

Veriopt Theories

February 6, 2023

Contents

1	Canonicalization Optimizations	1
1.1	AbsNode Phase	3
1.2	AddNode Phase	8
1.3	AndNode Phase	11
1.4	BinaryNode Phase	16
1.5	ConditionalNode Phase	16
1.6	MulNode Phase	20
1.7	Experimental AndNode Phase	30
1.8	NotNode Phase	41
1.9	OrNode Phase	42
1.10	ShiftNode Phase	46
1.11	SignedDivNode Phase	47
1.12	SignedRemNode Phase	48
1.13	SubNode Phase	48
1.14	XorNode Phase	53
1.15	NegateNode Phase	55
1.16	AddNode	58
1.17	NegateNode	59

1 Canonicalization Optimizations

```
theory Common
  imports
    OptimizationDSL.Canonicalization
    Semantics.IRTreeEvalThms
begin

lemma size-pos[size-simps]: 0 < size y
  apply (induction y; auto?)
  by (smt (z3) add-2-eq-Suc' add-is-0 not-gr0 size.elims size.simps(12) size.simps(13)
    size.simps(14) size.simps(15) zero-neq-numeral zero-neq-one)
```

lemma *size-non-add*[*size-simps*]: $\text{size } (\text{BinaryExpr op } a \ b) = \text{size } a + \text{size } b + 2$
 $\longleftrightarrow \neg(\text{is-ConstantExpr } b)$

by (*induction b*; *induction op*; *auto simp: is-ConstantExpr-def*)

lemma *size-non-const*[*size-simps*]:

$\neg \text{is-ConstantExpr } y \implies 1 < \text{size } y$

using *size-pos* **apply** (*induction y*; *auto*)

by (*metis Suc-lessI add-is-1 is-ConstantExpr-def le-less linorder-not-le n-not-Suc-n numeral-2-eq-2 pos2 size.simps(2) size-non-add*)

lemma *size-binary-const*[*size-simps*]:

$\text{size } (\text{BinaryExpr op } a \ b) = \text{size } a + 2 \longleftrightarrow (\text{is-ConstantExpr } b)$

by (*induction b*; *auto simp: is-ConstantExpr-def size-pos*)

lemma *size-flip-binary*[*size-simps*]:

$\neg(\text{is-ConstantExpr } y) \longrightarrow \text{size } (\text{BinaryExpr op } (\text{ConstantExpr } x) \ y) > \text{size } (\text{BinaryExpr op } y \ (\text{ConstantExpr } x))$

by (*metis add-Suc not-less-eq order-less-asm plus-1-eq-Suc size.simps(11) size.simps(2) size-non-add*)

lemma *size-binary-lhs-a*[*size-simps*]:

$\text{size } (\text{BinaryExpr op } (\text{BinaryExpr op}' a \ b) \ c) > \text{size } a$

by (*metis add-lessD1 less-add-same-cancel1 pos2 size-binary-const size-non-add*)

lemma *size-binary-lhs-b*[*size-simps*]:

$\text{size } (\text{BinaryExpr op } (\text{BinaryExpr op}' a \ b) \ c) > \text{size } b$

by (*metis IRExpr.disc(42) One-nat-def add.left-commute add.right-neutral is-ConstantExpr-def less-add-Suc2 numeral-2-eq-2 plus-1-eq-Suc size.simps(11) size-binary-const size-non-add size-non-const trans-less-add1*)

lemma *size-binary-lhs-c*[*size-simps*]:

$\text{size } (\text{BinaryExpr op } (\text{BinaryExpr op}' a \ b) \ c) > \text{size } c$

by (*metis IRExpr.disc(42) add.left-commute add.right-neutral is-ConstantExpr-def less-Suc-eq numeral-2-eq-2 plus-1-eq-Suc size.simps(11) size-non-add size-non-const trans-less-add2*)

lemma *size-binary-rhs-a*[*size-simps*]:

$\text{size } (\text{BinaryExpr op } c \ (\text{BinaryExpr op}' a \ b)) > \text{size } a$

by (*smt (verit, best) less-Suc-eq less-add-Suc2 less-add-same-cancel1 linorder-neqE-nat not-add-less1 order-less-trans pos2 size.simps(4) size-binary-const size-non-add*)

lemma *size-binary-rhs-b*[*size-simps*]:

$\text{size } (\text{BinaryExpr op } c \ (\text{BinaryExpr op}' a \ b)) > \text{size } b$

by (*metis add.left-commute add.right-neutral is-ConstantExpr-def lessI numeral-2-eq-2 plus-1-eq-Suc size.simps(11) size.simps(4) size-non-add trans-less-add2*)

lemma *size-binary-rhs-c*[*size-simps*]:

$\text{size } (\text{BinaryExpr op } c \ (\text{BinaryExpr op}' a \ b)) > \text{size } c$

```

  by simp

lemma size-binary-lhs[size-simps]:
  size (BinaryExpr op x y) > size x
  by (metis One-nat-def Suc-eq-plus1 add-Suc-right less-add-Suc1 numeral-2-eq-2
size-binary-const size-non-add)

lemma size-binary-rhs[size-simps]:
  size (BinaryExpr op x y) > size y
  by (metis IRExpr.disc(42) add-strict-increasing is-ConstantExpr-def linorder-not-le
not-add-less1 size.simps(11) size-non-add size-non-const size-pos)

lemmas arith[size-simps] = Suc-leI add-strict-increasing order-less-trans trans-less-add2

definition well-formed-equal :: Value  $\Rightarrow$  Value  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  (infix  $\approx$  50) where
  well-formed-equal v1 v2 = (v1  $\neq$  UndefVal  $\longrightarrow$  v1 = v2)

lemma well-formed-equal-defn [simp]:
  well-formed-equal v1 v2 = (v1  $\neq$  UndefVal  $\longrightarrow$  v1 = v2)
  unfolding well-formed-equal-def by simp

end

1.1 AbsNode Phase

theory AbsPhase
  imports
    Common
begin

phase AbsNode
  terminating size
begin

```

```

lemma abs-pos:
  fixes v :: ('a :: len word)
  assumes 0  $\leq$  s v
  shows (if v < s 0 then - v else v) = v
  by (simp add: assms signed.leD)

lemma abs-neg:
  fixes v :: ('a :: len word)
  assumes v < s 0
  assumes  $-(2 \wedge (Nat.size\ v - 1)) < s\ v$ 
  shows (if v < s 0 then - v else v) = - v  $\wedge$  0 < s -v

```

by (smt (verit, ccfv-SIG) assms(1) assms(2) signed-take-bit-int-greater-eq-minus-exp
 signed-take-bit-int-greater-eq-self-iff sint-0 sint-word-ariths(4) word-sless-alt)

lemma *abs-max-neg*:
 fixes $v :: ('a :: \text{len word})$
 assumes $v <_s 0$
 assumes $-(2^{\wedge}(\text{Nat.size } v - 1)) = v$
 shows $-v = v$
 using *assms*
 by (metis *One-nat-def add.inverse-neutral double-eq-zero-iff mult-minus-right size-word.rep-eq*)

lemma *final-abs*:
 fixes $v :: ('a :: \text{len word})$
 assumes *take-bit* ($\text{Nat.size } v$) $v = v$
 assumes $-(2^{\wedge}(\text{Nat.size } v - 1)) \neq v$
 shows $0 \leq_s (\text{if } v <_s 0 \text{ then } -v \text{ else } v)$

proof (cases $v <_s 0$)
 case *True*
 then show ?thesis
proof (cases $v = -(2^{\wedge}(\text{Nat.size } v - 1))$)
 case *True*
 then show ?thesis using *abs-max-neg*
 using *assms* by presburger
 next
 case *False*
 then have $-(2^{\wedge}(\text{Nat.size } v - 1)) <_s v$
 unfolding *word-sless-def* using *signed-take-bit-int-greater-self-iff*
 by (smt (verit, best) *One-nat-def diff-less double-eq-zero-iff len-gt-0 lessI less-irrefl*
mult-minus-right neg-equal-0-iff-equal signed.rep-eq signed-of-int
signed-take-bit-int-greater-eq-self-iff signed-word-eqI sint-0 sint-range-size
sint-sbintrunc' sint-word-ariths(4) size-word.rep-eq unsigned-0 word-2p-lem
word-sless.rep-eq word-sless-def)
 then show ?thesis
 using *abs-neg abs-pos signed.nless-le* by auto
 qed
 next
 case *False*
 then show ?thesis using *abs-pos* by auto
 qed

lemma *wf-abs*: $\text{is-IntVal } x \implies \text{intval-abs } x \neq \text{UndefVal}$
 using *intval-abs.simps* unfolding *new-int.simps*
 using *is-IntVal-def* by force

fun *bin-abs* :: 'a :: len word \Rightarrow 'a :: len word **where**
bin-abs v = (if (v < s 0) then (- v) else v)

lemma *val-abs-zero*:
intval-abs (new-int b 0) = new-int b 0
by *simp*

lemma *less-eq-zero*:
assumes *val-to-bool* (val[(IntVal b 0) < (IntVal b v)])
shows *int-signed-value* b v > 0
using *assms* **unfolding** *intval-less-than.simps*(1) **apply** *simp*
by (metis *bool-to-val.elims val-to-bool.simps*(1))

lemma *val-abs-pos*:
assumes *val-to-bool*(val[(new-int b 0) < (new-int b v)])
shows *intval-abs* (new-int b v) = (new-int b v)
using *assms* **using** *less-eq-zero* **unfolding** *intval-abs.simps new-int.simps*
by *force*

lemma *val-abs-neg*:
assumes *val-to-bool*(val[(new-int b v) < (new-int b 0)])
shows *intval-abs* (new-int b v) = *intval-negate* (new-int b v)
using *assms* **using** *less-eq-zero* **unfolding** *intval-abs.simps new-int.simps*
by *force*

lemma *val-bool-unwrap*:
val-to-bool (bool-to-val v) = v
by (metis *bool-to-val.elims one-neq-zero val-to-bool.simps*(1))

lemma *take-bit-unwrap*:
b = 64 \Rightarrow *take-bit* b (v1::64 word) = v1
by (metis *size64 size-word.rep-eq take-bit-length-eq*)

lemma *bit-less-eq-def*:
fixes v1 v2 :: 64 word
assumes b \leq 64
shows *sint* (*signed-take-bit* (b - Suc (0::nat)) (take-bit b v1))
< *sint* (*signed-take-bit* (b - Suc (0::nat)) (take-bit b v2)) \longleftrightarrow
signed-take-bit (63::nat) (Word.rep v1) < *signed-take-bit* (63::nat) (Word.rep
v2)
using *assms* **sorry**

