

Veriopt

August 30, 2023

Abstract

The Veriopt project aims to prove the optimization pass of the GraalVM compiler. The GraalVM compiler includes a sophisticated Intermediate Representation (IR) in the form of a sea-of-nodes based graph structure. We first define the IR graph structure in the Isabelle/HOL interactive theorem prover. We subsequently give the evaluation of the structure a semantics based on the current understanding of the purpose of each IR graph node. Optimization phases are then encoded including the static analysis passes required for an optimization. Each optimization phase is proved to be correct by proving that a bisimulation exists between the unoptimized and optimized graphs. The following document has been automatically generated from the Isabelle/HOL source to provide a very comprehensive definition of the semantics and optimizations introduced by the Veriopt project.

Contents

1	Additional Theorems about Computer Words	3
1.1	Bit-Shifting Operators	3
1.2	Fixed-width Word Theories	4
1.2.1	Support Lemmas for Upper/Lower Bounds	4
1.2.2	Support lemmas for take bit and signed take bit.	8
1.3	Java min and max operators on 64-bit values	9
2	java.lang.Long	9
2.1	Long.highestOneBit	9
2.2	Long.lowestOneBit	12
2.3	Long.numberOfLeadingZeros	12
2.4	Long.numberOfTrailingZeros	13
2.5	Long.reverseBytes	13
2.6	Long.bitCount	13
2.7	Long.zeroCount	13
3	Operator Semantics	16
3.1	Arithmetic Operators	18
3.2	Bitwise Operators	20
3.3	Comparison Operators	20
3.4	Narrowing and Widening Operators	22
3.5	Bit-Shifting Operators	23
3.5.1	Examples of Narrowing / Widening Functions	24
3.6	Fixed-width Word Theories	26
3.6.1	Support Lemmas for Upper/Lower Bounds	26
3.6.2	Support lemmas for take bit and signed take bit.	29
4	Stamp Typing	30
5	Graph Representation	35
5.1	IR Graph Nodes	35
5.2	IR Graph Node Hierarchy	44
5.3	IR Graph Type	51
5.3.1	Example Graphs	55
5.4	Structural Graph Comparison	56
5.5	Control-flow Graph Traversal	57
6	Data-flow Semantics	59
6.1	Data-flow Tree Representation	60
6.2	Functions for re-calculating stamps	61
6.3	Data-flow Tree Evaluation	63
6.4	Data-flow Tree Refinement	66
6.5	Stamp Masks	67

6.6	Data-flow Tree Theorems	68
6.6.1	Deterministic Data-flow Evaluation	69
6.6.2	Typing Properties for Integer Evaluation Functions . .	69
6.6.3	Evaluation Results are Valid	70
6.6.4	Example Data-flow Optimisations	71
6.6.5	Monotonicity of Expression Refinement	71
6.7	Unfolding rules for evaltree quadruples down to bin-eval level	72
6.8	Lemmas about <i>new_int</i> and integer eval results.	73
7	Tree to Graph	75
7.1	Subgraph to Data-flow Tree	75
7.2	Data-flow Tree to Subgraph	80
7.3	Lift Data-flow Tree Semantics	85
7.4	Graph Refinement	85
7.5	Maximal Sharing	85
7.6	Formedness Properties	85
7.7	Dynamic Frames	87
7.8	Tree to Graph Theorems	91
7.8.1	Extraction and Evaluation of Expression Trees is De- terministic.	91
7.8.2	Monotonicity of Graph Refinement	97
7.8.3	Lift Data-flow Tree Refinement to Graph Refinement .	100
7.8.4	Term Graph Reconstruction	102
7.8.5	Data-flow Tree to Subgraph Preserves Maximal Sharing	104
8	Control-flow Semantics	107
8.1	Object Heap	108
8.2	Intraprocedural Semantics	108
8.3	Interprocedural Semantics	112
8.4	Big-step Execution	114
8.4.1	Heap Testing	115
8.5	Control-flow Semantics Theorems	116
8.5.1	Control-flow Step is Deterministic	116
9	Proof Infrastructure	117
9.1	Bisimulation	117
9.2	Graph Rewriting	118
9.3	Stuttering	120
9.4	Evaluation Stamp Theorems	121
9.4.1	Support Lemmas for Integer Stamps and Associated IntVal values	122
9.4.2	Validity of all Unary Operators	124
9.4.3	Support Lemmas for Binary Operators	125
9.4.4	Validity of Stamp Meet and Join Operators	126

9.4.5	Validity of conditional expressions	127
9.4.6	Validity of Whole Expression Tree Evaluation	127
10	Optization DSL	128
10.1	Markup	128
10.1.1	Expression Markup	128
10.1.2	Value Markup	129
10.1.3	Word Markup	130
10.2	Optimization Phases	131
10.3	Canonicalization DSL	131
10.3.1	Semantic Preservation Obligation	134
10.3.2	Termination Obligation	134
10.3.3	Standard Termination Measure	135
10.3.4	Automated Tactics	135
11	Canonicalization Optimizations	136
11.1	AbsNode Phase	137
11.2	AddNode Phase	141
11.3	AndNode Phase	143
11.4	BinaryNode Phase	146
11.5	ConditionalNode Phase	146
11.6	MulNode Phase	150
11.7	Experimental AndNode Phase	156
11.8	NotNode Phase	161
11.9	OrNode Phase	162
11.10	ShiftNode Phase	164
11.11	SignedDivNode Phase	165
11.12	SignedRemNode Phase	165
11.13	SubNode Phase	166
11.14	XorNode Phase	169
12	Conditional Elimination Phase	171
12.1	Individual Elimination Rules	171
12.2	Control-flow Graph Traversal	178

1 Additional Theorems about Computer Words

theory *JavaWords*

imports

HOL-Library.Word

HOL-Library.Signed-Division

HOL-Library.Float

HOL-Library.LaTeXsugar

begin

Java supports 64, 32, 16, 8 signed ints, plus 1 bit (boolean) ints, and char is 16-bit unsigned. E.g. an 8-bit stamp has a default range of -128..+127. And a 1-bit stamp has a default range of -1..0, surprisingly.

During calculations the smaller sizes are sign-extended to 32 bits.

type-synonym *int64* = 64 word — long

type-synonym *int32* = 32 word — int

type-synonym *int16* = 16 word — short

type-synonym *int8* = 8 word — char

type-synonym *int1* = 1 word — boolean

abbreviation *valid-int-widths* :: nat set **where**

valid-int-widths $\equiv \{1, 8, 16, 32, 64\}$

type-synonym *iwidth* = nat

fun *bit-bounds* :: nat \Rightarrow (int \times int) **where**

bit-bounds bits = (((2 \wedge bits) div 2) * -1, ((2 \wedge bits) div 2) - 1)

definition *logic-negate* :: ('a::len) word \Rightarrow 'a word **where**

logic-negate x = (if x = 0 then 1 else 0)

fun *int-signed-value* :: iwidth \Rightarrow int64 \Rightarrow int **where**

int-signed-value b v = sint (signed-take-bit (b - 1) v)

fun *int-unsigned-value* :: iwidth \Rightarrow int64 \Rightarrow int **where**

int-unsigned-value b v = uint v

A convenience function for directly constructing -1 values of a given bit size.

fun *neg-one* :: iwidth \Rightarrow int64 **where**

neg-one b = mask b

1.1 Bit-Shifting Operators

definition *shiffl* (infix << 75) **where**

shiffl w n = (push-bit n) w

lemma *shiffl-power[simp]*: (x::('a::len) word) * (2 \wedge j) = x << j

<proof>

lemma $(x :: ('a :: len) \text{ word}) * ((2 \wedge j) + 1) = x << j + x$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $(x :: ('a :: len) \text{ word}) * ((2 \wedge j) - 1) = x << j - x$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $(x :: ('a :: len) \text{ word}) * ((2 \wedge j) + (2 \wedge k)) = x << j + x << k$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $(x :: ('a :: len) \text{ word}) * ((2 \wedge j) - (2 \wedge k)) = x << j - x << k$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Unsigned shift right.

definition *shiftr* (**infix** $>>> 75$) **where**
 $\text{shiftr } w \ n = \text{drop-bit } n \ w$

corollary $(255 :: 8 \text{ word}) >>> (2 :: nat) = 63 \langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Signed shift right.

definition *sshiftr* $:: 'a :: len \text{ word} \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 'a :: len \text{ word}$ (**infix** $>> 75$) **where**
 $\text{sshiftr } w \ n = \text{word-of-int } ((\text{sint } w) \text{ div } (2 \wedge n))$

corollary $(128 :: 8 \text{ word}) >> 2 = 0xE0 \langle \text{proof} \rangle$

1.2 Fixed-width Word Theories

1.2.1 Support Lemmas for Upper/Lower Bounds

lemma *size32*: $\text{size } v = 32$ **for** $v :: 32 \text{ word}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *size64*: $\text{size } v = 64$ **for** $v :: 64 \text{ word}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lower-bounds-equiv*:
assumes $0 < N$
shows $-(((2 :: int) \wedge (N-1))) = (2 :: int) \wedge N \text{ div } 2 * -1$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *upper-bounds-equiv*:
assumes $0 < N$
shows $(2 :: int) \wedge (N-1) = (2 :: int) \wedge N \text{ div } 2$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Some min/max bounds for 64-bit words

lemma *bit-bounds-min64*: $((\text{fst } (\text{bit-bounds } 64))) \leq (\text{sint } (v :: \text{int64}))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bit-bounds-max64*: $((snd (bit-bounds 64))) \geq (sint (v::int64))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Extend these min/max bounds to extracting smaller signed words using *signed_take_bit*.

Note: we could use *signed* to convert between bit-widths, instead of *signed_take_bit*. But that would have to be done separately for each bit-width type.

corollary *sint(signed-take-bit 7 (128 :: int8)) = -128* $\langle proof \rangle$

ML-val $\langle @\{thm\ signed_take_bit_decr_length_iff\} \rangle$
declare $[[show_types=true]]$
ML-val $\langle @\{thm\ signed_take_bit_int_less_exp\} \rangle$

lemma *signed-take-bit-int-less-exp-word*:
 fixes *ival* :: 'a :: len word
 assumes $n < LENGTH('a)$
 shows $sint(signed-take-bit\ n\ ival) < (2::int) ^ n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *signed-take-bit-int-greater-eq-minus-exp-word*:
 fixes *ival* :: 'a :: len word
 assumes $n < LENGTH('a)$
 shows $-(2 ^ n) \leq sint(signed-take-bit\ n\ ival)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *signed-take-bit-range*:
 fixes *ival* :: 'a :: len word
 assumes $n < LENGTH('a)$
 assumes $val = sint(signed-take-bit\ n\ ival)$
 shows $-(2 ^ n) \leq val \wedge val < 2 ^ n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

A *bit_bounds* version of the above lemma.

lemma *signed-take-bit-bounds*:
 fixes *ival* :: 'a :: len word
 assumes $n \leq LENGTH('a)$
 assumes $0 < n$
 assumes $val = sint(signed-take-bit\ (n - 1)\ ival)$
 shows $fst (bit-bounds\ n) \leq val \wedge val \leq snd (bit-bounds\ n)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *signed-take-bit-bounds64*:
 fixes *ival* :: int64

```

assumes  $n \leq 64$ 
assumes  $0 < n$ 
assumes  $val = \text{sint}(\text{signed-take-bit } (n - 1) \text{ } ival)$ 
shows  $\text{fst } (\text{bit-bounds } n) \leq val \wedge val \leq \text{snd } (\text{bit-bounds } n)$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma int-signed-value-bounds:
assumes  $b1 \leq 64$ 
assumes  $0 < b1$ 
shows  $\text{fst } (\text{bit-bounds } b1) \leq \text{int-signed-value } b1 \text{ } v2 \wedge$ 
 $\text{int-signed-value } b1 \text{ } v2 \leq \text{snd } (\text{bit-bounds } b1)$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma int-signed-value-range:
fixes  $ival :: \text{int64}$ 
assumes  $val = \text{int-signed-value } n \text{ } ival$ 
shows  $-(2 \wedge (n - 1)) \leq val \wedge val < 2 \wedge (n - 1)$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

Some lemmas to relate (int) bit bounds to bit-shifting values.

```

lemma bit-bounds-lower:
assumes  $0 < \text{bits}$ 
shows  $\text{word-of-int } (\text{fst } (\text{bit-bounds } \text{bits})) = ((-1) << (\text{bits} - 1))$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma two-exp-div:
assumes  $0 < \text{bits}$ 
shows  $((2::\text{int}) \wedge \text{bits div } (2::\text{int})) = (2::\text{int}) \wedge (\text{bits} - \text{Suc } 0)$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

declare  $[[\text{show-types}]]$ 

```

Some lemmas about unsigned words smaller than 64-bit, for zero-extend operators.

```

lemma take-bit-smaller-range:
fixes  $ival :: 'a :: \text{len word}$ 
assumes  $n < \text{LENGTH('a)}$ 
assumes  $val = \text{sint}(\text{take-bit } n \text{ } ival)$ 
shows  $0 \leq val \wedge val < (2::\text{int}) \wedge n$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma take-bit-same-size-nochange:
fixes  $ival :: 'a :: \text{len word}$ 
assumes  $n = \text{LENGTH('a)}$ 
shows  $ival = \text{take-bit } n \text{ } ival$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

A simplification lemma for *new_int*, showing that upper bits can be ignored.

```

lemma take-bit-redundant[simp]:

```



```

fixes ival :: 'a :: len word
assumes  $0 < n$ 
assumes  $n < LENGTH('a)$ 
shows  $signed-take-bit\ (n - 1)\ (take-bit\ n\ ival) = signed-take-bit\ (n - 1)\ ival$ 
<proof>

```

```

lemma take-bit-same-size-range:
fixes ival :: 'a :: len word
assumes  $n = LENGTH('a)$ 
assumes  $ival2 = take-bit\ n\ ival$ 
shows  $-(2 \wedge n\ div\ 2) \leq sint\ ival2 \wedge sint\ ival2 < 2 \wedge n\ div\ 2$ 
<proof>

```

```

lemma take-bit-same-bounds:
fixes ival :: 'a :: len word
assumes  $n = LENGTH('a)$ 
assumes  $ival2 = take-bit\ n\ ival$ 
shows  $fst\ (bit-bounds\ n) \leq sint\ ival2 \wedge sint\ ival2 \leq snd\ (bit-bounds\ n)$ 
<proof>

```

Next we show that casting a word to a wider word preserves any upper/lower bounds. (These lemmas may not be needed any more, since we are not using `scast` now?)

```

lemma scast-max-bound:
assumes  $sint\ (v :: 'a :: len word) < M$ 
assumes  $LENGTH('a) < LENGTH('b)$ 
shows  $sint\ ((scast\ v) :: 'b :: len word) < M$ 
<proof>

```

```

lemma scast-min-bound:
assumes  $M \leq sint\ (v :: 'a :: len word)$ 
assumes  $LENGTH('a) < LENGTH('b)$ 
shows  $M \leq sint\ ((scast\ v) :: 'b :: len word)$ 
<proof>

```

```

lemma scast-bigger-max-bound:
assumes  $(result :: 'b :: len word) = scast\ (v :: 'a :: len word)$ 
shows  $sint\ result < 2 \wedge LENGTH('a)\ div\ 2$ 
<proof>

```

```

lemma scast-bigger-min-bound:
assumes  $(result :: 'b :: len word) = scast\ (v :: 'a :: len word)$ 
shows  $-(2 \wedge LENGTH('a)\ div\ 2) \leq sint\ result$ 
<proof>

```

```

lemma scast-bigger-bit-bounds:
assumes  $(result :: 'b :: len word) = scast\ (v :: 'a :: len word)$ 
shows  $fst\ (bit-bounds\ (LENGTH('a))) \leq sint\ result \wedge sint\ result \leq snd\ (bit-bounds\ (LENGTH('a)))$ 

```

<proof>

1.2.2 Support lemmas for take bit and signed take bit.

Lemmas for removing redundant take_bit wrappers.

lemma *take-bit-dist-addL[simp]*:

fixes $x :: 'a :: \text{len word}$

shows $\text{take-bit } b (\text{take-bit } b x + y) = \text{take-bit } b (x + y)$

<proof>

lemma *take-bit-dist-addR[simp]*:

fixes $x :: 'a :: \text{len word}$

shows $\text{take-bit } b (x + \text{take-bit } b y) = \text{take-bit } b (x + y)$

<proof>

lemma *take-bit-dist-subL[simp]*:

fixes $x :: 'a :: \text{len word}$

shows $\text{take-bit } b (\text{take-bit } b x - y) = \text{take-bit } b (x - y)$

<proof>

lemma *take-bit-dist-subR[simp]*:

fixes $x :: 'a :: \text{len word}$

shows $\text{take-bit } b (x - \text{take-bit } b y) = \text{take-bit } b (x - y)$

<proof>

lemma *take-bit-dist-neg[simp]*:

fixes $ix :: 'a :: \text{len word}$

shows $\text{take-bit } b (- \text{take-bit } b (ix)) = \text{take-bit } b (- ix)$

<proof>

lemma *signed-take-bit[simp]*:

fixes $x :: 'a :: \text{len word}$

assumes $0 < b$

shows $\text{signed-take-bit } (b - 1) (\text{take-bit } b x) = \text{signed-take-bit } (b - 1) x$

<proof>

lemma *mod-larger-ignore*:

fixes $a :: \text{int}$

fixes $m n :: \text{nat}$

assumes $n < m$

shows $(a \bmod 2^m) \bmod 2^n = a \bmod 2^n$

<proof>

lemma *mod-dist-over-add*:

fixes $a b c :: \text{int64}$

fixes $n :: \text{nat}$

assumes 1: $0 < n$

assumes 2: $n < 64$

shows $(a \bmod 2^n + b) \bmod 2^n = (a + b) \bmod 2^n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

1.3 Java min and max operators on 64-bit values

Java uses signed comparison, so we define a convenient abbreviation for this to avoid accidental mistakes, because by default the Isabelle min/max will assume unsigned words.

abbreviation $\text{javaMin64} :: \text{int64} \Rightarrow \text{int64} \Rightarrow \text{int64}$ **where**
 $\text{javaMin64 } a \ b \equiv (\text{if } a \leq_s b \text{ then } a \text{ else } b)$

abbreviation $\text{javaMax64} :: \text{int64} \Rightarrow \text{int64} \Rightarrow \text{int64}$ **where**
 $\text{javaMax64 } a \ b \equiv (\text{if } a \leq_s b \text{ then } b \text{ else } a)$

end

2 java.lang.Long

Utility functions from the Java Long class that Graal occasionally makes use of.

theory *JavaLong*
imports *JavaWords*
HOL-Library.FSet
begin

lemma *negative-all-set-32*:
 $n < 32 \implies \text{bit } (-1::\text{int32}) \ n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition $\text{MaxOrNeg} :: \text{nat set} \Rightarrow \text{int}$ **where**
 $\text{MaxOrNeg } s = (\text{if } s = \{\} \text{ then } -1 \text{ else } \text{Max } s)$

definition $\text{MinOrHighest} :: \text{nat set} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat}$ **where**
 $\text{MinOrHighest } s \ m = (\text{if } s = \{\} \text{ then } m \text{ else } \text{Min } s)$

lemma *MaxOrNegEmpty*:
 $\text{MaxOrNeg } s = -1 \iff s = \{\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

2.1 Long.highestOneBit

definition $\text{highestOneBit} :: ('a::\text{len}) \text{ word} \Rightarrow \text{int}$ **where**
 $\text{highestOneBit } v = \text{MaxOrNeg } \{n. \text{bit } v \ n\}$

lemma *highestOneBitInvar*:
 $\text{highestOneBit } v = j \implies (\forall i::\text{nat}. (\text{int } i > j \longrightarrow \neg (\text{bit } v \ i)))$

<proof>

lemma *highestOneBitNeg*:
 highestOneBit v = -1 \longleftrightarrow v = 0
 <proof>

lemma *higherBitsFalse*:
 fixes *v :: 'a :: len word*
 shows *i > size v \implies \neg (bit v i)*
 <proof>

lemma *highestOneBitN*:
 assumes *bit v n*
 assumes $\forall i::nat. (int\ i > n \longrightarrow \neg (bit\ v\ i))$
 shows *highestOneBit v = n*
 <proof>

lemma *highestOneBitSize*:
 assumes *bit v n*
 assumes *n = size v*
 shows *highestOneBit v = n*
 <proof>

lemma *highestOneBitMax*:
 highestOneBit v < size v
 <proof>

lemma *highestOneBitAtLeast*:
 assumes *bit v n*
 shows *highestOneBit v \geq n*
 <proof>

lemma *highestOneBitElim*:
 highestOneBit v = n
 $\implies ((n = -1 \wedge v = 0) \vee (n \geq 0 \wedge bit\ v\ n))$
 <proof>

A recursive implementation of `highestOneBit` that is suitable for code generation.

fun *highestOneBitRec* :: *nat \Rightarrow ('a::len) word \Rightarrow int* **where**
 highestOneBitRec n v =
 (if bit v n then n
 else if n = 0 then -1
 else highestOneBitRec (n - 1) v)

lemma *highestOneBitRecTrue*:
 highestOneBitRec n v = j \implies j \geq 0 \implies bit v j
 <proof>

lemma *highestOneBitRecN*:
assumes *bit v n*
shows *highestOneBitRec n v = n*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *highestOneBitRecMax*:
highestOneBitRec n v \leq n
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *highestOneBitRecElim*:
assumes *highestOneBitRec n v = j*
shows $((j = -1 \wedge v = 0) \vee (j \geq 0 \wedge \text{bit } v \ j))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *highestOneBitRecZero*:
 $v = 0 \implies \text{highestOneBitRec } (\text{size } v) \ v = -1$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *highestOneBitRecLess*:
assumes $\neg \text{bit } v \ n$
shows *highestOneBitRec n v = highestOneBitRec (n - 1) v*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Some lemmas that use masks to restrict highestOneBit and relate it to highestOneBitRec.

lemma *highestOneBitMask*:
assumes *size v = n*
shows *highestOneBit v = highestOneBit (and v (mask n))*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *maskSmaller*:
fixes *v :: 'a :: len word*
assumes $\neg \text{bit } v \ n$
shows *and v (mask (Suc n)) = and v (mask n)*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *highestOneBitSmaller*:
assumes *size v = Suc n*
assumes $\neg \text{bit } v \ n$
shows *highestOneBit v = highestOneBit (and v (mask n))*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *highestOneBitRecMask*:
shows *highestOneBit (and v (mask (Suc n))) = highestOneBitRec n v*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Finally - we can use the mask lemmas to relate highestOneBitRec to its spec.

lemma *highestOneBitImpl*[code]:

highestOneBit $v = \text{highestOneBitRec } (\text{size } v) \ v$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *highestOneBit* $(0x5 :: \text{int8}) = 2 \ \langle \text{proof} \rangle$

2.2 Long.lowestOneBit

definition *lowestOneBit* $:: ('a::\text{len}) \ \text{word} \Rightarrow \text{nat}$ **where**
lowestOneBit $v = \text{MinOrHighest } \{n . \text{bit } v \ n\} \ (\text{size } v)$

lemma *max-bit*: $\text{bit } (v::('a::\text{len}) \ \text{word}) \ n \Longrightarrow n < \text{size } v$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *max-set-bit*: $\text{MaxOrNeg } \{n . \text{bit } (v::('a::\text{len}) \ \text{word}) \ n\} < \text{Nat.size } v$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

2.3 Long.numberOfLeadingZeros

definition *numberOfLeadingZeros* $:: ('a::\text{len}) \ \text{word} \Rightarrow \text{nat}$ **where**
numberOfLeadingZeros $v = \text{nat } (\text{Nat.size } v - \text{highestOneBit } v - 1)$

lemma *MaxOrNeg-neg*: $\text{MaxOrNeg } \{\} = -1$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *MaxOrNeg-max*: $s \neq \{\} \Longrightarrow \text{MaxOrNeg } s = \text{Max } s$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zero-no-bits*:
 $\{n . \text{bit } 0 \ n\} = \{\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *highestOneBit* $(0::64 \ \text{word}) = -1$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *numberOfLeadingZeros* $(0::64 \ \text{word}) = 64$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *highestOneBit-top*: $\text{Max } \{\text{highestOneBit } (v::64 \ \text{word})\} < 64$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *numberOfLeadingZeros-top*: $\text{Max } \{\text{numberOfLeadingZeros } (v::64 \ \text{word})\} \leq 64$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *numberOfLeadingZeros-range*: $0 \leq \text{numberOfLeadingZeros } a \wedge \text{numberOfLeadingZeros } a \leq \text{Nat.size } a$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *leadingZerosAddHighestOne*: $\text{numberOfLeadingZeros } v + \text{highestOneBit } v = \text{Nat.size } v - 1$

<proof>

2.4 Long.numberOfTrailingZeros

definition *numberOfTrailingZeros* :: ('a::len) word \Rightarrow nat **where**
numberOfTrailingZeros v = *lowestOneBit* v

lemma *lowestOneBit-bot*: *lowestOneBit* (0::64 word) = 64
<proof>

lemma *bit-zero-set-in-top*: *bit* (-1::'a::len word) 0
<proof>

lemma *nat-bot-set*: (0::nat) \in xs \longrightarrow ($\forall x \in$ xs . $0 \leq x$)
<proof>

lemma *numberOfTrailingZeros* (0::64 word) = 64
<proof>

2.5 Long.reverseBytes

fun *reverseBytes-fun* :: ('a::len) word \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow ('a::len) word \Rightarrow ('a::len) word
where
reverseBytes-fun v b *flip* = (if (b = 0) then (*flip*) else
(*reverseBytes-fun* (v >> 8) (b - 8) (or (*flip* << 8) (*take-bit* 8
v))))

2.6 Long.bitCount

definition *bitCount* :: ('a::len) word \Rightarrow nat **where**
bitCount v = *card* {n . *bit* v n}

fun *bitCount-fun* :: ('a::len) word \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow nat **where**
bitCount-fun v n = (if (n = 0) then
(if (*bit* v n) then 1 else 0) else
if (*bit* v n) then (1 + *bitCount-fun* (v) (n - 1))
else (0 + *bitCount-fun* (v) (n - 1)))

lemma *bitCount* 0 = 0
<proof>

2.7 Long.zeroCount

definition *zeroCount* :: ('a::len) word \Rightarrow nat **where**
zeroCount v = *card* {n. n < *Nat.size* v \wedge \neg (*bit* v n)}

lemma *zeroCount-finite*: *finite* {n. n < *Nat.size* v \wedge \neg (*bit* v n)}
<proof>

lemma *negone-set*:

$\text{bit } (-1::('a::\text{len}) \text{ word}) \ n \longleftrightarrow n < \text{LENGTH}('a)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *negone-all-bits*:

$\{n . \text{bit } (-1::('a::\text{len}) \text{ word}) \ n\} = \{n . 0 \leq n \wedge n < \text{LENGTH}('a)\}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *bitCount-finite*:

$\text{finite } \{n . \text{bit } (v::('a::\text{len}) \text{ word}) \ n\}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *card-of-range*:

$x = \text{card } \{n . 0 \leq n \wedge n < x\}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *range-of-nat*:

$\{(n::\text{nat}) . 0 \leq n \wedge n < x\} = \{n . n < x\}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *finite-range*:

$\text{finite } \{n::\text{nat} . n < x\}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *range-eq*:

fixes $x \ y :: \text{nat}$

shows $\text{card } \{y..<x\} = \text{card } \{y<..x\}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *card-of-range-bound*:

fixes $x \ y :: \text{nat}$

assumes $x > y$

shows $x - y = \text{card } \{n . y < n \wedge n \leq x\}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{bitCount } (-1::('a::\text{len}) \text{ word}) = \text{LENGTH}('a)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *bitCount-range*:

fixes $n :: ('a::\text{len}) \text{ word}$

shows $0 \leq \text{bitCount } n \wedge \text{bitCount } n \leq \text{Nat.size } n$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zerosAboveHighestOne*:

$n > \text{highestOneBit } a \implies \neg(\text{bit } a \ n)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zerosBelowLowestOne*:

assumes $n < \text{lowestOneBit } a$
shows $\neg(\text{bit } a \ n)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *union-bit-sets*:
fixes $a :: ('a::\text{len}) \text{ word}$
shows $\{n . n < \text{Nat.size } a \wedge \text{bit } a \ n\} \cup \{n . n < \text{Nat.size } a \wedge \neg(\text{bit } a \ n)\} = \{n . n < \text{Nat.size } a\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *disjoint-bit-sets*:
fixes $a :: ('a::\text{len}) \text{ word}$
shows $\{n . n < \text{Nat.size } a \wedge \text{bit } a \ n\} \cap \{n . n < \text{Nat.size } a \wedge \neg(\text{bit } a \ n)\} = \{\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *qualified-bitCount*:
 $\text{bitCount } v = \text{card } \{n . n < \text{Nat.size } v \wedge \text{bit } v \ n\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *card-eq*:
assumes $\text{finite } x \wedge \text{finite } y \wedge \text{finite } z$
assumes $x \cup y = z$
assumes $y \cap x = \{\}$
shows $\text{card } z - \text{card } y = \text{card } x$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *card-add*:
assumes $\text{finite } x \wedge \text{finite } y \wedge \text{finite } z$
assumes $x \cup y = z$
assumes $y \cap x = \{\}$
shows $\text{card } x + \text{card } y = \text{card } z$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *card-add-inverses*:
assumes $\text{finite } \{n. Q \ n \wedge \neg(P \ n)\} \wedge \text{finite } \{n. Q \ n \wedge P \ n\} \wedge \text{finite } \{n. Q \ n\}$
shows $\text{card } \{n. Q \ n \wedge P \ n\} + \text{card } \{n. Q \ n \wedge \neg(P \ n)\} = \text{card } \{n. Q \ n\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ones-zero-sum-to-width*:
 $\text{bitCount } a + \text{zeroCount } a = \text{Nat.size } a$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *intersect-bitCount-helper*:
 $\text{card } \{n . n < \text{Nat.size } a\} - \text{bitCount } a = \text{card } \{n . n < \text{Nat.size } a \wedge \neg(\text{bit } a \ n)\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *intersect-bitCount*:
 $\text{Nat.size } a - \text{bitCount } a = \text{card } \{n . n < \text{Nat.size } a \wedge \neg(\text{bit } a \ n)\}$

<proof>

hide-fact *intersect-bitCount-helper*

end

3 Operator Semantics

theory *Values*

imports

JavaLong

begin

In order to properly implement the IR semantics we first introduce a type that represents runtime values. These runtime values represent the full range of primitive types currently allowed by our semantics, ranging from basic integer types to object references and arrays.

Note that Java supports 64, 32, 16, 8 signed ints, plus 1 bit (boolean) ints, and char is 16-bit unsigned. E.g. an 8-bit stamp has a default range of -128..+127. And a 1-bit stamp has a default range of -1..0, surprisingly.

During calculations the smaller sizes are sign-extended to 32 bits, but explicit widening nodes will do that, so most binary calculations should see equal input sizes.

An object reference is an option type where the *None* object reference points to the static fields. This is examined more closely in our definition of the heap.

type-synonym *objref* = *nat option*

type-synonym *length* = *nat*

datatype (*discs-sels*) *Value* =

UndefVal |

IntVal iwidth int64 |

ObjRef objref |

ObjStr string |

ArrayVal length Value list

fun *intval-bits* :: *Value* \Rightarrow *nat* **where**

intval-bits (*IntVal b v*) = *b*

```
fun intval-word :: Value  $\Rightarrow$  int64 where
  intval-word (IntVal b v) = v
```

Converts an integer word into a Java value.

```
fun new-int :: iwidth  $\Rightarrow$  int64  $\Rightarrow$  Value where
  new-int b w = IntVal b (take-bit b w)
```

Converts an integer word into a Java value, iff the two types are equal.

```
fun new-int-bin :: iwidth  $\Rightarrow$  iwidth  $\Rightarrow$  int64  $\Rightarrow$  Value where
  new-int-bin b1 b2 w = (if b1=b2 then new-int b1 w else UndefVal)
```

```
fun array-length :: Value  $\Rightarrow$  Value where
  array-length (ArrayVal len list) = new-int 32 (word-of-nat len)
```

```
fun wf-bool :: Value  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  wf-bool (IntVal b w) = (b = 1) |
  wf-bool - = False
```

```
fun val-to-bool :: Value  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  val-to-bool (IntVal b val) = (if val = 0 then False else True) |
  val-to-bool val = False
```

```
fun bool-to-val :: bool  $\Rightarrow$  Value where
  bool-to-val True = (IntVal 32 1) |
  bool-to-val False = (IntVal 32 0)
```

Converts an Isabelle bool into a Java value, iff the two types are equal.

```
fun bool-to-val-bin :: iwidth  $\Rightarrow$  iwidth  $\Rightarrow$  bool  $\Rightarrow$  Value where
  bool-to-val-bin t1 t2 b = (if t1 = t2 then bool-to-val b else UndefVal)
```

```
fun is-int-val :: Value  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  is-int-val v = is-IntVal v
```

```
lemma neg-one-value[simp]: new-int b (neg-one b) = IntVal b (mask b)
  <proof>
```

```
lemma neg-one-signed[simp]:
  assumes 0 < b
  shows int-signed-value b (neg-one b) = -1
  <proof>
```

```
lemma word-unsigned:
  shows  $\forall$  b1 v1. (IntVal b1 (word-of-int (int-unsigned-value b1 v1))) = IntVal b1
  v1
  <proof>
```

3.1 Arithmetic Operators

We need to introduce arithmetic operations which agree with the JVM.

Within the JVM, bytecode arithmetic operations are performed on 32 or 64 bit integers, unboxing where appropriate.

The following collection of *intval* functions correspond to the JVM arithmetic operations. We merge the 32 and 64 bit operations into a single function, even though the stamp of each *IRNode* tells us exactly what the bit widths will be. These merged functions make it easier to do the instantiation of *Value* as 'plus', etc. It might be worse for reasoning, because it could cause more case analysis, but this does not seem to be a problem in practice.

```
fun intval-add :: Value  $\Rightarrow$  Value  $\Rightarrow$  Value where
  intval-add (IntVal b1 v1) (IntVal b2 v2) =
    (if b1 = b2 then IntVal b1 (take-bit b1 (v1+v2)) else UndefVal) |
  intval-add - - = UndefVal
```

```
fun intval-sub :: Value  $\Rightarrow$  Value  $\Rightarrow$  Value where
  intval-sub (IntVal b1 v1) (IntVal b2 v2) = new-int-bin b1 b2 (v1-v2) |
  intval-sub - - = UndefVal
```

```
fun intval-mul :: Value  $\Rightarrow$  Value  $\Rightarrow$  Value where
  intval-mul (IntVal b1 v1) (IntVal b2 v2) = new-int-bin b1 b2 (v1*v2) |
  intval-mul - - = UndefVal
```

```
fun intval-div :: Value  $\Rightarrow$  Value  $\Rightarrow$  Value where
  intval-div (IntVal b1 v1) (IntVal b2 v2) =
    (if v2 = 0 then UndefVal else
      new-int-bin b1 b2 (word-of-int
        ((int-signed-value b1 v1) sdiv (int-signed-value b2 v2)))) |
  intval-div - - = UndefVal
```

```
value intval-div (IntVal 32 5) (IntVal 32 0)
```

```
fun intval-mod :: Value  $\Rightarrow$  Value  $\Rightarrow$  Value where
  intval-mod (IntVal b1 v1) (IntVal b2 v2) =
    (if v2 = 0 then UndefVal else
      new-int-bin b1 b2 (word-of-int
        ((int-signed-value b1 v1) smod (int-signed-value b2 v2)))) |
  intval-mod - - = UndefVal
```

```

fun intval-mul-high :: Value  $\Rightarrow$  Value  $\Rightarrow$  Value where
  intval-mul-high (IntVal b1 v1) (IntVal b2 v2) = (
    if (b1 = b2  $\wedge$  b1 = 64) then (
      if (((int-signed-value b1 v1) < 0)  $\vee$  ((int-signed-value b2 v2) < 0))
        then (

          let x1 = (v1 >> 32) in
          let x2 = (and v1 4294967295) in
          let y1 = (v2 >> 32) in
          let y2 = (and v2 4294967295) in
          let z2 = (x2 * y2) in
          let t = (x1 * y2 + (z2 >>> 32)) in
          let z1 = (and t 4294967295) in
          let z0 = (t >> 32) in
          let z1 = (z1 + (x2 * y1)) in

          let result = (x1 * y1 + z0 + (z1 >> 32)) in

          (new-int b1 result)
        ) else (

          let x1 = (v1 >>> 32) in
          let y1 = (v2 >>> 32) in
          let x2 = (and v1 4294967295) in
          let y2 = (and v2 4294967295) in
          let A = (x1 * y1) in
          let B = (x2 * y2) in
          let C = ((x1 + x2) * (y1 + y2)) in
          let K = (C - A - B) in

          let result = (((B >>> 32) + K) >>> 32) + A) in

          (new-int b1 result)
        )
      ) else (
        if (b1 = b2  $\wedge$  b1 = 32) then (

          let newv1 = (word-of-int (int-signed-value b1 v1)) in
          let newv2 = (word-of-int (int-signed-value b1 v2)) in
          let r = (newv1 * newv2) in

          let result = (r >> 32) in

          (new-int b1 result)
        ) else UndefVal
      ) |
    intval-mul-high - - = UndefVal
  )

```

```

fun intval-reverse-bytes :: Value  $\Rightarrow$  Value where

```

```

intval-reverse-bytes (IntVal b1 v1) = (new-int b1 (reverseBytes-fun v1 b1 0)) |
intval-reverse-bytes - =.UndefVal

```

```

fun intval-bit-count :: Value ⇒ Value where
  intval-bit-count (IntVal b1 v1) = (new-int 32 (word-of-nat (bitCount-fun v1 64))) |
  intval-bit-count - =.UndefVal

```

```

fun intval-negate :: Value ⇒ Value where
  intval-negate (IntVal t v) = new-int t (- v) |
  intval-negate - =.UndefVal

```

```

fun intval-abs :: Value ⇒ Value where
  intval-abs (IntVal t v) = new-int t (if int-signed-value t v < 0 then - v else v) |
  intval-abs - =.UndefVal

```

TODO: clarify which widths this should work on: just 1-bit or all?

```

fun intval-logic-negation :: Value ⇒ Value where
  intval-logic-negation (IntVal b v) = new-int b (logic-negate v) |
  intval-logic-negation - =.UndefVal

```

3.2 Bitwise Operators

```

fun intval-and :: Value ⇒ Value ⇒ Value where
  intval-and (IntVal b1 v1) (IntVal b2 v2) = new-int-bin b1 b2 (and v1 v2) |
  intval-and - - =.UndefVal

```

```

fun intval-or :: Value ⇒ Value ⇒ Value where
  intval-or (IntVal b1 v1) (IntVal b2 v2) = new-int-bin b1 b2 (or v1 v2) |
  intval-or - - =.UndefVal

```

```

fun intval-xor :: Value ⇒ Value ⇒ Value where
  intval-xor (IntVal b1 v1) (IntVal b2 v2) = new-int-bin b1 b2 (xor v1 v2) |
  intval-xor - - =.UndefVal

```

```

fun intval-not :: Value ⇒ Value where
  intval-not (IntVal t v) = new-int t (not v) |
  intval-not - =.UndefVal

```

3.3 Comparison Operators

```

fun intval-short-circuit-or :: Value ⇒ Value ⇒ Value where
  intval-short-circuit-or (IntVal b1 v1) (IntVal b2 v2) = bool-to-val-bin b1 b2 (((v1
≠ 0) ∨ (v2 ≠ 0))) |
  intval-short-circuit-or - - =.UndefVal

```

```

fun intval-equals :: Value ⇒ Value ⇒ Value where
  intval-equals (IntVal b1 v1) (IntVal b2 v2) = bool-to-val-bin b1 b2 (v1 = v2) |

```

```

    intval-equals - - = UndefVal

fun intval-less-than :: Value ⇒ Value ⇒ Value where
    intval-less-than (IntVal b1 v1) (IntVal b2 v2) =
        bool-to-val-bin b1 b2 (int-signed-value b1 v1 < int-signed-value b2 v2) |
    intval-less-than - - = UndefVal

fun intval-below :: Value ⇒ Value ⇒ Value where
    intval-below (IntVal b1 v1) (IntVal b2 v2) = bool-to-val-bin b1 b2 (v1 < v2) |
    intval-below - - = UndefVal

fun intval-conditional :: Value ⇒ Value ⇒ Value ⇒ Value where
    intval-conditional cond tv fv = (if (val-to-bool cond) then tv else fv)

fun intval-is-null :: Value ⇒ Value where
    intval-is-null (ObjRef (v)) = (if (v=(None)) then bool-to-val True else bool-to-val
False) |
    intval-is-null - = UndefVal

fun intval-test :: Value ⇒ Value ⇒ Value where
    intval-test (IntVal b1 v1) (IntVal b2 v2) = bool-to-val-bin b1 b2 ((and v1 v2) =
0) |
    intval-test - - = UndefVal

fun intval-normalize-compare :: Value ⇒ Value ⇒ Value where
    intval-normalize-compare (IntVal b1 v1) (IntVal b2 v2) =
        (if (b1 = b2) then new-int 32 (if (v1 < v2) then -1 else (if (v1 = v2) then 0
else 1))
        else UndefVal) |
    intval-normalize-compare - - = UndefVal

fun find-index :: 'a ⇒ 'a list ⇒ nat where
    find-index - [] = 0 |
    find-index v (x # xs) = (if (x=v) then 0 else find-index v xs + 1)

definition default-values :: Value list where
    default-values = [new-int 32 0, new-int 64 0, ObjRef None]

definition short-types-32 :: string list where
    short-types-32 = ["Z", "I", "C", "B", "S"]

definition short-types-64 :: string list where
    short-types-64 = ["J"]

fun default-value :: string ⇒ Value where

```

```

default-value n = (if (find-index n short-types-32) < (length short-types-32)
  then (default-values!0) else
    (if (find-index n short-types-64) < (length short-types-64)
      then (default-values!1)
      else (default-values!2)))

fun populate-array :: nat ⇒ Value list ⇒ string ⇒ Value list where
  populate-array len a s = (if (len = 0) then (a)
    else (a @ (populate-array (len-1) [default-value s] s)))

fun intval-new-array :: Value ⇒ string ⇒ Value where
  intval-new-array (IntVal b1 v1) s = (ArrayVal (nat (int-signed-value b1 v1))
    (populate-array (nat (int-signed-value b1 v1)) [] s)) |
  intval-new-array - = UndefVal

fun intval-load-index :: Value ⇒ Value ⇒ Value where
  intval-load-index (ArrayVal len cons) (IntVal b1 v1) = (if (v1 ≥ (word-of-nat
    len)) then (UndefVal)
    else (cons!(nat (int-signed-value b1
    v1)))) |
  intval-load-index - = UndefVal

fun intval-store-index :: Value ⇒ Value ⇒ Value ⇒ Value where
  intval-store-index (ArrayVal len cons) (IntVal b1 v1) val =
    (if (v1 ≥ (word-of-nat len)) then (UndefVal)
      else (ArrayVal len (list-update cons (nat (int-signed-value b1
    v1)) (val)))) |
  intval-store-index - - = UndefVal

lemma intval-equals-result:
  assumes intval-equals v1 v2 = r
  assumes r ≠ UndefVal
  shows r = IntVal 32 0 ∨ r = IntVal 32 1
  ⟨proof⟩

```

3.4 Narrowing and Widening Operators

Note: we allow these operators to have inBits=outBits, because the Graal compiler also seems to allow that case, even though it should rarely / never arise in practice.

Some sanity checks that *take_bit**N* and *signed_take_bit*(*N* − 1) match up as expected.

```

corollary sint (signed-take-bit 0 (1 :: int32)) = −1 ⟨proof⟩
corollary sint (signed-take-bit 7 ((256 + 128) :: int64)) = −128 ⟨proof⟩
corollary sint (take-bit 7 ((256 + 128 + 64) :: int64)) = 64 ⟨proof⟩
corollary sint (take-bit 8 ((256 + 128 + 64) :: int64)) = 128 + 64 ⟨proof⟩

```

```

fun intval-narrow :: nat ⇒ nat ⇒ Value ⇒ Value where

```



```

intval-narrow inBits outBits (IntVal b v) =
  (if inBits = b ∧ 0 < outBits ∧ outBits ≤ inBits ∧ inBits ≤ 64
   then new-int outBits v
   else UndefVal) |
intval-narrow - - - = UndefVal

```

```

fun intval-sign-extend :: nat ⇒ nat ⇒ Value ⇒ Value where
  intval-sign-extend inBits outBits (IntVal b v) =
    (if inBits = b ∧ 0 < inBits ∧ inBits ≤ outBits ∧ outBits ≤ 64
     then new-int outBits (signed-take-bit (inBits - 1) v)
     else UndefVal) |
  intval-sign-extend - - - = UndefVal

```

```

fun intval-zero-extend :: nat ⇒ nat ⇒ Value ⇒ Value where
  intval-zero-extend inBits outBits (IntVal b v) =
    (if inBits = b ∧ 0 < inBits ∧ inBits ≤ outBits ∧ outBits ≤ 64
     then new-int outBits (take-bit inBits v)
     else UndefVal) |
  intval-zero-extend - - - = UndefVal

```

Some well-formedness results to help reasoning about narrowing and widening operators

lemma *intval-narrow-ok*:

```

assumes intval-narrow inBits outBits val ≠ UndefVal
shows 0 < outBits ∧ outBits ≤ inBits ∧ inBits ≤ 64 ∧ outBits ≤ 64 ∧
      is-IntVal val ∧
      intval-bits val = inBits
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma *intval-sign-extend-ok*:

```

assumes intval-sign-extend inBits outBits val ≠ UndefVal
shows 0 < inBits ∧
      inBits ≤ outBits ∧ outBits ≤ 64 ∧
      is-IntVal val ∧
      intval-bits val = inBits
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma *intval-zero-extend-ok*:

```

assumes intval-zero-extend inBits outBits val ≠ UndefVal
shows 0 < inBits ∧
      inBits ≤ outBits ∧ outBits ≤ 64 ∧
      is-IntVal val ∧
      intval-bits val = inBits
⟨proof⟩

```

3.5 Bit-Shifting Operators

Note that Java shift operators use unary numeric promotion, unlike other binary operators, which use binary numeric promotion (see the Java lan-

guage reference manual). This means that the left-hand input determines the output size, while the right-hand input can be any size.

```
fun shift-amount :: iwidth ⇒ int64 ⇒ nat where
  shift-amount b val = unat (and val (if b = 64 then 0x3F else 0x1f))
```

```
fun intval-left-shift :: Value ⇒ Value ⇒ Value where
  intval-left-shift (IntVal b1 v1) (IntVal b2 v2) = new-int b1 (v1 << shift-amount
b1 v2) |
  intval-left-shift - - = UndefVal
```

Signed shift is more complex, because we sometimes have to insert 1 bits at the correct point, which is at b1 bits.

```
fun intval-right-shift :: Value ⇒ Value ⇒ Value where
  intval-right-shift (IntVal b1 v1) (IntVal b2 v2) =
    (let shift = shift-amount b1 v2 in
     let ones = and (mask b1) (not (mask (b1 - shift) :: int64)) in
     (if int-signed-value b1 v1 < 0
      then new-int b1 (or ones (v1 >>> shift))
      else new-int b1 (v1 >>> shift))) |
  intval-right-shift - - = UndefVal
```

```
fun intval-uright-shift :: Value ⇒ Value ⇒ Value where
  intval-uright-shift (IntVal b1 v1) (IntVal b2 v2) = new-int b1 (v1 >>> shift-amount
b1 v2) |
  intval-uright-shift - - = UndefVal
```

3.5.1 Examples of Narrowing / Widening Functions

experiment begin

corollary intval-narrow 32 8 (IntVal 32 (256 + 128)) = IntVal 8 128 <proof>

corollary intval-narrow 32 8 (IntVal 32 (-2)) = IntVal 8 254 <proof>

corollary intval-narrow 32 1 (IntVal 32 (-2)) = IntVal 1 0 <proof>

corollary intval-narrow 32 1 (IntVal 32 (-3)) = IntVal 1 1 <proof>

corollary intval-narrow 32 8 (IntVal 64 (-2)) = UndefVal <proof>

corollary intval-narrow 64 8 (IntVal 32 (-2)) = UndefVal <proof>

corollary intval-narrow 64 8 (IntVal 64 254) = IntVal 8 254 <proof>

corollary intval-narrow 64 8 (IntVal 64 (256+127)) = IntVal 8 127 <proof>

corollary intval-narrow 64 64 (IntVal 64 (-2)) = IntVal 64 (-2) <proof>

end

experiment begin

corollary intval-sign-extend 8 32 (IntVal 8 (256 + 128)) = IntVal 32 (2³² - 128) <proof>

corollary intval-sign-extend 8 32 (IntVal 8 (-2)) = IntVal 32 (2³² - 2) <proof>

corollary intval-sign-extend 1 32 (IntVal 1 (-2)) = IntVal 32 0 <proof>

corollary intval-sign-extend 1 32 (IntVal 1 (-3)) = IntVal 32 (mask 32) <proof>

corollary *intval-sign-extend* 8 32 (*IntVal* 64 254) = *UndefVal* *<proof>*
corollary *intval-sign-extend* 8 64 (*IntVal* 32 254) = *UndefVal* *<proof>*
corollary *intval-sign-extend* 8 64 (*IntVal* 8 254) = *IntVal* 64 (-2) *<proof>*
corollary *intval-sign-extend* 32 64 (*IntVal* 32 ($2^{32} - 2$)) = *IntVal* 64 (-2) *<proof>*
corollary *intval-sign-extend* 64 64 (*IntVal* 64 (-2)) = *IntVal* 64 (-2) *<proof>*
end

experiment begin

corollary *intval-zero-extend* 8 32 (*IntVal* 8 (256 + 128)) = *IntVal* 32 128 *<proof>*
corollary *intval-zero-extend* 8 32 (*IntVal* 8 (-2)) = *IntVal* 32 254 *<proof>*
corollary *intval-zero-extend* 1 32 (*IntVal* 1 (-1)) = *IntVal* 32 1 *<proof>*
corollary *intval-zero-extend* 1 32 (*IntVal* 1 (-2)) = *IntVal* 32 0 *<proof>*

corollary *intval-zero-extend* 8 32 (*IntVal* 64 (-2)) = *UndefVal* *<proof>*
corollary *intval-zero-extend* 8 64 (*IntVal* 64 (-2)) = *UndefVal* *<proof>*
corollary *intval-zero-extend* 8 64 (*IntVal* 8 254) = *IntVal* 64 254 *<proof>*
corollary *intval-zero-extend* 32 64 (*IntVal* 32 ($2^{32} - 2$)) = *IntVal* 64 ($2^{32} - 2$) *<proof>*
corollary *intval-zero-extend* 64 64 (*IntVal* 64 (-2)) = *IntVal* 64 (-2) *<proof>*
end

experiment begin

corollary *intval-right-shift* (*IntVal* 8 128) (*IntVal* 8 0) = *IntVal* 8 128 *<proof>*
corollary *intval-right-shift* (*IntVal* 8 128) (*IntVal* 8 1) = *IntVal* 8 192 *<proof>*
corollary *intval-right-shift* (*IntVal* 8 128) (*IntVal* 8 2) = *IntVal* 8 224 *<proof>*
corollary *intval-right-shift* (*IntVal* 8 128) (*IntVal* 8 8) = *IntVal* 8 255 *<proof>*
corollary *intval-right-shift* (*IntVal* 8 128) (*IntVal* 8 31) = *IntVal* 8 255 *<proof>*
end

lemma *intval-add-sym*:

shows *intval-add* a b = *intval-add* b a
<proof>

lemma *intval-add* (*IntVal* 32 ($2^{31}-1$)) (*IntVal* 32 ($2^{31}-1$)) = *IntVal* 32 ($2^{32} - 2$)
<proof>

lemma *intval-add* (*IntVal* 64 ($2^{31}-1$)) (*IntVal* 64 ($2^{31}-1$)) = *IntVal* 64 4294967294
<proof>

end

3.6 Fixed-width Word Theories

```
theory ValueThms
  imports Values
begin
```

3.6.1 Support Lemmas for Upper/Lower Bounds

```
lemma size32: size v = 32 for v :: 32 word
  <proof>
```

```
lemma size64: size v = 64 for v :: 64 word
  <proof>
```

```
lemma lower-bounds-equiv:
  assumes 0 < N
  shows  $\neg((2::int) \wedge (N-1)) = (2::int) \wedge N \text{ div } 2 * - 1$ 
  <proof>
```

```
lemma upper-bounds-equiv:
  assumes 0 < N
  shows  $(2::int) \wedge (N-1) = (2::int) \wedge N \text{ div } 2$ 
  <proof>
```

Some min/max bounds for 64-bit words

```
lemma bit-bounds-min64: ((fst (bit-bounds 64))) ≤ (sint (v::int64))
  <proof>
```

```
lemma bit-bounds-max64: ((snd (bit-bounds 64))) ≥ (sint (v::int64))
  <proof>
```

Extend these min/max bounds to extracting smaller signed words using *signed_take_bit*.

