# Sustainability, Modelling and Regional Transition (in Queensland)

Patrick O'Callaghan, John Mangan, Tina Rampino

AIBE, The University of Queensland April 26, 2022

#### Table of Contents

John Mangan

Patrick O'Callaghan

"Global" solution methods

Value functions

#### Why are we here?

Brief discussion of the history of the project and why we are here.

- why the project is important to AIBE
- background of regional/sectoral economics at UQ
- Input-Output modelling
- the state of CGE modelling in Australia
  - CoPS, U Victoria (no uncertainty at all or proper dynamics)
  - Warwick McKibben (steady-state, no uncertainty either)

#### Table of Contents

John Mangan

Patrick O'Callaghan

"Global" solution methods

Value functions

# How we arrived at Maiwar: methodology

Recent literature on macroeconomic production networks:

Bagaee-Farhi, . . .

- embeds network modelling in macro models (IO renaissance)
- main finding: nonlinear (beyond Cobb-Douglas) effects matter

Atalay has some good econometric estimates of elasticities

elasticity of substitution for flows between sectors is about 0.1

Conclusion: nonlinear effects matter

- does not bode well for multi-sectoral steady-state approx.
- this includes Baqaee–Farhi, Atalay, CoPS, McKibben

# How we arrived at Maiwar: methodology

How about "global" approximation e.g. Value Function Iteration? Building on Scheidegger's machine-learning approach, we found

grid approach is at least 1 order of magnitude more accurate than steady/ergodic-state approximations, even for 1-good models.

#### But:

- ▶ trouble with grid approach: 10<sup>12</sup> points for 12 dimensions
- approximation is poor outside of grid
- VFI is also unstable (poorly suited to multi-sectoral flows)

### How we arrived at Maiwar . . . the research question

My old supervisor (Herakles Polemarchakis) once told me that building a model without a question is *the kiss of death*.

Reading group: Aarushi, Marian, Patrick Duenow and I, late 2020.

- Economy-wide implications of mental health: with Patrick D
- COVID impact
- ▶ In 2022, settled on 2050 net-zero carbon emission targets.
  - Most economists think Australia will be better off, but
  - Adams 2021, CoPS: Qld -6% GSP and -100k jobs rel. to base.
  - What about Qld targets over and above those of Australia?

#### MAIWAR (Modelling Australian Industry With AMPL Regions)

- 1. Flexible yet Fast: without steady-state approx
- 2. Look-forward property: flow of state-action dependent rewards

$$V_0(\omega_0) = r_0(\omega_0, a_0) + \cdots + r_9(\omega_9, a_9) + V_{10}(\omega_{10})$$

- 3. Uncertainty: easy way to improve on CoPS
- 4. Investment/saving behaviour: Euler equations: CGE Dixon-Rimmer 2020
- 5. Data: BLADE, calibration, econometrics
- 6. Robust/Reliable: works with a variety of set-ups
- 7. Accurate/Accessible: John as end-user, as open source as possible
- 8. Modern yet Trustworthy: best-in-class knowledge, 2+ solvers
- 9. Scalable: at least to 8 regions and 20 sectors

# Cai-Judd's SCEQ: Simple (yet Powerful) Certainty Equivalent Method

E.g. Irreversible risk: one-off, permanent shock to output.

Loosely resembles tipping points: each year, chance of ice-shelf . . .

Yields 28 paths to 2050:

- path where shock never happens
- path where shock happens in 2023;
- path where shock happens in 2024;

Agent(s) make 10-year plans at each time t along a path:

Balance consumption today vs uncertain consumption tomorrow.

Once plan t is made, time reveals state  $\omega_{t+1} \to \text{new plan}$ .

#### Our contribution: multi-sectoral flows

$$k_{t+1,j} = (1-\delta)k_{t,j} + s_{t,j}$$

where  $s_{ti}$  is a CES function of intermediate flows.

$$s_{t,j} = \left(\sum_{i} \sigma_{i,j} S_{t,i,j}^{\rho}\right)^{\frac{1}{\rho}}$$

From Atalay's model:  $\rho = \frac{0.1-1}{0.1} = -9$ .

