

Veriopt Theories

September 13, 2022

Contents

1	Verifying term graph optimizations using Isabelle/HOL	1
1.1	Markup syntax for common operations	1
1.2	Representing canonicalization optimizations	2
1.3	Representing terms	4
1.4	Term semantics	4

1 Verifying term graph optimizations using Isabelle/HOL

```
theory TreeSnippets
imports
  Canonicalizations.ConditionalPhase
  Canonicalizations.AddPhase
  Optimizations.CanonicalizationSyntax
  Semantics.TreeToGraphThms
  Snippets.Snipping
  HOL-Library.OptionalSugar
begin
```

```
— First, we disable undesirable markup.
declare [[show-types=false,show-sorts=false]]
no-notation ConditionalExpr (- ? - : -)
translations
  n <= CONST Rep-intexp n
  n <= CONST Rep-i32exp n
```

1.1 Markup syntax for common operations

```
notation (latex)
  kind (-⟨-⟩)

notation (latex)
  valid-value (- ∈ -)

notation (latex)
```

val-to-bool (*bool-of* -)

notation (*latex*)
constantAsStamp (*stamp-from-value* -)

notation (*latex*)
size (*trm*(-))

1.2 Representing canonicalization optimizations

We wish to provide an example of the semantics layers at which optimizations can be expressed.

lemma *diff-self*:
 fixes $x :: \text{int}$
 shows $x - x = 0$
 by *simp*
lemma *diff-diff-cancel*:
 fixes $x y :: \text{int}$
 shows $x - (x - y) = y$
 by *simp*
thm *diff-self*
thm *diff-diff-cancel*

algebraic-laws

$$x - x = 0 \quad (1)$$

$$x - (x - y) = y \quad (2)$$

lemma *diff-self-value*: $\forall v :: 'a :: \text{len word}. v - v = 0$
 by *simp*
lemma *diff-diff-cancel-value*:
 $\forall v_1 v_2 :: 'a :: \text{len word}. v_1 - (v_1 - v_2) = v_2$
 by *simp*

algebraic-laws-values

$$\forall v :: 'a \text{ word}. v - v = (0 :: 'a \text{ word}) \quad (3)$$

$$\forall (v_1 :: 'a \text{ word}) v_2 :: 'a \text{ word}. v_1 - (v_1 - v_2) = v_2 \quad (4)$$

translations

$n \leq \text{CONST ConstantExpr } (\text{CONST IntVal } b \ n)$
 $x - y \leq \text{CONST BinaryExpr } (\text{CONST BinSub}) \ x \ y$
notation (*ExprRule* **output**)
Refines ($- \mapsto -$)
lemma *diff-self-expr*:

assumes $\forall m\ p\ v. [m, p] \vdash \text{exp}[e - e] \mapsto \text{IntVal } b\ v$
shows $\text{exp}[e - e] \geq \text{exp}[\text{const } (\text{IntVal } b\ 0)]$
using *assms* **apply** *simp*
by (*metis*(*full-types*) *evalDet val-to-bool.simps(1) zero-neq-one*)

lemma *diff-diff-cancel-expr*:
shows $\text{exp}[e_1 - (e_1 - e_2)] \geq \text{exp}[e_2]$
apply *simp* **sorry**

algebraic-laws-expressions

$$e - e \mapsto 0 \quad (5)$$

$$e_1 - (e_1 - e_2) \mapsto e_2 \quad (6)$$

no-translations

$n \leq \text{CONST ConstantExpr } (\text{CONST IntVal } b\ n)$
 $x - y \leq \text{CONST BinaryExpr } (\text{CONST BinSub})\ x\ y$

definition *wf-stamp* :: *IRExp* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**

wf-stamp $e = (\forall m\ p\ v. ([m, p] \vdash e \mapsto v) \longrightarrow \text{valid-value } v\ (\text{stamp-expr } e))$

