

Veriopt Theories

February 4, 2022

Contents

1	Data-flow Semantics	1
1.1	Data-flow Tree Representation	2
1.2	Data-flow Tree Evaluation	3
1.3	Data-flow Tree Refinement	5
1.4	Data-flow Tree Theorems	6
1.4.1	Deterministic Data-flow Evaluation	6
1.4.2	Evaluation Results are Valid	7
1.4.3	Example Data-flow Optimisations	9
1.4.4	Monotonicity of Expression Refinement	9
2	Tree to Graph	11
2.1	Subgraph to Data-flow Tree	11
2.2	Data-flow Tree to Subgraph	14
2.3	Lift Data-flow Tree Semantics	19
2.4	Graph Refinement	19
2.5	Maximal Sharing	19
2.6	Tree to Graph Theorems	19
2.6.1	Extraction and Evaluation of Expression Trees is Deterministic.	19
2.6.2	Monotonicity of Graph Refinement	25
2.6.3	Lift Data-flow Tree Refinement to Graph Refinement	28
3	Control-flow Semantics	42
3.1	Object Heap	42
3.2	Intraprocedural Semantics	43
3.3	Interprocedural Semantics	45
3.4	Big-step Execution	47
3.4.1	Heap Testing	48
3.5	Control-flow Semantics Theorems	48
3.5.1	Control-flow Step is Deterministic	49

1 Data-flow Semantics

```
theory IRTreeEval
imports
  Graph.Values
  Graph.Stamp
begin
```

We define a tree representation of data-flow nodes, as an abstraction of the graph view.

Data-flow trees are evaluated in the context of a method state (currently called *MapState* in the theories for historical reasons).

The method state consists of the values for each method parameter, references to method parameters use an index of the parameter within the parameter list, as such we store a list of parameter values which are looked up at parameter references.

The method state also stores a mapping of node ids to values. The contents of this mapping is calculated during the traversal of the control flow graph. As a concrete example, as the *SignedDivNode* can have side-effects (during division by zero), it is treated as part of the control-flow, since the data-flow phase is specified to be side-effect free. As a result, the control-flow semantics for *SignedDivNode* calculates the value of a node and maps the node identifier to the value within the method state. The data-flow semantics then just reads the value stored in the method state for the node.

```
type-synonym ID = nat
type-synonym MapState = ID  $\Rightarrow$  Value
type-synonym Params = Value list
```

```
definition new-map-state :: MapState where
  new-map-state = ( $\lambda x$ . UndefVal)
```

1.1 Data-flow Tree Representation

```
datatype IRUnaryOp =
  UnaryAbs
| UnaryNeg
| UnaryNot
| UnaryLogicNegation
| UnaryNarrow (ir-inputBits: nat) (ir-resultBits: nat)
| UnarySignExtend (ir-inputBits: nat) (ir-resultBits: nat)
| UnaryZeroExtend (ir-inputBits: nat) (ir-resultBits: nat)
```

```
datatype IRBinaryOp =
  BinAdd
| BinMul
| BinSub
| BinAnd
```

```

| BinOr
| BinXor
| BinLeftShift
| BinRightShift
| BinURightShift
| BinIntegerEquals
| BinIntegerLessThan
| BinIntegerBelow

datatype (discs-sels) IRExpr =
  UnaryExpr (ir-uop: IRUnaryOp) (ir-value: IRExpr)
| BinaryExpr (ir-op: IRBinaryOp) (ir-x: IRExpr) (ir-y: IRExpr)
| ConditionalExpr (ir-condition: IRExpr) (ir-trueValue: IRExpr) (ir-falseValue:
IRExpr)

| ParameterExpr (ir-index: nat) (ir-stamp: Stamp)

| LeafExpr (ir-nid: ID) (ir-stamp: Stamp)

| ConstantExpr (ir-const: Value)
| ConstantVar (ir-name: string)
| VariableExpr (ir-name: string) (ir-stamp: Stamp)

fun is-ground :: IRExpr ⇒ bool where
  is-ground (UnaryExpr op e) = is-ground e |
  is-ground (BinaryExpr op e1 e2) = (is-ground e1 ∧ is-ground e2) |
  is-ground (ConditionalExpr b e1 e2) = (is-ground b ∧ is-ground e1 ∧ is-ground
e2) |
  is-ground (ParameterExpr i s) = True |
  is-ground (LeafExpr n s) = True |
  is-ground (ConstantExpr v) = True |
  is-ground (ConstantVar name) = False |
  is-ground (VariableExpr name s) = False

typedef GroundExpr = { e :: IRExpr . is-ground e }
using is-ground.simps(6) by blast

fun stamp-unary :: IRUnaryOp ⇒ Stamp ⇒ Stamp where
  stamp-unary op (IntegerStamp b lo hi) = unrestricted-stamp (IntegerStamp b lo
hi) |

  stamp-unary op - = IllegalStamp

definition fixed-32 :: IRBinaryOp set where
  fixed-32 = { BinIntegerEquals, BinIntegerLessThan, BinIntegerBelow }

fun stamp-binary :: IRBinaryOp ⇒ Stamp ⇒ Stamp ⇒ Stamp where

```

```

stamp-binary op (IntegerStamp b1 lo1 hi1) (IntegerStamp b2 lo2 hi2) =
  (case op ∈ fixed-32 of True ⇒ unrestricted-stamp (IntegerStamp 32 lo1 hi1) |
   False ⇒
    (if (b1 = b2) then unrestricted-stamp (IntegerStamp b1 lo1 hi1) else Illegal-
     Stamp)) |

```

```

stamp-binary op - = IllegalStamp

```

```

fun stamp-expr :: IRExpr ⇒ Stamp where
  stamp-expr (UnaryExpr op x) = stamp-unary op (stamp-expr x) |
  stamp-expr (BinaryExpr bop x y) = stamp-binary bop (stamp-expr x) (stamp-expr
  y) |
  stamp-expr (ConstantExpr val) = constantAsStamp val |
  stamp-expr (LeafExpr i s) = s |
  stamp-expr (ParameterExpr i s) = s |
  stamp-expr (ConditionalExpr c t f) = meet (stamp-expr t) (stamp-expr f)

```

```

export-code stamp-unary stamp-binary stamp-expr

```

1.2 Data-flow Tree Evaluation

```

fun unary-eval :: IRUnaryOp ⇒ Value ⇒ Value where
  unary-eval UnaryAbs v = intval-abs v |
  unary-eval UnaryNeg v = intval-negate v |
  unary-eval UnaryNot v = intval-not v |
  unary-eval UnaryLogicNegation v = intval-logic-negation v |
  unary-eval op v1 =.UndefVal

```

```

fun bin-eval :: IRBinaryOp ⇒ Value ⇒ Value ⇒ Value where
  bin-eval BinAdd v1 v2 = intval-add v1 v2 |
  bin-eval BinMul v1 v2 = intval-mul v1 v2 |
  bin-eval BinSub v1 v2 = intval-sub v1 v2 |
  bin-eval BinAnd v1 v2 = intval-and v1 v2 |
  bin-eval BinOr v1 v2 = intval-or v1 v2 |
  bin-eval BinXor v1 v2 = intval-xor v1 v2 |
  bin-eval BinLeftShift v1 v2 = intval-left-shift v1 v2 |
  bin-eval BinRightShift v1 v2 = intval-right-shift v1 v2 |
  bin-eval BinURightShift v1 v2 = intval-uright-shift v1 v2 |
  bin-eval BinIntegerEquals v1 v2 = intval-equals v1 v2 |
  bin-eval BinIntegerLessThan v1 v2 = intval-less-than v1 v2 |
  bin-eval BinIntegerBelow v1 v2 = intval-below v1 v2

```

```

inductive not-undef-or-fail :: Value ⇒ Value ⇒ bool where
  [value ≠.UndefVal] ⇒ not-undef-or-fail value value

```

```

notation (latex output)
  not-undef-or-fail (- = -)

```

inductive

evaltree :: *MapState* \Rightarrow *Params* \Rightarrow *IRExpr* \Rightarrow *Value* \Rightarrow *bool* (*[-,-]* \vdash - \mapsto - 55)

for *m p* **where**

ConstantExpr:

$\llbracket \text{valid-value } c \text{ (constantAsStamp } c) \rrbracket$
 $\implies [m,p] \vdash (\text{ConstantExpr } c) \mapsto c \mid$

ParameterExpr:

$\llbracket i < \text{length } p; \text{valid-value } (p!i) \text{ } s \rrbracket$
 $\implies [m,p] \vdash (\text{ParameterExpr } i \text{ } s) \mapsto p!i \mid$

ConditionalExpr:

$\llbracket [m,p] \vdash ce \mapsto \text{cond};$
 $\text{branch} = (\text{if val-to-bool cond then te else fe});$
 $[m,p] \vdash \text{branch} \mapsto v;$
 $v \neq \text{UndefVal} \rrbracket$
 $\implies [m,p] \vdash (\text{ConditionalExpr } ce \text{ } te \text{ } fe) \mapsto v \mid$

UnaryExpr:

$\llbracket [m,p] \vdash xe \mapsto v;$
 $\text{result} = (\text{unary-eval op } v);$
 $\text{result} \neq \text{UndefVal} \rrbracket$
 $\implies [m,p] \vdash (\text{UnaryExpr op } xe) \mapsto \text{result} \mid$

BinaryExpr:

$\llbracket [m,p] \vdash xe \mapsto x;$
 $[m,p] \vdash ye \mapsto y;$
 $\text{result} = (\text{bin-eval op } x \text{ } y);$
 $\text{result} \neq \text{UndefVal} \rrbracket$
 $\implies [m,p] \vdash (\text{BinaryExpr op } xe \text{ } ye) \mapsto \text{result} \mid$

LeafExpr:

$\llbracket \text{val} = m \text{ } n;$
 $\text{valid-value val } s \rrbracket$
 $\implies [m,p] \vdash \text{LeafExpr } n \text{ } s \mapsto \text{val}$

code-pred (*modes*: *i* \Rightarrow *i* \Rightarrow *i* \Rightarrow *o* \Rightarrow *bool* as *evalT*)

[*show-steps,show-mode-inference,show-intermediate-results*]

evaltree .

inductive

evaltrees :: *MapState* \Rightarrow *Params* \Rightarrow *IRExpr list* \Rightarrow *Value list* \Rightarrow *bool* (*[-,-]* \vdash - \mapsto_L

- 55)

for *m p* **where**

EvalNil:

$[m,p] \vdash [] \mapsto_L [] \mid$

```

EvalCons:
[[ $m, p$ ]  $\vdash x \mapsto xval$ ;
 $[m, p] \vdash yy \mapsto_L yyval$ ]
 $\implies [m, p] \vdash (x \# yy) \mapsto_L (xval \# yyval)$ 

code-pred (modes:  $i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  as evalTs)
  evaltrees .

definition sq-param0 :: IRExpr where
  sq-param0 = BinaryExpr BinMul
    (ParameterExpr 0 (IntegerStamp 32 (- 2147483648) 2147483647))
    (ParameterExpr 0 (IntegerStamp 32 (- 2147483648) 2147483647))

values {v. evaltree new-map-state [IntVal32 5] sq-param0 v}

declare evaltree.intros [intro]
declare evaltrees.intros [intro]

```

1.3 Data-flow Tree Refinement

We define the induced semantic equivalence relation between expressions. Note that syntactic equality implies semantic equivalence, but not vice versa.

definition *equiv-exprs* :: *IRExpr* \Rightarrow *IRExpr* \Rightarrow *bool* ($- \doteq -$ 55) **where**
 $(e1 \doteq e2) = (\forall m p v. ([m, p] \vdash e1 \mapsto v) \longleftrightarrow ([m, p] \vdash e2 \mapsto v))$

We also prove that this is a total equivalence relation (*equivp equiv-exprs*) (HOL.Equiv_Relations), so that we can reuse standard results about equivalence relations.

lemma *equivp equiv-exprs*
apply (*auto simp add: equivp-def equiv-exprs-def*)
by (*metis equiv-exprs-def*)+

We define a refinement ordering over *IRExpr* and show that it is a preorder. Note that it is asymmetric because *e2* may refer to fewer variables than *e1*.

instantiation *IRExpr* :: *preorder* **begin**

notation *less-eq* (**infix** \sqsubseteq 65)

definition
le-expr-def [*simp*]:
 $(e2 \leq e1) \longleftrightarrow (\forall m p v. ([m, p] \vdash e1 \mapsto v) \longrightarrow ([m, p] \vdash e2 \mapsto v))$

definition
lt-expr-def [*simp*]:

$$(e_1 < e_2) \longleftrightarrow (e_1 \leq e_2 \wedge \neg (e_1 \dot{=} e_2))$$

instance proof

```

  fix x y z :: IRExp
  show x < y  $\longleftrightarrow$  x  $\leq$  y  $\wedge$   $\neg$  (y  $\leq$  x) by (simp add: equiv-exprs-def; auto)
  show x  $\leq$  x by simp
  show x  $\leq$  y  $\implies$  y  $\leq$  z  $\implies$  x  $\leq$  z by simp
qed

```

end

```

abbreviation (output) Refines :: IRExp  $\Rightarrow$  IRExp  $\Rightarrow$  bool (infix  $\sqsupseteq$  64)
  where e1  $\sqsupseteq$  e2  $\equiv$  (e2  $\leq$  e1)

```

end

1.4 Data-flow Tree Theorems

theory IRTreeEvalThms

```

imports
  IRTreeEval

```

begin

1.4.1 Deterministic Data-flow Evaluation

lemma evalDet:

```

[m,p]  $\vdash$  e  $\mapsto$  v1  $\implies$ 
[m,p]  $\vdash$  e  $\mapsto$  v2  $\implies$ 
v1 = v2
apply (induction arbitrary: v2 rule: evaltree.induct)
by (elim EvalTreeE; auto)+

```

lemma evalAllDet:

```

[m,p]  $\vdash$  e  $\mapsto_L$  v1  $\implies$ 
[m,p]  $\vdash$  e  $\mapsto_L$  v2  $\implies$ 
v1 = v2
apply (induction arbitrary: v2 rule: evaltrees.induct)
apply (elim EvalTreeE; auto)
using evalDet by force

```

1.4.2 Evaluation Results are Valid

A valid value cannot be *UndefVal*.

lemma valid-not-undef:

```

assumes a1: valid-value val s
assumes a2: s  $\neq$  VoidStamp
shows val  $\neq$  UndefVal
apply (rule valid-value.elims(1)[of val s True])
using a1 a2 by auto

```

```

lemma valid-VoidStamp[elim]:
  shows valid-value val VoidStamp  $\implies$ 
    val =.UndefVal
  using valid-value.simps by metis

lemma valid-ObjStamp[elim]:
  shows valid-value val (ObjectStamp klass exact nonNull alwaysNull)  $\implies$ 
     $(\exists v. \textit{val} = \textit{ObjRef } v)$ 
  using valid-value.simps by (metis val-to-bool.cases)

lemma valid-int32[elim]:
  shows valid-value val (IntegerStamp 32 l h)  $\implies$ 
     $(\exists v. \textit{val} = \textit{IntVal32 } v)$ 
  apply (rule val-to-bool.cases[of val])
  using Value.distinct by simp+

lemma valid-int64[elim]:
  shows valid-value val (IntegerStamp 64 l h)  $\implies$ 
     $(\exists v. \textit{val} = \textit{IntVal64 } v)$ 
  apply (rule val-to-bool.cases[of val])
  using Value.distinct by simp+

lemmas valid-value-elim =
  valid-VoidStamp
  valid-ObjStamp
  valid-int32
  valid-int64

lemma evaltree-not-undef:
  fixes m p e v
  shows  $([m,p] \vdash e \mapsto v) \implies v \neq \textit{UndefVal}$ 
  apply (induction rule: evaltree.induct)
  using valid-not-undef by auto

lemma leafint32:
  assumes ev: [m,p]  $\vdash$  LeafExpr i (IntegerStamp 32 lo hi)  $\mapsto$  val
  shows  $\exists v. \textit{val} = (\textit{IntVal32 } v)$ 

proof –
  have valid-value val (IntegerStamp 32 lo hi)
    using ev by (rule LeafExprE; simp)
  then show ?thesis by auto
qed

```


lemma *leafint64*:
assumes *ev*: $[m, p] \vdash \text{LeafExpr } i \text{ (IntegerStamp 64 lo hi)} \mapsto \text{val}$
shows $\exists v. \text{val} = (\text{IntVal64 } v)$

proof –
have *valid-value val (IntegerStamp 64 lo hi)*
using *ev* **by** (rule *LeafExprE*; *simp*)
then show *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *default-stamp [simp]*: *default-stamp* = *IntegerStamp 32 (-2147483648)*
2147483647
using *default-stamp-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *valid32 [simp]*:
assumes *valid-value val (IntegerStamp 32 lo hi)*
shows $\exists v. (\text{val} = (\text{IntVal32 } v) \wedge \text{lo} \leq \text{sint } v \wedge \text{sint } v \leq \text{hi})$
using *assms valid-int32* **by** *force*

lemma *valid64 [simp]*:
assumes *valid-value val (IntegerStamp 64 lo hi)*
shows $\exists v. (\text{val} = (\text{IntVal64 } v) \wedge \text{lo} \leq \text{sint } v \wedge \text{sint } v \leq \text{hi})$
using *assms valid-int64* **by** *force*

lemma *valid32or64*:
assumes *valid-value x (IntegerStamp b lo hi)*
shows $(\exists v1. (x = \text{IntVal32 } v1)) \vee (\exists v2. (x = \text{IntVal64 } v2))$
using *valid32 valid64 assms valid-value.elims(2)* **by** *blast*

lemma *valid32or64-both*:
assumes *valid-value x (IntegerStamp b lox hix)*
and *valid-value y (IntegerStamp b loy hiy)*
shows $(\exists v1 v2. x = \text{IntVal32 } v1 \wedge y = \text{IntVal32 } v2) \vee (\exists v3 v4. x = \text{IntVal64 } v3 \wedge y = \text{IntVal64 } v4)$
using *assms valid32or64 valid32 valid-value.elims(2) valid-value.simps(1)* **by** *metis*

1.4.3 Example Data-flow Optimisations

lemma *a0a-helper [simp]*:
assumes *a*: *valid-value v (IntegerStamp 32 lo hi)*
shows *intval-add v (IntVal32 0) = v*

proof –
obtain *v32 :: int32* **where** *v = (IntVal32 v32)* **using** *a valid32* **by** *blast*
then show *?thesis* **by** *simp*
qed

lemma *a0a*: $(\text{BinaryExpr BinAdd (LeafExpr 1 default-stamp) (ConstantExpr (IntVal32 0))})$

$\geq (\text{LeafExpr } 1 \text{ default-stamp})$
by (*auto simp add: evaltree.LeanExpr*)

lemma *xyx-y-helper* [*simp*]:
assumes *valid-value* x (*IntegerStamp* 32 *lox* hix)
assumes *valid-value* y (*IntegerStamp* 32 *loy* hiy)
shows *intval-add* x (*intval-sub* y x) = y
proof –
obtain $x32 :: \text{int32}$ **where** $x: x = (\text{IntVal32 } x32)$ **using** *assms valid32* **by** *blast*
obtain $y32 :: \text{int32}$ **where** $y: y = (\text{IntVal32 } y32)$ **using** *assms valid32* **by** *blast*
show *?thesis* **using** x y **by** *simp*
qed

lemma *xyx-y*:
(*BinaryExpr* *BinAdd*
(*LeafExpr* x (*IntegerStamp* 32 *lox* hix))
(*BinaryExpr* *BinSub*
(*LeafExpr* y (*IntegerStamp* 32 *loy* hiy))
(*LeafExpr* x (*IntegerStamp* 32 *lox* hix))))
 \geq (*LeafExpr* y (*IntegerStamp* 32 *loy* hiy))
by (*auto simp add: LeafExpr*)

1.4.4 Monotonicity of Expression Refinement

We prove that each subexpression position is monotonic. That is, optimizing a subexpression anywhere deep inside a top-level expression also optimizes that top-level expression.

