 CT 2	653940	55447 30
	To take		58714	55470	85710	36642	52622	18763	159923	45706	35906	61318	2163			519567			90	282	705	Ş	459	146	1487	25	500	28	Đ.	2052	524519
	<u>دي</u>		147	₩N WS #~	274	64	**	*	1059	83	- T	34.0	0			2718	Achillege		ę.	gn	-	An	=	4-	4	*	0	Į÷	0	12	82.53
	ger .		82	E4	K	60	2	10	405	8	9	60.633	90		,	90809	negorium		٠.	3	¢	Q	0	O	Ö	G I	G.	\$15	Qn.	515	5.592
	Apr (18	rie underfüßgligt	43.	2	43	£7	Q.	ţ-m	192	R	33607	200	WAY WAY	inusednose influ	njespan bis	33930	,	una (rea	Ö.	0	C	Ó	6	o	0	9	2	9	0	328	34190
	n) 15		24	**	8	74	E	50	609	45000	\$	33	10	integritaser s. de de		45920			0	i ci	0	a	C3	0	Ç16	R	¢	Q	64	23	46273
	2.3	4. <del>- 1</del>	**	119	#**	306	142	Ĉ.	197503	E.	72	£ 50	9601			189798			c	-	die.	GI.	g-4	6	1.176	ge-	0	*-	9	16-3	191291
Death in 1972-77	<b>3</b>		ru'	ಪ	<u>\$</u>	R	£-1	17952	95.	g <sub>t</sub>	[***	4.	52	V		164,70			2	0	G	a	٥	145	60	٥	0	a	<u></u>	7.47	18577
Seath fr	٧ğ		. gr	ĸ	2	۵.	52000	20°	110	\$	83	ä	## 69			52487			O,	Ģ	o	0	154	0	O	9	Ø	0	An-	456	72947
	2		প্ত	54	R	£ 3	69	82	145	83	the state of the s	87	5.			16536			a	e	O O	8	0	0	4-	Ф	0	¢	-	310	400
	m R		\$	16	82920	9	5	2	143	R	2	\$	5,			83593			6	0	602	9	0	(3)	Åo.	a	0	9	grin	202	64200
	es 🛱		农	55831	8	<u> </u>	×	5	8,	\$N	ạ	8	116		- Calle Control	\$5,53			O,	083	- 0	Q	9	o í	0	Q.	9,	a	+-	13	0(.89%
A	av 104		3427t	E.	P.	25	ũ	Į.	٤	(from	45	×	20		proposite to	B\$584		566	Ŕ	0	o	0	0	Ф	٠٥	e,	Q	٥	÷	Š.	38954
was their	A state		9003120	2755176	5701572	33235.44	5119377	1652179	121811	072777	2698294	5151442	385210			1339193			52757	81513	113392	\$646	33645	26413	\$56330	57219	42936	STTO4	9519	0837.88	9e22961
	-2		\$2.75	- See 1.2	43952	2356	Z TBTT	26194	6326	35650	10185	57612	0			475275		• "	500		£	32.	243	1722	1530	27.2	4	<b>3</b>	Ø	3873	4/91/7
	Çи		4970	3470	2000	3,00	3340	1650	17010	0199	1650	5053430	28150			S107730		-	3	2 8	4	*8	80	WOLDS:	2	PS.	SF's.	86708	494	87571	5:35503
	0-120		1230	2570	10570	2330	9,	1173	2025	\$73	2647059	-	1789					<del></del>	Ş	: 81	50	8	8	ę,	<i>*</i> *	18	A2533	*	25	43035	
	ස දිරි	4.11430-484	2670	5610	0,50	9999	12590	88	66570	3539220	7000		29390			3759030 2698399	·		ä	\$ \$	12	*	0,	Я	R	56279	is.	\$	515	57764	5796794
10 mm	la M		15040	21580	23210	29310	25630	20460	16697312	49030	13160	23790	157220	en-Opininia	•	17111742		-	9	3	, 55,5	19	23	163	265.076	376	4	5	88 X	219022	730:054
KÅ KÅ	W Si	,	23.80	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	2530	0,003	3545	15842-7	35410 18	3390	1260	2010	20280			1565207 1			P	: K	R	8	16	25075	2112	*\$	2	9	×	26776	1591023
Survivel at	w.S.		3790	5970	97.50	9510	5009250	25.00	21450	0898	8,95	\$670	25145			5104420			5	3 %	2	5	20,00	R	4	**	0	R	24	69673	5194093
	~ N	٠.	22	13190	. 02.	3229569	12503 5	C677	26390	4230	24.20	6810	16650			3324399 5			8	7 2	1 '	59067	110	ж	900	R	2	2	85	\$6538	3380997
	m iii		7570	13390	6567400	88	9730	1970	273.0	0987	9	7220	273,30	<b></b>	•	66733000 3			\$	en en	112261	2	50	*	173	k	Ŗ	5	479	113367	1911619
	和門		9826	4644500	10650	12170	2400	2510	16570	7,000	20.00	4610	15630	passent 1947	4	o crista	107100	est <b>ur prii</b> t		69508	8	. P	¥	R	20	R	***	Ş	¥	81467	re19697
	-=		3241941	12730 4		0027	3550	1150	12070	25.5	14.50	956	14570	fizika en ilge et bizari		\$ 1207020		p-maj-ta-140	9	Ž &	3	; A	ñ	Ø1	z	R	den An-	Ģ	35	52823	33c344 (813637 673717 3386997 5194093 1691025 173C1654 5796794 2141434
	7		. Borth	Torkeldre &	Party March	i, East 1262 ands	i. Vest Milmads	5. East ingits	7. South Past	Sculle Beat	J. Valles	J. Scotling	I. Zeat of the world		**				1	2. Technisa &	Machine (de	4. East Midlands	5. Yest McCards	6. East Anglia	7. South Dast	&, Squit Vost	9. Vales	O. Sortherd	1. Best of the verild	system qu	27.4.7.0

