

g_membed: Efficient insertion of a membrane protein into an equilibrated lipid bilayer with minimal perturbation.

Maarten G. Wolf, Martin Hoefling, Camilo Aponte-Santamaría,
Helmut Grubmüller and Gerrit Groenhof

Maarten G. Wolf
Computational Biomolecular Chemistry
Max-Planck-Institute for Biophysical Chemistry
Am Faßberg 11
D-37077 Göttingen, Germany

Martin Hoefling
Department for Theoretical and Computational Biophysics
Max-Planck-Institute for Biophysical Chemistry
Am Faßberg 11
D-37077 Göttingen, Germany

Camilo Aponte-Santamaría
Department for Theoretical and Computational Biophysics
Max-Planck-Institute for Biophysical Chemistry
Am Faßberg 11
D-37077 Göttingen, Germany

Helmut Grubmüller
Department for Theoretical and Computational Biophysics
Max-Planck-Institute for Biophysical Chemistry
Am Faßberg 11
D-37077 Göttingen, Germany

Gerrit Groenhof
Computational Biomolecular Chemistry
Max-Planck-Institute for Biophysical Chemistry
Am Faßberg 11
D-37077 Göttingen, Germany

g_membed: Efficient insertion of a membrane protein into an equilibrated lipid bilayer with minimal perturbation.

Maarten G. Wolf, Martin Hoefling, Camilo Aponte-Santamaría,
Helmut Grubmüller and Gerrit Groenhof

Abstract

To efficiently insert a protein into an equilibrated and fully hydrated membrane with minimal membrane perturbation we present a computational tool, called g_membed, which is part of the Gromacs suite of programs. The input consists of an equilibrated membrane system, either flat or curved, and a protein structure in the right position and orientation with respect to the lipid bilayer. g_membed first decreases the width of the protein in the xy-plane and removes all molecules (generally lipids and waters) that overlap with the narrowed protein. Then the protein is grown back to its full size in a short molecular dynamics simulation (typically 1,000 steps), thereby pushing the lipids away to optimally accommodate the protein in the membrane. After embedding the protein in the membrane, both the lipid properties and the hydration layer are still close to equilibrium. Thus only a short equilibration run (less than 1 ns in the cases tested) is required to re-equilibrate the membrane. Its simplicity makes g_membed very practical for use in scripting and high-throughput molecular dynamics simulations.

Keywords : computer simulations, membrane, Gromacs, molecular dynamics, protein insertion

1 Introduction

The number of computer simulations to probe the molecular details of membrane proteins is rapidly increasing [1]. Proteins embedded in or associated with membranes are of interest because they perform various crucial tasks, including (selective) transport, signal transduction and energy conversion. It is estimated that in most organisms 20-30% of the proteins encoded in the genome are membrane proteins [2] and that many drug targets are located at or near the cell surface (60% in 2006) [3]. Nevertheless, these percentages are not reflected in the ratio of studies between soluble and membrane proteins. Due to the requirement of a lipid bilayer, membrane proteins are more complicated to crystallize or study with NMR. Consequently less than 1% of the structurally resolved proteins are membrane proteins [4]. However, the number of membrane protein structures in the pdb-database is rising quickly (see

http://blanco.biomol.uci.edu/Membrane_Proteins_xtal.html for a maintained list) and thus their availability for computational studies.

Also on the simulation side, setting up a membrane protein system requires additional efforts compared to soluble proteins. First, an appropriate membrane model has to be selected for which parameters are available in the desired force field. Setting up plain membrane simulations can now be considered standard procedure [1] and hence will not be further discussed here. Furthermore, many equilibrated membrane patches and the corresponding force field parameters can be downloaded from webservers (URLs given in [1]) maintained by research groups specialized in membrane simulations. Second, and crucially, once a suitable membrane is selected the protein has to be embedded in the membrane before the production runs can be performed.

