EKT-816 Lecture 1

Probability Review (1)

Jesse Naidoo

University of Pretoria

PDFs, CDFs, and Quantiles

- Discrete distribution:
 - mass functions: f(x) = P(X = x).
 - cumulative distribution functions: $F(x) = P(X \le x)$.
 - Examples: Bernoulli(p); binomial(n, p); Poisson(λ).
- continuous distributions:
 - density function $f_X(x)$ such that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_X(x) dx = 1.$$

- CDF $F_X(x)$ is increasing and such that $\lim_{x\to -\infty} F_X(x) = 0$, $\lim_{x\to \infty} F_X(x) = 1$.
- $F'_X(x) = f_X(x)$, or

$$F_X(x) = \int_{-\infty}^x f_X(t) dt$$

• the τ -th quantile of the distribution F is the value x_{τ} such that

$$F(x_{\tau}) = \tau$$

Moments

• the mean of a distribution is

$$E[X] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x f_X(x) dx$$

the variance of the distribution is

$$V[X] = E[(X - \mu)^2]$$

where μ is the mean of the distribution

- note, these moments may not exist!
 - but, if V[X] < ∞, the mean will exist (why?)
 also notice that V[X] = E[X²] E[X]²

 - third (centered) moment is called skewness
 - fourth (centered) moment is called kurtosis

Example: Pareto Distributions

- let $\alpha > 0$ be some constant
- density is

$$f_X(x) = \begin{cases} \alpha x^{-(\alpha+1)} & \text{if } x > 1 \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

- what is the CDF, $F_X(x)$?
- what is the mean, E[X]? do we have to impose any conditions to ensure the mean exists?
- what is the variance, V[X]?

Inverse CDF Trick

- suppose we want to generate random numbers from some distribution with CDF F
- we can compute F and F^{-1}
- ullet we can generate uniformly distributed random numbers, $U \sim U(0,1)$
- then, you can generate $X \sim F$ as follows:

$$X = F^{-1}(U)$$

- proof: let's find the CDF of such Xs.
 - let x be an arbitrary number; we're going to show that $P(X \le x) = F(x)$
 - $P(X \le x) = P(F^{-1}(U) \le x) = P(U \le F(x)) = F(x)$

Marginal and Conditional Distributions

- take a joint density $f_{XY}(x,y)$ that integrates to 1 over \mathbb{R}^2
 - the marginal density of X is

$$f_X(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{XY}(x, y) dy$$

- ullet analogous for marginal of Y
- the *conditional* density of Y given that X = x is

$$f_{Y|X}(y|X=x) = \frac{f_{XY}(x,y)}{f_X(x)}$$

Basic Rules

- expectations are linear: E[aX + Y] = aE[X] + E[Y]
- $V[aX] = a^2V[X]$
- $V[X + Y] = V[X] + V[Y] + 2 \operatorname{cov}(X, Y)$

Example: Zero Correlation, But Not Independent

consider the following distribution:

$$f_{XY}(x,y) = \begin{cases} 3/4 & \text{if } x \in (-1,1) \text{ and } y \in (0,1-x^2) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
 (1)

- show that cov(X, Y) = 0
 - yet, the two are not independent!
 - ullet to see this, compute the conditional expectation E[Y|X]

Law of Iterated Expectations and Variance Decomposition

• law of iterated expectations:

$$E[E[Y|X]] = E[Y]$$

• variance decomposition:

$$V[Y] = V[E[Y|X]] + E[V[Y|X]]$$

Classical Statistical Paradigm

- sample data $X_1, \ldots X_n$ are draws from some data-generating process $f(x|\theta)$
 - θ : a vector of parameters unknown to us
 - our goal is to learn about θ from the sample
- a *statistic* is any function of the data (or *known* parameters)
 - as such, they are themselves random variables
 - and, they have a distribution
 - which we would like to characterize as much as possible
- why do we care about this? want to answer two questions
 - Given enough data, will our estimate "eventually" get "close" to θ_0 ?
 - For any fixed sample, how "close" is our estimate "likely" to be to the truth θ_0 ?
- asymptotic theory is useful because it allows us to answer these questions
 - using a precise meaning for the words "close", "eventually", and "likely"