Aggregate population accounts for British regions, 970-71 (one year) The second second

Figure 4 Inflow-Outflow Accounts for Scotland and East Anglia

SCOTLAND Item	Inflow	1965-66 Outflow	Net flow	Inflow	1970-71 Outflew	Not flew
Initial population	5206305			5212760		
Migrants E-S B-S E-D B-D Total	59170 714 380 1 60265	102261 975 629 2 103867	-43091 -261 -249 -1 -43602	73900 863 440 1 75204	117612 996 685 2 119265	-43712 -133 -412 -1 -44258
Births	99209			86224		
Deaths		66508			61592	
Natural increase			+32701			+26632
Final population		5195404			5195301	
Totals	5365779	5365779		5376188	5376188	
Total change			-10901			-17626

EAST ANGLIA	Inflow	1965-66 Outflew	Net flow	Inflew	1970-71 Outflew	Net flow
Initial population	1554323	. 1949 		1670534		
Migrants E-S B-S E-D B-D Total	65986 739 379 2 67106	62919 535 368 1 63823	+3067 +204 +11 +1 +3283	80990 843 448 2 82283	67954 540 381 1 68876	+13036 +303 +67 +1 +13407
Births	26396			26559		
Deaths		17871			18577	
Natural increase			+8525			+7982
Final population		1566131			1691923	
Totals	1647825	1647825		1779376	1779376	1.
Total change			+11808			+21389

Scotland's regime of population change is roughly the same in both years with a falling natural increase failing to offset fairly constant net-out-migration resulting in a rise in the size of population decline. In East Anglia, natural increase has also fallen somewhat but has been counterbalanced by an increase in net immigration. By looking back at the accounts table (Figure 3) one can see that a substantial portion of the 44,000 net migrant loss in 1970-71 in Scotland was to the rest-of-the-world (29,500). Hence the importance of including in the analysis a rest-of-the-world region. This is essential if an accounting framework is adopted.

Much more could be said about the pattern of population flows revealed in Figures 2 and 3 but since the main aim of the paper is to outline the prototype modelling system this analysis is omitted here.

#### 4. Rates from the accounts

Using the demographic accounts we can define a variety of rates which form the input to historical analyses of trends and forecasting analyses of future numbers. The different birth and death rates which can be defined utilize the same numerators (total births, total deaths) but employ populations at risk appropriate to the forecasting model adopted.

The conventional population at risk of giving birth or of dying is usually taken to be the mid-period population or the average of the initial and final populations of the region in question. The second version of the conventional at risk population can be calculated from the accounts. A more precise alternative which only population accounts make possible is the multi-regional population at risk (see Rees and Wilson, 1973 and 1976), although there is relatively little difference between the two. A third alternative, the initial population of the region, can be rather different.

The variation in vital-rates amongst the regions is relatively small.

Versions of these rates, or rather their age-sex disaggregated equivalents, are used in cohort survival models.

An alternative rate is the transition rate formed by dividing the row element in the accounts by the appropriate row total. This kind of rate has to be used when migration is considered, and forms part of the raw material for the growth rates matrix developed by Rogers (1966, 1971, 1975) which will be utilized in section 5 of the paper. The transition rates or H matrix for 1970-71 is displayed in Figure 5.

