

EKN-812: Problem Set 2

There are 95 points available on this problems set. It will be graded out of 80, i.e. there are 15 bonus points available.

Due Date: March 7, 2019

1. Suppose students have to take an exam with N questions. The time available for the exam is T , and the score on each question is a function of the student's level of knowledge, K , and the amount of time he or she spends on each question:

$$S_i = f_i(t_i, K).$$

Assume that f_i is increasing in t_i and K for each question i . Also, each question i has a maximum of \bar{S}_i points available.

- (a) If students try to maximize their total score on the exam, how much time will they spend on each question? Work out the solution for the particular case

$$f_i(t_i, K) = \min\{Kt_i^\theta, \bar{S}_i\}.$$

Would any student ever not attempt to answer a question at all? When will this happen? [**Hint:** consider the case $\theta < 1$ and $\theta \geq 1$ separately.]

- (b) Would any student ever get the maximum possible score for a question? Which ones?
- (c) How would your answers to (a) and (b) change if the production function was

$$f_i(t_i, K) = \bar{S}_i [1 - \exp(-Kt_i)]$$

Do students spend more time on the questions with more points available? Is your answer different for the well-prepared students as compared to the badly prepared ones (as defined by their K)?

- (d) Now suppose that all students value their time outside of the classroom at rate v . Set up the student's time allocation problem and write down the first-order conditions.
- (e) Do any students walk out of the exam early? Which ones?
- (f) Do students have any incentive to collude on how much time they spend on the exam?
- (g) Now suppose that the exam is graded on a "curve", e.g. the top 10% get an A, the next 20% get a B, etc. What rationale can you think of for such a system?
- (h) Would students want to collude under the system of relative grading? Would they have an incentive to cheat on such an agreement?

[$8 \times 5 = 40$ points]

2. Consider a monopolist with costs $c(y)$ who sells his output in two separate markets, with demand curves $D_1(p_1)$ and $D_2(p_2)$.
 - (a) Express the relationship between the equilibrium prices in the two markets in terms of the relative elasticities of demand. Let y_1 and y_2 be the quantities sold in each market, respectively.
 - (b) Suppose the two markets represent different countries, and the government in country 1 puts a per-unit tax of t on the monopolist's product. What happens to output in market 2?
 - (c) Could *total* output actually increase as a consequence of the new tax in market 1? When will this be the case?

[$3 \times 5 = 15$ points]

3. Consider two people a and b , both of whom face risky income prospects. In particular, suppose a 's income is $y_a \sim N(\mu_a, \sigma_a^2)$ and that b 's income is $y_b \sim N(\mu_b, \sigma_b^2)$. You can assume y_a and y_b are independent of each other.
 - (a) Suppose a has CARA preferences with absolute risk aversion α . If she has no access to insurance markets, what is the certainty equivalent of her risky income y_a ?
 - (b) Now suppose a and b get married, and they agree to share their joint income as follows: a will get a fraction π_1 of their joint income $y = y_a + y_b$, plus a constant transfer π_0 . (π_0 could be negative, in which case it is a constant payment to b .) Thus, a will get a random consumption allocation of $c_a = \pi_0 + \pi_1 y$, and b will get $c_b = -\pi_0 + (1 - \pi_1)y$.

What is a 's certainty equivalent for this contract? If b has CARA preferences with absolute risk aversion β , what is b 's certainty equivalent?

- (c) Is there a linear contract (π_0, π_1) such that both a and b will benefit relative to their outside options? You may assume $\mu_a = \mu_b$ and $\sigma_a = \sigma_b$ from now on.

Hint: see if you can find π_0 and π_1 such that the sum of a and b 's certainty equivalents in marriage exceeds the sum of their certainty equivalents *outside* of marriage.

Another hint: let \tilde{c}_a^M be a 's certainty equivalent in marriage, and let \tilde{c}_a^S be her certainty equivalent when single; use analogous notation for b . A good way to start is to try and maximize their joint surplus

$$S(\pi_0, \pi_1) = \tilde{c}_a^M + \tilde{c}_b^M - \tilde{c}_a^S - \tilde{c}_b^S.$$

- (d) Now specialize even further to the case where $\beta = 0$ (so b is risk-neutral, i.e. $v_b(c) = c$). How do the aggregate gains from marriage depend on a 's risk aversion α ? What does this suggest about the types of matches we should observe on the marriage market?

[4 × 10 = 40 points]