

BlueFort: A Novel Approach to ACM Research Paper Organization

Your Name
Your Institution
Your City, Your Country
your.email@institution.edu

Abstract

This paper presents BlueFort, a novel approach to organizing ACM research papers using modular LaTeX files. We demonstrate how separating content into individual section files improves maintainability, collaboration, and version control while maintaining full ACM compliance. Our approach reduces compilation errors and simplifies the writing process for large research teams.

CCS Concepts

• **Computer systems organization** → **Embedded and cyber-physical systems**; • **Software and its engineering** → *Software organization and properties*.

Keywords

ACM, LaTeX, research paper, template, organization

ACM Reference Format:

Your Name. 2025. BlueFort: A Novel Approach to ACM Research Paper Organization. In *Proceedings of Conference Name (Conference '25)*. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 3 pages. <https://doi.org/10.1145/XXXXXXX.XXXXXXX>

1 Introduction

The organization and maintenance of large LaTeX documents, particularly those following specific formatting requirements like ACM templates, presents significant challenges for research teams. Traditional monolithic document structures often lead to version control conflicts, compilation errors, and difficulties in collaborative writing.

1.1 Motivation

Current approaches to academic paper writing typically involve single large files that combine all sections, making it difficult for multiple authors to work simultaneously without conflicts. This problem is especially acute in research environments where papers undergo frequent revisions and multiple authors contribute to different sections.

1.2 Contributions

This paper makes the following contributions:

- **Modular Organization:** We present a novel approach to organizing ACM research papers using separate LaTeX files for each section.
- **Collaborative Workflow:** We demonstrate how this approach improves team collaboration and reduces version control conflicts.
- **Maintainability:** We show that modular structure enhances document maintainability and reduces compilation errors.

1.3 Paper Organization

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows: Section 2 presents background information on LaTeX document organization. Section 3 describes our methodology for modular document structure. Section 4 presents our experimental results and analysis. Finally, Section 5 concludes the paper and discusses future work.

2 Background

2.1 LaTeX Document Organization

LaTeX has been the de facto standard for academic paper typesetting for several decades. Traditional LaTeX documents are typically organized as single files containing all sections, which works well for small documents but becomes problematic as document size and collaboration complexity increase.

2.2 Related Work

Several approaches have been proposed to address the challenges of large LaTeX document organization. Recent work by [3] has demonstrated the effectiveness of modular approaches using separate files for different document components, while other research has focused on collaborative editing workflows. However, these approaches often lack integration with specific journal or conference templates. The ACM template requirements [2] impose specific formatting constraints that must be maintained throughout the document.

2.3 ACM Template Requirements

The ACM template imposes specific formatting requirements that must be maintained throughout the document. These include:

- Two-column layout for conference proceedings
- Specific font and spacing requirements
- Mandatory CCS concepts and keywords
- Structured author information and affiliations

2.4 Version Control Challenges

When multiple authors work on the same LaTeX document, version control systems often struggle with merge conflicts, particularly in complex environments like `amsmath` or `graphicx` packages. This leads to:

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than the author(s) must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. Request permissions from permissions@acm.org.

Conference '25, Location, Country

© 2025 Copyright held by the owner/author(s). Publication rights licensed to ACM.

ACM ISBN 978-x-xxxx-xxxx-x/YYYY/MM

<https://doi.org/10.1145/XXXXXXX.XXXXXXX>

- Increased compilation errors
- Time-consuming conflict resolution
- Potential loss of content during merges

3 Methodology

Our approach builds upon the best practices identified in [1] and incorporates the modular design principles outlined in [4].

3.1 Modular Document Structure

Our approach involves organizing the LaTeX document into separate files for each major section, while maintaining a single main file that coordinates the overall structure. This modular approach provides several benefits:

- (1) **Separation of Concerns:** Each section file contains only the content relevant to that specific section.
- (2) **Parallel Development:** Multiple authors can work on different sections simultaneously without conflicts.
- (3) **Easier Maintenance:** Individual sections can be modified without affecting the entire document structure.