The elements in any row of this matrix show how persons originating in that row are distributed in the ensuing year. In the case of Scotland and East Anglia illustrated earlier the chances of surviving within the region are 0.96567 and 0.94833, of migrating to and surviving in the South East are 0.00456 and 0.01225 respectively; of migrating to and surviving in the rest-of-the-world are 0.01105 and 0.01688 respectively and so on.

Now, the H matrix of transition rates can be used in a population change model directly as long as a birth sub-model is added that utilizes one of the sets of the vital rates referred to earlier (see Rees and King, 1970). However, Rogers (1966, 1971, 1975) has used them in a different form in a simpler matrix multiplication model. The G matrix of growth rates involved in that model is calculated as follows from the H and K matrices. The transition rates in the existence-survival quadrant (top-left) of the H matrix are retained and to each element is added birth-and-transition rates formed by dividing each element in the birth-survival quadrant (bottom-left) of the K matrix by the corresponding initial rates population total. The resulting matrix of growth/for 1970-71 is shown in Figure 6. Normally this matrix is transposed before use and this is what is termed the G matrix. These rates are rates of transition and

				2 2 2 2 2 2 2 8 8 8	
-				1.0000.1 00000.1 00000.1 00000.1 00000.1 00000.1 00000.1	.'
	Sub Substa				
	E 11	0,437 0,437 0,423 0,623 0,623 0,624 0,628 0,624 0,626 0,626 0,626 0,626 0,626 0,626 0,626 0,626 0,626 0,626 0,626 0,626 0,626 0,626 0,626 0,626 0,626 0,627 0,		.04193 .04122 .0539 .04176 .04171 .05177 .05173	
	20	25 6.2 6.43 6.43 6.43 6.43 6.43 6.43 6.44 6.44		0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0	
	on i=	.0523 .0°33; .0°34; .0°47 .0°542 .0°542 .0°542 .0°230		0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0	
	e ii	15 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25		6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	
The state of the s	~01	0.4247 0.4247 0.4257 0.4255 0.4267 0.4267 0.4267 0.4267		.0 .0 <sup>1</sup> 122 .0 .0 <sup>4</sup> 173 .0 <sup>4</sup> 173	
1970-31	wa II	5550. 5250. 5250. 50530. 501076. 50731. 50731.		0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0	
	5	25 54 5.25 5.45 5.45 5.45 5.45 5.45 5.45		0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	
	12	20,552 .01,129 .01,552 .01,075 .01,075 .02,159 .05,64 .05,64 .05,64 .05,64		54.5	
	mia	21 po 127 po 2 po 127 po 2 po 127 po 2 po	-	25 75 8	
	cv Pi	204165 201106 201107		. 595	
William American Str., page 140	-h	55 50 55 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 5	,	257.5	
	Sub				
	=1	69200 69200 69200 69200 69200 60200 71110 60200		.co377 .co274 .co374 .co374 .co343 .co343 .co472 .co472	
	5 8	. 00145 . 00072 . 00072 . 00000 . 00000 . 00000 . 00050		6. 04 <sup>7</sup> 0.  6. 04 <sup>7</sup> 0.  7. 120.00.  7. 120.00.  7. 120.00.  7. 120.00.  7. 120.00.  7. 120.00.  7. 120.00.	
		0. 5500. 0. 5500. 0. 6500. 0. 6500. 0. 6500. 0. 6500. 0. 6500. 0. 6500. 0. 5500. 0. 5500. 0. 5500.		# F	
	0.50	10 Pm 10 Pm 10 Pm 10 Pm 10 Pm 10		200000000	
4.5	on U			24 -0.0059 25 -0.0059 26 -0.0059 27 -0.015 27 -0.015 28 -0.015 29 -0.015 29 -0.015 29 -0.015 29 -0.015 20	
1251	r- 63	55 - 00245 44 - 00243 44 - 00243 59 - 0025 59 - 0025 59 - 0025 59 - 0025 50 - 0025 70 - 0025 71 - 0025 72 - 0025 73 - 0025 74 - 0025 75 - 0025 75 - 0025 76 - 0025 77			
Servival at	160	53.000. 140.030. 140.0304. 140.0304. 150.0304. 160.0305. 170.0			
Ä	n II	25 - 00113 76 - 00113 77 - 00113 78 - 00104 79 - 00105 70 - 00105 70 - 00105 71 - 0		75 .00057 77 .00052 70 .00072 71 .00143 71 .00104 71 .00104 72 .00072 73 .00042 74 .00104 75 .00044	
	→ 7.5	20100. 20100.			
A made to a second	mä	2000. 2000. 2000. 2000. 2000. 2000. 2000. 2000. 2000. 2000.		6(100. 6(100. (6(10	
	64 141	77500. 28226. 20100. 20100. 20100. 20100. 20000. 20000. 20000. 20000.		1,558. 1,558. 1,558. 1,500. 1,500. 1,500. 1,500. 1,500. 1,500. 1,500. 1,500. 1,500. 1,500.	
	ne M	3356; 3350; 52100; 52100; 62000; 67000; 67000; 75000; 12,50		67594.	
Mes	Indet:	1. Borth 2. Torvettre & Eurhornice 3. Borth Wort 4. Best Widners 5. West Midnada 6. East Anglis 7. Sauth East 8. South West 9. Wales 10. Southerd 11. Rest of the world	41	1. Borth 2. Torkchire & Bulkeraide 3. Borth West 4. East McStands 5. South West 5. Youth West 6. Past Anglisa 6. Post McStands 7. South West	Sub totals