lemma *wf-stamp-eval*:

assumes *wf-stamp* e
assumes *stamp-expr* $e = \text{IntegerStamp } b\ lo\ hi$
shows $\forall m\ p\ v. ([m, p] \vdash e \mapsto v) \longrightarrow (\exists vv. v = \text{IntVal } b\ vv)$
using *assms* **unfolding** *wf-stamp-def*
using *valid-int-same-bits valid-int*
by *metis*

phase *SnipPhase*

terminating *size*

begin

lemma *sub-same-val*:

assumes $\text{val}[e - e] = \text{IntVal } b\ v$
shows $\text{val}[e - e] = \text{val}[\text{IntVal } b\ 0]$
using *assms* **by** (*cases* e ; *auto*)

sub-same-32

optimization *SubIdentity*:

$(e - e) \mapsto \text{ConstantExpr } (\text{IntVal } b\ 0)$
 when $((\text{stamp-expr } \text{exp}[e - e] = \text{IntegerStamp } b\ lo\ hi) \wedge \text{wf-stamp } \text{exp}[e - e])$

apply *simp*
apply (*metis* *Suc-lessI add-is-1 add-pos-pos size-gt-0*)
apply (*rule impI*) **apply** *simp*

proof —

```

assume assms: stamp-binary BinSub (stamp-expr e) (stamp-expr e) = IntegerStamp b lo hi  $\wedge$  wf-stamp exp[e - e]
have  $\forall m\ p\ v. ([m, p] \vdash \text{exp}[e - e] \mapsto v) \longrightarrow (\exists vv. v = \text{IntVal } b\ vv)$ 
using assms wf-stamp-eval
by (metis stamp-expr.simps(2))
then show  $\forall m\ p\ v. ([m, p] \vdash \text{BinaryExpr } \text{BinSub } e\ e \mapsto v) \longrightarrow ([m, p] \vdash \text{ConstantExpr } (\text{IntVal } b\ 0) \mapsto v)$ 
by (smt (verit, best) BinaryExprE TreeSnippets.wf-stamp-def assms bin-eval.simps(3) constantAsStamp.simps(1) evalDet stamp-expr.simps(2) sub-same-val unfold-const valid-stamp.simps(1) valid-value.simps(1))
qed
thm-oracles SubIdentity
end

```

1.3 Representing terms

We wish to show a simple example of expressions represented as terms.

ast-example

```

BinaryExpr BinAdd
(BinaryExpr BinMul x x)
(BinaryExpr BinMul x x)

```

Then we need to show the datatypes that compose the example expression.

abstract-syntax-tree

```

datatype IRExpr =
  UnaryExpr IRUnaryOp IRExpr
| BinaryExpr IRBinaryOp IRExpr IRExpr
| ConditionalExpr IRExpr IRExpr IRExpr
| ParameterExpr nat Stamp
| LeafExpr nat Stamp
| ConstantExpr Value
| ConstantVar (char list)
| VariableExpr (char list) Stamp

```

value

```

datatype Value = UndefVal
| IntVal nat (64 word)
| ObjRef (nat option)
| ObjStr (char list)

```

1.4 Term semantics

The core expression evaluation functions need to be introduced.

eval

unary-eval :: *IRUnaryOp* \Rightarrow *Value* \Rightarrow *Value*
bin-eval :: *IRBinaryOp* \Rightarrow *Value* \Rightarrow *Value* \Rightarrow *Value*

We then provide the full semantics of IR expressions.

no-translations

(*prop*) $P \wedge Q \Longrightarrow R \leq (\text{prop}) P \Longrightarrow Q \Longrightarrow R$

translations

(*prop*) $P \Longrightarrow Q \Longrightarrow R \leq (\text{prop}) P \wedge Q \Longrightarrow R$

tree-semantics

semantics:unary semantics:binary semantics:conditional semantics:constant
semantics:parameter semantics:leaf

no-translations

(*prop*) $P \Longrightarrow Q \Longrightarrow R \leq (\text{prop}) P \wedge Q \Longrightarrow R$

translations

(*prop*) $P \wedge Q \Longrightarrow R \leq (\text{prop}) P \Longrightarrow Q \Longrightarrow R$