lemma *less-eq-def*:
shows *val-to-bool*(val[(new-int b v1) < (new-int b v2)]) \longleftrightarrow v1 < s v2
unfolding *new-int.simps intval-less-than.simps bool-to-val-bin.simps bool-to-val.simps*

```

      int-signed-value.simps
    apply (simp add: val-bool-unwrap) apply auto
    unfolding word-sless-def apply auto
    unfolding signed-def apply auto
    using bit-less-eq-def apply (metis bot-nat-0.extremum take-bit-0)
    by (metis bit-less-eq-def bot-nat-0.extremum take-bit-0)

lemma val-abs-always-pos:
  assumes intval-abs (new-int b v) = (new-int b v')
  shows  $0 \leq_s v'$ 
  using assms
proof (cases v = 0)
  case True
  then have v' = 0
    using val-abs-zero assms
  by (smt (verit, ccfv-threshold) Suc-diff-1 bit-less-eq-def bot-nat-0.extremum
    diff-is-0-eq
    len-gt-0 len-of-numeral-defs(2) order-le-less signed-eq-0-iff take-bit-0
    take-bit-signed-take-bit take-bit-unwrap)
  then show ?thesis by simp
next
  case neq0: False
  then show ?thesis
  proof (cases val-to-bool(val[(new-int b 0) < (new-int b v)]))
    case True
    then show ?thesis using less-eq-def
    using assms val-abs-pos
    by (smt (verit, ccfv-SIG) One-nat-def Suc-leI bit.compl-one bit-less-eq-def
      cancel-comm-monoid-add-class.diff-cancel diff-zero len-gt-0 len-of-numeral-defs(2)
      mask-0 mask-1 one-le-numeral one-neq-zero signed-word-eqI take-bit-dist-subL
      take-bit-minus-one-eq-mask take-bit-not-eq-mask-diff take-bit-signed-take-bit
      zero-le-numeral)
  next
    case False
    then have val-to-bool(val[(new-int b v) < (new-int b 0)])
      using neq0 less-eq-def
      by (metis signed.neqE)
    then show ?thesis using val-abs-neg less-eq-def unfolding new-int.simps
      intval-negate.simps
      by (metis signed.nless-le take-bit-0)
  qed
qed
qed

```

```

lemma intval-abs-elim:
  assumes intval-abs  $x \neq \text{UndefVal}$ 
  shows  $\exists t v . x = \text{IntVal } t v \wedge \text{intval-abs } x = \text{new-int } t \text{ (if int-signed-value } t v < 0 \text{ then } -v \text{ else } v)$ 
  using assms
  by (meson intval-abs.elims)

lemma wf-abs-new-int:
  assumes intval-abs (IntVal  $t v$ )  $\neq \text{UndefVal}$ 
  shows intval-abs (IntVal  $t v$ ) = new-int  $t v \vee \text{intval-abs}$  (IntVal  $t v$ ) = new-int  $t (-v)$ 
  using assms
  using intval-abs.simps(1) by presburger

lemma mono-undef-abs:
  assumes intval-abs (intval-abs  $x$ )  $\neq \text{UndefVal}$ 
  shows intval-abs  $x \neq \text{UndefVal}$ 
  using assms
  by force

lemma val-abs-idem:
  assumes intval-abs(intval-abs( $x$ ))  $\neq \text{UndefVal}$ 
  shows intval-abs(intval-abs( $x$ )) = intval-abs  $x$ 
  using assms
proof –
  obtain  $b v$  where in-def: intval-abs  $x = \text{new-int } b v$ 
  using assms intval-abs-elim mono-undef-abs by blast
  then show ?thesis
  proof (cases val-to-bool(val[(new-int  $b v$ ) < (new-int  $b 0$ )]))
  case True
  then have nested: (intval-abs (intval-abs  $x$ )) = new-int  $b (-v)$ 
  using val-abs-neg intval-negate.simps in-def
  by simp
  then have  $x = \text{new-int } b (-v)$ 
  using in-def True unfolding new-int.simps
  by (smt (verit, best) intval-abs.simps(1) less-eq-def less-eq-zero less-numeral-extra(1)

    mask-1 mask-eq-take-bit-minus-one neg-one.elims neg-one-signed new-int.simps

    one-le-numeral one-neq-zero signed.neqE signed.not-less take-bit-of-0
val-abs-always-pos)
  then show ?thesis using val-abs-always-pos
  using True in-def less-eq-def signed.leD
  using signed.nless-le by blast
next
case False
then show ?thesis
  using in-def by force

```

qed
qed

lemma *val-abs-negate*:
assumes *intval-abs (intval-negate x) ≠ UndefVal*
shows *intval-abs (intval-negate x) = intval-abs x*
using *assms apply (cases x; auto)*
apply (*metis less-eq-def new-int.simps signed.dual-order.strict-iff-not signed.less-linear*
take-bit-0)
by (*smt (verit, ccfv-threshold) add.inverse-neutral intval-abs.simps(1) less-eq-def*
less-eq-zero
less-numeral-extra(1) mask-1 mask-eq-take-bit-minus-one neg-one.elims neg-one-signed
new-int.simps one-le-numeral one-neq-zero signed.order.order-iff-strict take-bit-of-0
val-abs-always-pos)

Optimisations

optimization *AbsIdempotence*: *abs(abs(x)) ⟶ abs(x)*
apply *auto*
by (*metis UnaryExpr unary-eval.simps(1) val-abs-idem*)

optimization *AbsNegate*: *(abs(−x)) ⟶ abs(x)*
apply *auto* **using** *val-abs-negate*
by (*metis unary-eval.simps(1) unfold-unary*)

end

end

1.2 AddNode Phase

theory *AddPhase*
imports
Common
begin

phase *AddNode*
terminating *size*
begin

lemma *binadd-commute*:
assumes *bin-eval BinAdd x y ≠ UndefVal*
shows *bin-eval BinAdd x y = bin-eval BinAdd y x*
using *assms intval-add-sym* **by** *simp*

optimization *AddShiftConstantRight*: $((\text{const } v) + y) \mapsto y + (\text{const } v)$ when $\neg(\text{is-ConstantExpr } y)$
 using *size-non-const*
 apply (*metis add-2-eq-Suc' less-Suc-eq plus-1-eq-Suc size.simps(11) size-non-add*)
 unfolding *le-expr-def*
 apply (*rule impI*)
 subgoal premises 1
 apply (*rule allI impI*)
 done
 subgoal premises 2 for *m p va*
 apply (*rule BinaryExprE[OF 2]*)
 subgoal premises 3 for *x ya*
 apply (*rule BinaryExpr*)
 using 3 apply *simp*
 using 3 apply *simp*
 using 3 *binadd-commute* apply *auto*
 done
 done
 done
 done

optimization *AddShiftConstantRight2*: $((\text{const } v) + y) \mapsto y + (\text{const } v)$ when $\neg(\text{is-ConstantExpr } y)$
 unfolding *le-expr-def*
 apply (*auto simp: intval-add-sym*)
 using *size-non-const*
 by (*metis add-2-eq-Suc' lessI plus-1-eq-Suc size.simps(11) size-non-add*)

lemma *is-neutral-0* [*simp*]:
 assumes 1: *intval-add (IntVal b x) (IntVal b 0) \neq UndefVal*
 shows *intval-add (IntVal b x) (IntVal b 0) = (new-int b x)*
 using 1 by *auto*

optimization *AddNeutral*: $(e + (\text{const } (\text{IntVal } 32 \ 0))) \mapsto e$
 unfolding *le-expr-def* apply *auto*
 using *is-neutral-0 eval-unused-bits-zero*
 by (*smt (verit) add-cancel-left-right intval-add.elims val-to-bool.simps(1)*)

ML-val $\langle @\{term \ \langle x = y \rangle\} \rangle$

lemma *NeutralLeftSubVal*:
 assumes *e1 = new-int b ival*

shows $val[(e1 - e2) + e2] \approx e1$
apply *simp* **using** *assms* **by** (*cases e1*; *cases e2*; *auto*)

optimization *RedundantSubAdd*: $((e_1 - e_2) + e_2) \mapsto e_1$
apply *auto* **using** *eval-unused-bits-zero* *NeutralLeftSubVal*
unfolding *well-formed-equal-defn*
by (*smt* (*verit*) *evalDet* *intval-sub.elims* *new-int.elims*)

lemma *allE2*: $(\forall x y. P x y) \implies (P a b \implies R) \implies R$
by *simp*

lemma *just-goal2*:
assumes *1*: $(\forall a b. (intval-add (intval-sub a b) b \neq UndefinedVal \wedge a \neq UndefinedVal$
 \longrightarrow
 $intval-add (intval-sub a b) b = a))$
shows $(BinaryExpr BinAdd (BinaryExpr BinSub e_1 e_2) e_2) \geq e_1$
unfolding *le-expr-def* *unfold-binary* *bin-eval.simps*
by (*metis 1 evalDet evaltree-not-undef*)

optimization *RedundantSubAdd2*: $e_2 + (e_1 - e_2) \mapsto e_1$
apply (*metis add.commute add-less-cancel-right less-add-Suc2 plus-1-eq-Suc size-binary-const*
size-non-add trans-less-add2)
by (*smt* (*verit*, *del-insts*) *BinaryExpr BinaryExprE RedundantSubAdd(1) bi-*
nadd-commute le-expr-def rewrite-preservation.simps(1))

lemma *AddToSubHelperLowLevel*:
shows $intval-add (intval-negate e) y = intval-sub y e$ (*is ?x = ?y*)
by (*induction y*; *induction e*; *auto*)

print-phases

lemma *val-redundant-add-sub*:
assumes $a = new-int bb\ ival$
assumes $val[b + a] \neq UndefinedVal$
shows $val[(b + a) - b] = a$

```

using assms apply (cases a; cases b; auto)
by presburger

```

```

lemma val-add-right-negate-to-sub:
  assumes  $\text{val}[x + e] \neq \text{UndefVal}$ 
  shows  $\text{val}[x + (-e)] = \text{val}[x - e]$ 
  using assms by (cases x; cases e; auto)

```

```

lemma exp-add-left-negate-to-sub:
   $\text{exp}[-e + y] \geq \text{exp}[y - e]$ 
  apply (cases e; cases y; auto)
  using AddToSubHelperLowLevel by auto