Modes of Convergence

• $(X_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ converges in probability to the random variable X if for all $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} P(|X_n - X| > \varepsilon) = 0 \tag{2}$$

- We write $X_n \longrightarrow_p X$ as shorthand.
- $(X_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ converges in mean square to the random variable X if

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} E[(X_n-X)^2] = 0$$
(3)

- We write $X_n \longrightarrow_{m.s.} X$ as shorthand.
- Convergence in mean square implies convergence in probability, but not vice versa

Law(s) of Large Numbers

- consider $(X_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}$ i.i.d. with mean μ and variance σ^2
 - let $\overline{X}_n = n^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^n X_k$
 - $V[\overline{X}_n] = n^{-1} \overline{\sigma^2}$, so $\overline{X}_n \longrightarrow_{m.s.} 0$
 - thus, $\overline{X}_n \longrightarrow_p 0$ also
- we say "the sample mean is consistent for the population mean"
- · consistency is a necessary condition for an estimator to be useful
 - if you're never going to get the truth out of this calculation, why bother?

Central Limit Theorems

- besides consistency we would like to know about the precision of our estimates
 - it is good to know that we get to the truth "eventually", but how close are we right now?
 - we need a different notion of convergence to characterize the asymptotic approximation here
- convergence in distribution: we say $(X_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \longrightarrow_d X$ if,
 - for every point a where $F_X(\cdot)$ is continuous, $P(X_n \leq a) \longrightarrow P(X \leq a)$
- Central Limit Theorem:
 - if $(X_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is an i.i.d. sample from a distribution with $V[X] = \sigma^2 < \infty$ and $E[X] = \mu$, then

$$\sqrt{n}\left(\frac{n^{-1}\sum_{k=1}^{n}X_{k}-\mu}{\sigma}\right) \longrightarrow_{d} N(0,1) \tag{4}$$

• this suggests we may use the approximation

$$P(\overline{X}_n \le a) \approx \Phi\left(\frac{a-\mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}}\right)$$
 (5)

Monte Carlo Simulations

- we need a way to examine the sampling distribution of some estimator $\widehat{\theta}(X_1, \dots X_n)$
- except for special cases (e.g. the mean of normal observations), we will not be able to calculate the distribution of a general function of the data for arbitrary sample sizes
- solution: approximate the distribution of $\widehat{\theta}(X_1, \dots X_n)$ by simulating some large number of datasets (each of size n)
- pseudocode:
- B is number of simulated datasets
- for each $b=1,\ldots B$ we generate a dataset of size n; compute $\widehat{\theta}_{n,b}$
- treat the resulting sample $\widehat{\theta}_{n,1}, \widehat{\theta}_{n,2}, \dots \widehat{\theta}_{n,B}$ as the population distribution

Monte Carlo Simulations

```
for n = 10, 100, 1000, 10000 {
     for b = 1, ... B {
         draw X_1 \dots X_n from f(X|\theta_0);
         calculate \widehat{\theta}_{n,b} from X_1 \dots X_n;
         store \widehat{\theta}_{n,h}:
         discard X_1 \dots X_n:
   • then, using \widehat{\theta}_{n,1}, \widehat{\theta}_{n,2}, \dots \widehat{\theta}_{n,B}:
   • plot the density of (\widehat{\theta}_{n,b})_{b=1}^B for n=10,100,1000,10000
   • compute the variance of (\widehat{\theta}_{n,b})_{b=1}^B for n=10,100,1000,10000
   etc.
```

References

Table of Contents

Univariate Distributions

Joint Distributions

Classical (Frequentist) Estimation