Note that we might also be able to do this via reusing Isabelle’s ‘mono’ operator (HOL.Orderings theory), proving instantiations like ‘mono (UnaryExpr op)’ but it is not obvious how to do this for both arguments of the binary expressions.

lemma *mono-unary*:
assumes $e \geq e'$
shows (*UnaryExpr* *op* e) \geq (*UnaryExpr* *op* e')
using *UnaryExpr assms* **by** *auto*

lemma *mono-binary*:
assumes $x \geq x'$
assumes $y \geq y'$
shows (*BinaryExpr* *op* x y) \geq (*BinaryExpr* *op* x' y')
using *BinaryExpr assms* **by** *auto*

lemma *never-void*:
assumes $[m, p] \vdash x \mapsto xv$
assumes *valid-value* xv (*stamp-expr* xe)
shows *stamp-expr* $xe \neq \text{VoidStamp}$

```

using valid-value.simps
using assms(2) by force

lemma stamp32:
   $\exists v . xv = \text{IntVal32 } v \longleftrightarrow \text{valid-value } xv \ (\text{IntegerStamp } 32 \text{ lo } hi)$ 
using valid-int32
by (metis (full-types) Value.inject(1) zero-neq-one)

lemma stamp64:
   $\exists v . xv = \text{IntVal64 } v \longleftrightarrow \text{valid-value } xv \ (\text{IntegerStamp } 64 \text{ lo } hi)$ 
using valid-int64
by (metis (full-types) Value.inject(2) zero-neq-one)

lemma stamprange:
   $\text{valid-value } v \ s \longrightarrow (\exists b \text{ lo } hi. (s = \text{IntegerStamp } b \text{ lo } hi) \wedge (b = 32 \vee b = 64))$ 
using valid-value.elims stamp32 stamp64
by (smt (verit, del-insts))

lemma compatible-trans:
   $\text{compatible } x \ y \wedge \text{compatible } y \ z \implies \text{compatible } x \ z$ 
by (smt (verit, best) compatible.elims(2) compatible.simps(1))

lemma compatible-refl:
   $\text{compatible } x \ y \implies \text{compatible } y \ x$ 
using compatible.elims(2) by fastforce

lemma mono-conditional:
  assumes  $ce \geq ce'$ 
  assumes  $te \geq te'$ 
  assumes  $fe \geq fe'$ 
  shows  $(\text{ConditionalExpr } ce \ te \ fe) \geq (\text{ConditionalExpr } ce' \ te' \ fe')$ 
proof (simp only: le-expr-def; (rule allI)+; rule impI)
  fix  $m \ p \ v$ 
  assume  $a: [m, p] \vdash \text{ConditionalExpr } ce \ te \ fe \mapsto v$ 
  then obtain  $cond$  where  $ce: [m, p] \vdash ce \mapsto cond$  by auto
  then have  $ce': [m, p] \vdash ce' \mapsto cond$  using assms by auto

  define  $branch$  where  $b: branch = (\text{if val-to-bool } cond \text{ then } te \text{ else } fe)$ 
  define  $branch'$  where  $b': branch' = (\text{if val-to-bool } cond \text{ then } te' \text{ else } fe')$ 
  then have  $beval: [m, p] \vdash branch \mapsto v$  using  $a \ b \ ce \ \text{evalDet}$  by blast

  from  $beval$  have  $[m, p] \vdash branch' \mapsto v$  using assms  $b \ b'$  by auto
  then show  $[m, p] \vdash \text{ConditionalExpr } ce' \ te' \ fe' \mapsto v$ 
    using ConditionalExpr ce' b'
    using  $a$  by blast
qed

```

end

2 Tree to Graph

```
theory TreeToGraph
  imports
    Semantics.IRTreeEval
    Graph.IRGraph
begin
```

2.1 Subgraph to Data-flow Tree

```
fun find-node-and-stamp :: IRGraph  $\Rightarrow$  (IRNode  $\times$  Stamp)  $\Rightarrow$  ID option where
  find-node-and-stamp g (n,s) =
    find ( $\lambda i.$  kind g i = n  $\wedge$  stamp g i = s) (sorted-list-of-set(ids g))

export-code find-node-and-stamp
```

```
fun is-preevaluated :: IRNode  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  is-preevaluated (InvokeNode n - - - -) = True |
  is-preevaluated (InvokeWithExceptionNode n - - - -) = True |
  is-preevaluated (NewInstanceNode n - -) = True |
  is-preevaluated (LoadFieldNode n - -) = True |
  is-preevaluated (SignedDivNode n - - - -) = True |
  is-preevaluated (SignedRemNode n - - - -) = True |
  is-preevaluated (ValuePhiNode n -) = True |
  is-preevaluated - = False
```

```
inductive
  rep :: IRGraph  $\Rightarrow$  ID  $\Rightarrow$  IRExpr  $\Rightarrow$  bool ( $- \vdash - \simeq -$  55)
  for g where
```

```
  ConstantNode:
     $\llbracket \text{kind } g \ n = \text{ConstantNode } c \rrbracket$ 
     $\implies g \vdash n \simeq (\text{ConstantExpr } c) \mid$ 
```

```
  ParameterNode:
     $\llbracket \text{kind } g \ n = \text{ParameterNode } i;$ 
     $\text{stamp } g \ n = s \rrbracket$ 
     $\implies g \vdash n \simeq (\text{ParameterExpr } i \ s) \mid$ 
```

```
  ConditionalNode:
     $\llbracket \text{kind } g \ n = \text{ConditionalNode } c \ t \ f;$ 
     $g \vdash c \simeq ce;$ 
     $g \vdash t \simeq te;$ 
     $g \vdash f \simeq fe \rrbracket$ 
```

$$\implies g \vdash n \simeq (\text{ConditionalExpr } ce \ te \ fe) \mid$$

AbsNode:

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket kind \ g \ n = \text{AbsNode } x; \\ & \quad g \vdash x \simeq xe \rrbracket \\ & \implies g \vdash n \simeq (\text{UnaryExpr } \text{UnaryAbs } xe) \mid \end{aligned}$$

NotNode:

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket kind \ g \ n = \text{NotNode } x; \\ & \quad g \vdash x \simeq xe \rrbracket \\ & \implies g \vdash n \simeq (\text{UnaryExpr } \text{UnaryNot } xe) \mid \end{aligned}$$

NegateNode:

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket kind \ g \ n = \text{NegateNode } x; \\ & \quad g \vdash x \simeq xe \rrbracket \\ & \implies g \vdash n \simeq (\text{UnaryExpr } \text{UnaryNeg } xe) \mid \end{aligned}$$

LogicNegationNode:

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket kind \ g \ n = \text{LogicNegationNode } x; \\ & \quad g \vdash x \simeq xe \rrbracket \\ & \implies g \vdash n \simeq (\text{UnaryExpr } \text{UnaryLogicNegation } xe) \mid \end{aligned}$$

AddNode:

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket kind \ g \ n = \text{AddNode } x \ y; \\ & \quad g \vdash x \simeq xe; \\ & \quad g \vdash y \simeq ye \rrbracket \\ & \implies g \vdash n \simeq (\text{BinaryExpr } \text{BinAdd } xe \ ye) \mid \end{aligned}$$

MulNode:

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket kind \ g \ n = \text{MulNode } x \ y; \\ & \quad g \vdash x \simeq xe; \\ & \quad g \vdash y \simeq ye \rrbracket \\ & \implies g \vdash n \simeq (\text{BinaryExpr } \text{BinMul } xe \ ye) \mid \end{aligned}$$

SubNode:

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket kind \ g \ n = \text{SubNode } x \ y; \\ & \quad g \vdash x \simeq xe; \\ & \quad g \vdash y \simeq ye \rrbracket \\ & \implies g \vdash n \simeq (\text{BinaryExpr } \text{BinSub } xe \ ye) \mid \end{aligned}$$

AndNode:

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket kind \ g \ n = \text{AndNode } x \ y; \\ & \quad g \vdash x \simeq xe; \\ & \quad g \vdash y \simeq ye \rrbracket \\ & \implies g \vdash n \simeq (\text{BinaryExpr } \text{BinAnd } xe \ ye) \mid \end{aligned}$$

OrNode:

$\llbracket \text{kind } g \ n = \text{OrNode } x \ y;$
 $g \vdash x \simeq xe;$
 $g \vdash y \simeq ye \rrbracket$
 $\implies g \vdash n \simeq (\text{BinaryExpr BinOr } xe \ ye) \mid$

XorNode:
 $\llbracket \text{kind } g \ n = \text{XorNode } x \ y;$
 $g \vdash x \simeq xe;$
 $g \vdash y \simeq ye \rrbracket$
 $\implies g \vdash n \simeq (\text{BinaryExpr BinXor } xe \ ye) \mid$

IntegerBelowNode:
 $\llbracket \text{kind } g \ n = \text{IntegerBelowNode } x \ y;$
 $g \vdash x \simeq xe;$
 $g \vdash y \simeq ye \rrbracket$
 $\implies g \vdash n \simeq (\text{BinaryExpr BinIntegerBelow } xe \ ye) \mid$

IntegerEqualsNode:
 $\llbracket \text{kind } g \ n = \text{IntegerEqualsNode } x \ y;$
 $g \vdash x \simeq xe;$
 $g \vdash y \simeq ye \rrbracket$
 $\implies g \vdash n \simeq (\text{BinaryExpr BinIntegerEquals } xe \ ye) \mid$

IntegerLessThanNode:
 $\llbracket \text{kind } g \ n = \text{IntegerLessThanNode } x \ y;$
 $g \vdash x \simeq xe;$
 $g \vdash y \simeq ye \rrbracket$
 $\implies g \vdash n \simeq (\text{BinaryExpr BinIntegerLessThan } xe \ ye) \mid$

NarrowNode:
 $\llbracket \text{kind } g \ n = \text{NarrowNode inputBits resultBits } x;$
 $g \vdash x \simeq xe \rrbracket$
 $\implies g \vdash n \simeq (\text{UnaryExpr (UnaryNarrow inputBits resultBits) } xe) \mid$

SignExtendNode:
 $\llbracket \text{kind } g \ n = \text{SignExtendNode inputBits resultBits } x;$
 $g \vdash x \simeq xe \rrbracket$
 $\implies g \vdash n \simeq (\text{UnaryExpr (UnarySignExtend inputBits resultBits) } xe) \mid$

ZeroExtendNode:
 $\llbracket \text{kind } g \ n = \text{ZeroExtendNode inputBits resultBits } x;$
 $g \vdash x \simeq xe \rrbracket$
 $\implies g \vdash n \simeq (\text{UnaryExpr (UnaryZeroExtend inputBits resultBits) } xe) \mid$

LeafNode:
 $\llbracket \text{is-preevaluated (kind } g \ n);$
 $\text{stamp } g \ n = s \rrbracket$

$\implies g \vdash n \simeq (\text{LeafExpr } n \ s) \mid$

RefNode:
 $\llbracket \text{kind } g \ n = \text{RefNode } n';$
 $g \vdash n' \simeq e \rrbracket$
 $\implies g \vdash n \simeq e$

code-pred (*modes*: $i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ as *exprE*) *rep* .

inductive

replist :: *IRGraph* \Rightarrow *ID list* \Rightarrow *IRExpr list* \Rightarrow *bool* ($- \vdash - \simeq_L$ - 55)
for *g* **where**

RepNil:
 $g \vdash [] \simeq_L [] \mid$

RepCons:
 $\llbracket g \vdash x \simeq xe;$
 $g \vdash xs \simeq_L xse \rrbracket$
 $\implies g \vdash x \# xs \simeq_L xe \# xse$

code-pred (*modes*: $i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ as *exprListE*) *replist* .

definition *wf-term-graph* :: *MapState* \Rightarrow *Params* \Rightarrow *IRGraph* \Rightarrow *ID* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**
wf-term-graph *m p g n* = $(\exists e. (g \vdash n \simeq e) \wedge (\exists v. ([m, p] \vdash e \mapsto v)))$

values {*t*. *eg2-sq* $\vdash 4 \simeq t$ }

2.2 Data-flow Tree to Subgraph

fun *unary-node* :: *IRUnaryOp* \Rightarrow *ID* \Rightarrow *IRNode* **where**

unary-node *UnaryAbs* *v* = *AbsNode* *v* \mid
unary-node *UnaryNot* *v* = *NotNode* *v* \mid
unary-node *UnaryNeg* *v* = *NegateNode* *v* \mid
unary-node *UnaryLogicNegation* *v* = *LogicNegationNode* *v* \mid
unary-node (*UnaryNarrow* *ib rb*) *v* = *NarrowNode* *ib rb v* \mid
unary-node (*UnarySignExtend* *ib rb*) *v* = *SignExtendNode* *ib rb v* \mid
unary-node (*UnaryZeroExtend* *ib rb*) *v* = *ZeroExtendNode* *ib rb v*

fun *bin-node* :: *IRBinaryOp* \Rightarrow *ID* \Rightarrow *ID* \Rightarrow *IRNode* **where**

bin-node *BinAdd* *x y* = *AddNode* *x y* \mid
bin-node *BinMul* *x y* = *MulNode* *x y* \mid
bin-node *BinSub* *x y* = *SubNode* *x y* \mid
bin-node *BinAnd* *x y* = *AndNode* *x y* \mid

```

bin-node BinOr x y = OrNode x y |
bin-node BinXor x y = XorNode x y |
bin-node BinLeftShift x y = LeftShiftNode x y |
bin-node BinRightShift x y = RightShiftNode x y |
bin-node BinURightShift x y = UnsignedRightShiftNode x y |
bin-node BinIntegerEquals x y = IntegerEqualsNode x y |
bin-node BinIntegerLessThan x y = IntegerLessThanNode x y |
bin-node BinIntegerBelow x y = IntegerBelowNode x y

```

```

fun choose-32-64 :: int ⇒ int64 ⇒ Value where
  choose-32-64 bits val =
    (if bits = 32
     then (IntVal32 (ucast val))
     else (IntVal64 (val)))

```

```

inductive fresh-id :: IRGraph ⇒ ID ⇒ bool where
  n ∉ ids g ⇒ fresh-id g n

```

```

code-pred fresh-id .