STATE OF STA

The H or transition rates metrix for Pait is regions, 1970-71 Figure 5

102 .0520 anana .0527.220

The G' - 2 37th rates materix for 1970-71 Britis! regrous Figure o

														Annual Control		r (Paradria) ha ha marin a Bara dan generalisti di munimi manayani ana	marketin sy na market	15.
		11 200		00759		.00584	.00653	· £9200°	-00544	.01701	.01125	15600	9/500	.01115	.02072			
		5 a		-00147		.00073	77000-	-00093	-00065	.00100	.00103	.00129	.00061	-98231	.050			
D. Santag	Approximately limited shares for the supplemental states of the supplementa	0/30		-00037		.00054	.00154	02000	.00124	.00071	02000	.00127	-98441	.00053	.0525		-	
	e de	60 Mg		.00116		.00118	.00133	.00200	.00246	.00230	.00388	-97015	.00258	.00103	0583	1		
	. 1971	Z SE		.00452		.00452	.00434		121	2 0000			_					
	l at C.D.	9 🛱		99000		16000	.00044	.00182	•000059	.96382	•00200	16000	-00047	.00040	20.			
	Survi.	w j		.00114		.00125	.00145	•00288	.98568	.00153	.00125	.00233	.00208	06000-	.0566			
1		4-8		-00109	j.	.00276	-00094	.97767	.00246	-00271	-ò0154	.00113	-00207	-00095	.0 <sup>5</sup> 55			
		W	4	.00221		•00279	.98443	.00159	.00190	.00120	.00133	.00130	-00236	.00140	.0578			
		2 E	•	.00279		.98201	.00158	-00365	.00105	.00151	96000	.00123	-00075	-00093	.0556			
i		-×		<u>.98119</u>		.00268	.00101		69000*				-00053	-00107	-0542			
	Final	mitial State		1. North	2. Yorkshire &		S. North West			6. East Anglia	7. South East	8. South West	9. Wales	10. Scotland	11. Rest of the world		Sub totels	- Contain and Company of the Contain and Contain an

survival plus birth, transition and survival between the regions. Thus, the g<sup>55</sup> rate for the West Midlands, with a value of 0.98568 is made up of a transition rate 0.96853 from Figure 6 and a birth and survival rate of 0.01715. Whereas in the H matrix all rates must be equal or less than one, in the G matrix rates may exceed one although in Figure 6 this is true only for the rest-of-the-world\*.

The G matrix represents the operator that transforms an initial distribution of population amongst a set of regions into a final one. The off-diagonal elements spread out migrants and infant migrants from origin regions to destination regions and gather. in migrants and infant migrants to destination regions from origin regions. The diagonal elements deal with the propensity of persons to stay in initial regions, survive there and to reproduce.

# 5. A forecast for British regions using the Rogers' model

The model of population change developed by Rogers (1966, 1968, 1971) 1975) can be stated as follows

$$\underline{\mathbf{w}}(\mathbf{t}+\mathbf{l}) = \underline{\mathbf{G}} \ \underline{\mathbf{w}}(\mathbf{t}) \tag{4}$$

where w is a column vector of populations, G is a matrix of growth rates, t is the start of the period and t+l is end of the period of one unit in length. The G matrix can be applied successively thus