```

Optimisations

```

optimization RedundantAddSub:  $(b + a) - b \mapsto a$ 
  apply auto
  by (smt (verit) evalDet intval-add.elims new-int.elims val-redundant-add-sub
    eval-unused-bits-zero)

```

```

optimization AddRightNegateToSub:  $x + -e \mapsto x - e$ 
  apply (metis Nat.add-0-right add-2-eq-Suc' add-less-mono1 add-mono-thms-linordered-field(2)
    less-SucI not-less-less-Suc-eq size-binary-const size-non-add size-pos)
  using AddToSubHelperLowLevel intval-add-sym by auto

```

```

optimization AddLeftNegateToSub:  $-e + y \mapsto y - e$ 
  apply (smt (verit, best) One-nat-def add commute add-Suc-right is-ConstantExpr-def
    less-add-Suc2
    numeral-2-eq-2 plus-1-eq-Suc size.simps(1) size.simps(11) size-binary-const
    size-non-add)
  using exp-add-left-negate-to-sub by blast

```

end

end

1.3 AndNode Phase

```

theory AndPhase
  imports
    Common
    Proofs.StampEvalThms
begin

```

context *stamp-mask*

begin

lemma *AndRightFallthrough*: $((\text{and } (\text{not } (\downarrow x)) (\uparrow y)) = 0) \longrightarrow \text{exp}[x \ \& \ y] \geq \text{exp}[y]$

apply *simp* **apply** (*rule impI*; (*rule allI*)+)
apply (*rule impI*)
subgoal *premises p* **for** *m p v*
proof –
 obtain *xv* **where** *xv*: $[m, p] \vdash x \mapsto xv$
 using *p(2)* **by** *blast*
 obtain *yv* **where** *yv*: $[m, p] \vdash y \mapsto yv$
 using *p(2)* **by** *blast*
 have $v = \text{val}[xv \ \& \ yv]$
 by (*metis BinaryExprE bin-eval.simps(4) evalDet p(2) xv yv*)
 then have $v = yv$
 by (*smt (verit) eval-unused-bits-zero intval-and.elims new-int.elims new-int-bin.elims*
p(2)
 unfold-binary xv yv p(1) not-down-up-mask-and-zero-implies-zero)
 then show *?thesis* **using** *yv* **by** *simp*
qed
done

lemma *AndLeftFallthrough*: $((\text{and } (\text{not } (\downarrow y)) (\uparrow x)) = 0) \longrightarrow \text{exp}[x \ \& \ y] \geq \text{exp}[x]$

apply *simp* **apply** (*rule impI*; (*rule allI*)+)
apply (*rule impI*)
subgoal *premises p* **for** *m p v*
proof –
 obtain *xv* **where** *xv*: $[m, p] \vdash x \mapsto xv$
 using *p(2)* **by** *blast*
 obtain *yv* **where** *yv*: $[m, p] \vdash y \mapsto yv$
 using *p(2)* **by** *blast*
 have $v = \text{val}[xv \ \& \ yv]$
 by (*metis BinaryExprE bin-eval.simps(4) evalDet p(2) xv yv*)
 then have $v = xv$
 by (*smt (verit) and.commute eval-unused-bits-zero intval-and.elims new-int.simps*
 new-int-bin.simps p(2) unfold-binary xv yv p(1) not-down-up-mask-and-zero-implies-zero)
 then show *?thesis* **using** *xv* **by** *simp*
qed
done
end

phase *AndNode*

terminating *size*

begin

lemma *bin-and-nots*:
 $(\sim x \ \& \ \sim y) = (\sim (x \mid y))$
by *simp*

lemma *bin-and-neutral*:
 $(x \ \& \ \sim False) = x$
by *simp*

lemma *val-and-equal*:
assumes $x = \text{new-int } b \ v$
and $\text{val}[x \ \& \ x] \neq \text{UndefVal}$
shows $\text{val}[x \ \& \ x] = x$
using *assms* **by** (*cases x; auto*)

lemma *val-and-nots*:
 $\text{val}[\sim x \ \& \ \sim y] = \text{val}[\sim (x \mid y)]$
apply (*cases x; cases y; auto*) **by** (*simp add: take-bit-not-take-bit*)

lemma *val-and-neutral*:
assumes $x = \text{new-int } b \ v$
and $\text{val}[x \ \& \ \sim (\text{new-int } b' \ 0)] \neq \text{UndefVal}$
shows $\text{val}[x \ \& \ \sim (\text{new-int } b' \ 0)] = x$
using *assms* **apply** (*cases x; auto*) **apply** (*simp add: take-bit-eq-mask*)
by *presburger*

lemma *val-and-zero*:
assumes $x = \text{new-int } b \ v$
shows $\text{val}[x \ \& \ (\text{IntVal } b \ 0)] = \text{IntVal } b \ 0$
using *assms* **by** (*cases x; auto*)

lemma *exp-and-equal*:
 $\text{exp}[x \ \& \ x] \geq \text{exp}[x]$
apply *auto*
by (*smt (verit) evalDet intval-and.elims new-int.elims val-and-equal eval-unused-bits-zero*)

lemma *exp-and-nots*:
 $\text{exp}[\sim x \ \& \ \sim y] \geq \text{exp}[\sim (x \mid y)]$
apply (*cases x; cases y; auto*) **using** *val-and-nots*
by *fastforce+*

lemma *exp-sign-extend*:
assumes $e = (1 \ll In) - 1$

```

shows   BinaryExpr BinAnd (UnaryExpr (UnarySignExtend In Out) x)
        (ConstantExpr (new-int b e))
        ≥ (UnaryExpr (UnaryZeroExtend In Out) x)

apply auto
subgoal premises p for m p va
proof -
  obtain va where va: [m,p] ⊢ x ↦ va
  using p(2) by auto
  then have va ≠ UndefVal
  by (simp add: evaltree-not-undef)
  then have 1: intval-and (intval-sign-extend In Out va) (IntVal b (take-bit b
e)) ≠ UndefVal
  using evalDet p(1) p(2) va by blast
  then have 2: intval-sign-extend In Out va ≠ UndefVal
  by auto
  then have 21: (0::nat) < b
  using eval-bits-1-64 p(4) by blast
  then have 3: b ⊆ (64::nat)
  using eval-bits-1-64 p(4) by blast
  then have 4: - ((2::int) ^ b div (2::int)) ⊆ sint (signed-take-bit (b - Suc
(0::nat)) (take-bit b e))
  by (simp add: 21 int-power-div-base signed-take-bit-int-greater-eq-minus-exp-word)
  then have 5: sint (signed-take-bit (b - Suc (0::nat)) (take-bit b e)) < (2::int)
^ b div (2::int)
  by (simp add: 21 3 Suc-le-lessD int-power-div-base signed-take-bit-int-less-exp-word)
  then have 6: [m,p] ⊢ UnaryExpr (UnaryZeroExtend In Out)
    x ↦ intval-and (intval-sign-extend In Out va) (IntVal b (take-bit b e))
  apply (cases va; simp)
  apply (simp add: ⟨(va::Value) ≠ UndefVal⟩) defer
  subgoal premises p for x3
  proof -
    have va = ObjRef x3
    using p(1) by auto
    then have sint (signed-take-bit (b - Suc (0::nat)) (take-bit b e)) <
(2::int) ^ b div (2::int)
    by (simp add: 5)
    then show ?thesis
    using 2 intval-sign-extend.simps(3) p(1) by blast
  qed

subgoal premises p for x4
proof -
  have sg1: va = ObjStr x4
  using 2 p(1) by auto
  then have sint (signed-take-bit (b - Suc (0::nat)) (take-bit b e)) <
(2::int) ^ b div (2::int)
  by (simp add: 5)
  then show ?thesis
  using 1 sg1 by auto

```

qed

```

subgoal premises p for x21 x22
proof -
  have sgg1: va = IntVal x21 x22
  by (simp add: p(1))
  then have sgg2: sint (signed-take-bit (b - Suc (0::nat)) (take-bit b e))
    < (2::int) ^ b div (2::int)
  by (simp add: 5)
  then show ?thesis
  sorry
qed
done
then show ?thesis
by (metis evalDet p(2) va)
qed
done

```

```

lemma val-and-commute[simp]:
  val[x & y] = val[y & x]
  apply (cases x; cases y; auto)
  by (simp add: word-bw-comms(1))

```

Optimisations

```

optimization AndEqual: x & x ⟶ x
using exp-and-equal by blast

```

```

optimization AndShiftConstantRight: ((const x) & y) ⟶ y & (const x)
  when ¬(is-ConstantExpr y)
using size-flip-binary by auto

```

```

optimization AndNots: (~x) & (~y) ⟶ ~(x | y)
  apply (metis add-2-eq-Suc' less-SucI less-add-Suc1 not-less-eq size-binary-const
    size-non-add)
  using exp-and-nots by auto

```

```

optimization AndSignExtend: BinaryExpr BinAnd (UnaryExpr (UnarySignExtend
  In Out) (x))
  ⟶ (const (new-int b e))
  ⟶ (UnaryExpr (UnaryZeroExtend In Out) (x))
  when (e = (1 << In) - 1)
using exp-sign-extend by simp

```

optimization *AndNeutral*: $(x \& \sim(\text{const } (\text{IntVal } b \ 0))) \mapsto x$
 when $(\text{wf-stamp } x \wedge \text{stamp-expr } x = \text{IntegerStamp } b \ \text{lo } \text{hi})$
apply *auto*
by $(\text{smt } (\text{verit}) \ \text{Value.sel}(1) \ \text{eval-unused-bits-zero } \text{intval-and.elims } \text{intval-word.simps}$
 $\text{new-int.simps } \text{new-int-bin.simps } \text{take-bit-eq-mask})$

optimization *AndRightFallThrough*: $(x \& y) \mapsto y$
 when $((\text{and } (\text{not } (\text{IRExpr-down } x)) (\text{IRExpr-up } y)) = 0)$
by $(\text{simp add: IRExpr-down-def IRExpr-up-def})$

optimization *AndLeftFallThrough*: $(x \& y) \mapsto x$
 when $((\text{and } (\text{not } (\text{IRExpr-down } y)) (\text{IRExpr-up } x)) = 0)$
by $(\text{simp add: IRExpr-down-def IRExpr-up-def})$

end

end

1.4 BinaryNode Phase

theory *BinaryNode*
imports
Common
begin

phase *BinaryNode*
terminating *size*
begin

optimization *BinaryFoldConstant*: $\text{BinaryExpr } op \ (\text{const } v1) \ (\text{const } v2) \mapsto \text{ConstantExpr } (\text{bin-eval } op \ v1 \ v2)$
unfolding *le-expr-def*
apply $(\text{rule allI impI})+$
subgoal premises *bin* **for** *m p v*
print-facts
apply $(\text{rule } \text{BinaryExprE}[OF \ \text{bin}])$
subgoal premises *prems* **for** *x y*
print-facts

proof —

have *x*: $x = v1$ **using** *prems* **by** *auto*
have *y*: $y = v2$ **using** *prems* **by** *auto*
have *xy*: $v = \text{bin-eval } op \ x \ y$ **using** *prems x y* **by** *simp*
have *int*: $\exists \ b \ vv . v = \text{new-int } b \ vv$ **using** *bin-eval-new-int prems* **by** *fast*


```

    show ?thesis
    unfolding prems x y xy
    apply (rule ConstantExpr)
    using prems x y xy int sorry
  qed
done
done