Several protocols have been suggested to insert a protein into a lipid bilayer, but up to now no standard has been established, mainly for reasons discussed below. The simplest way to insert a protein is to remove lipids and solvent molecules that overlap with the protein after combining the coordinate sets (X,Y,Z). However, the highly disordered nature of lipid tails results in an irregular and oversized hole and hence a poor lipid-protein packing. Long simulation runs are then needed to equilibrate the system. To obtain a good lipid-protein packing one can build a lipid bilayer around a protein from preequilibrated and prehydrated single lipids [5]. Unfortunately, assembling the bilayer from uncorrelated lipids will result in bad contacts (overlapping atoms), which require extensive optimisation by, for instance, rigid body translation and rotations [5]. A more sophisticated method uses repulsive forces to create a hole at the intended protein position before inserting the protein itself[6, 7]. This will result in a proper lipid-protein packing in an equilibrated membrane, but needs considerable tuning by the user to find the optimal hole generating parameters [8]. Recently, it has also been proposed to inflate the lipid bilayer, insert the protein and then, alternatingly deflate the lipid bilayer in small steps and perform an energy minimization, until the area per lipid reaches the reference equilibrium value [8]. However, this method cannot be applied with a solvated membrane and thus requires resolvation. Furthermore, it is not clear if the membrane remains in equilibrium during the deflation procedure. The lipid tails that were entangled in the equilibrated membrane are likely to clash when going from a very diluted (inflated) to a fully packed membrane, resulting in a different packing of the lipid tails. Finally, with coarse grained simulations it is possible to let the lipids self-assemble around the membrane protein [9]. Unfortunately, the level of detail of coarse grained simulation might not be sufficient to assess the relevant interactions in membrane proteins

adequately. Also the inverse mapping problem, *i.e.* obtaining the atom positions from the coarse grained coordinates, is highly non-trivial.

To address the issue more systematically, we propose that an insertion method needs to fulfil four requirements: (1) It should be easy to use, without the requirement of parameter tuning or manual intervention during or after the embedding process. (2) It should yield a structure that is close to equilibrium, to reduce the equilibration simulation time before production runs. (3) It should be easily automated, to allow for large scale high-throughput simulations. (4) It should be distributed as part of a popular MD package, to maintain availability, functionality and retraceability. None of the aforementioned methods satisfies these four criteria and therefore we developed a new Gromacs [10] tool, `g_membed`, that grows a protein into a lipid bilayer during a short md simulation (1,000 steps typically). By using an already hydrated and equilibrated lipid bilayer the output system (protein embedded in the solvated membrane) only requires a short equilibration run (maximal 1 ns in our test systems) to reequilibrate the membrane part of the system. Finally, by making `g_membed` part of Gromacs availability, functionality and retraceability is guaranteed.

2 Method

The basic idea is to slowly grow the protein into an already equilibrated membrane, thereby pushing away the lipids and waters and fit the protein nicely into the lipid bilayer. Starting point of the growth process is the narrowed protein, where the narrowing of the protein is performed within the membrane plane, but not in the direction of the membrane normal. In the limiting case all protein atoms start on a line perpendicular to the membrane plane. Shrinking the protein in 2D instead of 3D is crucial, as it avoids severe perturbation of the lipids, especially when approaching the limiting cases (a line instead of a point). The growth phase itself is a short md run. After each md step the size of the protein is slightly increased until the protein has reached its initial size (see Figure 1 for a typical example) . During this process all protein-protein interactions, including bonded interactions, are switched off.

The method, as implemented into the Gromacs tool `g_membed` (*embedding* a protein within a *membrane*) requires a file with the protein structure overlapping the lipid bilayer spanning the xy-plane at the desired position and orientation as input (we assume the user already has this knowledge about the system). Optimal placement of the protein with respect to the membrane will avoid needlessly-long equilibration

runs after embedding. In the case of a curved bilayer (*e.g.* a vesicle), it is important that the protein is overlapping a membrane part that has its normal directed along the z-axis. `g_membed` then performs the following steps to embed the protein (or any other desired group of atoms defined as a Gromacs index group) within a lipid bilayer.