And show that expression evaluation is deterministic.

tree-evaluation-deterministic

$[m,p] \vdash e \mapsto v_1 \wedge [m,p] \vdash e \mapsto v_2 \Longrightarrow v_1 = v_2$

We then want to start demonstrating the obligations for optimizations. For this we define refinement over terms.

expression-refinement

$e_1 \sqsupseteq e_2 = (\forall m\ p\ v. [m,p] \vdash e_1 \mapsto v \longrightarrow [m,p] \vdash e_2 \mapsto v)$

To motivate this definition we show the obligations generated by optimization definitions.

phase *SnipPhase*

terminating *size*

begin

InverseLeftSub

optimization *InverseLeftSub*:

$$(e_1 - e_2) + e_2 \mapsto e_1$$

InverseLeftSubObligation

1. $\text{trm}(e_1) < \text{trm}(\text{BinaryExpr BinAdd} (\text{BinaryExpr BinSub } e_1 \ e_2) \ e_2)$
2. $\text{BinaryExpr BinAdd} (\text{BinaryExpr BinSub } e_1 \ e_2) \ e_2 \sqsupseteq e_1$

apply (*simp add: size-gt-0*)

using *RedundantSubAdd* **by** *auto*

InverseRightSub

optimization *InverseRightSub*: $(e_2::\text{intexp}) + ((e_1::\text{intexp}) - e_2) \mapsto e_1$

InverseRightSubObligation

1. $\text{trm}(e_1) < \text{trm}(\text{BinaryExpr BinAdd } e_2 (\text{BinaryExpr BinSub } e_1 \ e_2))$
2. $\text{BinaryExpr BinAdd } e_2 (\text{BinaryExpr BinSub } e_1 \ e_2) \sqsupseteq e_1$

using *neutral-right-add-sub* **by** *auto*

end

expression-refinement-monotone

$$e \sqsupseteq e' \implies \text{UnaryExpr op } e \sqsupseteq \text{UnaryExpr op } e'$$

$$x \sqsupseteq x' \wedge y \sqsupseteq y' \implies \text{BinaryExpr op } x \ y \sqsupseteq \text{BinaryExpr op } x' \ y'$$

$$ce \sqsupseteq ce' \wedge te \sqsupseteq te' \wedge fe \sqsupseteq fe' \implies \\ \text{ConditionalExpr } ce \ te \ fe \sqsupseteq \text{ConditionalExpr } ce' \ te' \ fe'$$

phase *SnipPhase*

terminating *size*

begin

BinaryFoldConstant

optimization *BinaryFoldConstant*: $\text{BinaryExpr op} (\text{const } v1) (\text{const } v2) \mapsto \text{ConstantExpr} (\text{bin-eval op } v1 \ v2) \text{ when } \text{int-and-equal-bits } v1 \ v2$

BinaryFoldConstantObligation

1. *int-and-equal-bits* $v1\ v2 \longrightarrow$
 $trm(ConstantExpr\ (bin-eval\ op\ v1\ v2))$
 $< trm(BinaryExpr\ op\ (ConstantExpr\ v1)\ (ConstantExpr\ v2))$
2. *int-and-equal-bits* $v1\ v2 \longrightarrow$
 $BinaryExpr\ op\ (ConstantExpr\ v1)\ (ConstantExpr\ v2) \sqsubseteq$
 $ConstantExpr\ (bin-eval\ op\ v1\ v2)$

using *BinaryFoldConstant* **by** *auto*

AddCommuteConstantRight

optimization *AddCommuteConstantRight*:

$((const\ v) + y) \mapsto (y + (const\ v))$ when $\neg(is-ConstantExpr\ y)$

AddCommuteConstantRightObligation

1. $\neg is-ConstantExpr\ y \longrightarrow$
 $trm(BinaryExpr\ BinAdd\ y\ (ConstantExpr\ v))$
 $< trm(BinaryExpr\ BinAdd\ (ConstantExpr\ v)\ y)$
2. $\neg is-ConstantExpr\ y \longrightarrow$
 $BinaryExpr\ BinAdd\ (ConstantExpr\ v)\ y \sqsubseteq$
 $BinaryExpr\ BinAdd\ y\ (ConstantExpr\ v)$

using *AddShiftConstantRight* **by** *auto*

AddNeutral

optimization *AddNeutral*: $((e::i32exp) + (const\ (IntVal\ 32\ 0))) \mapsto e$

AddNeutralObligation

1. $trm(e) < trm(BinaryExpr\ BinAdd\ e\ (ConstantExpr\ (IntVal\ 32\ 0)))$
2. $BinaryExpr\ BinAdd\ e\ (ConstantExpr\ (IntVal\ 32\ 0)) \sqsubseteq e$

apply (rule *conjE*, *simp*, *simp del: le-expr-def*)

using *neutral-zero(1)* *rewrite-preservation.simps(1)* **by** *blast*

AddToSub

optimization *AddToSub*: $-e + y \mapsto y - e$

AddToSubObligation

1. $\text{trm}(\text{BinaryExpr BinSub } y \ e) < \text{trm}(\text{BinaryExpr BinAdd } (\text{UnaryExpr UnaryNeg } e) \ y)$
2. $\text{BinaryExpr BinAdd } (\text{UnaryExpr UnaryNeg } e) \ y \sqsubseteq \text{BinaryExpr BinSub } y \ e$

using *AddLeftNegateToSub* **by** *auto*

end

definition *trm* **where** *trm* = *size*

phase

phase *AddCanonicalizations*
terminating *trm*
begin...**end**

hide-const (**open**) *Form.wf-stamp*

phase-example

phase *Conditional*
terminating *trm*
begin

phase-example-1

optimization *negate-condition*: $((!e) \ ? \ x : y) \mapsto (e \ ? \ y : x)$

using *ConditionalPhase.NegateConditionFlipBranches*
by (*auto simp: trm-def*)

phase-example-2

optimization *const-true*: $(\text{true} \ ? \ x : y) \mapsto x$

by (*auto simp: trm-def*)

phase-example-3

optimization *const-false*: $(\text{false} \ ? \ x : y) \mapsto y$

by (*auto simp: trm-def*)

phase-example-4

optimization *equal-branches*: $(e \ ? \ x : x) \mapsto x$

by (*auto simp: trm-def*)

phase-example-7

end

termination

$\text{trm}(\text{UnaryExpr } op \ e) = \text{trm}(e) + 1$

$\text{trm}(\text{BinaryExpr } \text{BinAdd } x \ y) = \text{trm}(x) + 2 * \text{trm}(y)$

$\text{trm}(\text{BinaryExpr } \text{BinXor } x \ y) = \text{trm}(x) + \text{trm}(y)$

$\text{trm}(\text{ConditionalExpr } \text{cond } t \ f) = \text{trm}(\text{cond}) + \text{trm}(t) + \text{trm}(f) + 2$