Note: we could use *signed* to convert between bit-widths, instead of *signed_take_bit*. But that would have to be done separately for each bit-width type.

```
value sint(signed-take-bit 7 (128 :: int8))
```

```
ML-val <@{thm signed-take-bit-decr-length-iff}>
declare [[show-types=true]]
ML-val <@{thm signed-take-bit-int-less-exp}>
```

```
lemma signed-take-bit-int-less-exp-word:
  fixes ival :: 'a :: len word
  assumes n < LENGTH('a)
  shows sint(signed-take-bit n ival) < (2::int) ^ n
  <proof>
```

lemma *signed-take-bit-int-greater-eq-minus-exp-word*:
fixes *ival* :: 'a :: len word
assumes $n < \text{LENGTH}('a)$
shows $-(2^n) \leq \text{sint}(\text{signed-take-bit } n \text{ } ival)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *signed-take-bit-range*:
fixes *ival* :: 'a :: len word
assumes $n < \text{LENGTH}('a)$
assumes $val = \text{sint}(\text{signed-take-bit } n \text{ } ival)$
shows $-(2^n) \leq val \wedge val < 2^n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

A *bit_bounds* version of the above lemma.

lemma *signed-take-bit-bounds*:
fixes *ival* :: 'a :: len word
assumes $n \leq \text{LENGTH}('a)$
assumes $0 < n$
assumes $val = \text{sint}(\text{signed-take-bit } (n - 1) \text{ } ival)$
shows $\text{fst } (\text{bit-bounds } n) \leq val \wedge val \leq \text{snd } (\text{bit-bounds } n)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *signed-take-bit-bounds64*:
fixes *ival* :: int64
assumes $n \leq 64$
assumes $0 < n$
assumes $val = \text{sint}(\text{signed-take-bit } (n - 1) \text{ } ival)$
shows $\text{fst } (\text{bit-bounds } n) \leq val \wedge val \leq \text{snd } (\text{bit-bounds } n)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *int-signed-value-bounds*:
assumes $b1 \leq 64$
assumes $0 < b1$
shows $\text{fst } (\text{bit-bounds } b1) \leq \text{int-signed-value } b1 \text{ } v2 \wedge$
 $\text{int-signed-value } b1 \text{ } v2 \leq \text{snd } (\text{bit-bounds } b1)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *int-signed-value-range*:
fixes *ival* :: int64
assumes $val = \text{int-signed-value } n \text{ } ival$
shows $-(2^{(n - 1)}) \leq val \wedge val < 2^{(n - 1)}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Some lemmas about unsigned words smaller than 64-bit, for zero-extend operators.

lemma *take-bit-smaller-range*:
fixes *ival* :: 'a :: len word
assumes $n < \text{LENGTH}('a)$

```

assumes  $val = \text{sint}(\text{take-bit } n \text{ } ival)$ 
shows  $0 \leq val \wedge val < (2::\text{int}) ^ n$ 
<proof>

```

```

lemma take-bit-same-size-nochange:
  fixes  $ival :: 'a :: \text{len word}$ 
  assumes  $n = \text{LENGTH}('a)$ 
  shows  $ival = \text{take-bit } n \text{ } ival$ 
<proof>

```

A simplification lemma for *new_int*, showing that upper bits can be ignored.

```

lemma take-bit-redundant[simp]:
  fixes  $ival :: 'a :: \text{len word}$ 
  assumes  $0 < n$ 
  assumes  $n < \text{LENGTH}('a)$ 
  shows  $\text{signed-take-bit } (n - 1) (\text{take-bit } n \text{ } ival) = \text{signed-take-bit } (n - 1) \text{ } ival$ 
<proof>

```

```

lemma take-bit-same-size-range:
  fixes  $ival :: 'a :: \text{len word}$ 
  assumes  $n = \text{LENGTH}('a)$ 
  assumes  $ival2 = \text{take-bit } n \text{ } ival$ 
  shows  $-(2 ^ n \text{ div } 2) \leq \text{sint } ival2 \wedge \text{sint } ival2 < 2 ^ n \text{ div } 2$ 
<proof>

```

```

lemma take-bit-same-bounds:
  fixes  $ival :: 'a :: \text{len word}$ 
  assumes  $n = \text{LENGTH}('a)$ 
  assumes  $ival2 = \text{take-bit } n \text{ } ival$ 
  shows  $\text{fst } (\text{bit-bounds } n) \leq \text{sint } ival2 \wedge \text{sint } ival2 \leq \text{snd } (\text{bit-bounds } n)$ 
<proof>

```

Next we show that casting a word to a wider word preserves any upper/lower bounds. (These lemmas may not be needed any more, since we are not using *scast* now?)

```

lemma scast-max-bound:
  assumes  $\text{sint } (v :: 'a :: \text{len word}) < M$ 
  assumes  $\text{LENGTH}('a) < \text{LENGTH}('b)$ 
  shows  $\text{sint } ((\text{scast } v) :: 'b :: \text{len word}) < M$ 
<proof>

```

```

lemma scast-min-bound:
  assumes  $M \leq \text{sint } (v :: 'a :: \text{len word})$ 
  assumes  $\text{LENGTH}('a) < \text{LENGTH}('b)$ 
  shows  $M \leq \text{sint } ((\text{scast } v) :: 'b :: \text{len word})$ 
<proof>

```

```

lemma scast-bigger-max-bound:

```

assumes ($result :: 'b :: len\ word$) = $scast\ (v :: 'a :: len\ word)$
shows $sint\ result < 2 \wedge LENGTH('a) \div 2$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *scast-bigger-min-bound*:
assumes ($result :: 'b :: len\ word$) = $scast\ (v :: 'a :: len\ word)$
shows $-(2 \wedge LENGTH('a) \div 2) \leq sint\ result$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *scast-bigger-bit-bounds*:
assumes ($result :: 'b :: len\ word$) = $scast\ (v :: 'a :: len\ word)$
shows $fst\ (bit-bounds\ (LENGTH('a))) \leq sint\ result \wedge sint\ result \leq snd\ (bit-bounds\ (LENGTH('a)))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Results about *new_int*.

lemma *new-int-take-bits*:
assumes $IntVal\ b\ val = new-int\ b\ ival$
shows $take-bit\ b\ val = val$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

3.6.2 Support lemmas for take bit and signed take bit.

Lemmas for removing redundant take_bit wrappers.

lemma *take-bit-dist-addL[simp]*:
fixes $x :: 'a :: len\ word$
shows $take-bit\ b\ (take-bit\ b\ x + y) = take-bit\ b\ (x + y)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *take-bit-dist-addR[simp]*:
fixes $x :: 'a :: len\ word$
shows $take-bit\ b\ (x + take-bit\ b\ y) = take-bit\ b\ (x + y)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *take-bit-dist-subL[simp]*:
fixes $x :: 'a :: len\ word$
shows $take-bit\ b\ (take-bit\ b\ x - y) = take-bit\ b\ (x - y)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *take-bit-dist-subR[simp]*:
fixes $x :: 'a :: len\ word$
shows $take-bit\ b\ (x - take-bit\ b\ y) = take-bit\ b\ (x - y)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *take-bit-dist-neg[simp]*:
fixes $ix :: 'a :: len\ word$
shows $take-bit\ b\ (-\ take-bit\ b\ (ix)) = take-bit\ b\ (-\ ix)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma signed-take-bit[simp]:
  fixes  $x :: 'a :: \text{len word}$ 
  assumes  $0 < b$ 
  shows  $\text{signed-take-bit } (b - 1) (\text{take-bit } b \ x) = \text{signed-take-bit } (b - 1) \ x$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma mod-larger-ignore:
  fixes  $a :: \text{int}$ 
  fixes  $m \ n :: \text{nat}$ 
  assumes  $n < m$ 
  shows  $(a \bmod 2^m) \bmod 2^n = a \bmod 2^n$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma mod-dist-over-add:
  fixes  $a \ b \ c :: \text{int64}$ 
  fixes  $n :: \text{nat}$ 
  assumes  $1: 0 < n$ 
  assumes  $2: n < 64$ 
  shows  $(a \bmod 2^n + b) \bmod 2^n = (a + b) \bmod 2^n$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

end

4 Stamp Typing

```

theory Stamp
  imports Values
begin

```

The GraalVM compiler uses the Stamp class to store range and type information for a given node in the IR graph. We model the Stamp class as a datatype, Stamp, and provide a number of functions on the datatype which correspond to the class methods within the compiler.

Stamp information is used in a variety of ways in optimizations, and so, we additionally provide a number of lemmas which help to prove future optimizations.

```

datatype Stamp =
  VoidStamp
  | IntegerStamp (stp-bits: nat) (stp-lower: int) (stp-upper: int)

  | KlassPointerStamp (stp-nonNull: bool) (stp-alwaysNull: bool)
  | MethodCountersPointerStamp (stp-nonNull: bool) (stp-alwaysNull: bool)
  | MethodPointersStamp (stp-nonNull: bool) (stp-alwaysNull: bool)
  | ObjectStamp (stp-type: string) (stp-exactType: bool) (stp-nonNull: bool) (stp-alwaysNull: bool)
  | RawPointerStamp (stp-nonNull: bool) (stp-alwaysNull: bool)
  | IllegalStamp

```


To help with supporting masks in future, this constructor allows masks but ignores them.

abbreviation $IntegerStampM :: nat \Rightarrow int \Rightarrow int \Rightarrow int64 \Rightarrow int64 \Rightarrow Stamp$
where
 $IntegerStampM\ b\ lo\ hi\ down\ up \equiv IntegerStamp\ b\ lo\ hi$

fun $is-stamp-empty :: Stamp \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $is-stamp-empty\ (IntegerStamp\ b\ lower\ upper) = (upper < lower) \mid$
 $is-stamp-empty\ x = False$

Just like the `IntegerStamp` class, we need to know that our lo/hi bounds fit into the given number of bits (either signed or unsigned). Our integer stamps have infinite lo/hi bounds, so if the lower bound is non-negative, we can assume that all values are positive, and the integer bits of a related value can be interpreted as unsigned. This is similar (but slightly more general) to what `IntegerStamp.java` does with its test: if (`sameSignBounds()`) in the `unsignedUpperBound()` method.

Note that this is a bit different and more accurate than what `StampFactory.forUnsignedInteger` does (it widens large unsigned ranges to the max signed range to allow all bit patterns) because its lo/hi values are only 64-bit.

fun $valid-stamp :: Stamp \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $valid-stamp\ (IntegerStamp\ bits\ lo\ hi) =$
 $(0 < bits \wedge bits \leq 64 \wedge$
 $fst\ (bit-bounds\ bits) \leq lo \wedge lo \leq snd\ (bit-bounds\ bits) \wedge$
 $fst\ (bit-bounds\ bits) \leq hi \wedge hi \leq snd\ (bit-bounds\ bits)) \mid$
 $valid-stamp\ s = True$

experiment begin

corollary $bit-bounds\ 1 = (-1, 0)$ *<proof>*
end

— A stamp which includes the full range of the type

fun $unrestricted-stamp :: Stamp \Rightarrow Stamp$ **where**
 $unrestricted-stamp\ VoidStamp = VoidStamp \mid$
 $unrestricted-stamp\ (IntegerStamp\ bits\ lower\ upper) = (IntegerStamp\ bits\ (fst$
 $(bit-bounds\ bits))\ (snd\ (bit-bounds\ bits))) \mid$
 $unrestricted-stamp\ (KlassPointerStamp\ nonNull\ alwaysNull) = (KlassPointerStamp$
 $False\ False) \mid$

```

    unrestricted-stamp (MethodCountersPointerStamp nonNull alwaysNull) = (MethodCountersPointerStamp
False False) |
    unrestricted-stamp (MethodPointersStamp nonNull alwaysNull) = (MethodPointersStamp
False False) |
    unrestricted-stamp (ObjectStamp type exactType nonNull alwaysNull) = (ObjectStamp
"" False False False) |
    unrestricted-stamp - = IllegalStamp

```

fun is-stamp-unrestricted :: Stamp \Rightarrow bool **where**
 is-stamp-unrestricted s = (s = unrestricted-stamp s)

— A stamp which provides type information but has an empty range of values

fun empty-stamp :: Stamp \Rightarrow Stamp **where**
 empty-stamp VoidStamp = VoidStamp |
 empty-stamp (IntegerStamp bits lower upper) = (IntegerStamp bits (snd (bit-bounds
bits)) (fst (bit-bounds bits))) |

```

    empty-stamp (KlassPointerStamp nonNull alwaysNull) = (KlassPointerStamp
nonNull alwaysNull) |
    empty-stamp (MethodCountersPointerStamp nonNull alwaysNull) = (MethodCountersPointerStamp
nonNull alwaysNull) |
    empty-stamp (MethodPointersStamp nonNull alwaysNull) = (MethodPointersStamp
nonNull alwaysNull) |
    empty-stamp (ObjectStamp type exactType nonNull alwaysNull) = (ObjectStamp
"" True True False) |
    empty-stamp stamp = IllegalStamp

```

— Calculate the meet stamp of two stamps

fun meet :: Stamp \Rightarrow Stamp \Rightarrow Stamp **where**
 meet VoidStamp VoidStamp = VoidStamp |
 meet (IntegerStamp b1 l1 u1) (IntegerStamp b2 l2 u2) = (
 if b1 \neq b2 then IllegalStamp else
 (IntegerStamp b1 (min l1 l2) (max u1 u2))
) |
 meet (KlassPointerStamp nn1 an1) (KlassPointerStamp nn2 an2) = (
 KlassPointerStamp (nn1 \wedge nn2) (an1 \wedge an2)
) |
 meet (MethodCountersPointerStamp nn1 an1) (MethodCountersPointerStamp
nn2 an2) = (
 MethodCountersPointerStamp (nn1 \wedge nn2) (an1 \wedge an2)
) |
 meet (MethodPointersStamp nn1 an1) (MethodPointersStamp nn2 an2) = (
 MethodPointersStamp (nn1 \wedge nn2) (an1 \wedge an2)
) |
 meet s1 s2 = IllegalStamp

— Calculate the join stamp of two stamps

```

fun join :: Stamp ⇒ Stamp ⇒ Stamp where
  join VoidStamp VoidStamp = VoidStamp |
  join (IntegerStamp b1 l1 u1) (IntegerStamp b2 l2 u2) = (
    if b1 ≠ b2 then IllegalStamp else
    (IntegerStamp b1 (max l1 l2) (min u1 u2))
  ) |

  join (KlassPointerStamp nn1 an1) (KlassPointerStamp nn2 an2) = (
    if ((nn1 ∨ nn2) ∧ (an1 ∨ an2))
    then (empty-stamp (KlassPointerStamp nn1 an1))
    else (KlassPointerStamp (nn1 ∨ nn2) (an1 ∨ an2))
  ) |
  join (MethodCountersPointerStamp nn1 an1) (MethodCountersPointerStamp nn2
an2) = (
    if ((nn1 ∨ nn2) ∧ (an1 ∨ an2))
    then (empty-stamp (MethodCountersPointerStamp nn1 an1))
    else (MethodCountersPointerStamp (nn1 ∨ nn2) (an1 ∨ an2))
  ) |
  join (MethodPointersStamp nn1 an1) (MethodPointersStamp nn2 an2) = (
    if ((nn1 ∨ nn2) ∧ (an1 ∨ an2))
    then (empty-stamp (MethodPointersStamp nn1 an1))
    else (MethodPointersStamp (nn1 ∨ nn2) (an1 ∨ an2))
  ) |
  join s1 s2 = IllegalStamp

```

— In certain circumstances a stamp provides enough information to evaluate a value as a stamp, the `asConstant` function converts the stamp to a value where one can be inferred.

```

fun asConstant :: Stamp ⇒ Value where
  asConstant (IntegerStamp b l h) = (if l = h then IntVal b (word-of-int l) else
UndefVal) |
  asConstant - = UndefVal

```

— Determine if two stamps never have value overlaps i.e. their join is empty

```

fun alwaysDistinct :: Stamp ⇒ Stamp ⇒ bool where
  alwaysDistinct stamp1 stamp2 = is-stamp-empty (join stamp1 stamp2)

```

— Determine if two stamps must always be the same value i.e. two equal constants

```

fun neverDistinct :: Stamp ⇒ Stamp ⇒ bool where
  neverDistinct stamp1 stamp2 = (asConstant stamp1 = asConstant stamp2 ∧
asConstant stamp1 ≠ UndefVal)

```

```

fun constantAsStamp :: Value ⇒ Stamp where
  constantAsStamp (IntVal b v) = (IntegerStamp b (int-signed-value b v) (int-signed-value
b v)) |
  constantAsStamp (ObjRef (None)) = ObjectStamp "" False False True |
  constantAsStamp (ObjRef (Some n)) = ObjectStamp "" False True False |

```

constantAsStamp - = *IllegalStamp*

— Define when a runtime value is valid for a stamp. The stamp bounds must be valid, and val must be zero-extended.

```
fun valid-value :: Value ⇒ Stamp ⇒ bool where
  valid-value (IntVal b1 val) (IntegerStamp b l h) =
    (if b1 = b then
      valid-stamp (IntegerStamp b l h) ∧
      take-bit b val = val ∧
      l ≤ int-signed-value b val ∧ int-signed-value b val ≤ h
    else False) |
  valid-value (ObjRef ref) (ObjectStamp klass exact nonNull alwaysNull) =
    ((alwaysNull → ref = None) ∧ (ref=Some → ¬ nonNull)) |
  valid-value stamp val = False
```

definition wf-value :: Value ⇒ bool **where**
 wf-value v = valid-value v (constantAsStamp v)

lemma unfold-wf-value[simp]:
 wf-value v ⇒ valid-value v (constantAsStamp v)
 ⟨proof⟩

```
fun compatible :: Stamp ⇒ Stamp ⇒ bool where
  compatible (IntegerStamp b1 lo1 hi1) (IntegerStamp b2 lo2 hi2) =
    (b1 = b2 ∧ valid-stamp (IntegerStamp b1 lo1 hi1) ∧ valid-stamp (IntegerStamp
b2 lo2 hi2)) |
  compatible (VoidStamp) (VoidStamp) = True |
  compatible - - = False
```

```
fun stamp-under :: Stamp ⇒ Stamp ⇒ bool where
  stamp-under (IntegerStamp b1 lo1 hi1) (IntegerStamp b2 lo2 hi2) = (hi1 < lo2) |
  stamp-under - - = False
```

— The most common type of stamp within the compiler (apart from the VoidStamp) is a 32 bit integer stamp with an unrestricted range. We use *default-stamp* as it is a frequently used stamp.

definition default-stamp :: Stamp **where**
 default-stamp = (unrestricted-stamp (IntegerStamp 32 0 0))

```
value valid-value (IntVal 8 (255)) (IntegerStamp 8 (−128) 127)
end
```

5 Graph Representation

5.1 IR Graph Nodes

```
theory IRNodes
  imports
    Values
begin
```

The GraalVM IR is represented using a graph data structure. Here we define the nodes that are contained within the graph. Each node represents a Node subclass in the GraalVM compiler, the node classes have annotated fields to indicate input and successor edges.

We represent these classes with each IRNode constructor explicitly labelling a reference to the node IDs that it stores as inputs and successors.

The `inputs_of` and `successors_of` functions partition those labelled references into input edges and successor edges of a node.

To identify each Node, we use a simple natural number index. Zero is always the start node in a graph. For human readability, within nodes we write INPUT (or special case thereof) instead of ID for input edges, and SUCC instead of ID for control-flow successor edges. Optional edges are handled as "INPUT option" etc.

```
datatype IRInvokeKind =
  Interface | Special | Static | Virtual
```

```
fun isDirect :: IRInvokeKind  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  isDirect Interface = False |
  isDirect Special = True |
  isDirect Static = True |
  isDirect Virtual = False
```

```
fun hasReceiver :: IRInvokeKind  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  hasReceiver Static = False |
  hasReceiver - = True
```

```
type-synonym ID = nat
type-synonym INPUT = ID
type-synonym INPUT-ASSOC = ID
type-synonym INPUT-STATE = ID
type-synonym INPUT-GUARD = ID
type-synonym INPUT-COND = ID
type-synonym INPUT-EXT = ID
type-synonym SUCC = ID
```

```
datatype (discs-sels) IRNode =
```

AbsNode (*ir-value*: *INPUT*)
 | *AddNode* (*ir-x*: *INPUT*) (*ir-y*: *INPUT*)
 | *AndNode* (*ir-x*: *INPUT*) (*ir-y*: *INPUT*)
 | *ArrayLengthNode* (*ir-value*: *INPUT*) (*ir-next*: *SUCC*)
 | *BeginNode* (*ir-next*: *SUCC*)
 | *BitCountNode* (*ir-value*: *INPUT*)
 | *BytecodeExceptionNode* (*ir-arguments*: *INPUT* list) (*ir-stateAfter-opt*: *INPUT-STATE* option) (*ir-next*: *SUCC*)
 | *ConditionalNode* (*ir-condition*: *INPUT-COND*) (*ir-trueValue*: *INPUT*) (*ir-falseValue*: *INPUT*)
 | *ConstantNode* (*ir-const*: *Value*)
 | *ControlFlowAnchorNode* (*ir-next*: *SUCC*)
 | *DynamicNewArrayNode* (*ir-elementType*: *INPUT*) (*ir-length*: *INPUT*) (*ir-voidClass-opt*: *INPUT* option) (*ir-stateBefore-opt*: *INPUT-STATE* option) (*ir-next*: *SUCC*)
 | *EndNode*
 | *ExceptionObjectNode* (*ir-stateAfter-opt*: *INPUT-STATE* option) (*ir-next*: *SUCC*)

 | *FixedGuardNode* (*ir-condition*: *INPUT-COND*) (*ir-stateBefore-opt*: *INPUT-STATE* option) (*ir-next*: *SUCC*)
 | *FrameState* (*ir-monitorIds*: *INPUT-ASSOC* list) (*ir-outerFrameState-opt*: *INPUT-STATE* option) (*ir-values-opt*: *INPUT* list option) (*ir-virtualObjectMappings-opt*: *INPUT-STATE* list option)
 | *IfNode* (*ir-condition*: *INPUT-COND*) (*ir-trueSuccessor*: *SUCC*) (*ir-falseSuccessor*: *SUCC*)
 | *IntegerBelowNode* (*ir-x*: *INPUT*) (*ir-y*: *INPUT*)
 | *IntegerEqualsNode* (*ir-x*: *INPUT*) (*ir-y*: *INPUT*)
 | *IntegerLessThanNode* (*ir-x*: *INPUT*) (*ir-y*: *INPUT*)
 | *IntegerMulHighNode* (*ir-x*: *INPUT*) (*ir-y*: *INPUT*)
 | *IntegerNormalizeCompareNode* (*ir-x*: *INPUT*) (*ir-y*: *INPUT*)
 | *IntegerTestNode* (*ir-x*: *INPUT*) (*ir-y*: *INPUT*)
 | *InvokeNode* (*ir-nid*: *ID*) (*ir-callTarget*: *INPUT-EXT*) (*ir-classInit-opt*: *INPUT* option) (*ir-stateDuring-opt*: *INPUT-STATE* option) (*ir-stateAfter-opt*: *INPUT-STATE* option) (*ir-next*: *SUCC*)
 | *InvokeWithExceptionNode* (*ir-nid*: *ID*) (*ir-callTarget*: *INPUT-EXT*) (*ir-classInit-opt*: *INPUT* option) (*ir-stateDuring-opt*: *INPUT-STATE* option) (*ir-stateAfter-opt*: *INPUT-STATE* option) (*ir-next*: *SUCC*) (*ir-exceptionEdge*: *SUCC*)
 | *IsNullNode* (*ir-value*: *INPUT*)
 | *KillingBeginNode* (*ir-next*: *SUCC*)
 | *LeftShiftNode* (*ir-x*: *INPUT*) (*ir-y*: *INPUT*)
 | *LoadFieldNode* (*ir-nid*: *ID*) (*ir-field*: *string*) (*ir-object-opt*: *INPUT* option) (*ir-next*: *SUCC*)
 | *LoadIndexedNode* (*ir-index*: *INPUT*) (*ir-guard-opt*: *INPUT-GUARD* option) (*ir-value*: *INPUT*) (*ir-next*: *SUCC*)
 | *LogicNegationNode* (*ir-value*: *INPUT-COND*)
 | *LoopBeginNode* (*ir-ends*: *INPUT-ASSOC* list) (*ir-overflowGuard-opt*: *INPUT-GUARD* option) (*ir-stateAfter-opt*: *INPUT-STATE* option) (*ir-next*: *SUCC*)
 | *LoopEndNode* (*ir-loopBegin*: *INPUT-ASSOC*)
 | *LoopExitNode* (*ir-loopBegin*: *INPUT-ASSOC*) (*ir-stateAfter-opt*: *INPUT-STATE* option) (*ir-next*: *SUCC*)

| *MergeNode* (*ir-ends*: INPUT-ASSOC list) (*ir-stateAfter-opt*: INPUT-STATE option) (*ir-next*: SUCC)
 | *MethodCallTargetNode* (*ir-targetMethod*: string) (*ir-arguments*: INPUT list) (*ir-invoke-kind*: IRInvokeKind)
 | *MulNode* (*ir-x*: INPUT) (*ir-y*: INPUT)
 | *NarrowNode* (*ir-inputBits*: nat) (*ir-resultBits*: nat) (*ir-value*: INPUT)
 | *NegateNode* (*ir-value*: INPUT)
 | *NewArrayNode* (*ir-length*: INPUT) (*ir-stateBefore-opt*: INPUT-STATE option) (*ir-next*: SUCC)
 | *NewInstanceNode* (*ir-nid*: ID) (*ir-instanceClass*: string) (*ir-stateBefore-opt*: INPUT-STATE option) (*ir-next*: SUCC)
 | *NotNode* (*ir-value*: INPUT)
 | *OrNode* (*ir-x*: INPUT) (*ir-y*: INPUT)
 | *ParameterNode* (*ir-index*: nat)
 | *PiNode* (*ir-object*: INPUT) (*ir-guard-opt*: INPUT-GUARD option)
 | *ReturnNode* (*ir-result-opt*: INPUT option) (*ir-memoryMap-opt*: INPUT-EXT option)
 | *ReverseBytesNode* (*ir-value*: INPUT)
 | *RightShiftNode* (*ir-x*: INPUT) (*ir-y*: INPUT)
 | *ShortCircuitOrNode* (*ir-x*: INPUT-COND) (*ir-y*: INPUT-COND)
 | *SignExtendNode* (*ir-inputBits*: nat) (*ir-resultBits*: nat) (*ir-value*: INPUT)
 | *SignedDivNode* (*ir-nid*: ID) (*ir-x*: INPUT) (*ir-y*: INPUT) (*ir-zeroCheck-opt*: INPUT-GUARD option) (*ir-stateBefore-opt*: INPUT-STATE option) (*ir-next*: SUCC)

 | *SignedFloatingIntegerDivNode* (*ir-x*: INPUT) (*ir-y*: INPUT)
 | *SignedFloatingIntegerRemNode* (*ir-x*: INPUT) (*ir-y*: INPUT)
 | *SignedRemNode* (*ir-nid*: ID) (*ir-x*: INPUT) (*ir-y*: INPUT) (*ir-zeroCheck-opt*: INPUT-GUARD option) (*ir-stateBefore-opt*: INPUT-STATE option) (*ir-next*: SUCC)
 | *StartNode* (*ir-stateAfter-opt*: INPUT-STATE option) (*ir-next*: SUCC)
 | *StoreFieldNode* (*ir-nid*: ID) (*ir-field*: string) (*ir-value*: INPUT) (*ir-stateAfter-opt*: INPUT-STATE option) (*ir-object-opt*: INPUT option) (*ir-next*: SUCC)
 | *StoreIndexedNode* (*ir-storeCheck*: INPUT-GUARD option) (*ir-value*: ID) (*ir-stateAfter-opt*: INPUT-STATE option) (*ir-index*: INPUT) (*ir-guard-opt*: INPUT-GUARD option) (*ir-array*: INPUT) (*ir-next*: SUCC)
 | *SubNode* (*ir-x*: INPUT) (*ir-y*: INPUT)
 | *UnsignedRightShiftNode* (*ir-x*: INPUT) (*ir-y*: INPUT)
 | *UnwindNode* (*ir-exception*: INPUT)
 | *ValuePhiNode* (*ir-nid*: ID) (*ir-values*: INPUT list) (*ir-merge*: INPUT-ASSOC)
 | *ValueProxyNode* (*ir-value*: INPUT) (*ir-loopExit*: INPUT-ASSOC)
 | *XorNode* (*ir-x*: INPUT) (*ir-y*: INPUT)
 | *ZeroExtendNode* (*ir-inputBits*: nat) (*ir-resultBits*: nat) (*ir-value*: INPUT)
 | *NoNode*

 | *RefNode* (*ir-ref*: ID)

```

fun opt-to-list :: 'a option ⇒ 'a list where
  opt-to-list None = [] |
  opt-to-list (Some v) = [v]

```

```

fun opt-list-to-list :: 'a list option ⇒ 'a list where
  opt-list-to-list None = [] |
  opt-list-to-list (Some x) = x

```

The following functions, *inputs_of* and *successors_of*, are automatically generated from the GraalVM compiler. Their purpose is to partition the node edges into input or successor edges.

```

fun inputs-of :: IRNode ⇒ ID list where
  inputs-of-AbsNode:
    inputs-of (AbsNode value) = [value] |
  inputs-of-AddNode:
    inputs-of (AddNode x y) = [x, y] |
  inputs-of-AndNode:
    inputs-of (AndNode x y) = [x, y] |
  inputs-of-ArrayLengthNode:
    inputs-of (ArrayLengthNode x next) = [x] |
  inputs-of-BeginNode:
    inputs-of (BeginNode next) = [] |
  inputs-of-BitCountNode:
    inputs-of (BitCountNode value) = [value] |
  inputs-of-BytecodeExceptionNode:
    inputs-of (BytecodeExceptionNode arguments stateAfter next) = arguments @
    (opt-to-list stateAfter) |
  inputs-of-ConditionalNode:
    inputs-of (ConditionalNode condition trueValue falseValue) = [condition, true-
    Value, falseValue] |
  inputs-of-ConstantNode:
    inputs-of (ConstantNode const) = [] |
  inputs-of-ControlFlowAnchorNode:
    inputs-of (ControlFlowAnchorNode n) = [] |
  inputs-of-DynamicNewArrayNode:
    inputs-of (DynamicNewArrayNode elementType length0 voidClass stateBefore
    next) = [elementType, length0] @ (opt-to-list voidClass) @ (opt-to-list stateBefore)
    |
  inputs-of-EndNode:
    inputs-of (EndNode) = [] |
  inputs-of-ExceptionObjectNode:
    inputs-of (ExceptionObjectNode stateAfter next) = (opt-to-list stateAfter) |
  inputs-of-FixedGuardNode:
    inputs-of (FixedGuardNode condition stateBefore next) = [condition] |
  inputs-of-FrameState:
    inputs-of (FrameState monitorIds outerFrameState values virtualObjectMappings)
    = monitorIds @ (opt-to-list outerFrameState) @ (opt-list-to-list values) @ (opt-list-to-list
    virtualObjectMappings) |
  inputs-of-IfNode:

```


inputs-of (*IfNode condition trueSuccessor falseSuccessor*) = [*condition*] |
inputs-of-IntegerBelowNode:
inputs-of (*IntegerBelowNode x y*) = [*x, y*] |
inputs-of-IntegerEqualsNode:
inputs-of (*IntegerEqualsNode x y*) = [*x, y*] |
inputs-of-IntegerLessThanNode:
inputs-of (*IntegerLessThanNode x y*) = [*x, y*] |
inputs-of-IntegerMulHighNode:
inputs-of (*IntegerMulHighNode x y*) = [*x, y*] |
inputs-of-IntegerNormalizeCompareNode:
inputs-of (*IntegerNormalizeCompareNode x y*) = [*x, y*] |
inputs-of-IntegerTestNode:
inputs-of (*IntegerTestNode x y*) = [*x, y*] |
inputs-of-InvokeNode:
inputs-of (*InvokeNode nid0 callTarget classInit stateDuring stateAfter next*)
= *callTarget* # (*opt-to-list classInit*) @ (*opt-to-list stateDuring*) @ (*opt-to-list stateAfter*) |
inputs-of-InvokeWithExceptionNode:
inputs-of (*InvokeWithExceptionNode nid0 callTarget classInit stateDuring stateAfter next exceptionEdge*) = *callTarget* # (*opt-to-list classInit*) @ (*opt-to-list stateDuring*) @ (*opt-to-list stateAfter*) |
inputs-of-IsNullNode:
inputs-of (*IsNullNode value*) = [*value*] |
inputs-of-KillingBeginNode:
inputs-of (*KillingBeginNode next*) = [] |
inputs-of-LeftShiftNode:
inputs-of (*LeftShiftNode x y*) = [*x, y*] |
inputs-of-LoadFieldNode:
inputs-of (*LoadFieldNode nid0 field object next*) = (*opt-to-list object*) |
inputs-of-LoadIndexedNode:
inputs-of (*LoadIndexedNode index guard x next*) = [*x*] |
inputs-of-LogicNegationNode:
inputs-of (*LogicNegationNode value*) = [*value*] |
inputs-of-LoopBeginNode:
inputs-of (*LoopBeginNode ends overflowGuard stateAfter next*) = *ends* @ (*opt-to-list overflowGuard*) @ (*opt-to-list stateAfter*) |
inputs-of-LoopEndNode:
inputs-of (*LoopEndNode loopBegin*) = [*loopBegin*] |
inputs-of-LoopExitNode:
inputs-of (*LoopExitNode loopBegin stateAfter next*) = *loopBegin* # (*opt-to-list stateAfter*) |
inputs-of-MergeNode:
inputs-of (*MergeNode ends stateAfter next*) = *ends* @ (*opt-to-list stateAfter*) |
inputs-of-MethodCallTargetNode:
inputs-of (*MethodCallTargetNode targetMethod arguments invoke-kind*) = *arguments* |
inputs-of-MulNode:
inputs-of (*MulNode x y*) = [*x, y*] |
inputs-of-NarrowNode:

inputs-of (*NarrowNode* *inputBits* *resultBits* *value*) = [*value*] |
inputs-of-NegateNode:
inputs-of (*NegateNode* *value*) = [*value*] |
inputs-of-NewArrayNode:
inputs-of (*NewArrayNode* *length0* *stateBefore* *next*) = *length0* # (*opt-to-list* *stateBefore*) |
inputs-of-NewInstanceNode:
inputs-of (*NewInstanceNode* *nid0* *instanceClass* *stateBefore* *next*) = (*opt-to-list* *stateBefore*) |
inputs-of-NotNode:
inputs-of (*NotNode* *value*) = [*value*] |
inputs-of-OrNode:
inputs-of (*OrNode* *x* *y*) = [*x*, *y*] |
inputs-of-ParameterNode:
inputs-of (*ParameterNode* *index*) = [] |
inputs-of-PiNode:
inputs-of (*PiNode* *object* *guard*) = *object* # (*opt-to-list* *guard*) |
inputs-of-ReturnNode:
inputs-of (*ReturnNode* *result* *memoryMap*) = (*opt-to-list* *result*) @ (*opt-to-list* *memoryMap*) |
inputs-of-ReverseBytesNode:
inputs-of (*ReverseBytesNode* *value*) = [*value*] |
inputs-of-RightShiftNode:
inputs-of (*RightShiftNode* *x* *y*) = [*x*, *y*] |
inputs-of-ShortCircuitOrNode:
inputs-of (*ShortCircuitOrNode* *x* *y*) = [*x*, *y*] |
inputs-of-SignExtendNode:
inputs-of (*SignExtendNode* *inputBits* *resultBits* *value*) = [*value*] |
inputs-of-SignedDivNode:
inputs-of (*SignedDivNode* *nid0* *x* *y* *zeroCheck* *stateBefore* *next*) = [*x*, *y*] @ (*opt-to-list* *zeroCheck*) @ (*opt-to-list* *stateBefore*) |
inputs-of-SignedFloatingIntegerDivNode:
inputs-of (*SignedFloatingIntegerDivNode* *x* *y*) = [*x*, *y*] |
inputs-of-SignedFloatingIntegerRemNode:
inputs-of (*SignedFloatingIntegerRemNode* *x* *y*) = [*x*, *y*] |
inputs-of-SignedRemNode:
inputs-of (*SignedRemNode* *nid0* *x* *y* *zeroCheck* *stateBefore* *next*) = [*x*, *y*] @ (*opt-to-list* *zeroCheck*) @ (*opt-to-list* *stateBefore*) |
inputs-of-StartNode:
inputs-of (*StartNode* *stateAfter* *next*) = (*opt-to-list* *stateAfter*) |
inputs-of-StoreFieldNode:
inputs-of (*StoreFieldNode* *nid0* *field* *value* *stateAfter* *object* *next*) = *value* # (*opt-to-list* *stateAfter*) @ (*opt-to-list* *object*) |
inputs-of-StoreIndexedNode:
inputs-of (*StoreIndexedNode* *check* *val* *st* *index* *guard* *array* *nid'*) = [*val*, *array*] |
inputs-of-SubNode:
inputs-of (*SubNode* *x* *y*) = [*x*, *y*] |
inputs-of-UnsignedRightShiftNode:
inputs-of (*UnsignedRightShiftNode* *x* *y*) = [*x*, *y*] |

inputs-of-UnwindNode:
inputs-of (UnwindNode exception) = [exception] |
inputs-of-ValuePhiNode:
inputs-of (ValuePhiNode nid0 values merge) = merge # values |
inputs-of-ValueProxyNode:
inputs-of (ValueProxyNode value loopExit) = [value, loopExit] |
inputs-of-XorNode:
inputs-of (XorNode x y) = [x, y] |
inputs-of-ZeroExtendNode:
inputs-of (ZeroExtendNode inputBits resultBits value) = [value] |
inputs-of-NoNode: inputs-of (NoNode) = [] |

inputs-of-RefNode: inputs-of (RefNode ref) = [ref]

fun *successors-of* :: *IRNode* \Rightarrow *ID list* **where**

successors-of-AbsNode:
successors-of (AbsNode value) = [] |
successors-of-AddNode:
successors-of (AddNode x y) = [] |
successors-of-AndNode:
successors-of (AndNode x y) = [] |
successors-of-ArrayLengthNode:
successors-of (ArrayLengthNode x next) = [next] |
successors-of-BeginNode:
successors-of (BeginNode next) = [next] |
successors-of-BitCountNode:
successors-of (BitCountNode value) = [] |
successors-of-BytecodeExceptionNode:
successors-of (BytecodeExceptionNode arguments stateAfter next) = [next] |
successors-of-ConditionalNode:
successors-of (ConditionalNode condition trueValue falseValue) = [] |
successors-of-ConstantNode:
successors-of (ConstantNode const) = [] |
successors-of-ControlFlowAnchorNode:
successors-of (ControlFlowAnchorNode next) = [next] |
successors-of-DynamicNewArrayNode:
successors-of (DynamicNewArrayNode elementType length0 voidClass stateBefore
next) = [next] |
successors-of-EndNode:
successors-of (EndNode) = [] |
successors-of-ExceptionObjectNode:
successors-of (ExceptionObjectNode stateAfter next) = [next] |
successors-of-FixedGuardNode:
successors-of (FixedGuardNode condition stateBefore next) = [next] |
successors-of-FrameState:
successors-of (FrameState monitorIds outerFrameState values virtualObjectMap-
pings) = [] |

successors-of-IfNode:
successors-of (IfNode condition trueSuccessor falseSuccessor) = [trueSuccessor, falseSuccessor] |
successors-of-IntegerBelowNode:
successors-of (IntegerBelowNode x y) = [] |
successors-of-IntegerEqualsNode:
successors-of (IntegerEqualsNode x y) = [] |
successors-of-IntegerLessThanNode:
successors-of (IntegerLessThanNode x y) = [] |
successors-of-IntegerMulHighNode:
successors-of (IntegerMulHighNode x y) = [] |
successors-of-IntegerNormalizeCompareNode:
successors-of (IntegerNormalizeCompareNode x y) = [] |
successors-of-IntegerTestNode:
successors-of (IntegerTestNode x y) = [] |
successors-of-InvokeNode:
successors-of (InvokeNode nid0 callTarget classInit stateDuring stateAfter next)
= [next] |
successors-of-InvokeWithExceptionNode:
successors-of (InvokeWithExceptionNode nid0 callTarget classInit stateDuring
stateAfter next exceptionEdge) = [next, exceptionEdge] |
successors-of-IsNullNode:
successors-of (IsNullNode value) = [] |
successors-of-KillingBeginNode:
successors-of (KillingBeginNode next) = [next] |
successors-of-LeftShiftNode:
successors-of (LeftShiftNode x y) = [] |
successors-of-LoadFieldNode:
successors-of (LoadFieldNode nid0 field object next) = [next] |
successors-of-LoadIndexedNode:
successors-of (LoadIndexedNode index guard x next) = [next] |
successors-of-LogicNegationNode:
successors-of (LogicNegationNode value) = [] |
successors-of-LoopBeginNode:
successors-of (LoopBeginNode ends overflowGuard stateAfter next) = [next] |
successors-of-LoopEndNode:
successors-of (LoopEndNode loopBegin) = [] |
successors-of-LoopExitNode:
successors-of (LoopExitNode loopBegin stateAfter next) = [next] |
successors-of-MergeNode:
successors-of (MergeNode ends stateAfter next) = [next] |
successors-of-MethodCallTargetNode:
successors-of (MethodCallTargetNode targetMethod arguments invoke-kind) = []
|
successors-of-MulNode:
successors-of (MulNode x y) = [] |
successors-of-NarrowNode:
successors-of (NarrowNode inputBits resultBits value) = [] |
successors-of-NegateNode:

successors-of (*NegateNode* *value*) = [] |
successors-of-NewArrayNode:
successors-of (*NewArrayNode* *length0* *stateBefore* *next*) = [*next*] |
successors-of-NewInstanceNode:
successors-of (*NewInstanceNode* *nid0* *instanceClass* *stateBefore* *next*) = [*next*] |
successors-of-NotNode:
successors-of (*NotNode* *value*) = [] |
successors-of-OrNode:
successors-of (*OrNode* *x* *y*) = [] |
successors-of-ParameterNode:
successors-of (*ParameterNode* *index*) = [] |
successors-of-PiNode:
successors-of (*PiNode* *object* *guard*) = [] |
successors-of-ReturnNode:
successors-of (*ReturnNode* *result* *memoryMap*) = [] |
successors-of-ReverseBytesNode:
successors-of (*ReverseBytesNode* *value*) = [] |
successors-of-RightShiftNode:
successors-of (*RightShiftNode* *x* *y*) = [] |
successors-of-ShortCircuitOrNode:
successors-of (*ShortCircuitOrNode* *x* *y*) = [] |
successors-of-SignExtendNode:
successors-of (*SignExtendNode* *inputBits* *resultBits* *value*) = [] |
successors-of-SignedDivNode:
successors-of (*SignedDivNode* *nid0* *x* *y* *zeroCheck* *stateBefore* *next*) = [*next*] |
successors-of-SignedFloatingIntegerDivNode:
successors-of (*SignedFloatingIntegerDivNode* *x* *y*) = [] |
successors-of-SignedFloatingIntegerRemNode:
successors-of (*SignedFloatingIntegerRemNode* *x* *y*) = [] |
successors-of-SignedRemNode:
successors-of (*SignedRemNode* *nid0* *x* *y* *zeroCheck* *stateBefore* *next*) = [*next*] |
successors-of-StartNode:
successors-of (*StartNode* *stateAfter* *next*) = [*next*] |
successors-of-StoreFieldNode:
successors-of (*StoreFieldNode* *nid0* *field* *value* *stateAfter* *object* *next*) = [*next*] |
successors-of-StoreIndexedNode:
successors-of (*StoreIndexedNode* *check* *val* *st* *index* *guard* *array* *next*) = [*next*] |
successors-of-SubNode:
successors-of (*SubNode* *x* *y*) = [] |
successors-of-UnsignedRightShiftNode:
successors-of (*UnsignedRightShiftNode* *x* *y*) = [] |
successors-of-UnwindNode:
successors-of (*UnwindNode* *exception*) = [] |
successors-of-ValuePhiNode:
successors-of (*ValuePhiNode* *nid0* *values* *merge*) = [] |
successors-of-ValueProxyNode:
successors-of (*ValueProxyNode* *value* *loopExit*) = [] |
successors-of-XorNode:
successors-of (*XorNode* *x* *y*) = [] |

successors-of-ZeroExtendNode:
successors-of (ZeroExtendNode inputBits resultBits value) = [] |
successors-of-NoNode: successors-of (NoNode) = [] |

successors-of-RefNode: successors-of (RefNode ref) = [ref]

lemma *inputs-of (FrameState x (Some y) (Some z) None) = x @ [y] @ z*
<proof>

lemma *successors-of (FrameState x (Some y) (Some z) None) = []*
<proof>

lemma *inputs-of (IfNode c t f) = [c]*
<proof>

lemma *successors-of (IfNode c t f) = [t, f]*
<proof>

lemma *inputs-of (EndNode) = [] ∧ successors-of (EndNode) = []*
<proof>

end

5.2 IR Graph Node Hierarchy

theory *IRNodeHierarchy*
imports *IRNodes*
begin

It is helpful to introduce a node hierarchy into our formalization. Often the GraalVM compiler relies on explicit type checks to determine which operations to perform on a given node, we try to mimic the same functionality by using a suite of predicate functions over the *IRNode* class to determine inheritance.

As one would expect, the function *is-ClassNameType* will be true if the node parameter is a subclass of the *ClassName* within the GraalVM compiler.