```

```

fun get-fresh-id :: IRGraph ⇒ ID where

```

```

  get-fresh-id g = last(sorted-list-of-set(ids g)) + 1

```

```

export-code get-fresh-id

```

```

value get-fresh-id eg2-sq

```

```

value get-fresh-id (add-node 6 (ParameterNode 2, default-stamp) eg2-sq)

```

```

inductive

```

```

  unrep :: IRGraph ⇒ IRExpr ⇒ (IRGraph × ID) ⇒ bool (- ◁ - ∼ - 55)

```

```

and

```

```

  unrepList :: IRGraph ⇒ IRExpr list ⇒ (IRGraph × ID list) ⇒ bool (- ◁L - ∼ - 55)

```

```

where

```

```

ConstantNodeSame:

```

```

[[find-node-and-stamp g (ConstantNode c, constantAsStamp c) = Some n]]
⇒ g ◁ (ConstantExpr c) ∼ (g, n) |

```

```

ConstantNodeNew:

```

```

[[find-node-and-stamp g (ConstantNode c, constantAsStamp c) = None;
 n = get-fresh-id g;
 g' = add-node n (ConstantNode c, constantAsStamp c) g ]]

```


$$\implies g \triangleleft (\text{ConstantExpr } c) \rightsquigarrow (g', n) \mid$$

ParameterNodeSame:

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket \text{find-node-and-stamp } g \text{ (ParameterNode } i, s) = \text{Some } n \rrbracket \\ & \implies g \triangleleft (\text{ParameterExpr } i \text{ } s) \rightsquigarrow (g, n) \mid \end{aligned}$$

ParameterNodeNew:

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket \text{find-node-and-stamp } g \text{ (ParameterNode } i, s) = \text{None}; \\ & \quad n = \text{get-fresh-id } g; \\ & \quad g' = \text{add-node } n \text{ (ParameterNode } i, s) \text{ } g \rrbracket \\ & \implies g \triangleleft (\text{ParameterExpr } i \text{ } s) \rightsquigarrow (g', n) \mid \end{aligned}$$

ConditionalNodeSame:

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket g \triangleleft_L [ce, te, fe] \rightsquigarrow (g2, [c, t, f]); \\ & \quad s' = \text{meet } (\text{stamp } g2 \text{ } t) (\text{stamp } g2 \text{ } f); \\ & \quad \text{find-node-and-stamp } g2 \text{ (ConditionalNode } c \text{ } t \text{ } f, s') = \text{Some } n \rrbracket \\ & \implies g \triangleleft (\text{ConditionalExpr } ce \text{ } te \text{ } fe) \rightsquigarrow (g2, n) \mid \end{aligned}$$

ConditionalNodeNew:

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket g \triangleleft_L [ce, te, fe] \rightsquigarrow (g2, [c, t, f]); \\ & \quad s' = \text{meet } (\text{stamp } g2 \text{ } t) (\text{stamp } g2 \text{ } f); \\ & \quad \text{find-node-and-stamp } g2 \text{ (ConditionalNode } c \text{ } t \text{ } f, s') = \text{None}; \\ & \quad n = \text{get-fresh-id } g2; \\ & \quad g' = \text{add-node } n \text{ (ConditionalNode } c \text{ } t \text{ } f, s') \text{ } g2 \rrbracket \\ & \implies g \triangleleft (\text{ConditionalExpr } ce \text{ } te \text{ } fe) \rightsquigarrow (g', n) \mid \end{aligned}$$

UnaryNodeSame:

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket g \triangleleft xe \rightsquigarrow (g2, x); \\ & \quad s' = \text{stamp-unary op } (\text{stamp } g2 \text{ } x); \\ & \quad \text{find-node-and-stamp } g2 \text{ (unary-node op } x, s') = \text{Some } n \rrbracket \\ & \implies g \triangleleft (\text{UnaryExpr op } xe) \rightsquigarrow (g2, n) \mid \end{aligned}$$

UnaryNodeNew:

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket g \triangleleft xe \rightsquigarrow (g2, x); \\ & \quad s' = \text{stamp-unary op } (\text{stamp } g2 \text{ } x); \\ & \quad \text{find-node-and-stamp } g2 \text{ (unary-node op } x, s') = \text{None}; \\ & \quad n = \text{get-fresh-id } g2; \\ & \quad g' = \text{add-node } n \text{ (unary-node op } x, s') \text{ } g2 \rrbracket \\ & \implies g \triangleleft (\text{UnaryExpr op } xe) \rightsquigarrow (g', n) \mid \end{aligned}$$

BinaryNodeSame:

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket g \triangleleft_L [xe, ye] \rightsquigarrow (g2, [x, y]); \\ & \quad s' = \text{stamp-binary op } (\text{stamp } g2 \text{ } x) (\text{stamp } g2 \text{ } y); \\ & \quad \text{find-node-and-stamp } g2 \text{ (bin-node op } x \text{ } y, s') = \text{Some } n \rrbracket \\ & \implies g \triangleleft (\text{BinaryExpr op } xe \text{ } ye) \rightsquigarrow (g2, n) \mid \end{aligned}$$

BinaryNodeNew:

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket g \triangleleft_L [xe, ye] \rightsquigarrow (g2, [x, y]); \\ & \quad s' = \text{stamp-binary op } (\text{stamp } g2 \text{ } x) (\text{stamp } g2 \text{ } y); \end{aligned}$$

$find_node_and_stamp\ g2\ (bin_node\ op\ x\ y,\ s') = None;$
 $n = get_fresh_id\ g2;$
 $g' = add_node\ n\ (bin_node\ op\ x\ y,\ s')\ g2$
 $\implies g \triangleleft (BinaryExpr\ op\ xe\ ye) \rightsquigarrow (g',\ n) \mid$

AllLeafNodes:
 $stamp\ g\ n = s$
 $\implies g \triangleleft (LeafExpr\ n\ s) \rightsquigarrow (g,\ n) \mid$

UnrepNil:
 $g \triangleleft_L [] \rightsquigarrow (g,\ []) \mid$

UnrepCons:
 $\llbracket g \triangleleft xe \rightsquigarrow (g2,\ x);$
 $g2 \triangleleft_L\ xes \rightsquigarrow (g3,\ xs) \rrbracket$
 $\implies g \triangleleft_L (xe\#xes) \rightsquigarrow (g3,\ x\#xs)$

code-pred ($modes: i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o \Rightarrow bool$ as $unrepE$)
 $unrep$.
code-pred ($modes: i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o \Rightarrow bool$ as $unrepListE$) $unrepList$.

$$\frac{\text{find-node-and-stamp } g \text{ (ConstantNode } c, \text{ constantAsStamp } c) = \text{Some } n}{g \triangleleft \text{ConstantExpr } c \rightsquigarrow (g, n)}$$

$$\frac{\begin{array}{l} \text{find-node-and-stamp } g \text{ (ConstantNode } c, \text{ constantAsStamp } c) = \text{None} \\ n = \text{get-fresh-id } g \\ g' = \text{add-node } n \text{ (ConstantNode } c, \text{ constantAsStamp } c) \end{array}}{g \triangleleft \text{ConstantExpr } c \rightsquigarrow (g', n)}$$

$$\frac{\text{find-node-and-stamp } g \text{ (ParameterNode } i, s) = \text{Some } n}{g \triangleleft \text{ParameterExpr } i \ s \rightsquigarrow (g, n)}$$

$$\frac{\begin{array}{l} \text{find-node-and-stamp } g \text{ (ParameterNode } i, s) = \text{None} \\ n = \text{get-fresh-id } g \quad g' = \text{add-node } n \text{ (ParameterNode } i, s) \end{array}}{g \triangleleft \text{ParameterExpr } i \ s \rightsquigarrow (g', n)}$$

$$\frac{\begin{array}{l} g \triangleleft_L [ce, te, fe] \rightsquigarrow (g2, [c, t, f]) \quad s' = \text{meet (stamp } g2 \ t) \text{ (stamp } g2 \ f) \\ \text{find-node-and-stamp } g2 \text{ (ConditionalNode } c \ t \ f, s') = \text{Some } n \end{array}}{g \triangleleft \text{ConditionalExpr } ce \ te \ fe \rightsquigarrow (g2, n)}$$

$$\frac{\begin{array}{l} g \triangleleft_L [ce, te, fe] \rightsquigarrow (g2, [c, t, f]) \quad s' = \text{meet (stamp } g2 \ t) \text{ (stamp } g2 \ f) \\ \text{find-node-and-stamp } g2 \text{ (ConditionalNode } c \ t \ f, s') = \text{None} \\ n = \text{get-fresh-id } g2 \quad g' = \text{add-node } n \text{ (ConditionalNode } c \ t \ f, s') \end{array}}{g \triangleleft \text{ConditionalExpr } ce \ te \ fe \rightsquigarrow (g', n)}$$

$$\frac{\begin{array}{l} g \triangleleft_L [xe, ye] \rightsquigarrow (g2, [x, y]) \\ s' = \text{stamp-binary op (stamp } g2 \ x) \text{ (stamp } g2 \ y) \\ \text{find-node-and-stamp } g2 \text{ (bin-node op } x \ y, s') = \text{Some } n \end{array}}{g \triangleleft \text{BinaryExpr op } xe \ ye \rightsquigarrow (g2, n)}$$

$$\frac{\begin{array}{l} g \triangleleft_L [xe, ye] \rightsquigarrow (g2, [x, y]) \\ s' = \text{stamp-binary op (stamp } g2 \ x) \text{ (stamp } g2 \ y) \\ \text{find-node-and-stamp } g2 \text{ (bin-node op } x \ y, s') = \text{None} \\ n = \text{get-fresh-id } g2 \quad g' = \text{add-node } n \text{ (bin-node op } x \ y, s') \end{array}}{g \triangleleft \text{BinaryExpr op } xe \ ye \rightsquigarrow (g', n)}$$

$$\frac{\begin{array}{l} g \triangleleft xe \rightsquigarrow (g2, x) \quad s' = \text{stamp-unary op (stamp } g2 \ x) \\ \text{find-node-and-stamp } g2 \text{ (unary-node op } x, s') = \text{Some } n \end{array}}{g \triangleleft \text{UnaryExpr op } xe \rightsquigarrow (g2, n)}$$

$$\frac{\begin{array}{l} g \triangleleft xe \rightsquigarrow (g2, x) \quad s' = \text{stamp-unary op (stamp } g2 \ x) \\ \text{find-node-and-stamp } g2 \text{ (unary-node op } x, s') = \text{None} \\ n = \text{get-fresh-id } g2 \quad g' = \text{add-node } n \text{ (unary-node op } x, s') \end{array}}{g \triangleleft \text{UnaryExpr op } xe \rightsquigarrow (g', n)}$$

$$\frac{\text{stamp } g \ n = s}{g \triangleleft \text{LeafExpr } n \ s \rightsquigarrow (g, n)}$$

values $\{(n, g) . (eg2\text{-}sq \triangleleft sq\text{-}param0 \rightsquigarrow (g, n))\}$

2.3 Lift Data-flow Tree Semantics

definition *encodeeval* :: *IRGraph* \Rightarrow *MapState* \Rightarrow *Params* \Rightarrow *ID* \Rightarrow *Value* \Rightarrow *bool*
 $([-, -, -] \vdash - \mapsto - \ 50)$
where
encodeeval *g m p n v* = $(\exists e. (g \vdash n \simeq e) \wedge ([m, p] \vdash e \mapsto v))$

2.4 Graph Refinement

definition *graph-represents-expression* :: *IRGraph* \Rightarrow *ID* \Rightarrow *IRExpr* \Rightarrow *bool*
 $(- \vdash - \sqsubseteq - \ 50)$
where
 $(g \vdash n \sqsubseteq e) = (\exists e'. (g \vdash n \simeq e') \wedge (e' \leq e))$

definition *graph-refinement* :: *IRGraph* \Rightarrow *IRGraph* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**
graph-refinement *g1 g2* =
 $((ids\ g1 \subseteq ids\ g2) \wedge$
 $(\forall n. n \in ids\ g1 \longrightarrow (\forall e. (g1 \vdash n \simeq e) \longrightarrow (g2 \vdash n \sqsubseteq e))))$

lemma *graph-refinement*:

graph-refinement *g1 g2* $\implies (\forall n\ m\ p\ v. n \in ids\ g1 \longrightarrow ([g1, m, p] \vdash n \mapsto v) \longrightarrow$
 $([g2, m, p] \vdash n \mapsto v))$
by (*meson encodeeval-def graph-refinement-def graph-represents-expression-def*
le-expr-def)

2.5 Maximal Sharing

definition *maximal-sharing*:
maximal-sharing *g* = $(\forall n_1\ n_2. n_1 \in true\text{-}ids\ g \wedge n_2 \in true\text{-}ids\ g \longrightarrow$
 $(\forall e. (g \vdash n_1 \simeq e) \wedge (g \vdash n_2 \simeq e) \longrightarrow n_1 = n_2))$

end

2.6 Tree to Graph Theorems

theory *TreeToGraphThms*
imports
TreeToGraph
IRTreeEvalThms
HOL-Eisbach.Eisbach
HOL-Eisbach.Eisbach-Tools
begin

2.6.1 Extraction and Evaluation of Expression Trees is Deterministic.

First, we prove some extra rules that relate each type of *IRNode* to the corresponding *IRExpr* type that 'rep' will produce. These are very helpful

for proving that 'rep' is deterministic.

named-theorems *rep*

lemma *rep-constant* [*rep*]:

$g \vdash n \simeq e \implies$
 $kind\ g\ n = ConstantNode\ c \implies$
 $e = ConstantExpr\ c$
by (*induction rule: rep.induct; auto*)

lemma *rep-parameter* [*rep*]:

$g \vdash n \simeq e \implies$
 $kind\ g\ n = ParameterNode\ i \implies$
 $(\exists\ s. e = ParameterExpr\ i\ s)$
by (*induction rule: rep.induct; auto*)

lemma *rep-conditional* [*rep*]:

$g \vdash n \simeq e \implies$
 $kind\ g\ n = ConditionalNode\ c\ t\ f \implies$
 $(\exists\ ce\ te\ fe. e = ConditionalExpr\ ce\ te\ fe)$
by (*induction rule: rep.induct; auto*)

lemma *rep-abs* [*rep*]:

$g \vdash n \simeq e \implies$
 $kind\ g\ n = AbsNode\ x \implies$
 $(\exists\ xe. e = UnaryExpr\ UnaryAbs\ xe)$
by (*induction rule: rep.induct; auto*)

lemma *rep-not* [*rep*]:

$g \vdash n \simeq e \implies$
 $kind\ g\ n = NotNode\ x \implies$
 $(\exists\ xe. e = UnaryExpr\ UnaryNot\ xe)$
by (*induction rule: rep.induct; auto*)

lemma *rep-negate* [*rep*]:

$g \vdash n \simeq e \implies$
 $kind\ g\ n = NegateNode\ x \implies$
 $(\exists\ xe. e = UnaryExpr\ UnaryNeg\ xe)$
by (*induction rule: rep.induct; auto*)

lemma *rep-logicnegation* [*rep*]:

$g \vdash n \simeq e \implies$
 $kind\ g\ n = LogicNegationNode\ x \implies$
 $(\exists\ xe. e = UnaryExpr\ UnaryLogicNegation\ xe)$
by (*induction rule: rep.induct; auto*)

lemma *rep-add* [*rep*]:

$g \vdash n \simeq e \implies$
 $kind\ g\ n = AddNode\ x\ y \implies$
 $(\exists\ xe\ ye. e = BinaryExpr\ BinAdd\ xe\ ye)$

by (*induction rule: rep.induct; auto*)

lemma *rep-sub* [*rep*]:
 $g \vdash n \simeq e \implies$
 $\text{kind } g \ n = \text{SubNode } x \ y \implies$
 $(\exists xe \ ye. \ e = \text{BinaryExpr BinSub } xe \ ye)$
by (*induction rule: rep.induct; auto*)

lemma *rep-mul* [*rep*]:
 $g \vdash n \simeq e \implies$
 $\text{kind } g \ n = \text{MulNode } x \ y \implies$
 $(\exists xe \ ye. \ e = \text{BinaryExpr BinMul } xe \ ye)$
by (*induction rule: rep.induct; auto*)

lemma *rep-and* [*rep*]:
 $g \vdash n \simeq e \implies$
 $\text{kind } g \ n = \text{AndNode } x \ y \implies$
 $(\exists xe \ ye. \ e = \text{BinaryExpr BinAnd } xe \ ye)$
by (*induction rule: rep.induct; auto*)

lemma *rep-or* [*rep*]:
 $g \vdash n \simeq e \implies$
 $\text{kind } g \ n = \text{OrNode } x \ y \implies$
 $(\exists xe \ ye. \ e = \text{BinaryExpr BinOr } xe \ ye)$
by (*induction rule: rep.induct; auto*)

lemma *rep-xor* [*rep*]:
 $g \vdash n \simeq e \implies$
 $\text{kind } g \ n = \text{XorNode } x \ y \implies$
 $(\exists xe \ ye. \ e = \text{BinaryExpr BinXor } xe \ ye)$
by (*induction rule: rep.induct; auto*)

lemma *rep-integer-below* [*rep*]:
 $g \vdash n \simeq e \implies$
 $\text{kind } g \ n = \text{IntegerBelowNode } x \ y \implies$
 $(\exists xe \ ye. \ e = \text{BinaryExpr BinIntegerBelow } xe \ ye)$
by (*induction rule: rep.induct; auto*)

lemma *rep-integer-equals* [*rep*]:
 $g \vdash n \simeq e \implies$
 $\text{kind } g \ n = \text{IntegerEqualsNode } x \ y \implies$
 $(\exists xe \ ye. \ e = \text{BinaryExpr BinIntegerEquals } xe \ ye)$
by (*induction rule: rep.induct; auto*)

lemma *rep-integer-less-than* [*rep*]:
 $g \vdash n \simeq e \implies$
 $\text{kind } g \ n = \text{IntegerLessThanNode } x \ y \implies$
 $(\exists xe \ ye. \ e = \text{BinaryExpr BinIntegerLessThan } xe \ ye)$
by (*induction rule: rep.induct; auto*)

lemma *rep-narrow* [*rep*]:

$g \vdash n \simeq e \implies$
 $kind\ g\ n = NarrowNode\ ib\ rb\ x \implies$
 $(\exists x. e = UnaryExpr\ (UnaryNarrow\ ib\ rb)\ x)$
by (*induction rule: rep.induct; auto*)

lemma *rep-sign-extend* [*rep*]:

$g \vdash n \simeq e \implies$
 $kind\ g\ n = SignExtendNode\ ib\ rb\ x \implies$
 $(\exists x. e = UnaryExpr\ (UnarySignExtend\ ib\ rb)\ x)$
by (*induction rule: rep.induct; auto*)

lemma *rep-zero-extend* [*rep*]:

$g \vdash n \simeq e \implies$
 $kind\ g\ n = ZeroExtendNode\ ib\ rb\ x \implies$
 $(\exists x. e = UnaryExpr\ (UnaryZeroExtend\ ib\ rb)\ x)$
by (*induction rule: rep.induct; auto*)

lemma *rep-load-field* [*rep*]:

$g \vdash n \simeq e \implies$
 $is-preevaluated\ (kind\ g\ n) \implies$
 $(\exists s. e = LeafExpr\ n\ s)$
by (*induction rule: rep.induct; auto*)

lemma *rep-ref* [*rep*]:

$g \vdash n \simeq e \implies$
 $kind\ g\ n = RefNode\ n' \implies$
 $g \vdash n' \simeq e$
by (*induction rule: rep.induct; auto*)

method *solve-det* **uses** *node =*

$(match\ node\ in\ kind\ - = node\ -\ for\ node \Rightarrow$
 $\langle match\ rep\ in\ r: - \implies - = node - \implies - \Rightarrow$
 $\langle match\ IRNode.inject\ in\ i: (node\ - = node\ -) = - \Rightarrow$
 $\langle match\ RepE\ in\ e: - \implies (\bigwedge x. - = node\ x \implies -) \implies - \Rightarrow$
 $\langle match\ IRNode.distinct\ in\ d: node - \neq RefNode - \Rightarrow$
 $\langlemetis\ i\ e\ r\ d\rangle\rangle\rangle\rangle\ |\$
 $match\ node\ in\ kind\ - = node\ -\ for\ node \Rightarrow$
 $\langle match\ rep\ in\ r: - \implies - = node - \implies - \Rightarrow$
 $\langle match\ IRNode.inject\ in\ i: (node\ - = node\ -) = - \Rightarrow$
 $\langle match\ RepE\ in\ e: - \implies (\bigwedge x\ y. - = node\ x\ y \implies -) \implies - \Rightarrow$
 $\langle match\ IRNode.distinct\ in\ d: node - \neq RefNode - \Rightarrow$
 $\langlemetis\ i\ e\ r\ d\rangle\rangle\rangle\rangle\ |\$
 $match\ node\ in\ kind\ - = node\ -\ for\ node \Rightarrow$
 $\langle match\ rep\ in\ r: - \implies - = node - \implies - \Rightarrow$
 $\langle match\ IRNode.inject\ in\ i: (node\ - = node\ -) = - \Rightarrow$
 $\langle match\ RepE\ in\ e: - \implies (\bigwedge x\ y\ z. - = node\ x\ y\ z \implies -) \implies - \Rightarrow$

```

      ⟨match IRNode.distinct in d: node - - - ≠ RefNode - ⇒
        ⟨metis i e r d⟩⟩⟩ |
match node in kind - - = node - - - for node ⇒
  ⟨match rep in r: - ⇒ - = node - - - ⇒ - ⇒
    ⟨match IRNode.inject in i: (node - - - = node - - -) = - ⇒
      ⟨match RepE in e: - ⇒ (∧x. - = node - - x ⇒ -) ⇒ - ⇒
        ⟨match IRNode.distinct in d: node - - - ≠ RefNode - ⇒
          ⟨metis i e r d⟩⟩⟩⟩

```

Now we can prove that 'rep' and 'eval', and their list versions, are deterministic.

lemma *repDet*:

shows $(g \vdash n \simeq e_1) \implies (g \vdash n \simeq e_2) \implies e_1 = e_2$

proof (*induction arbitrary: e₂ rule: rep.induct*)

case (*ConstantNode n c*)

then show ?case **using** *rep-constant* **by** *auto*

next

case (*ParameterNode n i s*)

then show ?case

by (*metis IRNode.disc(2685) ParameterNodeE is-RefNode-def rep-parameter*)

next

case (*ConditionalNode n c t f ce te fe*)

then show ?case

using *IRNode.distinct(593)*

using *IRNode.inject(6) ConditionalNodeE rep-conditional*

by *metis*

next

case (*AbsNode n x xe*)

then show ?case

by (*solve-det node: AbsNode*)

next

case (*NotNode n x xe*)

then show ?case

by (*solve-det node: NotNode*)

next

case (*NegateNode n x xe*)

then show ?case

by (*solve-det node: NegateNode*)

next

case (*LogicNegationNode n x xe*)

then show ?case

by (*solve-det node: LogicNegationNode*)

next

case (*AddNode n x y xe ye*)

then show ?case

by (*solve-det node: AddNode*)

next

case (*MulNode n x y xe ye*)

then show ?case


```

    by (solve-det node: MulNode)
next
  case (SubNode n x y xe ye)
  then show ?case
    by (solve-det node: SubNode)
next
  case (AndNode n x y xe ye)
  then show ?case
    by (solve-det node: AndNode)
next
  case (OrNode n x y xe ye)
  then show ?case
    by (solve-det node: OrNode)
next
  case (XorNode n x y xe ye)
  then show ?case
    by (solve-det node: XorNode)
next
  case (IntegerBelowNode n x y xe ye)
  then show ?case
    by (solve-det node: IntegerBelowNode)
next
  case (IntegerEqualsNode n x y xe ye)
  then show ?case
    by (solve-det node: IntegerEqualsNode)
next
  case (IntegerLessThanNode n x y xe ye)
  then show ?case
    by (solve-det node: IntegerLessThanNode)
next
  case (NarrowNode n x xe)
  then show ?case
    by (metis IRNode.distinct(2203) IRNode.inject(28) NarrowNodeE rep-narrow)
next
  case (SignExtendNode n x xe)
  then show ?case
    by (metis IRNode.distinct(2599) IRNode.inject(39) SignExtendNodeE rep-sign-extend)
next
  case (ZeroExtendNode n x xe)
  then show ?case
    by (metis IRNode.distinct(2753) IRNode.inject(50) ZeroExtendNodeE rep-zero-extend)
next
  case (LeafNode n s)
  then show ?case using rep-load-field LeafNodeE
    by (metis is-preevaluated.simps(53))
next
  case (RefNode n')
  then show ?case
    using rep-ref by blast

```

qed

lemma *repAllDet*:

$g \vdash xs \simeq_L e1 \implies$
 $g \vdash xs \simeq_L e2 \implies$
 $e1 = e2$

proof (*induction arbitrary: e2 rule: replist.induct*)

case *RepNil*

then show *?case*

using *replist.cases* **by** *auto*

next

case (*RepCons x xe xs xse*)

then show *?case*

by (*metis list.distinct(1) list.sel(1) list.sel(3) repDet replist.cases*)

qed

lemma *encodeEvalDet*:

$[g, m, p] \vdash e \mapsto v1 \implies$
 $[g, m, p] \vdash e \mapsto v2 \implies$
 $v1 = v2$

by (*metis encodeeval-def evalDet repDet*)

lemma *graphDet*: $([g, m, p] \vdash n \mapsto v1) \wedge ([g, m, p] \vdash n \mapsto v2) \implies v1 = v2$

using *encodeEvalDet* **by** *blast*

2.6.2 Monotonicity of Graph Refinement

Lift refinement monotonicity to graph level. Hopefully these shouldn't really be required.

lemma *mono-abs*:

assumes $kind\ g1\ n = AbsNode\ x \wedge kind\ g2\ n = AbsNode\ x$

assumes $(g1 \vdash x \simeq xe1) \wedge (g2 \vdash x \simeq xe2)$

assumes $xe1 \geq xe2$

assumes $(g1 \vdash n \simeq e1) \wedge (g2 \vdash n \simeq e2)$

shows $e1 \geq e2$

by (*metis AbsNode assms(1) assms(2) assms(3) assms(4) mono-unary repDet*)

lemma *mono-not*:

assumes $kind\ g1\ n = NotNode\ x \wedge kind\ g2\ n = NotNode\ x$

assumes $(g1 \vdash x \simeq xe1) \wedge (g2 \vdash x \simeq xe2)$

assumes $xe1 \geq xe2$

assumes $(g1 \vdash n \simeq e1) \wedge (g2 \vdash n \simeq e2)$

shows $e1 \geq e2$

by (*metis NotNode assms(1) assms(2) assms(3) assms(4) mono-unary repDet*)

lemma *mono-negate*:

assumes $kind\ g1\ n = NegateNode\ x \wedge kind\ g2\ n = NegateNode\ x$

assumes $(g1 \vdash x \simeq xe1) \wedge (g2 \vdash x \simeq xe2)$

assumes $xe1 \geq xe2$

assumes $(g1 \vdash n \simeq e1) \wedge (g2 \vdash n \simeq e2)$
shows $e1 \geq e2$
by (metis NegateNode assms(1) assms(2) assms(3) assms(4) mono-unary repDet)

lemma *mono-logic-negation*:

assumes $kind\ g1\ n = LogicNegationNode\ x \wedge kind\ g2\ n = LogicNegationNode\ x$
assumes $(g1 \vdash x \simeq xe1) \wedge (g2 \vdash x \simeq xe2)$
assumes $xe1 \geq xe2$
assumes $(g1 \vdash n \simeq e1) \wedge (g2 \vdash n \simeq e2)$
shows $e1 \geq e2$
by (metis LogicNegationNode assms(1) assms(2) assms(3) assms(4) mono-unary repDet)

lemma *mono-narrow*:

assumes $kind\ g1\ n = NarrowNode\ ib\ rb\ x \wedge kind\ g2\ n = NarrowNode\ ib\ rb\ x$
assumes $(g1 \vdash x \simeq xe1) \wedge (g2 \vdash x \simeq xe2)$
assumes $xe1 \geq xe2$
assumes $(g1 \vdash n \simeq e1) \wedge (g2 \vdash n \simeq e2)$
shows $e1 \geq e2$
using assms mono-unary repDet NarrowNode
by metis

lemma *mono-sign-extend*:

assumes $kind\ g1\ n = SignExtendNode\ ib\ rb\ x \wedge kind\ g2\ n = SignExtendNode\ ib\ rb\ x$
assumes $(g1 \vdash x \simeq xe1) \wedge (g2 \vdash x \simeq xe2)$
assumes $xe1 \geq xe2$
assumes $(g1 \vdash n \simeq e1) \wedge (g2 \vdash n \simeq e2)$
shows $e1 \geq e2$
by (metis SignExtendNode assms(1) assms(2) assms(3) assms(4) mono-unary repDet)

lemma *mono-zero-extend*:

assumes $kind\ g1\ n = ZeroExtendNode\ ib\ rb\ x \wedge kind\ g2\ n = ZeroExtendNode\ ib\ rb\ x$
assumes $(g1 \vdash x \simeq xe1) \wedge (g2 \vdash x \simeq xe2)$
assumes $xe1 \geq xe2$
assumes $(g1 \vdash n \simeq e1) \wedge (g2 \vdash n \simeq e2)$
shows $e1 \geq e2$
using assms mono-unary repDet ZeroExtendNode
by metis

lemma *mono-conditional-graph*:

assumes $kind\ g1\ n = ConditionalNode\ c\ t\ f \wedge kind\ g2\ n = ConditionalNode\ c\ t\ f$
assumes $(g1 \vdash c \simeq ce1) \wedge (g2 \vdash c \simeq ce2)$
assumes $(g1 \vdash t \simeq te1) \wedge (g2 \vdash t \simeq te2)$
assumes $(g1 \vdash f \simeq fe1) \wedge (g2 \vdash f \simeq fe2)$
assumes $ce1 \geq ce2 \wedge te1 \geq te2 \wedge fe1 \geq fe2$
assumes $(g1 \vdash n \simeq e1) \wedge (g2 \vdash n \simeq e2)$

shows $e1 \geq e2$
using *ConditionalNodeE IRNode.inject(6) assms(1) assms(2) assms(3) assms(4)*
assms(5) assms(6) mono-conditional repDet rep-conditional
by (*smt (verit, best) ConditionalNode*)

lemma *mono-add:*

assumes $kind\ g1\ n = AddNode\ x\ y \wedge kind\ g2\ n = AddNode\ x\ y$
assumes $(g1 \vdash x \simeq xe1) \wedge (g2 \vdash x \simeq xe2)$
assumes $(g1 \vdash y \simeq ye1) \wedge (g2 \vdash y \simeq ye2)$
assumes $xe1 \geq xe2 \wedge ye1 \geq ye2$
assumes $(g1 \vdash n \simeq e1) \wedge (g2 \vdash n \simeq e2)$
shows $e1 \geq e2$
using *mono-binary assms AddNodeE IRNode.inject(2) repDet rep-add*
by (*metis IRNode.distinct(205)*)

lemma *mono-mul:*

assumes $kind\ g1\ n = MulNode\ x\ y \wedge kind\ g2\ n = MulNode\ x\ y$
assumes $(g1 \vdash x \simeq xe1) \wedge (g2 \vdash x \simeq xe2)$
assumes $(g1 \vdash y \simeq ye1) \wedge (g2 \vdash y \simeq ye2)$
assumes $xe1 \geq xe2 \wedge ye1 \geq ye2$
assumes $(g1 \vdash n \simeq e1) \wedge (g2 \vdash n \simeq e2)$
shows $e1 \geq e2$
using *mono-binary assms IRNode.inject(27) MulNodeE repDet rep-mul*
by (*smt (verit, best) MulNode*)

lemma *term-graph-evaluation:*

$(g \vdash n \leq e) \implies (\forall\ m\ p\ v.\ ([m,p] \vdash e \mapsto v) \longrightarrow ([g,m,p] \vdash n \mapsto v))$
unfolding *graph-represents-expression-def* **apply** *auto*
by (*meson encodeeval-def*)

lemma *encodes-contains:*

$g \vdash n \simeq e \implies$
 $kind\ g\ n \neq NoNode$
apply (*induction rule: rep.induct*)
apply (*match IRNode.distinct in e: ?n \neq NoNode \implies*
 $\langle presburger\ add: e \rangle +$
apply *force*
by *fastforce*

lemma *no-encoding:*

assumes $n \notin ids\ g$
shows $\neg(g \vdash n \simeq e)$
using *assms* **apply** *simp* **apply** (*rule notI*) **by** (*induction e; simp add: encodes-contains*)

lemma *not-excluded-keep-type:*

assumes $n \in ids\ g1$
assumes $n \notin excluded$

assumes ($excluded \sqsubseteq as\text{-}set\ g1 \subseteq as\text{-}set\ g2$)
shows $kind\ g1\ n = kind\ g2\ n \wedge stamp\ g1\ n = stamp\ g2\ n$
using *assms* **unfolding** *as-set-def domain-subtraction-def* **by** *blast*

method *metis-node-eq-unary* **for** $node :: 'a \Rightarrow IRNode =$
 $(match\ IRNode.inject\ in\ i: (node\ - = node\ -) = - \Rightarrow$
 $\langle metis\ i \rangle)$
method *metis-node-eq-binary* **for** $node :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow IRNode =$
 $(match\ IRNode.inject\ in\ i: (node\ -\ - = node\ -\ -) = - \Rightarrow$
 $\langle metis\ i \rangle)$
method *metis-node-eq-ternary* **for** $node :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow IRNode =$
 $(match\ IRNode.inject\ in\ i: (node\ -\ -\ - = node\ -\ -\ -) = - \Rightarrow$
 $\langle metis\ i \rangle)$

2.6.3 Lift Data-flow Tree Refinement to Graph Refinement

theorem *graph-semantics-preservation*:

assumes $a: e1' \geq e2'$
assumes $b: (\{n'\} \sqsubseteq as\text{-}set\ g1 \subseteq as\text{-}set\ g2$
assumes $c: g1 \vdash n' \simeq e1'$
assumes $d: g2 \vdash n' \simeq e2'$
shows *graph-refinement* $g1\ g2$
unfolding *graph-refinement-def* **apply** *rule*
apply (*metis* $b\ d\ ids\text{-}some\ no\text{-}encoding\ not\text{-}excluded\text{-}keep\text{-}type\ singleton\text{-}iff\ sub\text{-}setI$)
apply (*rule* *allI*) **apply** (*rule* *impI*) **apply** (*rule* *allI*) **apply** (*rule* *impI*)
unfolding *graph-represents-expression-def*
proof –
fix $n\ e1$
assume $e: n \in ids\ g1$
assume $f: (g1 \vdash n \simeq e1)$

show $\exists\ e2. (g2 \vdash n \simeq e2) \wedge e1 \geq e2$
proof (*cases* $n = n'$)
case *True*
have $g: e1 = e1'$ **using** $c\ f\ True\ repDet$ **by** *simp*
have $h: (g2 \vdash n \simeq e2') \wedge e1' \geq e2'$
using $True\ a\ d$ **by** *blast*
then show *?thesis*
using g **by** *blast*
next
case *False*
have $n \notin \{n'\}$
using *False* **by** *simp*
then have $i: kind\ g1\ n = kind\ g2\ n \wedge stamp\ g1\ n = stamp\ g2\ n$
using *not-excluded-keep-type*
using $b\ e$ **by** *presburger*
show *?thesis* **using** $f\ i$
proof (*induction* $e1$)

```

    case (ConstantNode n c)
    then show ?case
      by (metis eq-refl rep.ConstantNode)
next
    case (ParameterNode n i s)
    then show ?case
      by (metis eq-refl rep.ParameterNode)
next
    case (ConditionalNode n c t f ce1 te1 fe1)
    have k:  $g1 \vdash n \simeq \text{ConditionalExpr } ce1 \ te1 \ fe1$  using f ConditionalNode
      by (simp add: ConditionalNode.hyps(2) rep.ConditionalNode)
    obtain cn tn fn where l:  $\text{kind } g1 \ n = \text{ConditionalNode } cn \ tn \ fn$ 
      using ConditionalNode.hyps(1) by blast
    then have mc:  $g1 \vdash cn \simeq ce1$ 
      using ConditionalNode.hyps(1) ConditionalNode.hyps(2) by fastforce
    from l have mt:  $g1 \vdash tn \simeq te1$ 
      using ConditionalNode.hyps(1) ConditionalNode.hyps(3) by fastforce
    from l have mf:  $g1 \vdash fn \simeq fe1$ 
      using ConditionalNode.hyps(1) ConditionalNode.hyps(4) by fastforce
    then show ?case
    proof -
      have  $g1 \vdash cn \simeq ce1$  using mc by simp
      have  $g1 \vdash tn \simeq te1$  using mt by simp
      have  $g1 \vdash fn \simeq fe1$  using mf by simp
      have cer:  $\exists \ ce2. (g2 \vdash cn \simeq ce2) \wedge ce1 \geq ce2$ 
        using ConditionalNode
        using a b c d l no-encoding not-excluded-keep-type repDet singletonD
        by (metis-node-eq-ternary ConditionalNode)
      have ter:  $\exists \ te2. (g2 \vdash tn \simeq te2) \wedge te1 \geq te2$ 
        using ConditionalNode a b c d l no-encoding not-excluded-keep-type repDet
        singletonD
        by (metis-node-eq-ternary ConditionalNode)
      have  $\exists \ fe2. (g2 \vdash fn \simeq fe2) \wedge fe1 \geq fe2$ 
        using ConditionalNode a b c d l no-encoding not-excluded-keep-type repDet
        singletonD
        by (metis-node-eq-ternary ConditionalNode)
      then have  $\exists \ ce2 \ te2 \ fe2. (g2 \vdash n \simeq \text{ConditionalExpr } ce2 \ te2 \ fe2) \wedge$ 
        ConditionalExpr ce1 te1 fe1  $\geq \text{ConditionalExpr } ce2 \ te2 \ fe2$ 
        using ConditionalNode.premis l rep.ConditionalNode cer ter
        by (smt (verit) mono-conditional)
      then show ?thesis
        by meson
    qed
next
    case (AbsNode n x xe1)
    have k:  $g1 \vdash n \simeq \text{UnaryExpr } \text{UnaryAbs } xe1$  using f AbsNode
      by (simp add: AbsNode.hyps(2) rep.AbsNode)
    obtain xn where l:  $\text{kind } g1 \ n = \text{AbsNode } xn$ 
      using AbsNode.hyps(1) by blast