```

```
print-facts
```

```
end
```

```
end
```

1.5 ConditionalNode Phase

```

theory ConditionalPhase
  imports
    Common
    Proofs.StampEvalThms
begin

```

```

phase ConditionalNode
  terminating size
begin

```

```

lemma negates:  $\exists v b. e = \text{IntVal } b \ v \wedge b > 0 \implies \text{val-to-bool } (\text{val}[e]) \longleftrightarrow$ 
 $\neg(\text{val-to-bool } (\text{val}[\neg e]))$ 
  unfolding intval-logic-negation.simps
  by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) intval-logic-negation.simps(1) logic-negate-def new-int.simps
    of-bool-eq(2) one-neq-zero take-bit-of-0 take-bit-of-1 val-to-bool.simps(1))

```

```

lemma negation-condition-intval:
  assumes  $e = \text{IntVal } b \ ie$ 
  assumes  $0 < b$ 
  shows  $\text{val}[(\neg e) \ ? \ x : y] = \text{val}[e \ ? \ y : x]$ 
  using assms by (cases e; auto simp: negates logic-negate-def)

```

```

lemma negation-preserve-eval:
  assumes  $[m, p] \vdash \text{exp}[\neg e] \mapsto v$ 
  shows  $\exists v'. ([m, p] \vdash \text{exp}[e] \mapsto v') \wedge v = \text{val}[\neg v']$ 
  using assms by auto

```

```

lemma negation-preserve-eval-intval:
  assumes  $[m, p] \vdash \text{exp}[\neg e] \mapsto v$ 
  shows  $\exists v' b \ vv. ([m, p] \vdash \text{exp}[e] \mapsto v') \wedge v' = \text{IntVal } b \ vv \wedge b > 0$ 
  using assms
  by (metis eval-bits-1-64 intval-logic-negation.elims negation-preserve-eval unfold-unary)

```

optimization *NegateConditionFlipBranches*: $((!e) \text{ ? } x : y) \mapsto (e \text{ ? } y : x)$
apply *simp using* *negation-condition-intval negation-preserve-eval-intval*
by (*smt* (*verit*, *best*) *ConditionalExpr ConditionalExprE Value.distinct(1) evalDet*
negates negation-preserve-eval)

optimization *DefaultTrueBranch*: $(\text{true} \text{ ? } x : y) \mapsto x$.

optimization *DefaultFalseBranch*: $(\text{false} \text{ ? } x : y) \mapsto y$.

optimization *ConditionalEqualBranches*: $(e \text{ ? } x : x) \mapsto x$.

optimization *condition-bounds-x*: $((u < v) \text{ ? } x : y) \mapsto x$
when (*stamp-under* (*stamp-expr* *u*) (*stamp-expr* *v*) \wedge *wf-stamp* *u* \wedge *wf-stamp* *v*)
using *stamp-under-defn* **by** *fastforce*

optimization *condition-bounds-y*: $((u < v) \text{ ? } x : y) \mapsto y$
when (*stamp-under* (*stamp-expr* *v*) (*stamp-expr* *u*) \wedge *wf-stamp* *u* \wedge *wf-stamp* *v*)
using *stamp-under-defn-inverse* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *val-optimize-integer-test*:
assumes $\exists v. x = \text{IntVal } 32 \ v$
shows $\text{val}[(x \ \& \ (\text{IntVal } 32 \ 1)) \ \text{eq} \ (\text{IntVal } 32 \ 0)) \text{ ? } (\text{IntVal } 32 \ 0) : (\text{IntVal } 32 \ 1)] =$
 $\text{val}[x \ \& \ \text{IntVal } 32 \ 1]$
using *assms apply auto*
apply (*metis* (*full-types*) *bool-to-val.simps(2) val-to-bool.simps(1)*)
by (*metis* (*mono-tags, lifting*) *and-one-eq bool-to-val.simps(1) even-iff-mod-2-eq-zero*
odd-iff-mod-2-eq-one val-to-bool.simps(1))

optimization *ConditionalEliminateKnownLess*: $((x < y) \text{ ? } x : y) \mapsto x$
when (*stamp-under* (*stamp-expr* *x*) (*stamp-expr* *y*)
 \wedge *wf-stamp* *x* \wedge *wf-stamp* *y*)
using *stamp-under-defn* **by** *fastforce*

optimization *ConditionalEqualIsRHS*: $((x \text{ eq } y) \text{ ? } x : y) \mapsto y$
apply *auto*
by (*smt* (*verit*) *Value.inject(1) bool-to-val.simps(2) bool-to-val-bin.simps evalDet*
intval-equals.elims val-to-bool.elims(1))

optimization *normalizeX*: $((x \text{ eq } \text{const} \ (\text{IntVal } 32 \ 0)) \text{ ? } (\text{const} \ (\text{IntVal } 32 \ 0)) : (\text{const} \ (\text{IntVal } 32 \ 1))) \mapsto x$
when (*IRExpr-up* *x* = 1) \wedge *stamp-expr* *x* = *IntegerStamp*
b 0 1

```

apply auto
subgoal premises p for m p v xa
proof —
  obtain xa where xa:  $[m, p] \vdash x \mapsto xa$ 
  using p by blast
  have  $\exists$ :  $[m, p] \vdash \text{if } \text{val-to-bool } (\text{intval-equals } xa \ (\text{IntVal } (32::\text{nat}) \ (0::64 \text{ word})))$ 
    then ConstantExpr (IntVal (32::nat) (0::64 word))
    else ConstantExpr (IntVal (32::nat) (1::64 word))  $\mapsto v$ 
  using evalDet p( $\exists$ ) p(5) xa
  using p(4) p(6) by blast
  then have  $\exists$ : xa = IntVal 32 0 | xa = IntVal 32 1
  sorry
  then have  $\exists$ : v = xa
  sorry
  then show ?thesis
  using xa by auto
qed
done

```

```

optimization normalizeX2:  $((x \text{ eq } (\text{const } (\text{IntVal } 32 \ 1))) \ ?$ 
   $(\text{const } (\text{IntVal } 32 \ 1)) : (\text{const } (\text{IntVal } 32 \ 0))) \mapsto x$ 
  when  $(x = \text{ConstantExpr } (\text{IntVal } 32 \ 0) \mid (x =$ 
ConstantExpr (IntVal 32 1))) .

```

```

optimization flipX:  $((x \text{ eq } (\text{const } (\text{IntVal } 32 \ 0))) \ ?$ 
   $(\text{const } (\text{IntVal } 32 \ 1)) : (\text{const } (\text{IntVal } 32 \ 0))) \mapsto$ 
   $x \oplus (\text{const } (\text{IntVal } 32 \ 1))$ 
  when  $(x = \text{ConstantExpr } (\text{IntVal } 32 \ 0) \mid (x = \text{ConstantExpr}$ 
(IntVal 32 1))) .

```

```

optimization flipX2:  $((x \text{ eq } (\text{const } (\text{IntVal } 32 \ 1))) \ ?$ 
   $(\text{const } (\text{IntVal } 32 \ 0)) : (\text{const } (\text{IntVal } 32 \ 1))) \mapsto$ 
   $x \oplus (\text{const } (\text{IntVal } 32 \ 1))$ 
  when  $(x = \text{ConstantExpr } (\text{IntVal } 32 \ 0) \mid (x = \text{ConstantExpr}$ 
(IntVal 32 1))) .

```

```

lemma stamp-of-default:
  assumes stamp-expr x = default-stamp
  assumes wf-stamp x
  shows  $([m, p] \vdash x \mapsto v) \longrightarrow (\exists \ vv. \ v = \text{IntVal } 32 \ vv)$ 
  using assms
  by (metis default-stamp valid-value-elim( $\exists$ ) wf-stamp-def)

```

```

optimization OptimiseIntegerTest:
   $((x \ \& \ (\text{const } (\text{IntVal } 32 \ 1))) \text{ eq } (\text{const } (\text{IntVal } 32 \ 0))) \ ?$ 
   $(\text{const } (\text{IntVal } 32 \ 0)) : (\text{const } (\text{IntVal } 32 \ 1))) \mapsto$ 

```

```

      x & (const (IntVal 32 1))
      when (stamp-expr x = default-stamp ∧ wf-stamp x)
apply simp apply (rule impI; (rule allI)+; rule impI)
subgoal premises eval for m p v
proof –
  obtain xv where xv: [m, p] ⊢ x ↦ xv
  using eval by fast
  then have x32: ∃ v. xv = IntVal 32 v
  using stamp-of-default eval by auto
  obtain lhs where lhs: [m, p] ⊢ exp[(((x & (const (IntVal 32 1)))) eq (const (IntVal
32 0)))) ?
    (const (IntVal 32 0)) : (const (IntVal 32 1))]] ↦ lhs
  using eval(2) by auto
  then have lhsV: lhs = val[((xv & (IntVal 32 1)) eq (IntVal 32 0)) ? (IntVal 32
0) : (IntVal 32 1)]
  using xv evaltree.BinaryExpr evaltree.ConstantExpr evaltree.ConditionalExpr
  by (smt (verit) ConditionalExprE ConstantExprE bin-eval.simps(11) bin-eval.simps(4)
evalDet intval-conditional.simps unfold-binary)
  obtain rhs where rhs: [m, p] ⊢ exp[x & (const (IntVal 32 1))] ↦ rhs
  using eval(2) by blast
  then have rhsV: rhs = val[xv & IntVal 32 1]
  by (metis BinaryExprE ConstantExprE bin-eval.simps(4) evalDet xv)
  have lhs = rhs using val-optimize-integer-test x32
  using lhsV rhsV by presburger
  then show ?thesis
  by (metis eval(2) evalDet lhs rhs)
qed
done

```

```

optimization opt-optimize-integer-test-2:
  (((x & (const (IntVal 32 1))) eq (const (IntVal 32 0)))) ?
    (const (IntVal 32 0)) : (const (IntVal 32 1)))] ⟶
    x
  when (x = ConstantExpr (IntVal 32 0) | (x = ConstantExpr (IntVal
32 1))) .