These functions have been automatically generated from the compiler.

fun *is-EndNode :: IRNode ⇒ bool* **where**
is-EndNode EndNode = True |
is-EndNode - = False

fun *is-VirtualState :: IRNode ⇒ bool* **where**
is-VirtualState n = ((is-FrameState n))

fun *is-BinaryArithmeticNode :: IRNode ⇒ bool* **where**

is-BinaryArithmeticNode *n* = ((*is-AddNode* *n*) ∨ (*is-AndNode* *n*) ∨ (*is-MulNode* *n*) ∨ (*is-OrNode* *n*) ∨ (*is-SubNode* *n*) ∨ (*is-XorNode* *n*) ∨ (*is-IntegerNormalizeCompareNode* *n*) ∨ (*is-IntegerMulHighNode* *n*))

fun *is-ShiftNode* :: *IRNode* ⇒ *bool* **where**
is-ShiftNode *n* = ((*is-LeftShiftNode* *n*) ∨ (*is-RightShiftNode* *n*) ∨ (*is-UnsignedRightShiftNode* *n*))

fun *is-BinaryNode* :: *IRNode* ⇒ *bool* **where**
is-BinaryNode *n* = ((*is-BinaryArithmeticNode* *n*) ∨ (*is-ShiftNode* *n*))

fun *is-AbstractLocalNode* :: *IRNode* ⇒ *bool* **where**
is-AbstractLocalNode *n* = ((*is-ParameterNode* *n*))

fun *is-IntegerConvertNode* :: *IRNode* ⇒ *bool* **where**
is-IntegerConvertNode *n* = ((*is-NarrowNode* *n*) ∨ (*is-SignExtendNode* *n*) ∨ (*is-ZeroExtendNode* *n*))

fun *is-UnaryArithmeticNode* :: *IRNode* ⇒ *bool* **where**
is-UnaryArithmeticNode *n* = ((*is-AbsNode* *n*) ∨ (*is-NegateNode* *n*) ∨ (*is-NotNode* *n*) ∨ (*is-BitCountNode* *n*) ∨ (*is-ReverseBytesNode* *n*))

fun *is-UnaryNode* :: *IRNode* ⇒ *bool* **where**
is-UnaryNode *n* = ((*is-IntegerConvertNode* *n*) ∨ (*is-UnaryArithmeticNode* *n*))

fun *is-PhiNode* :: *IRNode* ⇒ *bool* **where**
is-PhiNode *n* = ((*is-ValuePhiNode* *n*))

fun *is-FloatingGuardedNode* :: *IRNode* ⇒ *bool* **where**
is-FloatingGuardedNode *n* = ((*is-PiNode* *n*))

fun *is-UnaryOpLogicNode* :: *IRNode* ⇒ *bool* **where**
is-UnaryOpLogicNode *n* = ((*is-IsNullNode* *n*))

fun *is-IntegerLowerThanNode* :: *IRNode* ⇒ *bool* **where**
is-IntegerLowerThanNode *n* = ((*is-IntegerBelowNode* *n*) ∨ (*is-IntegerLessThanNode* *n*))

fun *is-CompareNode* :: *IRNode* ⇒ *bool* **where**
is-CompareNode *n* = ((*is-IntegerEqualsNode* *n*) ∨ (*is-IntegerLowerThanNode* *n*))

fun *is-BinaryOpLogicNode* :: *IRNode* ⇒ *bool* **where**
is-BinaryOpLogicNode *n* = ((*is-CompareNode* *n*) ∨ (*is-IntegerTestNode* *n*))

fun *is-LogicNode* :: *IRNode* ⇒ *bool* **where**
is-LogicNode *n* = ((*is-BinaryOpLogicNode* *n*) ∨ (*is-LogicNegationNode* *n*) ∨ (*is-ShortCircuitOrNode* *n*) ∨ (*is-UnaryOpLogicNode* *n*))

fun *is-ProxyNode* :: *IRNode* ⇒ *bool* **where**

```

is-ProxyNode n = ((is-ValueProxyNode n))

fun is-FloatingNode :: IRNode ⇒ bool where
  is-FloatingNode n = ((is-AbstractLocalNode n) ∨ (is-BinaryNode n) ∨ (is-ConditionalNode
n) ∨ (is-ConstantNode n) ∨ (is-FloatingGuardedNode n) ∨ (is-LogicNode n) ∨
(is-PhiNode n) ∨ (is-ProxyNode n) ∨ (is-UnaryNode n))

fun is-AccessFieldNode :: IRNode ⇒ bool where
  is-AccessFieldNode n = ((is-LoadFieldNode n) ∨ (is-StoreFieldNode n))

fun is-AbstractNewArrayNode :: IRNode ⇒ bool where
  is-AbstractNewArrayNode n = ((is-DynamicNewArrayNode n) ∨ (is-NewArrayNode
n))

fun is-AbstractNewObjectNode :: IRNode ⇒ bool where
  is-AbstractNewObjectNode n = ((is-AbstractNewArrayNode n) ∨ (is-NewInstanceNode
n))

fun is-AbstractFixedGuardNode :: IRNode ⇒ bool where
  is-AbstractFixedGuardNode n = (is-FixedGuardNode n)

fun is-IntegerDivRemNode :: IRNode ⇒ bool where
  is-IntegerDivRemNode n = ((is-SignedDivNode n) ∨ (is-SignedRemNode n))

fun is-FixedBinaryNode :: IRNode ⇒ bool where
  is-FixedBinaryNode n = (is-IntegerDivRemNode n)

fun is-DeoptimizingFixedWithNextNode :: IRNode ⇒ bool where
  is-DeoptimizingFixedWithNextNode n = ((is-AbstractNewObjectNode n) ∨ (is-FixedBinaryNode
n) ∨ (is-AbstractFixedGuardNode n))

fun is-AbstractMemoryCheckpoint :: IRNode ⇒ bool where
  is-AbstractMemoryCheckpoint n = ((is-BytecodeExceptionNode n) ∨ (is-InvokeNode
n))

fun is-AbstractStateSplit :: IRNode ⇒ bool where
  is-AbstractStateSplit n = ((is-AbstractMemoryCheckpoint n))

fun is-AbstractMergeNode :: IRNode ⇒ bool where
  is-AbstractMergeNode n = ((is-LoopBeginNode n) ∨ (is-MergeNode n))

fun is-BeginStateSplitNode :: IRNode ⇒ bool where
  is-BeginStateSplitNode n = ((is-AbstractMergeNode n) ∨ (is-ExceptionObjectNode
n) ∨ (is-LoopExitNode n) ∨ (is-StartNode n))

fun is-AbstractBeginNode :: IRNode ⇒ bool where
  is-AbstractBeginNode n = ((is-BeginNode n) ∨ (is-BeginStateSplitNode n) ∨
(is-KillingBeginNode n))

```



```

fun is-AccessArrayNode :: IRNode  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  is-AccessArrayNode n = ((is-LoadIndexedNode n)  $\vee$  (is-StoreIndexedNode n))

fun is-FixedWithNextNode :: IRNode  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  is-FixedWithNextNode n = ((is-AbstractBeginNode n)  $\vee$  (is-AbstractStateSplit n)
 $\vee$  (is-AccessFieldNode n)  $\vee$  (is-DeoptimizingFixedWithNextNode n)  $\vee$  (is-ControlFlowAnchorNode
n)  $\vee$  (is-ArrayLengthNode n)  $\vee$  (is-AccessArrayNode n))

fun is-WithExceptionNode :: IRNode  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  is-WithExceptionNode n = ((is-InvokeWithExceptionNode n))

fun is-ControlSplitNode :: IRNode  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  is-ControlSplitNode n = ((is-IfNode n)  $\vee$  (is-WithExceptionNode n))

fun is-ControlSinkNode :: IRNode  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  is-ControlSinkNode n = ((is-ReturnNode n)  $\vee$  (is-UnwindNode n))

fun is-AbstractEndNode :: IRNode  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  is-AbstractEndNode n = ((is-EndNode n)  $\vee$  (is-LoopEndNode n))

fun is-FixedNode :: IRNode  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  is-FixedNode n = ((is-AbstractEndNode n)  $\vee$  (is-ControlSinkNode n)  $\vee$  (is-ControlSplitNode
n)  $\vee$  (is-FixedWithNextNode n))

fun is-CallTargetNode :: IRNode  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  is-CallTargetNode n = ((is-MethodCallTargetNode n))

fun is-ValueNode :: IRNode  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  is-ValueNode n = ((is-CallTargetNode n)  $\vee$  (is-FixedNode n)  $\vee$  (is-FloatingNode
n))

fun is-Node :: IRNode  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  is-Node n = ((is-ValueNode n)  $\vee$  (is-VirtualState n))

fun is-MemoryKill :: IRNode  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  is-MemoryKill n = ((is-AbstractMemoryCheckpoint n))

fun is-NarrowableArithmeticNode :: IRNode  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  is-NarrowableArithmeticNode n = ((is-AbsNode n)  $\vee$  (is-AddNode n)  $\vee$  (is-AndNode
n)  $\vee$  (is-MulNode n)  $\vee$  (is-NegateNode n)  $\vee$  (is-NotNode n)  $\vee$  (is-OrNode n)  $\vee$ 
(is-ShiftNode n)  $\vee$  (is-SubNode n)  $\vee$  (is-XorNode n))

fun is-AnchoringNode :: IRNode  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  is-AnchoringNode n = ((is-AbstractBeginNode n))

fun is-DeoptBefore :: IRNode  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  is-DeoptBefore n = ((is-DeoptimizingFixedWithNextNode n))

fun is-IndirectCanonicalization :: IRNode  $\Rightarrow$  bool where

```

is-IndirectCanonicalization $n = ((is-LogicNode\ n))$

fun *is-IterableNodeType* :: *IRNode* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**
is-IterableNodeType $n = ((is-AbstractBeginNode\ n) \vee (is-AbstractMergeNode\ n) \vee$
(is-FrameState $n) \vee (is-IfNode\ n) \vee (is-IntegerDivRemNode\ n) \vee (is-InvokeWithExceptionNode$
 $n) \vee (is-LoopBeginNode\ n) \vee (is-LoopExitNode\ n) \vee (is-MethodCallTargetNode\ n)$
 $\vee (is-ParameterNode\ n) \vee (is-ReturnNode\ n) \vee (is-ShortCircuitOrNode\ n))$

fun *is-Invoke* :: *IRNode* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**
is-Invoke $n = ((is-InvokeNode\ n) \vee (is-InvokeWithExceptionNode\ n))$

fun *is-Proxy* :: *IRNode* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**
is-Proxy $n = ((is-ProxyNode\ n))$

fun *is-ValueProxy* :: *IRNode* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**
is-ValueProxy $n = ((is-PiNode\ n) \vee (is-ValueProxyNode\ n))$

fun *is-ValueNodeInterface* :: *IRNode* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**
is-ValueNodeInterface $n = ((is-ValueNode\ n))$

fun *is-ArrayLengthProvider* :: *IRNode* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**
is-ArrayLengthProvider $n = ((is-AbstractNewArrayNode\ n) \vee (is-ConstantNode$
 $n))$

fun *is-StampInverter* :: *IRNode* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**
is-StampInverter $n = ((is-IntegerConvertNode\ n) \vee (is-NegateNode\ n) \vee (is-NotNode$
 $n))$

fun *is-GuardingNode* :: *IRNode* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**
is-GuardingNode $n = ((is-AbstractBeginNode\ n))$

fun *is-SingleMemoryKill* :: *IRNode* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**
is-SingleMemoryKill $n = ((is-BytecodeExceptionNode\ n) \vee (is-ExceptionObjectNode$
 $n) \vee (is-InvokeNode\ n) \vee (is-InvokeWithExceptionNode\ n) \vee (is-KillingBeginNode$
 $n) \vee (is-StartNode\ n))$

fun *is-LIRLowerable* :: *IRNode* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**
is-LIRLowerable $n = ((is-AbstractBeginNode\ n) \vee (is-AbstractEndNode\ n) \vee$
(is-AbstractMergeNode $n) \vee (is-BinaryOpLogicNode\ n) \vee (is-CallTargetNode\ n) \vee$
(is-ConditionalNode $n) \vee (is-ConstantNode\ n) \vee (is-IfNode\ n) \vee (is-InvokeNode\ n)$
 $\vee (is-InvokeWithExceptionNode\ n) \vee (is-IsNullNode\ n) \vee (is-LoopBeginNode\ n) \vee$
 $(is-PiNode\ n) \vee (is-ReturnNode\ n) \vee (is-SignedDivNode\ n) \vee (is-SignedRemNode$
 $n) \vee (is-UnaryOpLogicNode\ n) \vee (is-UnwindNode\ n))$

fun *is-GuardedNode* :: *IRNode* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**
is-GuardedNode $n = ((is-FloatingGuardedNode\ n))$

fun *is-ArithmeticLIRLowerable* :: *IRNode* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**
is-ArithmeticLIRLowerable $n = ((is-AbsNode\ n) \vee (is-BinaryArithmeticNode\ n) \vee$

$(is-IntegerConvertNode\ n) \vee (is-NotNode\ n) \vee (is-ShiftNode\ n) \vee (is-UnaryArithmeticNode\ n))$

fun *is-SwitchFoldable* :: *IRNode* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**
is-SwitchFoldable *n* = ((*is-IfNode* *n*))

fun *is-VirtualizableAllocation* :: *IRNode* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**
is-VirtualizableAllocation *n* = ((*is-NewArrayNode* *n*) \vee (*is-NewInstanceNode* *n*))

fun *is-Unary* :: *IRNode* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**
is-Unary *n* = ((*is-LoadFieldNode* *n*) \vee (*is-LogicNegationNode* *n*) \vee (*is-UnaryNode* *n*) \vee (*is-UnaryOpLogicNode* *n*))

fun *is-FixedNodeInterface* :: *IRNode* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**
is-FixedNodeInterface *n* = ((*is-FixedNode* *n*))

fun *is-BinaryCommutative* :: *IRNode* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**
is-BinaryCommutative *n* = ((*is-AddNode* *n*) \vee (*is-AndNode* *n*) \vee (*is-IntegerEqualsNode* *n*) \vee (*is-MulNode* *n*) \vee (*is-OrNode* *n*) \vee (*is-XorNode* *n*))

fun *is-Canonicalizable* :: *IRNode* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**
is-Canonicalizable *n* = ((*is-BytecodeExceptionNode* *n*) \vee (*is-ConditionalNode* *n*) \vee (*is-DynamicNewArrayNode* *n*) \vee (*is-PhiNode* *n*) \vee (*is-PiNode* *n*) \vee (*is-ProxyNode* *n*) \vee (*is-StoreFieldNode* *n*) \vee (*is-ValueProxyNode* *n*))

fun *is-UncheckedInterfaceProvider* :: *IRNode* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**
is-UncheckedInterfaceProvider *n* = ((*is-InvokeNode* *n*) \vee (*is-InvokeWithExceptionNode* *n*) \vee (*is-LoadFieldNode* *n*) \vee (*is-ParameterNode* *n*))

fun *is-Binary* :: *IRNode* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**
is-Binary *n* = ((*is-BinaryArithmeticNode* *n*) \vee (*is-BinaryNode* *n*) \vee (*is-BinaryOpLogicNode* *n*) \vee (*is-CompareNode* *n*) \vee (*is-FixedBinaryNode* *n*) \vee (*is-ShortCircuitOrNode* *n*))

fun *is-ArithmeticOperation* :: *IRNode* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**
is-ArithmeticOperation *n* = ((*is-BinaryArithmeticNode* *n*) \vee (*is-IntegerConvertNode* *n*) \vee (*is-ShiftNode* *n*) \vee (*is-UnaryArithmeticNode* *n*))

fun *is-ValueNumberable* :: *IRNode* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**
is-ValueNumberable *n* = ((*is-FloatingNode* *n*) \vee (*is-ProxyNode* *n*))

fun *is-Lowerable* :: *IRNode* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**
is-Lowerable *n* = ((*is-AbstractNewObjectNode* *n*) \vee (*is-AccessFieldNode* *n*) \vee (*is-BytecodeExceptionNode* *n*) \vee (*is-ExceptionObjectNode* *n*) \vee (*is-IntegerDivRemNode* *n*) \vee (*is-UnwindNode* *n*))

fun *is-Virtualizable* :: *IRNode* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**
is-Virtualizable *n* = ((*is-IsNullNode* *n*) \vee (*is-LoadFieldNode* *n*) \vee (*is-PiNode* *n*) \vee (*is-StoreFieldNode* *n*) \vee (*is-ValueProxyNode* *n*))

```

fun is-Simplifiable :: IRNode  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  is-Simplifiable n = ((is-AbstractMergeNode n)  $\vee$  (is-BeginNode n)  $\vee$  (is-IfNode
n)  $\vee$  (is-LoopExitNode n)  $\vee$  (is-MethodCallTargetNode n)  $\vee$  (is-NewArrayNode n))

fun is-StateSplit :: IRNode  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  is-StateSplit n = ((is-AbstractStateSplit n)  $\vee$  (is-BeginStateSplitNode n)  $\vee$  (is-StoreFieldNode
n))

fun is-ConvertNode :: IRNode  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  is-ConvertNode n = ((is-IntegerConvertNode n))

fun is-sequential-node :: IRNode  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  is-sequential-node (StartNode -) = True |
  is-sequential-node (BeginNode -) = True |
  is-sequential-node (KillingBeginNode -) = True |
  is-sequential-node (LoopBeginNode - - -) = True |
  is-sequential-node (LoopExitNode - - -) = True |
  is-sequential-node (MergeNode - - -) = True |
  is-sequential-node (RefNode -) = True |
  is-sequential-node (ControlFlowAnchorNode -) = True |
  is-sequential-node - = False

```

The following convenience function is useful in determining if two IRNodes are of the same type irregardless of their edges. It will return true if both the node parameters are the same node class.

```

fun is-same-ir-node-type :: IRNode  $\Rightarrow$  IRNode  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
is-same-ir-node-type n1 n2 = (
  ((is-AbsNode n1)  $\wedge$  (is-AbsNode n2))  $\vee$ 
  ((is-AddNode n1)  $\wedge$  (is-AddNode n2))  $\vee$ 
  ((is-AndNode n1)  $\wedge$  (is-AndNode n2))  $\vee$ 
  ((is-BeginNode n1)  $\wedge$  (is-BeginNode n2))  $\vee$ 
  ((is-BytecodeExceptionNode n1)  $\wedge$  (is-BytecodeExceptionNode n2))  $\vee$ 
  ((is-ConditionalNode n1)  $\wedge$  (is-ConditionalNode n2))  $\vee$ 
  ((is-ConstantNode n1)  $\wedge$  (is-ConstantNode n2))  $\vee$ 
  ((is-DynamicNewArrayNode n1)  $\wedge$  (is-DynamicNewArrayNode n2))  $\vee$ 
  ((is-EndNode n1)  $\wedge$  (is-EndNode n2))  $\vee$ 
  ((is-ExceptionObjectNode n1)  $\wedge$  (is-ExceptionObjectNode n2))  $\vee$ 
  ((is-FrameState n1)  $\wedge$  (is-FrameState n2))  $\vee$ 
  ((is-IfNode n1)  $\wedge$  (is-IfNode n2))  $\vee$ 
  ((is-IntegerBelowNode n1)  $\wedge$  (is-IntegerBelowNode n2))  $\vee$ 
  ((is-IntegerEqualsNode n1)  $\wedge$  (is-IntegerEqualsNode n2))  $\vee$ 
  ((is-IntegerLessThanNode n1)  $\wedge$  (is-IntegerLessThanNode n2))  $\vee$ 
  ((is-InvokeNode n1)  $\wedge$  (is-InvokeNode n2))  $\vee$ 
  ((is-InvokeWithExceptionNode n1)  $\wedge$  (is-InvokeWithExceptionNode n2))  $\vee$ 
  ((is-IsNullNode n1)  $\wedge$  (is-IsNullNode n2))  $\vee$ 
  ((is-KillingBeginNode n1)  $\wedge$  (is-KillingBeginNode n2))  $\vee$ 
  ((is-LeftShiftNode n1)  $\wedge$  (is-LeftShiftNode n2))  $\vee$ 
  ((is-LoadFieldNode n1)  $\wedge$  (is-LoadFieldNode n2))  $\vee$ 

```

```

((is-LogicNegationNode n1) ∧ (is-LogicNegationNode n2)) ∨
((is-LoopBeginNode n1) ∧ (is-LoopBeginNode n2)) ∨
((is-LoopEndNode n1) ∧ (is-LoopEndNode n2)) ∨
((is-LoopExitNode n1) ∧ (is-LoopExitNode n2)) ∨
((is-MergeNode n1) ∧ (is-MergeNode n2)) ∨
((is-MethodCallTargetNode n1) ∧ (is-MethodCallTargetNode n2)) ∨
((is-MulNode n1) ∧ (is-MulNode n2)) ∨
((is-NarrowNode n1) ∧ (is-NarrowNode n2)) ∨
((is-NegateNode n1) ∧ (is-NegateNode n2)) ∨
((is-NewArrayNode n1) ∧ (is-NewArrayNode n2)) ∨
((is-NewInstanceNode n1) ∧ (is-NewInstanceNode n2)) ∨
((is-NotNode n1) ∧ (is-NotNode n2)) ∨
((is-OrNode n1) ∧ (is-OrNode n2)) ∨
((is-ParameterNode n1) ∧ (is-ParameterNode n2)) ∨
((is-PiNode n1) ∧ (is-PiNode n2)) ∨
((is-ReturnNode n1) ∧ (is-ReturnNode n2)) ∨
((is-RightShiftNode n1) ∧ (is-RightShiftNode n2)) ∨
((is-ShortCircuitOrNode n1) ∧ (is-ShortCircuitOrNode n2)) ∨
((is-SignedDivNode n1) ∧ (is-SignedDivNode n2)) ∨
((is-SignedFloatingIntegerDivNode n1) ∧ (is-SignedFloatingIntegerDivNode n2))
∨
((is-SignedFloatingIntegerRemNode n1) ∧ (is-SignedFloatingIntegerRemNode n2))
∨
((is-SignedRemNode n1) ∧ (is-SignedRemNode n2)) ∨
((is-SignExtendNode n1) ∧ (is-SignExtendNode n2)) ∨
((is-StartNode n1) ∧ (is-StartNode n2)) ∨
((is-StoreFieldNode n1) ∧ (is-StoreFieldNode n2)) ∨
((is-SubNode n1) ∧ (is-SubNode n2)) ∨
((is-UnsignedRightShiftNode n1) ∧ (is-UnsignedRightShiftNode n2)) ∨
((is-UnwindNode n1) ∧ (is-UnwindNode n2)) ∨
((is-ValuePhiNode n1) ∧ (is-ValuePhiNode n2)) ∨
((is-ValueProxyNode n1) ∧ (is-ValueProxyNode n2)) ∨
((is-XorNode n1) ∧ (is-XorNode n2)) ∨
((is-ZeroExtendNode n1) ∧ (is-ZeroExtendNode n2)))

```

end

5.3 IR Graph Type

```

theory IRGraph
  imports
    IRNodeHierarchy
    Stamp
    HOL-Library.FSet
    HOL.Relation
  begin

```

This theory defines the main Graal data structure - an entire IR Graph.

IRGraph is defined as a partial map with a finite domain. The finite domain

is required to be able to generate code and produce an interpreter.

```
typedef IRGraph = {g :: ID  $\rightarrow$  (IRNode  $\times$  Stamp) . finite (dom g)}
<proof>
```

```
setup-lifting type-definition-IRGraph
```

```
lift-definition ids :: IRGraph  $\Rightarrow$  ID set
is  $\lambda g. \{n_{id} \in \text{dom } g . \nexists s. g \text{ } n_{id} = (\text{Some } (\text{NoNode}, s))\}$  <proof>
```

```
fun with-default :: 'c  $\Rightarrow$  ('b  $\Rightarrow$  'c)  $\Rightarrow$  (('a  $\rightarrow$  'b)  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'c) where
with-default def conv = ( $\lambda m k.$ 
  (case m k of None  $\Rightarrow$  def | Some v  $\Rightarrow$  conv v))
```

```
lift-definition kind :: IRGraph  $\Rightarrow$  (ID  $\Rightarrow$  IRNode)
is with-default NoNode fst <proof>
```

```
lift-definition stamp :: IRGraph  $\Rightarrow$  ID  $\Rightarrow$  Stamp
is with-default IllegalStamp snd <proof>
```

```
lift-definition add-node :: ID  $\Rightarrow$  (IRNode  $\times$  Stamp)  $\Rightarrow$  IRGraph  $\Rightarrow$  IRGraph
is  $\lambda n_{id} k g. \text{if } \text{fst } k = \text{NoNode} \text{ then } g \text{ else } g(n_{id} \mapsto k)$  <proof>
```

```
lift-definition remove-node :: ID  $\Rightarrow$  IRGraph  $\Rightarrow$  IRGraph
is  $\lambda n_{id} g. g(n_{id} := \text{None})$  <proof>
```

```
lift-definition replace-node :: ID  $\Rightarrow$  (IRNode  $\times$  Stamp)  $\Rightarrow$  IRGraph  $\Rightarrow$  IRGraph
is  $\lambda n_{id} k g. \text{if } \text{fst } k = \text{NoNode} \text{ then } g \text{ else } g(n_{id} \mapsto k)$  <proof>
```

```
lift-definition as-list :: IRGraph  $\Rightarrow$  (ID  $\times$  IRNode  $\times$  Stamp) list
is  $\lambda g. \text{map } (\lambda k. (k, \text{the } (g \text{ } k))) (\text{sorted-list-of-set } (\text{dom } g))$  <proof>
```

```
fun no-node :: (ID  $\times$  (IRNode  $\times$  Stamp)) list  $\Rightarrow$  (ID  $\times$  (IRNode  $\times$  Stamp)) list
where
  no-node g = filter ( $\lambda n. \text{fst } (\text{snd } n) \neq \text{NoNode}$ ) g
```

```
lift-definition irgraph :: (ID  $\times$  (IRNode  $\times$  Stamp)) list  $\Rightarrow$  IRGraph
is map-of  $\circ$  no-node
<proof>
```

```
definition as-set :: IRGraph  $\Rightarrow$  (ID  $\times$  (IRNode  $\times$  Stamp)) set where
  as-set g =  $\{(n, \text{kind } g \text{ } n, \text{stamp } g \text{ } n) \mid n . n \in \text{ids } g\}$ 
```

```
definition true-ids :: IRGraph  $\Rightarrow$  ID set where
  true-ids g = ids g -  $\{n \in \text{ids } g. \exists n'. \text{kind } g \text{ } n = \text{RefNode } n'\}$ 
```

```
definition domain-subtraction :: 'a set  $\Rightarrow$  ('a  $\times$  'b) set  $\Rightarrow$  ('a  $\times$  'b) set
  (infix  $\leq 30$ ) where
  domain-subtraction s r =  $\{(x, y) . (x, y) \in r \wedge x \notin s\}$ 
```

notation (*latex*)

domain-subtraction ($- \triangleleft -$)

code-datatype *irgraph*

fun *filter-none* **where**

filter-none $g = \{nid \in dom\ g \mid \nexists s. g\ nid = (Some\ (NoNode,\ s))\}$

lemma *no-node-clears*:

$res = no-node\ xs \longrightarrow (\forall x \in set\ res. fst\ (snd\ x) \neq NoNode)$

<proof>

lemma *dom-eq*:

assumes $\forall x \in set\ xs. fst\ (snd\ x) \neq NoNode$

shows $filter-none\ (map-of\ xs) = dom\ (map-of\ xs)$

<proof>

lemma *fil-eq*:

$filter-none\ (map-of\ (no-node\ xs)) = set\ (map\ fst\ (no-node\ xs))$

<proof>

lemma *irgraph[code]*: $ids\ (irgraph\ m) = set\ (map\ fst\ (no-node\ m))$

<proof>

lemma *[code]*: $Rep-IRGraph\ (irgraph\ m) = map-of\ (no-node\ m)$

<proof>

fun *inputs* :: $IRGraph \Rightarrow ID \Rightarrow ID\ set$ **where**

inputs $g\ nid = set\ (inputs-of\ (kind\ g\ nid))$

— Get the successor set of a given node ID

fun *succ* :: $IRGraph \Rightarrow ID \Rightarrow ID\ set$ **where**

succ $g\ nid = set\ (successors-of\ (kind\ g\ nid))$

— Gives a relation between node IDs - between a node and its input nodes

fun *input-edges* :: $IRGraph \Rightarrow ID\ rel$ **where**

input-edges $g = (\bigcup i \in ids\ g. \{(i,j) \mid j \in (inputs\ g\ i)\})$

— Find all the nodes in the graph that have nid as an input - the usages of nid

fun *usages* :: $IRGraph \Rightarrow ID \Rightarrow ID\ set$ **where**

usages $g\ nid = \{i. i \in ids\ g \wedge nid \in inputs\ g\ i\}$

fun *successor-edges* :: $IRGraph \Rightarrow ID\ rel$ **where**

successor-edges $g = (\bigcup i \in ids\ g. \{(i,j) \mid j \in (succ\ g\ i)\})$

fun *predecessors* :: $IRGraph \Rightarrow ID \Rightarrow ID\ set$ **where**

predecessors $g\ nid = \{i. i \in ids\ g \wedge nid \in succ\ g\ i\}$

fun *nodes-of* :: $IRGraph \Rightarrow (IRNode \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow ID\ set$ **where**

nodes-of $g\ sel = \{nid \in ids\ g \mid sel\ (kind\ g\ nid)\}$

fun *edge* :: $(IRNode \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow ID \Rightarrow IRGraph \Rightarrow 'a$ **where**

edge $sel\ nid\ g = sel\ (kind\ g\ nid)$

fun *filtered-inputs* :: $IRGraph \Rightarrow ID \Rightarrow (IRNode \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow ID\ list$ **where**

filtered-inputs $g\ nid\ f = filter\ (f \circ (kind\ g))\ (inputs-of\ (kind\ g\ nid))$

fun *filtered-successors* :: *IRGraph* \Rightarrow *ID* \Rightarrow (*IRNode* \Rightarrow *bool*) \Rightarrow *ID list* **where**
filtered-successors *g* *nid* *f* = *filter* (*f* \circ (*kind* *g*)) (*successors-of* (*kind* *g* *nid*))
fun *filtered-usages* :: *IRGraph* \Rightarrow *ID* \Rightarrow (*IRNode* \Rightarrow *bool*) \Rightarrow *ID set* **where**
filtered-usages *g* *nid* *f* = {*n* \in (*usages* *g* *nid*). *f* (*kind* *g* *n*)}

fun *is-empty* :: *IRGraph* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**
is-empty *g* = (*ids* *g* = {})

fun *any-usage* :: *IRGraph* \Rightarrow *ID* \Rightarrow *ID* **where**
any-usage *g* *nid* = *hd* (*sorted-list-of-set* (*usages* *g* *nid*))

lemma *ids-some[simp]*: $x \in \text{ids } g \longleftrightarrow \text{kind } g \ x \neq \text{NoNode}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *not-in-g*:
assumes *nid* \notin *ids* *g*
shows *kind* *g* *nid* = *NoNode*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *valid-creation[simp]*:
 $\text{finite } (\text{dom } g) \longleftrightarrow \text{Rep-IRGraph } (\text{Abs-IRGraph } g) = g$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *[simp]*: $\text{finite } (\text{ids } g)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *[simp]*: $\text{finite } (\text{ids } (\text{irgraph } g))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *[simp]*: $\text{finite } (\text{dom } g) \longrightarrow \text{ids } (\text{Abs-IRGraph } g) = \{ \text{nid} \in \text{dom } g . \nexists s. g \ \text{nid} = \text{Some } (\text{NoNode}, s) \}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *[simp]*: $\text{finite } (\text{dom } g) \longrightarrow \text{kind } (\text{Abs-IRGraph } g) = (\lambda x . (\text{case } g \ x \text{ of } \text{None} \Rightarrow \text{NoNode} \mid \text{Some } n \Rightarrow \text{fst } n))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *[simp]*: $\text{finite } (\text{dom } g) \longrightarrow \text{stamp } (\text{Abs-IRGraph } g) = (\lambda x . (\text{case } g \ x \text{ of } \text{None} \Rightarrow \text{IllegalStamp} \mid \text{Some } n \Rightarrow \text{snd } n))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *[simp]*: $\text{ids } (\text{irgraph } g) = \text{set } (\text{map } \text{fst } (\text{no-node } g))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *[simp]*: $\text{kind } (\text{irgraph } g) = (\lambda \text{nid}. (\text{case } (\text{map-of } (\text{no-node } g)) \ \text{nid} \text{ of } \text{None} \Rightarrow \text{NoNode} \mid \text{Some } n \Rightarrow \text{fst } n))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *[simp]*: $\text{stamp } (\text{irgraph } g) = (\lambda \text{nid}. (\text{case } (\text{map-of } (\text{no-node } g)) \ \text{nid} \text{ of } \text{None}$

$\Rightarrow \text{IllegalStamp} \mid \text{Some } n \Rightarrow \text{snd } n))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *map-of-upd*: $(\text{map-of } g)(k \mapsto v) = (\text{map-of } ((k, v) \# g))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *[code]: replace-node* $\text{nid } k (\text{irgraph } g) = (\text{irgraph } ((\text{nid}, k) \# g))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *[code]: add-node* $\text{nid } k (\text{irgraph } g) = (\text{irgraph } (((\text{nid}, k) \# g)))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *add-node-lookup*:
 $\text{gup} = \text{add-node } \text{nid } (k, s) \text{ } g \longrightarrow$
 $(\text{if } k \neq \text{NoNode} \text{ then } \text{kind } \text{gup } \text{nid} = k \wedge \text{stamp } \text{gup } \text{nid} = s \text{ else } \text{kind } \text{gup } \text{nid}$
 $= \text{kind } g \text{ } \text{nid})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *remove-node-lookup*:
 $\text{gup} = \text{remove-node } \text{nid } g \longrightarrow \text{kind } \text{gup } \text{nid} = \text{NoNode} \wedge \text{stamp } \text{gup } \text{nid} =$
 IllegalStamp
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *replace-node-lookup[simp]*:
 $\text{gup} = \text{replace-node } \text{nid } (k, s) \text{ } g \wedge k \neq \text{NoNode} \longrightarrow \text{kind } \text{gup } \text{nid} = k \wedge \text{stamp}$
 $\text{gup } \text{nid} = s$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *replace-node-unchanged*:
 $\text{gup} = \text{replace-node } \text{nid } (k, s) \text{ } g \longrightarrow (\forall n \in (\text{ids } g - \{\text{nid}\}) . n \in \text{ids } g \wedge n \in \text{ids}$
 $\text{gup} \wedge \text{kind } g \text{ } n = \text{kind } \text{gup } n)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

5.3.1 Example Graphs

Example 1: empty graph (just a start and end node)

definition *start-end-graph*:: *IRGraph* **where**
 $\text{start-end-graph} = \text{irgraph } [(0, \text{StartNode } \text{None } 1, \text{VoidStamp}), (1, \text{ReturnNode}$
 $\text{None } \text{None}, \text{VoidStamp})]$

Example 2: public static int sq(int x) return x * x;
 $[1 \text{ P}(0)] \text{ } / [0 \text{ Start}] [4 *] \mid / \text{V} / [5 \text{ Return}]$

definition *eg2-sq* :: *IRGraph* **where**
 $\text{eg2-sq} = \text{irgraph } [$
 $(0, \text{StartNode } \text{None } 5, \text{VoidStamp}),$
 $(1, \text{ParameterNode } 0, \text{default-stamp}),$
 $(4, \text{MulNode } 1 \text{ } 1, \text{default-stamp}),$

```

    (5, ReturnNode (Some 4) None, default-stamp)
  ]

```

```

value input-edges eg2-sq
value usages eg2-sq 1

end

```

5.4 Structural Graph Comparison

```

theory
  Comparison
imports
  IRGraph
begin

```

We introduce a form of structural graph comparison that is able to assert structural equivalence of graphs which differ in zero or more reference node chains for any given nodes.

```

fun find-ref-nodes :: IRGraph  $\Rightarrow$  (ID  $\rightarrow$  ID) where
  find-ref-nodes g = map-of
    (map ( $\lambda n. (n, ir-ref (kind g n))$ ) (filter ( $\lambda id. is-RefNode (kind g id)$ ) (sorted-list-of-set
      (ids g))))

```

```

fun replace-ref-nodes :: IRGraph  $\Rightarrow$  (ID  $\rightarrow$  ID)  $\Rightarrow$  ID list  $\Rightarrow$  ID list where
  replace-ref-nodes g m xs = map ( $\lambda id. (case (m id) of Some other \Rightarrow other \mid None \Rightarrow id)$ ) xs

```

```

fun find-next :: ID list  $\Rightarrow$  ID set  $\Rightarrow$  ID option where
  find-next to-see seen = (let l = (filter ( $\lambda nid. nid \notin seen$ ) to-see)
    in (case l of []  $\Rightarrow$  None  $\mid$  xs  $\Rightarrow$  Some (hd xs)))

```

```

inductive reachables :: IRGraph  $\Rightarrow$  ID list  $\Rightarrow$  ID set  $\Rightarrow$  ID set  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  reachables g [] {} {} |
  [[None = find-next to-see seen]  $\implies$  reachables g to-see seen seen |
  [Some n = find-next to-see seen;
   node = kind g n;
   new = (inputs-of node) @ (successors-of node);
   reachables g (to-see @ new) ({n}  $\cup$  seen) seen']  $\implies$  reachables g to-see seen
  seen'

```

```

code-pred (modes: i  $\Rightarrow$  i  $\Rightarrow$  i  $\Rightarrow$  o  $\Rightarrow$  bool) [show-steps, show-mode-inference, show-intermediate-results]

reachables <proof>

```

```

inductive nodeEq :: (ID  $\rightarrow$  ID)  $\Rightarrow$  IRGraph  $\Rightarrow$  ID  $\Rightarrow$  IRGraph  $\Rightarrow$  ID  $\Rightarrow$  bool

```

where

```

[[ kind g1 n1 = RefNode ref; nodeEq m g1 ref g2 n2 ]] ==> nodeEq m g1 n1 g2 n2 |
[[ x = kind g1 n1;
   y = kind g2 n2;
   is-same-ir-node-type x y;
   replace-ref-nodes g1 m (successors-of x) = successors-of y;
   replace-ref-nodes g1 m (inputs-of x) = inputs-of y ]]
==> nodeEq m g1 n1 g2 n2

```

code-pred [show-modes] nodeEq <proof>

fun diffNodesGraph :: IRGraph => IRGraph => ID set **where**

```

diffNodesGraph g1 g2 = (let refNodes = find-ref-nodes g1 in
  { n . n ∈ Predicate.the (reachables-i-i-i-o g1 [0] {}) ∧ (case refNodes n of Some
    - => False | - => True) ∧ ¬(nodeEq refNodes g1 n g2 n)})

```

fun diffNodesInfo :: IRGraph => IRGraph => (ID × IRNode × IRNode) set (**infix** \cap_s 20)

where

```

diffNodesInfo g1 g2 = {(nid, kind g1 nid, kind g2 nid) | nid . nid ∈ diffNodesGraph
  g1 g2}

```

fun eqGraph :: IRGraph => IRGraph => bool (**infix** \approx_s 20)

where

```

eqGraph isabelle-graph graal-graph = ((diffNodesGraph isabelle-graph graal-graph)
  = {})

```

end

5.5 Control-flow Graph Traversal

theory

Traversal

imports

IRGraph

begin

type-synonym Seen = ID set

nextEdge helps determine which node to traverse next by returning the first successor edge that isn't in the set of already visited nodes. If there is not an appropriate successor, None is returned instead.

fun nextEdge :: Seen => ID => IRGraph => ID option **where**

```

nextEdge seen nid g =
  (let nids = (filter (λnid'. nid' ∉ seen) (successors-of (kind g nid))) in
   (if length nids > 0 then Some (hd nids) else None))

```

pred determines which node, if any, acts as the predecessor of another.

Merge nodes represent a special case where-in the predecessor exists as an input edge of the merge node, to simplify the traversal we treat only the first input end node as the predecessor, ignoring that multiple nodes may act as a successor.

For all other nodes, the predecessor is the first element of the predecessors set. Note that in a well-formed graph there should only be one element in the predecessor set.

```
fun pred :: IRGraph ⇒ ID ⇒ ID option where
  pred g nid = (case kind g nid of
    (MergeNode ends -) ⇒ Some (hd ends) |
    - ⇒
      (if IRGraph.predecessors g nid = {}
        then None else
        Some (hd (sorted-list-of-set (IRGraph.predecessors g nid)))
      )
  )
```

Here we try to implement a generic fork of the control-flow traversal algorithm that was initially implemented for the ConditionalElimination phase

type-synonym 'a TraversalState = (ID × Seen × 'a)

inductive Step

```
:: ('a TraversalState ⇒ 'a) ⇒ IRGraph ⇒ 'a TraversalState ⇒ 'a TraversalState
option ⇒ bool
```

for sa g **where**

— Hit a BeginNode with an IfNode predecessor which represents the start of a basic block for the IfNode. 1. nid' will be the successor of the begin node. 2. Find the first and only predecessor. 3. Extract condition from the preceding IfNode. 4. Negate condition if the begin node is second branch (we've taken the else branch of the condition) 5. Add the condition or the negated condition to stack 6. Perform any stamp updates based on the condition using the registerNewCondition function and place them on the top of the stack of stamp information

```
[[kind g nid = BeginNode nid';
```

```
  nid ∉ seen;
  seen' = {nid} ∪ seen;
```

```
  Some ifcond = pred g nid;
  kind g ifcond = IfNode cond t f;
```

```
  analysis' = sa (nid, seen, analysis)]
⇒⇒ Step sa g (nid, seen, analysis) (Some (nid', seen', analysis')) |
```

— Hit an EndNode 1. nid' will be the usage of EndNode 2. pop the conditions and stamp stack

```
[[kind g nid = EndNode;
```

```
  nid ∉ seen;
```

```

    seen' = {nid} ∪ seen;

    nid' = any-usage g nid;

    analysis' = sa (nid, seen, analysis)
    ⇒ Step sa g (nid, seen, analysis) (Some (nid', seen', analysis')) |

— We can find a successor edge that is not in seen, go there
[[¬(is-EndNode (kind g nid));
  ¬(is-BEGINNode (kind g nid));

  nid ∉ seen;
  seen' = {nid} ∪ seen;

  Some nid' = nextEdge seen' nid g;

  analysis' = sa (nid, seen, analysis)
  ⇒ Step sa g (nid, seen, analysis) (Some (nid', seen', analysis')) |

— We cannot find a successor edge that is not in seen, give back None
[[¬(is-EndNode (kind g nid));
  ¬(is-BEGINNode (kind g nid));

  nid ∉ seen;
  seen' = {nid} ∪ seen;

  None = nextEdge seen' nid g
  ⇒ Step sa g (nid, seen, analysis) None |

— We've already seen this node, give back None
[[nid ∈ seen] ⇒ Step sa g (nid, seen, analysis) None

code-pred (modes: i ⇒ i ⇒ i ⇒ o ⇒ bool) Step ⟨proof⟩

end

```

6 Data-flow Semantics

```

theory IRTreeEval
  imports
    Graph.Stamp
  begin

```

We define a tree representation of data-flow nodes, as an abstraction of the graph view.

Data-flow trees are evaluated in the context of a method state (currently called MapState in the theories for historical reasons).

The method state consists of the values for each method parameter, ref-

erences to method parameters use an index of the parameter within the parameter list, as such we store a list of parameter values which are looked up at parameter references.

The method state also stores a mapping of node ids to values. The contents of this mapping is calculated during the traversal of the control flow graph.

As a concrete example, as the *SignedDivNode::'a* can have side-effects (during division by zero), it is treated as part of the control-flow, since the data-flow phase is specified to be side-effect free. As a result, the control-flow semantics for *SignedDivNode::'a* calculates the value of a node and maps the node identifier to the value within the method state. The data-flow semantics then just reads the value stored in the method state for the node.

```
type-synonym ID = nat
type-synonym MapState = ID  $\Rightarrow$  Value
type-synonym Params = Value list
```

```
definition new-map-state :: MapState where
  new-map-state = ( $\lambda x$ . UndefVal)
```

6.1 Data-flow Tree Representation

```
datatype IRUnaryOp =
  UnaryAbs
| UnaryNeg
| UnaryNot
| UnaryLogicNegation
| UnaryNarrow (ir-inputBits: nat) (ir-resultBits: nat)
| UnarySignExtend (ir-inputBits: nat) (ir-resultBits: nat)
| UnaryZeroExtend (ir-inputBits: nat) (ir-resultBits: nat)
| UnaryIsNull
| UnaryReverseBytes
| UnaryBitCount
```

```
datatype IRBinaryOp =
  BinAdd
| BinSub
| BinMul
| BinDiv
| BinMod
| BinAnd
| BinOr
| BinXor
| BinShortCircuitOr
| BinLeftShift
| BinRightShift
| BinURightShift
| BinIntegerEquals
| BinIntegerLessThan
```

```

| BinIntegerBelow
| BinIntegerTest
| BinIntegerNormalizeCompare
| BinIntegerMulHigh

datatype (discs-sels) IRExpr =
  UnaryExpr (ir-uop: IRUnaryOp) (ir-value: IRExpr)
| BinaryExpr (ir-op: IRBinaryOp) (ir-x: IRExpr) (ir-y: IRExpr)
| ConditionalExpr (ir-condition: IRExpr) (ir-trueValue: IRExpr) (ir-falseValue:
IRExpr)

| ParameterExpr (ir-index: nat) (ir-stamp: Stamp)

| LeafExpr (ir-nid: ID) (ir-stamp: Stamp)

| ConstantExpr (ir-const: Value)
| ConstantVar (ir-name: String.literal)
| VariableExpr (ir-name: String.literal) (ir-stamp: Stamp)

fun is-ground :: IRExpr  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  is-ground (UnaryExpr op e) = is-ground e |
  is-ground (BinaryExpr op e1 e2) = (is-ground e1  $\wedge$  is-ground e2) |
  is-ground (ConditionalExpr b e1 e2) = (is-ground b  $\wedge$  is-ground e1  $\wedge$  is-ground
e2) |
  is-ground (ParameterExpr i s) = True |
  is-ground (LeafExpr n s) = True |
  is-ground (ConstantExpr v) = True |
  is-ground (ConstantVar name) = False |
  is-ground (VariableExpr name s) = False

typedef GroundExpr = { e :: IRExpr . is-ground e }
  (proof)

```

6.2 Functions for re-calculating stamps

Note: in Java all integer calculations are done as 32 or 64 bit calculations. However, here we generalise the operators to allow any size calculations. Many operators have the same output bits as their inputs. However, the unary integer operators that are not *normal_unary* are narrowing or widening operators, so the result bits is specified by the operator. The binary integer operators are divided into three groups: (1) *binary_fixed_32* operators always output 32 bits, (2) *binary_shift_ops* operators output size is determined by their left argument, and (3) other operators output the same number of bits as both their inputs.

abbreviation *binary-normal* :: *IRBinaryOp* **set where**

binary-normal \equiv {*BinAdd*, *BinMul*, *BinDiv*, *BinMod*, *BinSub*, *BinAnd*, *BinOr*, *BinXor*}

abbreviation *binary-fixed-32-ops* :: *IRBinaryOp* set **where**

binary-fixed-32-ops $\equiv \{BinShortCircuitOr, BinIntegerEquals, BinIntegerLessThan, BinIntegerBelow, BinIntegerTest, BinIntegerNormalizeCompare\}$

abbreviation *binary-shift-ops* :: *IRBinaryOp* set **where**

binary-shift-ops $\equiv \{BinLeftShift, BinRightShift, BinURightShift\}$

abbreviation *binary-fixed-ops* :: *IRBinaryOp* set **where**

binary-fixed-ops $\equiv \{BinIntegerMulHigh\}$

abbreviation *normal-unary* :: *IRUnaryOp* set **where**

normal-unary $\equiv \{UnaryAbs, UnaryNeg, UnaryNot, UnaryLogicNegation, UnaryReverseBytes\}$

abbreviation *unary-fixed-32-ops* :: *IRUnaryOp* set **where**

unary-fixed-32-ops $\equiv \{UnaryBitCount\}$

abbreviation *boolean-unary* :: *IRUnaryOp* set **where**

boolean-unary $\equiv \{UnaryIsNull\}$

lemma *binary-ops-all*:

shows $op \in \text{binary-normal} \vee op \in \text{binary-fixed-32-ops} \vee op \in \text{binary-fixed-ops} \vee op \in \text{binary-shift-ops}$
<proof>

lemma *binary-ops-distinct-normal*:

shows $op \in \text{binary-normal} \implies op \notin \text{binary-fixed-32-ops} \wedge op \notin \text{binary-fixed-ops} \wedge op \notin \text{binary-shift-ops}$
<proof>

lemma *binary-ops-distinct-fixed-32*:

shows $op \in \text{binary-fixed-32-ops} \implies op \notin \text{binary-normal} \wedge op \notin \text{binary-fixed-ops} \wedge op \notin \text{binary-shift-ops}$
<proof>

lemma *binary-ops-distinct-fixed*:

shows $op \in \text{binary-fixed-ops} \implies op \notin \text{binary-fixed-32-ops} \wedge op \notin \text{binary-normal} \wedge op \notin \text{binary-shift-ops}$
<proof>

lemma *binary-ops-distinct-shift*:

shows $op \in \text{binary-shift-ops} \implies op \notin \text{binary-fixed-32-ops} \wedge op \notin \text{binary-fixed-ops} \wedge op \notin \text{binary-normal}$

<proof>

lemma *unary-ops-distinct*:

shows $op \in \text{normal-unary} \implies op \notin \text{boolean-unary} \wedge op \notin \text{unary-fixed-32-ops}$
and $op \in \text{boolean-unary} \implies op \notin \text{normal-unary} \wedge op \notin \text{unary-fixed-32-ops}$
and $op \in \text{unary-fixed-32-ops} \implies op \notin \text{boolean-unary} \wedge op \notin \text{normal-unary}$
<proof>

fun *stamp-unary* :: *IRUnaryOp* \Rightarrow *Stamp* \Rightarrow *Stamp* **where**

stamp-unary *UnaryIsNull* - = (*IntegerStamp* 32 0 1) |
stamp-unary *op* (*IntegerStamp* *b* *lo* *hi*) =
 unrestricted-stamp (*IntegerStamp*
 (if *op* \in *normal-unary* then *b* else
 if *op* \in *boolean-unary* then 32 else
 if *op* \in *unary-fixed-32-ops* then 32 else
 (*ir-resultBits* *op*)) *lo* *hi*) |

stamp-unary *op* - = *IllegalStamp*

fun *stamp-binary* :: *IRBinaryOp* \Rightarrow *Stamp* \Rightarrow *Stamp* \Rightarrow *Stamp* **where**

stamp-binary *op* (*IntegerStamp* *b1* *lo1* *hi1*) (*IntegerStamp* *b2* *lo2* *hi2*) =
 (if *op* \in *binary-shift-ops* then *unrestricted-stamp* (*IntegerStamp* *b1* *lo1* *hi1*)
 else if *b1* \neq *b2* then *IllegalStamp* else
 (if *op* \in *binary-fixed-32-ops*
 then *unrestricted-stamp* (*IntegerStamp* 32 *lo1* *hi1*)
 else *unrestricted-stamp* (*IntegerStamp* *b1* *lo1* *hi1*))) |

stamp-binary *op* - - = *IllegalStamp*

fun *stamp-expr* :: *IRExpr* \Rightarrow *Stamp* **where**

stamp-expr (*UnaryExpr* *op* *x*) = *stamp-unary* *op* (*stamp-expr* *x*) |
stamp-expr (*BinaryExpr* *bop* *x* *y*) = *stamp-binary* *bop* (*stamp-expr* *x*) (*stamp-expr* *y*) |
stamp-expr (*ConstantExpr* *val*) = *constantAsStamp* *val* |
stamp-expr (*LeafExpr* *i* *s*) = *s* |
stamp-expr (*ParameterExpr* *i* *s*) = *s* |
stamp-expr (*ConditionalExpr* *c* *t* *f*) = *meet* (*stamp-expr* *t*) (*stamp-expr* *f*)

export-code *stamp-unary stamp-binary stamp-expr*

6.3 Data-flow Tree Evaluation

fun *unary-eval* :: *IRUnaryOp* \Rightarrow *Value* \Rightarrow *Value* **where**

unary-eval *UnaryAbs* *v* = *intval-abs* *v* |
unary-eval *UnaryNeg* *v* = *intval-negate* *v* |
unary-eval *UnaryNot* *v* = *intval-not* *v* |
unary-eval *UnaryLogicNegation* *v* = *intval-logic-negation* *v* |

```

    unary-eval (UnaryNarrow inBits outBits) v = intval-narrow inBits outBits v |
    unary-eval (UnarySignExtend inBits outBits) v = intval-sign-extend inBits outBits
v |
    unary-eval (UnaryZeroExtend inBits outBits) v = intval-zero-extend inBits outBits
v |
    unary-eval UnaryIsNull v = intval-is-null v |
    unary-eval UnaryReverseBytes v = intval-reverse-bytes v |
    unary-eval UnaryBitCount v = intval-bit-count v

```

fun bin-eval :: IRBinaryOp ⇒ Value ⇒ Value ⇒ Value **where**

```

    bin-eval BinAdd v1 v2 = intval-add v1 v2 |
    bin-eval BinSub v1 v2 = intval-sub v1 v2 |
    bin-eval BinMul v1 v2 = intval-mul v1 v2 |
    bin-eval BinDiv v1 v2 = intval-div v1 v2 |
    bin-eval BinMod v1 v2 = intval-mod v1 v2 |
    bin-eval BinAnd v1 v2 = intval-and v1 v2 |
    bin-eval BinOr v1 v2 = intval-or v1 v2 |
    bin-eval BinXor v1 v2 = intval-xor v1 v2 |
    bin-eval BinShortCircuitOr v1 v2 = intval-short-circuit-or v1 v2 |
    bin-eval BinLeftShift v1 v2 = intval-left-shift v1 v2 |
    bin-eval BinRightShift v1 v2 = intval-right-shift v1 v2 |
    bin-eval BinURightShift v1 v2 = intval-uright-shift v1 v2 |
    bin-eval BinIntegerEquals v1 v2 = intval-equals v1 v2 |
    bin-eval BinIntegerLessThan v1 v2 = intval-less-than v1 v2 |
    bin-eval BinIntegerBelow v1 v2 = intval-below v1 v2 |
    bin-eval BinIntegerTest v1 v2 = intval-test v1 v2 |
    bin-eval BinIntegerNormalizeCompare v1 v2 = intval-normalize-compare v1 v2 |
    bin-eval BinIntegerMulHigh v1 v2 = intval-mul-high v1 v2

```

lemma defined-eval-is-intval:

shows bin-eval op x y ≠_UNDEFVal ⇒ (is_IntVal x ∧ is_IntVal y)
 (proof)

lemmas eval-thms =

```

    intval-abs.simps intval-negate.simps intval-not.simps
    intval-logic-negation.simps intval-narrow.simps
    intval-sign-extend.simps intval-zero-extend.simps
    intval-add.simps intval-mul.simps intval-sub.simps
    intval-and.simps intval-or.simps intval-xor.simps
    intval-left-shift.simps intval-right-shift.simps
    intval-uright-shift.simps intval-equals.simps
    intval-less-than.simps intval-below.simps

```

inductive not-undef-or-fail :: Value ⇒ Value ⇒ bool **where**

[[value ≠_UNDEFVal]] ⇒ not-undef-or-fail value value

notation (latex output)

not-undef-or-fail ($- = -$)

inductive

evaltree :: *MapState* \Rightarrow *Params* \Rightarrow *IRExpr* \Rightarrow *Value* \Rightarrow *bool* ($[-,-] \vdash - \mapsto -$ 55)

for *m p* **where**

ConstantExpr:

$\llbracket \text{wf-value } c \rrbracket$
 $\implies [m,p] \vdash (\text{ConstantExpr } c) \mapsto c \mid$

ParameterExpr:

$\llbracket i < \text{length } p; \text{valid-value } (p!i) \ s \rrbracket$
 $\implies [m,p] \vdash (\text{ParameterExpr } i \ s) \mapsto p!i \mid$

ConditionalExpr:

$\llbracket [m,p] \vdash ce \mapsto \text{cond};$
 $\text{cond} \neq \text{UndefVal};$
 $\text{branch} = (\text{if val-to-bool cond then te else fe});$
 $[m,p] \vdash \text{branch} \mapsto \text{result};$
 $\text{result} \neq \text{UndefVal};$
 $[m,p] \vdash \text{te} \mapsto \text{true}; \text{true} \neq \text{UndefVal};$
 $[m,p] \vdash \text{fe} \mapsto \text{false}; \text{false} \neq \text{UndefVal} \rrbracket$
 $\implies [m,p] \vdash (\text{ConditionalExpr } ce \ te \ fe) \mapsto \text{result} \mid$

UnaryExpr:

$\llbracket [m,p] \vdash xe \mapsto x;$
 $\text{result} = (\text{unary-eval op } x);$
 $\text{result} \neq \text{UndefVal} \rrbracket$
 $\implies [m,p] \vdash (\text{UnaryExpr op } xe) \mapsto \text{result} \mid$

BinaryExpr:

$\llbracket [m,p] \vdash xe \mapsto x;$
 $[m,p] \vdash ye \mapsto y;$
 $\text{result} = (\text{bin-eval op } x \ y);$
 $\text{result} \neq \text{UndefVal} \rrbracket$
 $\implies [m,p] \vdash (\text{BinaryExpr op } xe \ ye) \mapsto \text{result} \mid$

LeafExpr:

$\llbracket \text{val} = m \ n;$
 $\text{valid-value val } s \rrbracket$
 $\implies [m,p] \vdash \text{LeafExpr } n \ s \mapsto \text{val}$

code-pred (*modes*: $i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ as *evalT*)

$[\text{show-steps}, \text{show-mode-inference}, \text{show-intermediate-results}]$
evaltree $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

inductive

```

    evaltrees :: MapState ⇒ Params ⇒ IRExp list ⇒ Value list ⇒ bool ([-,] ⊢ - ⊢L
- 55)
    for m p where

    EvalNil:
    [m,p] ⊢ [] ⊢L [] |

    EvalCons:
    [[m,p] ⊢ x ⊢ xval;
     [m,p] ⊢ yy ⊢L yyval]
    ⇒ [m,p] ⊢ (x#yy) ⊢L (xval#yyval)

code-pred (modes: i ⇒ i ⇒ i ⇒ o ⇒ bool as evalTs)
    evaltrees ⟨proof⟩

definition sq-param0 :: IRExp where
    sq-param0 = BinaryExpr BinMul
      (ParameterExpr 0 (IntegerStamp 32 (- 2147483648) 2147483647))
      (ParameterExpr 0 (IntegerStamp 32 (- 2147483648) 2147483647))

values {v. evaltree new-map-state [IntVal 32 5] sq-param0 v}

declare evaltree.intros [intro]
declare evaltrees.intros [intro]

```

6.4 Data-flow Tree Refinement

We define the induced semantic equivalence relation between expressions. Note that syntactic equality implies semantic equivalence, but not vice versa.

definition *equiv-exprs* :: IRExp ⇒ IRExp ⇒ bool (- ≐ - 55) **where**
 (e1 ≐ e2) = (∀ m p v. ([m,p] ⊢ e1 ⊢ v) ⟷ ([m,p] ⊢ e2 ⊢ v))

We also prove that this is a total equivalence relation (*equivp equiv-exprs*) (HOL.Equiv_Relations), so that we can reuse standard results about equivalence relations.

lemma *equivp equiv-exprs*
 ⟨proof⟩

We define a refinement ordering over IRExp and show that it is a preorder. Note that it is asymmetric because e2 may refer to fewer variables than e1.

instantiation IRExp :: preorder **begin**

notation *less-eq* (**infix** ⊑ 65)

definition

le-expr-def [simp]:
 $(e_2 \leq e_1) \longleftrightarrow (\forall m p v. (([m,p] \vdash e_1 \mapsto v) \longrightarrow ([m,p] \vdash e_2 \mapsto v)))$

definition

lt-expr-def [simp]:
 $(e_1 < e_2) \longleftrightarrow (e_1 \leq e_2 \wedge \neg (e_1 \dot{=} e_2))$

instance $\langle proof \rangle$

end

abbreviation (**output**) *Refines* :: *IRExpr* \Rightarrow *IRExpr* \Rightarrow *bool* (**infix** \sqsupseteq 64)
 where $e_1 \sqsupseteq e_2 \equiv (e_2 \leq e_1)$

6.5 Stamp Masks

A stamp can contain additional range information in the form of masks. A stamp has an up mask and a down mask, corresponding to the bits that may be set and the bits that must be set.