1. *Protein narrowing.* The coordinates of the atoms in the protein are scaled with respect to the geometrical center of the transmembrane part of the protein by a user specified scaling factor of the original (input) coordinates in the xy-plane and, if applicable, in the z-direction. Normally the protein should not be scaled in the z-direction. However in special cases, such as a protein that has the same height as the bilayer, increasing the size of the protein in the z-direction prevents lipids to envelop the protein during the growth phase.
2. *Remove overlapping molecules.* Every molecule not part of the protein for which at least one atom is within a user defined radius of a protein atom will be removed. If the difference between the number of lipids removed from the lower (n_{lower}) and the upper (n_{upper}) membrane leaflet is not equal to a user defined number (n_{diff}), *i.e.* $n_{lower} - n_{upper} \neq n_{diff}$, additional lipids will be removed, such that this equality will be obtained. This option is useful when inserting an asymmetrically shaped protein.
3. *Growth phase.* Iterate steps 3b and 3a $n_{xy} + n_z$ times to grow the narrowed protein to its original size.
 - (a) *md step.* Do a normal md step.
 - (b) *Protein resizing.* Change the atom coordinates of the protein by linear interpolation between the coordinates of the narrowed protein (step 1) and those of the input configuration by

$$\mathbf{r}_i = \mathbf{r}_{geom} + \mathbf{s}_i \cdot (\mathbf{r}_0 - \mathbf{r}_{geom}) \quad (1)$$

$$\mathbf{s}_i = \begin{cases} s_{i,x} = s_{i,y} = s_{0,xy} + \frac{i \cdot (1 - s_{0,xy})}{n_{xy}} \text{ and } s_{i,z} = s_{0,z} & i \leq n_{xy} \\ s_{i,x} = s_{i,y} = 1 \text{ and } s_{i,z} = s_{0,z} + \frac{(i - n_{xy}) \cdot (1 - s_{0,z})}{n_z} & n_{xy} < i \leq n_{xy} + n_z \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

with \mathbf{r}_i the protein atom coordinates at step i , \mathbf{r}_0 the input atom coordinates of the protein, \mathbf{r}_{geom} the coordinates of the geometrical center of the transmembrane region, \mathbf{s}_i the scaling factor at step i , $s_{0,xy}$ and $s_{0,z}$ the initial scaling factors and n_{xy} and n_z the number of embedding

steps in the xy-plane and the z-direction, respectively. This way the atom coordinates of the proteins reach the input configuration in n_{xy} steps in the xy-plane and, if applicable, after the xy-dimension is completely expanded in n_z steps in the z-dimension.

The output will be a file containing the protein structure properly embedded in the membrane. All atom coordinates of the protein in the output file will be equal to the coordinates provided in the input (see the Appendix for a user manual).

3 Case studies

As a test we have applied g_membed to insert four proteins of various shape and size: Integrin α IIb β 3 2K9J [11], β -Barrel Platform 2JMM [12], Reaction center 1PRC [13] and Yeast Aquaporin 2W2E [14] (see Table i). We have embedded the first two into a united-atom (POPC [15, 16]) and the latter two into an all-atom (DOPC [17]) membrane. In addition we have embedded the β -Barrel Platform within the lipid bilayer of a vesicle (POPE), see Figure 2. The crystal waters available in the Reaction Centers pdb entry were preserved and considered as part of the protein. Initially, the width of the protein in these tests was scaled to 10% of the full-size protein ($s_{0,xy} = 0.1$). All proteins listed in Table i were inserted successfully within the bilayer within 1,000 md steps.