```

end

end

1.6 MulNode Phase

theory *MulPhase*

imports

Common

Proofs.StampEvalThms

begin

fun *mul-size* :: *IRExpr* \Rightarrow *nat* **where**

mul-size (*UnaryExpr op e*) = (*mul-size e*) + 2 |

mul-size (*BinaryExpr BinMul x y*) = ((*mul-size x*) + (*mul-size y*) + 2) * 2 |

mul-size (*BinaryExpr op x y*) = (*mul-size x*) + (*mul-size y*) + 2 |

mul-size (*ConditionalExpr cond t f*) = (*mul-size cond*) + (*mul-size t*) + (*mul-size f*) + 2 |

mul-size (*ConstantExpr c*) = 1 |

mul-size (*ParameterExpr ind s*) = 2 |

mul-size (*LeafExpr nid s*) = 2 |

mul-size (*ConstantVar c*) = 2 |

mul-size (*VariableExpr x s*) = 2

phase *MulNode*

terminating *mul-size*

begin

lemma *bin-eliminate-redundant-negative*:

uminus (*x* :: '*a*::len word) * *uminus* (*y* :: '*a*::len word) = *x* * *y*

by *simp*

lemma *bin-multiply-identity*:

(*x* :: '*a*::len word) * 1 = *x*

by *simp*

lemma *bin-multiply-eliminate*:

(*x* :: '*a*::len word) * 0 = 0

by *simp*

lemma *bin-multiply-negative*:

(*x* :: '*a*::len word) * *uminus* 1 = *uminus x*

by *simp*

lemma *bin-multiply-power-2*:

(*x* :: '*a*::len word) * (2^{*j*}) = *x* << *j*

by *simp*

lemma *take-bit64*[*simp*]:

fixes *w* :: *int64*

shows *take-bit 64 w* = *w*

proof —

```

have Nat.size w = 64
  by (simp add: size64)
then show ?thesis
  by (metis lt2p-lem mask-eq-iff take-bit-eq-mask verit-comp-simplify1 (2) wsst-TYs(3))
qed

```

```

lemma mergeTakeBit:
  fixes a :: nat
  fixes b c :: 64 word
  shows take-bit a (take-bit a (b) * take-bit a (c)) =
    take-bit a (b * c)
by (smt (verit, ccfv-SIG) take-bit-mult take-bit-of-int unsigned-take-bit-eq word-mult-def)

```

```

lemma val-eliminate-redundant-negative:
  assumes val[-x * -y] ≠ UndefVal
  shows val[-x * -y] = val[x * y]
  using assms apply (cases x; cases y; auto)
  using mergeTakeBit by auto

```

```

lemma val-multiply-neutral:
  assumes x = new-int b v
  shows val[x * (IntVal b 1)] = val[x]
  using assms by force

```

```

lemma val-multiply-zero:
  assumes x = new-int b v
  shows val[x * (IntVal b 0)] = IntVal b 0
  using assms by simp

```

```

lemma val-multiply-negative:
  assumes x = new-int b v
  shows val[x * intval-negate (IntVal b 1)] = intval-negate x
  by (smt (verit) Value.disc(1) Value.inject(1) add.inverse-neutral intval-negate.simps(1))

```

```

    is-IntVal-def mask-0 mask-eq-take-bit-minus-one new-int.elims of-bool-eq(2)
take-bit-dist-neg
take-bit-of-1 val-eliminate-redundant-negative val-multiply-neutral val-multiply-zero

verit-minus-simplify(4) zero-neq-one assms)

```

```

lemma val-MulPower2:
  fixes i :: 64 word
  assumes y = IntVal 64 (2 ^ unat(i))
  and 0 < i

```

```

and      i < 64
and      val[x * y] ≠ UndefVal
shows    val[x * y] = val[x << IntVal 64 i]
using    assms apply (cases x; cases y; auto)
subgoal premises p for x2
proof -
  have 63: (63 :: int64) = mask 6
  by eval
  then have (2::int) ^ 6 = 64
  by eval
  then have uint i < (2::int) ^ 6
  by (metis linorder-not-less lt2p-lem of-int-numeral p(4) size64 word-2p-lem
word-of-int-2p
      wsst-TYs(3))
  then have and i (mask 6) = i
  using mask-eq-iff by blast
  then show x2 << unat i = x2 << unat (and i (63::64 word))
  unfolding 63
  by force
qed
by presburger

```

```

lemma val-MulPower2Add1:
  fixes i :: 64 word
  assumes y = IntVal 64 ((2 ^ unat(i)) + 1)
  and      0 < i
  and      i < 64
  and      val-to-bool(val[IntVal 64 0 < x])
  and      val-to-bool(val[IntVal 64 0 < y])
  shows    val[x * y] = val[(x << IntVal 64 i) + x]
  using    assms apply (cases x; cases y; auto)
  subgoal premises p for x2
  proof -
    have 63: (63 :: int64) = mask 6
    by eval
    then have (2::int) ^ 6 = 64
    by eval
    then have and i (mask 6) = i
    using mask-eq-iff by (simp add: less-mask-eq p(6))
    then have x2 * ((2::64 word) ^ unat i + (1::64 word)) = (x2 * ((2::64 word)
^ unat i)) + x2
    by (simp add: distrib-left)
    then show x2 * ((2::64 word) ^ unat i + (1::64 word)) = x2 << unat (and i
(63::64 word)) + x2
    by (simp add: 63 ‹and (i::64 word) (mask (6::nat)) = i›)
  qed
  using val-to-bool.simps(2) by presburger

```

```

lemma val-MulPower2Sub1:
  fixes  $i :: 64 \text{ word}$ 
  assumes  $y = \text{IntVal } 64 \ ((2 \wedge \text{unat}(i)) - 1)$ 
  and  $0 < i$ 
  and  $i < 64$ 
  and  $\text{val-to-bool}(\text{val}[\text{IntVal } 64 \ 0 < x])$ 
  and  $\text{val-to-bool}(\text{val}[\text{IntVal } 64 \ 0 < y])$ 
  shows  $\text{val}[x * y] = \text{val}[(x << \text{IntVal } 64 \ i) - x]$ 
  using assms apply (cases  $x$ ; cases  $y$ ; auto)
  subgoal premises  $p$  for  $x2$ 
  proof -
    have  $63: (63 :: \text{int}64) = \text{mask } 6$ 
    by eval
    then have  $(2 :: \text{int}) \wedge 6 = 64$ 
    by eval
    then have  $\text{and } i \ (\text{mask } 6) = i$ 
    using mask-eq-iff by (simp add: less-mask-eq  $p(6)$ )
    then have  $x2 * ((2 :: 64 \text{ word}) \wedge \text{unat } i - (1 :: 64 \text{ word})) = (x2 * ((2 :: 64 \text{ word}) \wedge \text{unat } i)) - x2$ 
    by (simp add: right-diff-distrib')
    then show  $x2 * ((2 :: 64 \text{ word}) \wedge \text{unat } i - (1 :: 64 \text{ word})) = x2 << \text{unat } (\text{and } i \ (63 :: 64 \text{ word})) - x2$ 
    by (simp add: 63 and (i::64 word) (mask (6::nat)) = i)
  qed
  using val-to-bool.simps(2) by presburger

```

```

lemma val-distribute-multiplication:
  assumes  $x = \text{new-int } 64 \ xx \wedge q = \text{new-int } 64 \ qq \wedge a = \text{new-int } 64 \ aa$ 
  shows  $\text{val}[x * (q + a)] = \text{val}[(x * q) + (x * a)]$ 
  apply (cases  $x$ ; cases  $q$ ; cases  $a$ ; auto) using distrib-left assms by auto

```

```

lemma val-MulPower2AddPower2:
  fixes  $i \ j :: 64 \text{ word}$ 
  assumes  $y = \text{IntVal } 64 \ ((2 \wedge \text{unat}(i)) + (2 \wedge \text{unat}(j)))$ 
  and  $0 < i$ 
  and  $0 < j$ 
  and  $i < 64$ 
  and  $j < 64$ 
  and  $x = \text{new-int } 64 \ xx$ 
  shows  $\text{val}[x * y] = \text{val}[(x << \text{IntVal } 64 \ i) + (x << \text{IntVal } 64 \ j)]$ 
  using assms
  proof -
    have  $63: (63 :: \text{int}64) = \text{mask } 6$ 
    by eval
    then have  $(2 :: \text{int}) \wedge 6 = 64$ 

```



```

    by eval
  then have n: IntVal 64 ((2 ^ unat(i)) + (2 ^ unat(j))) =
    val[(IntVal 64 (2 ^ unat(i))) + (IntVal 64 (2 ^ unat(j)))]

    using assms by (cases i; cases j; auto)
  then have 1: val[x * ((IntVal 64 (2 ^ unat(i))) + (IntVal 64 (2 ^ unat(j))))]
=
    val[(x * IntVal 64 (2 ^ unat(i))) + (x * IntVal 64 (2 ^ unat(j)))]

    using assms val-distribute-multiplication val-MulPower2 by simp
  then have 2: val[(x * IntVal 64 (2 ^ unat(i)))] = val[x << IntVal 64 i]
  by (smt (verit) Value.distinct(1) intval-mul.simps(1) new-int.simps new-int-bin.simps
    assms
      val-MulPower2)
  then show ?thesis
    by (smt (verit, del-insts) 1 Value.distinct(1) assms(1) assms(3) assms(5)
      assms(6)
        intval-mul.simps(1) n new-int.simps new-int-bin.elims val-MulPower2)
  qed

```

thm-oracles *val-MulPower2AddPower2*

```

lemma exp-multiply-zero-64:
  exp[x * (const (IntVal 64 0))] ≥ ConstantExpr (IntVal 64 0)
  using val-multiply-zero apply auto
  by (smt (verit) Value.inject(1) constantAsStamp.simps(1) int-signed-value-bounds
    intval-mul.elims
      mult-zero-right new-int.simps new-int-bin.simps nle-le numeral-eq-Suc take-bit-of-0

      unfold-const valid-stamp.simps(1) valid-value.simps(1) zero-less-Suc wf-value-def)