Difficulty: 8 Regions, 20 Sectors, 10 LookForward:

 $8 * 20^2 * 10 = 32,000$  flows.

Jacobi Equation:

$$S_{ij}/\sigma_{ij} =$$

The solution for each path for Investment, Kapital and

Labour

Cai-Judd: under the bonnet

# Why CGE modelling?

- "Old-fashioned", "black-box", "intractible", . . .
- Yet industry demands "CGE" modelling and uses it as a basis for key decisions.
- A guide to quantifying the broader repurcussions of sector-specific shocks.
- A guide to analysing the implications of

#### Macroeconomics with networks

# Why the focus on uncertainty?

There is pretty strong evidence that the rise in uncertainty is a significant factor holding back the pace of recovery now. [...] research shows that heightened uncertainty slows economic growth, raises unemployment, and reduces inflationary pressures. [...] There is no question that slow growth, high unemployment, and significant uncertainty are challenges for monetary policy.

# Why not use a CoPS CGE model?

- Cost of software and of data for the model.
- ► CoPS already have a recent paper on 2050 targets

#### Moreover:

- no proper savings/investment: intertemporal behaviour
  - leads to strange "macro-closure conditions"
- no model of risk/uncertainty and associated behaviour

CoPS assume current economy is in Deterministic Steady-State.

### Treasury Intersectoral Model (TIM, 2017)

Part of a new generation of Australian models

J. Miranda-Pinto of UQ had a hand in TIM (and in our choices)

TIM has a sister called EMMA (Macro-econometric forecasting)

- TIM has proper savings
- ▶ 114-sector model of Australia
- but no risk
- Deterministic steady state & we can't access

# Adapting the Atalay model

#### Atalay assumes economy in (non-determistic) steady state

- pretty complete and quite good empirical foundations
- we have full access via Matlab & Stata

#### Our adaptation of Atalay is the first model in our suite.

- ▶ less than 1 second to solve a 20-sector model
- regionalise using LGA-level income data via Table Builder
- capital flows matrix by adapting a US flows table from 1997
- Social Accounting Matrix using Current and Capital Accounts

#### Table of Contents

John Mangar

Patrick O'Callaghar

"Global" solution methods

Value functions

# Quote from Cai and Judd (Feb, 2021)

Macroeconomists [and CoPS] are often interested in obtaining solutions around the non-stochastic steady state.

However in reality, the initial state could be far away from the steady state, and a policymaker may be more interested in the solutions for the initial periods in the forward-looking model than the far future states that could be around the steady state.

For example, in environmental and climate change economics . . .

# The current value function $V_0$

$$V_{0}(\omega_{0}) = \max_{a} \quad \mathbb{E}\left\{\sum_{t=0}^{27} \beta^{t} r_{t}(\omega_{t}, a_{t}) + \beta^{28} V_{28}(\omega_{28})\right\}$$
s.t.  $\omega_{t+1} = g_{t}(\omega_{t}, a_{t}, \varepsilon_{t}), \quad t = 0, \dots, 27$ 

$$f_{t}(\omega_{t}, a_{t}) \geq 0, \quad t = 0, \dots, 27.$$

With current state  $\omega_t$ , action  $a_t$ , expectation  $\mathbb{E}$ , reward  $r_t$ , discount factor  $0 < \beta < 1$ , terminal value function  $V_{28}$ , transition law  $g_t$ , error  $\varepsilon_t$  and feasibility constraints  $f_t$  on actions.

• Once approximated, iterate over t to get optimal policy  $a_{\cdot}^{*}(\omega)$ 

### Thanks for listening!

Many thanks to the absent members of the team:

- ▶ Josh Aberdeen
- Patrick Duenow
- Cameron Gordon