To assess the time required to reach equilibrium after embedding the protein within the membrane, a 10 ns standard md simulation was performed after the embedding (insertion within the vesicle not included). Figure 3 shows the area per lipid (A_{lip}) as a function of time, where A_{lip} is obtained through

$$A_{\text{lip}} = 2 * (A_{\text{xy}} - A_{\text{prot}}) / n_{\text{lip}} \quad (3)$$

with n_{lip} the number of lipids in the membrane, A_{xy} the area spanned by the x- and y-box vectors (membrane plane) and A_{prot} the protein area estimated by projecting all the atoms of the protein that overlap the membrane slab on a two-dimensional square grid in the xy-plane and summing the area of all grid elements that contain a protein atom. From Figure 3 it is clear that already within 1 ns the system reaches the equilibrium area per lipid. For a pure popc and dopc membrane system we found an area per lipid of 0.59 and 0.62, respectively, which is slightly higher than the values we found for the protein-membrane system. This discrepancy can partly be explained by the fact that the protein area is

overestimated, resulting in an underestimation of the area per lipid. Furthermore, since the influence of proteins on lipid properties is still not completely understood, it is not clear whether the area per lipid should actually be unchanged after protein insertion [18, 19].

Reaching the equilibrium area per lipid does not necessarily imply that the membrane is in equilibrium. As a more rigorous test, we therefore also analyzed the deuterium order parameter, S_{CD} , a measure for the amount of disorder in the lipid tails. Comparing the deuterium order parameter before and after embedding the protein into the membrane did not show any significant differences. In addition, we calculated the density profile of water normal to the membrane plane to compare the hydration of the membrane. Also here we found no significant difference before and after embedding the protein. Monitoring the deuterium order parameter and the water density profile normal to the membrane over time indicate that the membrane is still in equilibrium.

To determine the time required to reach area equilibrium, we calculated the relaxation time τ associated with the area per lipid by fitting

$$A_{\text{lip}} = \langle A_{\text{lip}} \rangle - A_0 * \exp(-t/\tau) \quad (4)$$

to the area per lipid as a function of time (Figure 3). In equation 4, $\langle A_{\text{lip}} \rangle$ is the ensemble average of the area per lipid, where we averaged over the 2-10 ns time interval and A_0 the fitted difference with $\langle A_{\text{lip}} \rangle$ at $t=0$. The resulting relaxation times are listed in Table ii, column 0.1. The average relaxation time within the scaling factor range 0.1-0.3 (including data not listed in Table ii) is 180 ± 190 ps. From this value and the values listed in Table ii we expect that a 1 ns equilibration run suffices after embedding a protein in an equilibrated membrane using g_membed. Nevertheless, as the number of cases studied here is limited, the required equilibration time might differ for some specific systems.

Finally, we examined the influence of the protein scaling factor $s_{0,xy}$ used in the first step of the embedding process. We expect that a larger initial scaling factor implies slower equilibration, since more lipids have to be moved to accomodate the protein. We embedded a Yeast Aquaporin tetramer and the β -Barrel Platform starting with initial scaling factors ranging from 0.1 to 0.7, followed by a 10 ns standard simulation. The root mean square deviation (rmsd) of the Yeast Aquaporin tetramer with respect to the x-ray structure approaches the same value, 0.15 nm, for all initial scaling factors, whereas the rmsd for the β -Barrel Platform ranges from 0.3 to 0.6 nm without any correlation to the initial scaling factor.

These findings indicate that the protein structure is unperturbed by the initial scaling factor. Table ii shows the relaxation times of the area per lipid for different initial scaling factors. These values show that indeed our hypothesis that a smaller scaling factor implies slower equilibration is true. When the scaling factor approaches a value, for which the removed lipid area is only slightly smaller than the estimated protein area (0.6 and 0.7 for the β -Barrel Platform and Yeast Aquaporin, respectively) the perturbation to the membrane as measured by the area per lipid, deuterium order parameter and water density profile is minimal in the cases tested. The length of the simulation to equilibrate the system is then mainly determined by the equilibration of the protein.