```

```

then have m:  $g1 \vdash xn \simeq xe1$ 
  using AbsNode.hyps(1) AbsNode.hyps(2) by fastforce
then show ?case
proof (cases  $xn = n'$ )
  case True
  then have n:  $xe1 = e1'$  using c m repDet by simp
  then have ev:  $g2 \vdash n \simeq \text{UnaryExpr UnaryAbs } e2'$  using AbsNode.hyps(1)
l m n
    using AbsNode.premis True d rep.AbsNode by simp
  then have r:  $\text{UnaryExpr UnaryAbs } e1' \geq \text{UnaryExpr UnaryAbs } e2'$ 
    by (meson a mono-unary)
  then show ?thesis using ev r
    by (metis n)
next
case False
have  $g1 \vdash xn \simeq xe1$  using m by simp
have  $\exists xe2. (g2 \vdash xn \simeq xe2) \wedge xe1 \geq xe2$ 
  using AbsNode
using False b encodes-contains l not-excluded-keep-type not-in-g singleton-iff
  by (metis-node-eq-unary AbsNode)
  then have  $\exists xe2. (g2 \vdash n \simeq \text{UnaryExpr UnaryAbs } xe2) \wedge \text{UnaryExpr}$ 
UnaryAbs  $xe1 \geq \text{UnaryExpr UnaryAbs } xe2$ 
  by (metis AbsNode.premis l mono-unary rep.AbsNode)
  then show ?thesis
  by meson
qed
next
case (NotNode n x xe1)
have k:  $g1 \vdash n \simeq \text{UnaryExpr UnaryNot } xe1$  using f NotNode
  by (simp add: NotNode.hyps(2) rep.NotNode)
obtain xn where l: kind  $g1 \ n = \text{NotNode } xn$ 
  using NotNode.hyps(1) by blast
then have m:  $g1 \vdash xn \simeq xe1$ 
  using NotNode.hyps(1) NotNode.hyps(2) by fastforce
then show ?case
proof (cases  $xn = n'$ )
  case True
  then have n:  $xe1 = e1'$  using c m repDet by simp
  then have ev:  $g2 \vdash n \simeq \text{UnaryExpr UnaryNot } e2'$  using NotNode.hyps(1)
l m n
    using NotNode.premis True d rep.NotNode by simp
  then have r:  $\text{UnaryExpr UnaryNot } e1' \geq \text{UnaryExpr UnaryNot } e2'$ 
    by (meson a mono-unary)
  then show ?thesis using ev r
    by (metis n)
next
case False
have  $g1 \vdash xn \simeq xe1$  using m by simp
have  $\exists xe2. (g2 \vdash xn \simeq xe2) \wedge xe1 \geq xe2$ 

```

```

    using NotNode
    using False i b l not-excluded-keep-type singletonD no-encoding
    by (metis-node-eq-unary NotNode)
    then have  $\exists xe2. (g2 \vdash n \simeq \text{UnaryExpr UnaryNot } xe2) \wedge \text{UnaryExpr}$ 
UnaryNot  $xe1 \geq \text{UnaryExpr UnaryNot } xe2$ 
    by (metis NotNode.premis l mono-unary rep.NotNode)
    then show ?thesis
    by meson
qed
next
case (NegateNode n x  $xe1$ )
have k:  $g1 \vdash n \simeq \text{UnaryExpr UnaryNeg } xe1$  using f NegateNode
  by (simp add: NegateNode.hyps(2) rep.NegateNode)
obtain  $xn$  where l: kind  $g1$   $n = \text{NegateNode } xn$ 
  using NegateNode.hyps(1) by blast
then have m:  $g1 \vdash xn \simeq xe1$ 
  using NegateNode.hyps(1) NegateNode.hyps(2) by fastforce
then show ?case
proof (cases  $xn = n'$ )
  case True
  then have n:  $xe1 = e1'$  using c m repDet by simp
  then have ev:  $g2 \vdash n \simeq \text{UnaryExpr UnaryNeg } e2'$  using NegateNode.hyps(1)
l m n
    using NegateNode.premis True d rep.NegateNode by simp
  then have r:  $\text{UnaryExpr UnaryNeg } e1' \geq \text{UnaryExpr UnaryNeg } e2'$ 
    by (meson a mono-unary)
  then show ?thesis using ev r
    by (metis n)
next
case False
have  $g1 \vdash xn \simeq xe1$  using m by simp
have  $\exists xe2. (g2 \vdash xn \simeq xe2) \wedge xe1 \geq xe2$ 
  using NegateNode
  using False i b l not-excluded-keep-type singletonD no-encoding
  by (metis-node-eq-unary NegateNode)
  then have  $\exists xe2. (g2 \vdash n \simeq \text{UnaryExpr UnaryNeg } xe2) \wedge \text{UnaryExpr}$ 
UnaryNeg  $xe1 \geq \text{UnaryExpr UnaryNeg } xe2$ 
  by (metis NegateNode.premis l mono-unary rep.NegateNode)
  then show ?thesis
  by meson
qed
next
case (LogicNegationNode n x  $xe1$ )
have k:  $g1 \vdash n \simeq \text{UnaryExpr UnaryLogicNegation } xe1$  using f LogicNega-
tionNode
  by (simp add: LogicNegationNode.hyps(2) rep.LogicNegationNode)
obtain  $xn$  where l: kind  $g1$   $n = \text{LogicNegationNode } xn$ 
  using LogicNegationNode.hyps(1) by blast
then have m:  $g1 \vdash xn \simeq xe1$ 

```



```

    using LogicNegationNode.hyps(1) LogicNegationNode.hyps(2) by fastforce
  then show ?case
  proof (cases  $xn = n'$ )
    case True
      then have  $n: xe1 = e1'$  using  $c m repDet$  by simp
      then have  $ev: g2 \vdash n \simeq \text{UnaryExpr UnaryLogicNegation } e2'$  using
        LogicNegationNode.hyps(1)  $l m n$ 
      using LogicNegationNode.prem1 True  $d rep.LogicNegationNode$  by simp
      then have  $r: \text{UnaryExpr UnaryLogicNegation } e1' \geq \text{UnaryExpr UnaryLog-}$ 
         $icNegation } e2'$ 
      by (meson  $a$  mono-unary)
      then show ?thesis using  $ev r$ 
      by (metis  $n$ )
    next
      case False
      have  $g1 \vdash xn \simeq xe1$  using  $m$  by simp
      have  $\exists xe2. (g2 \vdash xn \simeq xe2) \wedge xe1 \geq xe2$ 
      using LogicNegationNode
      using False  $i b l$  not-excluded-keep-type singletonD no-encoding
      by (metis-node-eq-unary LogicNegationNode)
      then have  $\exists xe2. (g2 \vdash n \simeq \text{UnaryExpr UnaryLogicNegation } xe2) \wedge$ 
         $\text{UnaryExpr UnaryLogicNegation } xe1 \geq \text{UnaryExpr UnaryLogicNegation } xe2$ 
      by (metis LogicNegationNode.prem1  $l$  mono-unary  $rep.LogicNegationNode$ )
      then show ?thesis
      by meson
    qed
  next
    case (AddNode  $n x y xe1 ye1$ )
    have  $k: g1 \vdash n \simeq \text{BinaryExpr BinAdd } xe1 ye1$  using  $f AddNode$ 
      by (simp add: AddNode.hyps(2)  $rep.AddNode$ )
    obtain  $xn yn$  where  $l: \text{kind } g1 n = \text{AddNode } xn yn$ 
      using AddNode.hyps(1) by blast
    then have  $mx: g1 \vdash xn \simeq xe1$ 
      using AddNode.hyps(1) AddNode.hyps(2) by fastforce
    from  $l$  have  $my: g1 \vdash yn \simeq ye1$ 
      using AddNode.hyps(1) AddNode.hyps(3) by fastforce
    then show ?case
    proof -
      have  $g1 \vdash xn \simeq xe1$  using  $mx$  by simp
      have  $g1 \vdash yn \simeq ye1$  using  $my$  by simp
      have  $xer: \exists xe2. (g2 \vdash xn \simeq xe2) \wedge xe1 \geq xe2$ 
      using AddNode
      using  $a b c d l$  no-encoding not-excluded-keep-type repDet singletonD
      by (metis-node-eq-binary AddNode)
      have  $\exists ye2. (g2 \vdash yn \simeq ye2) \wedge ye1 \geq ye2$ 
      using AddNode
      using  $a b c d l$  no-encoding not-excluded-keep-type repDet singletonD
      by (metis-node-eq-binary AddNode)
      then have  $\exists xe2 ye2. (g2 \vdash n \simeq \text{BinaryExpr BinAdd } xe2 ye2) \wedge \text{BinaryExpr}$ 

```

```

BinAdd xe1 ye1 ≥ BinaryExpr BinAdd xe2 ye2
  by (metis AddNode.prem1 l mono-binary rep.AddNode xer)
  then show ?thesis
    by meson
qed
next
case (MulNode n x y xe1 ye1)
have k: g1 ⊢ n ≃ BinaryExpr BinMul xe1 ye1 using f MulNode
  by (simp add: MulNode.hyps(2) rep.MulNode)
obtain xn yn where l: kind g1 n = MulNode xn yn
  using MulNode.hyps(1) by blast
then have mx: g1 ⊢ xn ≃ xe1
  using MulNode.hyps(1) MulNode.hyps(2) by fastforce
from l have my: g1 ⊢ yn ≃ ye1
  using MulNode.hyps(1) MulNode.hyps(3) by fastforce
then show ?case
proof -
  have g1 ⊢ xn ≃ xe1 using mx by simp
  have g1 ⊢ yn ≃ ye1 using my by simp
  have xer: ∃ xe2. (g2 ⊢ xn ≃ xe2) ∧ xe1 ≥ xe2
    using MulNode
    using a b c d l no-encoding not-excluded-keep-type repDet singletonD
    by (metis-node-eq-binary MulNode)
  have ∃ ye2. (g2 ⊢ yn ≃ ye2) ∧ ye1 ≥ ye2
    using MulNode
    using a b c d l no-encoding not-excluded-keep-type repDet singletonD
    by (metis-node-eq-binary MulNode)
  then have ∃ xe2 ye2. (g2 ⊢ n ≃ BinaryExpr BinMul xe2 ye2) ∧ BinaryExpr
BinMul xe1 ye1 ≥ BinaryExpr BinMul xe2 ye2
    by (metis MulNode.prem1 l mono-binary rep.MulNode xer)
  then show ?thesis
    by meson
qed
next
case (SubNode n x y xe1 ye1)
have k: g1 ⊢ n ≃ BinaryExpr BinSub xe1 ye1 using f SubNode
  by (simp add: SubNode.hyps(2) rep.SubNode)
obtain xn yn where l: kind g1 n = SubNode xn yn
  using SubNode.hyps(1) by blast
then have mx: g1 ⊢ xn ≃ xe1
  using SubNode.hyps(1) SubNode.hyps(2) by fastforce
from l have my: g1 ⊢ yn ≃ ye1
  using SubNode.hyps(1) SubNode.hyps(3) by fastforce
then show ?case
proof -
  have g1 ⊢ xn ≃ xe1 using mx by simp
  have g1 ⊢ yn ≃ ye1 using my by simp
  have xer: ∃ xe2. (g2 ⊢ xn ≃ xe2) ∧ xe1 ≥ xe2
    using SubNode

```

```

    using a b c d l no-encoding not-excluded-keep-type repDet singletonD
    by (metis-node-eq-binary SubNode)
    have  $\exists ye2. (g2 \vdash yn \simeq ye2) \wedge ye1 \geq ye2$ 
    using SubNode a b c d l no-encoding not-excluded-keep-type repDet singletonD
    by (metis-node-eq-binary SubNode)
    then have  $\exists xe2 ye2. (g2 \vdash n \simeq BinaryExpr BinSub xe2 ye2) \wedge BinaryExpr$ 
    BinSub xe1 ye1  $\geq BinaryExpr BinSub xe2 ye2$ 
    by (metis SubNode.premis l mono-binary rep.SubNode xer)
    then show ?thesis
    by meson
  qed
next
case (AndNode n x y xe1 ye1)
have k:  $g1 \vdash n \simeq BinaryExpr BinAnd xe1 ye1$  using f AndNode
by (simp add: AndNode.hyps(2) rep.AndNode)
obtain xn yn where l: kind g1 n = AndNode xn yn
using AndNode.hyps(1) by blast
then have mx:  $g1 \vdash xn \simeq xe1$ 
using AndNode.hyps(1) AndNode.hyps(2) by fastforce
from l have my:  $g1 \vdash yn \simeq ye1$ 
using AndNode.hyps(1) AndNode.hyps(3) by fastforce
then show ?case
proof -
  have  $g1 \vdash xn \simeq xe1$  using mx by simp
  have  $g1 \vdash yn \simeq ye1$  using my by simp
  have xer:  $\exists xe2. (g2 \vdash xn \simeq xe2) \wedge xe1 \geq xe2$ 
  using AndNode
  using a b c d l no-encoding not-excluded-keep-type repDet singletonD
  by (metis-node-eq-binary AndNode)
  have  $\exists ye2. (g2 \vdash yn \simeq ye2) \wedge ye1 \geq ye2$ 
  using AndNode a b c d l no-encoding not-excluded-keep-type repDet
  singletonD
  by (metis-node-eq-binary AndNode)
  then have  $\exists xe2 ye2. (g2 \vdash n \simeq BinaryExpr BinAnd xe2 ye2) \wedge BinaryExpr$ 
  BinAnd xe1 ye1  $\geq BinaryExpr BinAnd xe2 ye2$ 
  by (metis AndNode.premis l mono-binary rep.AndNode xer)
  then show ?thesis
  by meson
qed
next
case (OrNode n x y xe1 ye1)
have k:  $g1 \vdash n \simeq BinaryExpr BinOr xe1 ye1$  using f OrNode
by (simp add: OrNode.hyps(2) rep.OrNode)
obtain xn yn where l: kind g1 n = OrNode xn yn
using OrNode.hyps(1) by blast
then have mx:  $g1 \vdash xn \simeq xe1$ 
using OrNode.hyps(1) OrNode.hyps(2) by fastforce
from l have my:  $g1 \vdash yn \simeq ye1$ 
using OrNode.hyps(1) OrNode.hyps(3) by fastforce