$\text{trm}(\text{ConstantExpr } c) = 1$

$\text{trm}(\text{ParameterExpr } \text{ind } s) = 2$

graph-representation

typedef IRGraph =

$\{g :: ID \rightarrow (IRNode \times Stamp) . \text{finite } (\text{dom } g)\}$

no-translations

$(prop) \ P \wedge Q \implies R \leq (prop) \ P \implies Q \implies R$

translations

$(prop) \ P \implies Q \implies R \leq (prop) \ P \wedge Q \implies R$

graph2tree

rep:constant rep:parameter rep:conditional rep:unary rep:convert
rep:binary rep:leaf rep:ref

no-translations

$(prop) \ P \implies Q \implies R \leq (prop) \ P \wedge Q \implies R$

translations

$(prop) \ P \wedge Q \implies R \leq (prop) \ P \implies Q \implies R$

preeval

is-preevaluated (*InvokeNode* *n uu uv uw ux uy*) = *True*
is-preevaluated (*InvokeWithExceptionNode* *n uz va vb vc vd ve*) = *True*
is-preevaluated (*NewInstanceNode* *n vf vg vh*) = *True*
is-preevaluated (*LoadFieldNode* *n vi vj vk*) = *True*
is-preevaluated (*SignedDivNode* *n vl vm vn vo vp*) = *True*
is-preevaluated (*SignedRemNode* *n vq vr vs vt vu*) = *True*
is-preevaluated (*ValuePhiNode* *n vv vw*) = *True*
is-preevaluated (*AbsNode* *v*) = *False*
is-preevaluated (*AddNode* *v va*) = *False*
is-preevaluated (*AndNode* *v va*) = *False*
is-preevaluated (*BeginNode* *v*) = *False*
is-preevaluated (*BytecodeExceptionNode* *v va vb*) = *False*
is-preevaluated (*ConditionalNode* *v va vb*) = *False*
is-preevaluated (*ConstantNode* *v*) = *False*
is-preevaluated (*DynamicNewArrayNode* *v va vb vc vd*) = *False*
is-preevaluated *EndNode* = *False*
is-preevaluated (*ExceptionObjectNode* *v va*) = *False*
is-preevaluated (*FrameState* *v va vb vc*) = *False*
is-preevaluated (*IfNode* *v va vb*) = *False*
is-preevaluated (*IntegerBelowNode* *v va*) = *False*
is-preevaluated (*IntegerEqualsNode* *v va*) = *False*
is-preevaluated (*IntegerLessThanNode* *v va*) = *False*
is-preevaluated (*IsNullNode* *v*) = *False*
is-preevaluated (*KillingBeginNode* *v*) = *False*
is-preevaluated (*LeftShiftNode* *v va*) = *False*
is-preevaluated (*LogicNegationNode* *v*) = *False*
is-preevaluated (*LoopBeginNode* *v va vb vc*) = *False*
is-preevaluated (*LoopEndNode* *v*) = *False*
is-preevaluated (*LoopExitNode* *v va vb*) = *False*
is-preevaluated (*MergeNode* *v va vb*) = *False*
is-preevaluated (*MethodCallTargetNode* *v va*) = *False*
is-preevaluated (*MulNode* *v va*) = *False*
is-preevaluated (*NarrowNode* *v va vb*) = *False*
is-preevaluated (*NegateNode* *v*) = *False*
is-preevaluated (*NewArrayNode* *v va vb*) = *False*
is-preevaluated (*NotNode* *v*) = *False*
is-preevaluated (*OrNode* *v va*) = *False*
is-preevaluated (*ParameterNode* *v*) = *False*
is-preevaluated (*PiNode* *v va*) = *False*
is-preevaluated (*ReturnNode* *v va*) = *False*
is-preevaluated (*RightShiftNode* *v va*) = *False*
is-preevaluated (*ShortCircuitOrNode* *v va*) = *False*
is-preevaluated (*SignExtendNode* *v va vb*) = *False*

deterministic-representation

$$g \vdash n \simeq e_1 \wedge g \vdash n \simeq e_2 \implies e_1 = e_2$$

thm-oracles *repDet*

well-formed-term-graph

$$\exists e. g \vdash n \simeq e \wedge (\exists v. [m,p] \vdash e \mapsto v)$$

graph-semantics

$$([g,m,p] \vdash n \mapsto v) = (\exists e. g \vdash n \simeq e \wedge [m,p] \vdash e \mapsto v)$$