```

```

lemma exp-multiply-neutral:
  exp[x * (const (IntVal b 1))] ≥ x
  using val-multiply-neutral apply auto
  by (smt (verit) Value.inject(1) eval-unused-bits-zero intval-mul.elims mult.right-neutral

      new-int.elims new-int-bin.elims)

```

thm-oracles *exp-multiply-neutral*

```

lemma exp-MulPower2:
  fixes i :: 64 word
  assumes y = ConstantExpr (IntVal 64 (2 ^ unat(i)))
  and 0 < i
  and i < 64
  and exp[x > (const IntVal b 0)]
  and exp[y > (const IntVal b 0)]
  shows exp[x * y] ≥ exp[x << ConstantExpr (IntVal 64 i)]

```

```

    using assms apply simp
  by (metis ConstantExprE equiv-exprs-def unfold-binary)

lemma exp-MulPower2Add1:
  fixes i :: 64 word
  assumes y = ConstantExpr (IntVal 64 ((2 ^ unat(i)) + 1))
  and 0 < i
  and i < 64
  and exp[x > (const IntVal b 0)]
  and exp[y > (const IntVal b 0)]
shows exp[x * y] ≥ exp[(x << ConstantExpr (IntVal 64 i)) + x]
  using assms apply simp
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) ConstantExprE equiv-exprs-def unfold-binary)

lemma exp-MulPower2Sub1:
  fixes i :: 64 word
  assumes y = ConstantExpr (IntVal 64 ((2 ^ unat(i)) - 1))
  and 0 < i
  and i < 64
  and exp[x > (const IntVal b 0)]
  and exp[y > (const IntVal b 0)]
shows exp[x * y] ≥ exp[(x << ConstantExpr (IntVal 64 i)) - x]
  using assms apply simp
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) ConstantExprE equiv-exprs-def unfold-binary)

lemma exp-MulPower2AddPower2:
  fixes i j :: 64 word
  assumes y = ConstantExpr (IntVal 64 ((2 ^ unat(i)) + (2 ^ unat(j))))
  and 0 < i
  and 0 < j
  and i < 64
  and j < 64
  and exp[x > (const IntVal b 0)]
  and exp[y > (const IntVal b 0)]
shows exp[x * y] ≥ exp[(x << ConstantExpr (IntVal 64 i)) + (x << ConstantExpr (IntVal 64 j))]
  using assms apply simp
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) ConstantExprE equiv-exprs-def unfold-binary)

lemma greaterConstant:
  fixes a b :: 64 word
  assumes a > b
  and y = ConstantExpr (IntVal 64 a)
  and x = ConstantExpr (IntVal 64 b)
  shows exp[y > x]
  apply auto

```

sorry

lemma *exp-distribute-multiplication*:

shows $\text{exp}[(x * q) + (x * a)] \geq \text{exp}[x * (q + a)]$

sorry

Optimisations

optimization *EliminateRedundantNegative*: $-x * -y \mapsto x * y$

using *mul-size.simps* **apply** *auto*

by (*metis BinaryExpr val-eliminate-redundant-negative bin-eval.simps(2)*)

optimization *MulNeutral*: $x * \text{ConstantExpr } (\text{IntVal } b \ 1) \mapsto x$

using *exp-multiply-neutral* **by** *blast*

optimization *MulEliminator*: $x * \text{ConstantExpr } (\text{IntVal } b \ 0) \mapsto \text{const } (\text{IntVal } b \ 0)$

apply *auto*

by (*smt (verit) Value.inject(1) constantAsStamp.simps(1) int-signed-value-bounds intval-mul.elims*

mult-zero-right new-int.simps new-int-bin.simps take-bit-of-0 unfold-const

valid-stamp.simps(1) valid-value.simps(1) val-multiply-zero)

optimization *MulNegate*: $x * -(\text{const } (\text{IntVal } b \ 1)) \mapsto -x$

apply *auto*

by (*smt (verit) Value.distinct(1) Value.sel(1) add.inverse-inverse intval-mul.elims*

intval-negate.simps(1) mask-eq-take-bit-minus-one new-int.simps new-int-bin.simps

take-bit-dist-neg unary-eval.simps(2) unfold-unary val-multiply-negative

val-eliminate-redundant-negative val-multiply-negative wf-value-def)

fun *isNonZero* :: *Stamp* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**

isNonZero (*IntegerStamp* *b lo hi*) = (*lo* > 0) |

isNonZero - = *False*

lemma *isNonZero-defn*:

assumes *isNonZero* (*stamp-expr* *x*)

assumes *wf-stamp* *x*

shows ($[m, p] \vdash x \mapsto v \longrightarrow (\exists vv \ b. (v = \text{IntVal } b \ vv \wedge \text{val-to-bool val}[(\text{IntVal } b \ 0) < v]))$)

apply (*rule impI*) **subgoal** *premises* *eval*

proof –

obtain *b lo hi* **where** *xstamp*: *stamp-expr* *x* = *IntegerStamp* *b lo hi*

by (*meson isNonZero.elims(2) assms*)

then obtain *vv* **where** *vdef*: *v* = *IntVal* *b vv*

by (*metis assms(2) eval valid-int wf-stamp-def*)

have *lo* > 0

using *assms(1) xstamp* **by** *force*

then have *signed-above*: *int-signed-value* *b vv* > 0

```

    using assms unfolding wf-stamp-def
    using eval vdef xstamp by fastforce
  have take-bit b vv = vv
    using eval eval-unused-bits-zero vdef by auto
  then have vv > 0
    by (metis bit-take-bit-iff int-signed-value.simps not-less-zero signed-eq-0-iff
      signed-take-bit-eq-if-positive take-bit-0 take-bit-of-0 verit-comp-simplify1 (1)
      word-gt-0 signed-above)
  then show ?thesis
    using vdef signed-above
    by simp
qed
done

optimization MulPower2:  $x * y \mapsto x << \text{const } (\text{IntVal } 64 \ i)$ 
  when  $(i > 0 \wedge 64 > i \wedge y = \text{exp}[\text{const } (\text{IntVal } 64 \ (2 \wedge \text{unat}(i))])$ 

  defer
  apply simp apply (rule impI; (rule allI)+; rule impI)
  subgoal premises eval for m p v
proof -
  obtain xv where xv:  $[m, p] \vdash x \mapsto xv$ 
    using eval(2) by blast
  then obtain xv where xv:  $xv = \text{IntVal } 64 \ xv$ 
    by (smt (verit) ConstantExprE bin-eval.simps(2) evalDet intval-bits.simps int-
      val-mul.elims new-int-bin.simps unfold-binary eval)
  obtain yv where yv:  $[m, p] \vdash y \mapsto yv$ 
    using eval(1) eval(2) by blast
  then have lhs:  $[m, p] \vdash \text{exp}[x * y] \mapsto \text{val}[xv * yv]$ 
    by (metis bin-eval.simps(2) eval(1) eval(2) evalDet unfold-binary xv)
  have  $[m, p] \vdash \text{exp}[\text{const } (\text{IntVal } 64 \ i)] \mapsto \text{val}[(\text{IntVal } 64 \ i)]$ 
    by (smt (verit, ccfv-SIG) ConstantExpr constantAsStamp.simps(1) eval-bits-1-64
      take-bit64 validStampIntConst wf-value-def valid-value.simps(1) xv xv)
  then have rhs:  $[m, p] \vdash \text{exp}[x << \text{const } (\text{IntVal } 64 \ i)] \mapsto \text{val}[xv << (\text{IntVal } 64 \ i)]$ 
    using xv xv using evaltree.BinaryExpr
  by (metis Value.simps(5) bin-eval.simps(8) intval-left-shift.simps(1) new-int.simps)
  have  $\text{val}[xv * yv] = \text{val}[xv << (\text{IntVal } 64 \ i)]$ 
    by (metis ConstantExprE eval(1) evaltree-not-undef lhs yv val-MulPower2)
  then show ?thesis
    by (metis eval(1) eval(2) evalDet lhs rhs)
qed
done

```

```

optimization MulPower2Add1:  $x * y \mapsto (x << \text{const } (\text{IntVal } 64\ i)) + x$ 
  when  $(i > 0 \wedge$ 
     $64 > i \wedge$ 
     $y = \text{ConstantExpr } (\text{IntVal } 64\ ((2 \wedge \text{unat}(i)) + 1))$  )

  defer
  apply simp apply (rule impI; (rule allI)+; rule impI)
  subgoal premises p for m p v
  proof -
    obtain xv where xv:  $[m, p] \vdash x \mapsto xv$ 
    using p by fast
    then obtain xvv where xvv:  $xv = \text{IntVal } 64\ xvv$ 
    by (smt (verit) p ConstantExprE bin-eval.simps(2) evalDet intval-bits.simps
    intval-mul.elims
    new-int-bin.simps unfold-binary)
    obtain yv where yv:  $[m, p] \vdash y \mapsto yv$ 
    using p by blast
    have ygezero:  $y > \text{ConstantExpr } (\text{IntVal } 64\ 0)$ 
    using greaterConstant p wf-value-def by fastforce
    then have 1:  $0 < i \wedge$ 
       $i < 64 \wedge$ 
       $y = \text{ConstantExpr } (\text{IntVal } 64\ ((2 \wedge \text{unat}(i)) + 1))$ 
    using p by blast
    then have lhs:  $[m, p] \vdash \text{exp}[x * y] \mapsto \text{val}[xv * yv]$ 
    by (metis bin-eval.simps(2) evalDet p(1) p(2) xv yv unfold-binary)
    then have  $[m, p] \vdash \text{exp}[\text{const } (\text{IntVal } 64\ i)] \mapsto \text{val}[(\text{IntVal } 64\ i)]$ 
    by (metis wf-value-def verit-comp-simplify1(2) zero-less-numeral ConstantExpr
    constantAsStamp.simps(1) take-bit64 validStampIntConst valid-value.simps(1))
    then have rhs2:  $[m, p] \vdash \text{exp}[x << \text{const } (\text{IntVal } 64\ i)] \mapsto \text{val}[xv << (\text{IntVal } 64\ i)]$ 
    by (metis Value.simps(5) bin-eval.simps(8) intval-left-shift.simps(1) new-int.simps
    xv xvv
    evaltree.BinaryExpr)
    then have rhs:  $[m, p] \vdash \text{exp}[(x << \text{const } (\text{IntVal } 64\ i)) + x] \mapsto \text{val}[(xv << (\text{IntVal } 64\ i)) + xv]$ 
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) intval-add.simps(1) rhs2 bin-eval.simps(1)
    Value.simps(5)
    evaltree.BinaryExpr intval-left-shift.simps(1) new-int.simps xv xvv)
    then have simple:  $\text{val}[xv * (\text{IntVal } 64\ (2 \wedge \text{unat}(i)))] = \text{val}[xv << (\text{IntVal } 64\ i)]$ 
    using val-MulPower2 sorry
    then have  $\text{val}[xv * yv] = \text{val}[(xv << (\text{IntVal } 64\ i)) + xv]$ 
    sorry
    then show ?thesis
    by (metis 1 evalDet lhs p(2) rhs)
  qed
done