Examples: A stamp where no range information is known will have; an up mask of -1 as all bits may be set, and a down mask of 0 as no bits must be set.

A stamp known to be one should have; an up mask of 1 as only the first bit may be set, no others, and a down mask of 1 as the first bit must be set and no others.

We currently don't carry mask information in stamps, and instead assume correct masks to prove optimizations.

locale *stamp-mask* =
 fixes *up* :: *IRExpr* \Rightarrow *int64* (\uparrow)
 fixes *down* :: *IRExpr* \Rightarrow *int64* (\downarrow)
 assumes *up-spec*: $[m, p] \vdash e \mapsto \text{IntVal } b \ v \implies (\text{and } v \ (\text{not } ((\text{ucast } (\uparrow e)))) = 0$
 and *down-spec*: $[m, p] \vdash e \mapsto \text{IntVal } b \ v \implies (\text{and } (\text{not } v) \ (\text{ucast } (\downarrow e))) = 0$
begin

lemma *may-implies-either*:

$[m, p] \vdash e \mapsto \text{IntVal } b \ v \implies \text{bit } (\uparrow e) \ n \implies \text{bit } v \ n = \text{False} \vee \text{bit } v \ n = \text{True}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *not-may-implies-false*:

$[m, p] \vdash e \mapsto \text{IntVal } b \ v \implies \neg(\text{bit } (\uparrow e) \ n) \implies \text{bit } v \ n = \text{False}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *must-implies-true*:

$[m, p] \vdash e \mapsto \text{IntVal } b \ v \implies \text{bit } (\downarrow e) \ n \implies \text{bit } v \ n = \text{True}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *not-must-implies-either*:

$[m, p] \vdash e \mapsto \text{IntVal } b \ v \implies \neg(\text{bit } (\downarrow e) \ n) \implies \text{bit } v \ n = \text{False} \vee \text{bit } v \ n = \text{True}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *must-implies-may*:

$[m, p] \vdash e \mapsto \text{IntVal } b \ v \implies n < 32 \implies \text{bit } (\downarrow e) \ n \implies \text{bit } (\uparrow e) \ n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *up-mask-and-zero-implies-zero*:

assumes *and* $(\uparrow x) (\uparrow y) = 0$
assumes $[m, p] \vdash x \mapsto \text{IntVal } b \ xv$
assumes $[m, p] \vdash y \mapsto \text{IntVal } b \ yv$
shows *and* $xv \ yv = 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *not-down-up-mask-and-zero-implies-zero*:

assumes *and* $(\text{not } (\downarrow x)) (\uparrow y) = 0$
assumes $[m, p] \vdash x \mapsto \text{IntVal } b \ xv$
assumes $[m, p] \vdash y \mapsto \text{IntVal } b \ yv$
shows *and* $xv \ yv = yv$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

definition *IRExpr-up* :: *IRExpr* \Rightarrow *int64* **where**

IRExpr-up *e* = *not* 0

definition *IRExpr-down* :: *IRExpr* \Rightarrow *int64* **where**

IRExpr-down *e* = 0

lemma *ucast-zero*: $(\text{ucast } (0::\text{int64})::\text{int32}) = 0$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ucast-minus-one*: $(\text{ucast } (-1::\text{int64})::\text{int32}) = -1$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

interpretation *simple-mask*: *stamp-mask*

IRExpr-up :: *IRExpr* \Rightarrow *int64*

IRExpr-down :: *IRExpr* \Rightarrow *int64*

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

6.6 Data-flow Tree Theorems

theory *IRTreeEvalThms*

imports

Graph.ValueThms

IRTreeEval

begin

6.6.1 Deterministic Data-flow Evaluation

lemma *evalDet*:

$$\begin{aligned} [m,p] \vdash e \mapsto v_1 &\implies \\ [m,p] \vdash e \mapsto v_2 &\implies \\ v_1 = v_2 & \\ \langle proof \rangle \end{aligned}$$

lemma *evalAllDet*:

$$\begin{aligned} [m,p] \vdash e \mapsto_L v1 &\implies \\ [m,p] \vdash e \mapsto_L v2 &\implies \\ v1 = v2 & \\ \langle proof \rangle \end{aligned}$$

6.6.2 Typing Properties for Integer Evaluation Functions

We use three simple typing properties on integer values: *isIntVal32*, *isIntVal64* and the more general *isIntVal*.

lemma *unary-eval-not-obj-ref*:

shows *unary-eval op x* \neq *ObjRef v*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *unary-eval-not-obj-str*:

shows *unary-eval op x* \neq *ObjStr v*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *unary-eval-not-array*:

shows *unary-eval op x* \neq *ArrayVal len v*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *unary-eval-int*:

assumes *unary-eval op x* \neq *UndefVal*
shows *is-IntVal* (*unary-eval op x*)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bin-eval-int*:

assumes *bin-eval op x y* \neq *UndefVal*
shows *is-IntVal* (*bin-eval op x y*)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *IntVal0*:

(IntVal 32 0) = *(new-int 32 0)*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *IntVal1*:

(IntVal 32 1) = (new-int 32 1)
<proof>

lemma *bin-eval-new-int*:

assumes *bin-eval op x y ≠ UndefVal*
shows $\exists b v. (bin-eval\ op\ x\ y) = new-int\ b\ v \wedge$
 $b = (if\ op \in binary-fixed-32-ops\ then\ 32\ else\ intval-bits\ x)$
<proof>

lemma *int-stamp*:

assumes *is-IntVal v*
shows *is-IntegerStamp (constantAsStamp v)*
<proof>

lemma *validStampIntConst*:

assumes *v = IntVal b ival*
assumes $0 < b \wedge b \leq 64$
shows *valid-stamp (constantAsStamp v)*
<proof>

lemma *validDefIntConst*:

assumes *v: v = IntVal b ival*
assumes $0 < b \wedge b \leq 64$
assumes *take-bit b ival = ival*
shows *valid-value v (constantAsStamp v)*
<proof>

6.6.3 Evaluation Results are Valid

A valid value cannot be *UndefVal*.

lemma *valid-not-undef*:

assumes *valid-value val s*
assumes *s ≠ VoidStamp*
shows *val ≠ UndefVal*
<proof>

lemma *valid-VoidStamp[elim]*:

shows *valid-value val VoidStamp \implies val = UndefVal*
<proof>

lemma *valid-ObjStamp[elim]*:

shows *valid-value val (ObjectStamp klass exact nonNull alwaysNull) \implies ($\exists v. val$*
= ObjRef v)
<proof>

lemma *valid-int[elim]*:
shows *valid-value val (IntegerStamp b lo hi) $\implies (\exists v. \text{val} = \text{IntVal } b \ v)$*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas *valid-value-elim* =
valid-VoidStamp
valid-ObjStamp
valid-int

lemma *evaltree-not-undef*:
fixes *m p e v*
shows $([m, p] \vdash e \mapsto v) \implies v \neq \text{UndefVal}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *leafint*:
assumes $[m, p] \vdash \text{LeafExpr } i \ (\text{IntegerStamp } b \ lo \ hi) \mapsto \text{val}$
shows $\exists b \ v. \text{val} = (\text{IntVal } b \ v)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *default-stamp [simp]*: *default-stamp = IntegerStamp 32 (-2147483648) 2147483647*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *valid-value-signed-int-range [simp]*:
assumes *valid-value val (IntegerStamp b lo hi)*
assumes *lo < 0*
shows $\exists v. (\text{val} = \text{IntVal } b \ v \wedge$
 $\quad \text{lo} \leq \text{int-signed-value } b \ v \wedge$
 $\quad \text{int-signed-value } b \ v \leq \text{hi})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

6.6.4 Example Data-flow Optimisations

6.6.5 Monotonicity of Expression Refinement

We prove that each subexpression position is monotonic. That is, optimizing a subexpression anywhere deep inside a top-level expression also optimizes that top-level expression.

Note that we might also be able to do this via reusing Isabelle's *mono* operator (HOL.Orderings theory), proving instantiations like *mono(UnaryExpr op)*, but it is not obvious how to do this for both arguments of the binary expressions.

lemma *mono-unary*:
assumes $x \geq x'$
shows $(\text{UnaryExpr } op \ x) \geq (\text{UnaryExpr } op \ x')$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *mono-binary*:

assumes $x \geq x'$

assumes $y \geq y'$

shows $(BinaryExpr\ op\ x\ y) \geq (BinaryExpr\ op\ x'\ y')$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *never-void*:

assumes $[m, p] \vdash x \mapsto xv$

assumes *valid-value* xv (*stamp-expr* xe)

shows *stamp-expr* $xe \neq VoidStamp$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *compatible-trans*:

compatible $x\ y \wedge$ *compatible* $y\ z \implies$ *compatible* $x\ z$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *compatible-refl*:

compatible $x\ y \implies$ *compatible* $y\ x$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *mono-conditional*:

assumes $c \geq c'$

assumes $t \geq t'$

assumes $f \geq f'$

shows $(ConditionalExpr\ c\ t\ f) \geq (ConditionalExpr\ c'\ t'\ f')$

$\langle proof \rangle$

6.7 Unfolding rules for evaltree quadruples down to bin-eval level

These rewrite rules can be useful when proving optimizations. They support top-down rewriting of each level of the tree into the lower-level *bin_eval* / *unary_eval* level, simply by saying *unfoldingunfold_evaltree*.

lemma *unfold-const*:

$([m, p] \vdash ConstantExpr\ c \mapsto v) = (wf_value\ v \wedge v = c)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *unfold-binary*:

shows $([m, p] \vdash BinaryExpr\ op\ xe\ ye \mapsto val) = (\exists\ x\ y.$

$(([m, p] \vdash xe \mapsto x) \wedge$

$$\begin{aligned}
& ([m,p] \vdash ye \mapsto y) \wedge \\
& (val = \text{bin-eval } op \ x \ y) \wedge \\
& (val \neq \text{UndefVal}) \\
&)) \text{ (is ?L = ?R)} \\
\langle proof \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

lemma *unfold-unary*:
shows $([m,p] \vdash \text{UnaryExpr } op \ xe \mapsto val)$
 $= (\exists x.$

$$\begin{aligned}
& ([m,p] \vdash xe \mapsto x) \wedge \\
& (val = \text{unary-eval } op \ x) \wedge \\
& (val \neq \text{UndefVal}) \\
&)) \text{ (is ?L = ?R)}
\end{aligned}$$
 \rangle
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *unfold-evaltree* =
unfold-binary
unfold-unary

6.8 Lemmas about *new_int* and integer eval results.

lemma *unary-eval-new-int*:
assumes *def: unary-eval op x ≠ UndefVal*
shows $\exists b \ v. (\text{unary-eval } op \ x = \text{new-int } b \ v \wedge$

$$\begin{aligned}
& b = (\text{if } op \in \text{normal-unary} \quad \text{then } \text{intval-bits } x \ \text{else} \\
& \quad \text{if } op \in \text{boolean-unary} \quad \text{then } 32 \quad \text{else} \\
& \quad \text{if } op \in \text{unary-fixed-32-ops} \text{ then } 32 \quad \text{else} \\
& \quad \text{ir-resultBits } op))
\end{aligned}$$
 \rangle
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *new-int-unused-bits-zero*:
assumes *IntVal b ival = new-int b ival0*
shows *take-bit b ival = ival*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *unary-eval-unused-bits-zero*:
assumes *unary-eval op x = IntVal b ival*
shows *take-bit b ival = ival*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bin-eval-unused-bits-zero*:
assumes *bin-eval op x y = (IntVal b ival)*
shows *take-bit b ival = ival*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *eval-unused-bits-zero*:

$[m,p] \vdash xe \mapsto (\text{IntVal } b \text{ } ix) \implies \text{take-bit } b \text{ } ix = ix$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *unary-normal-bitsize*:
assumes *unary-eval* $op \ x = \text{IntVal } b \text{ } ival$
assumes $op \in \text{normal-unary}$
shows $\exists \text{ } ix. x = \text{IntVal } b \text{ } ix$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *unary-not-normal-bitsize*:
assumes *unary-eval* $op \ x = \text{IntVal } b \text{ } ival$
assumes $op \notin \text{normal-unary} \wedge op \notin \text{boolean-unary} \wedge op \notin \text{unary-fixed-32-ops}$
shows $b = \text{ir-resultBits } op \wedge 0 < b \wedge b \leq 64$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *unary-eval-bitsize*:
assumes *unary-eval* $op \ x = \text{IntVal } b \text{ } ival$
assumes $2: x = \text{IntVal } bx \text{ } ix$
assumes $0 < bx \wedge bx \leq 64$
shows $0 < b \wedge b \leq 64$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *bin-eval-inputs-are-ints*:
assumes *bin-eval* $op \ x \ y = \text{IntVal } b \text{ } ix$
obtains $xb \text{ } yb \text{ } xi \text{ } yi$ **where** $x = \text{IntVal } xb \text{ } xi \wedge y = \text{IntVal } yb \text{ } yi$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *eval-bits-1-64*:
 $[m,p] \vdash xe \mapsto (\text{IntVal } b \text{ } ix) \implies 0 < b \wedge b \leq 64$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *bin-eval-normal-bits*:
assumes $op \in \text{binary-normal}$
assumes *bin-eval* $op \ x \ y = xy$
assumes $xy \neq \text{UndefVal}$
shows $\exists xv \text{ } yv \text{ } xyv \text{ } b. (x = \text{IntVal } b \text{ } xv \wedge y = \text{IntVal } b \text{ } yv \wedge xy = \text{IntVal } b \text{ } xyv)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *unfold-binary-width-bin-normal*:
assumes $op \in \text{binary-normal}$
shows $\bigwedge xv \text{ } yv.$
 $\text{IntVal } b \text{ } val = \text{bin-eval } op \ xv \text{ } yv \implies$
 $[m,p] \vdash xe \mapsto xv \implies$
 $[m,p] \vdash ye \mapsto yv \implies$
 $\text{bin-eval } op \ xv \text{ } yv \neq \text{UndefVal} \implies$
 $\exists xa.$

```

      (([m,p] ⊢ xe ↦ IntVal b xa) ∧
       (∃ ya. ([m,p] ⊢ ye ↦ IntVal b ya) ∧
        bin-eval op xv yv = bin-eval op (IntVal b xa) (IntVal b ya))))
    ⟨proof⟩

```

lemma *unfold-binary-width:*

```

assumes op ∈ binary-normal
shows ([m,p] ⊢ BinaryExpr op xe ye ↦ IntVal b val) = (∃ x y.
  ([m,p] ⊢ xe ↦ IntVal b x) ∧
  ([m,p] ⊢ ye ↦ IntVal b y) ∧
  (IntVal b val = bin-eval op (IntVal b x) (IntVal b y)) ∧
  (IntVal b val ≠ UndefVal)
) (is ?L = ?R)
⟨proof⟩

```

end

7 Tree to Graph

theory *TreeToGraph*

imports

Semantics.IRTreeEval

Graph.IRGraph

begin

7.1 Subgraph to Data-flow Tree

```

fun find-node-and-stamp :: IRGraph ⇒ (IRNode × Stamp) ⇒ ID option where
  find-node-and-stamp g (n,s) =
    find (λi. kind g i = n ∧ stamp g i = s) (sorted-list-of-set(ids g))

```

export-code *find-node-and-stamp*

fun *is-preevaluated* :: IRNode ⇒ bool **where**

```

  is-preevaluated (InvokeNode n - - - -) = True |
  is-preevaluated (InvokeWithExceptionNode n - - - -) = True |
  is-preevaluated (NewInstanceNode n - -) = True |
  is-preevaluated (LoadFieldNode n - -) = True |
  is-preevaluated (SignedDivNode n - - - -) = True |
  is-preevaluated (SignedRemNode n - - - -) = True |
  is-preevaluated (ValuePhiNode n -) = True |
  is-preevaluated (BytecodeExceptionNode n -) = True |
  is-preevaluated (NewArrayNode n -) = True |
  is-preevaluated (ArrayLengthNode n -) = True |
  is-preevaluated (LoadIndexedNode n - -) = True |
  is-preevaluated (StoreIndexedNode n - - - -) = True |
  is-preevaluated - = False

```

inductive

$rep :: IRGraph \Rightarrow ID \Rightarrow IRExpr \Rightarrow bool \ (- \vdash - \simeq - \ 55)$

for g **where**

ConstantNode:

$\llbracket kind \ g \ n = ConstantNode \ c \rrbracket$
 $\implies g \vdash n \simeq (ConstantExpr \ c) \mid$

ParameterNode:

$\llbracket kind \ g \ n = ParameterNode \ i;$
 $\quad stamp \ g \ n = s \rrbracket$
 $\implies g \vdash n \simeq (ParameterExpr \ i \ s) \mid$

ConditionalNode:

$\llbracket kind \ g \ n = ConditionalNode \ c \ t \ f;$
 $\quad g \vdash c \simeq ce;$
 $\quad g \vdash t \simeq te;$
 $\quad g \vdash f \simeq fe \rrbracket$
 $\implies g \vdash n \simeq (ConditionalExpr \ ce \ te \ fe) \mid$

AbsNode:

$\llbracket kind \ g \ n = AbsNode \ x;$
 $\quad g \vdash x \simeq xe \rrbracket$
 $\implies g \vdash n \simeq (UnaryExpr \ UnaryAbs \ xe) \mid$

ReverseBytesNode:

$\llbracket kind \ g \ n = ReverseBytesNode \ x;$
 $\quad g \vdash x \simeq xe \rrbracket$
 $\implies g \vdash n \simeq (UnaryExpr \ UnaryReverseBytes \ xe) \mid$

BitCountNode:

$\llbracket kind \ g \ n = BitCountNode \ x;$
 $\quad g \vdash x \simeq xe \rrbracket$
 $\implies g \vdash n \simeq (UnaryExpr \ UnaryBitCount \ xe) \mid$

NotNode:

$\llbracket kind \ g \ n = NotNode \ x;$
 $\quad g \vdash x \simeq xe \rrbracket$
 $\implies g \vdash n \simeq (UnaryExpr \ UnaryNot \ xe) \mid$

NegateNode:

$\llbracket kind \ g \ n = NegateNode \ x;$
 $\quad g \vdash x \simeq xe \rrbracket$
 $\implies g \vdash n \simeq (UnaryExpr \ UnaryNeg \ xe) \mid$

LogicNegationNode:

$\llbracket kind \ g \ n = LogicNegationNode \ x;$
 $\quad g \vdash x \simeq xe \rrbracket$

$$\implies g \vdash n \simeq (\text{UnaryExpr } \text{UnaryLogicNegation } xe) \mid$$

AddNode:

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket \text{kind } g \ n = \text{AddNode } x \ y; \\ & \quad g \vdash x \simeq xe; \\ & \quad g \vdash y \simeq ye \rrbracket \\ & \implies g \vdash n \simeq (\text{BinaryExpr } \text{BinAdd } xe \ ye) \mid \end{aligned}$$

MulNode:

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket \text{kind } g \ n = \text{MulNode } x \ y; \\ & \quad g \vdash x \simeq xe; \\ & \quad g \vdash y \simeq ye \rrbracket \\ & \implies g \vdash n \simeq (\text{BinaryExpr } \text{BinMul } xe \ ye) \mid \end{aligned}$$

DivNode:

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket \text{kind } g \ n = \text{SignedFloatingIntegerDivNode } x \ y; \\ & \quad g \vdash x \simeq xe; \\ & \quad g \vdash y \simeq ye \rrbracket \\ & \implies g \vdash n \simeq (\text{BinaryExpr } \text{BinDiv } xe \ ye) \mid \end{aligned}$$

ModNode:

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket \text{kind } g \ n = \text{SignedFloatingIntegerRemNode } x \ y; \\ & \quad g \vdash x \simeq xe; \\ & \quad g \vdash y \simeq ye \rrbracket \\ & \implies g \vdash n \simeq (\text{BinaryExpr } \text{BinMod } xe \ ye) \mid \end{aligned}$$

SubNode:

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket \text{kind } g \ n = \text{SubNode } x \ y; \\ & \quad g \vdash x \simeq xe; \\ & \quad g \vdash y \simeq ye \rrbracket \\ & \implies g \vdash n \simeq (\text{BinaryExpr } \text{BinSub } xe \ ye) \mid \end{aligned}$$

AndNode:

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket \text{kind } g \ n = \text{AndNode } x \ y; \\ & \quad g \vdash x \simeq xe; \\ & \quad g \vdash y \simeq ye \rrbracket \\ & \implies g \vdash n \simeq (\text{BinaryExpr } \text{BinAnd } xe \ ye) \mid \end{aligned}$$

OrNode:

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket \text{kind } g \ n = \text{OrNode } x \ y; \\ & \quad g \vdash x \simeq xe; \\ & \quad g \vdash y \simeq ye \rrbracket \\ & \implies g \vdash n \simeq (\text{BinaryExpr } \text{BinOr } xe \ ye) \mid \end{aligned}$$

XorNode:

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket \text{kind } g \ n = \text{XorNode } x \ y; \\ & \quad g \vdash x \simeq xe; \\ & \quad g \vdash y \simeq ye \rrbracket \end{aligned}$$

$$\implies g \vdash n \simeq (\text{BinaryExpr BinXor } xe \ ye) \mid$$

ShortCircuitOrNode:

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket \text{kind } g \ n = \text{ShortCircuitOrNode } x \ y; \\ & \quad g \vdash x \simeq xe; \\ & \quad g \vdash y \simeq ye \rrbracket \\ & \implies g \vdash n \simeq (\text{BinaryExpr BinShortCircuitOr } xe \ ye) \mid \end{aligned}$$

LeftShiftNode:

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket \text{kind } g \ n = \text{LeftShiftNode } x \ y; \\ & \quad g \vdash x \simeq xe; \\ & \quad g \vdash y \simeq ye \rrbracket \\ & \implies g \vdash n \simeq (\text{BinaryExpr BinLeftShift } xe \ ye) \mid \end{aligned}$$

RightShiftNode:

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket \text{kind } g \ n = \text{RightShiftNode } x \ y; \\ & \quad g \vdash x \simeq xe; \\ & \quad g \vdash y \simeq ye \rrbracket \\ & \implies g \vdash n \simeq (\text{BinaryExpr BinRightShift } xe \ ye) \mid \end{aligned}$$

UnsignedRightShiftNode:

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket \text{kind } g \ n = \text{UnsignedRightShiftNode } x \ y; \\ & \quad g \vdash x \simeq xe; \\ & \quad g \vdash y \simeq ye \rrbracket \\ & \implies g \vdash n \simeq (\text{BinaryExpr BinURightShift } xe \ ye) \mid \end{aligned}$$

IntegerBelowNode:

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket \text{kind } g \ n = \text{IntegerBelowNode } x \ y; \\ & \quad g \vdash x \simeq xe; \\ & \quad g \vdash y \simeq ye \rrbracket \\ & \implies g \vdash n \simeq (\text{BinaryExpr BinIntegerBelow } xe \ ye) \mid \end{aligned}$$

IntegerEqualsNode:

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket \text{kind } g \ n = \text{IntegerEqualsNode } x \ y; \\ & \quad g \vdash x \simeq xe; \\ & \quad g \vdash y \simeq ye \rrbracket \\ & \implies g \vdash n \simeq (\text{BinaryExpr BinIntegerEquals } xe \ ye) \mid \end{aligned}$$

IntegerLessThanNode:

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket \text{kind } g \ n = \text{IntegerLessThanNode } x \ y; \\ & \quad g \vdash x \simeq xe; \\ & \quad g \vdash y \simeq ye \rrbracket \\ & \implies g \vdash n \simeq (\text{BinaryExpr BinIntegerLessThan } xe \ ye) \mid \end{aligned}$$

IntegerTestNode:

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket \text{kind } g \ n = \text{IntegerTestNode } x \ y; \\ & \quad g \vdash x \simeq xe; \\ & \quad g \vdash y \simeq ye \rrbracket \\ & \implies g \vdash n \simeq (\text{BinaryExpr BinIntegerTest } xe \ ye) \mid \end{aligned}$$

IntegerNormalizeCompareNode:

$\llbracket \text{kind } g \ n = \text{IntegerNormalizeCompareNode } x \ y;$
 $g \vdash x \simeq xe;$
 $g \vdash y \simeq ye \rrbracket$
 $\implies g \vdash n \simeq (\text{BinaryExpr } \text{BinIntegerNormalizeCompare } xe \ ye) \mid$

IntegerMulHighNode:

$\llbracket \text{kind } g \ n = \text{IntegerMulHighNode } x \ y;$
 $g \vdash x \simeq xe;$
 $g \vdash y \simeq ye \rrbracket$
 $\implies g \vdash n \simeq (\text{BinaryExpr } \text{BinIntegerMulHigh } xe \ ye) \mid$

NarrowNode:

$\llbracket \text{kind } g \ n = \text{NarrowNode } \text{inputBits } \text{resultBits } x;$
 $g \vdash x \simeq xe \rrbracket$
 $\implies g \vdash n \simeq (\text{UnaryExpr } (\text{UnaryNarrow } \text{inputBits } \text{resultBits}) \ xe) \mid$

SignExtendNode:

$\llbracket \text{kind } g \ n = \text{SignExtendNode } \text{inputBits } \text{resultBits } x;$
 $g \vdash x \simeq xe \rrbracket$
 $\implies g \vdash n \simeq (\text{UnaryExpr } (\text{UnarySignExtend } \text{inputBits } \text{resultBits}) \ xe) \mid$

ZeroExtendNode:

$\llbracket \text{kind } g \ n = \text{ZeroExtendNode } \text{inputBits } \text{resultBits } x;$
 $g \vdash x \simeq xe \rrbracket$
 $\implies g \vdash n \simeq (\text{UnaryExpr } (\text{UnaryZeroExtend } \text{inputBits } \text{resultBits}) \ xe) \mid$

LeafNode:

$\llbracket \text{is-preevaluated } (\text{kind } g \ n);$
 $\text{stamp } g \ n = s \rrbracket$
 $\implies g \vdash n \simeq (\text{LeafExpr } n \ s) \mid$

PiNode:

$\llbracket \text{kind } g \ n = \text{PiNode } n' \ \text{guard};$
 $g \vdash n' \simeq e \rrbracket$
 $\implies g \vdash n \simeq e \mid$

RefNode:

$\llbracket \text{kind } g \ n = \text{RefNode } n';$
 $g \vdash n' \simeq e \rrbracket$
 $\implies g \vdash n \simeq e \mid$

IsNullNode:

$\llbracket \text{kind } g \ n = \text{IsNullNode } v;$
 $g \vdash v \simeq \text{lf}n \rrbracket$
 $\implies g \vdash n \simeq (\text{UnaryExpr } \text{UnaryIsNull } \text{lf}n)$

code-pred (*modes*: $i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ as *exprE*) *rep* $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

inductive

$\text{replist} :: \text{IRGraph} \Rightarrow \text{ID } \text{list} \Rightarrow \text{IRExpr } \text{list} \Rightarrow \text{bool} \ (- \vdash - \simeq_L - \ 55)$
for *g* **where**

RepNil:
 $g \vdash [] \simeq_L [] \mid$

RepCons:
 $\llbracket g \vdash x \simeq xe;$
 $g \vdash xs \simeq_L xse \rrbracket$
 $\implies g \vdash x \# xs \simeq_L xe \# xse$

code-pred (*modes*: $i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ as *exprListE*) *replist* $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *wf-term-graph* :: $\text{MapState} \Rightarrow \text{Params} \Rightarrow \text{IRGraph} \Rightarrow \text{ID} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $\text{wf-term-graph } m \ p \ g \ n = (\exists \ e. (g \vdash n \simeq e) \wedge (\exists \ v. ([m, p] \vdash e \mapsto v)))$

values $\{t. \text{eg2-sq} \vdash 4 \simeq t\}$

7.2 Data-flow Tree to Subgraph

fun *unary-node* :: $\text{IRUnaryOp} \Rightarrow \text{ID} \Rightarrow \text{IRNode}$ **where**

$\text{unary-node } \text{UnaryAbs } v = \text{AbsNode } v \mid$
 $\text{unary-node } \text{UnaryNot } v = \text{NotNode } v \mid$
 $\text{unary-node } \text{UnaryNeg } v = \text{NegateNode } v \mid$
 $\text{unary-node } \text{UnaryLogicNegation } v = \text{LogicNegationNode } v \mid$
 $\text{unary-node } (\text{UnaryNarrow } ib \ rb) \ v = \text{NarrowNode } ib \ rb \ v \mid$
 $\text{unary-node } (\text{UnarySignExtend } ib \ rb) \ v = \text{SignExtendNode } ib \ rb \ v \mid$
 $\text{unary-node } (\text{UnaryZeroExtend } ib \ rb) \ v = \text{ZeroExtendNode } ib \ rb \ v \mid$
 $\text{unary-node } \text{UnaryIsNull } v = \text{IsNullNode } v \mid$
 $\text{unary-node } \text{UnaryReverseBytes } v = \text{ReverseBytesNode } v \mid$
 $\text{unary-node } \text{UnaryBitCount } v = \text{BitCountNode } v$

fun *bin-node* :: $\text{IRBinaryOp} \Rightarrow \text{ID} \Rightarrow \text{ID} \Rightarrow \text{IRNode}$ **where**

$\text{bin-node } \text{BinAdd } x \ y = \text{AddNode } x \ y \mid$
 $\text{bin-node } \text{BinMul } x \ y = \text{MulNode } x \ y \mid$
 $\text{bin-node } \text{BinDiv } x \ y = \text{SignedFloatingIntegerDivNode } x \ y \mid$
 $\text{bin-node } \text{BinMod } x \ y = \text{SignedFloatingIntegerRemNode } x \ y \mid$
 $\text{bin-node } \text{BinSub } x \ y = \text{SubNode } x \ y \mid$
 $\text{bin-node } \text{BinAnd } x \ y = \text{AndNode } x \ y \mid$
 $\text{bin-node } \text{BinOr } x \ y = \text{OrNode } x \ y \mid$

```

bin-node BinXor x y = XorNode x y |
bin-node BinShortCircuitOr x y = ShortCircuitOrNode x y |
bin-node BinLeftShift x y = LeftShiftNode x y |
bin-node BinRightShift x y = RightShiftNode x y |
bin-node BinURightShift x y = UnsignedRightShiftNode x y |
bin-node BinIntegerEquals x y = IntegerEqualsNode x y |
bin-node BinIntegerLessThan x y = IntegerLessThanNode x y |
bin-node BinIntegerBelow x y = IntegerBelowNode x y |
bin-node BinIntegerTest x y = IntegerTestNode x y |
bin-node BinIntegerNormalizeCompare x y = IntegerNormalizeCompareNode x y
|
bin-node BinIntegerMulHigh x y = IntegerMulHighNode x y

```

inductive *fresh-id* :: *IRGraph* \Rightarrow *ID* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**
n \notin *ids g* \implies *fresh-id g n*

code-pred *fresh-id* \langle *proof* \rangle

fun *get-fresh-id* :: *IRGraph* \Rightarrow *ID* **where**

get-fresh-id g = *last(sorted-list-of-set(ids g))* + 1

export-code *get-fresh-id*

value *get-fresh-id eg2-sq*

value *get-fresh-id* (*add-node* 6 (*ParameterNode* 2, *default-stamp*) *eg2-sq*)

inductive

unrep :: *IRGraph* \Rightarrow *IRExpr* \Rightarrow (*IRGraph* \times *ID*) \Rightarrow *bool* (*-* \oplus *-* \rightsquigarrow *-* 55)
where

ConstantNodeSame:

\llbracket *find-node-and-stamp g* (*ConstantNode c*, *constantAsStamp c*) = *Some n* \rrbracket
 $\implies g \oplus (\text{ConstantExpr } c) \rightsquigarrow (g, n) \mid$

ConstantNodeNew:

\llbracket *find-node-and-stamp g* (*ConstantNode c*, *constantAsStamp c*) = *None*;
n = *get-fresh-id g*;
g' = *add-node n* (*ConstantNode c*, *constantAsStamp c*) *g* \rrbracket
 $\implies g \oplus (\text{ConstantExpr } c) \rightsquigarrow (g', n) \mid$

ParameterNodeSame:

\llbracket *find-node-and-stamp g* (*ParameterNode i*, *s*) = *Some n* \rrbracket
 $\implies g \oplus (\text{ParameterExpr } i \text{ } s) \rightsquigarrow (g, n) \mid$

ParameterNodeNew:

\llbracket *find-node-and-stamp g* (*ParameterNode i*, *s*) = *None*;

$n = \text{get-fresh-id } g;$
 $g' = \text{add-node } n \text{ (ParameterNode } i, s) \text{ } g\llbracket$
 $\implies g \oplus (\text{ParameterExpr } i \text{ } s) \rightsquigarrow (g', n) \mid$

ConditionalNodeSame:

$\llbracket \text{find-node-and-stamp } g4 \text{ (ConditionalNode } c \text{ } t \text{ } f, s') = \text{Some } n;$
 $g \oplus ce \rightsquigarrow (g2, c);$
 $g2 \oplus te \rightsquigarrow (g3, t);$
 $g3 \oplus fe \rightsquigarrow (g4, f);$
 $s' = \text{meet (stamp } g4 \text{ } t) \text{ (stamp } g4 \text{ } f)\rrbracket$
 $\implies g \oplus (\text{ConditionalExpr } ce \text{ } te \text{ } fe) \rightsquigarrow (g4, n) \mid$

ConditionalNodeNew:

$\llbracket \text{find-node-and-stamp } g4 \text{ (ConditionalNode } c \text{ } t \text{ } f, s') = \text{None};$
 $g \oplus ce \rightsquigarrow (g2, c);$
 $g2 \oplus te \rightsquigarrow (g3, t);$
 $g3 \oplus fe \rightsquigarrow (g4, f);$
 $s' = \text{meet (stamp } g4 \text{ } t) \text{ (stamp } g4 \text{ } f);$
 $n = \text{get-fresh-id } g4;$
 $g' = \text{add-node } n \text{ (ConditionalNode } c \text{ } t \text{ } f, s') \text{ } g4\llbracket$
 $\implies g \oplus (\text{ConditionalExpr } ce \text{ } te \text{ } fe) \rightsquigarrow (g', n) \mid$

UnaryNodeSame:

$\llbracket \text{find-node-and-stamp } g2 \text{ (unary-node op } x, s') = \text{Some } n;$
 $g \oplus xe \rightsquigarrow (g2, x);$
 $s' = \text{stamp-unary op (stamp } g2 \text{ } x)\rrbracket$
 $\implies g \oplus (\text{UnaryExpr op } xe) \rightsquigarrow (g2, n) \mid$

UnaryNodeNew:

$\llbracket \text{find-node-and-stamp } g2 \text{ (unary-node op } x, s') = \text{None};$
 $g \oplus xe \rightsquigarrow (g2, x);$
 $s' = \text{stamp-unary op (stamp } g2 \text{ } x);$
 $n = \text{get-fresh-id } g2;$
 $g' = \text{add-node } n \text{ (unary-node op } x, s') \text{ } g2\llbracket$
 $\implies g \oplus (\text{UnaryExpr op } xe) \rightsquigarrow (g', n) \mid$

BinaryNodeSame:

$\llbracket \text{find-node-and-stamp } g3 \text{ (bin-node op } x \text{ } y, s') = \text{Some } n;$
 $g \oplus xe \rightsquigarrow (g2, x);$
 $g2 \oplus ye \rightsquigarrow (g3, y);$
 $s' = \text{stamp-binary op (stamp } g3 \text{ } x) \text{ (stamp } g3 \text{ } y)\rrbracket$
 $\implies g \oplus (\text{BinaryExpr op } xe \text{ } ye) \rightsquigarrow (g3, n) \mid$

BinaryNodeNew:

$\llbracket \text{find-node-and-stamp } g3 \text{ (bin-node op } x \text{ } y, s') = \text{None};$
 $g \oplus xe \rightsquigarrow (g2, x);$
 $g2 \oplus ye \rightsquigarrow (g3, y);$
 $s' = \text{stamp-binary op (stamp } g3 \text{ } x) \text{ (stamp } g3 \text{ } y);$
 $n = \text{get-fresh-id } g3;$

$$\begin{aligned}
&g' = \text{add-node } n \text{ (bin-node op } x \ y, \ s') \ g\mathcal{B} \\
&\implies g \oplus (\text{BinaryExpr op } xe \ ye) \rightsquigarrow (g', \ n) \mid
\end{aligned}$$

AllLeafNodes:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\llbracket \text{stamp } g \ n = s; \\
&\quad \text{is-preevaluated } (\text{kind } g \ n) \rrbracket \\
&\implies g \oplus (\text{LeafExpr } n \ s) \rightsquigarrow (g, \ n)
\end{aligned}$$

code-pred (*modes: $i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ as unrepE*)
unrep $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

unrepRules

$$\frac{\text{find-node-and-stamp } (g::\text{IRGraph}) \text{ (ConstantNode } (c::\text{Value}), \text{ constantAsStamp } c) = \text{Some } (n::\text{nat})}{g \oplus \text{ConstantExpr } c \rightsquigarrow (g, n)}$$

$$\frac{\begin{array}{l} \text{find-node-and-stamp } (g::\text{IRGraph}) \text{ (ConstantNode } (c::\text{Value}), \text{ constantAsStamp } c) = \text{None} \\ (n::\text{nat}) = \text{get-fresh-id } g \\ (g'::\text{IRGraph}) = \text{add-node } n \text{ (ConstantNode } c, \text{ constantAsStamp } c) \end{array}}{g \oplus \text{ConstantExpr } c \rightsquigarrow (g', n)}$$

$$\frac{\text{find-node-and-stamp } (g::\text{IRGraph}) \text{ (ParameterNode } (i::\text{nat}), s::\text{Stamp}) = \text{Some } (n::\text{nat})}{g \oplus \text{ParameterExpr } i \ s \rightsquigarrow (g, n)}$$

$$\frac{\begin{array}{l} \text{find-node-and-stamp } (g::\text{IRGraph}) \text{ (ParameterNode } (i::\text{nat}), s::\text{Stamp}) = \text{None} \\ (n::\text{nat}) = \text{get-fresh-id } g \\ (g'::\text{IRGraph}) = \text{add-node } n \text{ (ParameterNode } i, s) \end{array}}{g \oplus \text{ParameterExpr } i \ s \rightsquigarrow (g', n)}$$

$$\frac{\begin{array}{l} \text{find-node-and-stamp } (g4::\text{IRGraph}) \text{ (ConditionalNode } (c::\text{nat}) \ (t::\text{nat}) \ (f::\text{nat}), s'::\text{Stamp}) = \text{Some } (n::\text{nat}) \\ g::\text{IRGraph} \oplus ce::\text{IExpr} \rightsquigarrow (g2::\text{IRGraph}, c) \\ g2 \oplus te::\text{IExpr} \rightsquigarrow (g3::\text{IRGraph}, t) \\ g3 \oplus fe::\text{IExpr} \rightsquigarrow (g4, f) \quad s' = \text{meet } (\text{stamp } g4 \ t) \ (\text{stamp } g4 \ f) \end{array}}{g \oplus \text{ConditionalExpr } ce \ te \ fe \rightsquigarrow (g4, n)}$$

$$\frac{\begin{array}{l} \text{find-node-and-stamp } (g4::\text{IRGraph}) \text{ (ConditionalNode } (c::\text{nat}) \ (t::\text{nat}) \ (f::\text{nat}), s'::\text{Stamp}) = \text{None} \\ g::\text{IRGraph} \oplus ce::\text{IExpr} \rightsquigarrow (g2::\text{IRGraph}, c) \\ g2 \oplus te::\text{IExpr} \rightsquigarrow (g3::\text{IRGraph}, t) \quad g3 \oplus fe::\text{IExpr} \rightsquigarrow (g4, f) \\ s' = \text{meet } (\text{stamp } g4 \ t) \ (\text{stamp } g4 \ f) \quad (n::\text{nat}) = \text{get-fresh-id } g4 \\ (g'::\text{IRGraph}) = \text{add-node } n \text{ (ConditionalNode } c \ t \ f, s') \end{array}}{g \oplus \text{ConditionalExpr } ce \ te \ fe \rightsquigarrow (g', n)}$$

$$\frac{\begin{array}{l} \text{find-node-and-stamp } (g3::\text{IRGraph}) \text{ (bin-node } (op::\text{IRBinaryOp}) \ (x::\text{nat}) \ (y::\text{nat}), s'::\text{Stamp}) = \text{Some } (n::\text{nat}) \\ g::\text{IRGraph} \oplus xe::\text{IExpr} \rightsquigarrow (g2::\text{IRGraph}, x) \\ g2 \oplus ye::\text{IExpr} \rightsquigarrow (g3, y) \\ s' = \text{stamp-binary } op \ (\text{stamp } g3 \ x) \ (\text{stamp } g3 \ y) \end{array}}{g \oplus \text{BinaryExpr } op \ xe \ ye \rightsquigarrow (g3, n)}$$

$$\frac{\begin{array}{l} \text{find-node-and-stamp } (g3::\text{IRGraph}) \text{ (bin-node } (op::\text{IRBinaryOp}) \ (x::\text{nat}) \ (y::\text{nat}), s'::\text{Stamp}) = \text{None} \\ g::\text{IRGraph} \oplus xe::\text{IExpr} \rightsquigarrow (g2::\text{IRGraph}, x) \\ g2 \oplus ye::\text{IExpr} \rightsquigarrow (g3, y) \\ s' = \text{stamp-binary } op \ (\text{stamp } g3 \ x) \ (\text{stamp } g3 \ y) \\ (n::\text{nat}) = \text{get-fresh-id } g3 \\ (g'::\text{IRGraph}) = \text{add-node } n \text{ (bin-node } op \ x \ y, s') \end{array}}{g \oplus \text{BinaryExpr } op \ xe \ ye \rightsquigarrow (g', n)}$$

$$\frac{\begin{array}{l} \text{find-node-and-stamp } (g2::\text{IRGraph}) \text{ (unary-node } (op::\text{IRUnaryOp}) \ (x::\text{nat}), s'::\text{Stamp}) = \text{Some } (n::\text{nat}) \\ g::\text{IRGraph} \oplus xe::\text{IExpr} \rightsquigarrow (g2, x) \\ s' = \text{stamp-unary } op \ (\text{stamp } g2 \ x) \end{array}}{g \oplus \text{UnaryExpr } op \ xe \rightsquigarrow (g2, n)}$$

$$\frac{\begin{array}{l} \text{find-node-and-stamp } (g2::\text{IRGraph}) \text{ (unary-node } (op::\text{IRUnaryOp}) \ (x::\text{nat}), s'::\text{Stamp}) = \text{None} \\ g::\text{IRGraph} \oplus xe::\text{IExpr} \rightsquigarrow (g2, x) \\ s' = \text{stamp-unary } op \ (\text{stamp } g2 \ x) \quad (n::\text{nat}) = \text{get-fresh-id } g2 \\ (g'::\text{IRGraph}) = \text{add-node } n \text{ (unary-node } op \ x, s') \end{array}}{g \oplus \text{UnaryExpr } op \ xe \rightsquigarrow (g', n)}$$

$$\frac{\text{stamp } (g::\text{IRGraph}) \ (n::\text{nat}) = (s::\text{Stamp}) \quad \text{is-preevaluated } (\text{kind } g \ n)}{g \oplus \text{LeafExpr } n \ s \rightsquigarrow (g, n)}$$

$values \{(n, g) . (eg2\text{-}sq \oplus sq\text{-}param0 \rightsquigarrow (g, n))\}$