graph-semantics-deterministic

$$[g,m,p] \vdash n \mapsto v_1 \wedge [g,m,p] \vdash n \mapsto v_2 \implies v_1 = v_2$$

thm-oracles *graphDet*

notation (*latex*)

graph-refinement (*term-graph-refinement* -)

graph-refinement

$$\begin{aligned} \text{term-graph-refinement } g_1 \ g_2 = \\ (ids \ g_1 \subseteq ids \ g_2 \wedge \\ (\forall n. n \in ids \ g_1 \longrightarrow (\forall e. g_1 \vdash n \simeq e \longrightarrow g_2 \vdash n \sqsubseteq e))) \end{aligned}$$

translations

$n \leq CONST$ as-set n

graph-semantics-preservation

$$\begin{aligned} e_1' \sqsupseteq e_2' \wedge \\ \{n\} \triangleleft g_1 \subseteq g_2 \wedge \\ g_1 \vdash n \simeq e_1' \wedge g_2 \vdash n \simeq e_2' \implies \\ \text{term-graph-refinement } g_1 \ g_2 \end{aligned}$$

thm-oracles *graph-semantics-preservation-subscript*

maximal-sharing

$\text{maximal-sharing } g =$
 $(\forall n_1 n_2.$
 $n_1 \in \text{true-ids } g \wedge n_2 \in \text{true-ids } g \longrightarrow$
 $(\forall e. g \vdash n_1 \simeq e \wedge$
 $g \vdash n_2 \simeq e \wedge \text{stamp } g \ n_1 = \text{stamp } g \ n_2 \longrightarrow$
 $n_1 = n_2))$

tree-to-graph-rewriting

$e_1 \sqsupseteq e_2 \wedge$
 $g_1 \vdash n \simeq e_1 \wedge$
 $\text{maximal-sharing } g_1 \wedge$
 $\{n\} \triangleleft g_1 \subseteq g_2 \wedge$
 $g_2 \vdash n \simeq e_2 \wedge$
 $\text{maximal-sharing } g_2 \implies$
 $\text{term-graph-refinement } g_1 \ g_2$

thm-oracles *tree-to-graph-rewriting*

term-graph-refines-term

$(g \vdash n \leq e) = (\exists e'. g \vdash n \simeq e' \wedge e \sqsupseteq e')$

term-graph-evaluation

$g \vdash n \leq e \implies \forall m \ p \ v. [m, p] \vdash e \mapsto v \longrightarrow [g, m, p] \vdash n \mapsto v$

graph-construction

$e_1 \sqsupseteq e_2 \wedge g_1 \subseteq g_2 \wedge g_2 \vdash n \simeq e_2 \implies$
 $g_2 \vdash n \leq e_1 \wedge \text{term-graph-refinement } g_1 \ g_2$

thm-oracles *graph-construction*

term-graph-reconstruction

$g \oplus e \rightsquigarrow (g', n) \implies g' \vdash n \simeq e \wedge g \subseteq g'$

refined-insert

$$e_1 \sqsupseteq e_2 \wedge g_1 \oplus e_2 \rightsquigarrow (g_2, n') \implies \\ g_2 \vdash n' \sqsubseteq e_1 \wedge \text{term-graph-refinement } g_1 \ g_2$$

end

theory *SlideSnippets*

imports

Semantics.TreeToGraphThms

Snippets.Snipping

begin

notation (*latex*)

kind ($-\langle\!\langle - \rangle\!\rangle$)

notation (*latex*)