```

```

then show ?case
proof -
  have  $g1 \vdash xn \simeq xe1$  using  $mx$  by simp
  have  $g1 \vdash yn \simeq ye1$  using  $my$  by simp
  have  $xer: \exists xe2. (g2 \vdash xn \simeq xe2) \wedge xe1 \geq xe2$ 
    using OrNode
    using  $a\ b\ c\ d\ l$  no-encoding not-excluded-keep-type repDet singletonD
    by (metis-node-eq-binary OrNode)
  have  $\exists ye2. (g2 \vdash yn \simeq ye2) \wedge ye1 \geq ye2$ 
  using OrNode  $a\ b\ c\ d\ l$  no-encoding not-excluded-keep-type repDet singletonD
  by (metis-node-eq-binary OrNode)
  then have  $\exists xe2\ ye2. (g2 \vdash n \simeq BinaryExpr\ BinOr\ xe2\ ye2) \wedge BinaryExpr\$ 
     $BinOr\ xe1\ ye1 \geq BinaryExpr\ BinOr\ xe2\ ye2$ 
    by (metis OrNode.premis  $l$  mono-binary rep.OrNode  $xer$ )
  then show ?thesis
    by meson
qed
next
case (XorNode  $n\ x\ y\ xe1\ ye1$ )
have  $k: g1 \vdash n \simeq BinaryExpr\ BinXor\ xe1\ ye1$  using  $f$  XorNode
  by (simp add: XorNode.hyps(2) rep.XorNode)
obtain  $xn\ yn$  where  $l: kind\ g1\ n = XorNode\ xn\ yn$ 
  using XorNode.hyps(1) by blast
then have  $mx: g1 \vdash xn \simeq xe1$ 
  using XorNode.hyps(1) XorNode.hyps(2) by fastforce
from  $l$  have  $my: g1 \vdash yn \simeq ye1$ 
  using XorNode.hyps(1) XorNode.hyps(3) by fastforce
then show ?case
proof -
  have  $g1 \vdash xn \simeq xe1$  using  $mx$  by simp
  have  $g1 \vdash yn \simeq ye1$  using  $my$  by simp
  have  $xer: \exists xe2. (g2 \vdash xn \simeq xe2) \wedge xe1 \geq xe2$ 
    using XorNode
    using  $a\ b\ c\ d\ l$  no-encoding not-excluded-keep-type repDet singletonD
    by (metis-node-eq-binary XorNode)
  have  $\exists ye2. (g2 \vdash yn \simeq ye2) \wedge ye1 \geq ye2$ 
    using XorNode  $a\ b\ c\ d\ l$  no-encoding not-excluded-keep-type repDet
    singletonD
    by (metis-node-eq-binary XorNode)
  then have  $\exists xe2\ ye2. (g2 \vdash n \simeq BinaryExpr\ BinXor\ xe2\ ye2) \wedge BinaryExpr\$ 
     $BinXor\ xe1\ ye1 \geq BinaryExpr\ BinXor\ xe2\ ye2$ 
    by (metis XorNode.premis  $l$  mono-binary rep.XorNode  $xer$ )
  then show ?thesis
    by meson
qed
next
case (IntegerBelowNode  $n\ x\ y\ xe1\ ye1$ )
have  $k: g1 \vdash n \simeq BinaryExpr\ BinIntegerBelow\ xe1\ ye1$  using  $f$  IntegerBe-
lowNode

```

```

    by (simp add: IntegerBelowNode.hyps(2) rep.IntegerBelowNode)
  obtain  $xn\ yn$  where  $l$ : kind  $g1\ n = IntegerBelowNode\ xn\ yn$ 
    using IntegerBelowNode.hyps(1) by blast
  then have  $mx$ :  $g1 \vdash xn \simeq xe1$ 
    using IntegerBelowNode.hyps(1) IntegerBelowNode.hyps(2) by fastforce
  from  $l$  have  $my$ :  $g1 \vdash yn \simeq ye1$ 
    using IntegerBelowNode.hyps(1) IntegerBelowNode.hyps(3) by fastforce
  then show ?case
  proof -
    have  $g1 \vdash xn \simeq xe1$  using  $mx$  by simp
    have  $g1 \vdash yn \simeq ye1$  using  $my$  by simp
    have  $xer$ :  $\exists xe2. (g2 \vdash xn \simeq xe2) \wedge xe1 \geq xe2$ 
      using IntegerBelowNode
      using  $a\ b\ c\ d\ l$  no-encoding not-excluded-keep-type repDet singletonD
      by (metis-node-eq-binary IntegerBelowNode)
    have  $\exists ye2. (g2 \vdash yn \simeq ye2) \wedge ye1 \geq ye2$ 
      using IntegerBelowNode  $a\ b\ c\ d\ l$  no-encoding not-excluded-keep-type repDet
      singletonD
      by (metis-node-eq-binary IntegerBelowNode)
    then have  $\exists xe2\ ye2. (g2 \vdash n \simeq BinaryExpr\ BinIntegerBelow\ xe2\ ye2) \wedge$ 
       $BinaryExpr\ BinIntegerBelow\ xe1\ ye1 \geq BinaryExpr\ BinIntegerBelow\ xe2\ ye2$ 
      by (metis IntegerBelowNode.premis  $l$  mono-binary rep.IntegerBelowNode
       $xer$ )
    then show ?thesis
      by meson
  qed
next
case (IntegerEqualsNode  $n\ x\ y\ xe1\ ye1$ )
  have  $k$ :  $g1 \vdash n \simeq BinaryExpr\ BinIntegerEquals\ xe1\ ye1$  using  $f$  IntegerEqual-
  sNode
    by (simp add: IntegerEqualsNode.hyps(2) rep.IntegerEqualsNode)
  obtain  $xn\ yn$  where  $l$ : kind  $g1\ n = IntegerEqualsNode\ xn\ yn$ 
    using IntegerEqualsNode.hyps(1) by blast
  then have  $mx$ :  $g1 \vdash xn \simeq xe1$ 
    using IntegerEqualsNode.hyps(1) IntegerEqualsNode.hyps(2) by fastforce
  from  $l$  have  $my$ :  $g1 \vdash yn \simeq ye1$ 
    using IntegerEqualsNode.hyps(1) IntegerEqualsNode.hyps(3) by fastforce
  then show ?case
  proof -
    have  $g1 \vdash xn \simeq xe1$  using  $mx$  by simp
    have  $g1 \vdash yn \simeq ye1$  using  $my$  by simp
    have  $xer$ :  $\exists xe2. (g2 \vdash xn \simeq xe2) \wedge xe1 \geq xe2$ 
      using IntegerEqualsNode
      using  $a\ b\ c\ d\ l$  no-encoding not-excluded-keep-type repDet singletonD
      by (metis-node-eq-binary IntegerEqualsNode)
    have  $\exists ye2. (g2 \vdash yn \simeq ye2) \wedge ye1 \geq ye2$ 
      using IntegerEqualsNode  $a\ b\ c\ d\ l$  no-encoding not-excluded-keep-type
      repDet singletonD
      by (metis-node-eq-binary IntegerEqualsNode)

```

```

    then have  $\exists xe2 ye2. (g2 \vdash n \simeq \text{BinaryExpr BinIntegerEquals } xe2 ye2) \wedge$ 
       $\text{BinaryExpr BinIntegerEquals } xe1 ye1 \geq \text{BinaryExpr BinIntegerEquals } xe2 ye2$ 
      by (metis IntegerEqualsNode.premis l mono-binary rep.IntegerEqualsNode
xer)
    then show ?thesis
      by meson
  qed
next
  case (IntegerLessThanNode n x y xe1 ye1)
    have k:  $g1 \vdash n \simeq \text{BinaryExpr BinIntegerLessThan } xe1 ye1$  using f IntegerLessThanNode
    by (simp add: IntegerLessThanNode.hyps(2) rep.IntegerLessThanNode)
  obtain xn yn where l: kind g1 n = IntegerLessThanNode xn yn
    using IntegerLessThanNode.hyps(1) by blast
  then have mx:  $g1 \vdash xn \simeq xe1$ 
    using IntegerLessThanNode.hyps(1) IntegerLessThanNode.hyps(2) by fast-
force
  from l have my:  $g1 \vdash yn \simeq ye1$ 
    using IntegerLessThanNode.hyps(1) IntegerLessThanNode.hyps(3) by fast-
force
  then show ?case
  proof -
    have  $g1 \vdash xn \simeq xe1$  using mx by simp
    have  $g1 \vdash yn \simeq ye1$  using my by simp
    have xer:  $\exists xe2. (g2 \vdash xn \simeq xe2) \wedge xe1 \geq xe2$ 
      using IntegerLessThanNode
      using a b c d l no-encoding not-excluded-keep-type repDet singletonD
      by (metis-node-eq-binary IntegerLessThanNode)
    have  $\exists ye2. (g2 \vdash yn \simeq ye2) \wedge ye1 \geq ye2$ 
      using IntegerLessThanNode a b c d l no-encoding not-excluded-keep-type
repDet singletonD
      by (metis-node-eq-binary IntegerLessThanNode)
    then have  $\exists xe2 ye2. (g2 \vdash n \simeq \text{BinaryExpr BinIntegerLessThan } xe2 ye2)$ 
       $\wedge \text{BinaryExpr BinIntegerLessThan } xe1 ye1 \geq \text{BinaryExpr BinIntegerLessThan } xe2$ 
       $ye2$ 
      by (metis IntegerLessThanNode.premis l mono-binary rep.IntegerLessThanNode
xer)
    then show ?thesis
      by meson
  qed
next
  case (NarrowNode n inputBits resultBits x xe1)
    have k:  $g1 \vdash n \simeq \text{UnaryExpr (UnaryNarrow inputBits resultBits) } xe1$  using
f NarrowNode
    by (simp add: NarrowNode.hyps(2) rep.NarrowNode)
  obtain xn where l: kind g1 n = NarrowNode inputBits resultBits xn
    using NarrowNode.hyps(1) by blast
  then have m:  $g1 \vdash xn \simeq xe1$ 
    using NarrowNode.hyps(1) NarrowNode.hyps(2)

```

```

    by auto
  then show ?case
  proof (cases  $xn = n'$ )
    case True
      then have  $n: xe1 = e1'$  using  $c\ m\ repDet$  by simp
      then have  $ev: g2 \vdash n \simeq UnaryExpr\ (UnaryNarrow\ inputBits\ resultBits)\ e2'$ 
    using  $NarrowNode.hyps(1)\ l\ m\ n$ 
      using  $NarrowNode.premis\ True\ d\ rep.NarrowNode$  by simp
      then have  $r: UnaryExpr\ (UnaryNarrow\ inputBits\ resultBits)\ e1' \geq UnaryExpr\$ 
        ( $UnaryNarrow\ inputBits\ resultBits)\ e2'$ 
        by (meson a mono-unary)
      then show ?thesis using  $ev\ r$ 
        by (metis  $n$ )
    next
      case False
        have  $g1 \vdash xn \simeq xe1$  using  $m$  by simp
        have  $\exists\ xe2. (g2 \vdash xn \simeq xe2) \wedge xe1 \geq xe2$ 
          using  $NarrowNode$ 
          using  $False\ b\ encodes-contains\ l\ not-excluded-keep-type\ not-in-g\ singleton-iff$ 
          by (metis  $node-eq-ternary\ NarrowNode$ )
          then have  $\exists\ xe2. (g2 \vdash n \simeq UnaryExpr\ (UnaryNarrow\ inputBits\ re-$ 
             $sultBits)\ xe2) \wedge UnaryExpr\ (UnaryNarrow\ inputBits\ resultBits)\ xe1 \geq UnaryExpr\$ 
            ( $UnaryNarrow\ inputBits\ resultBits)\ xe2$ 
            by (metis  $NarrowNode.premis\ l\ mono-unary\ rep.NarrowNode$ )
          then show ?thesis
            by meson
        qed
      next
        case ( $SignExtendNode\ n\ inputBits\ resultBits\ x\ xe1$ )
          have  $k: g1 \vdash n \simeq UnaryExpr\ (UnarySignExtend\ inputBits\ resultBits)\ xe1$ 
        using  $f\ SignExtendNode$ 
          by (simp add:  $SignExtendNode.hyps(2)\ rep.SignExtendNode$ )
          obtain  $xn$  where  $l: kind\ g1\ n = SignExtendNode\ inputBits\ resultBits\ xn$ 
            using  $SignExtendNode.hyps(1)$  by blast
          then have  $m: g1 \vdash xn \simeq xe1$ 
            using  $SignExtendNode.hyps(1)\ SignExtendNode.hyps(2)$ 
            by auto
          then show ?case
          proof (cases  $xn = n'$ )
            case True
              then have  $n: xe1 = e1'$  using  $c\ m\ repDet$  by simp
              then have  $ev: g2 \vdash n \simeq UnaryExpr\ (UnarySignExtend\ inputBits\ resultBits)\$ 
             $e2'$  using  $SignExtendNode.hyps(1)\ l\ m\ n$ 
              using  $SignExtendNode.premis\ True\ d\ rep.SignExtendNode$  by simp
              then have  $r: UnaryExpr\ (UnarySignExtend\ inputBits\ resultBits)\ e1' \geq$ 
             $UnaryExpr\ (UnarySignExtend\ inputBits\ resultBits)\ e2'$ 
              by (meson a mono-unary)
              then show ?thesis using  $ev\ r$ 
                by (metis  $n$ )
            case False

```

```

next
  case False
  have  $g1 \vdash xn \simeq xe1$  using m by simp
  have  $\exists xe2. (g2 \vdash xn \simeq xe2) \wedge xe1 \geq xe2$ 
    using SignExtendNode
  using False b encodes-contains l not-excluded-keep-type not-in-g singleton-iff
    by (metis-node-eq-ternary SignExtendNode)
  then have  $\exists xe2. (g2 \vdash n \simeq \text{UnaryExpr } (\text{UnarySignExtend inputBits resultBits}) xe2) \wedge \text{UnaryExpr } (\text{UnarySignExtend inputBits resultBits}) xe1 \geq \text{UnaryExpr } (\text{UnarySignExtend inputBits resultBits}) xe2$ 
    by (metis SignExtendNode.premis l mono-unary rep.SignExtendNode)
  then show ?thesis
    by meson
qed
next
  case (ZeroExtendNode n inputBits resultBits x xe1)
  have k:  $g1 \vdash n \simeq \text{UnaryExpr } (\text{UnaryZeroExtend inputBits resultBits}) xe1$ 
using f ZeroExtendNode
  by (simp add: ZeroExtendNode.hyps(2) rep.ZeroExtendNode)
  obtain xn where l: kind g1 n = ZeroExtendNode inputBits resultBits xn
  using ZeroExtendNode.hyps(1) by blast
  then have m:  $g1 \vdash xn \simeq xe1$ 
  using ZeroExtendNode.hyps(1) ZeroExtendNode.hyps(2)
  by auto
  then show ?case
  proof (cases xn = n')
  case True
  then have n:  $xe1 = e1'$  using c m repDet by simp
  then have ev:  $g2 \vdash n \simeq \text{UnaryExpr } (\text{UnaryZeroExtend inputBits resultBits}) e2'$ 
using ZeroExtendNode.hyps(1) l m n
  using ZeroExtendNode.premis True d rep.ZeroExtendNode by simp
  then have r:  $\text{UnaryExpr } (\text{UnaryZeroExtend inputBits resultBits}) e1' \geq \text{UnaryExpr } (\text{UnaryZeroExtend inputBits resultBits}) e2'$ 
  by (metis a mono-unary)
  then show ?thesis using ev r
  by (metis n)
next
  case False
  have  $g1 \vdash xn \simeq xe1$  using m by simp
  have  $\exists xe2. (g2 \vdash xn \simeq xe2) \wedge xe1 \geq xe2$ 
    using ZeroExtendNode
  using False b encodes-contains l not-excluded-keep-type not-in-g singleton-iff
    by (metis-node-eq-ternary ZeroExtendNode)
  then have  $\exists xe2. (g2 \vdash n \simeq \text{UnaryExpr } (\text{UnaryZeroExtend inputBits resultBits}) xe2) \wedge \text{UnaryExpr } (\text{UnaryZeroExtend inputBits resultBits}) xe1 \geq \text{UnaryExpr } (\text{UnaryZeroExtend inputBits resultBits}) xe2$ 
    by (metis ZeroExtendNode.premis l mono-unary rep.ZeroExtendNode)
  then show ?thesis
    by meson

```



```

      qed
    next
      case (LeafNode n s)
      then show ?case
      by (metis eq-refl rep.LeanNode)
    next
      case (RefNode n')
      then show ?case
      by (metis a b c d no-encoding not-excluded-keep-type rep.RefNode repDet
singletonD)
    qed
  qed
qed

```

lemma *graph-antics-preservation-subscript*:

```

  assumes a:  $e_1' \geq e_2'$ 
  assumes b:  $(\{n\} \trianglelefteq \text{as-set } g_1) \subseteq \text{as-set } g_2$ 
  assumes c:  $g_1 \vdash n \simeq e_1'$ 
  assumes d:  $g_2 \vdash n \simeq e_2'$ 
  shows graph-refinement  $g_1$   $g_2$ 
  using graph-antics-preservation assms by simp

```

lemma *tree-to-graph-rewriting*:

```

   $e_1 \geq e_2$ 
   $\wedge (g_1 \vdash n \simeq e_1) \wedge \text{maximal-sharing } g_1$ 
   $\wedge (\{n\} \trianglelefteq \text{as-set } g_1) \subseteq \text{as-set } g_2$ 
   $\wedge (g_2 \vdash n \simeq e_2) \wedge \text{maximal-sharing } g_2$ 
 $\implies \text{graph-refinement } g_1$   $g_2$ 
  using graph-antics-preservation
  by auto

```

```

declare [[simp-trace]]
lemma equal-refines:
  fixes  $e1$   $e2 :: \text{IRExpr}$ 
  assumes  $e1 = e2$ 
  shows  $e1 \geq e2$ 
  using assms
  by simp
declare [[simp-trace=false]]