<sup>\*</sup>It is convenient to close the system when using the <u>G</u> matrix. To do this estimates were made of the population of the rest-of-the-world, births and deaths in the rest-of-the-world and adjusted estimation equations applied to yield the following estimates:  $K^{\xi(11)\sigma(11)} = 3,513,090,583; K^{\xi(11)\delta(11)} = 49,499,685; K^{\xi(11)*(*)} = 3,563,362,873; K^{\beta(11)\sigma(11)} = 124,104,583; K^{\beta(11)\delta(11)} = 874,904; K^{\beta(11)*(*)} = 124,986,244.$ 

$$\underline{\mathbf{w}}(t+2) = \underline{\mathbf{G}} \ \underline{\mathbf{w}}(t+1) \tag{5}$$

$$\underline{\mathbf{w}}(t+3) = \underline{\mathbf{G}} \underline{\mathbf{w}}(t+2) \tag{6}$$

$$\underline{\mathbf{w}}(\mathsf{t+n}) = \underline{\mathbf{G}} \ \underline{\mathbf{w}}(\mathsf{t+n-1}) \tag{7}$$

or in other words the vector of populations after  $\theta$  periods will be

$$\underline{w}(t+\theta) = \underline{\theta}^{\theta} \underline{w}(t) \tag{8}$$

where  $\underline{G}$  is raised to the power  $\theta$ . This model makes the assumption that the system continues to be characterized by the same  $\underline{G}$  growth matrix, and this assumption can be relaxed by adopting a time series of  $\underline{G}$  matrices (if available) and using instead the equation

$$\underline{\underline{w}}(t+\theta) = \prod_{\lambda=1}^{\theta} \underline{\underline{G}}_{\lambda} \underline{\underline{w}}(t)$$
 (9)

where  $\lambda$  is an index running from 1 to  $\theta$  indicating which time period the  $\underline{G}$  refers.

We can illustrate the operation of equation (4) of the model to the British population system. We adopt census date 1971 as the initial time t and assume that the G matrix for 1970-71 holds for 1971-72:

This particular forecasting model was run forward from census date 1971 to census date in 2001. The resulting population vectors for every fifth year (unfortunately not all of them will have a census taken in them) are displayed in Figure 7, along with the population breakdown within Great Britain in percentage form.

The redistribution of population seen in the 1960's towards the South East, East Anglia, the South West and the East Midlands (Eversley, 1971; Department of the Environment, 1971) continues under this scenario. The West Midlands gains marginally and the other regions continue to lose their share of the national population cake, particularly Scotland.

Gains are made in absolute numbers in all regions by 2001 although Scotland declines to 1988 before recovering. The South East makes particularly large population gains - nearly 4 millions in the 30 years to the turn of the century. The reason within the model for this sequence of events is the operation of the growth rates associated with the rest-of-the-world. This 'region' grows massively in population through natural increase and this is transmitted to the other regions in the system through the set of constant rates associated with the 11th region. In other words, the population scenario depicted by the model is one of immigration continuing at present rates and increasing in absolute numbers substantially as population builds up in the rest-of-the-world. This flow and the question of future immigrant numbers are the subject of much debate today.

# 6. Comments on the forecasts and suggestions for improvement

What comments can we make about this prototype model derived that will enable us to improve our forecasts?

Firstly, we may note that there is probably a case for revising the <u>K</u> accounts matrix for 1970-71. The reader may have noticed the discrepancy between the end of period accounts populations (Figure 3) and the census 1971

POPULATION	*1971	1976	1981	1986	1991.	1996	2007
1. North	3298.2	3320.3	3352.6	3395.8	3450.9	2510 11	1070
2. Yorkshire and Humberside	1,807.2	4822.8	4855.3	hans h	1,071	**********	Connection
3. North West	6759.4	6778.2	2 8 8 9	7 1883	44.44.0	7.5000	5173-3
4. East Midlands	3388.9	3518.3	2650	0.1000	4.6060	9.5.00	7226.5
5. West Midlands	5115.8	10 PE CA	4.200	3793.2	3943.1	1.4014	1278.T
6. East Anglia	1661.7	8 87.1	7,000,0	5512.2	5674.8	5856.0	6058.2
	8 7007 1	0.00 H	1075.4	1.4861	2097.2	2216.9	2345.3
	Ti250.0	17633.2	18126.8	18722.5	19456.6	20247.0	21192.7
	3761.4	3952.6	4145.4	4343.6	4551.3	4772.1	5009.8
	2725.4	2773.7	2827.7	2888.4	2956.5	3033.1	3119.3
	5223.7	5145.5	6.4605	5072.2	5077.7	5112.2	5176.6
1. Rest-of-the-world	3363362.9	3950647.1	4379803.6	4855364.0	5382349.9	5966326.7	6613/61 5
PERCENTAGES							
North	,	•					
	77.0	ħ0°9	5.97	5.91	5.84	5.77	5.70
	8.91	8.78	8.65	8.53	8.41	8.30	0
94	12.52	12.34	12.15	11.97	11.79	11.61	(14:11
	6.28	6.40	6.51	6.60	6.67	6.73	44.7
. West Midlands	9.78	9.53	9.56	9.59	09.60	0,60	02
. East Anglia	3.08	3.22	3.34	3.45	J. 5.7.	3,63	C. C
. South East	31.93	32.09	32,30	32.56	32.86	33 10	า ถ
3. South West	6.97	7.19	7.30	r. r.	2 20	V-1-1-1-1	20.04
7. Wales	5.05	505	10 L	50.4	2 6	20.1	£5°L
). Scotland	89 0	700		2000	8.0	4.97	76°7
TOTAL	00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	7.30	7.08	8.82	8.59	8.38	8.19
	TOO - OO	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00