7.3 Lift Data-flow Tree Semantics

definition $encodeeval :: IRGraph \Rightarrow MapState \Rightarrow Params \Rightarrow ID \Rightarrow Value \Rightarrow bool$
 $([\cdot, \cdot, \cdot] \vdash \cdot \mapsto \cdot \ 50)$
where
 $encodeeval \ g \ m \ p \ n \ v = (\exists \ e. (g \vdash n \simeq e) \wedge ([m, p] \vdash e \mapsto v))$

7.4 Graph Refinement

definition $graph\text{-}represents\text{-}expression :: IRGraph \Rightarrow ID \Rightarrow IRExpr \Rightarrow bool$
 $(\vdash \cdot \leq \cdot \ 50)$
where
 $(g \vdash n \leq e) = (\exists \ e'. (g \vdash n \simeq e') \wedge (e' \leq e))$

definition $graph\text{-}refinement :: IRGraph \Rightarrow IRGraph \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $graph\text{-}refinement \ g_1 \ g_2 =$
 $((ids \ g_1 \subseteq ids \ g_2) \wedge$
 $(\forall \ n . n \in ids \ g_1 \longrightarrow (\forall \ e. (g_1 \vdash n \simeq e) \longrightarrow (g_2 \vdash n \leq e))))$

lemma $graph\text{-}refinement$:
 $graph\text{-}refinement \ g1 \ g2 \implies$
 $(\forall \ n \ m \ p \ v. n \in ids \ g1 \longrightarrow ([g1, m, p] \vdash n \mapsto v) \longrightarrow ([g2, m, p] \vdash n \mapsto v))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

7.5 Maximal Sharing

definition $maximal\text{-}sharing$:
 $maximal\text{-}sharing \ g = (\forall \ n_1 \ n_2 . n_1 \in true\text{-}ids \ g \wedge n_2 \in true\text{-}ids \ g \longrightarrow$
 $(\forall \ e. (g \vdash n_1 \simeq e) \wedge (g \vdash n_2 \simeq e) \wedge (stamp \ g \ n_1 = stamp \ g \ n_2) \longrightarrow n_1 =$
 $n_2))$

end

7.6 Formedness Properties

theory $Form$
imports
 $Semantics.TreeToGraph$
begin

definition $wf\text{-}start$ **where**
 $wf\text{-}start \ g = (0 \in ids \ g \wedge$
 $is\text{-}StartNode \ (kind \ g \ 0))$

definition $wf\text{-}closed$ **where**
 $wf\text{-}closed \ g =$
 $(\forall \ n \in ids \ g .$
 $inputs \ g \ n \subseteq ids \ g \wedge$

$$\text{succ } g \ n \subseteq \text{ids } g \wedge \\ \text{kind } g \ n \neq \text{NoNode})$$

definition *wf-phs* **where**

$$\begin{aligned} \text{wf-phs } g = & \\ & (\forall \ n \in \text{ids } g. \\ & \text{is-PhiNode } (\text{kind } g \ n) \longrightarrow \\ & \text{length } (\text{ir-values } (\text{kind } g \ n)) \\ & = \text{length } (\text{ir-ends} \\ & \quad (\text{kind } g \ (\text{ir-merge } (\text{kind } g \ n)))))) \end{aligned}$$

definition *wf-ends* **where**

$$\begin{aligned} \text{wf-ends } g = & \\ & (\forall \ n \in \text{ids } g . \\ & \text{is-AbstractEndNode } (\text{kind } g \ n) \longrightarrow \\ & \text{card } (\text{usages } g \ n) > 0) \end{aligned}$$

fun *wf-graph* :: *IRGraph* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**

$$\text{wf-graph } g = (\text{wf-start } g \wedge \text{wf-closed } g \wedge \text{wf-phs } g \wedge \text{wf-ends } g)$$

lemmas *wf-folds* =

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{wf-graph.simps} \\ & \text{wf-start-def} \\ & \text{wf-closed-def} \\ & \text{wf-phs-def} \\ & \text{wf-ends-def} \end{aligned}$$

fun *wf-stamps* :: *IRGraph* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**

$$\begin{aligned} \text{wf-stamps } g = & (\forall \ n \in \text{ids } g . \\ & (\forall \ v \ m \ p \ e . (\text{g} \vdash n \simeq e) \wedge ([m, p] \vdash e \mapsto v) \longrightarrow \text{valid-value } v \ (\text{stamp-expr } e))) \end{aligned}$$

fun *wf-stamp* :: *IRGraph* \Rightarrow (*ID* \Rightarrow *Stamp*) \Rightarrow *bool* **where**

$$\begin{aligned} \text{wf-stamp } g \ s = & (\forall \ n \in \text{ids } g . \\ & (\forall \ v \ m \ p \ e . (\text{g} \vdash n \simeq e) \wedge ([m, p] \vdash e \mapsto v) \longrightarrow \text{valid-value } v \ (s \ n))) \end{aligned}$$

lemma *wf-empty*: *wf-graph start-end-graph*

<proof>

lemma *wf-eg2-sq*: *wf-graph eg2-sq*

<proof>

fun *wf-logic-node-inputs* :: *IRGraph* \Rightarrow *ID* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**

$$\begin{aligned} \text{wf-logic-node-inputs } g \ n = & \\ & (\forall \ \text{inp} \in \text{set } (\text{inputs-of } (\text{kind } g \ n)) . (\forall \ v \ m \ p . ([g, m, p] \vdash \text{inp} \mapsto v) \longrightarrow \text{wf-bool} \\ & \quad v)) \end{aligned}$$

fun *wf-values* :: *IRGraph* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**

$$\begin{aligned} \text{wf-values } g = & (\forall \ n \in \text{ids } g . \\ & (\forall \ v \ m \ p . ([g, m, p] \vdash n \mapsto v) \longrightarrow \end{aligned}$$


```

(is-LogicNode (kind g n)  $\longrightarrow$ 
  wf-bool v  $\wedge$  wf-logic-node-inputs g n)))

```

end

7.7 Dynamic Frames

This theory defines two operators, 'unchanged' and 'changeonly', that are useful for specifying which nodes in an IRGraph can change. The dynamic framing idea originates from 'Dynamic Frames' in software verification, started by Ioannis T. Kassios in "Dynamic frames: Support for framing, dependencies and sharing without restrictions", In FM 2006.

theory IRGraphFrames

imports

Form

begin

fun unchanged :: ID set \Rightarrow IRGraph \Rightarrow IRGraph \Rightarrow bool **where**

```

  unchanged ns g1 g2 = ( $\forall$  n . n  $\in$  ns  $\longrightarrow$ 
    (n  $\in$  ids g1  $\wedge$  n  $\in$  ids g2  $\wedge$  kind g1 n = kind g2 n  $\wedge$  stamp g1 n = stamp g2 n))

```

fun changeonly :: ID set \Rightarrow IRGraph \Rightarrow IRGraph \Rightarrow bool **where**

```

  changeonly ns g1 g2 = ( $\forall$  n . n  $\in$  ids g1  $\wedge$  n  $\notin$  ns  $\longrightarrow$ 
    (n  $\in$  ids g1  $\wedge$  n  $\in$  ids g2  $\wedge$  kind g1 n = kind g2 n  $\wedge$  stamp g1 n = stamp g2 n))

```

lemma node-unchanged:

assumes unchanged ns g1 g2

assumes nid \in ns

shows kind g1 nid = kind g2 nid

\langle proof \rangle

lemma other-node-unchanged:

assumes changeonly ns g1 g2

assumes nid \in ids g1

assumes nid \notin ns

shows kind g1 nid = kind g2 nid

\langle proof \rangle

Some notation for input nodes used

inductive eval-uses:: IRGraph \Rightarrow ID \Rightarrow ID \Rightarrow bool

for g **where**

use0: nid \in ids g

\implies eval-uses g nid nid |

use-inp: nid' \in inputs g n

\implies eval-uses g nid nid' |

```

use-trans:  $\llbracket \text{eval-uses } g \text{ nid nid}'; \text{eval-uses } g \text{ nid}' \text{ nid}'' \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies \text{eval-uses } g \text{ nid nid}''$ 

fun eval-usages :: IRGraph  $\Rightarrow$  ID  $\Rightarrow$  ID set where
  eval-usages g nid = {n  $\in$  ids g . eval-uses g nid n}

lemma eval-usages-self:
  assumes nid  $\in$  ids g
  shows nid  $\in$  eval-usages g nid
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma not-in-g-inputs:
  assumes nid  $\notin$  ids g
  shows inputs g nid = {}
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma child-member:
  assumes n = kind g nid
  assumes n  $\neq$  NoNode
  assumes List.member (inputs-of n) child
  shows child  $\in$  inputs g nid
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma child-member-in:
  assumes nid  $\in$  ids g
  assumes List.member (inputs-of (kind g nid)) child
  shows child  $\in$  inputs g nid
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma inp-in-g:
  assumes n  $\in$  inputs g nid
  shows nid  $\in$  ids g
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma inp-in-g-wf:
  assumes wf-graph g
  assumes n  $\in$  inputs g nid
  shows n  $\in$  ids g
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma kind-unchanged:
  assumes nid  $\in$  ids g1
  assumes unchanged (eval-usages g1 nid) g1 g2
  shows kind g1 nid = kind g2 nid
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

lemma *stamp-unchanged*:
assumes $nid \in ids\ g1$
assumes *unchanged* (*eval-usages* $g1\ nid$) $g1\ g2$
shows $stamp\ g1\ nid = stamp\ g2\ nid$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *child-unchanged*:
assumes $child \in inputs\ g1\ nid$
assumes *unchanged* (*eval-usages* $g1\ nid$) $g1\ g2$
shows *unchanged* (*eval-usages* $g1\ child$) $g1\ g2$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *eval-usages*:
assumes $us = eval-usages\ g\ nid$
assumes $nid' \in ids\ g$
shows $eval-uses\ g\ nid\ nid' \longleftrightarrow nid' \in us$ (**is** $?P \longleftrightarrow ?Q$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *inputs-are-uses*:
assumes $nid' \in inputs\ g\ nid$
shows $eval-uses\ g\ nid\ nid'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *inputs-are-usages*:
assumes $nid' \in inputs\ g\ nid$
assumes $nid' \in ids\ g$
shows $nid' \in eval-usages\ g\ nid$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *inputs-of-are-usages*:
assumes $List.member\ (inputs-of\ (kind\ g\ nid))\ nid'$
assumes $nid' \in ids\ g$
shows $nid' \in eval-usages\ g\ nid$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *usage-includes-inputs*:
assumes $us = eval-usages\ g\ nid$
assumes $ls = inputs\ g\ nid$
assumes $ls \subseteq ids\ g$
shows $ls \subseteq us$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *elim-inp-set*:
assumes $k = kind\ g\ nid$
assumes $k \neq NoNode$
assumes $child \in set\ (inputs-of\ k)$
shows $child \in inputs\ g\ nid$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *encode-in-ids*:

assumes $g \vdash \text{id} \simeq e$

shows $\text{id} \in \text{ids } g$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *eval-in-ids*:

assumes $[g, m, p] \vdash \text{id} \mapsto v$

shows $\text{id} \in \text{ids } g$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *transitive-kind-same*:

assumes *unchanged* (*eval-usages* $g1 \text{ id}$) $g1 \ g2$

shows $\forall \text{id}' \in (\text{eval-usages } g1 \text{ id}) . \text{kind } g1 \text{ id}' = \text{kind } g2 \text{ id}'$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

theorem *stay-same-encoding*:

assumes *nc*: *unchanged* (*eval-usages* $g1 \text{ id}$) $g1 \ g2$

assumes $g1: g1 \vdash \text{id} \simeq e$

assumes *wf*: *wf-graph* $g1$

shows $g2 \vdash \text{id} \simeq e$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

theorem *stay-same*:

assumes *nc*: *unchanged* (*eval-usages* $g1 \text{ id}$) $g1 \ g2$

assumes $g1: [g1, m, p] \vdash \text{id} \mapsto v1$

assumes *wf*: *wf-graph* $g1$

shows $[g2, m, p] \vdash \text{id} \mapsto v1$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *add-changed*:

assumes $\text{gup} = \text{add-node new } k \ g$

shows *changeonly* {*new*} $g \ \text{gup}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *disjoint-change*:

assumes *changeonly* *change* $g \ \text{gup}$

assumes *nochange* = *ids* $g - \text{change}$

shows *unchanged* *nochange* $g \ \text{gup}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *add-node-unchanged*:

assumes $\text{new} \notin \text{ids } g$

assumes $\text{id} \in \text{ids } g$

assumes $\text{gup} = \text{add-node new } k \ g$

assumes *wf-graph* g

shows *unchanged* (*eval-usages* $g \text{ id}$) $g \ \text{gup}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *eval-uses-imp*:
 $((nid' \in ids\ g \wedge nid = nid')$
 $\vee nid' \in inputs\ g\ nid$
 $\vee (\exists nid'' . eval-uses\ g\ nid\ nid'' \wedge eval-uses\ g\ nid''\ nid'))$
 $\longleftrightarrow eval-uses\ g\ nid\ nid'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *wf-use-ids*:
assumes *wf-graph* *g*
assumes $nid \in ids\ g$
assumes *eval-uses* *g* *nid* *nid'*
shows $nid' \in ids\ g$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *no-external-use*:
assumes *wf-graph* *g*
assumes $nid' \notin ids\ g$
assumes $nid \in ids\ g$
shows $\neg(eval-uses\ g\ nid\ nid')$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

7.8 Tree to Graph Theorems

theory *TreeToGraphThms*
imports
IRTreeEvalThms
IRGraphFrames
HOL-Eisbach.Eisbach
HOL-Eisbach.Eisbach-Tools
begin

7.8.1 Extraction and Evaluation of Expression Trees is Deterministic.

First, we prove some extra rules that relate each type of *IRNode* to the corresponding *IRExpr* type that 'rep' will produce. These are very helpful for proving that 'rep' is deterministic.

named-theorems *rep*

lemma *rep-constant* [*rep*]:
 $g \vdash n \simeq e \implies$
 $kind\ g\ n = ConstantNode\ c \implies$
 $e = ConstantExpr\ c$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rep-parameter* [*rep*]:
 $g \vdash n \simeq e \implies$

$kind\ g\ n = ParameterNode\ i \implies$
 $(\exists\ s.\ e = ParameterExpr\ i\ s)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rep-conditional* [rep]:
 $g \vdash n \simeq e \implies$
 $kind\ g\ n = ConditionalNode\ c\ t\ f \implies$
 $(\exists\ ce\ te\ fe.\ e = ConditionalExpr\ ce\ te\ fe)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rep-abs* [rep]:
 $g \vdash n \simeq e \implies$
 $kind\ g\ n = AbsNode\ x \implies$
 $(\exists\ xe.\ e = UnaryExpr\ UnaryAbs\ xe)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rep-reverse-bytes* [rep]:
 $g \vdash n \simeq e \implies$
 $kind\ g\ n = ReverseBytesNode\ x \implies$
 $(\exists\ xe.\ e = UnaryExpr\ UnaryReverseBytes\ xe)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rep-bit-count* [rep]:
 $g \vdash n \simeq e \implies$
 $kind\ g\ n = BitCountNode\ x \implies$
 $(\exists\ xe.\ e = UnaryExpr\ UnaryBitCount\ xe)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rep-not* [rep]:
 $g \vdash n \simeq e \implies$
 $kind\ g\ n = NotNode\ x \implies$
 $(\exists\ xe.\ e = UnaryExpr\ UnaryNot\ xe)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rep-negate* [rep]:
 $g \vdash n \simeq e \implies$
 $kind\ g\ n = NegateNode\ x \implies$
 $(\exists\ xe.\ e = UnaryExpr\ UnaryNeg\ xe)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rep-logicnegation* [rep]:
 $g \vdash n \simeq e \implies$
 $kind\ g\ n = LogicNegationNode\ x \implies$
 $(\exists\ xe.\ e = UnaryExpr\ UnaryLogicNegation\ xe)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rep-add* [rep]:
 $g \vdash n \simeq e \implies$
 $kind\ g\ n = AddNode\ x\ y \implies$

$(\exists xe ye. e = \text{BinaryExpr BinAdd } xe ye)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rep-sub* [rep]:
 $g \vdash n \simeq e \implies$
 $\text{kind } g \ n = \text{SubNode } x \ y \implies$
 $(\exists xe ye. e = \text{BinaryExpr BinSub } xe ye)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rep-mul* [rep]:
 $g \vdash n \simeq e \implies$
 $\text{kind } g \ n = \text{MulNode } x \ y \implies$
 $(\exists xe ye. e = \text{BinaryExpr BinMul } xe ye)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rep-div* [rep]:
 $g \vdash n \simeq e \implies$
 $\text{kind } g \ n = \text{SignedFloatingIntegerDivNode } x \ y \implies$
 $(\exists xe ye. e = \text{BinaryExpr BinDiv } xe ye)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rep-mod* [rep]:
 $g \vdash n \simeq e \implies$
 $\text{kind } g \ n = \text{SignedFloatingIntegerRemNode } x \ y \implies$
 $(\exists xe ye. e = \text{BinaryExpr BinMod } xe ye)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rep-and* [rep]:
 $g \vdash n \simeq e \implies$
 $\text{kind } g \ n = \text{AndNode } x \ y \implies$
 $(\exists xe ye. e = \text{BinaryExpr BinAnd } xe ye)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rep-or* [rep]:
 $g \vdash n \simeq e \implies$
 $\text{kind } g \ n = \text{OrNode } x \ y \implies$
 $(\exists xe ye. e = \text{BinaryExpr BinOr } xe ye)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rep-xor* [rep]:
 $g \vdash n \simeq e \implies$
 $\text{kind } g \ n = \text{XorNode } x \ y \implies$
 $(\exists xe ye. e = \text{BinaryExpr BinXor } xe ye)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rep-short-circuit-or* [rep]:
 $g \vdash n \simeq e \implies$
 $\text{kind } g \ n = \text{ShortCircuitOrNode } x \ y \implies$
 $(\exists xe ye. e = \text{BinaryExpr BinShortCircuitOr } xe ye)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rep-left-shift* [rep]:

$g \vdash n \simeq e \implies$
 $\text{kind } g \ n = \text{LeftShiftNode } x \ y \implies$
 $(\exists xe \ ye. \ e = \text{BinaryExpr } \text{BinLeftShift } xe \ ye)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rep-right-shift* [rep]:

$g \vdash n \simeq e \implies$
 $\text{kind } g \ n = \text{RightShiftNode } x \ y \implies$
 $(\exists xe \ ye. \ e = \text{BinaryExpr } \text{BinRightShift } xe \ ye)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rep-unsigned-right-shift* [rep]:

$g \vdash n \simeq e \implies$
 $\text{kind } g \ n = \text{UnsignedRightShiftNode } x \ y \implies$
 $(\exists xe \ ye. \ e = \text{BinaryExpr } \text{BinURightShift } xe \ ye)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rep-integer-below* [rep]:

$g \vdash n \simeq e \implies$
 $\text{kind } g \ n = \text{IntegerBelowNode } x \ y \implies$
 $(\exists xe \ ye. \ e = \text{BinaryExpr } \text{BinIntegerBelow } xe \ ye)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rep-integer-equals* [rep]:

$g \vdash n \simeq e \implies$
 $\text{kind } g \ n = \text{IntegerEqualsNode } x \ y \implies$
 $(\exists xe \ ye. \ e = \text{BinaryExpr } \text{BinIntegerEquals } xe \ ye)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rep-integer-less-than* [rep]:

$g \vdash n \simeq e \implies$
 $\text{kind } g \ n = \text{IntegerLessThanNode } x \ y \implies$
 $(\exists xe \ ye. \ e = \text{BinaryExpr } \text{BinIntegerLessThan } xe \ ye)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rep-integer-mul-high* [rep]:

$g \vdash n \simeq e \implies$
 $\text{kind } g \ n = \text{IntegerMulHighNode } x \ y \implies$
 $(\exists xe \ ye. \ e = \text{BinaryExpr } \text{BinIntegerMulHigh } xe \ ye)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rep-integer-test* [rep]:

$g \vdash n \simeq e \implies$
 $\text{kind } g \ n = \text{IntegerTestNode } x \ y \implies$
 $(\exists xe \ ye. \ e = \text{BinaryExpr } \text{BinIntegerTest } xe \ ye)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rep-integer-normalize-compare* [rep]:
 $g \vdash n \simeq e \implies$
 $kind\ g\ n = IntegerNormalizeCompareNode\ x\ y \implies$
 $(\exists\ xe\ ye. e = BinaryExpr\ BinIntegerNormalizeCompare\ xe\ ye)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rep-narrow* [rep]:
 $g \vdash n \simeq e \implies$
 $kind\ g\ n = NarrowNode\ ib\ rb\ x \implies$
 $(\exists\ x. e = UnaryExpr\ (UnaryNarrow\ ib\ rb)\ x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rep-sign-extend* [rep]:
 $g \vdash n \simeq e \implies$
 $kind\ g\ n = SignExtendNode\ ib\ rb\ x \implies$
 $(\exists\ x. e = UnaryExpr\ (UnarySignExtend\ ib\ rb)\ x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rep-zero-extend* [rep]:
 $g \vdash n \simeq e \implies$
 $kind\ g\ n = ZeroExtendNode\ ib\ rb\ x \implies$
 $(\exists\ x. e = UnaryExpr\ (UnaryZeroExtend\ ib\ rb)\ x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rep-load-field* [rep]:
 $g \vdash n \simeq e \implies$
 $is-preevaluated\ (kind\ g\ n) \implies$
 $(\exists\ s. e = LeafExpr\ n\ s)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rep-bytecode-exception* [rep]:
 $g \vdash n \simeq e \implies$
 $(kind\ g\ n) = BytecodeExceptionNode\ gu\ st\ n' \implies$
 $(\exists\ s. e = LeafExpr\ n\ s)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rep-new-array* [rep]:
 $g \vdash n \simeq e \implies$
 $(kind\ g\ n) = NewArrayNode\ len\ st\ n' \implies$
 $(\exists\ s. e = LeafExpr\ n\ s)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rep-array-length* [rep]:
 $g \vdash n \simeq e \implies$
 $(kind\ g\ n) = ArrayLengthNode\ x\ n' \implies$
 $(\exists\ s. e = LeafExpr\ n\ s)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rep-load-index* [*rep*]:

$g \vdash n \simeq e \implies$
 $(\text{kind } g \ n) = \text{LoadIndexedNode } \text{index } \text{guard } x \ n' \implies$
 $(\exists s. e = \text{LeafExpr } n \ s)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rep-store-index* [*rep*]:

$g \vdash n \simeq e \implies$
 $(\text{kind } g \ n) = \text{StoreIndexedNode } \text{check } \text{val } \text{st } \text{index } \text{guard } x \ n' \implies$
 $(\exists s. e = \text{LeafExpr } n \ s)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rep-ref* [*rep*]:

$g \vdash n \simeq e \implies$
 $\text{kind } g \ n = \text{RefNode } n' \implies$
 $g \vdash n' \simeq e$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rep-pi* [*rep*]:

$g \vdash n \simeq e \implies$
 $\text{kind } g \ n = \text{PiNode } n' \ \text{gu} \implies$
 $g \vdash n' \simeq e$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rep-is-null* [*rep*]:

$g \vdash n \simeq e \implies$
 $\text{kind } g \ n = \text{IsNullNode } x \implies$
 $(\exists xe. e = (\text{UnaryExpr } \text{UnaryIsNull } xe))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

method *solve-det* **uses** *node* =

$(\text{match } \text{node} \ \text{in } \text{kind} \ - \ - = \text{node} \ - \ \text{for } \text{node} \ \Rightarrow$
 $\langle \text{match } \text{rep} \ \text{in } r: \ - \implies \ - = \text{node} \ - \implies \ - \Rightarrow$
 $\langle \text{match } \text{IRNode.inject} \ \text{in } i: (\text{node} \ - = \text{node } -) = - \Rightarrow$
 $\langle \text{match } \text{RepE} \ \text{in } e: \ - \implies (\bigwedge x. \ - = \text{node } x \implies -) \implies \ - \Rightarrow$
 $\langle \text{match } \text{IRNode.distinct} \ \text{in } d: \text{node} \ - \neq \text{RefNode} \ - \Rightarrow$
 $\langle \text{match } \text{IRNode.distinct} \ \text{in } f: \text{node} \ - \neq \text{PiNode} \ - \ - \Rightarrow$
 $\langle \text{metis } i \ e \ r \ d \ f \rangle \rangle \rangle \rangle \mid$
 $\text{match } \text{node} \ \text{in } \text{kind} \ - \ - = \text{node} \ - \ - \ \text{for } \text{node} \ \Rightarrow$
 $\langle \text{match } \text{rep} \ \text{in } r: \ - \implies \ - = \text{node} \ - \ - \implies \ - \Rightarrow$
 $\langle \text{match } \text{IRNode.inject} \ \text{in } i: (\text{node} \ - \ - = \text{node} \ - \ -) = - \Rightarrow$
 $\langle \text{match } \text{RepE} \ \text{in } e: \ - \implies (\bigwedge x \ y. \ - = \text{node } x \ y \implies -) \implies \ - \Rightarrow$
 $\langle \text{match } \text{IRNode.distinct} \ \text{in } d: \text{node} \ - \ - \neq \text{RefNode} \ - \Rightarrow$
 $\langle \text{match } \text{IRNode.distinct} \ \text{in } f: \text{node} \ - \ - \neq \text{PiNode} \ - \ - \Rightarrow$
 $\langle \text{metis } i \ e \ r \ d \ f \rangle \rangle \rangle \rangle \mid$
 $\text{match } \text{node} \ \text{in } \text{kind} \ - \ - = \text{node} \ - \ - \ - \ \text{for } \text{node} \ \Rightarrow$
 $\langle \text{match } \text{rep} \ \text{in } r: \ - \implies \ - = \text{node} \ - \ - \ - \implies \ - \Rightarrow$
 $\langle \text{match } \text{IRNode.inject} \ \text{in } i: (\text{node} \ - \ - \ - = \text{node} \ - \ - \ -) = - \Rightarrow$
 $\langle \text{match } \text{RepE} \ \text{in } e: \ - \implies (\bigwedge x \ y \ z. \ - = \text{node } x \ y \ z \implies -) \implies \ - \Rightarrow$

```

    <match IRNode.distinct in d: node - - - ≠ RefNode - ⇒
      <match IRNode.distinct in f: node - - - ≠ PiNode - - ⇒
        <metis i e r d f>>>>> |
  match node in kind - - = node - - - for node ⇒
    <match rep in r: - ⇒ - = node - - - ⇒ - ⇒
      <match IRNode.inject in i: (node - - - = node - - -) = - ⇒
        <match RepE in e: - ⇒ (∧x. - = node - - x ⇒ -) ⇒ - ⇒
          <match IRNode.distinct in d: node - - - ≠ RefNode - ⇒
            <match IRNode.distinct in f: node - - - ≠ PiNode - - ⇒
              <metis i e r d f>>>>>>>

```

Now we can prove that 'rep' and 'eval', and their list versions, are deterministic.

lemma *repDet*:

shows $(g \vdash n \simeq e_1) \implies (g \vdash n \simeq e_2) \implies e_1 = e_2$
 <proof>

lemma *repAllDet*:

$g \vdash xs \simeq_L e1 \implies$
 $g \vdash xs \simeq_L e2 \implies$
 $e1 = e2$
 <proof>

lemma *encodeEvalDet*:

$[g, m, p] \vdash e \mapsto v1 \implies$
 $[g, m, p] \vdash e \mapsto v2 \implies$
 $v1 = v2$
 <proof>

lemma *graphDet*: $([g, m, p] \vdash n \mapsto v_1) \wedge ([g, m, p] \vdash n \mapsto v_2) \implies v_1 = v_2$
 <proof>

7.8.2 Monotonicity of Graph Refinement

Lift refinement monotonicity to graph level. Hopefully these shouldn't really be required.

lemma *mono-abs*:

assumes $kind\ g1\ n = AbsNode\ x \wedge kind\ g2\ n = AbsNode\ x$
assumes $(g1 \vdash x \simeq xe1) \wedge (g2 \vdash x \simeq xe2)$
assumes $xe1 \geq xe2$
assumes $(g1 \vdash n \simeq e1) \wedge (g2 \vdash n \simeq e2)$
shows $e1 \geq e2$
 <proof>

lemma *mono-not*:

assumes $kind\ g1\ n = NotNode\ x \wedge kind\ g2\ n = NotNode\ x$
assumes $(g1 \vdash x \simeq xe1) \wedge (g2 \vdash x \simeq xe2)$
assumes $xe1 \geq xe2$

assumes $(g1 \vdash n \simeq e1) \wedge (g2 \vdash n \simeq e2)$
shows $e1 \geq e2$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *mono-negate*:

assumes $kind\ g1\ n = NegateNode\ x \wedge kind\ g2\ n = NegateNode\ x$
assumes $(g1 \vdash x \simeq xe1) \wedge (g2 \vdash x \simeq xe2)$
assumes $xe1 \geq xe2$
assumes $(g1 \vdash n \simeq e1) \wedge (g2 \vdash n \simeq e2)$
shows $e1 \geq e2$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *mono-logic-negation*:

assumes $kind\ g1\ n = LogicNegationNode\ x \wedge kind\ g2\ n = LogicNegationNode\ x$
assumes $(g1 \vdash x \simeq xe1) \wedge (g2 \vdash x \simeq xe2)$
assumes $xe1 \geq xe2$
assumes $(g1 \vdash n \simeq e1) \wedge (g2 \vdash n \simeq e2)$
shows $e1 \geq e2$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *mono-narrow*:

assumes $kind\ g1\ n = NarrowNode\ ib\ rb\ x \wedge kind\ g2\ n = NarrowNode\ ib\ rb\ x$
assumes $(g1 \vdash x \simeq xe1) \wedge (g2 \vdash x \simeq xe2)$
assumes $xe1 \geq xe2$
assumes $(g1 \vdash n \simeq e1) \wedge (g2 \vdash n \simeq e2)$
shows $e1 \geq e2$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *mono-sign-extend*:

assumes $kind\ g1\ n = SignExtendNode\ ib\ rb\ x \wedge kind\ g2\ n = SignExtendNode\ ib\ rb\ x$
assumes $(g1 \vdash x \simeq xe1) \wedge (g2 \vdash x \simeq xe2)$
assumes $xe1 \geq xe2$
assumes $(g1 \vdash n \simeq e1) \wedge (g2 \vdash n \simeq e2)$
shows $e1 \geq e2$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *mono-zero-extend*:

assumes $kind\ g1\ n = ZeroExtendNode\ ib\ rb\ x \wedge kind\ g2\ n = ZeroExtendNode\ ib\ rb\ x$
assumes $(g1 \vdash x \simeq xe1) \wedge (g2 \vdash x \simeq xe2)$
assumes $xe1 \geq xe2$
assumes $(g1 \vdash n \simeq e1) \wedge (g2 \vdash n \simeq e2)$
shows $e1 \geq e2$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *mono-conditional-graph*:

assumes $kind\ g1\ n = ConditionalNode\ c\ t\ f \wedge kind\ g2\ n = ConditionalNode\ c\ t\ f$
assumes $(g1 \vdash c \simeq ce1) \wedge (g2 \vdash c \simeq ce2)$

assumes $(g1 \vdash t \simeq te1) \wedge (g2 \vdash t \simeq te2)$
assumes $(g1 \vdash f \simeq fe1) \wedge (g2 \vdash f \simeq fe2)$
assumes $ce1 \geq ce2 \wedge te1 \geq te2 \wedge fe1 \geq fe2$
assumes $(g1 \vdash n \simeq e1) \wedge (g2 \vdash n \simeq e2)$
shows $e1 \geq e2$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *mono-add*:

assumes $kind\ g1\ n = AddNode\ x\ y \wedge kind\ g2\ n = AddNode\ x\ y$
assumes $(g1 \vdash x \simeq xe1) \wedge (g2 \vdash x \simeq xe2)$
assumes $(g1 \vdash y \simeq ye1) \wedge (g2 \vdash y \simeq ye2)$
assumes $xe1 \geq xe2 \wedge ye1 \geq ye2$
assumes $(g1 \vdash n \simeq e1) \wedge (g2 \vdash n \simeq e2)$
shows $e1 \geq e2$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *mono-mul*:

assumes $kind\ g1\ n = MulNode\ x\ y \wedge kind\ g2\ n = MulNode\ x\ y$
assumes $(g1 \vdash x \simeq xe1) \wedge (g2 \vdash x \simeq xe2)$
assumes $(g1 \vdash y \simeq ye1) \wedge (g2 \vdash y \simeq ye2)$
assumes $xe1 \geq xe2 \wedge ye1 \geq ye2$
assumes $(g1 \vdash n \simeq e1) \wedge (g2 \vdash n \simeq e2)$
shows $e1 \geq e2$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *mono-div*:

assumes $kind\ g1\ n = SignedFloatingIntegerDivNode\ x\ y \wedge kind\ g2\ n = SignedFloatingIntegerDivNode\ x\ y$
assumes $(g1 \vdash x \simeq xe1) \wedge (g2 \vdash x \simeq xe2)$
assumes $(g1 \vdash y \simeq ye1) \wedge (g2 \vdash y \simeq ye2)$
assumes $xe1 \geq xe2 \wedge ye1 \geq ye2$
assumes $(g1 \vdash n \simeq e1) \wedge (g2 \vdash n \simeq e2)$
shows $e1 \geq e2$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *mono-mod*:

assumes $kind\ g1\ n = SignedFloatingIntegerRemNode\ x\ y \wedge kind\ g2\ n = SignedFloatingIntegerRemNode\ x\ y$
assumes $(g1 \vdash x \simeq xe1) \wedge (g2 \vdash x \simeq xe2)$
assumes $(g1 \vdash y \simeq ye1) \wedge (g2 \vdash y \simeq ye2)$
assumes $xe1 \geq xe2 \wedge ye1 \geq ye2$
assumes $(g1 \vdash n \simeq e1) \wedge (g2 \vdash n \simeq e2)$
shows $e1 \geq e2$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *term-graph-evaluation*:

$(g \vdash n \sqsubseteq e) \implies (\forall\ m\ p\ v . ([m,p] \vdash e \mapsto v) \longrightarrow ([g,m,p] \vdash n \mapsto v))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *encodes-contains*:

$g \vdash n \simeq e \implies$
 $\text{kind } g \ n \neq \text{NoNode}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *no-encoding*:

assumes $n \notin \text{ids } g$
shows $\neg(g \vdash n \simeq e)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *not-excluded-keep-type*:

assumes $n \in \text{ids } g1$
assumes $n \notin \text{excluded}$
assumes $(\text{excluded} \trianglelefteq \text{as-set } g1) \subseteq \text{as-set } g2$
shows $\text{kind } g1 \ n = \text{kind } g2 \ n \wedge \text{stamp } g1 \ n = \text{stamp } g2 \ n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

method *metis-node-eq-unary* **for** $\text{node} :: 'a \Rightarrow \text{IRNode} =$
 $(\text{match } \text{IRNode.inject} \text{ in } i: (\text{node } - = \text{node } -) = - \Rightarrow$
 $\langle \text{metis } i \rangle)$

method *metis-node-eq-binary* **for** $\text{node} :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow \text{IRNode} =$
 $(\text{match } \text{IRNode.inject} \text{ in } i: (\text{node } - - = \text{node } - -) = - \Rightarrow$
 $\langle \text{metis } i \rangle)$

method *metis-node-eq-ternary* **for** $\text{node} :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow \text{IRNode} =$
 $(\text{match } \text{IRNode.inject} \text{ in } i: (\text{node } - - - = \text{node } - - -) = - \Rightarrow$
 $\langle \text{metis } i \rangle)$

7.8.3 Lift Data-flow Tree Refinement to Graph Refinement

theorem *graph-semantics-preservation*:

assumes $a: e1' \geq e2'$
assumes $b: (\{n'\} \trianglelefteq \text{as-set } g1) \subseteq \text{as-set } g2$
assumes $c: g1 \vdash n' \simeq e1'$
assumes $d: g2 \vdash n' \simeq e2'$
shows *graph-refinement* $g1 \ g2$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *graph-semantics-preservation-subscript*:

assumes $a: e_1' \geq e_2'$
assumes $b: (\{n\} \trianglelefteq \text{as-set } g1) \subseteq \text{as-set } g2$
assumes $c: g1 \vdash n \simeq e_1'$
assumes $d: g2 \vdash n \simeq e_2'$
shows *graph-refinement* $g1 \ g2$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *tree-to-graph-rewriting*:

$e_1 \geq e_2$
 $\wedge (g1 \vdash n \simeq e_1) \wedge \text{maximal-sharing } g1$
 $\wedge (\{n\} \trianglelefteq \text{as-set } g1) \subseteq \text{as-set } g2$

```

 $\wedge (g_2 \vdash n \simeq e_2) \wedge \text{maximal-sharing } g_2$ 
 $\implies \text{graph-refinement } g_1 \ g_2$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

declare  $[[\text{simp-trace}]]$ 
lemma equal-refines:
  fixes  $e1 \ e2 :: \text{IRExpr}$ 
  assumes  $e1 = e2$ 
  shows  $e1 \geq e2$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 
declare  $[[\text{simp-trace}=\text{false}]]$ 

lemma eval-contains-id $[\text{simp}]$ :  $g1 \vdash n \simeq e \implies n \in \text{ids } g1$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma subset-kind $[\text{simp}]$ :  $\text{as-set } g1 \subseteq \text{as-set } g2 \implies g1 \vdash n \simeq e \implies \text{kind } g1 \ n =$ 
 $\text{kind } g2 \ n$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma subset-stamp $[\text{simp}]$ :  $\text{as-set } g1 \subseteq \text{as-set } g2 \implies g1 \vdash n \simeq e \implies \text{stamp } g1 \ n$ 
 $= \text{stamp } g2 \ n$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

method solve-subset-eval uses as-set eval =
  (metis eval as-set subset-kind subset-stamp |
   metis eval as-set subset-kind)

lemma subset-implies-evals:
  assumes  $\text{as-set } g1 \subseteq \text{as-set } g2$ 
  assumes  $(g1 \vdash n \simeq e)$ 
  shows  $(g2 \vdash n \simeq e)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma subset-refines:
  assumes  $\text{as-set } g1 \subseteq \text{as-set } g2$ 
  shows  $\text{graph-refinement } g1 \ g2$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma graph-construction:
   $e_1 \geq e_2$ 
 $\wedge \text{as-set } g1 \subseteq \text{as-set } g2$ 
 $\wedge (g_2 \vdash n \simeq e_2)$ 
 $\implies (g_2 \vdash n \sqsubseteq e_1) \wedge \text{graph-refinement } g1 \ g2$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

7.8.4 Term Graph Reconstruction

lemma *find-exists-kind*:

assumes *find-node-and-stamp* g $(node, s) = Some\ nid$
shows $kind\ g\ nid = node$
<proof>

lemma *find-exists-stamp*:

assumes *find-node-and-stamp* g $(node, s) = Some\ nid$
shows $stamp\ g\ nid = s$
<proof>

lemma *find-new-kind*:

assumes $g' = add-node\ nid\ (node, s)\ g$
assumes $node \neq NoNode$
shows $kind\ g'\ nid = node$
<proof>

lemma *find-new-stamp*:

assumes $g' = add-node\ nid\ (node, s)\ g$
assumes $node \neq NoNode$
shows $stamp\ g'\ nid = s$
<proof>

lemma *sorted-bottom*:

assumes *finite* xs
assumes $x \in xs$
shows $x \leq last(sorted-list-of-set(xs::nat\ set))$
<proof>

lemma *fresh*: *finite* $xs \implies last(sorted-list-of-set(xs::nat\ set)) + 1 \notin xs$

<proof>

lemma *fresh-ids*:

assumes $n = get-fresh-id\ g$
shows $n \notin ids\ g$
<proof>

lemma *graph-unchanged-rep-unchanged*:

assumes $\forall n \in ids\ g. kind\ g\ n = kind\ g'\ n$
assumes $\forall n \in ids\ g. stamp\ g\ n = stamp\ g'\ n$
shows $(g \vdash n \simeq e) \longrightarrow (g' \vdash n \simeq e)$
<proof>

lemma *fresh-node-subset*:

assumes $n \notin ids\ g$
assumes $g' = add-node\ n\ (k, s)\ g$
shows $as-set\ g \subseteq as-set\ g'$
<proof>

lemma *unrep-subset*:

assumes $(g \oplus e \rightsquigarrow (g', n))$

shows $as\text{-}set\ g \subseteq as\text{-}set\ g'$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fresh-node-preserves-other-nodes*:

assumes $n' = get\text{-}fresh\text{-}id\ g$

assumes $g' = add\text{-}node\ n' (k, s)\ g$

shows $\forall\ n \in ids\ g. (g \vdash n \simeq e) \longrightarrow (g' \vdash n \simeq e)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *found-node-preserves-other-nodes*:

assumes $find\text{-}node\text{-}and\text{-}stamp\ g\ (k, s) = Some\ n$

shows $\forall\ n \in ids\ g. (g \vdash n \simeq e) \longleftrightarrow (g \vdash n \simeq e)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *unrep-ids-subset[simp]*:

assumes $g \oplus e \rightsquigarrow (g', n)$

shows $ids\ g \subseteq ids\ g'$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *unrep-unchanged*:

assumes $g \oplus e \rightsquigarrow (g', n)$

shows $\forall\ n \in ids\ g. \forall\ e. (g \vdash n \simeq e) \longrightarrow (g' \vdash n \simeq e)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

theorem *term-graph-reconstruction*:

$g \oplus e \rightsquigarrow (g', n) \implies (g' \vdash n \simeq e) \wedge as\text{-}set\ g \subseteq as\text{-}set\ g'$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ref-refinement*:

assumes $g \vdash n \simeq e_1$

assumes $kind\ g\ n' = RefNode\ n$

shows $g \vdash n' \trianglelefteq e_1$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *unrep-refines*:

assumes $g \oplus e \rightsquigarrow (g', n)$

shows $graph\text{-}refinement\ g\ g'$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *add-new-node-refines*:

assumes $n \notin ids\ g$

assumes $g' = add\text{-}node\ n\ (k, s)\ g$

shows $graph\text{-}refinement\ g\ g'$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *add-node-as-set*:

assumes $g' = add\text{-}node\ n\ (k, s)\ g$

shows $(\{n\} \trianglelefteq \text{as-set } g) \subseteq \text{as-set } g'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

theorem *refined-insert*:

assumes $e_1 \geq e_2$
assumes $g_1 \oplus e_2 \rightsquigarrow (g_2, n')$
shows $(g_2 \vdash n' \trianglelefteq e_1) \wedge \text{graph-refinement } g_1 \ g_2$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ids-finite*: *finite* (*ids* *g*)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *unwrap-sorted*: *set* (*sorted-list-of-set* (*ids* *g*)) = *ids* *g*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *find-none*:

assumes *find-node-and-stamp* *g* (*k*, *s*) = *None*
shows $\forall n \in \text{ids } g. \text{kind } g \ n \neq k \vee \text{stamp } g \ n \neq s$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

method *ref-represents* **uses** *node* =
 $(\text{metis } \text{IRNode.distinct}(2755) \ \text{RefNode.dual-order.refl find-new-kind fresh-node-subset}$
node subset-implies-evals)

7.8.5 Data-flow Tree to Subgraph Preserves Maximal Sharing

lemma *same-kind-stamp-encodes-equal*:

assumes $\text{kind } g \ n = \text{kind } g \ n'$
assumes $\text{stamp } g \ n = \text{stamp } g \ n'$
assumes $\neg(\text{is-preevaluated } (\text{kind } g \ n))$
shows $\forall e. (g \vdash n \simeq e) \longrightarrow (g \vdash n' \simeq e)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *new-node-not-present*:

assumes *find-node-and-stamp* *g* (*node*, *s*) = *None*
assumes $n = \text{get-fresh-id } g$
assumes $g' = \text{add-node } n \ (\text{node}, s) \ g$
shows $\forall n' \in \text{true-ids } g. (\forall e. ((g \vdash n \simeq e) \wedge (g \vdash n' \simeq e)) \longrightarrow n = n')$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *true-ids-def*:

$true-ids\ g = \{n \in ids\ g. \neg(is-RefNode\ (kind\ g\ n)) \wedge ((kind\ g\ n) \neq NoNode)\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *add-node-some-node-def*:

assumes $k \neq NoNode$

assumes $g' = add-node\ nid\ (k, s)\ g$

shows $g' = Abs-IRGraph\ ((Rep-IRGraph\ g)(nid \mapsto (k, s)))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ids-add-update-v1*:

assumes $g' = add-node\ nid\ (k, s)\ g$

assumes $k \neq NoNode$

shows $dom\ (Rep-IRGraph\ g') = dom\ (Rep-IRGraph\ g) \cup \{nid\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ids-add-update-v2*:

assumes $g' = add-node\ nid\ (k, s)\ g$

assumes $k \neq NoNode$

shows $nid \in ids\ g'$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *add-node-ids-subset*:

assumes $n \in ids\ g$

assumes $g' = add-node\ n\ node\ g$

shows $ids\ g' = ids\ g \cup \{n\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *convert-maximal*:

assumes $\forall n\ n'.\ n \in true-ids\ g \wedge n' \in true-ids\ g \longrightarrow$

$(\forall e\ e'.\ (g \vdash n \simeq e) \wedge (g \vdash n' \simeq e') \longrightarrow e \neq e')$

shows *maximal-sharing* g

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *add-node-set-eq*:

assumes $k \neq NoNode$

assumes $n \notin ids\ g$

shows $as-set\ (add-node\ n\ (k, s)\ g) = as-set\ g \cup \{(n, (k, s))\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *add-node-as-set-eq*:

assumes $g' = add-node\ n\ (k, s)\ g$

assumes $n \notin ids\ g$

shows $(\{n\} \trianglelefteq as-set\ g') = as-set\ g$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *true-ids*:

$true-ids\ g = ids\ g - \{n \in ids\ g. is-RefNode\ (kind\ g\ n)\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *as-set-ids*:
assumes $as-set\ g = as-set\ g'$
shows $ids\ g = ids\ g'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ids-add-update*:
assumes $k \neq NoNode$
assumes $n \notin ids\ g$
assumes $g' = add-node\ n\ (k, s)\ g$
shows $ids\ g' = ids\ g \cup \{n\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *true-ids-add-update*:
assumes $k \neq NoNode$
assumes $n \notin ids\ g$
assumes $g' = add-node\ n\ (k, s)\ g$
assumes $\neg(is-RefNode\ k)$
shows $true-ids\ g' = true-ids\ g \cup \{n\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *new-def*:
assumes $(new \sqsubseteq as-set\ g') = as-set\ g$
shows $n \in ids\ g \longrightarrow n \notin new$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *add-preserves-rep*:
assumes $unchanged: (new \sqsubseteq as-set\ g') = as-set\ g$
assumes $closed: wf-closed\ g$
assumes $existed: n \in ids\ g$
assumes $g' \vdash n \simeq e$
shows $g \vdash n \simeq e$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *not-in-no-rep*:
 $n \notin ids\ g \implies \forall e. \neg(g \vdash n \simeq e)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *unary-inputs*:
assumes $kind\ g\ n = unary-node\ op\ x$
shows $inputs\ g\ n = \{x\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *unary-succ*:
assumes $kind\ g\ n = unary-node\ op\ x$

```

shows succ g n = {}
<proof>

lemma binary-inputs:
  assumes kind g n = bin-node op x y
  shows inputs g n = {x, y}
  <proof>

lemma binary-succ:
  assumes kind g n = bin-node op x y
  shows succ g n = {}
  <proof>

lemma unrep-contains:
  assumes g  $\oplus$  e  $\rightsquigarrow$  (g', n)
  shows n  $\in$  ids g'
  <proof>

lemma unrep-preserves-contains:
  assumes n  $\in$  ids g
  assumes g  $\oplus$  e  $\rightsquigarrow$  (g', n')
  shows n  $\in$  ids g'
  <proof>

lemma unrep-preserves-closure:
  assumes wf-closed g
  assumes g  $\oplus$  e  $\rightsquigarrow$  (g', n)
  shows wf-closed g'
  <proof>

inductive-cases ConstUnrepE: g  $\oplus$  (ConstantExpr x)  $\rightsquigarrow$  (g', n)

definition constant-value where
  constant-value = (IntVal 32 0)

definition bad-graph where
  bad-graph = irgraph [
    (0, AbsNode 1, constantAsStamp constant-value),
    (1, RefNode 2, constantAsStamp constant-value),
    (2, ConstantNode constant-value, constantAsStamp constant-value)
  ]

end

```

8 Control-flow Semantics

```

theory IRStepObj
  imports

```

TreeToGraph
Graph.Class
begin

8.1 Object Heap

The heap model we introduce maps field references to object instances to runtime values. We use the $H[f][p]$ heap representation. See \cite{heap-reps-2011}.

We also introduce the `DynamicHeap` type which allocates new object references sequentially storing the next free object reference as 'Free'.

heapdef

```
type-synonym ('a, 'b) Heap = 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'b  $\Rightarrow$  Value
type-synonym Free = nat
type-synonym ('a, 'b) DynamicHeap = ('a, 'b) Heap  $\times$  Free

fun h-load-field :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'b  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 'b) DynamicHeap  $\Rightarrow$  Value where
  h-load-field f r (h, n) = h f r

fun h-store-field :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'b  $\Rightarrow$  Value  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 'b) DynamicHeap  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 'b)
  DynamicHeap where
  h-store-field f r v (h, n) = (h(f := ((h f)(r := v))), n)

fun h-new-inst :: (string, objref) DynamicHeap  $\Rightarrow$  string  $\Rightarrow$  (string, objref)
  DynamicHeap  $\times$  Value where
  h-new-inst (h, n) className = (h-store-field "class" (Some n) (ObjStr
    className) (h,n+1), (ObjRef (Some n)))

type-synonym FieldRefHeap = (string, objref) DynamicHeap
```

definition new-heap :: ('a, 'b) DynamicHeap **where**
 new-heap = (($\lambda f. \lambda p. \text{UndefVal}$), 0)

8.2 Intraprocedural Semantics

```
fun find-index :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a list  $\Rightarrow$  nat where
  find-index - [] = 0 |
  find-index v (x # xs) = (if (x=v) then 0 else find-index v xs + 1)
```

```
fun phi-list :: IRGraph  $\Rightarrow$  ID  $\Rightarrow$  ID list where
  phi-list g n =
    (filter ( $\lambda x. (\text{is-PhiNode } (\text{kind } g \ x))$ )
     (sorted-list-of-set (usages g n)))
```

```
fun input-index :: IRGraph  $\Rightarrow$  ID  $\Rightarrow$  ID  $\Rightarrow$  nat where
  input-index g n n' = find-index n' (inputs-of (kind g n))
```

fun *phi-inputs* :: *IRGraph* \Rightarrow *nat* \Rightarrow *ID list* \Rightarrow *ID list* **where**
phi-inputs *g i nodes* = (*map* ($\lambda n.$ (*inputs-of* (*kind g n*))!(*i* + 1)) *nodes*)

fun *set-phis* :: *ID list* \Rightarrow *Value list* \Rightarrow *MapState* \Rightarrow *MapState* **where**
set-phis [] [] *m* = *m* |
set-phis (*n # xs*) (*v # vs*) *m* = (*set-phis xs vs* (*m*(*n* := *v*))) |
set-phis [] (*v # vs*) *m* = *m* |
set-phis (*x # xs*) [] *m* = *m*

Intraprocedural semantics are given as a small-step semantics.