4 Conclusions

We have presented a program, `g_membed`, for efficient insertion of a protein structure into a hydrated and equilibrated membrane. `g_membed` can handle both flat and curved membranes, thereby for instance also allowing embedding of protein structures within vesicle bilayers. The program only minimally perturbs the properties and the hydration of the system during protein insertion. As a consequence short subsequent equilibration runs suffice, enabling drastically increased throughput. In addition, one set of parameters (set as default) can be applied to insert proteins of various shape and size into an arbitrary lipid bilayer, suggesting this set to be useful for most proteins.

We consider the following two setups most useful. (1) The protein is embedded within a membrane slab that is relatively small compared to the protein size, so that a small number of lipids should be removed. Consequently, the initial scaling factor $s_{0,xy}$ needs to be set to a very small value (*e.g.* 0.1 of the full protein size). After embedding, a 1 ns equilibration is recommended to reequilibrate the membrane, but longer equilibration times may be required for the protein. This setup is the default for `g_membed`. (2) Alternatively, if the protein is to be embedded within a membrane slab that is large with respect to the protein size, the protein scaling factor $s_{0,xy}$ can be set to a value, such that `g_membed` removes a lipid portion that is slightly smaller than the estimated protein area (depending on the protein size a scaling factor between 0.5 and 0.7). In this case, the perturbation of the system is even smaller, and the length of the equilibration run is determined by the time it takes to equilibrate the protein structure.

5 Computational Details

5.1 g_membed

As g_membed uses the molecular dynamics code implemented in Gromacs [10], all system options available to a standard md simulation also apply to g_membed. The Integrin α IIb β 3 and the β -Barrel Platform were simulated using the OPLS-AA force field [20] and embedded in the popc lipid bilayer [15, 16] combined with simple point charge (SPC) water [21]. For the Reaction-Centre and Yeast Aquaporin we used the Amber03 parameter set [22] with the dopc membrane [17] and TIP3P water [23]. During embedding of the β -Barrel Platform within the pope vesicle we applied the Gromos-87 force field [24] with SPC water.

To insert a protein in the membrane by g_membed, 1,000 time steps were performed. A Van der Waals cut-off of 1.4 nm was used and the electrostatic interactions were treated using PME [25] with a real space cut-off of 1.0 nm. All bonds were constraint using the LINCS algorithm [26], allowing a time step of 2 fs. The temperature and pressure were kept constant at 300 K and 1 bar using velocity rescaling [27] and Berendsen semi-isotropic pressure coupling [28], respectively. Protein-protein interactions were excluded and the atoms of the protein were set to the position specified in step 3b of the g_membed protocol.

5.2 Equilibration runs

After embedding the protein in the membrane a 10 ns equilibration run was performed. The same system parameters were used as during embedding, with the exception that the temperature of the popc simulations was set to 323 K to prevent ordering of the bilayer. Also, the protein-protein interactions are not excluded and the atom positions of the protein are no longer fixed.

Acknowledgement

We thank Ulrike Gerischer for carefully reading the manuscript and Bert de Groot for sharing the coordinate file and force field parameters of the vesicle.

Appendix A Manual

The required input for g_membed is a tpr-file containing the group to embed (further called protein) and the (solvated) membrane. The protein should be placed at the desired position and orientation overlapping the lipid bilayer by using for instance pymol [29]. If the position and orientation of the protein is satisfactory, merge the protein and (solvated) membrane structure files into one (*e.g.* merged.gro) and create a matching topology file.

Download `sample.mdp` from our website (<http://wwwuser.gwdg.de/ggroenh/membed.html>) or set the following options in an mdp file.

```
integrator      = md
energygrp       = Protein (or other group that you want to insert)
freezegrps      = Protein
freezedim       = Y Y Y
energygrp_excl = Protein Protein
```

Generate the input file for g_membed, input.tpr, with the gromacs pre-processor.

```
grompp -f sample.mdp -c merged.gro -p merged.top -o input.tpr
```

Then run

```
g_membed -f input.tpr -p merged.top -xyinit 0.1 -xyend 1.0 -nxy 1000 or
g_membed -f input.tpr -p merged.top -xyinit 0.1 -xyend 1.0 -nxy 1000 -zinit 1.1 -zend 1.0
-nz 100
```

and select the group that has to be inserted. The options of g_membed are `-f` the required input tpr-file, `-p` to update the number of molecules in the topology file, `-xyinit` and `-zinit` the scaling factor for the width and height, respectively, of the protein in the membrane plane at the start of the embedding and `-nxy` and `-nz` the number of steps to reset `-xyinit` and `-zinit` to `-xyend` and `-zend`, respectively. If the protein is (very) asymmetric in shape use `-ndiff` to remove more lipids from the lower (negative integer) or upper (positive integer) leaflet.