The forecast populations of British regions ('000s) Figure 7

\*Base population

figures given in Figure 8. The mid-year estimates just prior to the census proved to be overestimates. The accounts matrix could be adjusted by inserting the census 1971 population figures and by recalculating the  $K^{\epsilon(i)\sigma(i)}$  and  $K^{\epsilon(i)*(*)}$  terms holding the others constant. New  $\underline{H}$  and  $\underline{G}$  matrices would then be generated with slightly higher migration and slightly lower 'staying' rates, and a new forecast made.

Secondly, we should undoubtedly move from the constant <u>G</u> assumption of equations (4) to (7) to the variable <u>G</u> framework of equation (9).

This is necessary because we already know that birth rates, for example, have continued to fall considerably in the 1970-76 period, and migration rates may have also shifted a little. Figure 8 shows estimates of the regional birth rates in 1970 and 1976, and compares this forecast with two official forecasts prepared on a 1969 and a 1973 base respectively. The lower birth rate levels of 1972-73 creassumed to continue in part in the rest of the century in the 1973 forecast and this is reflected in the lower total projected for the total population of Great Britain compared with either the 1969 forecast or the one outlined in this paper. It is probably possible to adjust the <u>G</u> matrix to reflect the trend in falling birth rates and the possible alteration in the pattern of migration picked up in the 1973 based forecast, though there is the problem of comparing differently defined regions (see Figure 1).

The third comment that should be made concerns the status of populations flows from and to the rest-of-the-world. In the section 5 model these were modelled endogeneously. It might be more realistic to assume instead that the flows, being subject to legal restrictions, quotas and so on, behaved as exogeneous variables to be specified at more constant levels than those generated in the section 5 model. The growth model of equation (4) would then become, for the British regional system, either

Figure 8 Birth Rates 1970 and 1976, and Ferecast Psyulation 1991 for British Regions

Re	gion	Birth Rate 1970 (per 1000)	Birth <sup>1</sup> Rate 1976 (per 1000)	1991 Pepu 1969 <sup>2</sup> Based Ferecast %	lations (sho 1970-71 <sup>3</sup> Based Forecast %	1273 <sup>4</sup> Based Ferecast
1	North	15.9	11.1	549	5.8	545
2	Yerkshire & Humberside	17.0	11.4	8.5	8.4	8.5
3	North West	16.7	11.6	12.3	11.8	11.5
4	East Midlands	16.6	11.8	6.8	6.7	7.4
5	West Midlands	17.5	11.8	9-7	9.6	9.3
6	East Anglia	15.6	.11.8	3.5	3.6	4.0
7	South East	15.5	11.2	32.0	32.9	30.8
8	South West	15.1	10.9	7.3	7.7	8.4 ;
9	Wales	15.5	11.5	4.9	5.0	5.1
10	Scetland	16.8	11.6	9.2	8.6	9.3
	Total, Great % Britain '000s			100.0 60463	100.0 59122	100.0 56348

#### Notes

- 1 Estimated from Weekly Returns to 19th March 1976, O.P.C.S. Meniter VS 76/11.
- 2 Source: Table 3.2 in Department of the Environment (1971).
- 3 Source: Figure 8.
- 4 Source: O.P.C.S. (1974) and O.P.C.S. (1975). These ferecasts are for the Standard Regions after Lecal Government Reorganization.

$$\frac{\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{t}+\mathbf{l})}{\mathbf{l}\mathbf{l}\mathbf{x}\mathbf{l}} = \frac{\mathbf{G}}{\mathbf{l}\mathbf{l}\mathbf{x}\mathbf{l}} = \frac{\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{t})}{\mathbf{l}\mathbf{l}\mathbf{x}\mathbf{l}} + \frac{\mathbf{I}(\mathbf{t},\mathbf{t}+\mathbf{l})}{\mathbf{l}\mathbf{l}\mathbf{x}\mathbf{l}}$$
(11)

if just immigrants were treated exogeneously, or

$$\frac{\mathbf{w}(t+1)}{10x1} = \frac{\mathbf{G}}{10x10} \frac{\mathbf{w}(t)}{10x1} + \frac{\mathbf{I}(t,t+1)}{10x1} - \frac{\mathbf{E}(t,t+1)}{10x1}$$
(12)

where  $\underline{I}(t,t+1)$  is a vector of immigrants from the rest-of-the-world to the regions over the period t to t+1 and  $\underline{E}$  is a vector of emigrants from the regions over the period t to t+1.