IRTreeEval.ord-IRExpr-inst.less-eq-IRExpr ($- \mapsto -$)

abstract-syntax-tree

datatype *IRExpr* =

UnaryExpr IRUnaryOp IRExpr
 $|$ *BinaryExpr IRBinaryOp IRExpr IRExpr*
 $|$ *ConditionalExpr IRExpr IRExpr IRExpr*
 $|$ *ParameterExpr nat Stamp*
 $|$ *LeafExpr nat Stamp*
 $|$ *ConstantExpr Value*
 $|$ *ConstantVar (char list)*
 $|$ *VariableExpr (char list) Stamp*

tree-semantics

semantics:constant semantics:parameter semantics:unary semantics:binary semantics:leaf

expression-refinement

$$(e_1::IRExpr) \sqsupseteq (e_2::IRExpr) = (\forall (m::nat \Rightarrow Value) (p::Value\ list) \\ v::Value. [m,p] \vdash e_1 \mapsto v \longrightarrow [m,p] \vdash e_2 \mapsto v)$$

graph2tree

semantics:constant semantics:unary semantics:binary

graph-semantics

$$([g::IRGraph, m::nat \Rightarrow Value, p::Value\ list] \vdash n::nat \mapsto v::Value) = (\exists e::IRExpr. g \vdash n \simeq e \wedge [m, p] \vdash e \mapsto v)$$

graph-refinement

$$\begin{aligned} &graph\text{-}refinement\ (g_1::IRGraph)\ (g_2::IRGraph) = \\ &(ids\ g_1 \subseteq ids\ g_2 \wedge \\ &(\forall n::nat. \\ &\quad n \in ids\ g_1 \longrightarrow (\forall e::IRExpr. g_1 \vdash n \simeq e \longrightarrow g_2 \vdash n \trianglelefteq e))) \end{aligned}$$

translations

$$n \leq CONST\ as\text{-}set\ n$$

graph-semantics-preservation

$$\begin{aligned} &\llbracket (e1'::IRExpr) \sqsupseteq \\ &\quad (e2'::IRExpr); \\ &\quad \{n'::nat\} \triangleleft g1::IRGraph \\ &\quad \subseteq (g2::IRGraph); \\ &\quad g1 \vdash n' \simeq e1'; g2 \vdash n' \simeq e2' \rrbracket \\ &\implies graph\text{-}refinement\ g1\ g2 \end{aligned}$$

maximal-sharing

$$\begin{aligned} &maximal\text{-}sharing\ (g::IRGraph) = \\ &(\forall (n_1::nat)\ n_2::nat. \\ &\quad n_1 \in true\text{-}ids\ g \wedge n_2 \in true\text{-}ids\ g \longrightarrow \\ &\quad (\forall e::IRExpr. \\ &\quad\quad g \vdash n_1 \simeq e \wedge \\ &\quad\quad g \vdash n_2 \simeq e \wedge stamp\ g\ n_1 = stamp\ g\ n_2 \longrightarrow \\ &\quad\quad n_1 = n_2)) \end{aligned}$$

tree-to-graph-rewriting

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (e_1::IRExpr) \sqsupseteq (e_2::IRExpr) \wedge \\
 & g_1::IRGraph \vdash n::nat \simeq e_1 \wedge \\
 & \text{maximal-sharing } g_1 \wedge \\
 & \{n\} \triangleleft g_1 \subseteq (g_2::IRGraph) \wedge \\
 & g_2 \vdash n \simeq e_2 \wedge \text{maximal-sharing } g_2 \implies \\
 & \text{graph-refinement } g_1 \ g_2
 \end{aligned}$$

graph-represents-expression

$$(g::IRGraph \vdash n::nat \leq e::IRExpr) = (\exists e'::IRExpr. g \vdash n \simeq e' \wedge e \sqsupseteq e')$$

graph-construction

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (e_1::IRExpr) \sqsupseteq (e_2::IRExpr) \wedge \\
 & (g_1::IRGraph) \subseteq (g_2::IRGraph) \wedge \\
 & g_2 \vdash n::nat \simeq e_2 \implies \\
 & g_2 \vdash n \leq e_1 \wedge \text{graph-refinement } g_1 \ g_2
 \end{aligned}$$

end