Finally, a 1 ns equilibration run should be run before performing any production runs.

```
grompp -f equi.mdp -c membedded.gro -p merged.top -o equilibrate.tpr
mdrun -deffnm equilibrate
```

When the group to embed is not a default group, such as a protein and its crystal water, an ndx file should also be provided to `g_embedded`. Make sure all the molecule types in the group to embed are unique, *e.g.* the molecule type of the crystal waters should be different from that of the solvent. Also the freeze and energy exclusion parameters in the mdp file should be changed to match the name of the group to embed.

Note that the program will also issue a warning for most common mistakes we have encountered (will be updated).

References

- [1] Biggin, P. and Bond, P., *Methods Mol. Biol.*, 2008, **443**, 147–160.
- [2] Wallin, E. and von Heijne, G., *Protein Sci.*, 1998, **7**(4), 1029–1038.
- [3] Overington, J.; Al-Lazikani, B. and Hopkins, A., *Nat. Rev. Drug Discov.*, 2006, **5**(12), 993–996.
- [4] Carpenter, E.; Beis, K.; Cameron, A. and Iwata, S., *Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol.*, 2008, **18**, 581–586.
- [5] Woolf, T. B. and Roux, B., *Proteins*, 1996, **24**(1), 92–114.
- [6] Faraldo-Gómez, J.; Smith, G. and Sansom, M., *Eur. Biophys. J.*, 2002, **31**(3), 217–227.
- [7] Shen, L.; Bassolino, D. and Stouch, T., *Biophys. J.*, 1997, **73**(1), 3–20.
- [8] Kandt, C.; Ash, W. and Tielemans, D., *Methods*, 2007, **41**(4), 475–488.
- [9] Scott, K.; Bond, P.; Iveta, A.; Chetwynd, A.; Khalid, S. and Sansom, M., *Structure*, 2008, **16**(4), 621–630.
- [10] Hess, B.; Kutzner, C.; van der Spoel, D. and Lindahl, E., *J. Chem. Theor. Comp.*, 2008, **4**(3), 435447.
- [11] Lau, T.-L.; Kim, C.; Ginsberg, M. and Ulmer, T., *EMBO J.*, 2009, **28**(9), 1351–1361.
- [12] Johansson, M.; Alioth, S.; Hu, K.; Walser, R.; Koebnik, R. and Pervushin, K., *Biochemistry*, 2007, **46**(5), 1128–1140.
- [13] Deisenhofer, J.; Epp, O.; Sinning, I. and Michel, H., *J. Mol. Biol.*, 1995, **246**(3), 429–457.
- [14] Fischer, G.; Kosinska-Eriksson, U.; Aponte-Santamaría, C.; Palmgren, M.; Geijer, C.; Hedfalk, K.; Hohmann, S.; de Groot, B. L.; Neutze, R. and Lindkvist-Petersson, K., *PLoS Biol.*, 2009, **7**(6), e1000130.
- [15] Berger, O.; Edholm, O. and Jähnig, F., *Biophys. J.*, 1997, **72**(5), 2002–2013.
- [16] Tielemans, P.; MacCallum, J.; Ash, W.; Kandt, C.; Xu, Z. and Monticelli, L., *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter*, 2006, **18**, S1221–S1234.
- [17] Siu, S. W. I.; Vácha, R.; Jungwirth, P. and Böckmann, R. A., *J. Chem. Phys.*, 2008, **128**(12), 125103.
- [18] Periole, X.; Huber, T.; Marrink, S.-J. and Sakmar, T. P., *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2007, **129**(33), 10126–10132.
- [19] Ollila, O. H. S.; Risselada, H. J.; Louhivuori, M.; Lindahl, E.; Vattulainen, I. and Marrink, S. J., *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2009, **102**(7), 078101.