```

lemma *eval-contains-id[simp]*: $g1 \vdash n \simeq e \implies n \in \text{ids } g1$
 using *no-encoding* by *blast*

lemma *subset-kind[simp]*: $\text{as-set } g1 \subseteq \text{as-set } g2 \implies g1 \vdash n \simeq e \implies \text{kind } g1$ $n =$
 $\text{kind } g2$ n
 using *eval-contains-id* **unfolding** *as-set-def*
 by *blast*

lemma *subset-stamp*[simp]: $as\text{-}set\ g1 \subseteq as\text{-}set\ g2 \implies g1 \vdash n \simeq e \implies stamp\ g1\ n = stamp\ g2\ n$
using *eval-contains-id* **unfolding** *as-set-def*
by *blast*

method *solve-subset-eval* **uses** *as-set eval* =
 (*metis eval as-set subset-kind subset-stamp* |
metis eval as-set subset-kind)

lemma *subset-implies-evals*:
assumes $as\text{-}set\ g1 \subseteq as\text{-}set\ g2$
assumes $(g1 \vdash n \simeq e)$
shows $(g2 \vdash n \simeq e)$
using *assms(2)*
apply (*induction e*)
 apply (*solve-subset-eval as-set: assms(1) eval: ConstantNode*)
 apply (*solve-subset-eval as-set: assms(1) eval: ParameterNode*)
 apply (*solve-subset-eval as-set: assms(1) eval: ConditionalNode*)
 apply (*solve-subset-eval as-set: assms(1) eval: AbsNode*)
 apply (*solve-subset-eval as-set: assms(1) eval: NotNode*)
 apply (*solve-subset-eval as-set: assms(1) eval: NegateNode*)
 apply (*solve-subset-eval as-set: assms(1) eval: LogicNegationNode*)
 apply (*solve-subset-eval as-set: assms(1) eval: AddNode*)
 apply (*solve-subset-eval as-set: assms(1) eval: MulNode*)
 apply (*solve-subset-eval as-set: assms(1) eval: SubNode*)
 apply (*solve-subset-eval as-set: assms(1) eval: AndNode*)
 apply (*solve-subset-eval as-set: assms(1) eval: OrNode*)
 apply (*solve-subset-eval as-set: assms(1) eval: XorNode*)
 apply (*solve-subset-eval as-set: assms(1) eval: IntegerBelowNode*)
 apply (*solve-subset-eval as-set: assms(1) eval: IntegerEqualsNode*)
 apply (*solve-subset-eval as-set: assms(1) eval: IntegerLessThanNode*)
 apply (*solve-subset-eval as-set: assms(1) eval: NarrowNode*)
 apply (*solve-subset-eval as-set: assms(1) eval: SignExtendNode*)
 apply (*solve-subset-eval as-set: assms(1) eval: ZeroExtendNode*)
 apply (*solve-subset-eval as-set: assms(1) eval: LeafNode*)
by (*solve-subset-eval as-set: assms(1) eval: RefNode*)

lemma *subset-refines*:
assumes $as\text{-}set\ g1 \subseteq as\text{-}set\ g2$
shows *graph-refinement g1 g2*
proof –
 have $ids\ g1 \subseteq ids\ g2$ **using** *assms* **unfolding** *as-set-def*
 by *blast*
then show *?thesis* **unfolding** *graph-refinement-def* **apply** *rule*
 apply (*rule allI*) **apply** (*rule impI*) **apply** (*rule allI*) **apply** (*rule impI*)
 unfolding *graph-represents-expression-def*

```

proof –
  fix  $n\ e1$ 
  assume  $1:n \in ids\ g1$ 
  assume  $2:g1 \vdash n \simeq e1$ 

  show  $\exists e2. (g2 \vdash n \simeq e2) \wedge e1 \geq e2$ 
    using  $assms\ 1\ 2$  using  $subset\text{-}implies\text{-}evals$ 
    by ( $meson\ equal\text{-}refines$ )
  qed
qed

lemma graph-construction:
   $e1 \geq e2$ 
   $\wedge as\text{-}set\ g1 \subseteq as\text{-}set\ g2$ 
   $\wedge (g2 \vdash n \simeq e2)$ 
   $\implies (g2 \vdash n \trianglelefteq e1) \wedge graph\text{-}refinement\ g1\ g2$ 
  using  $subset\text{-}refines$ 
  by ( $meson\ encodeeval\text{-}def\ graph\text{-}represents\text{-}expression\text{-}def\ le\text{-}expr\text{-}def$ )

end

```

3 Control-flow Semantics

```

theory IRStepObj
  imports
    TreeToGraph
begin

```

3.1 Object Heap

The heap model we introduce maps field references to object instances to runtime values. We use the $H[f][p]$ heap representation. See *\cite{heap-reps-2011}*. We also introduce the `DynamicHeap` type which allocates new object references sequentially storing the next free object reference as 'Free'.

heapdef

```

type-synonym ('a, 'b) Heap = 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'b  $\Rightarrow$  Value
type-synonym Free = nat
type-synonym ('a, 'b) DynamicHeap = ('a, 'b) Heap  $\times$  Free

fun h-load-field :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'b  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 'b) DynamicHeap  $\Rightarrow$  Value where
  h-load-field f r (h, n) = h f r

fun h-store-field :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'b  $\Rightarrow$  Value  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 'b) DynamicHeap  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 'b)
  DynamicHeap where
  h-store-field f r v (h, n) = (h(f := ((h f)(r := v))), n)

fun h-new-inst :: ('a, 'b) DynamicHeap  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 'b) DynamicHeap  $\times$  Value
where
  h-new-inst (h, n) = ((h, n+1), (ObjRef (Some n)))

type-synonym FieldRefHeap = (string, objref) DynamicHeap

```

```

definition new-heap :: ('a, 'b) DynamicHeap where
  new-heap = (( $\lambda$ f.  $\lambda$ p. UndefVal), 0)

```

3.2 Intraprocedural Semantics

```

fun find-index :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a list  $\Rightarrow$  nat where
  find-index - [] = 0 |
  find-index v (x # xs) = (if (x=v) then 0 else find-index v xs + 1)

fun phi-list :: IRGraph  $\Rightarrow$  ID  $\Rightarrow$  ID list where
  phi-list g n =
    (filter ( $\lambda$ x.(is-PhiNode (kind g x)))
     (sorted-list-of-set (usages g n)))

fun input-index :: IRGraph  $\Rightarrow$  ID  $\Rightarrow$  ID  $\Rightarrow$  nat where
  input-index g n n' = find-index n' (inputs-of (kind g n))

fun phi-inputs :: IRGraph  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  ID list  $\Rightarrow$  ID list where
  phi-inputs g i nodes = (map ( $\lambda$ n. (inputs-of (kind g n))!(i + 1)) nodes)

fun set-phis :: ID list  $\Rightarrow$  Value list  $\Rightarrow$  MapState  $\Rightarrow$  MapState where
  set-phis [] [] m = m |
  set-phis (n # xs) (v # vs) m = (set-phis xs vs (m(n := v))) |
  set-phis [] (v # vs) m = m |
  set-phis (x # xs) [] m = m

```

Intraprocedural semantics are given as a small-step semantics.

Within the context of a graph, the configuration triple, (ID, MethodState, Heap), is related to the subsequent configuration.

```

inductive step :: IRGraph  $\Rightarrow$  Params  $\Rightarrow$  (ID  $\times$  MapState  $\times$  FieldRefHeap)  $\Rightarrow$  (ID

```

$\times \text{MapState} \times \text{FieldRefHeap} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
 $(-, - \vdash - \rightarrow - \ 55)$ **for** $g \ p$ **where**

SequentialNode:

$\llbracket \text{is-sequential-node } (kind \ g \ nid);$
 $\quad nid' = (\text{successors-of } (kind \ g \ nid))!0 \rrbracket$
 $\implies g, p \vdash (nid, m, h) \rightarrow (nid', m, h) \mid$

IfNode:

$\llbracket kind \ g \ nid = (\text{IfNode } cond \ tb \ fb);$
 $\quad g \vdash cond \simeq condE;$
 $\quad [m, p] \vdash condE \mapsto val;$
 $\quad nid' = (\text{if } val\text{-to-bool } val \text{ then } tb \text{ else } fb) \rrbracket$
 $\implies g, p \vdash (nid, m, h) \rightarrow (nid', m, h) \mid$

EndNodes:

$\llbracket \text{is-AbstractEndNode } (kind \ g \ nid);$
 $\quad merge = \text{any-usage } g \ nid;$
 $\quad \text{is-AbstractMergeNode } (kind \ g \ merge);$

 $\quad i = \text{find-index } nid \ (\text{inputs-of } (kind \ g \ merge));$
 $\quad phis = (\text{phi-list } g \ merge);$
 $\quad inps = (\text{phi-inputs } g \ i \ phis);$
 $\quad g \vdash inps \simeq_L inpsE;$
 $\quad [m, p] \vdash inpsE \mapsto_L vs;$

 $\quad m' = \text{set-phis } phis \ vs \ m \rrbracket$
 $\implies g, p \vdash (nid, m, h) \rightarrow (merge, m', h) \mid$

NewInstanceNode:

$\llbracket kind \ g \ nid = (\text{NewInstanceNode } nid \ f \ obj \ nid');$
 $\quad (h', ref) = h\text{-new-inst } h;$
 $\quad m' = m(nid := ref) \rrbracket$
 $\implies g, p \vdash (nid, m, h) \rightarrow (nid', m', h') \mid$

LoadFieldNode:

$\llbracket kind \ g \ nid = (\text{LoadFieldNode } nid \ f \ (\text{Some } obj) \ nid');$
 $\quad g \vdash obj \simeq objE;$
 $\quad [m, p] \vdash objE \mapsto \text{ObjRef } ref;$
 $\quad h\text{-load-field } f \ ref \ h = v;$
 $\quad m' = m(nid := v) \rrbracket$
 $\implies g, p \vdash (nid, m, h) \rightarrow (nid', m', h) \mid$

SignedDivNode:

$\llbracket kind \ g \ nid = (\text{SignedDivNode } nid \ x \ y \ zero \ sb \ nxt);$
 $\quad g \vdash x \simeq xe;$
 $\quad g \vdash y \simeq ye;$
 $\quad [m, p] \vdash xe \mapsto v1;$

$$\begin{aligned}
& [m, p] \vdash ye \mapsto v2; \\
& v = (\text{intval-div } v1 \ v2); \\
& m' = m(\text{nid} := v) \\
\implies & g, p \vdash (\text{nid}, m, h) \rightarrow (\text{nxt}, m', h) \mid
\end{aligned}$$

SignedRemNode:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \llbracket \text{kind } g \text{ nid} = (\text{SignedRemNode } \text{nid } x \ y \ \text{zero } sb \ \text{nxt}); \\
& \quad g \vdash x \simeq xe; \\
& \quad g \vdash y \simeq ye; \\
& \quad [m, p] \vdash xe \mapsto v1; \\
& \quad [m, p] \vdash ye \mapsto v2; \\
& \quad v = (\text{intval-mod } v1 \ v2); \\
& \quad m' = m(\text{nid} := v) \\
\implies & g, p \vdash (\text{nid}, m, h) \rightarrow (\text{nxt}, m', h) \mid
\end{aligned}$$

StaticLoadFieldNode:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \llbracket \text{kind } g \text{ nid} = (\text{LoadFieldNode } \text{nid } f \ \text{None } \text{nid}'); \\
& \quad h\text{-load-field } f \ \text{None } h = v; \\
& \quad m' = m(\text{nid} := v) \\
\implies & g, p \vdash (\text{nid}, m, h) \rightarrow (\text{nid}', m', h) \mid
\end{aligned}$$

StoreFieldNode:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \llbracket \text{kind } g \text{ nid} = (\text{StoreFieldNode } \text{nid } f \ \text{newval} - (\text{Some } \text{obj}) \ \text{nid}'); \\
& \quad g \vdash \text{newval} \simeq \text{newvalE}; \\
& \quad g \vdash \text{obj} \simeq \text{objE}; \\
& \quad [m, p] \vdash \text{newvalE} \mapsto \text{val}; \\
& \quad [m, p] \vdash \text{objE} \mapsto \text{ObjRef } \text{ref}; \\
& \quad h' = h\text{-store-field } f \ \text{ref } \text{val } h; \\
& \quad m' = m(\text{nid} := \text{val}) \\
\implies & g, p \vdash (\text{nid}, m, h) \rightarrow (\text{nid}', m', h') \mid
\end{aligned}$$

StaticStoreFieldNode:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \llbracket \text{kind } g \text{ nid} = (\text{StoreFieldNode } \text{nid } f \ \text{newval} - \text{None } \text{nid}'); \\
& \quad g \vdash \text{newval} \simeq \text{newvalE}; \\
& \quad [m, p] \vdash \text{newvalE} \mapsto \text{val}; \\
& \quad h' = h\text{-store-field } f \ \text{None } \text{val } h; \\
& \quad m' = m(\text{nid} := \text{val}) \\
\implies & g, p \vdash (\text{nid}, m, h) \rightarrow (\text{nid}', m', h')
\end{aligned}$$

code-pred (*modes*: $i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i * i * i \Rightarrow o * o * o \Rightarrow \text{bool}$) *step* .

3.3 Interprocedural Semantics

type-synonym *Signature* = *string*

type-synonym *Program* = *Signature* \rightarrow *IRGraph*

inductive *step-top* :: *Program* \Rightarrow (*IRGraph* \times *ID* \times *MapState* \times *Params*) *list* \times *FieldRefHeap* \Rightarrow (*IRGraph* \times *ID* \times *MapState* \times *Params*) *list* \times *FieldRefHeap* \Rightarrow

bool

$(- \vdash - \longrightarrow - \ 55)$

for P where

Lift:

$\llbracket g, p \vdash (nid, m, h) \rightarrow (nid', m', h') \rrbracket$
 $\implies P \vdash ((g, nid, m, p) \# stk, h) \longrightarrow ((g, nid', m', p) \# stk, h') \mid$

InvokeNodeStep:

$\llbracket is-Invoke \ (kind \ g \ nid);$

$callTarget = ir-callTarget \ (kind \ g \ nid);$

$kind \ g \ callTarget = (MethodCallTargetNode \ targetMethod \ arguments);$

$Some \ targetGraph = P \ targetMethod;$

$m' = new-map-state;$

$g \vdash arguments \simeq_L argsE;$

$[m, p] \vdash argsE \mapsto_L p \rrbracket$

$\implies P \vdash ((g, nid, m, p) \# stk, h) \longrightarrow ((targetGraph, 0, m', p') \# (g, nid, m, p) \# stk, h)$

\mid

ReturnNode:

$\llbracket kind \ g \ nid = (ReturnNode \ (Some \ expr) \ -);$

$g \vdash expr \simeq e;$

$[m, p] \vdash e \mapsto v;$

$cm' = cm(cnid := v);$

$cnid' = (successors-of \ (kind \ cg \ cnid))!0 \rrbracket$

$\implies P \vdash ((g, nid, m, p) \# (cg, cnid, cm, cp) \# stk, h) \longrightarrow ((cg, cnid', cm', cp) \# stk, h) \mid$

ReturnNodeVoid:

$\llbracket kind \ g \ nid = (ReturnNode \ None \ -);$

$cm' = cm(cnid := (ObjRef \ (Some \ (2048))));$

$cnid' = (successors-of \ (kind \ cg \ cnid))!0 \rrbracket$

$\implies P \vdash ((g, nid, m, p) \# (cg, cnid, cm, cp) \# stk, h) \longrightarrow ((cg, cnid', cm', cp) \# stk, h) \mid$

UnwindNode:

$\llbracket kind \ g \ nid = (UnwindNode \ exception);$

$g \vdash exception \simeq exceptionE;$

$[m, p] \vdash exceptionE \mapsto e;$

$kind \ cg \ cnid = (InvokeWithExceptionNode \ - \ - \ - \ - \ - \ exEdge);$

$cm' = cm(cnid := e) \rrbracket$

$\implies P \vdash ((g, nid, m, p) \# (cg, cnid, cm, cp) \# stk, h) \longrightarrow ((cg, exEdge, cm', cp) \# stk, h)$

code-pred (*modes*: $i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o \Rightarrow bool$) *step-top* .

3.4 Big-step Execution

type-synonym $Trace = (IRGraph \times ID \times MapState \times Params) \text{ list}$

fun $has\text{-}return :: MapState \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $has\text{-}return\ m = (m\ 0 \neq UndefinedVal)$

inductive $exec :: Program$
 $\Rightarrow (IRGraph \times ID \times MapState \times Params) \text{ list} \times FieldRefHeap$
 $\Rightarrow Trace$
 $\Rightarrow (IRGraph \times ID \times MapState \times Params) \text{ list} \times FieldRefHeap$
 $\Rightarrow Trace$
 $\Rightarrow bool$
 $(- \vdash - \mid - \longrightarrow * - \mid -)$
for P
where
 $\llbracket P \vdash (((g, nid, m, p) \# xs), h) \longrightarrow (((g', nid', m', p') \# ys), h') ;$
 $\neg(has\text{-}return\ m') ;$
 $l' = (l @ [(g, nid, m, p)]) ;$
 $exec\ P\ (((g', nid', m', p') \# ys), h')\ l'\ next\text{-}state\ l'' \rrbracket$
 $\implies exec\ P\ (((g, nid, m, p) \# xs), h)\ l\ next\text{-}state\ l''$
 \mid
 $\llbracket P \vdash (((g, nid, m, p) \# xs), h) \longrightarrow (((g', nid', m', p') \# ys), h') ;$
 $has\text{-}return\ m' ;$
 $l' = (l @ [(g, nid, m, p)]) \rrbracket$
 $\implies exec\ P\ (((g, nid, m, p) \# xs), h)\ l\ (((g', nid', m', p') \# ys), h')\ l'$
code-pred $(modes: i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o \Rightarrow o \Rightarrow bool \text{ as } Exec)\ exec .$

inductive $exec\text{-}debug :: Program$
 $\Rightarrow (IRGraph \times ID \times MapState \times Params) \text{ list} \times FieldRefHeap$
 $\Rightarrow nat$
 $\Rightarrow (IRGraph \times ID \times MapState \times Params) \text{ list} \times FieldRefHeap$
 $\Rightarrow bool$
 $(\vdash \longrightarrow * - \mid -)$
where
 $\llbracket n > 0 ;$
 $p \vdash s \longrightarrow s' ;$
 $exec\text{-}debug\ p\ s'\ (n - 1)\ s' \rrbracket$
 $\implies exec\text{-}debug\ p\ s\ n\ s'' \mid$
 $\llbracket n = 0 \rrbracket$
 $\implies exec\text{-}debug\ p\ s\ n\ s$
code-pred $(modes: i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o \Rightarrow bool)\ exec\text{-}debug .$

3.4.1 Heap Testing

definition $p3 :: Params$ **where**

$p3 = [IntVal32\ 3]$

values $\{(prod.fst(prod.snd\ (prod.snd\ (hd\ (prod.fst\ res))))\ 0$
 $\mid res. (\lambda x. Some\ eg2-sq) \vdash [(eg2-sq, 0, new-map-state, p3), (eg2-sq, 0, new-map-state, p3)],$
 $new-heap) \rightarrow *2* res\}$

definition $field-sq :: string$ **where**

$field-sq = "sq"$

definition $eg3-sq :: IRGraph$ **where**

$eg3-sq = irgraph\ [$
 $(0, StartNode\ None\ 4, VoidStamp),$
 $(1, ParameterNode\ 0, default-stamp),$
 $(3, MulNode\ 1\ 1, default-stamp),$
 $(4, StoreFieldNode\ 4\ field-sq\ 3\ None\ None\ 5, VoidStamp),$
 $(5, ReturnNode\ (Some\ 3)\ None, default-stamp)$
 $]$

values $\{h-load-field\ field-sq\ None\ (prod.snd\ res)$
 $\mid res. (\lambda x. Some\ eg3-sq) \vdash [(eg3-sq, 0, new-map-state, p3), (eg3-sq, 0,$
 $new-map-state, p3)], new-heap) \rightarrow *3* res\}$

definition $eg4-sq :: IRGraph$ **where**

$eg4-sq = irgraph\ [$
 $(0, StartNode\ None\ 4, VoidStamp),$
 $(1, ParameterNode\ 0, default-stamp),$
 $(3, MulNode\ 1\ 1, default-stamp),$
 $(4, NewInstanceNode\ 4\ "obj-class"\ None\ 5, ObjectStamp\ "obj-class"\ True\ True$
 $True),$
 $(5, StoreFieldNode\ 5\ field-sq\ 3\ None\ (Some\ 4)\ 6, VoidStamp),$
 $(6, ReturnNode\ (Some\ 3)\ None, default-stamp)$
 $]$

values $\{h-load-field\ field-sq\ (Some\ 0)\ (prod.snd\ res) \mid res.$
 $(\lambda x. Some\ eg4-sq) \vdash [(eg4-sq, 0, new-map-state, p3), (eg4-sq, 0,$
 $new-map-state, p3)], new-heap) \rightarrow *4* res\}$

end

3.5 Control-flow Semantics Theorems

theory $IRStepThms$

imports

$IRStepObj$

begin

We prove that within the same graph, a configuration triple will always transition to the same subsequent configuration. Therefore, our step semantics is deterministic.