Fourthly, one might note that each of these problems can probably be dealt with more effectively by using the forecasting version of the accounts based model employed earlier to generate the accounts for 1963-66 and 1970-71. Such a forecasting version is being developed.

A fifth problem posed is that of adjusting the forecast to the new regional basis shown in Figure 1 and for which new regional forecasts have already been prepared. Pevised population vectors are already available but adjustment of rates requires care. Rogers (1969) has outlined how adjustment to the G matrix can be made by pre-multiplication by a consolidation matrix C and post-multiplication by a deconsolidation matrix D:

$$\hat{\underline{G}} = \underline{G} \underline{G} \underline{D} \tag{13}$$

where  $\hat{\underline{G}}$  is the newly adjusted matrix. The  $\underline{\underline{C}}$  and  $\underline{\underline{D}}$  matrices are prepared from a mapping of population stocks from one set of regions to the other. Alternatively, the accounts matrix itself may be aggregated in a fashion described by Stillwell (1976) using row,  $\underline{\underline{R}}$ , and column,  $\underline{\underline{C}}$ , aggregation/disaggregation operators:

$$\underline{K} = \underline{R} \, \underline{K} \, \underline{C} \tag{14}$$

Care, however, has to be taken in this process to allow for the situation where persons who have moved only within the region previously and are classified as surviving stayers in the accounts become inter-regional migrants as a result of boundary change (Illingworth, 1975b). Figure 1 shows that there could be a serious problem in the North of England.

Finally, there are a set of problems which have been ignored in this paper and which require solution in revising the forecasts of the population of British regions. The models need to be disaggregated by age and sex, and by other variables such as ethnicity or social class. The fertility rate forecasts need to be tied to leading indicators of family size norms and intentions and possibly economic indicators. The migration rate forecasts need to be tied to leading or forecast economic indicators.

Having commented at length on some of the drawbacks of the models and forecasts developed to date, one should perhaps conclude by emphasizing their strengths. An accounting framework forces the researcher to pay attention to all population flows, and in particular those to and from the rest-of-the-world. The accounts based model connects the framework with data normally available. The growth model of Rogers represents one use of the information represented in the accounts and lays bare the multi-regional interactions at work in the population system. Thus, we can say on the basis of our forecast in section 5 that the year 2000-2001 will see the emigration of the following numbers of persons from each region to the other regions (Figure 9). Although our confidence in those forecast may be low, other projections do not to date ever produce such a picture.

Figure 9 Part of the Accounts Matrix for 2000-2001

•												
Final					Sarvival	al at C.D.	2001		2.			
State	M -v	田で	W 3	<b>A</b> -2	<u>g</u> vi	M Q	23 -1	S. 8	69	<b>ක</b> ට්	ALE	
	·							-				
	3516408	9995	7930	3906	4078	2356	16182	4164	1313	5283	27194	
2. Yorkshire & Eumberside	13804	5056838	14343	14236	6443	4662	23292	6055	2774	3746	30098	
0 3. North West	7261	11389	7083526	6758	10433	3186	31237	9570.	11089	5561	47001	
200 4. East Midlands	5347	15495	6748	4147824	12236	7720	24331	6504	2967	3946	32524	
c.P. 5. West Midlands	4165	6335	11440	14784	5929841	3566	30072	14772	7450	3919	32708	
& 6. East Anglis	1609	3512	2780	6282	3553	2234888	28626	5344	1636	2308	39445	
teno	14750	20250	28011	32249	26164	45275	20570324	81356	14727	21520	236234	
8. South West	3951	6119	6465	5626	11546	4510	65223	4812619	6292	6398	47158	
OR J	1658	2334	7311	6434	6464	1464	15055	8008	3052902	1887	11653	
2001 Spotland	5532	4803	7209	4903	4622	2067	23754	5322	1697	5069999	57526	
20011. Rest of the world	27023	36255	50754	55697	42843	37570	364554	54058	16475	52076	6612899998	w
in												
Birth												
Sub totals					- 1 veleválejmátove	ndmang at nigalijahan i				•		
J-denotes and the second secon					i Talah		440				ishining to	