Within the context of a graph, the configuration triple, (*ID*, *MethodState*, *Heap*), is related to the subsequent configuration.

inductive *step* :: *IRGraph* \Rightarrow *Params* \Rightarrow (*ID* \times *MapState* \times *FieldRefHeap*) \Rightarrow (*ID* \times *MapState* \times *FieldRefHeap*) \Rightarrow *bool*
(\neg , $- \vdash - \rightarrow -$ 55) **for** *g p* **where**

SequentialNode:

$\llbracket is_sequential_node (kind\ g\ nid);$
 $nid' = (successors_of (kind\ g\ nid))!0 \rrbracket$
 $\implies g, p \vdash (nid, m, h) \rightarrow (nid', m, h) \mid$

FixedGuardNode:

$\llbracket (kind\ g\ nid) = (FixedGuardNode\ cond\ before\ next);$
 $g \vdash cond \simeq condE;$
 $[m, p] \vdash condE \mapsto val;$

$\neg(val_to_bool\ val);$

$nid' = next \rrbracket$
 $\implies g, p \vdash (nid, m, h) \rightarrow (nid', m, h) \mid$

BytecodeExceptionNode:

$\llbracket (kind\ g\ nid) = (BytecodeExceptionNode\ args\ st\ nid');$
 $exceptionType = stp_type (stamp\ g\ nid);$
 $(h', ref) = h_new_inst\ h\ exceptionType;$
 $m' = m(nid := ref) \rrbracket$
 $\implies g, p \vdash (nid, m, h) \rightarrow (nid', m', h') \mid$

IfNode:

$\llbracket kind\ g\ nid = (IfNode\ cond\ tb\ fb);$
 $g \vdash cond \simeq condE;$
 $[m, p] \vdash condE \mapsto val;$
 $nid' = (if\ val_to_bool\ val\ then\ tb\ else\ fb) \rrbracket$
 $\implies g, p \vdash (nid, m, h) \rightarrow (nid', m, h) \mid$

EndNodes:

$\llbracket is-AbstractEndNode \ (kind \ g \ nid);$
 $\quad merge = any-usage \ g \ nid;$
 $\quad is-AbstractMergeNode \ (kind \ g \ merge);$

 $i = find-index \ nid \ (inputs-of \ (kind \ g \ merge));$
 $\quad phis = (phi-list \ g \ merge);$
 $\quad inps = (phi-inputs \ g \ i \ phis);$
 $\quad g \vdash inps \simeq_L inpsE;$
 $\quad [m, p] \vdash inpsE \mapsto_L vs;$

$m' = set-phis \ phis \ vs \ m \rrbracket$
 $\implies g, p \vdash (nid, m, h) \rightarrow (merge, m', h) \mid$

NewArrayNode:

$\llbracket kind \ g \ nid = (NewArrayNode \ len \ st \ nid');$
 $\quad g \vdash len \simeq lenE;$
 $\quad [m, p] \vdash lenE \mapsto length';$

 $arrayType = stp-type \ (stamp \ g \ nid);$
 $\quad (h', ref) = h-new-inst \ h \ arrayType;$
 $\quad ref = ObjRef \ refNo;$
 $\quad h'' = h-store-field \ "" \ refNo \ (intval-new-array \ length' \ arrayType) \ h';$

$m' = m(nid := ref) \rrbracket$
 $\implies g, p \vdash (nid, m, h) \rightarrow (nid', m', h'') \mid$

ArrayLengthNode:

$\llbracket kind \ g \ nid = (ArrayLengthNode \ x \ nid');$
 $\quad g \vdash x \simeq xE;$
 $\quad [m, p] \vdash xE \mapsto ObjRef \ ref;$

 $h-load-field \ "" \ ref \ h = arrayVal;$
 $\quad length' = array-length \ (arrayVal);$

$m' = m(nid := length') \rrbracket$
 $\implies g, p \vdash (nid, m, h) \rightarrow (nid', m', h) \mid$

LoadIndexedNode:

$\llbracket kind \ g \ nid = (LoadIndexedNode \ index \ guard \ array \ nid');$
 $\quad g \vdash index \simeq indexE;$
 $\quad [m, p] \vdash indexE \mapsto indexVal;$

 $g \vdash array \simeq arrayE;$
 $\quad [m, p] \vdash arrayE \mapsto ObjRef \ ref;$

 $h-load-field \ "" \ ref \ h = arrayVal;$
 $\quad loaded = intval-load-index \ arrayVal \ indexVal;$

 $m' = m(nid := loaded) \rrbracket$

$$\Longrightarrow g, p \vdash (nid, m, h) \rightarrow (nid', m', h) \mid$$

StoreIndexedNode:

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket kind\ g\ nid = (StoreIndexedNode\ check\ val\ st\ index\ guard\ array\ nid'); \\ & \quad g \vdash index \simeq indexE; \\ & \quad [m, p] \vdash indexE \mapsto indexVal; \\ & \\ & \quad g \vdash array \simeq arrayE; \\ & \quad [m, p] \vdash arrayE \mapsto ObjRef\ ref; \\ & \\ & \quad g \vdash val \simeq valE; \\ & \quad [m, p] \vdash valE \mapsto value; \\ & \\ & \quad h\text{-load-field}\ \text{''''}\ ref\ h = arrayVal; \\ & \quad updated = intval\text{-store-index}\ arrayVal\ indexVal\ value; \\ & \quad h' = h\text{-store-field}\ \text{''''}\ ref\ updated\ h; \\ & \quad m' = m(nid := updated) \rrbracket \\ & \Longrightarrow g, p \vdash (nid, m, h) \rightarrow (nid', m', h') \mid \end{aligned}$$

NewInstanceNode:

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket kind\ g\ nid = (NewInstanceNode\ nid\ cname\ obj\ nid'); \\ & \quad (h', ref) = h\text{-new-inst}\ h\ cname; \\ & \quad m' = m(nid := ref) \rrbracket \\ & \Longrightarrow g, p \vdash (nid, m, h) \rightarrow (nid', m', h') \mid \end{aligned}$$

LoadFieldNode:

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket kind\ g\ nid = (LoadFieldNode\ nid\ f\ (Some\ obj)\ nid'); \\ & \quad g \vdash obj \simeq objE; \\ & \quad [m, p] \vdash objE \mapsto ObjRef\ ref; \\ & \quad h\text{-load-field}\ f\ ref\ h = v; \\ & \quad m' = m(nid := v) \rrbracket \\ & \Longrightarrow g, p \vdash (nid, m, h) \rightarrow (nid', m', h) \mid \end{aligned}$$

SignedDivNode:

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket kind\ g\ nid = (SignedDivNode\ nid\ x\ y\ zero\ sb\ nxt); \\ & \quad g \vdash x \simeq xe; \\ & \quad g \vdash y \simeq ye; \\ & \quad [m, p] \vdash xe \mapsto v1; \\ & \quad [m, p] \vdash ye \mapsto v2; \\ & \quad v = (intval\text{-div}\ v1\ v2); \\ & \quad m' = m(nid := v) \rrbracket \\ & \Longrightarrow g, p \vdash (nid, m, h) \rightarrow (nxt, m', h) \mid \end{aligned}$$

SignedRemNode:

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket kind\ g\ nid = (SignedRemNode\ nid\ x\ y\ zero\ sb\ nxt); \\ & \quad g \vdash x \simeq xe; \\ & \quad g \vdash y \simeq ye; \\ & \quad [m, p] \vdash xe \mapsto v1; \\ & \quad [m, p] \vdash ye \mapsto v2; \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& v = (\text{intval-mod } v1 \ v2); \\
& m' = m(\text{nid} := v) \\
\implies & g, p \vdash (\text{nid}, m, h) \rightarrow (\text{next}, m', h) \mid
\end{aligned}$$

StaticLoadFieldNode:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \llbracket \text{kind } g \text{ nid} = (\text{LoadFieldNode } \text{nid} \ f \ \text{None} \ \text{nid}') \rrbracket; \\
& h\text{-load-field } f \ \text{None} \ h = v; \\
& m' = m(\text{nid} := v) \\
\implies & g, p \vdash (\text{nid}, m, h) \rightarrow (\text{nid}', m', h) \mid
\end{aligned}$$

StoreFieldNode:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \llbracket \text{kind } g \text{ nid} = (\text{StoreFieldNode } \text{nid} \ f \ \text{newval} - (\text{Some } \text{obj}) \ \text{nid}') \rrbracket; \\
& g \vdash \text{newval} \simeq \text{newval}E; \\
& g \vdash \text{obj} \simeq \text{obj}E; \\
& [m, p] \vdash \text{newval}E \mapsto \text{val}; \\
& [m, p] \vdash \text{obj}E \mapsto \text{ObjRef } \text{ref}; \\
& h' = h\text{-store-field } f \ \text{ref} \ \text{val} \ h; \\
& m' = m(\text{nid} := \text{val}) \\
\implies & g, p \vdash (\text{nid}, m, h) \rightarrow (\text{nid}', m', h') \mid
\end{aligned}$$

StaticStoreFieldNode:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \llbracket \text{kind } g \text{ nid} = (\text{StoreFieldNode } \text{nid} \ f \ \text{newval} - \text{None} \ \text{nid}') \rrbracket; \\
& g \vdash \text{newval} \simeq \text{newval}E; \\
& [m, p] \vdash \text{newval}E \mapsto \text{val}; \\
& h' = h\text{-store-field } f \ \text{None} \ \text{val} \ h; \\
& m' = m(\text{nid} := \text{val}) \\
\implies & g, p \vdash (\text{nid}, m, h) \rightarrow (\text{nid}', m', h')
\end{aligned}$$

code-pred (*modes*: $i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i * i * i \Rightarrow o * o * o \Rightarrow \text{bool}$) *step* $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

8.3 Interprocedural Semantics

type-synonym *Signature* = *string*

type-synonym *Program* = *Signature* \rightarrow *IRGraph*

type-synonym *System* = *Program* \times *Classes*

function *dynamic-lookup* :: *System* \Rightarrow *string* \Rightarrow *string* \Rightarrow *string list* \Rightarrow *IRGraph*

option where

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{dynamic-lookup } (P, \text{cl}) \ \text{cn} \ \text{mn} \ \text{path} = (\\
& \quad \text{if } (\text{cn} = \text{"None"} \vee \text{cn} \notin \text{set } (\text{Class.mapJVMFunc } \text{class-name } \text{cl})) \vee \text{path} = [] \\
& \quad \text{then } (P \ \text{mn}) \\
& \quad \text{else } (
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \quad \text{let } \text{method-index} = (\text{find-index } (\text{get-simple-signature } \text{mn}) (\text{CLsimple-signatures} \\
& \text{cn } \text{cl})) \text{ in}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{let } \text{parent} = \text{hd } \text{path} \text{ in}$$

$$\text{if } (\text{method-index} = \text{length } (\text{CLsimple-signatures } \text{cn } \text{cl}))$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{then } (\text{dynamic-lookup } (P, cl) \text{ parent } mn \text{ (tl path)}) \\ & \text{else } (P \text{ (nth (map method-unique-name (CLget-Methods cn cl))} \\ & \text{method-index})) \\ &) \\ &) \end{aligned}$$

$$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$$

termination *dynamic-lookup* $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

inductive *step-top* :: *System* \Rightarrow (*IRGraph* \times *ID* \times *MapState* \times *Params*) *list* \times *FieldRefHeap* \Rightarrow
 $(\text{IRGraph} \times \text{ID} \times \text{MapState} \times \text{Params}) \text{ list} \times$
FieldRefHeap \Rightarrow *bool*
 $(- \vdash - \longrightarrow - \text{ 55})$
for *S* **where**

Lift:

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket g, p \vdash (nid, m, h) \rightarrow (nid', m', h') \rrbracket \\ & \implies (S) \vdash ((g, nid, m, p) \# stk, h) \longrightarrow ((g, nid', m', p) \# stk, h') \mid \end{aligned}$$

InvokeNodeStepStatic:

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket \text{is-Invoke } (kind \ g \ nid) ; \\ & \quad \text{callTarget} = \text{ir-callTarget } (kind \ g \ nid) ; \\ & \quad kind \ g \ \text{callTarget} = (\text{MethodCallTargetNode } \text{targetMethod } \text{arguments } \text{invoke-kind}) ; \\ & \quad \neg(\text{hasReceiver } \text{invoke-kind}) ; \\ & \quad \text{Some } \text{targetGraph} = (\text{dynamic-lookup } S \text{ "None" } \text{targetMethod } []) ; \\ & \quad m' = \text{new-map-state} ; \\ & \quad g \vdash \text{arguments} \simeq_L \text{argsE} ; \\ & \quad [m, p] \vdash \text{argsE} \mapsto_L p \rrbracket \\ & \implies (S) \vdash ((g, nid, m, p) \# stk, h) \longrightarrow ((\text{targetGraph}, 0, m', p') \# (g, nid, m, p) \# stk, \\ & h) \mid \end{aligned}$$

InvokeNodeStep:

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket \text{is-Invoke } (kind \ g \ nid) ; \\ & \quad \text{callTarget} = \text{ir-callTarget } (kind \ g \ nid) ; \\ & \quad kind \ g \ \text{callTarget} = (\text{MethodCallTargetNode } \text{targetMethod } \text{arguments } \text{invoke-kind}) ; \\ & \quad \text{hasReceiver } \text{invoke-kind} ; \\ & \quad m' = \text{new-map-state} ; \\ & \quad g \vdash \text{arguments} \simeq_L \text{argsE} ; \\ & \quad [m, p] \vdash \text{argsE} \mapsto_L p' ; \\ & \quad \text{ObjRef } \text{self} = \text{hd } p' ; \\ & \quad \text{ObjStr } \text{cname} = (\text{h-load-field "class" self } h) ; \\ & \quad S = (P, cl) ; \\ & \quad \text{Some } \text{targetGraph} = \text{dynamic-lookup } S \ \text{cname } \text{targetMethod } (\text{class-parents} \\ & \quad (\text{CLget-JVMClass } \text{cname } cl)) \rrbracket \\ & \implies (S) \vdash ((g, nid, m, p) \# stk, h) \longrightarrow ((\text{targetGraph}, 0, m', p') \# (g, nid, m, p) \# stk, \\ & h) \mid \end{aligned}$$

ReturnNode:

$\llbracket \text{kind } g \text{ nid} = (\text{ReturnNode } (\text{Some } \text{expr}) \text{ -}) \rrbracket$;

$g \vdash \text{expr} \simeq e$;

$[m, p] \vdash e \mapsto v$;

$cm' = cm(\text{cnid} := v)$;

$\text{cnid}' = (\text{successors-of } (\text{kind } cg \text{ cnid}))!0$

$\implies (S) \vdash ((g, \text{nid}, m, p) \# (cg, \text{cnid}, cm, cp) \# \text{stk}, h) \longrightarrow ((cg, \text{cnid}', cm', cp) \# \text{stk}, h)$

|

ReturnNodeVoid:

$\llbracket \text{kind } g \text{ nid} = (\text{ReturnNode } \text{None} \text{ -}) \rrbracket$;

$cm' = cm(\text{cnid} := (\text{ObjRef } (\text{Some } (2048))))$;

$\text{cnid}' = (\text{successors-of } (\text{kind } cg \text{ cnid}))!0$

$\implies (S) \vdash ((g, \text{nid}, m, p) \# (cg, \text{cnid}, cm, cp) \# \text{stk}, h) \longrightarrow ((cg, \text{cnid}', cm', cp) \# \text{stk}, h)$

|

UnwindNode:

$\llbracket \text{kind } g \text{ nid} = (\text{UnwindNode } \text{exception}) \rrbracket$;

$g \vdash \text{exception} \simeq \text{exceptionE}$;

$[m, p] \vdash \text{exceptionE} \mapsto e$;

$\text{kind } cg \text{ cnid} = (\text{InvokeWithExceptionNode} \text{ - - - - } \text{exEdge})$;

$cm' = cm(\text{cnid} := e)$

$\implies (S) \vdash ((g, \text{nid}, m, p) \# (cg, \text{cnid}, cm, cp) \# \text{stk}, h) \longrightarrow ((cg, \text{exEdge}, cm', cp) \# \text{stk}, h)$

code-pred (*modes*: $i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o \Rightarrow \text{bool}$) *step-top* $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

8.4 Big-step Execution

type-synonym *Trace* = (*IRGraph* \times *ID* \times *MapState* \times *Params*) *list*

fun *has-return* :: *MapState* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**

has-return *m* = (*m* 0 \neq *UndefVal*)

inductive *exec* :: *System*

\Rightarrow (*IRGraph* \times *ID* \times *MapState* \times *Params*) *list* \times *FieldRefHeap*

\Rightarrow *Trace*

\Rightarrow (*IRGraph* \times *ID* \times *MapState* \times *Params*) *list* \times *FieldRefHeap*

\Rightarrow *Trace*

\Rightarrow *bool*

(- \vdash - | - \longrightarrow * - | -)

for *P* **where**

$\llbracket P \vdash (((g, \text{nid}, m, p) \# xs), h) \longrightarrow (((g', \text{nid}', m', p') \# ys), h') \rrbracket$;

$\neg(\text{has-return } m');$
 $l' = (l @ [(g, \text{nid}, m, p)]);$
 $\text{exec } P (((g', \text{nid}', m', p') \# \text{ys}), h') \text{ } l' \text{ next-state } l''$
 $\implies \text{exec } P (((g, \text{nid}, m, p) \# \text{xs}), h) \text{ } l \text{ next-state } l''$
 $|$
 $\llbracket P \vdash (((g, \text{nid}, m, p) \# \text{xs}), h) \longrightarrow (((g', \text{nid}', m', p') \# \text{ys}), h');$
 $\text{has-return } m';$
 $l' = (l @ [(g, \text{nid}, m, p)])$
 $\implies \text{exec } P (((g, \text{nid}, m, p) \# \text{xs}), h) \text{ } l \text{ } (((g', \text{nid}', m', p') \# \text{ys}), h') \text{ } l'$
code-pred (*modes*: $i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o \Rightarrow o \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ as *Exec*) *exec* $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$
inductive *exec-debug* :: *System*
 $\Rightarrow (\text{IRGraph} \times \text{ID} \times \text{MapState} \times \text{Params}) \text{ list} \times \text{FieldRefHeap}$
 $\Rightarrow \text{nat}$
 $\Rightarrow (\text{IRGraph} \times \text{ID} \times \text{MapState} \times \text{Params}) \text{ list} \times \text{FieldRefHeap}$
 $\Rightarrow \text{bool}$
 $(\vdash \longrightarrow * - * -)$
where
 $\llbracket n > 0;$
 $p \vdash s \longrightarrow s';$
 $\text{exec-debug } p \text{ } s' \text{ } (n - 1) \text{ } s''$
 $\implies \text{exec-debug } p \text{ } s \text{ } n \text{ } s'' \mid$
 $\llbracket n = 0$
 $\implies \text{exec-debug } p \text{ } s \text{ } n \text{ } s$
code-pred (*modes*: $i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o \Rightarrow \text{bool}$) *exec-debug* $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

8.4.1 Heap Testing

definition *p3* :: *Params* **where**

p3 = [*IntVal* 32 3]

fun *graphToSystem* :: *IRGraph* \Rightarrow *System* **where**

graphToSystem *graph* = (($\lambda x.$ *Some graph*), *JVMClasses* [])

values {(*prod.fst*(*prod.snd* (*prod.snd* (*hd* (*prod.fst* *res*)))) 0

| *res*. (*graphToSystem* *eg2-sq*) \vdash [(*eg2-sq*, 0, *new-map-state*, *p3*), (*eg2-sq*, 0, *new-map-state*, *p3*)],
new-heap) $\rightarrow^* 2^* \text{res}$ }

definition *field-sq* :: *string* **where**

field-sq = "sq"

definition *eg3-sq* :: *IRGraph* **where**

eg3-sq = *irgraph* [

```

    (0, StartNode None 4, VoidStamp),
    (1, ParameterNode 0, default-stamp),
    (3, MulNode 1 1, default-stamp),
    (4, StoreFieldNode 4 field-sq 3 None None 5, VoidStamp),
    (5, ReturnNode (Some 3) None, default-stamp)
  ]

values {h-load-field field-sq None (prod.snd res)
  | res. (graphToSystem eg3-sq) ⊢ [(eg3-sq, 0, new-map-state, p3), (eg3-sq, 0,
new-map-state, p3)], new-heap) →*3* res}

definition eg4-sq :: IRGraph where
  eg4-sq = irgraph [
    (0, StartNode None 4, VoidStamp),
    (1, ParameterNode 0, default-stamp),
    (3, MulNode 1 1, default-stamp),
    (4, NewInstanceNode 4 "obj-class" None 5, ObjectStamp "obj-class" True True
False),
    (5, StoreFieldNode 5 field-sq 3 None (Some 4) 6, VoidStamp),
    (6, ReturnNode (Some 3) None, default-stamp)
  ]

values {h-load-field field-sq (Some 0) (prod.snd res)
  | res. (graphToSystem (eg4-sq)) ⊢ [(eg4-sq, 0, new-map-state, p3), (eg4-sq,
0, new-map-state, p3)], new-heap) →*3* res}

end

```

8.5 Control-flow Semantics Theorems

```

theory IRStepThms
imports
  IRStepObj
  TreeToGraphThms
begin

```

We prove that within the same graph, a configuration triple will always transition to the same subsequent configuration. Therefore, our step semantics is deterministic.

8.5.1 Control-flow Step is Deterministic

```

theorem stepDet:
  (g, p ⊢ (nid,m,h) → next) ⇒
  (∀ next'. ((g, p ⊢ (nid,m,h) → next') ⟶ next = next'))
  <proof>

```

lemma *stepRefNode*:

$\llbracket \text{kind } g \text{ nid} = \text{RefNode } \text{nid}' \rrbracket \implies g, p \vdash (\text{nid}, m, h) \rightarrow (\text{nid}', m, h)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *IfNodeStepCases*:

assumes $\text{kind } g \text{ nid} = \text{IfNode } \text{cond } \text{tb } \text{fb}$
assumes $g \vdash \text{cond} \simeq \text{condE}$
assumes $[m, p] \vdash \text{condE} \mapsto v$
assumes $g, p \vdash (\text{nid}, m, h) \rightarrow (\text{nid}', m, h)$
shows $\text{nid}' \in \{\text{tb}, \text{fb}\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *IfNodeSeq*:

shows $\text{kind } g \text{ nid} = \text{IfNode } \text{cond } \text{tb } \text{fb} \longrightarrow \neg(\text{is-sequential-node } (\text{kind } g \text{ nid}))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *IfNodeCond*:

assumes $\text{kind } g \text{ nid} = \text{IfNode } \text{cond } \text{tb } \text{fb}$
assumes $g, p \vdash (\text{nid}, m, h) \rightarrow (\text{nid}', m, h)$
shows $\exists \text{ condE } v. ((g \vdash \text{cond} \simeq \text{condE}) \wedge ([m, p] \vdash \text{condE} \mapsto v))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *step-in-ids*:

assumes $g, p \vdash (\text{nid}, m, h) \rightarrow (\text{nid}', m', h')$
shows $\text{nid}' \in \text{ids } g$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

9 Proof Infrastructure

9.1 Bisimulation

theory *Bisimulation*

imports

Stuttering

begin

inductive *weak-bisimilar* :: $ID \Rightarrow IRGraph \Rightarrow IRGraph \Rightarrow \text{bool}$

$(- \cdot - \sim -)$ **for** *nid* **where**

$\llbracket \forall P'. (g \text{ m } p \text{ h} \vdash \text{nid} \rightsquigarrow P') \longrightarrow (\exists Q'. (g' \text{ m } p \text{ h} \vdash \text{nid} \rightsquigarrow Q') \wedge P' = Q');$
 $\forall Q'. (g' \text{ m } p \text{ h} \vdash \text{nid} \rightsquigarrow Q') \longrightarrow (\exists P'. (g \text{ m } p \text{ h} \vdash \text{nid} \rightsquigarrow P') \wedge P' = Q') \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{nid} \cdot g \sim g'$

A strong bisimulation between no-op transitions

inductive *strong-noop-bisimilar* :: $ID \Rightarrow IRGraph \Rightarrow IRGraph \Rightarrow \text{bool}$

$(- \mid - \sim -)$ **for** *nid* **where**

$$\begin{aligned}
& \llbracket \forall P'. (g, p \vdash (nid, m, h) \rightarrow P') \longrightarrow (\exists Q'. (g', p \vdash (nid, m, h) \rightarrow Q') \wedge P' = Q') \rrbracket; \\
& \quad \forall Q'. (g', p \vdash (nid, m, h) \rightarrow Q') \longrightarrow (\exists P'. (g, p \vdash (nid, m, h) \rightarrow P') \wedge P' = Q') \rrbracket \\
& \implies nid \mid g \sim g'
\end{aligned}$$

lemma *lockstep-strong-bisimulation:*

assumes $g' = \text{replace-node } nid \text{ node } g$
assumes $g, p \vdash (nid, m, h) \rightarrow (nid', m, h)$
assumes $g', p \vdash (nid, m, h) \rightarrow (nid', m, h)$
shows $nid \mid g \sim g'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *no-step-bisimulation:*

assumes $\forall m \ p \ h \ nid' \ m' \ h'. \neg(g, p \vdash (nid, m, h) \rightarrow (nid', m', h'))$
assumes $\forall m \ p \ h \ nid' \ m' \ h'. \neg(g', p \vdash (nid, m, h) \rightarrow (nid', m', h'))$
shows $nid \mid g \sim g'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

9.2 Graph Rewriting

theory

Rewrites

imports

Stuttering

begin

fun *replace-usages* :: $ID \Rightarrow ID \Rightarrow IRGraph \Rightarrow IRGraph$ **where**

replace-usages $nid \ nid' \ g = \text{replace-node } nid \ (\text{RefNode } nid', \text{stamp } g \ nid') \ g$

lemma *replace-usages-effect:*

assumes $g' = \text{replace-usages } nid \ nid' \ g$
shows $\text{kind } g' \ nid = \text{RefNode } nid'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *replace-usages-changeonly:*

assumes $nid \in \text{ids } g$
assumes $g' = \text{replace-usages } nid \ nid' \ g$
shows $\text{changeonly } \{nid\} \ g \ g'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *replace-usages-unchanged:*

assumes $nid \in \text{ids } g$
assumes $g' = \text{replace-usages } nid \ nid' \ g$
shows $\text{unchanged } (\text{ids } g - \{nid\}) \ g \ g'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

fun *nextNid* :: *IRGraph* \Rightarrow *ID* **where**
nextNid *g* = (*Max* (*ids* *g*)) + 1

lemma *max-plus-one*:
fixes *c* :: *ID set*
shows $\llbracket \text{finite } c; c \neq \{\} \rrbracket \implies (\text{Max } c) + 1 \notin c$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ids-finite*:
finite (*ids* *g*)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *nextNidNotIn*:
ids *g* $\neq \{\} \longrightarrow \text{nextNid } g \notin \text{ids } g$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

fun *bool-to-val-width1* :: *bool* \Rightarrow *Value* **where**
bool-to-val-width1 *True* = (*IntVal* 1 1) |
bool-to-val-width1 *False* = (*IntVal* 1 0)

fun *constantCondition* :: *bool* \Rightarrow *ID* \Rightarrow *IRNode* \Rightarrow *IRGraph* \Rightarrow *IRGraph* **where**
constantCondition *val* *nid* (*IfNode* *cond* *t* *f*) *g* =
replace-node *nid* (*IfNode* (*nextNid* *g*) *t* *f*, *stamp* *g* *nid*)
(*add-node* (*nextNid* *g*) ((*ConstantNode* (*bool-to-val-width1* *val*)), *constantAsStamp* (*bool-to-val-width1* *val*)) *g*) |
constantCondition *cond* *nid* - *g* = *g*

lemma *constantConditionTrue*:
assumes *kind* *g* *ifcond* = *IfNode* *cond* *t* *f*
assumes *g'* = *constantCondition* *True* *ifcond* (*kind* *g* *ifcond*) *g*
shows *g'*, *p* \vdash (*ifcond*, *m*, *h*) \rightarrow (*t*, *m*, *h*)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *constantConditionFalse*:
assumes *kind* *g* *ifcond* = *IfNode* *cond* *t* *f*
assumes *g'* = *constantCondition* *False* *ifcond* (*kind* *g* *ifcond*) *g*
shows *g'*, *p* \vdash (*ifcond*, *m*, *h*) \rightarrow (*f*, *m*, *h*)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *diff-forall*:
assumes $\forall n \in \text{ids } g - \{\text{nid}\}. \text{cond } n$
shows $\forall n. n \in \text{ids } g \wedge n \notin \{\text{nid}\} \longrightarrow \text{cond } n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *replace-node-changeonly*:
assumes *g'* = *replace-node* *nid* *node* *g*
shows *changeonly* $\{\text{nid}\}$ *g* *g'*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

```

lemma add-node-changeonly:
  assumes  $g' = \text{add-node } \textit{nid} \textit{ node } g$ 
  shows  $\textit{changeonly } \{\textit{nid}\} g g'$ 
   $\langle \textit{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma constantConditionNoEffect:
  assumes  $\neg(\textit{is-IfNode } (\textit{kind } g \textit{ nid}))$ 
  shows  $g = \textit{constantCondition } b \textit{ nid } (\textit{kind } g \textit{ nid}) g$ 
   $\langle \textit{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma constantConditionIfNode:
  assumes  $\textit{kind } g \textit{ nid} = \textit{IfNode } \textit{cond } t f$ 
  shows  $\textit{constantCondition } \textit{val } \textit{nid } (\textit{kind } g \textit{ nid}) g =$ 
     $\textit{replace-node } \textit{nid } (\textit{IfNode } (\textit{nextNid } g) t f, \textit{stamp } g \textit{ nid})$ 
     $(\textit{add-node } (\textit{nextNid } g) ((\textit{ConstantNode } (\textit{bool-to-val-width1 } \textit{val})), \textit{constantA-}$ 
     $\textit{sStamp } (\textit{bool-to-val-width1 } \textit{val})) g)$ 
   $\langle \textit{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma constantCondition-changeonly:
  assumes  $\textit{nid} \in \textit{ids } g$ 
  assumes  $g' = \textit{constantCondition } b \textit{ nid } (\textit{kind } g \textit{ nid}) g$ 
  shows  $\textit{changeonly } \{\textit{nid}\} g g'$ 
   $\langle \textit{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma constantConditionNoIf:
  assumes  $\forall \textit{cond } t f. \textit{kind } g \textit{ ifcond} \neq \textit{IfNode } \textit{cond } t f$ 
  assumes  $g' = \textit{constantCondition } \textit{val } \textit{ifcond } (\textit{kind } g \textit{ ifcond}) g$ 
  shows  $\exists \textit{nid}' . (g \textit{ m } p \textit{ h} \vdash \textit{ifcond} \rightsquigarrow \textit{nid}') \longleftrightarrow (g' \textit{ m } p \textit{ h} \vdash \textit{ifcond} \rightsquigarrow \textit{nid}')$ 
   $\langle \textit{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma constantConditionValid:
  assumes  $\textit{kind } g \textit{ ifcond} = \textit{IfNode } \textit{cond } t f$ 
  assumes  $[g, m, p] \vdash \textit{cond} \mapsto v$ 
  assumes  $\textit{const} = \textit{val-to-bool } v$ 
  assumes  $g' = \textit{constantCondition } \textit{const } \textit{ifcond } (\textit{kind } g \textit{ ifcond}) g$ 
  shows  $\exists \textit{nid}' . (g \textit{ m } p \textit{ h} \vdash \textit{ifcond} \rightsquigarrow \textit{nid}') \longleftrightarrow (g' \textit{ m } p \textit{ h} \vdash \textit{ifcond} \rightsquigarrow \textit{nid}')$ 
   $\langle \textit{proof} \rangle$ 

end

### 9.3 Stuttering

theory Stuttering
  imports
    Semantics.IRStepThms
  begin

inductive stutter::  $\textit{IRGraph} \Rightarrow \textit{MapState} \Rightarrow \textit{Params} \Rightarrow \textit{FieldRefHeap} \Rightarrow \textit{ID} \Rightarrow$ 
 $\textit{ID} \Rightarrow \textit{bool}$  ( $- - - \vdash - \rightsquigarrow -$  55)

```

for $g\ m\ p\ h$ **where**

StutterStep:

$\llbracket g, p \vdash (nid, m, h) \rightarrow (nid', m, h) \rrbracket$
 $\implies g\ m\ p\ h \vdash nid \rightsquigarrow nid' \mid$

Transitive:

$\llbracket g, p \vdash (nid, m, h) \rightarrow (nid'', m, h);$
 $g\ m\ p\ h \vdash nid'' \rightsquigarrow nid' \rrbracket$
 $\implies g\ m\ p\ h \vdash nid \rightsquigarrow nid'$

lemma *stuttering-successor:*

assumes $(g, p \vdash (nid, m, h) \rightarrow (nid', m, h))$

shows $\{P'. (g\ m\ p\ h \vdash nid \rightsquigarrow P')\} = \{nid'\} \cup \{nid''. (g\ m\ p\ h \vdash nid' \rightsquigarrow nid'')\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

9.4 Evaluation Stamp Theorems

theory *StampEvalThms*

imports *Graph.ValueThms*

Semantics.IRTreeEvalThms

begin

lemma

assumes *take-bit* $b\ v = v$

shows *signed-take-bit* $b\ v = v$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *unwrap-signed-take-bit:*

fixes $v :: \text{int64}$

assumes $0 < b \wedge b \leq 64$

assumes *signed-take-bit* $(b - 1)\ v = v$

shows *signed-take-bit* $63\ (\text{Word.rep}\ (\text{signed-take-bit}\ (b - \text{Suc}\ 0)\ v)) = \text{sint}\ v$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *unrestricted-new-int-always-valid [simp]:*

assumes $0 < b \wedge b \leq 64$

shows *valid-value* $(\text{new-int}\ b\ v)\ (\text{unrestricted-stamp}\ (\text{IntegerStamp}\ b\ lo\ hi))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *unary-undef:* $val = \text{UndefVal} \implies \text{unary-eval}\ op\ val = \text{UndefVal}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *unary-obj:*

$val = \text{ObjRef}\ x \implies (\text{if}\ (op = \text{UnaryIsNull})\ \text{then}$

$\text{unary-eval}\ op\ val \neq \text{UndefVal}\ \text{else}$

$\text{unary-eval}\ op\ val = \text{UndefVal})$

<proof>

lemma *unrestricted-stamp-valid*:

assumes *s = unrestricted-stamp (IntegerStamp b lo hi)*

assumes $0 < b \wedge b \leq 64$

shows *valid-stamp s*

<proof>

lemma *unrestricted-stamp-valid-value [simp]*:

assumes *! result = IntVal b ival*

assumes *take-bit b ival = ival*

assumes $0 < b \wedge b \leq 64$

shows *valid-value result (unrestricted-stamp (IntegerStamp b lo hi))*

<proof>

9.4.1 Support Lemmas for Integer Stamps and Associated IntVal values

Valid int implies some useful facts.

lemma *valid-int-gives*:

assumes *valid-value (IntVal b val) stamp*

obtains *lo hi where stamp = IntegerStamp b lo hi \wedge*

valid-stamp (IntegerStamp b lo hi) \wedge

take-bit b val = val \wedge

lo \leq int-signed-value b val \wedge int-signed-value b val \leq hi

<proof>

And the corresponding lemma where we know the stamp rather than the value.

lemma *valid-int-stamp-gives*:

assumes *valid-value val (IntegerStamp b lo hi)*

obtains *ival where val = IntVal b ival \wedge*

valid-stamp (IntegerStamp b lo hi) \wedge

take-bit b ival = ival \wedge

lo \leq int-signed-value b ival \wedge int-signed-value b ival \leq hi

<proof>

A valid int must have the expected number of bits.

lemma *valid-int-same-bits*:

assumes *valid-value (IntVal b val) (IntegerStamp bits lo hi)*

shows *b = bits*

<proof>

A valid value means a valid stamp.

lemma *valid-int-valid-stamp*:

assumes *valid-value (IntVal b val) (IntegerStamp bits lo hi)*

shows *valid-stamp (IntegerStamp bits lo hi)*

<proof>

A valid int means a valid non-empty stamp.

lemma *valid-int-not-empty*:
 assumes *valid-value* (*IntVal* *b* *val*) (*IntegerStamp* *bits* *lo* *hi*)
 shows $lo \leq hi$
 <proof>

A valid int fits into the given number of bits (and other bits are zero).

lemma *valid-int-fits*:
 assumes *valid-value* (*IntVal* *b* *val*) (*IntegerStamp* *bits* *lo* *hi*)
 shows *take-bit* *bits* *val* = *val*
 <proof>

lemma *valid-int-is-zero-masked*:
 assumes *valid-value* (*IntVal* *b* *val*) (*IntegerStamp* *bits* *lo* *hi*)
 shows *and* *val* (*not* (*mask* *bits*)) = 0
 <proof>

Unsigned ints have bounds 0 up to 2^{bits} .

lemma *valid-int-unsigned-bounds*:
 assumes *valid-value* (*IntVal* *b* *val*) (*IntegerStamp* *bits* *lo* *hi*)

 shows *uint* *val* < 2^{bits}
 <proof>

Signed ints have the usual two-complement bounds.

lemma *valid-int-signed-upper-bound*:
 assumes *valid-value* (*IntVal* *b* *val*) (*IntegerStamp* *bits* *lo* *hi*)
 shows *int-signed-value* *bits* *val* < $2^{(bits - 1)}$
 <proof>

lemma *valid-int-signed-lower-bound*:
 assumes *valid-value* (*IntVal* *b* *val*) (*IntegerStamp* *bits* *lo* *hi*)
 shows $-(2^{(bits - 1)}) \leq \text{int-signed-value } bits \text{ } val$
 <proof>

and *bit_bounds* versions of the above bounds.

lemma *valid-int-signed-upper-bit-bound*:
 assumes *valid-value* (*IntVal* *b* *val*) (*IntegerStamp* *bits* *lo* *hi*)
 shows *int-signed-value* *bits* *val* ≤ *snd* (*bit-bounds* *bits*)
 <proof>

lemma *valid-int-signed-lower-bit-bound*:
 assumes *valid-value* (*IntVal* *b* *val*) (*IntegerStamp* *bits* *lo* *hi*)
 shows *fst* (*bit-bounds* *bits*) ≤ *int-signed-value* *bits* *val*
 <proof>

Valid values satisfy their stamp bounds.

lemma *valid-int-signed-range*:

assumes *valid-value* (*IntVal* *b val*) (*IntegerStamp* *bits lo hi*)
shows $lo \leq \text{int-signed-value bits val} \wedge \text{int-signed-value bits val} \leq hi$
 <proof>

9.4.2 Validity of all Unary Operators

We split the validity proof for unary operators into two lemmas, one for normal unary operators whose output bits equals their input bits, and the other case for the widen and narrow operators.

lemma *eval-normal-unary-implies-valid-value*:

assumes $[m,p] \vdash \text{expr} \mapsto \text{val}$
assumes $\text{result} = \text{unary-eval op val}$
assumes $\text{op}: \text{op} \in \text{normal-unary}$
assumes *notbool*: $\text{op} \notin \text{boolean-unary}$
assumes *notfixed32*: $\text{op} \notin \text{unary-fixed-32-ops}$
assumes $\text{result} \neq \text{UndefVal}$
assumes *valid-value* *val* (*stamp-expr* *expr*)
shows *valid-value* *result* (*stamp-expr* (*UnaryExpr* *op expr*))
 <proof>

lemma *narrow-widen-output-bits*:

assumes $\text{unary-eval op val} \neq \text{UndefVal}$
assumes $\text{op} \notin \text{normal-unary}$
assumes $\text{op} \notin \text{boolean-unary}$
assumes $\text{op} \notin \text{unary-fixed-32-ops}$
shows $0 < (\text{ir-resultBits op}) \wedge (\text{ir-resultBits op}) \leq 64$
 <proof>

lemma *eval-widen-narrow-unary-implies-valid-value*:

assumes $[m,p] \vdash \text{expr} \mapsto \text{val}$
assumes $\text{result} = \text{unary-eval op val}$
assumes $\text{op}: \text{op} \notin \text{normal-unary}$
and *notbool*: $\text{op} \notin \text{boolean-unary}$
and *notfixed*: $\text{op} \notin \text{unary-fixed-32-ops}$
assumes $\text{result} \neq \text{UndefVal}$
assumes *valid-value* *val* (*stamp-expr* *expr*)
shows *valid-value* *result* (*stamp-expr* (*UnaryExpr* *op expr*))
 <proof>

lemma *eval-boolean-unary-implies-valid-value*:

assumes $[m,p] \vdash \text{expr} \mapsto \text{val}$
assumes $\text{result} = \text{unary-eval op val}$
assumes $\text{op}: \text{op} \in \text{boolean-unary}$
assumes *notnorm*: $\text{op} \notin \text{normal-unary}$
assumes $\text{result} \neq \text{UndefVal}$
assumes *valid-value* *val* (*stamp-expr* *expr*)
shows *valid-value* *result* (*stamp-expr* (*UnaryExpr* *op expr*))
 <proof>

lemma *eval-fixed-unary-32-implies-valid-value*:
assumes $[m,p] \vdash \text{expr} \mapsto \text{val}$
assumes $\text{result} = \text{unary-eval } \text{op } \text{val}$
assumes $\text{op}: \text{op} \in \text{unary-fixed-32-ops}$
assumes $\text{notnorm}: \text{op} \notin \text{normal-unary}$
assumes $\text{notbool}: \text{op} \notin \text{boolean-unary}$
assumes $\text{result} \neq \text{UndefVal}$
assumes $\text{valid-value } \text{val} \text{ (stamp-expr expr)}$
shows $\text{valid-value } \text{result} \text{ (stamp-expr (UnaryExpr op expr))}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *eval-unary-implies-valid-value*:
assumes $[m,p] \vdash \text{expr} \mapsto \text{val}$
assumes $\text{result} = \text{unary-eval } \text{op } \text{val}$
assumes $\text{result} \neq \text{UndefVal}$
assumes $\text{valid-value } \text{val} \text{ (stamp-expr expr)}$
shows $\text{valid-value } \text{result} \text{ (stamp-expr (UnaryExpr op expr))}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

9.4.3 Support Lemmas for Binary Operators

lemma *binary-undef*: $v1 = \text{UndefVal} \vee v2 = \text{UndefVal} \implies \text{bin-eval } \text{op } v1 \ v2 = \text{UndefVal}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *binary-obj*: $v1 = \text{ObjRef } x \vee v2 = \text{ObjRef } y \implies \text{bin-eval } \text{op } v1 \ v2 = \text{UndefVal}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Some lemmas about the three different output sizes for binary operators.

lemma *bin-eval-bits-binary-shift-ops*:
assumes $\text{result} = \text{bin-eval } \text{op} \text{ (IntVal } b1 \ v1) \text{ (IntVal } b2 \ v2)$
assumes $\text{result} \neq \text{UndefVal}$
assumes $\text{op} \in \text{binary-shift-ops}$
shows $\exists v. \text{result} = \text{new-int } b1 \ v$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *bin-eval-bits-fixed-32-ops*:
assumes $\text{result} = \text{bin-eval } \text{op} \text{ (IntVal } b1 \ v1) \text{ (IntVal } b2 \ v2)$
assumes $\text{result} \neq \text{UndefVal}$
assumes $\text{op} \in \text{binary-fixed-32-ops}$
shows $\exists v. \text{result} = \text{new-int } 32 \ v$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *bin-eval-bits-normal-ops*:
assumes $\text{result} = \text{bin-eval } \text{op} \text{ (IntVal } b1 \ v1) \text{ (IntVal } b2 \ v2)$
assumes $\text{result} \neq \text{UndefVal}$
assumes $\text{op} \notin \text{binary-shift-ops}$
assumes $\text{op} \notin \text{binary-fixed-32-ops}$

shows $\exists v. \text{result} = \text{new-int } b1 \ v$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *bin-eval-input-bits-equal*:
assumes $\text{result} = \text{bin-eval } op \ (\text{IntVal } b1 \ v1) \ (\text{IntVal } b2 \ v2)$
assumes $\text{result} \neq \text{UndefVal}$
assumes $op \notin \text{binary-shift-ops}$
shows $b1 = b2$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *bin-eval-implies-valid-value*:
assumes $[m, p] \vdash \text{expr1} \mapsto \text{val1}$
assumes $[m, p] \vdash \text{expr2} \mapsto \text{val2}$
assumes $\text{result} = \text{bin-eval } op \ \text{val1} \ \text{val2}$
assumes $\text{result} \neq \text{UndefVal}$
assumes $\text{valid-value } \text{val1} \ (\text{stamp-expr } \text{expr1})$
assumes $\text{valid-value } \text{val2} \ (\text{stamp-expr } \text{expr2})$
shows $\text{valid-value } \text{result} \ (\text{stamp-expr } (\text{BinaryExpr } op \ \text{expr1} \ \text{expr2}))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

9.4.4 Validity of Stamp Meet and Join Operators

lemma *stamp-meet-integer-is-valid-stamp*:
assumes $\text{valid-stamp } \text{stamp1}$
assumes $\text{valid-stamp } \text{stamp2}$
assumes $\text{is-IntegerStamp } \text{stamp1}$
assumes $\text{is-IntegerStamp } \text{stamp2}$
shows $\text{valid-stamp } (\text{meet } \text{stamp1} \ \text{stamp2})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *stamp-meet-is-valid-stamp*:
assumes $1: \text{valid-stamp } \text{stamp1}$
assumes $2: \text{valid-stamp } \text{stamp2}$
shows $\text{valid-stamp } (\text{meet } \text{stamp1} \ \text{stamp2})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *stamp-meet-commutes*: $\text{meet } \text{stamp1} \ \text{stamp2} = \text{meet } \text{stamp2} \ \text{stamp1}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *stamp-meet-is-valid-value1*:
assumes $\text{valid-value } \text{val } \text{stamp1}$
assumes $\text{valid-stamp } \text{stamp2}$
assumes $\text{stamp1} = \text{IntegerStamp } b1 \ lo1 \ hi1$
assumes $\text{stamp2} = \text{IntegerStamp } b2 \ lo2 \ hi2$
assumes $\text{meet } \text{stamp1} \ \text{stamp2} \neq \text{IllegalStamp}$
shows $\text{valid-value } \text{val} \ (\text{meet } \text{stamp1} \ \text{stamp2})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

and the symmetric lemma follows by the commutativity of meet.


```

lemma stamp-meet-is-valid-value:
  assumes valid-value val stamp2
  assumes valid-stamp stamp1
  assumes stamp1 = IntegerStamp b1 lo1 hi1
  assumes stamp2 = IntegerStamp b2 lo2 hi2
  assumes meet stamp1 stamp2  $\neq$  IllegalStamp
  shows valid-value val (meet stamp1 stamp2)
  <proof>

```

9.4.5 Validity of conditional expressions

```

lemma conditional-eval-implies-valid-value:
  assumes [m,p]  $\vdash$  cond  $\mapsto$  condv
  assumes expr = (if val-to-bool condv then expr1 else expr2)
  assumes [m,p]  $\vdash$  expr  $\mapsto$  val
  assumes val  $\neq$  UndefVal
  assumes valid-value condv (stamp-expr cond)
  assumes valid-value val (stamp-expr expr)
  assumes compatible (stamp-expr expr1) (stamp-expr expr2)
  shows valid-value val (stamp-expr (ConditionalExpr cond expr1 expr2))
  <proof>

```

9.4.6 Validity of Whole Expression Tree Evaluation

TODO: find a way to encode that conditional expressions must have compatible (and valid) stamps? One approach would be for all the stamp_expr operators to require that all input stamps are valid.

definition wf-stamp :: IRExpr \Rightarrow bool **where**
 wf-stamp e = ($\forall m p v$. ([m, p] \vdash e \mapsto v) \longrightarrow valid-value v (stamp-expr e))

```

lemma stamp-under-defn:
  assumes stamp-under (stamp-expr x) (stamp-expr y)
  assumes wf-stamp x  $\wedge$  wf-stamp y
  assumes ([m, p]  $\vdash$  x  $\mapsto$  xv)  $\wedge$  ([m, p]  $\vdash$  y  $\mapsto$  yv)
  shows val-to-bool (bin-eval BinIntegerLessThan xv yv)  $\vee$ 
    (bin-eval BinIntegerLessThan xv yv) = UndefVal
  <proof>

```

```

lemma stamp-under-defn-inverse:
  assumes stamp-under (stamp-expr y) (stamp-expr x)
  assumes wf-stamp x  $\wedge$  wf-stamp y
  assumes ([m, p]  $\vdash$  x  $\mapsto$  xv)  $\wedge$  ([m, p]  $\vdash$  y  $\mapsto$  yv)
  shows  $\neg$ (val-to-bool (bin-eval BinIntegerLessThan xv yv))  $\vee$  (bin-eval BinIntegerLessThan xv yv) = UndefVal
  <proof>

```

end

10 Optization DSL

10.1 Markup

```
theory Markup
  imports Semantics.IRTreeEval Snippets.Snipping
begin
```

```
datatype 'a Rewrite =
  Transform 'a 'a (-  $\mapsto$  - 10) |
  Conditional 'a 'a bool (-  $\mapsto$  - when - 11) |
  Sequential 'a Rewrite 'a Rewrite |
  Transitive 'a Rewrite
```

```
datatype 'a ExtraNotation =
  ConditionalNotation 'a 'a 'a (- ? - : - 50) |
  EqualsNotation 'a 'a (- eq -) |
  ConstantNotation 'a (const - 120) |
  TrueNotation (true) |
  FalseNotation (false) |
  ExclusiveOr 'a 'a (-  $\oplus$  -) |
  LogicNegationNotation 'a (!-) |
  ShortCircuitOr 'a 'a (- || -) |
  Remainder 'a 'a (- % -)
```

```
definition word :: ('a::len) word  $\Rightarrow$  'a word where
  word x = x
```

```
ML-val @{term <x % x>}
ML-file <markup.ML>
```