- [20] Kaminski, G.; Friesner, R.; Tirado-Rives, J. and Jorgensen, W., *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2001, **105**, 6474–6487.
- [21] Berendsen, H. J. C.; Postma, J. P. M.; van Gunsteren, W. F. and Hermans, J., In *Pullman Editors Intermolecular Forces*, D. Reidel Publishing Company, Dordrecht, 1981; pages 331–342.
- [22] Sorin, E. J. and Pande, V. S., *Biophys. J.*, 2005, **88**, 2472–2493.
- [23] Jorgensen, W.; Chandrasekhar, J.; Madura, J.; Impey, R. and Klein, M., *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1983, **79**, 926–935.
- [24] van Gunsteren, W. F. and Berendsen, H. J. C., Gromos-87 manual Biomos BV Nijenborgh 4,1947 AG Groningen, The Netherlands, 1987.
- [25] Darden, T.; York, D. and Pedersen, L., *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1993, **98**, 10089–10092.
- [26] Hess, B.; Bekker, H.; Berendsen, H. J. C. and Fraaije, J. G. E. M., *J. Comput. Chem.*, 1997, **18**, 1463–1472.
- [27] Bussi, G.; Donadio, D. and Parrinello, M., *J. Chem. Phys.*, 2007, **126**, 014101.
- [28] Berendsen, H.; Postma, J.; DiNola, A. and Haak, J., *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1984, **81**, 3684–3690.
- [29] DeLano, W., The pymol molecular graphics system. DeLano Scientific LLC, Palo Alto, CA, USA. <http://www.pymol.org>, 2008.




Figure 1: **The embedding process.** Three snapshot of a protein (Reaction Center, see table i) grown within the membrane using g_membed are shown in top view (upper) and side view (lower). The protein, membrane and water are displayed as Van der Waals spheres and colored yellow, blue and red/white, respectively. The width of the protein in the membrane plane is 0.1, 0.55 and 1.0 for step 1, 500 and 1,000, respectively. Also the length of the box-vectors are shown.




Figure 2: **A protein embedded in a vesicle bilayer.** The β -Barrel Platform (yellow) has been embedded within a POPE vesicle (blue) in water (red/white) with g_membed.




Figure 3: **Equilibrating a system after embedding a protein with `g_membed` into a membrane starting with an initial scaling factor of 0.1.** The area per lipid (A_{lip} , eq 3) as a function of simulation time shows that within 1 ns the equilibrium area per lipid is reached.

	pdb-code	atoms (nr)	$A_{\text{prot}}(\text{nm}^2)$	membrane
Integrin $\alpha\text{IIb}\beta3$ [11]	2K9J	1413	5.78	popc
β -Barrel Platform [12] ¹	2JMM	2372	9.52	popc
Reaction Center [13]	1PRC	22196	29.59	dopc
Yeast Aquaporin [14]	2W2E	15868	39.10	dopc
β -Barrel Platform [12] ¹	2JMM	1584	7.25	pope (vesicle)

¹ The discrepancies are due to the use of different force fields.

Table i: Systems used to test g_membed.

		initial protein scaling factor $s_{0,xy}$						
		0.1	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.6	0.7
Protein (membrane)	Integrin $\alpha\text{IIb}\beta3$ (popc)	2						
	β -Barrel Platform (popc)	113	115	622	2	2	0	
	Reaction Center (dopc)	306						
	Yeast Aquaporin (dopc)	153		66		49		0

Table ii: Relaxation time $\tau(\text{ps})$ of the area per lipid after embedding the protein in a membrane with different initial protein sizes.