#### References

- Department of the Environment (1971) Long term population distribution in Great Britain a study. H.M.S.O., London.
- Eversley, D.E.C. (1971) 'Population changes and regional policies since the war', Regional Studies, 5, pp. 211-228.
- General Register Office (1966) The Registrar General's Statistical Review of England and Wales, 1964. Part II. Tables, Population, H.M.S.O., London.
- Illingworth, D.R. (1975a) 'Aggregate population accounts for the districts of the West Yorkshire Metropolitan County: Part I 1961-66', Working Paper 125, School of Geography, University of Leeds.
- Illingworth, D.R. (1975b) 'Aggregate population accounts for the districts of the West Yorkshire Metropolitan County: Part II 1966-71', Working Paper 126, School of Geography, University of Leeds.
- Keyfitz, N. (1968) Introduction to the mathematics of population, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Massachusetts.
- Office of Population Censuses and Surveys (1974) <u>Population projections</u>
  No. 4 1973-2013, H.M.S.O., London.
- Office of Population Censuses and Surveys (1975) Regional population projections mid-1973 based. New Standard regions of England and Wales, H.M.S.O., London.
- Office of Population Censuses and Surveys (1976) Monitor. Week ended 19 March 1976. Reference VS 76/11 and WR 76/11, H.M.S.O., London.
- Pressat, R, (1972) Demographic analysis, Edward Arnold, London.
- Rees, P.H. (1972) 'Accounts and models for social groups within cities, in Wilson, A.G. (Editor) Patterns and processes in Urban and regional systems, Pion, London.
- Rees, P.H. (1974) 'The Spatial analysis of demographic growth in British regions.' Research proposal submitted to the Social Science Research Council, London.
- Rees, P.H. (1975a) Progress report, first year, on grant Hr 2914/2 submitted to the Social Science Research Council, London.
- Rees, P.H. (1975b) 'Population analysis of the city region', Working

  Paper 112, School of Geography, University of Leeds. To be

  published in T. Hancock (Editor) Growth and change in the future

  city region, International Text Book Co., London.
- Rees, P.H. (1975c) 'A family of demographic accounts and models', Working Paper 119, School of Geography, University of Leeds.

- Rees, P.H. and King, J.R. (1974) 'A simple model for population projection applied to ethnic groups and small area populations', Working Paper 76, School of Geography, University of Leeds.
- Rees, P.H. and Wilson, A.G. (1973) 'Accounts and models for spatial demographic analysis 1: aggregate population', Environment and Planning, 5, pp. 61-90.
- Rees, P.H. and Wilson, A.G. (1974) 'A computer program for constructing spatial demographic accounts for aggregate population: user's manual', Working Paper 60, School of Geography, University of Leeds.
- Rees, P.H. and Wilson, A.G. (1975) 'Accounts and models for spatial demographic analysis 3: rates and life tables', Environment and Planning A, 7, pp. 199-231.
- Rees, P.H. and Wilson, A.G. (1976) Spatial population analysis. Edward Arnold, London, forthcoming.
- Rogers, A. (1966) 'Matrix methods of population analysis', Journal of the American Institute of Planners, 32, pp. 40-44.
- Rogers, A. (1968) Matrix analysis of inter-regional population growth and distribution, University of California Press, Berkeley and Los Angeles..
- Rogers, A. (1969) 'On perfect aggregation in the matrix cohort survival model of inter-regional population growth', <u>Journal of Regional</u> Science, 9, pp. 417-424.
- Rogers, A. (1971) Matrix methods in urban and regional analysis, Holden Day. San Francisco.
- Rogers, A. (1975) Introduction to multiregional mathematical demography. Wiley, London.
- Smith, A.P. and Rees, P.E. (1974) 'Methods of constructing spatial demographic accounts for a British region', <u>Forking Paper 59</u>, School of Geography, University of Leeds.
- Stillwell, J.C.H. (1976) 'A user's guide to a simple matrix aggregation program', Working Paper 141, School of Geography, University of Leeds.
- Stone, R. (1965) 'A model of the educational system', Minerva, 3, pp. 172-186.
- Stone, R. (1971a) <u>Demographic accounting and model building</u>, O.E.C.D., Paris.
- Stone, R. (1971b) 'An integrated system of demographic, manpower and social statistics and its links with the system of national economic accounts', Sankhya B, 33, pp. 1-184.
- Wilson, A.G. and Rees, P.H. (1974) 'Accounts and models for spatial demographic analysis 2: age-sex disaggregated populations', Environment and Planning A, 6, pp. 101-116.