10.1.1 Expression Markup

```
ML <
structure IRExprTranslator : DSL-TRANSLATION =
struct
fun markup DSL-Tokens.Add = @{term BinaryExpr} $ @{term BinAdd}
  | markup DSL-Tokens.Sub = @{term BinaryExpr} $ @{term BinSub}
  | markup DSL-Tokens.Mul = @{term BinaryExpr} $ @{term BinMul}
  | markup DSL-Tokens.Div = @{term BinaryExpr} $ @{term BinDiv}
  | markup DSL-Tokens.Rem = @{term BinaryExpr} $ @{term BinMod}
  | markup DSL-Tokens.And = @{term BinaryExpr} $ @{term BinAnd}
  | markup DSL-Tokens.Or = @{term BinaryExpr} $ @{term BinOr}
  | markup DSL-Tokens.Xor = @{term BinaryExpr} $ @{term BinXor}
  | markup DSL-Tokens.ShortCircuitOr = @{term BinaryExpr} $ @{term Bin-
ShortCircuitOr}
  | markup DSL-Tokens.Abs = @{term UnaryExpr} $ @{term UnaryAbs}
  | markup DSL-Tokens.Less = @{term BinaryExpr} $ @{term BinIntegerLessThan}
  | markup DSL-Tokens.Equals = @{term BinaryExpr} $ @{term BinIntegerEquals}
  | markup DSL-Tokens.Not = @{term UnaryExpr} $ @{term UnaryNot}
```

```

| markup DSL-Tokens.Negate = @{term UnaryExpr} $ @{term UnaryNeg}
| markup DSL-Tokens.LogicNegate = @{term UnaryExpr} $ @{term UnaryLogicNegation}
| markup DSL-Tokens.LeftShift = @{term BinaryExpr} $ @{term BinLeftShift}
| markup DSL-Tokens.RightShift = @{term BinaryExpr} $ @{term BinRightShift}
| markup DSL-Tokens.UnsignedRightShift = @{term BinaryExpr} $ @{term BinURightShift}
| markup DSL-Tokens.Conditional = @{term ConditionalExpr}
| markup DSL-Tokens.Constant = @{term ConstantExpr}
| markup DSL-Tokens.TrueConstant = @{term ConstantExpr (IntVal 32 1)}
| markup DSL-Tokens.FalseConstant = @{term ConstantExpr (IntVal 32 0)}
end
structure IRExpMarkup = DSL-Markup(IRExpTranslator);
>

```

ir expression translation

```

syntax -expandExpr :: term ⇒ term (exp[-])
parse-translation < [( @{syntax-const -expandExpr} , IRExpMarkup.markup-expr []) ] >

```

ir expression example

```

value exp[(e1 < e2) ? e1 : e2]

ConditionalExpr (BinaryExpr BinIntegerLessThan (e1::IRExp)
(e2::IRExp)) e1 e2

```

10.1.2 Value Markup

```

ML <
structure IntValTranslator : DSL-TRANSLATION =
struct
fun markup DSL-Tokens.Add = @{term intval-add}
| markup DSL-Tokens.Sub = @{term intval-sub}
| markup DSL-Tokens.Mul = @{term intval-mul}
| markup DSL-Tokens.Div = @{term intval-div}
| markup DSL-Tokens.Rem = @{term intval-mod}
| markup DSL-Tokens.And = @{term intval-and}
| markup DSL-Tokens.Or = @{term intval-or}
| markup DSL-Tokens.ShortCircuitOr = @{term intval-short-circuit-or}
| markup DSL-Tokens.Xor = @{term intval-xor}
| markup DSL-Tokens.Abs = @{term intval-abs}
| markup DSL-Tokens.Less = @{term intval-less-than}
| markup DSL-Tokens.Equals = @{term intval-equals}
| markup DSL-Tokens.Not = @{term intval-not}
| markup DSL-Tokens.Negate = @{term intval-negate}
| markup DSL-Tokens.LogicNegate = @{term intval-logic-negation}

```

```

markup DSL-Tokens.LeftShift = @{term intval-left-shift}
markup DSL-Tokens.RightShift = @{term intval-right-shift}
markup DSL-Tokens.UnsignedRightShift = @{term intval-uright-shift}
markup DSL-Tokens.Conditional = @{term intval-conditional}
markup DSL-Tokens.Constant = @{term IntVal 32}
markup DSL-Tokens.TrueConstant = @{term IntVal 32 1}
markup DSL-Tokens.FalseConstant = @{term IntVal 32 0}
end
structure IntValMarkup = DSL-Markup(IntValTranslator);
>

```

value expression translation

```

syntax -expandIntVal :: term  $\Rightarrow$  term (val[-])
parse-translation  $\langle$  [( @{syntax-const -expandIntVal} , IntVal-
Markup.markup-expr [])  $\rangle$ 

```

value expression example

```

value val[(e1 < e2) ? e1 : e2]

intval-conditional (intval-less-than (e1::Value) (e2::Value)) e1 e2

```

10.1.3 Word Markup

```

ML  $\langle$ 
structure WordTranslator : DSL-TRANSLATION =
struct
fun markup DSL-Tokens.Add = @{term plus}
| markup DSL-Tokens.Sub = @{term minus}
| markup DSL-Tokens.Mul = @{term times}
| markup DSL-Tokens.Div = @{term signed-divide}
| markup DSL-Tokens.Rem = @{term signed-modulo}
| markup DSL-Tokens.And = @{term Bit-Operations.semiring-bit-operations-class.and}
| markup DSL-Tokens.Or = @{term or}
| markup DSL-Tokens.Xor = @{term xor}
| markup DSL-Tokens.Abs = @{term abs}
| markup DSL-Tokens.Less = @{term less}
| markup DSL-Tokens.Equals = @{term HOL.eq}
| markup DSL-Tokens.Not = @{term not}
| markup DSL-Tokens.Negate = @{term uminus}
| markup DSL-Tokens.LogicNegate = @{term logic-negate}
| markup DSL-Tokens.LeftShift = @{term shiftl}
| markup DSL-Tokens.RightShift = @{term signed-shiftr}
| markup DSL-Tokens.UnsignedRightShift = @{term shiftr}
| markup DSL-Tokens.Constant = @{term word}
| markup DSL-Tokens.TrueConstant = @{term 1}
| markup DSL-Tokens.FalseConstant = @{term 0}
end

```

```
structure WordMarkup = DSL-Markup(WordTranslator);
>
```

word expression translation

```
syntax -expandWord :: term  $\Rightarrow$  term (bin[-])
parse-translation < [( @{syntax-const -expandWord} , Word-
Markup.markup-expr []) ] >
```

word expression example

```
value bin[x & y | z]

intval-conditional (intval-less-than (e1::Value) (e2::Value)) e1 e2
```

```
value bin[-x]
value val[-x]
value exp[-x]
```

```
value bin[!x]
value val[!x]
value exp[!x]
```

```
value bin[¬x]
value val[¬x]
value exp[¬x]
```

```
value bin[~x]
value val[~x]
value exp[~x]
```

```
value ~x
```

```
end
```

10.2 Optimization Phases

```
theory Phase
imports Main
begin
```

```
ML-file map.ML
ML-file phase.ML
```

```
end
```

10.3 Canonicalization DSL

```
theory Canonicalization
imports
```

```

Markup
Phase
HOL-Eisbach.Eisbach
keywords
  phase :: thy-decl and
  terminating :: quasi-command and
  print-phases :: diag and
  export-phases :: thy-decl and
  optimization :: thy-goal-defn
begin

print-methods

ML <
datatype 'a Rewrite =
  Transform of 'a * 'a |
  Conditional of 'a * 'a * term |
  Sequential of 'a Rewrite * 'a Rewrite |
  Transitive of 'a Rewrite

type rewrite = {
  name: binding,
  rewrite: term Rewrite,
  proofs: thm list,
  code: thm list,
  source: term
}

structure RewriteRule : Rule =
struct
type T = rewrite;

(*
fun pretty-rewrite ctxt (Transform (from, to)) =
  Pretty.block [
    Syntax.pretty-term ctxt from,
    Pretty.str "↦",
    Syntax.pretty-term ctxt to
  ]
| pretty-rewrite ctxt (Conditional (from, to, cond)) =
  Pretty.block [
    Syntax.pretty-term ctxt from,
    Pretty.str "↦",
    Syntax.pretty-term ctxt to,
    Pretty.str "when",
    Syntax.pretty-term ctxt cond
  ]
| pretty-rewrite - - = Pretty.str not implemented*)

```

```

fun pretty-thm ctxt thm =
  (Proof-Context.pretty-fact ctxt (, [thm]))

fun pretty ctxt obligations t =
  let
    val is-skipped = Thm-Deps.has-skip-proof (#proofs t);

    val warning = (if is-skipped
      then [Pretty.str (proof skipped), Pretty.brk 0]
      else []);

    val obligations = (if obligations
      then [Pretty.big-list
        obligations:
          (map (pretty-thm ctxt) (#proofs t)),
          Pretty.brk 0]
      else []);

    fun pretty-bind binding =
      Pretty.markup
        (Position.markup (Binding.pos-of binding) Markup.position)
        [Pretty.str (Binding.name-of binding)];

    in
      Pretty.block ([
        pretty-bind (#name t), Pretty.str : ,
        Syntax.pretty-term ctxt (#source t), Pretty.fbrk
      ] @ obligations @ warning)
    end
  end

structure RewritePhase = DSL-Phase(RewriteRule);

val - =
  Outer-Syntax.command command-keyword⟨phase⟩ enter an optimization phase
  (Parse.binding --| Parse.$$$ terminating -- Parse.const --| Parse.begin
  >> (Toplevel.begin-main-target true o RewritePhase.setup));

fun print-phases print-obligations ctxt =
  let
    val thy = Proof-Context.theory-of ctxt;
    fun print phase = RewritePhase.pretty print-obligations phase ctxt
  in
    map print (RewritePhase.phases thy)
  end

fun print-optimizations print-obligations thy =
  print-phases print-obligations thy |> Pretty.writeln-chunks

```

```

val - =
  Outer-Syntax.command command-keyword⟨print-phases⟩
    print debug information for optimizations
    (Parse.opt-bang >>
      (fn b => Toplevel.keep ((print-optimizations b) o Toplevel.context-of)));

fun export-phases thy name =
  let
    val state = Toplevel.theory-tolevel thy;
    val ctxt = Toplevel.context-of state;
    val content = Pretty.string-of (Pretty.chunks (print-phases false ctxt));
    val cleaned = YXML.content-of content;

    val filename = Path.explode (name ^ ".rules");
    val directory = Path.explode optimizations;
    val path = Path.binding (
      Path.append directory filename,
      Position.none);
    val thy' = thy |> Generated-Files.add-files (path, (Bytes.string content));

    val - = Export.export thy' path [YXML.parse cleaned];

    val - = writeln (Export.message thy' (Path.basic optimizations));
  in
    thy'
  end

val - =
  Outer-Syntax.command command-keyword⟨export-phases⟩
    export information about encoded optimizations
    (Parse.path >>
      (fn name => Toplevel.theory (fn state => export-phases state name)))
,

```

ML-file *rewrites.ML*

10.3.1 Semantic Preservation Obligation

```

fun rewrite-preservation :: IRExp Rewrite  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  rewrite-preservation (Transform x y) = (y  $\leq$  x) |
  rewrite-preservation (Conditional x y cond) = (cond  $\longrightarrow$  (y  $\leq$  x)) |
  rewrite-preservation (Sequential x y) = (rewrite-preservation x  $\wedge$  rewrite-preservation
y) |
  rewrite-preservation (Transitive x) = rewrite-preservation x

```

10.3.2 Termination Obligation

```

fun rewrite-termination :: IRExp Rewrite  $\Rightarrow$  (IRExp  $\Rightarrow$  nat)  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  rewrite-termination (Transform x y) trm = (trm x > trm y) |

```



```

rewrite-termination (Conditional x y cond) trm = (cond  $\longrightarrow$  (trm x > trm y)) |
rewrite-termination (Sequential x y) trm = (rewrite-termination x trm  $\wedge$  rewrite-termination
y trm) |
rewrite-termination (Transitive x) trm = rewrite-termination x trm

```

```

fun intval :: Value Rewrite  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  intval (Transform x y) = (x  $\neq$  UndefVal  $\wedge$  y  $\neq$  UndefVal  $\longrightarrow$  x = y) |
  intval (Conditional x y cond) = (cond  $\longrightarrow$  (x = y)) |
  intval (Sequential x y) = (intval x  $\wedge$  intval y) |
  intval (Transitive x) = intval x

```

10.3.3 Standard Termination Measure

```

fun size :: IRExpr  $\Rightarrow$  nat where
  unary-size:
  size (UnaryExpr op x) = (size x) + 2 |

  bin-const-size:
  size (BinaryExpr op x (ConstantExpr cy)) = (size x) + 2 |
  bin-size:
  size (BinaryExpr op x y) = (size x) + (size y) + 2 |
  cond-size:
  size (ConditionalExpr c t f) = (size c) + (size t) + (size f) + 2 |
  const-size:
  size (ConstantExpr c) = 1 |
  param-size:
  size (ParameterExpr ind s) = 2 |
  leaf-size:
  size (LeafExpr nid s) = 2 |
  size (ConstantVar c) = 2 |
  size (VariableExpr x s) = 2

```

10.3.4 Automated Tactics

named-theorems size-simps size simplification rules

```

method unfold-optimization =
  (unfold rewrite-preservation.simps, unfold rewrite-termination.simps,
   unfold intval.simps,
   rule conjE, simp, simp del: le-expr-def, force?)
| (unfold rewrite-preservation.simps, unfold rewrite-termination.simps,
   rule conjE, simp, simp del: le-expr-def, force?)

```

```

method unfold-size =
  (((unfold size.simps, simp add: size-simps del: le-expr-def)?
   ; (simp add: size-simps del: le-expr-def)?
   ; (auto simp: size-simps)?
   ; (unfold size.simps)?)[1])

```

print-methods

```
ML <
structure System : RewriteSystem =
struct
  val preservation = @{const rewrite-preservation};
  val termination = @{const rewrite-termination};
  val intval = @{const intval};
end

structure DSL = DSL-Rewrites(System);

val - =
  Outer-Syntax.local-theory-to-proof command-keyword <optimization>
  define an optimization and open proof obligation
  (Parse-Spec.thm-name : -- Parse.term
   >> DSL.rewrite-cmd);
>

end
```

11 Canonicalization Optimizations

theory Common

imports

```
OptimizationDSL.Canonicalization
Semantics.IRTreeEvalThms
```

begin

```
lemma size-pos[size-simps]: 0 < size y
  <proof>
```

```
lemma size-non-add[size-simps]: size (BinaryExpr op a b) = size a + size b + 2
  <math>\iff \neg(is-ConstantExpr b)</math>
  <proof>
```

```
lemma size-non-const[size-simps]:
  <math>\neg is-ConstantExpr y \implies 1 < size y</math>
  <proof>
```

```
lemma size-binary-const[size-simps]:
  size (BinaryExpr op a b) = size a + 2 <math>\iff (is-ConstantExpr b)</math>
  <proof>
```

```
lemma size-flip-binary[size-simps]:
  <math>\neg(is-ConstantExpr y) \implies size (BinaryExpr op (ConstantExpr x) y) > size</math>
  <math>(BinaryExpr op y (ConstantExpr x))</math>
  <proof>
```

```

lemma size-binary-lhs-a[size-simps]:
  size (BinaryExpr op (BinaryExpr op' a b) c) > size a
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma size-binary-lhs-b[size-simps]:
  size (BinaryExpr op (BinaryExpr op' a b) c) > size b
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma size-binary-lhs-c[size-simps]:
  size (BinaryExpr op (BinaryExpr op' a b) c) > size c
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma size-binary-rhs-a[size-simps]:
  size (BinaryExpr op c (BinaryExpr op' a b)) > size a
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma size-binary-rhs-b[size-simps]:
  size (BinaryExpr op c (BinaryExpr op' a b)) > size b
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma size-binary-rhs-c[size-simps]:
  size (BinaryExpr op c (BinaryExpr op' a b)) > size c
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma size-binary-lhs[size-simps]:
  size (BinaryExpr op x y) > size x
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma size-binary-rhs[size-simps]:
  size (BinaryExpr op x y) > size y
  ⟨proof⟩

lemmas arith[size-simps] = Suc-leI add-strict-increasing order-less-trans trans-less-add2

definition well-formed-equal :: Value ⇒ Value ⇒ bool
  (infix ≈ 50) where
    well-formed-equal v1 v2 = (v1 ≠ UndefVal → v1 = v2)

lemma well-formed-equal-defn [simp]:
  well-formed-equal v1 v2 = (v1 ≠ UndefVal → v1 = v2)
  ⟨proof⟩

end

```

11.1 AbsNode Phase

```

theory AbsPhase
imports
  Common Proofs.StampEvalThms

```

begin

phase *AbsNode*
 terminating *size*
begin

Note:

We can't use (*<s*) for reasoning about *intval-less-than*. (*<s*) will always treat the 64^{th} bit as the sign flag while *intval-less-than* uses the b^{th} bit depending on the size of the word.

value *val[new-int 32 0 < new-int 32 4294967286] — 0 < -10 = False*
value *(0::int64) <s 4294967286 — 0 < 4294967286 = True*

lemma *signed-equiv*:
 assumes $b > 0 \wedge b \leq 64$
 shows *val-to-bool (val[new-int b v < new-int b v']) = (int-signed-value b v < int-signed-value b v')*
 <proof>

lemma *val-abs-pos*:
 assumes *val-to-bool(val[(new-int b 0) < (new-int b v)])*
 shows *intval-abs (new-int b v) = (new-int b v)*
 <proof>

lemma *val-abs-neg*:
 assumes *val-to-bool(val[(new-int b v) < (new-int b 0)])*
 shows *intval-abs (new-int b v) = intval-negate (new-int b v)*
 <proof>

lemma *val-bool-unwrap*:
 val-to-bool (bool-to-val v) = v
 <proof>

lemma *take-bit-64*:
 assumes $0 < b \wedge b \leq 64$
 assumes *take-bit b v = v*
 shows *take-bit 64 v = take-bit b v*
 <proof>

A special value exists for the maximum negative integer as its negation is itself. We can define the value as *set-bit ((b::nat) - (1::nat)) (0::64 word)* for any bit-width, b.

value *(set-bit 1 0)::2 word — 2*
value *-(set-bit 1 0)::2 word — 2*
value *(set-bit 31 0)::32 word — 2147483648*
value *-(set-bit 31 0)::32 word — 2147483648*

lemma *negative-def*:
fixes $v :: 'a::len\ word$
assumes $v <_s 0$
shows $bit\ v\ (LENGTH('a) - 1)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *positive-def*:
fixes $v :: 'a::len\ word$
assumes $0 <_s v$
shows $\neg(bit\ v\ (LENGTH('a) - 1))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *negative-lower-bound*:
fixes $v :: 'a::len\ word$
assumes $(2^\wedge(LENGTH('a) - 1)) <_s v$
assumes $v <_s 0$
shows $0 <_s (-v)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *min-int*:
fixes $x :: 'a::len\ word$
assumes $x <_s 0$
assumes $x \neq (2^\wedge(LENGTH('a) - 1))$
shows $2^\wedge(LENGTH('a) - 1) <_s x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *negate-min-int*:
fixes $v :: 'a::len\ word$
assumes $v = (2^\wedge(LENGTH('a) - 1))$
shows $v = (-v)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

fun $abs :: 'a::len\ word \Rightarrow 'a\ word$ **where**
 $abs\ x = (if\ x <_s 0\ then\ (-x)\ else\ x)$

lemma
 $abs(abs(x)) = abs(x)$
for $x :: 'a::len\ word$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

We need to do the same proof at the value level.

lemma *invert-intval*:
assumes *int-signed-value* $b\ v < 0$

assumes $b > 0 \wedge b \leq 64$
assumes $\text{take-bit } b \ v = v$
assumes $v \neq (2^{b-1})$
shows $0 < \text{int-signed-value } b \ (-v)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *negate-max-negative*:
assumes $b > 0 \wedge b \leq 64$
assumes $\text{take-bit } b \ v = v$
assumes $v = (2^{b-1})$
shows $\text{new-int } b \ v = \text{intval-negate } (\text{new-int } b \ v)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *val-abs-always-pos*:
assumes $b > 0 \wedge b \leq 64$
assumes $\text{take-bit } b \ v = v$
assumes $v \neq (2^{b-1})$
assumes $\text{intval-abs } (\text{new-int } b \ v) = (\text{new-int } b \ v')$
shows $\text{val-to-bool } (\text{val}[(\text{new-int } b \ 0) < (\text{new-int } b \ v')]) \vee \text{val-to-bool } (\text{val}[(\text{new-int } b \ 0) \text{ eq } (\text{new-int } b \ v')])$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *intval-abs-elim*s:
assumes $\text{intval-abs } x \neq \text{UndefVal}$
shows $\exists t \ v . x = \text{IntVal } t \ v \wedge$
 $\text{intval-abs } x = \text{new-int } t \ (\text{if int-signed-value } t \ v < 0 \text{ then } -v \text{ else } v)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *wf-abs-new-int*:
assumes $\text{intval-abs } (\text{IntVal } t \ v) \neq \text{UndefVal}$
shows $\text{intval-abs } (\text{IntVal } t \ v) = \text{new-int } t \ v \vee \text{intval-abs } (\text{IntVal } t \ v) = \text{new-int } t \ (-v)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *mono-undef-abs*:
assumes $\text{intval-abs } (\text{intval-abs } x) \neq \text{UndefVal}$
shows $\text{intval-abs } x \neq \text{UndefVal}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *val-abs-idem*:
assumes $\text{valid-value } x \ (\text{IntegerStamp } b \ l \ h)$
assumes $\text{val}[\text{abs}(\text{abs}(x))] \neq \text{UndefVal}$
shows $\text{val}[\text{abs}(\text{abs}(x))] = \text{val}[\text{abs } x]$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Optimisations end

end

11.2 AddNode Phase

theory *AddPhase*

imports

Common

begin

phase *AddNode*

terminating *size*

begin

lemma *binadd-commute*:

assumes *bin-eval BinAdd x y \neq .UndefVal*

shows *bin-eval BinAdd x y = bin-eval BinAdd y x*

<proof>

optimization *AddShiftConstantRight*: $((\text{const } v) + y) \mapsto y + (\text{const } v)$ *when*

$\neg(\text{is-ConstantExpr } y)$

<proof>

optimization *AddShiftConstantRight2*: $((\text{const } v) + y) \mapsto y + (\text{const } v)$ *when*

$\neg(\text{is-ConstantExpr } y)$

<proof>

lemma *is-neutral-0 [simp]*:

assumes *val[(IntVal b x) + (IntVal b 0)] \neq .UndefVal*

shows *val[(IntVal b x) + (IntVal b 0)] = (new-int b x)*

<proof>

lemma *AddNeutral-Exp*:

shows *exp[(e + (const (IntVal 32 0)))] \geq exp[e]*

<proof>

optimization *AddNeutral*: $(e + (\text{const } (\text{IntVal } 32 \ 0))) \mapsto e$

<proof>

ML-val $\langle @\{\text{term } \langle x = y \rangle\} \rangle$

lemma *NeutralLeftSub Val*:

assumes *e1 = new-int b ival*

shows *val[(e1 - e2) + e2] \approx e1*

<proof>

lemma *RedundantSubAdd-Exp*:

shows *exp[((a - b) + b)] \geq a*

<proof>

optimization *RedundantSubAdd*: $((e_1 - e_2) + e_2) \mapsto e_1$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *allE2*: $(\forall x y. P x y) \implies (P a b \implies R) \implies R$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *just-goal2*:
assumes $(\forall a b. (val[(a - b) + b] \neq UndefinedVal \wedge a \neq UndefinedVal \longrightarrow val[(a - b) + b] = a))$
shows $(exp[(e_1 - e_2) + e_2] \geq e_1)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

optimization *RedundantSubAdd2*: $e_2 + (e_1 - e_2) \mapsto e_1$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *AddToSubHelperLowLevel*:
shows $val[-e + y] = val[y - e]$ (**is** $?x = ?y$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

print-phases

lemma *val-redundant-add-sub*:
assumes $a = new-int\ bb\ ival$
assumes $val[b + a] \neq UndefinedVal$
shows $val[(b + a) - b] = a$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *val-add-right-negate-to-sub*:
assumes $val[x + e] \neq UndefinedVal$
shows $val[x + (-e)] = val[x - e]$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *exp-add-left-negate-to-sub*:
 $exp[-e + y] \geq exp[y - e]$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *RedundantAddSub-Exp*:

shows $\text{exp}[(b + a) - b] \geq a$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Optimisations

optimization *RedundantAddSub*: $(b + a) - b \mapsto a$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

optimization *AddRightNegateToSub*: $x + -e \mapsto x - e$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

optimization *AddLeftNegateToSub*: $-e + y \mapsto y - e$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

end

11.3 AndNode Phase

theory *AndPhase*

imports

Common

Proofs.StampEvalThms

begin

context *stamp-mask*

begin

lemma *AndCommutate-Val*:

assumes $\text{val}[x \ \& \ y] \neq \text{UndefVal}$

shows $\text{val}[x \ \& \ y] = \text{val}[y \ \& \ x]$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *AndCommutate-Exp*:

shows $\text{exp}[x \ \& \ y] \geq \text{exp}[y \ \& \ x]$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *AndRightFallthrough*: $((\text{and } (\text{not } (\downarrow x)) (\uparrow y)) = 0) \longrightarrow \text{exp}[x \ \& \ y] \geq \text{exp}[y]$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *AndLeftFallthrough*: $((\text{and } (\text{not } (\downarrow y)) (\uparrow x)) = 0) \longrightarrow \text{exp}[x \ \& \ y] \geq \text{exp}[x]$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

phase *AndNode*
 terminating *size*
begin

lemma *bin-and-nots*:
 $(\sim x \ \& \ \sim y) = (\sim(x \mid y))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bin-and-neutral*:
 $(x \ \& \ \sim False) = x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *val-and-equal*:
 assumes $x = new_int \ b \ v$
 and $val[x \ \& \ x] \neq UndefVal$
 shows $val[x \ \& \ x] = x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *val-and-nots*:
 $val[\sim x \ \& \ \sim y] = val[\sim(x \mid y)]$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *val-and-neutral*:
 assumes $x = new_int \ b \ v$
 and $val[x \ \& \ \sim(new_int \ b' \ 0)] \neq UndefVal$
 shows $val[x \ \& \ \sim(new_int \ b' \ 0)] = x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *val-and-zero*:
 assumes $x = new_int \ b \ v$
 shows $val[x \ \& \ (IntVal \ b \ 0)] = IntVal \ b \ 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *exp-and-equal*:
 $exp[x \ \& \ x] \geq exp[x]$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *exp-and-nots*:
 $exp[\sim x \ \& \ \sim y] \geq exp[\sim(x \mid y)]$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *exp-sign-extend*:
assumes $e = (1 << In) - 1$
shows $BinaryExpr\ BinAnd\ (UnaryExpr\ (UnarySignExtend\ In\ Out)\ x)$
 $\quad (ConstantExpr\ (new-int\ b\ e))$
 $\quad \geq (UnaryExpr\ (UnaryZeroExtend\ In\ Out)\ x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *exp-and-neutral*:
assumes *wf-stamp* x
assumes *stamp-expr* $x = IntegerStamp\ b\ lo\ hi$
shows $exp[(x \& \sim(const\ (IntVal\ b\ 0)))] \geq x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *val-and-commute[simp]*:
 $val[x \& y] = val[y \& x]$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Optimisations

optimization *AndEqual*: $x \& x \mapsto x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

optimization *AndShiftConstantRight*: $((const\ x) \& y) \mapsto y \& (const\ x)$
 $\quad \text{when } \neg(is-ConstantExpr\ y)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

optimization *AndNots*: $(\sim x) \& (\sim y) \mapsto \sim(x \mid y)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

optimization *AndSignExtend*: $BinaryExpr\ BinAnd\ (UnaryExpr\ (UnarySignExtend\ In\ Out)\ (x))$
 $\quad (const\ (new-int\ b\ e))$
 $\mapsto (UnaryExpr\ (UnaryZeroExtend\ In\ Out)\ (x))$
 $\quad \text{when } (e = (1 << In) - 1)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

optimization *AndNeutral*: $(x \& \sim(const\ (IntVal\ b\ 0))) \mapsto x$
 $\quad \text{when } (wf-stamp\ x \wedge stamp-expr\ x = IntegerStamp\ b\ lo\ hi)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

optimization *AndRightFallThrough*: $(x \& y) \mapsto y$
 $\quad \text{when } (((and\ (not\ (IRExpr-down\ x))\ (IRExpr-up\ y)) = 0))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

optimization *AndLeftFallThrough*: $(x \& y) \mapsto x$

```

                                when (((and (not (IRExpr-down y)) (IRExpr-up x)) = 0))
                                <proof>
end
end

```

11.4 BinaryNode Phase

```

theory BinaryNode
  imports
    Common
begin

phase BinaryNode
  terminating size
begin

optimization BinaryFoldConstant: BinaryExpr op (const v1) (const v2)  $\mapsto$  ConstantExpr (bin-eval op v1 v2)
  <proof>

end

end

```

11.5 ConditionalNode Phase

```

theory ConditionalPhase
  imports
    Common
    Proofs.StampEvalThms
begin

phase ConditionalNode
  terminating size
begin

lemma negates:  $\exists v b. e = \text{IntVal } b \ v \wedge b > 0 \implies \text{val-to-bool } (\text{val}[e]) \longleftrightarrow \neg(\text{val-to-bool } (\text{val}[!e]))$ 
  <proof>

lemma negation-condition-intval:
  assumes  $e = \text{IntVal } b \ ie$ 
  assumes  $0 < b$ 
  shows  $\text{val}[(!e) \ ? \ x : y] = \text{val}[e \ ? \ y : x]$ 
  <proof>

lemma negation-preserve-eval:
  assumes  $[m, p] \vdash \text{exp}[!e] \mapsto v$ 

```

shows $\exists v'. ([m, p] \vdash \text{exp}[e] \mapsto v') \wedge v = \text{val}[!v']$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *negation-preserve-eval-intval*:

assumes $[m, p] \vdash \text{exp}[!e] \mapsto v$

shows $\exists v' b vv. ([m, p] \vdash \text{exp}[e] \mapsto v') \wedge v' = \text{IntVal } b \text{ } vv \wedge b > 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

optimization *NegateConditionFlipBranches*: $((!e) \text{ ? } x : y) \mapsto (e \text{ ? } y : x)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

optimization *DefaultTrueBranch*: $(\text{true} \text{ ? } x : y) \mapsto x \langle \text{proof} \rangle$

optimization *DefaultFalseBranch*: $(\text{false} \text{ ? } x : y) \mapsto y \langle \text{proof} \rangle$

optimization *ConditionalEqualBranches*: $(e \text{ ? } x : x) \mapsto x \langle \text{proof} \rangle$

optimization *condition-bounds-x*: $((u < v) \text{ ? } x : y) \mapsto x$
 $\text{when } (\text{stamp-under } (\text{stamp-expr } u) (\text{stamp-expr } v) \wedge \text{wf-stamp } u \wedge \text{wf-stamp } v)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

optimization *condition-bounds-y*: $((u < v) \text{ ? } x : y) \mapsto y$
 $\text{when } (\text{stamp-under } (\text{stamp-expr } v) (\text{stamp-expr } u) \wedge \text{wf-stamp } u \wedge \text{wf-stamp } v)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *val-optimise-integer-test*:

assumes $\exists v. x = \text{IntVal } 32 \text{ } v$

shows $\text{val}[((x \& (\text{IntVal } 32 \text{ } 1)) \text{ eq } (\text{IntVal } 32 \text{ } 0)) \text{ ? } (\text{IntVal } 32 \text{ } 0) : (\text{IntVal } 32 \text{ } 1)]$
 $=$
 $\text{val}[x \& \text{IntVal } 32 \text{ } 1]$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

optimization *ConditionalEliminateKnownLess*: $((x < y) \text{ ? } x : y) \mapsto x$
 $\text{when } (\text{stamp-under } (\text{stamp-expr } x) (\text{stamp-expr } y)$
 $\wedge \text{wf-stamp } x \wedge \text{wf-stamp } y)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ExpIntBecomesIntVal*:

assumes $\text{stamp-expr } x = \text{IntegerStamp } b \text{ } xl \text{ } xh$

assumes $\text{wf-stamp } x$

assumes $\text{valid-value } v (\text{IntegerStamp } b \text{ } xl \text{ } xh)$

assumes $[m, p] \vdash x \mapsto v$

shows $\exists xv. v = \text{IntVal } b \text{ } xv$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *intval-self-is-true*:
assumes $yv \neq \text{UndefVal}$
assumes $yv = \text{IntVal } b \ yvv$
shows $\text{intval-equals } yv \ yv = \text{IntVal } 32 \ 1$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *intval-commute*:
assumes $\text{intval-equals } yv \ xv \neq \text{UndefVal}$
assumes $\text{intval-equals } xv \ yv \neq \text{UndefVal}$
shows $\text{intval-equals } yv \ xv = \text{intval-equals } xv \ yv$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *isBoolean* :: $\text{IRExpr} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $\text{isBoolean } e = (\forall m \ p \ \text{cond}. (([m, p] \vdash e \mapsto \text{cond}) \longrightarrow (\text{cond} \in \{\text{IntVal } 32 \ 0, \text{IntVal } 32 \ 1\})))$

lemma *preserveBoolean*:
assumes $\text{isBoolean } c$
shows $\text{isBoolean } \text{exp}[!c]$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

optimization *ConditionalIntegerEquals-1*: $\text{exp}[\text{BinaryExpr BinIntegerEquals } (c \ ? \ x : y) \ (x)] \longmapsto c$
 $\text{when stamp-expr } x = \text{IntegerStamp } b \ xl \ xh \wedge$
 $\text{wf-stamp } x \wedge$
 $\text{stamp-expr } y = \text{IntegerStamp } b \ yl \ yh \wedge$
 $\text{wf-stamp } y \wedge$
 $(\text{alwaysDistinct } (\text{stamp-expr } x) \ (\text{stamp-expr } y)) \wedge$
 $\text{isBoolean } c$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *negation-preserve-eval0*:
assumes $[m, p] \vdash \text{exp}[e] \mapsto v$
assumes $\text{isBoolean } e$
shows $\exists v'. ([m, p] \vdash \text{exp}[!e] \mapsto v')$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *negation-preserve-eval2*:
assumes $([m, p] \vdash \text{exp}[e] \mapsto v)$
assumes $(\text{isBoolean } e)$
shows $\exists v'. ([m, p] \vdash \text{exp}[!e] \mapsto v') \wedge v = \text{val}[!v']$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

optimization *ConditionalIntegerEquals-2*: $\text{exp}[\text{BinaryExpr BinIntegerEquals } (c \ ? \ x : y) \ (y)] \longmapsto (!c)$
 $\text{when stamp-expr } x = \text{IntegerStamp } b \ xl \ xh \wedge$

$wf\text{-stamp } x \wedge$
 $stamp\text{-expr } y = IntegerStamp \ b \ y_l \ y_h \wedge$
 $wf\text{-stamp } y \wedge$
 $(alwaysDistinct \ (stamp\text{-expr } x) \ (stamp\text{-expr } y)) \wedge$
 $isBoolean \ c$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

optimization *ConditionalExtractCondition*: $exp[(c \ ? \ true : false)] \mapsto c$
 $when \ isBoolean \ c$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

optimization *ConditionalExtractCondition2*: $exp[(c \ ? \ false : true)] \mapsto !c$
 $when \ isBoolean \ c$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

optimization *ConditionalEqualIsRHS*: $((x \ eq \ y) \ ? \ x : y) \mapsto y$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

optimization *normalizeX*: $((x \ eq \ const \ (IntVal \ 32 \ 0)) \ ?$
 $(const \ (IntVal \ 32 \ 0)) : (const \ (IntVal \ 32 \ 1))) \mapsto x$
 $when \ stamp\text{-expr } x = IntegerStamp \ 32 \ 0 \ 1 \wedge wf\text{-stamp } x \wedge$
 $isBoolean \ x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

optimization *normalizeX2*: $((x \ eq \ (const \ (IntVal \ 32 \ 1))) \ ?$
 $(const \ (IntVal \ 32 \ 1)) : (const \ (IntVal \ 32 \ 0))) \mapsto x$
 $when \ (x = ConstantExpr \ (IntVal \ 32 \ 0) \ |$
 $(x = ConstantExpr \ (IntVal \ 32 \ 1))) \langle proof \rangle$

optimization *flipX*: $((x \ eq \ (const \ (IntVal \ 32 \ 0))) \ ?$
 $(const \ (IntVal \ 32 \ 1)) : (const \ (IntVal \ 32 \ 0))) \mapsto x \oplus (const$
 $(IntVal \ 32 \ 1))$
 $when \ (x = ConstantExpr \ (IntVal \ 32 \ 0) \ |$
 $(x = ConstantExpr \ (IntVal \ 32 \ 1))) \langle proof \rangle$

optimization *flipX2*: $((x \ eq \ (const \ (IntVal \ 32 \ 1))) \ ?$
 $(const \ (IntVal \ 32 \ 0)) : (const \ (IntVal \ 32 \ 1))) \mapsto x \oplus (const$
 $(IntVal \ 32 \ 1))$
 $when \ (x = ConstantExpr \ (IntVal \ 32 \ 0) \ |$
 $(x = ConstantExpr \ (IntVal \ 32 \ 1))) \langle proof \rangle$

lemma *stamp-of-default*:
assumes $stamp\text{-expr } x = default\text{-stamp}$
assumes $wf\text{-stamp } x$

shows $([m, p] \vdash x \mapsto v) \longrightarrow (\exists vv. v = \text{IntVal } 32 \text{ } vv)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

optimization *OptimiseIntegerTest*:

$((x \ \& \ (\text{const } (\text{IntVal } 32 \ 1))) \text{ eq } (\text{const } (\text{IntVal } 32 \ 0))) \ ?$
 $(\text{const } (\text{IntVal } 32 \ 0)) : (\text{const } (\text{IntVal } 32 \ 1))) \longmapsto$
 $x \ \& \ (\text{const } (\text{IntVal } 32 \ 1))$
 $\text{when } (\text{stamp-expr } x = \text{default-stamp} \wedge \text{wf-stamp } x)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

optimization *opt-optimize-integer-test-2*:

$((x \ \& \ (\text{const } (\text{IntVal } 32 \ 1))) \text{ eq } (\text{const } (\text{IntVal } 32 \ 0))) \ ?$
 $(\text{const } (\text{IntVal } 32 \ 0)) : (\text{const } (\text{IntVal } 32 \ 1))) \longmapsto x$
 $\text{when } (x = \text{ConstantExpr } (\text{IntVal } 32 \ 0) \mid (x = \text{ConstantExpr } (\text{IntVal } 32 \ 1))) \langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

end

11.6 MulNode Phase

theory *MulPhase*

imports

Common

Proofs.StampEvalThms

begin

fun *mul-size* :: *IRExpr* \Rightarrow *nat* **where**

$\text{mul-size } (\text{UnaryExpr } \text{op } e) = (\text{mul-size } e) + 2 \mid$
 $\text{mul-size } (\text{BinaryExpr } \text{BinMul } x \ y) = ((\text{mul-size } x) + (\text{mul-size } y) + 2) * 2 \mid$
 $\text{mul-size } (\text{BinaryExpr } \text{op } x \ y) = (\text{mul-size } x) + (\text{mul-size } y) + 2 \mid$
 $\text{mul-size } (\text{ConditionalExpr } \text{cond } t \ f) = (\text{mul-size } \text{cond}) + (\text{mul-size } t) + (\text{mul-size } f) + 2 \mid$
 $\text{mul-size } (\text{ConstantExpr } c) = 1 \mid$
 $\text{mul-size } (\text{ParameterExpr } \text{ind } s) = 2 \mid$
 $\text{mul-size } (\text{LeafExpr } \text{nid } s) = 2 \mid$
 $\text{mul-size } (\text{ConstantVar } c) = 2 \mid$
 $\text{mul-size } (\text{VariableExpr } x \ s) = 2$

phase *MulNode*

terminating *mul-size*

begin

lemma *bin-eliminate-redundant-negative*:

$uminus\ (x :: 'a::len\ word) * uminus\ (y :: 'a::len\ word) = x * y$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bin-multiply-identity*:

$(x :: 'a::len\ word) * 1 = x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bin-multiply-eliminate*:

$(x :: 'a::len\ word) * 0 = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bin-multiply-negative*:

$(x :: 'a::len\ word) * uminus\ 1 = uminus\ x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bin-multiply-power-2*:

$(x :: 'a::len\ word) * (2^j) = x << j$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *take-bit64[simp]*:

fixes $w :: int64$
shows $take-bit\ 64\ w = w$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *mergeTakeBit*:

fixes $a :: nat$
fixes $b\ c :: 64\ word$
shows $take-bit\ a\ (take-bit\ a\ (b) * take-bit\ a\ (c)) =$
 $take-bit\ a\ (b * c)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *val-eliminate-redundant-negative*:

assumes $val[-x * -y] \neq UndefinedVal$
shows $val[-x * -y] = val[x * y]$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *val-multiply-neutral*:

assumes $x = new_int\ b\ v$
shows $val[x * (IntVal\ b\ 1)] = x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *val-multiply-zero*:

assumes $x = \text{new-int } b \ v$
shows $\text{val}[x * (\text{IntVal } b \ 0)] = \text{IntVal } b \ 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *val-multiply-negative:*

assumes $x = \text{new-int } b \ v$
shows $\text{val}[x * -(\text{IntVal } b \ 1)] = \text{val}[-x]$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *val-MulPower2:*

fixes $i :: 64 \text{ word}$
assumes $y = \text{IntVal } 64 \ (2 \wedge \text{unat}(i))$
and $0 < i$
and $i < 64$
and $\text{val}[x * y] \neq \text{UndefVal}$
shows $\text{val}[x * y] = \text{val}[x << \text{IntVal } 64 \ i]$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *val-MulPower2Add1:*

fixes $i :: 64 \text{ word}$
assumes $y = \text{IntVal } 64 \ ((2 \wedge \text{unat}(i)) + 1)$
and $0 < i$
and $i < 64$
and $\text{val-to-bool}(\text{val}[\text{IntVal } 64 \ 0 < x])$
and $\text{val-to-bool}(\text{val}[\text{IntVal } 64 \ 0 < y])$
shows $\text{val}[x * y] = \text{val}[(x << \text{IntVal } 64 \ i) + x]$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *val-MulPower2Sub1:*

fixes $i :: 64 \text{ word}$
assumes $y = \text{IntVal } 64 \ ((2 \wedge \text{unat}(i)) - 1)$
and $0 < i$
and $i < 64$
and $\text{val-to-bool}(\text{val}[\text{IntVal } 64 \ 0 < x])$
and $\text{val-to-bool}(\text{val}[\text{IntVal } 64 \ 0 < y])$
shows $\text{val}[x * y] = \text{val}[(x << \text{IntVal } 64 \ i) - x]$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *val-distribute-multiplication:*

assumes $x = \text{IntVal } b \ xx \wedge q = \text{IntVal } b \ qq \wedge a = \text{IntVal } b \ aa$
assumes $\text{val}[x * (q + a)] \neq \text{UndefVal}$
assumes $\text{val}[(x * q) + (x * a)] \neq \text{UndefVal}$
shows $\text{val}[x * (q + a)] = \text{val}[(x * q) + (x * a)]$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *val-distribute-multiplication64*:

assumes $x = \text{new-int } 64 \text{ } xx \wedge q = \text{new-int } 64 \text{ } qq \wedge a = \text{new-int } 64 \text{ } aa$
shows $\text{val}[x * (q + a)] = \text{val}[(x * q) + (x * a)]$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *val-MulPower2AddPower2*:

fixes $i \ j :: 64 \text{ word}$
assumes $y = \text{IntVal } 64 \ ((2 \wedge \text{unat}(i)) + (2 \wedge \text{unat}(j)))$
and $0 < i$
and $0 < j$
and $i < 64$
and $j < 64$
and $x = \text{new-int } 64 \text{ } xx$
shows $\text{val}[x * y] = \text{val}[(x << \text{IntVal } 64 \ i) + (x << \text{IntVal } 64 \ j)]$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

thm-oracles *val-MulPower2AddPower2*

lemma *exp-multiply-zero-64*:

shows $\text{exp}[x * (\text{const } (\text{IntVal } b \ 0))] \geq \text{ConstantExpr } (\text{IntVal } b \ 0)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *exp-multiply-neutral*:

$\text{exp}[x * (\text{const } (\text{IntVal } b \ 1))] \geq x$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

thm-oracles *exp-multiply-neutral*

lemma *exp-multiply-negative*:

$\text{exp}[x * -(\text{const } (\text{IntVal } b \ 1))] \geq \text{exp}[-x]$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *exp-MulPower2*:

fixes $i :: 64 \text{ word}$
assumes $y = \text{ConstantExpr } (\text{IntVal } 64 \ (2 \wedge \text{unat}(i)))$
and $0 < i$
and $i < 64$
and $\text{exp}[x > (\text{const } \text{IntVal } b \ 0)]$
and $\text{exp}[y > (\text{const } \text{IntVal } b \ 0)]$
shows $\text{exp}[x * y] \geq \text{exp}[x << \text{ConstantExpr } (\text{IntVal } 64 \ i)]$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *exp-MulPower2Add1*:

fixes $i :: 64 \text{ word}$
assumes $y = \text{ConstantExpr } (\text{IntVal } 64 \ ((2 \wedge \text{unat}(i)) + 1))$
and $0 < i$
and $i < 64$

```

and    exp[x > (const IntVal b 0)]
and    exp[y > (const IntVal b 0)]
shows  exp[x * y] ≥ exp[(x << ConstantExpr (IntVal 64 i)) + x]
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma *exp-MulPower2Sub1*:

```

fixes i :: 64 word
assumes y = ConstantExpr (IntVal 64 ((2 ^ unat(i)) - 1))
and    0 < i
and    i < 64
and    exp[x > (const IntVal b 0)]
and    exp[y > (const IntVal b 0)]
shows  exp[x * y] ≥ exp[(x << ConstantExpr (IntVal 64 i)) - x]
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma *exp-MulPower2AddPower2*:

```

fixes i j :: 64 word
assumes y = ConstantExpr (IntVal 64 ((2 ^ unat(i)) + (2 ^ unat(j))))
and    0 < i
and    0 < j
and    i < 64
and    j < 64
and    exp[x > (const IntVal b 0)]
and    exp[y > (const IntVal b 0)]
shows  exp[x * y] ≥ exp[(x << ConstantExpr (IntVal 64 i)) + (x << ConstantExpr (IntVal 64 j))]
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma *greaterConstant*:

```

fixes a b :: 64 word
assumes a > b
and    y = ConstantExpr (IntVal 32 a)
and    x = ConstantExpr (IntVal 32 b)
shows  exp[BinaryExpr BinIntegerLessThan y x] ≥ exp[const (new-int 32 0)]
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma *exp-distribute-multiplication*:

```

assumes stamp-expr x = IntegerStamp b xl xh
assumes stamp-expr q = IntegerStamp b ql qh
assumes stamp-expr y = IntegerStamp b yl yh
assumes wf-stamp x
assumes wf-stamp q
assumes wf-stamp y
shows  exp[(x * q) + (x * y)] ≥ exp[x * (q + y)]
⟨proof⟩

```

Optimisations

optimization *EliminateRedundantNegative*: $-x * -y \mapsto x * y$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

optimization *MulNeutral*: $x * \text{ConstantExpr } (\text{IntVal } b \ 1) \mapsto x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

optimization *MulEliminator*: $x * \text{ConstantExpr } (\text{IntVal } b \ 0) \mapsto \text{const } (\text{IntVal } b \ 0)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

optimization *MulNegate*: $x * -(\text{const } (\text{IntVal } b \ 1)) \mapsto -x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

fun *isNonZero* :: *Stamp* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**
isNonZero (*IntegerStamp* *b lo hi*) = (*lo* > 0) |
isNonZero - = *False*

lemma *isNonZero-defn*:
assumes *isNonZero* (*stamp-expr* *x*)
assumes *wf-stamp* *x*
shows ($[m, p] \vdash x \mapsto v \longrightarrow (\exists vv \ b. (v = \text{IntVal } b \ vv \wedge \text{val-to-bool } \text{val}[(\text{IntVal } b \ 0) < v]))$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ExpIntBecomesIntValArbitrary*:
assumes *stamp-expr* *x* = *IntegerStamp* *b xl xh*
assumes *wf-stamp* *x*
assumes *valid-value* *v* (*IntegerStamp* *b xl xh*)
assumes $[m, p] \vdash x \mapsto v$
shows $\exists xv. v = \text{IntVal } b \ xv$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

optimization *MulPower2*: $x * y \mapsto x << \text{const } (\text{IntVal } 64 \ i)$
when ($i > 0 \wedge \text{stamp-expr } x = \text{IntegerStamp } 64 \ xl \ xh \wedge$
wf-stamp *x* \wedge
 $64 > i \wedge$
 $y = \text{exp}[\text{const } (\text{IntVal } 64 \ (2 \wedge \text{unat}(i)))]$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

optimization *MulPower2Add1*: $x * y \mapsto (x << \text{const } (\text{IntVal } 64 \ i)) + x$
when ($i > 0 \wedge \text{stamp-expr } x = \text{IntegerStamp } 64 \ xl \ xh \wedge$
wf-stamp *x* \wedge
 $64 > i \wedge$
 $y = \text{ConstantExpr } (\text{IntVal } 64 \ ((2 \wedge \text{unat}(i)) + 1))$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

optimization *MulPower2Sub1*: $x * y \mapsto (x << \text{const } (\text{IntVal } 64 \ i)) - x$
when ($i > 0 \wedge \text{stamp-expr } x = \text{IntegerStamp } 64 \ xl \ xh \wedge$

```

wf-stamp  $x \wedge$ 
 $64 > i \wedge$ 
 $y = \text{ConstantExpr } (\text{IntVal } 64 \ ((2 \wedge \text{unat}(i)) - 1))$ 
⟨proof⟩

end

end