```

```

optimization MulPower2Sub1:  $x * y \mapsto (x << \text{const } (\text{IntVal } 64 \ i)) - x$ 
  when  $(i > 0 \wedge$ 
     $64 > i \wedge$ 
     $y = \text{ConstantExpr } (\text{IntVal } 64 \ ((2 \wedge \text{unat}(i)) - 1))$  )

  defer
  apply simp apply (rule impI; (rule allI)+; rule impI)
  subgoal premises p for m p v
  proof -
    obtain xv where xv:  $[m, p] \vdash x \mapsto xv$ 
    using p by fast
    then obtain xvv where xvv:  $xv = \text{IntVal } 64 \ xvv$ 
    by (smt (verit) p ConstantExprE bin-eval.simps(2) evalDet intval-bits.simps
    intval-mul.elims
    new-int-bin.simps unfold-binary)
    obtain yv where yv:  $[m, p] \vdash y \mapsto yv$ 
    using p by blast
    have ygezero:  $y > \text{ConstantExpr } (\text{IntVal } 64 \ 0)$ 
    by (smt (verit, del-ists) eq-iff-diff-eq-0 mask-0 mask-eq-exp-minus-1 power-inject-exp

    uint-2p unat-eq-zero word-gt-0 zero-neq-one greaterConstant p)
    then have 1:  $0 < i \wedge$ 
       $i < 64 \wedge$ 
       $y = \text{ConstantExpr } (\text{IntVal } 64 \ ((2 \wedge \text{unat}(i)) - 1))$ 
    using p by blast
    then have lhs:  $[m, p] \vdash \text{exp}[x * y] \mapsto \text{val}[xv * yv]$ 
    by (metis bin-eval.simps(2) evalDet p(1) p(2) xv yv unfold-binary)
    then have  $[m, p] \vdash \text{exp}[\text{const } (\text{IntVal } 64 \ i)] \mapsto \text{val}[(\text{IntVal } 64 \ i)]$ 
    by (metis wf-value-def verit-comp-simplify1(2) zero-less-numeral ConstantExpr

    constantAsStamp.simps(1) take-bit64 validStampIntConst valid-value.simps(1))
    then have rhs2:  $[m, p] \vdash \text{exp}[x << \text{const } (\text{IntVal } 64 \ i)] \mapsto \text{val}[xv << (\text{IntVal } 64 \ i)]$ 
    by (metis Value.simps(5) bin-eval.simps(8) intval-left-shift.simps(1) new-int.simps
    xv xvv
    evaltree.BinaryExpr)
    then have rhs:  $[m, p] \vdash \text{exp}[(x << \text{const } (\text{IntVal } 64 \ i)) - x] \mapsto \text{val}[(xv << (\text{IntVal } 64 \ i)) - xv]$ 
    by (smt (verit, ccfv-threshold) bin-eval.simps(3) new-int-bin.simps intval-sub.simps(1)

    rhs2 bin-eval.simps(1) Value.simps(5) evaltree.BinaryExpr intval-left-shift.simps(1)

    new-int.simps xv xvv )
    then have  $\text{val}[xv * yv] = \text{val}[(xv << (\text{IntVal } 64 \ i)) - xv]$ 
    using 1 exp-MulPower2Sub1 ygezero sorry
    then show ?thesis
    by (metis evalDet lhs p(1) p(2) rhs)
  qed
done

```

end

end

1.7 Experimental AndNode Phase

theory *NewAnd*

imports

Common

Graph.Long

begin

lemma *bin-distribute-and-over-or*:

$bin[z \ \& \ (x \ | \ y)] = bin[(z \ \& \ x) \ | \ (z \ \& \ y)]$

by (*smt* (*verit*, *best*) *bit-and-iff* *bit-eqI* *bit-or-iff*)

lemma *intval-distribute-and-over-or*:

$val[z \ \& \ (x \ | \ y)] = val[(z \ \& \ x) \ | \ (z \ \& \ y)]$

apply (*cases* *x*; *cases* *y*; *cases* *z*; *auto*)

using *bin-distribute-and-over-or* **by** *blast+*

lemma *exp-distribute-and-over-or*:

$exp[z \ \& \ (x \ | \ y)] \geq exp[(z \ \& \ x) \ | \ (z \ \& \ y)]$

apply *simp* **using** *intval-distribute-and-over-or*

using *BinaryExpr* *bin-eval.simps*(4,5)

using *intval-or.simps*(1) **unfolding** *new-int-bin.simps* *new-int.simps* **apply** *auto*

by (*metis* *bin-eval.simps*(4) *bin-eval.simps*(5) *intval-or.simps*(2) *intval-or.simps*(5))

lemma *intval-and-commute*:

$val[x \ \& \ y] = val[y \ \& \ x]$

by (*cases* *x*; *cases* *y*; *auto* *simp*: *and.commute*)

lemma *intval-or-commute*:

$val[x \ | \ y] = val[y \ | \ x]$

by (*cases* *x*; *cases* *y*; *auto* *simp*: *or.commute*)

lemma *intval-xor-commute*:

$val[x \ \oplus \ y] = val[y \ \oplus \ x]$

by (*cases* *x*; *cases* *y*; *auto* *simp*: *xor.commute*)

lemma *exp-and-commute*:

$exp[x \ \& \ z] \geq exp[z \ \& \ x]$

apply *simp* **using** *intval-and-commute* **by** *auto*

lemma *exp-or-commute*:

$exp[x \ | \ y] \geq exp[y \ | \ x]$

apply *simp* **using** *intval-or-commute* **by** *auto*

```

lemma exp-xor-commute:
   $\text{exp}[x \oplus y] \geq \text{exp}[y \oplus x]$ 
  apply simp using intval-xor-commute by auto

lemma bin-eliminate-y:
  assumes  $\text{bin}[y \ \& \ z] = 0$ 
  shows  $\text{bin}[(x \mid y) \ \& \ z] = \text{bin}[x \ \& \ z]$ 
  using assms
  by (simp add: and.commute bin-distribute-and-over-or)

lemma intval-eliminate-y:
  assumes  $\text{val}[y \ \& \ z] = \text{IntVal } b \ 0$ 
  shows  $\text{val}[(x \mid y) \ \& \ z] = \text{val}[x \ \& \ z]$ 
  using assms bin-eliminate-y by (cases x; cases y; cases z; auto)

lemma intval-and-associative:
   $\text{val}[(x \ \& \ y) \ \& \ z] = \text{val}[x \ \& \ (y \ \& \ z)]$ 
  apply (cases x; cases y; cases z; auto)
  by (simp add: and.assoc)+

lemma intval-or-associative:
   $\text{val}[(x \mid y) \mid z] = \text{val}[x \mid (y \mid z)]$ 
  apply (cases x; cases y; cases z; auto)
  by (simp add: or.assoc)+

lemma intval-xor-associative:
   $\text{val}[(x \oplus y) \oplus z] = \text{val}[x \oplus (y \oplus z)]$ 
  apply (cases x; cases y; cases z; auto)
  by (simp add: xor.assoc)+

lemma exp-and-associative:
   $\text{exp}[(x \ \& \ y) \ \& \ z] \geq \text{exp}[x \ \& \ (y \ \& \ z)]$ 
  apply simp using intval-and-associative by fastforce

lemma exp-or-associative:
   $\text{exp}[(x \mid y) \mid z] \geq \text{exp}[x \mid (y \mid z)]$ 
  apply simp using intval-or-associative by fastforce

lemma exp-xor-associative:
   $\text{exp}[(x \oplus y) \oplus z] \geq \text{exp}[x \oplus (y \oplus z)]$ 
  apply simp using intval-xor-associative by fastforce

lemma intval-and-absorb-or:
  assumes  $\exists b \ v. x = \text{new-int } b \ v$ 
  assumes  $\text{val}[x \ \& \ (x \mid y)] \neq \text{UndefVal}$ 
  shows  $\text{val}[x \ \& \ (x \mid y)] = \text{val}[x]$ 

```



```

using assms apply (cases x; cases y; auto)
by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) intval-and.simps(5))

lemma intval-or-absorb-and:
  assumes  $\exists b\ v.\ x = \text{new-int } b\ v$ 
  assumes  $\text{val}[x \mid (x \ \&\ y)] \neq \text{UndefVal}$ 
  shows  $\text{val}[x \mid (x \ \&\ y)] = \text{val}[x]$ 
  using assms apply (cases x; cases y; auto)
  by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) intval-or.simps(5))

lemma exp-and-absorb-or:
   $\text{exp}[x \ \&\ (x \mid y)] \geq \text{exp}[x]$ 
  apply auto using intval-and-absorb-or eval-unused-bits-zero
  by (smt (verit) evalDet intval-or.elims new-int.elims)

lemma exp-or-absorb-and:
   $\text{exp}[x \mid (x \ \&\ y)] \geq \text{exp}[x]$ 
  apply auto using intval-or-absorb-and eval-unused-bits-zero
  by (smt (verit) evalDet intval-or.elims new-int.elims)

lemma
  assumes  $y = 0$ 
  shows  $x + y = \text{or } x\ y$ 
  using assms
  by simp

lemma no-overlap-or:
  assumes  $\text{and } x\ y = 0$ 
  shows  $x + y = \text{or } x\ y$ 
  using assms
  by (metis bit-and-iff bit-xor-iff disjunctive-add xor-self-eq)

context stamp-mask
begin

lemma intval-up-and-zero-implies-zero:
  assumes  $\text{and } (\uparrow x) (\uparrow y) = 0$ 
  assumes  $[m, p] \vdash x \mapsto xv$ 
  assumes  $[m, p] \vdash y \mapsto yv$ 
  assumes  $\text{val}[xv \ \&\ yv] \neq \text{UndefVal}$ 