3.2 File Organization Strategy

The document structure follows this organization:

```
main-acm2025.tex      # Main document with ACM metadata
|-- section-introduction.tex
|-- section-background.tex
|-- section-methodology.tex
|-- section-results.tex
`-- section-conclusion.tex
```

3.3 Implementation Details

Each section file contains:

- A clear section heading with appropriate labels
- Well-structured subsections
- Proper LaTeX formatting and citations
- No preamble or document-level commands

The main document handles:

- Document class and package declarations
- Author and metadata information
- Abstract and acknowledgments
- Section inclusion via `\input` commands

3.4 Build Process

The build process uses a Makefile that:

- Compiles the main document with all included sections
- Runs LaTeX twice to resolve cross-references
- Outputs the final PDF to a build directory
- Provides clean and distclean targets for maintenance

4 Results

4.1 Experimental Setup

To evaluate the effectiveness of our modular approach, we conducted experiments with research teams of varying sizes (2-8 authors) working on ACM-style papers. Each team was given both

traditional monolithic documents and our modular structure to compare performance and satisfaction.

4.2 Compilation Performance

Our modular approach showed significant improvements in compilation performance:

Table 1: Compilation Time Comparison

Document Type	Compilation Time	Error Rate
Monolithic	45.2s	23%
Modular	12.8s	7%

4.3 Collaboration Efficiency

Teams using the modular structure reported:

- 67% reduction in merge conflicts
- 89% improvement in parallel development capability
- 45% reduction in compilation errors
- 78% increase in overall satisfaction

4.4 Maintainability Metrics

We measured maintainability using standard software engineering metrics:

- **Coupling:** Reduced from high (monolithic) to low (modular)
- **Cohesion:** Improved from medium to high
- **Complexity:** Reduced cyclomatic complexity by 60%
- **Readability:** Improved code review efficiency by 40%

4.5 User Feedback

Qualitative feedback from participants highlighted:

- “The modular approach made it much easier to work on different sections simultaneously without worrying about conflicts or breaking the document.”
- “The clear separation of concerns and the automated build process significantly reduced the time spent on document maintenance.”

5 Conclusion

5.1 Summary

This paper presented BlueFort, a novel approach to organizing ACM research papers using modular LaTeX files. Our experimental results demonstrate significant improvements in compilation performance, collaboration efficiency, and maintainability compared to traditional monolithic document structures.

5.2 Key Findings

Our research revealed several important insights:

- Modular document organization reduces compilation time by 72% and error rates by 70%
- Parallel development capability improves by 89% with modular structures

- Version control conflicts decrease by 67% when using separate section files
- Overall team satisfaction increases by 78% with the modular approach

5.3 Limitations

While our approach shows significant benefits, we acknowledge several limitations:

- Initial setup requires understanding of LaTeX \input commands
- Some advanced LaTeX features may require careful coordination between files
- The approach is most beneficial for documents with multiple authors

5.4 Future Work

Future research directions include:

- (1) **Automated Section Generation:** Developing tools to automatically create section templates based on paper requirements.
- (2) **Integration with IDEs:** Creating plugins for popular LaTeX editors to better support modular document structures.
- (3) **Template Libraries:** Building a repository of modular templates for different ACM formats and other academic publishers.
- (4) **Collaborative Features:** Adding real-time collaboration features specifically designed for modular LaTeX documents.

5.5 Final Remarks

The BlueFort approach represents a significant step forward in academic document organization. By embracing modular design principles, research teams can improve their productivity, reduce errors, and enhance collaboration while maintaining full compliance with ACM formatting requirements. We believe this approach will become increasingly important as academic publishing continues to evolve toward more collaborative and efficient workflows.

Acknowledgments

We thank the ACM for providing excellent LaTeX templates and the open-source community for continuous improvements to the academic publishing workflow.

References

- [1] Michael Anderson, Jennifer Taylor, and Carlos Martinez. 2024. Improving Research Paper Workflow Through Modular Design. *ACM Transactions on Information Systems* 42, 3 (jul 2024), 25 pages. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3611659>
- [2] Association for Computing Machinery. 2024. ACM Author Guidelines. <https://www.acm.org/publications/authors/submissions> Accessed: 2024-12-01.
- [3] Maria Garcia, David Lee, and Sarah Wilson. 2023. Modular LaTeX: A Framework for Collaborative Academic Writing. In *Proceedings of the 2023 ACM SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (Hamburg, Germany) (CHI '23)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 12 pages. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3544548.3581234>
- [4] Frank Mittelbach, Michel Goossens, Johannes Braams, David Carlisle, and Chris Rowley. 2023. *The LaTeX Companion: Tools and Techniques for Computer Typesetting* (3rd ed.). Addison-Wesley, Boston, MA, USA. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-76974-5>