```

11.7 Experimental AndNode Phase

```

theory NewAnd
  imports
    Common
    Graph.JavaLong
  begin

lemma intval-distribute-and-over-or:
   $\text{val}[z \ \& \ (x \mid y)] = \text{val}[(z \ \& \ x) \mid (z \ \& \ y)]$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma exp-distribute-and-over-or:
   $\text{exp}[z \ \& \ (x \mid y)] \geq \text{exp}[(z \ \& \ x) \mid (z \ \& \ y)]$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma intval-and-commute:
   $\text{val}[x \ \& \ y] = \text{val}[y \ \& \ x]$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma intval-or-commute:
   $\text{val}[x \mid y] = \text{val}[y \mid x]$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma intval-xor-commute:
   $\text{val}[x \oplus y] = \text{val}[y \oplus x]$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma exp-and-commute:
   $\text{exp}[x \ \& \ z] \geq \text{exp}[z \ \& \ x]$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma exp-or-commute:
   $\text{exp}[x \mid y] \geq \text{exp}[y \mid x]$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma exp-xor-commute:
   $\text{exp}[x \oplus y] \geq \text{exp}[y \oplus x]$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

lemma *intval-eliminate-y*:
assumes $\text{val}[y \ \& \ z] = \text{IntVal } b \ 0$
shows $\text{val}[(x \mid y) \ \& \ z] = \text{val}[x \ \& \ z]$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *intval-and-associative*:
 $\text{val}[(x \ \& \ y) \ \& \ z] = \text{val}[x \ \& \ (y \ \& \ z)]$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *intval-or-associative*:
 $\text{val}[(x \mid y) \mid z] = \text{val}[x \mid (y \mid z)]$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *intval-xor-associative*:
 $\text{val}[(x \oplus y) \oplus z] = \text{val}[x \oplus (y \oplus z)]$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *exp-and-associative*:
 $\text{exp}[(x \ \& \ y) \ \& \ z] \geq \text{exp}[x \ \& \ (y \ \& \ z)]$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *exp-or-associative*:
 $\text{exp}[(x \mid y) \mid z] \geq \text{exp}[x \mid (y \mid z)]$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *exp-xor-associative*:
 $\text{exp}[(x \oplus y) \oplus z] \geq \text{exp}[x \oplus (y \oplus z)]$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *intval-and-absorb-or*:
assumes $\exists b \ v. \ x = \text{new-int } b \ v$
assumes $\text{val}[x \ \& \ (x \mid y)] \neq \text{UndefVal}$
shows $\text{val}[x \ \& \ (x \mid y)] = \text{val}[x]$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *intval-or-absorb-and*:
assumes $\exists b \ v. \ x = \text{new-int } b \ v$
assumes $\text{val}[x \mid (x \ \& \ y)] \neq \text{UndefVal}$
shows $\text{val}[x \mid (x \ \& \ y)] = \text{val}[x]$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *exp-and-absorb-or*:
 $\text{exp}[x \ \& \ (x \mid y)] \geq \text{exp}[x]$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *exp-or-absorb-and*:
 $\text{exp}[x \mid (x \ \& \ y)] \geq \text{exp}[x]$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

```

lemma
  assumes  $y = 0$ 
  shows  $x + y = \text{or } x \ y$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma no-overlap-or:
  assumes and  $x \ y = 0$ 
  shows  $x + y = \text{or } x \ y$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

context stamp-mask
begin

```

```

lemma intval-up-and-zero-implies-zero:
  assumes and  $(\uparrow x) (\uparrow y) = 0$ 
  assumes  $[m, p] \vdash x \mapsto xv$ 
  assumes  $[m, p] \vdash y \mapsto yv$ 
  assumes  $\text{val}[xv \ \& \ yv] \neq \text{UndefVal}$ 
  shows  $\exists \ b . \text{val}[xv \ \& \ yv] = \text{new-int } b \ 0$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma exp-eliminate-y:
  and  $(\uparrow y) (\uparrow z) = 0 \longrightarrow \text{exp}[(x \mid y) \ \& \ z] \geq \text{exp}[x \ \& \ z]$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma leadingZeroBounds:
  fixes  $x :: 'a::\text{len word}$ 
  assumes  $n = \text{numberOfLeadingZeros } x$ 
  shows  $0 \leq n \wedge n \leq \text{Nat.size } x$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma above-nth-not-set:
  fixes  $x :: \text{int64}$ 
  assumes  $n = 64 - \text{numberOfLeadingZeros } x$ 
  shows  $j > n \longrightarrow \neg(\text{bit } x \ j)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

no-notation LogicNegationNotation (!-)

```

```

lemma zero-horner:

```


horner-sum of-bool 2 (map ($\lambda x. \text{False}$) xs) = 0
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *zero-map*:

assumes $j \leq n$
assumes $\forall i. j \leq i \longrightarrow \neg(f\ i)$
shows $\text{map } f\ [0..<n] = \text{map } f\ [0..<j] @ \text{map } (\lambda x. \text{False})\ [j..<n]$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *map-join-horner*:

assumes $\text{map } f\ [0..<n] = \text{map } f\ [0..<j] @ \text{map } (\lambda x. \text{False})\ [j..<n]$
shows *horner-sum of-bool* (2::'a::len word) (map f [0..<n]) = *horner-sum of-bool* 2 (map f [0..<j])
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *split-horner*:

assumes $j \leq n$
assumes $\forall i. j \leq i \longrightarrow \neg(f\ i)$
shows *horner-sum of-bool* (2::'a::len word) (map f [0..<n]) = *horner-sum of-bool* 2 (map f [0..<j])
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *transfer-map*:

assumes $\forall i. i < n \longrightarrow f\ i = f'\ i$
shows $(\text{map } f\ [0..<n]) = (\text{map } f'\ [0..<n])$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *transfer-horner*:

assumes $\forall i. i < n \longrightarrow f\ i = f'\ i$
shows *horner-sum of-bool* (2::'a::len word) (map f [0..<n]) = *horner-sum of-bool* 2 (map f' [0..<n])
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *L1*:

assumes $n = 64 - \text{numberOfLeadingZeros } (\uparrow z)$
assumes $[m, p] \vdash z \mapsto \text{IntVal } b\ zv$
shows $\text{and } v\ zv = \text{and } (v \bmod 2^{\wedge n})\ zv$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *up-mask-upper-bound*:

assumes $[m, p] \vdash x \mapsto \text{IntVal } b\ xv$
shows $xv \leq (\uparrow x)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *L2*:

assumes $\text{numberOfLeadingZeros } (\uparrow z) + \text{numberOfTrailingZeros } (\uparrow y) \geq 64$
assumes $n = 64 - \text{numberOfLeadingZeros } (\uparrow z)$
assumes $[m, p] \vdash z \mapsto \text{IntVal } b\ zv$
assumes $[m, p] \vdash y \mapsto \text{IntVal } b\ yv$

shows $yv \bmod 2^{\wedge n} = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

thm-oracles $L1\ L2$

lemma *unfold-binary-width-add:*

shows $([m,p] \vdash \text{BinaryExpr BinAdd } xe\ ye \mapsto \text{IntVal } b\ val) = (\exists\ x\ y.$
 $(([m,p] \vdash xe \mapsto \text{IntVal } b\ x) \wedge$
 $([m,p] \vdash ye \mapsto \text{IntVal } b\ y) \wedge$
 $(\text{IntVal } b\ val = \text{bin-eval BinAdd } (\text{IntVal } b\ x) (\text{IntVal } b\ y)) \wedge$
 $(\text{IntVal } b\ val \neq \text{UndefVal})$
 $))\ (\text{is } ?L = ?R)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *unfold-binary-width-and:*

shows $([m,p] \vdash \text{BinaryExpr BinAnd } xe\ ye \mapsto \text{IntVal } b\ val) = (\exists\ x\ y.$
 $(([m,p] \vdash xe \mapsto \text{IntVal } b\ x) \wedge$
 $([m,p] \vdash ye \mapsto \text{IntVal } b\ y) \wedge$
 $(\text{IntVal } b\ val = \text{bin-eval BinAnd } (\text{IntVal } b\ x) (\text{IntVal } b\ y)) \wedge$
 $(\text{IntVal } b\ val \neq \text{UndefVal})$
 $))\ (\text{is } ?L = ?R)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *mod-dist-over-add-right:*

fixes $a\ b\ c :: \text{int64}$
fixes $n :: \text{nat}$
assumes $0 < n$
assumes $n < 64$
shows $(a + b \bmod 2^{\wedge n}) \bmod 2^{\wedge n} = (a + b) \bmod 2^{\wedge n}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *numberOfLeadingZeros-range:*

$0 \leq \text{numberOfLeadingZeros } n \wedge \text{numberOfLeadingZeros } n \leq \text{Nat.size } n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *improved-opt:*

assumes $\text{numberOfLeadingZeros } (\uparrow z) + \text{numberOfTrailingZeros } (\uparrow y) \geq 64$
shows $\text{exp}[(x + y) \ \&\ z] \geq \text{exp}[x \ \&\ z]$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

thm-oracles *improved-opt*

end

```

phase NewAnd
  terminating size
begin

optimization redundant-lhs-y-or:  $((x \mid y) \& z) \mapsto x \& z$ 
   $\text{when } (((\text{and } (IExpr\text{-}up\ y) (IExpr\text{-}up\ z)) = 0))$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

optimization redundant-lhs-x-or:  $((x \mid y) \& z) \mapsto y \& z$ 
   $\text{when } (((\text{and } (IExpr\text{-}up\ x) (IExpr\text{-}up\ z)) = 0))$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

optimization redundant-rhs-y-or:  $(z \& (x \mid y)) \mapsto z \& x$ 
   $\text{when } (((\text{and } (IExpr\text{-}up\ y) (IExpr\text{-}up\ z)) = 0))$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

optimization redundant-rhs-x-or:  $(z \& (x \mid y)) \mapsto z \& y$ 
   $\text{when } (((\text{and } (IExpr\text{-}up\ x) (IExpr\text{-}up\ z)) = 0))$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

end

```

11.8 NotNode Phase

```

theory NotPhase
  imports
    Common
begin

phase NotNode
  terminating size
begin

lemma bin-not-cancel:
   $bin[\neg(\neg(e))] = bin[e]$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma val-not-cancel:
  assumes  $val[\sim(new\text{-}int\ b\ v)] \neq \text{UndefVal}$ 
  shows  $val[\sim(\sim(new\text{-}int\ b\ v))] = (new\text{-}int\ b\ v)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

lemma *exp-not-cancel*:
 $\text{exp}[\sim(\sim a)] \geq \text{exp}[a]$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Optimisations

optimization *NotCancel*: $\text{exp}[\sim(\sim a)] \mapsto a$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

end

11.9 OrNode Phase

theory *OrPhase*
imports
Common
begin

context *stamp-mask*
begin

Taking advantage of the truth table of or operations.

#	x	y	$x y$
1	0	0	0
2	0	1	1
3	1	0	1
4	1	1	1

If row 2 never applies, that is, $\text{canBeZero } x \ \& \ \text{canBeOne } y = 0$, then $(x|y) = x$.

Likewise, if row 3 never applies, $\text{canBeZero } y \ \& \ \text{canBeOne } x = 0$, then $(x|y) = y$.

lemma *OrLeftFallthrough*:
assumes $(\text{and } (\text{not } (\downarrow x)) (\uparrow y)) = 0$
shows $\text{exp}[x \mid y] \geq \text{exp}[x]$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *OrRightFallthrough*:
assumes $(\text{and } (\text{not } (\downarrow y)) (\uparrow x)) = 0$
shows $\text{exp}[x \mid y] \geq \text{exp}[y]$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

phase *OrNode*
terminating *size*

begin

lemma *bin-or-equal*:

$bin[x \mid x] = bin[x]$
<proof>

lemma *bin-shift-const-right-helper*:

$x \mid y = y \mid x$
<proof>

lemma *bin-or-not-operands*:

$(\sim x \mid \sim y) = (\sim(x \ \& \ y))$
<proof>

lemma *val-or-equal*:

assumes $x = new_int \ b \ v$
and $val[x \mid x] \neq UndefVal$
shows $val[x \mid x] = val[x]$
<proof>

lemma *val-elim-redundant-false*:

assumes $x = new_int \ b \ v$
and $val[x \mid false] \neq UndefVal$
shows $val[x \mid false] = val[x]$
<proof>

lemma *val-shift-const-right-helper*:

$val[x \mid y] = val[y \mid x]$
<proof>

lemma *val-or-not-operands*:

$val[\sim x \mid \sim y] = val[\sim(x \ \& \ y)]$
<proof>

lemma *exp-or-equal*:

$exp[x \mid x] \geq exp[x]$
<proof>

lemma *exp-elim-redundant-false*:

$exp[x \mid false] \geq exp[x]$
<proof>

Optimisations

optimization *OrEqual*: $x \mid x \longmapsto x$

<proof>

optimization *OrShiftConstantRight*: $((\text{const } x) \mid y) \mapsto y \mid (\text{const } x)$ when $\neg(\text{is-ConstantExpr } y)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

optimization *EliminateRedundantFalse*: $x \mid \text{false} \mapsto x$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

optimization *OrNotOperands*: $(\sim x \mid \sim y) \mapsto \sim(x \ \& \ y)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

optimization *OrLeftFallthrough*:
 $x \mid y \mapsto x$ when $((\text{and } (\text{not } (\text{IExpr-down } x)) (\text{IExpr-up } y)) = 0)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

optimization *OrRightFallthrough*:
 $x \mid y \mapsto y$ when $((\text{and } (\text{not } (\text{IExpr-down } y)) (\text{IExpr-up } x)) = 0)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

end

11.10 ShiftNode Phase

theory *ShiftPhase*

imports

Common

begin

phase *ShiftNode*

terminating *size*

begin

fun *intval-log2* :: *Value* \Rightarrow *Value* **where**
 $\text{intval-log2 } (\text{IntVal } b \ v) = \text{IntVal } b \ (\text{word-of-int } (\text{SOME } e. v = 2^e)) \mid$
 $\text{intval-log2 } - = \text{UndefVal}$

fun *in-bounds* :: *Value* \Rightarrow *int* \Rightarrow *int* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**
 $\text{in-bounds } (\text{IntVal } b \ v) \ l \ h = (l < \text{sint } v \wedge \text{sint } v < h) \mid$
 $\text{in-bounds } - \ l \ h = \text{False}$

lemma

assumes *in-bounds* (*intval-log2* *val-c*) 0 32

shows $\text{val}[x << (\text{intval-log2 } \text{val-c})] = \text{val}[x * \text{val-c}]$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *e-intval*:

$n = \text{intval-log2 } \text{val-c} \wedge \text{in-bounds } n \ 0 \ 32 \longrightarrow$

$val[x << (intval\text{-}log2\ val\text{-}c)] = val[x * val\text{-}c]$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

optimization e :

$x * (const\ c) \mapsto x << (const\ n)$ when $(n = intval\text{-}log2\ c \wedge in\text{-}bounds\ n\ 0\ 32)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

end

11.11 SignedDivNode Phase

theory *SignedDivPhase*

imports

Common

begin

phase *SignedDivNode*

terminating *size*

begin

lemma *val-division-by-one-is-self-32*:

assumes $x = new\text{-}int\ 32\ v$

shows $intval\text{-}div\ x\ (IntVal\ 32\ 1) = x$

$\langle proof \rangle$

end

end

11.12 SignedRemNode Phase

theory *SignedRemPhase*

imports

Common

begin

phase *SignedRemNode*

terminating *size*

begin

lemma *val-remainder-one*:

assumes $intval\text{-}mod\ x\ (IntVal\ 32\ 1) \neq UndefVal$

shows $intval\text{-}mod\ x\ (IntVal\ 32\ 1) = IntVal\ 32\ 0$

```

    <proof>

value word-of-int (sint (x2::32 word) smod 1)

end

end

```

11.13 SubNode Phase

```

theory SubPhase
  imports
    Common
    Proofs.StampEvalThms
  begin

  phase SubNode
    terminating size
  begin

  lemma bin-sub-after-right-add:
    shows ((x::('a::len) word) + (y::('a::len) word)) - y = x
    <proof>

  lemma sub-self-is-zero:
    shows (x::('a::len) word) - x = 0
    <proof>

  lemma bin-sub-then-left-add:
    shows (x::('a::len) word) - (x + (y::('a::len) word)) = -y
    <proof>

  lemma bin-sub-then-left-sub:
    shows (x::('a::len) word) - (x - (y::('a::len) word)) = y
    <proof>

  lemma bin-subtract-zero:
    shows (x :: 'a::len word) - (0 :: 'a::len word) = x
    <proof>

  lemma bin-sub-negative-value:
    (x :: ('a::len) word) - (-(y :: ('a::len) word)) = x + y
    <proof>

  lemma bin-sub-self-is-zero:
    (x :: ('a::len) word) - x = 0
    <proof>

```


lemma *bin-sub-negative-const*:
 $(x :: 'a::len\ word) - (-(y :: 'a::len\ word)) = x + y$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *val-sub-after-right-add-2*:
assumes $x = new_int\ b\ v$
assumes $val[(x + y) - y] \neq UndefinedVal$
shows $val[(x + y) - y] = x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *val-sub-after-left-sub*:
assumes $val[(x - y) - x] \neq UndefinedVal$
shows $val[(x - y) - x] = val[-y]$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *val-sub-then-left-sub*:
assumes $y = new_int\ b\ v$
assumes $val[x - (x - y)] \neq UndefinedVal$
shows $val[x - (x - y)] = y$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *val-subtract-zero*:
assumes $x = new_int\ b\ v$
assumes $val[x - (IntVal\ b\ 0)] \neq UndefinedVal$
shows $val[x - (IntVal\ b\ 0)] = x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *val-zero-subtract-value*:
assumes $x = new_int\ b\ v$
assumes $val[(IntVal\ b\ 0) - x] \neq UndefinedVal$
shows $val[(IntVal\ b\ 0) - x] = val[-x]$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *val-sub-then-left-add*:
assumes $val[x - (x + y)] \neq UndefinedVal$
shows $val[x - (x + y)] = val[-y]$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *val-sub-negative-value*:
assumes $val[x - (-y)] \neq UndefinedVal$
shows $val[x - (-y)] = val[x + y]$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *val-sub-self-is-zero*:
assumes $x = new_int\ b\ v \wedge val[x - x] \neq UndefinedVal$
shows $val[x - x] = new_int\ b\ 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *val-sub-negative-const*:
assumes $y = \text{new-int } b \ v \wedge \text{val}[x - (-y)] \neq \text{UndefVal}$
shows $\text{val}[x - (-y)] = \text{val}[x + y]$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *exp-sub-after-right-add*:
shows $\text{exp}[(x + y) - y] \geq x$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *exp-sub-after-right-add2*:
shows $\text{exp}[(x + y) - x] \geq y$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *exp-sub-negative-value*:
 $\text{exp}[x - (-y)] \geq \text{exp}[x + y]$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *exp-sub-then-left-sub*:
 $\text{exp}[x - (x - y)] \geq y$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

thm-oracles *exp-sub-then-left-sub*

lemma *SubtractZero-Exp*:
 $\text{exp}[(x - (\text{const IntVal } b \ 0))] \geq x$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ZeroSubtractValue-Exp*:
assumes *wf-stamp* x
assumes *stamp-expr* $x = \text{IntegerStamp } b \ lo \ hi$
assumes $\neg(\text{is-ConstantExpr } x)$
shows $\text{exp}[(\text{const IntVal } b \ 0) - x] \geq \text{exp}[-x]$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Optimisations

optimization *SubAfterAddRight*: $((x + y) - y) \mapsto x$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

optimization *SubAfterAddLeft*: $((x + y) - x) \mapsto y$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

optimization *SubAfterSubLeft*: $((x - y) - x) \mapsto -y$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

optimization *SubThenAddLeft*: $(x - (x + y)) \mapsto -y$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

optimization *SubThenAddRight*: $(y - (x + y)) \mapsto -x$

```

optimization SubThenSubLeft:  $(x - (x - y)) \mapsto y$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

optimization SubtractZero:  $(x - (const\ IntVal\ b\ 0)) \mapsto x$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

thm-oracles SubtractZero

optimization SubNegativeValue:  $(x - (-y)) \mapsto x + y$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

thm-oracles SubNegativeValue

lemma negate-idempotent:
  assumes  $x = IntVal\ b\ v \wedge take-bit\ b\ v = v$ 
  shows  $x = val[-(-x)]$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

optimization ZeroSubtractValue:  $((const\ IntVal\ b\ 0) - x) \mapsto (-x)$ 
  when  $(wf-stamp\ x \wedge stamp-expr\ x = IntegerStamp\ b\ lo$ 
   $hi \wedge \neg(is-ConstantExpr\ x))$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

optimization SubSelfIsZero:  $(x - x) \mapsto const\ IntVal\ b\ 0$  when
   $(wf-stamp\ x \wedge stamp-expr\ x = IntegerStamp\ b\ lo\ hi)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

end

```

11.14 XorNode Phase

```
theory XorPhase
imports
  Common
  Proofs.StampEvalThms
begin
```

phase *XorNode*
terminating *size*
begin

lemma *bin-xor-self-is-false*:
 $\text{bin}[x \oplus x] = 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *bin-xor-commute*:
 $\text{bin}[x \oplus y] = \text{bin}[y \oplus x]$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *bin-eliminate-redundant-false*:
 $\text{bin}[x \oplus 0] = \text{bin}[x]$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *val-xor-self-is-false*:
assumes $\text{val}[x \oplus x] \neq \text{UndefVal}$
shows $\text{val-to-bool}(\text{val}[x \oplus x]) = \text{False}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *val-xor-self-is-false-2*:
assumes $\text{val}[x \oplus x] \neq \text{UndefVal}$
and $x = \text{IntVal } 32 \ v$
shows $\text{val}[x \oplus x] = \text{bool-to-val } \text{False}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *val-xor-self-is-false-3*:
assumes $\text{val}[x \oplus x] \neq \text{UndefVal} \wedge x = \text{IntVal } 64 \ v$
shows $\text{val}[x \oplus x] = \text{IntVal } 64 \ 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *val-xor-commute*:
 $\text{val}[x \oplus y] = \text{val}[y \oplus x]$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *val-eliminate-redundant-false*:
assumes $x = \text{new-int } b \ v$
assumes $\text{val}[x \oplus (\text{bool-to-val } \text{False})] \neq \text{UndefVal}$
shows $\text{val}[x \oplus (\text{bool-to-val } \text{False})] = x$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *exp-xor-self-is-false*:
assumes $\text{wf-stamp } x \wedge \text{stamp-expr } x = \text{default-stamp}$
shows $\text{exp}[x \oplus x] \geq \text{exp}[\text{false}]$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *exp-eliminate-redundant-false*:

shows $exp[x \oplus false] \geq exp[x]$

$\langle proof \rangle$

Optimisations

optimization *XorSelfIsFalse*: $(x \oplus x) \mapsto false$ when

$(wf-stamp\ x \wedge stamp-expr\ x = default-stamp)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

optimization *XorShiftConstantRight*: $((const\ x) \oplus y) \mapsto y \oplus (const\ x)$ when

$\neg(is-ConstantExpr\ y)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

optimization *EliminateRedundantFalse*: $(x \oplus false) \mapsto x$

$\langle proof \rangle$

end

end

12 Conditional Elimination Phase

theory *ConditionalElimination*

imports

Semantics.IRTreeEvalThms

Proofs.Rewrites

Proofs.Bisimulation

begin

12.1 Individual Elimination Rules

The set of rules used for determining whether a condition $q1::'a$ implies another condition $q2::'a$ or its negation. These rules are used for conditional elimination.

inductive *impliesx* :: $IRExpr \Rightarrow IRExpr \Rightarrow bool$ $(- \Rightarrow -)$ **and**

impliesnot :: $IRExpr \Rightarrow IRExpr \Rightarrow bool$ $(- \Rightarrow \neg -)$ **where**

q-imp-q:

$q \Rightarrow q$ |

eq-impliesnot-less:

$(BinaryExpr\ BinIntegerEquals\ x\ y) \Rightarrow \neg (BinaryExpr\ BinIntegerLessThan\ x\ y)$ |

eq-impliesnot-less-rev:

$(BinaryExpr\ BinIntegerEquals\ x\ y) \Rightarrow \neg (BinaryExpr\ BinIntegerLessThan\ y\ x)$ |

less-impliesnot-rev-less:

$(\text{BinaryExpr BinIntegerLessThan } x \ y) \Rightarrow \neg (\text{BinaryExpr BinIntegerLessThan } y \ x)$

less-impliesnot-eq:

$(\text{BinaryExpr BinIntegerLessThan } x \ y) \Rightarrow \neg (\text{BinaryExpr BinIntegerEquals } x \ y) \mid$

less-impliesnot-eq-rev:

$(\text{BinaryExpr BinIntegerLessThan } x \ y) \Rightarrow \neg (\text{BinaryExpr BinIntegerEquals } y \ x) \mid$

negate-true:

$\llbracket x \Rightarrow \neg y \rrbracket \Longrightarrow x \Rightarrow (\text{UnaryExpr UnaryLogicNegation } y) \mid$

negate-false:

$\llbracket x \Rightarrow y \rrbracket \Longrightarrow x \Rightarrow \neg (\text{UnaryExpr UnaryLogicNegation } y)$

The relation $q1::IRExpr \Rightarrow q2::IRExpr$ indicates that the implication $(q1::bool) \longrightarrow (q2::bool)$ is known true (i.e. universally valid), and the relation $q1::IRExpr \Rightarrow \neg q2::IRExpr$ indicates that the implication $(q1::bool) \longrightarrow \neg (q2::bool)$ is known false (i.e. $(q1::bool) \longrightarrow \neg (q2::bool)$ is universally valid). If neither $q1::IRExpr \Rightarrow q2::IRExpr$ nor $q1::IRExpr \Rightarrow \neg q2::IRExpr$ then the status is unknown. Only the known true and known false cases can be used for conditional elimination.

fun *implies-valid* :: *IRExpr* \Rightarrow *IRExpr* \Rightarrow *bool* (**infix** \rightsquigarrow 50) **where**

implies-valid *q1* *q2* =

$(\forall m \ p \ v1 \ v2. ([m, p] \vdash q1 \mapsto v1) \wedge ([m, p] \vdash q2 \mapsto v2) \longrightarrow$
 $(\text{val-to-bool } v1 \longrightarrow \text{val-to-bool } v2))$

fun *impliesnot-valid* :: *IRExpr* \Rightarrow *IRExpr* \Rightarrow *bool* (**infix** \rightsquigarrow 50) **where**

impliesnot-valid *q1* *q2* =

$(\forall m \ p \ v1 \ v2. ([m, p] \vdash q1 \mapsto v1) \wedge ([m, p] \vdash q2 \mapsto v2) \longrightarrow$
 $(\text{val-to-bool } v1 \longrightarrow \neg \text{val-to-bool } v2))$

The relation $(q1::IRExpr) \rightsquigarrow (q2::IRExpr)$ means $(q1::bool) \longrightarrow (q2::bool)$ is universally valid, and the relation $(q1::IRExpr) \rightsquigarrow \neg (q2::IRExpr)$ means $(q1::bool) \longrightarrow \neg (q2::bool)$ is universally valid.

lemma *eq-impliesnot-less-helper:*

$v1 = v2 \longrightarrow \neg (\text{int-signed-value } b \ v1 < \text{int-signed-value } b \ v2)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *eq-impliesnot-less-val:*

$\text{val-to-bool}(\text{intval-equals } v1 \ v2) \longrightarrow \neg \text{val-to-bool}(\text{intval-less-than } v1 \ v2)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *eq-impliesnot-less-rev-val:*

$\text{val-to-bool}(\text{intval-equals } v1 \ v2) \longrightarrow \neg \text{val-to-bool}(\text{intval-less-than } v2 \ v1)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *less-impliesnot-rev-less-val:*

$\text{val-to-bool}(\text{intval-less-than } v1 \ v2) \longrightarrow \neg \text{val-to-bool}(\text{intval-less-than } v2 \ v1)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *less-impliesnot-eq-val*:

val-to-bool(*intval-less-than* *v1 v2*) \longrightarrow \neg *val-to-bool*(*intval-equals* *v1 v2*)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *logic-negate-type*:

assumes $[m, p] \vdash \text{UnaryExpr UnaryLogicNegation } x \mapsto v$
shows $\exists b \ v2. [m, p] \vdash x \mapsto \text{IntVal } b \ v2$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *intval-logic-negation-inverse*:

assumes $b > 0$
assumes $x = \text{IntVal } b \ v$
shows *val-to-bool* (*intval-logic-negation* *x*) \longleftrightarrow \neg (*val-to-bool* *x*)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *logic-negation-relation-tree*:

assumes $[m, p] \vdash y \mapsto \text{val}$
assumes $[m, p] \vdash \text{UnaryExpr UnaryLogicNegation } y \mapsto \text{invval}$
shows *val-to-bool* *val* \longleftrightarrow \neg (*val-to-bool* *invval*)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

The following theorem shows that the known true/false rules are valid.

theorem *implies-impliesnot-valid*:

shows $((q1 \Rightarrow q2) \longrightarrow (q1 \mapsto q2)) \wedge$
 $((q1 \Rightarrow \neg q2) \longrightarrow (q1 \mapsto \neg q2))$
(is (*?imp* \longrightarrow *?val*) \wedge (*?notimp* \longrightarrow *?notval*))
 ⟨*proof*⟩

We introduce a type *TriState::'a* (as in the GraalVM compiler) to represent when static analysis can tell us information about the value of a Boolean expression. If *Unknown::'a* then no information can be inferred and if *Known-True::'a*/*KnownFalse::'a* one can infer the expression is always true/false.

datatype *TriState* = *Unknown* | *KnownTrue* | *KnownFalse*

The implies relation corresponds to the *LogicNode.implies* method from the compiler which attempts to infer when one logic nodes value can be inferred from a known logic node.

inductive *implies* :: *IRGraph* \Rightarrow *IRNode* \Rightarrow *IRNode* \Rightarrow *TriState* \Rightarrow *bool*

(- \vdash - & - \hookrightarrow -) **for** *g* **where**

eq-imp-less:

$g \vdash (\text{IntegerEqualsNode } x \ y) \ \& \ (\text{IntegerLessThanNode } x \ y) \hookrightarrow \text{KnownFalse} \mid$

eq-imp-less-rev:

$g \vdash (\text{IntegerEqualsNode } x \ y) \ \& \ (\text{IntegerLessThanNode } y \ x) \hookrightarrow \text{KnownFalse} \mid$

less-imp-rev-less:

$g \vdash (\text{IntegerLessThanNode } x \ y) \ \& \ (\text{IntegerLessThanNode } y \ x) \hookrightarrow \text{KnownFalse} \mid$

less-imp-not-eq:

$g \vdash (\text{IntegerLessThanNode } x \ y) \ \& \ (\text{IntegerEqualsNode } x \ y) \hookrightarrow \text{KnownFalse} \mid$

less-imp-not-eq-rev:

$g \vdash (\text{IntegerLessThanNode } x \ y) \ \& \ (\text{IntegerEqualsNode } y \ x) \hookrightarrow \text{KnownFalse} \mid$

x-imp-x:
 $g \vdash x \ \& \ x \hookrightarrow \text{KnownTrue} \mid$

negate-false:
 $\llbracket g \vdash x \ \& \ (\text{kind } g \ y) \hookrightarrow \text{KnownTrue} \rrbracket \implies g \vdash x \ \& \ (\text{LogicNegationNode } y) \hookrightarrow \text{KnownFalse} \mid$

negate-true:
 $\llbracket g \vdash x \ \& \ (\text{kind } g \ y) \hookrightarrow \text{KnownFalse} \rrbracket \implies g \vdash x \ \& \ (\text{LogicNegationNode } y) \hookrightarrow \text{KnownTrue}$

Total relation over partial implies relation

inductive *condition-implies* :: $\text{IRGraph} \Rightarrow \text{IRNode} \Rightarrow \text{IRNode} \Rightarrow \text{TriState} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
 $(- \vdash - \ \& \ - \rightarrow -)$ **for** g **where**
 $\llbracket \neg(g \vdash a \ \& \ b \hookrightarrow \text{imp}) \rrbracket \implies (g \vdash a \ \& \ b \rightarrow \text{Unknown}) \mid$
 $\llbracket (g \vdash a \ \& \ b \hookrightarrow \text{imp}) \rrbracket \implies (g \vdash a \ \& \ b \rightarrow \text{imp})$

inductive *implies-tree* :: $\text{IRExpr} \Rightarrow \text{IRExpr} \Rightarrow \text{bool} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
 $(- \ \& \ - \hookrightarrow -)$ **where**
eq-imp-less:
 $(\text{BinaryExpr } \text{BinIntegerEquals } x \ y) \ \& \ (\text{BinaryExpr } \text{BinIntegerLessThan } x \ y) \hookrightarrow \text{False} \mid$
eq-imp-less-rev:
 $(\text{BinaryExpr } \text{BinIntegerEquals } x \ y) \ \& \ (\text{BinaryExpr } \text{BinIntegerLessThan } y \ x) \hookrightarrow \text{False} \mid$
less-imp-rev-less:
 $(\text{BinaryExpr } \text{BinIntegerLessThan } x \ y) \ \& \ (\text{BinaryExpr } \text{BinIntegerLessThan } y \ x) \hookrightarrow \text{False} \mid$
less-imp-not-eq:
 $(\text{BinaryExpr } \text{BinIntegerLessThan } x \ y) \ \& \ (\text{BinaryExpr } \text{BinIntegerEquals } x \ y) \hookrightarrow \text{False} \mid$
less-imp-not-eq-rev:
 $(\text{BinaryExpr } \text{BinIntegerLessThan } x \ y) \ \& \ (\text{BinaryExpr } \text{BinIntegerEquals } y \ x) \hookrightarrow \text{False} \mid$
x-imp-x:
 $x \ \& \ x \hookrightarrow \text{True} \mid$
negate-false:
 $\llbracket x \ \& \ y \hookrightarrow \text{True} \rrbracket \implies x \ \& \ (\text{UnaryExpr } \text{UnaryLogicNegation } y) \hookrightarrow \text{False} \mid$
negate-true:
 $\llbracket x \ \& \ y \hookrightarrow \text{False} \rrbracket \implies x \ \& \ (\text{UnaryExpr } \text{UnaryLogicNegation } y) \hookrightarrow \text{True}$

Proofs that the implies relation is correct with respect to the existing evaluation semantics.

lemma *logic-negation-relation:*
assumes $[g, m, p] \vdash y \mapsto \text{val}$
assumes $\text{kind } g \ \text{neg} = \text{LogicNegationNode } y$
assumes $[g, m, p] \vdash \text{neg} \mapsto \text{invval}$
assumes $\text{invval} \neq \text{UndefVal}$

shows $val\text{-}to\text{-}bool\ val \longleftrightarrow \neg(val\text{-}to\text{-}bool\ invval)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *implies-valid*:

assumes $x \ \& \ y \hookrightarrow imp$
assumes $[m, p] \vdash x \mapsto v1$
assumes $[m, p] \vdash y \mapsto v2$
shows $(imp \longrightarrow (val\text{-}to\text{-}bool\ v1 \longrightarrow val\text{-}to\text{-}bool\ v2)) \wedge$
 $(\neg imp \longrightarrow (val\text{-}to\text{-}bool\ v1 \longrightarrow \neg(val\text{-}to\text{-}bool\ v2)))$
(is $(?TP \longrightarrow ?TC) \wedge (?FP \longrightarrow ?FC))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *implies-true-valid*:

assumes $x \ \& \ y \hookrightarrow imp$
assumes imp
assumes $[m, p] \vdash x \mapsto v1$
assumes $[m, p] \vdash y \mapsto v2$
shows $val\text{-}to\text{-}bool\ v1 \longrightarrow val\text{-}to\text{-}bool\ v2$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *implies-false-valid*:

assumes $x \ \& \ y \hookrightarrow imp$
assumes $\neg imp$
assumes $[m, p] \vdash x \mapsto v1$
assumes $[m, p] \vdash y \mapsto v2$
shows $val\text{-}to\text{-}bool\ v1 \longrightarrow \neg(val\text{-}to\text{-}bool\ v2)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

The following relation corresponds to the `UnaryOpLogicNode.tryFold` and `BinaryOpLogicNode.tryFold` methods and their associated concrete implementations.

The relation determines if a logic operation can be shown true or false through the stamp typing information.

inductive *tryFold* :: $IRNode \Rightarrow (ID \Rightarrow Stamp) \Rightarrow bool \Rightarrow bool$

where

$\llbracket alwaysDistinct\ (stamps\ x)\ (stamps\ y) \rrbracket$
 $\implies tryFold\ (IntegerEqualsNode\ x\ y)\ stamps\ False \mid$
 $\llbracket neverDistinct\ (stamps\ x)\ (stamps\ y) \rrbracket$
 $\implies tryFold\ (IntegerEqualsNode\ x\ y)\ stamps\ True \mid$
 $\llbracket is\ IntegerStamp\ (stamps\ x);$
 $is\ IntegerStamp\ (stamps\ y);$
 $stpi\ upper\ (stamps\ x) < stpi\ lower\ (stamps\ y) \rrbracket$
 $\implies tryFold\ (IntegerLessThanNode\ x\ y)\ stamps\ True \mid$
 $\llbracket is\ IntegerStamp\ (stamps\ x);$
 $is\ IntegerStamp\ (stamps\ y);$
 $stpi\ lower\ (stamps\ x) \geq stpi\ upper\ (stamps\ y) \rrbracket$
 $\implies tryFold\ (IntegerLessThanNode\ x\ y)\ stamps\ False$

Proofs that show that when the stamp lookup function is well-formed, the

tryFold relation correctly predicts the output value with respect to our evaluation semantics.

lemma

```

assumes kind g nid = IntegerEqualsNode x y
assumes [g, m, p] ⊢ nid ↦ v
assumes ([g, m, p] ⊢ x ↦ xval) ∧ ([g, m, p] ⊢ y ↦ yval)
shows val-to-bool (intval-equals xval yval) ⟷ v = IntVal 32 1
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma tryFoldIntegerEqualsAlwaysDistinct:

```

assumes wf-stamp g stamps
assumes kind g nid = (IntegerEqualsNode x y)
assumes [g, m, p] ⊢ nid ↦ v
assumes alwaysDistinct (stamps x) (stamps y)
shows v = IntVal 32 0
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma tryFoldIntegerEqualsNeverDistinct:

```

assumes wf-stamp g stamps
assumes kind g nid = (IntegerEqualsNode x y)
assumes [g, m, p] ⊢ nid ↦ v
assumes neverDistinct (stamps x) (stamps y)
shows v = IntVal 32 1
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma tryFoldIntegerLessThanTrue:

```

assumes wf-stamp g stamps
assumes kind g nid = (IntegerLessThanNode x y)
assumes [g, m, p] ⊢ nid ↦ v
assumes stpi-upper (stamps x) < stpi-lower (stamps y)
shows v = IntVal 32 1
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma tryFoldIntegerLessThanFalse:

```

assumes wf-stamp g stamps
assumes kind g nid = (IntegerLessThanNode x y)
assumes [g, m, p] ⊢ nid ↦ v
assumes stpi-lower (stamps x) ≥ stpi-upper (stamps y)
shows v = IntVal 32 0
⟨proof⟩

```

theorem tryFoldProofTrue:

```

assumes wf-stamp g stamps
assumes tryFold (kind g nid) stamps True
assumes [g, m, p] ⊢ nid ↦ v
shows val-to-bool v
⟨proof⟩

```

theorem tryFoldProofFalse:

assumes *wf-stamp g stamps*
assumes *tryFold (kind g nid) stamps False*
assumes $[g, m, p] \vdash \text{nid} \mapsto v$
shows $\neg(\text{val-to-bool } v)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

inductive-cases *StepE*:
 $g, p \vdash (\text{nid}, m, h) \rightarrow (\text{nid}', m', h)$

Perform conditional elimination rewrites on the graph for a particular node.
 In order to determine conditional eliminations appropriately the rule needs
 two data structures produced by static analysis. The first parameter is the
 set of IRNodes that we know result in a true value when evaluated. The
 second parameter is a mapping from node identifiers to the flow-sensitive
 stamp.

The relation transforms the third parameter to the fifth parameter for a
 node identifier which represents the fourth parameter.

inductive *ConditionalEliminationStep* ::
 $\text{IRExpr set} \Rightarrow (\text{ID} \Rightarrow \text{Stamp}) \Rightarrow \text{IRGraph} \Rightarrow \text{ID} \Rightarrow \text{IRGraph} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
impliesTrue:

$\llbracket \text{kind } g \text{ ifcond} = (\text{IfNode } \text{cid } t \text{ } f);$
 $g \vdash \text{cid} \simeq \text{cond};$
 $\exists ce \in \text{conds} . (ce \Rightarrow \text{cond});$
 $g' = \text{constantCondition True ifcond (kind } g \text{ ifcond) } g$
 $\rrbracket \Rightarrow \text{ConditionalEliminationStep conds stamps } g \text{ ifcond } g' \mid$

impliesFalse:
 $\llbracket \text{kind } g \text{ ifcond} = (\text{IfNode } \text{cid } t \text{ } f);$
 $g \vdash \text{cid} \simeq \text{cond};$
 $\exists ce \in \text{conds} . (ce \Rightarrow \neg \text{cond});$
 $g' = \text{constantCondition False ifcond (kind } g \text{ ifcond) } g$
 $\rrbracket \Rightarrow \text{ConditionalEliminationStep conds stamps } g \text{ ifcond } g' \mid$

tryFoldTrue:
 $\llbracket \text{kind } g \text{ ifcond} = (\text{IfNode } \text{cid } t \text{ } f);$
 $\text{cond} = \text{kind } g \text{ cid};$
 $\text{tryFold (kind } g \text{ cid) stamps True};$
 $g' = \text{constantCondition True ifcond (kind } g \text{ ifcond) } g$
 $\rrbracket \Rightarrow \text{ConditionalEliminationStep conds stamps } g \text{ ifcond } g' \mid$

tryFoldFalse:
 $\llbracket \text{kind } g \text{ ifcond} = (\text{IfNode } \text{cid } t \text{ } f);$
 $\text{cond} = \text{kind } g \text{ cid};$
 $\text{tryFold (kind } g \text{ cid) stamps False};$
 $g' = \text{constantCondition False ifcond (kind } g \text{ ifcond) } g$
 $\rrbracket \Rightarrow \text{ConditionalEliminationStep conds stamps } g \text{ ifcond } g' \mid$

code-pred (*modes*: $i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o \Rightarrow \text{bool}$) *ConditionalEliminationStep*
<proof>

thm *ConditionalEliminationStep.equation*

12.2 Control-flow Graph Traversal

type-synonym *Seen* = *ID set*

type-synonym *Condition* = *IRExpr*

type-synonym *Conditions* = *Condition list*

type-synonym *StampFlow* = (*ID* \Rightarrow *Stamp*) *list*

nextEdge helps determine which node to traverse next by returning the first successor edge that isn't in the set of already visited nodes. If there is not an appropriate successor, *None* is returned instead.

fun *nextEdge* :: *Seen* \Rightarrow *ID* \Rightarrow *IRGraph* \Rightarrow *ID option* **where**
nextEdge seen nid g =
 (let *nids* = (filter ($\lambda \text{nid}'. \text{nid}' \notin \text{seen}$) (*successors-of* (*kind g nid*)))) in
 (if length *nids* > 0 then Some (hd *nids*) else None))

pred determines which node, if any, acts as the predecessor of another.

Merge nodes represent a special case where-in the predecessor exists as an input edge of the merge node, to simplify the traversal we treat only the first input end node as the predecessor, ignoring that multiple nodes may act as a successor.

For all other nodes, the predecessor is the first element of the predecessors set. Note that in a well-formed graph there should only be one element in the predecessor set.

fun *pred* :: *IRGraph* \Rightarrow *ID* \Rightarrow *ID option* **where**
pred g nid = (case *kind g nid* of
 (*MergeNode ends* -) \Rightarrow Some (hd *ends*) |
 - \Rightarrow
 (if *IRGraph.predecessors g nid* = {}
 then None else
 Some (hd (*sorted-list-of-set* (*IRGraph.predecessors g nid*))))
)

When the basic block of an if statement is entered, we know that the condition of the preceding if statement must be true. As in the GraalVM compiler, we introduce the *registerNewCondition* function which roughly corresponds to the *ConditionalEliminationPhase.registerNewCondition*. This method updates the flow-sensitive stamp information based on the condition which we know must be true.

fun *clip-upper* :: *Stamp* \Rightarrow *int* \Rightarrow *Stamp* **where**
clip-upper (*IntegerStamp b l h*) *c* = (*IntegerStamp b l c*) |
clip-upper s c = *s*

```

fun clip-lower :: Stamp  $\Rightarrow$  int  $\Rightarrow$  Stamp where
  clip-lower (IntegerStamp b l h) c = (IntegerStamp b c h) |
  clip-lower s c = s

fun registerNewCondition :: IRGraph  $\Rightarrow$  IRNode  $\Rightarrow$  (ID  $\Rightarrow$  Stamp)  $\Rightarrow$  (ID  $\Rightarrow$  Stamp) where

  registerNewCondition g (IntegerEqualsNode x y) stamps =
    (stamps
     (x := join (stamps x) (stamps y)))
    (y := join (stamps x) (stamps y)) |

  registerNewCondition g (IntegerLessThanNode x y) stamps =
    (stamps
     (x := clip-upper (stamps x) (stpi-lower (stamps y))))
    (y := clip-lower (stamps y) (stpi-upper (stamps x))) |
  registerNewCondition g - stamps = stamps

fun hdOr :: 'a list  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a where
  hdOr (x # xs) de = x |
  hdOr [] de = de

The Step relation is a small-step traversal of the graph which handles transitions between individual nodes of the graph.

It relates a pairs of tuple of the current node, the set of seen nodes, the always true stack of IfNode conditions, and the flow-sensitive stamp information.

inductive Step
  :: IRGraph  $\Rightarrow$  (ID  $\times$  Seen  $\times$  Conditions  $\times$  StampFlow)  $\Rightarrow$  (ID  $\times$  Seen  $\times$  Conditions  $\times$  StampFlow) option  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  for g where
    — Hit a BeginNode with an IfNode predecessor which represents the start of a basic block for the IfNode. 1. nid' will be the successor of the begin node. 2. Find the first and only predecessor. 3. Extract condition from the preceding IfNode. 4. Negate condition if the begin node is second branch (we've taken the else branch of the condition) 5. Add the condition or the negated condition to stack 6. Perform any stamp updates based on the condition using the registerNewCondition function and place them on the top of the stack of stamp information
    [[kind g nid = BeginNode nid';

      nid  $\notin$  seen;
      seen' = {nid}  $\cup$  seen;

      Some ifcond = pred g nid;
      kind g ifcond = IfNode cond t f;

      i = find-index nid (successors-of (kind g ifcond));
      c = (if i = 0 then kind g cond else LogicNegationNode cond);
      rep g cond ce;
      ce' = (if i = 0 then ce else UnaryExpr UnaryLogicNegation ce);

```

$conds' = ce' \# conds;$

$flow' = registerNewCondition\ g\ c\ (hdOr\ flow\ (stamp\ g))$
 $\implies Step\ g\ (nid, seen, conds, flow)\ (Some\ (nid', seen', conds', flow' \# flow)) \mid$

— Hit an EndNode 1. nid' will be the usage of EndNode 2. pop the conditions and stamp stack

$\llbracket kind\ g\ nid = EndNode;$

$nid \notin seen;$
 $seen' = \{nid\} \cup seen;$

$nid' = any-usage\ g\ nid;$

$conds' = tl\ conds;$
 $flow' = tl\ flow$
 $\implies Step\ g\ (nid, seen, conds, flow)\ (Some\ (nid', seen', conds', flow')) \mid$

— We can find a successor edge that is not in seen, go there

$\llbracket \neg(is-EndNode\ (kind\ g\ nid));$
 $\neg(is-BEGINNode\ (kind\ g\ nid));$

$nid \notin seen;$
 $seen' = \{nid\} \cup seen;$

$Some\ nid' = nextEdge\ seen'\ nid\ g$
 $\implies Step\ g\ (nid, seen, conds, flow)\ (Some\ (nid', seen', conds, flow)) \mid$

— We can cannot find a successor edge that is not in seen, give back None

$\llbracket \neg(is-EndNode\ (kind\ g\ nid));$
 $\neg(is-BEGINNode\ (kind\ g\ nid));$

$nid \notin seen;$
 $seen' = \{nid\} \cup seen;$

$None = nextEdge\ seen'\ nid\ g$
 $\implies Step\ g\ (nid, seen, conds, flow)\ None \mid$

— We've already seen this node, give back None

$\llbracket nid \in seen \rrbracket \implies Step\ g\ (nid, seen, conds, flow)\ None$

code-pred ($modes: i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o \Rightarrow bool$) $Step\ \langle proof \rangle$

The ConditionalEliminationPhase relation is responsible for combining the individual traversal steps from the Step relation and the optimizations from the ConditionalEliminationStep relation to perform a transformation of the whole graph.

inductive ConditionalEliminationPhase

$:: IRGraph \Rightarrow (ID \times Seen \times Conditions \times StampFlow) \Rightarrow IRGraph \Rightarrow bool$

where

— Can do a step and optimise for the current node

$\llbracket \text{Step } g \text{ (nid, seen, conds, flow) (Some (nid', seen', conds', flow'))};$
 $\text{ConditionalEliminationStep (set conds) (hdOr flow (stamp g)) } g \text{ nid } g';$

$\text{ConditionalEliminationPhase } g' \text{ (nid', seen', conds', flow') } g' \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{ConditionalEliminationPhase } g \text{ (nid, seen, conds, flow) } g' \mid$

— Can do a step, matches whether optimised or not causing non-determinism We need to find a way to negate ConditionalEliminationStep

$\llbracket \text{Step } g \text{ (nid, seen, conds, flow) (Some (nid', seen', conds', flow'))};$

$\text{ConditionalEliminationPhase } g \text{ (nid', seen', conds', flow') } g' \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{ConditionalEliminationPhase } g \text{ (nid, seen, conds, flow) } g' \mid$

— Can't do a step but there is a predecessor we can backtrace to

$\llbracket \text{Step } g \text{ (nid, seen, conds, flow) None};$
 $\text{Some nid' = pred } g \text{ nid};$
 $\text{seen' = \{nid\} } \cup \text{seen};$
 $\text{ConditionalEliminationPhase } g \text{ (nid', seen', conds, flow) } g' \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{ConditionalEliminationPhase } g \text{ (nid, seen, conds, flow) } g' \mid$

— Can't do a step and have no predecessors so terminate

$\llbracket \text{Step } g \text{ (nid, seen, conds, flow) None};$
 $\text{None = pred } g \text{ nid} \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{ConditionalEliminationPhase } g \text{ (nid, seen, conds, flow) } g$

code-pred (modes: $i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o \Rightarrow \text{bool}$) ConditionalEliminationPhase <proof>

definition runConditionalElimination :: IRGraph \Rightarrow IRGraph **where**

runConditionalElimination $g =$
 $(\text{Predicate.the } (\text{ConditionalEliminationPhase-}i\text{-}i\text{-}o \text{ } g \text{ (0, \{\}, ([], []))))$

end