3.5.1 Control-flow Step is Deterministic

theorem *stepDet*:

$(g, p \vdash (nid, m, h) \rightarrow next) \implies$
 $(\forall next'. ((g, p \vdash (nid, m, h) \rightarrow next') \longrightarrow next = next'))$

proof (*induction rule: step.induct*)

case (*SequentialNode nid next m h*)

have *notif*: $\neg(is_IfNode\ (kind\ g\ nid))$

using *SequentialNode.hyps(1) is-sequential-node.simps*

by (*metis is-IfNode-def*)

have *notend*: $\neg(is_AbstractEndNode\ (kind\ g\ nid))$

using *SequentialNode.hyps(1) is-sequential-node.simps*

by (*metis is-AbstractEndNode.simps is-EndNode.elims(2) is-LoopEndNode-def*)

have *notnew*: $\neg(is_NewInstanceNode\ (kind\ g\ nid))$

using *SequentialNode.hyps(1) is-sequential-node.simps*

by (*metis is-NewInstanceNode-def*)

have *notload*: $\neg(is_LoadFieldNode\ (kind\ g\ nid))$

using *SequentialNode.hyps(1) is-sequential-node.simps*

by (*metis is-LoadFieldNode-def*)

have *notstore*: $\neg(is_StoreFieldNode\ (kind\ g\ nid))$

using *SequentialNode.hyps(1) is-sequential-node.simps*

by (*metis is-StoreFieldNode-def*)

have *notdivrem*: $\neg(is_IntegerDivRemNode\ (kind\ g\ nid))$

using *SequentialNode.hyps(1) is-sequential-node.simps is-SignedDivNode-def*

is-SignedRemNode-def

by (*metis is-IntegerDivRemNode.simps*)

from *notif notend notnew notload notstore notdivrem*

show *?case using SequentialNode step.cases*

by (*smt (z3) IRNode.disc(1028) IRNode.disc(2270) IRNode.discI(31) Pair-inject*

is-sequential-node.simps(18) is-sequential-node.simps(43) is-sequential-node.simps(44))

next

case (*IfNode nid cond tb fb m val next h*)

then have *notseq*: $\neg(is_sequential-node\ (kind\ g\ nid))$

using *is-sequential-node.simps is-AbstractMergeNode.simps*

by (*simp add: IfNode.hyps(1)*)

have *notend*: $\neg(is_AbstractEndNode\ (kind\ g\ nid))$

using *is-AbstractEndNode.simps*

by (*simp add: IfNode.hyps(1)*)

have *notdivrem*: $\neg(is_IntegerDivRemNode\ (kind\ g\ nid))$

using *is-AbstractEndNode.simps*

by (*simp add: IfNode.hyps(1)*)

from *notseq notend notdivrem show ?case using IfNode repDet evalDet IRN-*

```

ode.distinct IRNode.inject(11) Pair-inject step.simps
  by (smt (z3) IRNode.distinct IRNode.inject(12) Pair-inject step.simps)
next
case (EndNodes nid merge i phis inputs m vs m' h)
have notseq: ¬(is-sequential-node (kind g nid))
  using EndNodes.hyps(1) is-AbstractEndNode.simps is-sequential-node.simps
  by (metis is-EndNode.elims(2) is-LoopEndNode-def)
have notif: ¬(is-IfNode (kind g nid))
  using EndNodes.hyps(1) is-IfNode-def is-AbstractEndNode.elims
  by (metis IRNode.distinct-disc(1058) is-EndNode.simps(12))
have notref: ¬(is-RefNode (kind g nid))
  using EndNodes.hyps(1) is-sequential-node.simps
  using IRNode.disc(1899) IRNode.distinct(1473) is-AbstractEndNode.simps
is-EndNode.elims(2) is-LoopEndNode-def is-RefNode-def
  by metis
have notnew: ¬(is-NewInstanceNode (kind g nid))
  using EndNodes.hyps(1) is-AbstractEndNode.simps
  using IRNode.distinct-disc(1442) is-EndNode.simps(29) is-NewInstanceNode-def
  by (metis IRNode.distinct-disc(1901) is-EndNode.simps(32))
have notload: ¬(is-LoadFieldNode (kind g nid))
  using EndNodes.hyps(1) is-AbstractEndNode.simps
  using is-LoadFieldNode-def
  by (metis IRNode.distinct-disc(1706) is-EndNode.simps(21))
have notstore: ¬(is-StoreFieldNode (kind g nid))
  using EndNodes.hyps(1) is-AbstractEndNode.simps is-StoreFieldNode-def
  by (metis IRNode.distinct-disc(1926) is-EndNode.simps(44))
have notdivrem: ¬(is-IntegerDivRemNode (kind g nid))
  using EndNodes.hyps(1) is-AbstractEndNode.simps is-SignedDivNode-def is-SignedRemNode-def
  using IRNode.distinct-disc(1498) IRNode.distinct-disc(1500) is-IntegerDivRemNode.simps
is-EndNode.simps(36) is-EndNode.simps(37)
  by auto
from notseq notif notref notnew notload notstore notdivrem
show ?case using EndNodes repAllDet evalAllDet
  by (smt (z3) is-IfNode-def is-LoadFieldNode-def is-NewInstanceNode-def is-RefNode-def
is-StoreFieldNode-def is-SignedDivNode-def is-SignedRemNode-def Pair-inject is-IntegerDivRemNode.elims(3)
step.cases)
next
case (NewInstanceNode nid f obj nxt h' ref h m' m)
then have notseq: ¬(is-sequential-node (kind g nid))
  using is-sequential-node.simps is-AbstractMergeNode.simps
  by (simp add: NewInstanceNode.hyps(1))
have notend: ¬(is-AbstractEndNode (kind g nid))
  using is-AbstractMergeNode.simps
  by (simp add: NewInstanceNode.hyps(1))
have notif: ¬(is-IfNode (kind g nid))
  using is-AbstractMergeNode.simps
  by (simp add: NewInstanceNode.hyps(1))
have notref: ¬(is-RefNode (kind g nid))
  using is-AbstractMergeNode.simps

```

```

    by (simp add: NewInstanceNode.hyps(1))
  have notload:  $\neg(\text{is-LoadFieldNode } (\text{kind } g \text{ nid}))$ 
    using is-AbstractMergeNode.simps
  by (simp add: NewInstanceNode.hyps(1))
  have notstore:  $\neg(\text{is-StoreFieldNode } (\text{kind } g \text{ nid}))$ 
    using is-AbstractMergeNode.simps
  by (simp add: NewInstanceNode.hyps(1))
  have notdivrem:  $\neg(\text{is-IntegerDivRemNode } (\text{kind } g \text{ nid}))$ 
    using is-AbstractMergeNode.simps
  by (simp add: NewInstanceNode.hyps(1))
  from notseq notend notif notref notload notstore notdivrem
  show ?case using NewInstanceNode.step.cases
    by (smt (z3) IRNode.disc(1028) IRNode.disc(2270) IRNode.discI(11) IRNode.distinct(2311) IRNode.distinct(2313) IRNode.inject(31) Pair-inject)
next
  case (LoadFieldNode nid f obj nrt m ref h v m')
  then have notseq:  $\neg(\text{is-sequential-node } (\text{kind } g \text{ nid}))$ 
    using is-sequential-node.simps is-AbstractMergeNode.simps
  by (simp add: LoadFieldNode.hyps(1))
  have notend:  $\neg(\text{is-AbstractEndNode } (\text{kind } g \text{ nid}))$ 
    using is-AbstractEndNode.simps
  by (simp add: LoadFieldNode.hyps(1))
  have notdivrem:  $\neg(\text{is-IntegerDivRemNode } (\text{kind } g \text{ nid}))$ 
    using is-AbstractEndNode.simps
  by (simp add: LoadFieldNode.hyps(1))
  from notseq notend notdivrem
  show ?case using LoadFieldNode.step.cases repDet evalDet
    by (smt (z3) IRNode.distinct(1051) IRNode.distinct(1721) IRNode.distinct(1739) IRNode.distinct(1741) IRNode.distinct(1745) IRNode.inject(20) Pair-inject Value.inject(3) option.distinct(1) option.inject)
next
  case (StaticLoadFieldNode nid f nrt h v m' m)
  then have notseq:  $\neg(\text{is-sequential-node } (\text{kind } g \text{ nid}))$ 
    using is-sequential-node.simps is-AbstractMergeNode.simps
  by (simp add: StaticLoadFieldNode.hyps(1))
  have notend:  $\neg(\text{is-AbstractEndNode } (\text{kind } g \text{ nid}))$ 
    using is-AbstractEndNode.simps
  by (simp add: StaticLoadFieldNode.hyps(1))
  have notdivrem:  $\neg(\text{is-IntegerDivRemNode } (\text{kind } g \text{ nid}))$ 
    by (simp add: StaticLoadFieldNode.hyps(1))
  from notseq notend notdivrem
  show ?case using StaticLoadFieldNode.step.cases
    by (smt (z3) IRNode.distinct(1051) IRNode.distinct(1721) IRNode.distinct(1739) IRNode.distinct(1741) IRNode.distinct(1745) IRNode.inject(20) Pair-inject option.distinct(1))
next
  case (StoreFieldNode nid f newval uu obj nrt m val ref h' h m')
  then have notseq:  $\neg(\text{is-sequential-node } (\text{kind } g \text{ nid}))$ 
    using is-sequential-node.simps is-AbstractMergeNode.simps
  by (simp add: StoreFieldNode.hyps(1))

```

```

have notend:  $\neg(\text{is-AbstractEndNode } (\text{kind } g \text{ nid}))$ 
  using is-AbstractEndNode.simps
  by (simp add: StoreFieldNode.hyps(1))
have notdivrem:  $\neg(\text{is-IntegerDivRemNode } (\text{kind } g \text{ nid}))$ 
  by (simp add: StoreFieldNode.hyps(1))
from notseq notend notdivrem
show ?case using StoreFieldNode.step.cases repDet evalDet
  by (smt (z3) IRNode.distinct(1097) IRNode.distinct(1745) IRNode.distinct(2317)
IRNode.distinct(2605) IRNode.distinct(2627) IRNode.inject(43) Pair-inject Value.inject(3)
option.distinct(1) option.inject)
next
  case (StaticStoreFieldNode nid f newval uv nxt m val h' h m')
  then have notseq:  $\neg(\text{is-sequential-node } (\text{kind } g \text{ nid}))$ 
    using is-sequential-node.simps is-AbstractMergeNode.simps
    by (simp add: StaticStoreFieldNode.hyps(1))
  have notend:  $\neg(\text{is-AbstractEndNode } (\text{kind } g \text{ nid}))$ 
    using is-AbstractEndNode.simps
    by (simp add: StaticStoreFieldNode.hyps(1))
  have notdivrem:  $\neg(\text{is-IntegerDivRemNode } (\text{kind } g \text{ nid}))$ 
    by (simp add: StaticStoreFieldNode.hyps(1))
  from notseq notend notdivrem
  show ?case using StoreFieldNode.step.cases repDet evalDet
    by (smt (z3) IRNode.distinct(1097) IRNode.distinct(1745) IRNode.distinct(2317)
IRNode.distinct(2605) IRNode.distinct(2627) IRNode.inject(43) Pair-inject Static-
StoreFieldNode.hyps(1) StaticStoreFieldNode.hyps(2) StaticStoreFieldNode.hyps(3)
StaticStoreFieldNode.hyps(4) StaticStoreFieldNode.hyps(5) option.distinct(1))
  next
    case (SignedDivNode nid x y zero sb nxt m v1 v2 v m' h)
    then have notseq:  $\neg(\text{is-sequential-node } (\text{kind } g \text{ nid}))$ 
      using is-sequential-node.simps is-AbstractMergeNode.simps
      by (simp add: SignedDivNode.hyps(1))
    have notend:  $\neg(\text{is-AbstractEndNode } (\text{kind } g \text{ nid}))$ 
      using is-AbstractEndNode.simps
      by (simp add: SignedDivNode.hyps(1))
    from notseq notend
    show ?case using SignedDivNode.step.cases repDet evalDet
      by (smt (z3) IRNode.distinct(1091) IRNode.distinct(1739) IRNode.distinct(2311)
IRNode.distinct(2601) IRNode.distinct(2605) IRNode.inject(40) Pair-inject)
    next
      case (SignedRemNode nid x y zero sb nxt m v1 v2 v m' h)
      then have notseq:  $\neg(\text{is-sequential-node } (\text{kind } g \text{ nid}))$ 
        using is-sequential-node.simps is-AbstractMergeNode.simps
        by (simp add: SignedRemNode.hyps(1))
      have notend:  $\neg(\text{is-AbstractEndNode } (\text{kind } g \text{ nid}))$ 
        using is-AbstractEndNode.simps
        by (simp add: SignedRemNode.hyps(1))
      from notseq notend
      show ?case using SignedRemNode.step.cases repDet evalDet
        by (smt (z3) IRNode.distinct(1093) IRNode.distinct(1741) IRNode.distinct(2313)

```

IRNode.distinct(2601) IRNode.distinct(2627) IRNode.inject(41) Pair-inject
qed

lemma *stepRefNode*:

$\llbracket \text{kind } g \text{ nid} = \text{RefNode nid} \rrbracket \implies g, p \vdash (\text{nid}, m, h) \rightarrow (\text{nid}', m, h)$
by (*simp add: SequentialNode*)

lemma *IfNodeStepCases*:

assumes *kind g nid = IfNode cond tb fb*
assumes $g \vdash \text{cond} \simeq \text{condE}$
assumes $[m, p] \vdash \text{condE} \mapsto v$
assumes $g, p \vdash (\text{nid}, m, h) \rightarrow (\text{nid}', m, h)$
shows $\text{nid}' \in \{tb, fb\}$
using *step.IfNode repDet stepDet assms*
by (*metis insert-iff old.prod.inject*)

lemma *IfNodeSeq*:

shows $\text{kind } g \text{ nid} = \text{IfNode cond tb fb} \longrightarrow \neg(\text{is-sequential-node } (\text{kind } g \text{ nid}))$
unfolding *is-sequential-node.simps* **by** *simp*

lemma *IfNodeCond*:

assumes *kind g nid = IfNode cond tb fb*
assumes $g, p \vdash (\text{nid}, m, h) \rightarrow (\text{nid}', m, h)$
shows $\exists \text{ condE } v. ((g \vdash \text{cond} \simeq \text{condE}) \wedge ([m, p] \vdash \text{condE} \mapsto v))$
using *assms(2,1)* **by** (*induct (nid,m,h) (nid',m,h) rule: step.induct; auto*)

lemma *step-in-ids*:

assumes $g, p \vdash (\text{nid}, m, h) \rightarrow (\text{nid}', m', h')$
shows $\text{nid} \in \text{ids } g$
using *assms* **apply** (*induct (nid, m, h) (nid', m', h') rule: step.induct*)
using *is-sequential-node.simps(45) not-in-g*
apply *simp*
apply (*metis is-sequential-node.simps(53)*)
using *ids-some*
using *IRNode.distinct(1113)* **apply** *presburger*
using *EndNodes(1) is-AbstractEndNode.simps is-EndNode.simps(45) ids-some*
apply (*metis IRNode.disc(1218) is-EndNode.simps(52)*)
by *simp+*

end