```

```

shows  $\exists b. \text{val}[xv \ \& \ yv] = \text{new-int } b \ 0$ 
using assms apply (cases xv; cases yv; auto)
using up-mask-and-zero-implies-zero
apply (smt (verit, best) take-bit-and take-bit-of-0)
by presburger

```

lemma *exp-eliminate-y*:

```

and  $(\uparrow y) (\uparrow z) = 0 \longrightarrow \text{BinaryExpr BinAnd } (\text{BinaryExpr BinOr } x \ y) \ z \geq \text{BinaryExpr BinAnd } x \ z$ 
apply simp apply (rule impI; rule allI; rule allI; rule allI)
subgoal premises p for m p v apply (rule impI) subgoal premises e
proof –
  obtain xv where xv:  $[m, p] \vdash x \mapsto xv$ 
  using e by auto
  obtain yv where yv:  $[m, p] \vdash y \mapsto yv$ 
  using e by auto
  obtain zv where zv:  $[m, p] \vdash z \mapsto zv$ 
  using e by auto
  have lhs:  $v = \text{val}[(xv \mid yv) \ \& \ zv]$ 
  using xv yv zv
  by (smt (verit, best) BinaryExprE bin-eval.simps(4) bin-eval.simps(5) e evalDet)
  then have  $v = \text{val}[(xv \ \& \ zv) \mid (yv \ \& \ zv)]$ 
  by (simp add: intval-and-commute intval-distribute-and-over-or)
  also have  $\exists b. \text{val}[yv \ \& \ zv] = \text{new-int } b \ 0$ 
  using intval-up-and-zero-implies-zero
  by (metis calculation e intval-or.simps(5) p unfold-binary yv zv)
  ultimately have rhs:  $v = \text{val}[xv \ \& \ zv]$ 
  using intval-eliminate-y lhs by force
  from lhs rhs show ?thesis
  by (metis BinaryExpr BinaryExprE bin-eval.simps(4) e xv zv)
qed
done
done

```

lemma *leadingZeroBounds*:

```

fixes x :: 'a::len word
assumes  $n = \text{numberOfLeadingZeros } x$ 
shows  $0 \leq n \wedge n \leq \text{Nat.size } x$ 
using assms unfolding numberOfLeadingZeros-def
by (simp add: MaxOrNeg-def highestOneBit-def nat-le-iff)

```

lemma *above-nth-not-set*:

```

fixes x :: int64
assumes  $n = 64 - \text{numberOfLeadingZeros } x$ 
shows  $j > n \longrightarrow \neg(\text{bit } x \ j)$ 
using assms unfolding numberOfLeadingZeros-def
by (smt (verit, ccfv-SIG) highestOneBit-def int-nat-eq int-ops(6) less-imp-of-nat-less max-set-bit size64 zerosAboveHighestOne)

```

no-notation *LogicNegationNotation* (!-)

lemma *zero-horner*:

horner-sum of-bool 2 (map (λx. False) xs) = 0
apply (*induction xs*) **apply** *simp*
by *force*

lemma *zero-map*:

assumes $j \leq n$
assumes $\forall i. j \leq i \longrightarrow \neg(f\ i)$
shows $\text{map } f\ [0..<n] = \text{map } f\ [0..<j] @ \text{map } (\lambda x. \text{False})\ [j..<n]$
apply (*insert assms*)
by (*smt (verit, del-insts) add-diff-inverse-nat atLeastLessThan-iff bot-nat-0.extremum leD map-append map-eq-conv set-upt upt-add-eq-append*)

lemma *map-join-horner*:

assumes $\text{map } f\ [0..<n] = \text{map } f\ [0..<j] @ \text{map } (\lambda x. \text{False})\ [j..<n]$
shows $\text{horner-sum of-bool } (2::'a::\text{len word})\ (\text{map } f\ [0..<n]) = \text{horner-sum of-bool } 2\ (\text{map } f\ [0..<j])$
proof –
have $\text{horner-sum of-bool } (2::'a::\text{len word})\ (\text{map } f\ [0..<n]) = \text{horner-sum of-bool } 2\ (\text{map } f\ [0..<j]) + 2 \wedge \text{length } [0..<j] * \text{horner-sum of-bool } 2\ (\text{map } f\ [j..<n])$
using *horner-sum-append*
by (*smt (verit) assms diff-le-self diff-zero le-add-same-cancel2 length-append length-map length-upt map-append upt-add-eq-append*)
also have $\dots = \text{horner-sum of-bool } 2\ (\text{map } f\ [0..<j]) + 2 \wedge \text{length } [0..<j] * \text{horner-sum of-bool } 2\ (\text{map } (\lambda x. \text{False})\ [j..<n])$
using *assms*
by (*metis calculation horner-sum-append length-map*)
also have $\dots = \text{horner-sum of-bool } 2\ (\text{map } f\ [0..<j])$
using *zero-horner*
using *mult-not-zero* **by** *auto*
finally show *?thesis* **by** *simp*
qed

lemma *split-horner*:

assumes $j \leq n$
assumes $\forall i. j \leq i \longrightarrow \neg(f\ i)$
shows $\text{horner-sum of-bool } (2::'a::\text{len word})\ (\text{map } f\ [0..<n]) = \text{horner-sum of-bool } 2\ (\text{map } f\ [0..<j])$
apply (*rule map-join-horner*)
apply (*rule zero-map*)
using *assms* **by** *auto*

lemma *transfer-map*:

assumes $\forall i. i < n \longrightarrow f\ i = f'\ i$
shows $(\text{map } f\ [0..<n]) = (\text{map } f'\ [0..<n])$
using *assms* **by** *simp*

```

lemma transfer-horner:
  assumes  $\forall i. i < n \longrightarrow f\ i = f'\ i$ 
  shows horner-sum of-bool ( $2::'a::len\ word$ ) ( $map\ f\ [0..<n]$ ) = horner-sum of-bool
    2 ( $map\ f'\ [0..<n]$ )
  using assms using transfer-map
  by (smt (verit, best))

lemma L1:
  assumes  $n = 64 - numberOfLeadingZeros\ (\uparrow z)$ 
  assumes  $[m, p] \vdash z \mapsto IntVal\ b\ zv$ 
  shows and  $v\ zv = and\ (v\ mod\ 2^{\wedge}n)\ zv$ 
proof -
  have nle:  $n \leq 64$ 
  using assms
  using diff-le-self by blast
  also have and  $v\ zv = horner-sum\ of-bool\ 2\ (map\ (bit\ (and\ v\ zv))\ [0..<64])$ 
  using horner-sum-bit-eq-take-bit size64
  by (metis size-word.rep-eq take-bit-length-eq)
  also have ... = horner-sum of-bool 2 ( $map\ (\lambda i. bit\ (and\ v\ zv)\ i)\ [0..<64]$ )
  by blast
  also have ... = horner-sum of-bool 2 ( $map\ (\lambda i. ((bit\ v\ i) \wedge (bit\ zv\ i)))\ [0..<64]$ )
  using bit-and-iff by metis
  also have ... = horner-sum of-bool 2 ( $map\ (\lambda i. ((bit\ v\ i) \wedge (bit\ zv\ i)))\ [0..<n]$ )
  proof -
  have  $\forall i. i \geq n \longrightarrow \neg(bit\ zv\ i)$ 
  using above-nth-not-set assms(1)
  using assms(2) not-may-implies-false
  by (smt (verit, ccfv-SIG) One-nat-def diff-less int-ops(6) leadingZerosAddHighestOne linorder-not-le nat-int-comparison(2) not-numeral-le-zero size64 zero-less-Suc zerosAboveHighestOne)
  then have  $\forall i. i \geq n \longrightarrow \neg((bit\ v\ i) \wedge (bit\ zv\ i))$ 
  by auto
  then show ?thesis using nle split-horner
  by (metis (no-types, lifting))
qed
  also have ... = horner-sum of-bool 2 ( $map\ (\lambda i. ((bit\ (v\ mod\ 2^{\wedge}n)\ i) \wedge (bit\ zv\ i)))\ [0..<n]$ )
  proof -
  have  $\forall i. i < n \longrightarrow bit\ (v\ mod\ 2^{\wedge}n)\ i = bit\ v\ i$ 
  by (metis bit-take-bit-iff take-bit-eq-mod)
  then have  $\forall i. i < n \longrightarrow ((bit\ v\ i) \wedge (bit\ zv\ i)) = ((bit\ (v\ mod\ 2^{\wedge}n)\ i) \wedge (bit\ zv\ i))$ 
  by force
  then show ?thesis
  by (rule transfer-horner)
qed
  also have ... = horner-sum of-bool 2 ( $map\ (\lambda i. ((bit\ (v\ mod\ 2^{\wedge}n)\ i) \wedge (bit\ zv\ i)))\ [0..<64]$ )

```

```

proof –
  have  $\forall i. i \geq n \longrightarrow \neg(\text{bit } zv \ i)$ 
    using above-nth-not-set assms(1)
    using assms(2) not-may-implies-false
    by smt (verit, ccfv-SIG) One-nat-def diff-less int-ops(6) leadingZerosAddHighestOne linorder-not-le nat-int-comparison(2) not-numeral-le-zero size64 zero-less-Suc zerosAboveHighestOne)
  then show ?thesis
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) assms(1) diff-le-self split-horner)
qed
also have  $\dots = \text{horner-sum of-bool } 2 \ (\text{map } (\text{bit } (\text{and } (v \text{ mod } 2^n) \ zv)) \ [0..<64])$ 
  by (meson bit-and-iff)
also have  $\dots = \text{and } (v \text{ mod } 2^n) \ zv$ 
  using horner-sum-bit-eq-take-bit size64
  by (metis size-word.rep-eq take-bit-length-eq)
finally show ?thesis
  using  $\langle \text{and } (v::64 \text{ word}) \ (zv::64 \text{ word}) = \text{horner-sum of-bool } (2::64 \text{ word})$ 
 $(\text{map } (\text{bit } (\text{and } v \ zv)) \ [0::\text{nat}..<64::\text{nat}]) \rangle \langle \text{horner-sum of-bool } (2::64 \text{ word}) \ (\text{map}$ 
 $(\lambda i::\text{nat}. \text{bit } ((v::64 \text{ word}) \text{ mod } (2::64 \text{ word}) ^ (n::\text{nat})) \ i \wedge \text{bit } (zv::64 \text{ word})$ 
 $i) \ [0::\text{nat}..<64::\text{nat}]) = \text{horner-sum of-bool } (2::64 \text{ word}) \ (\text{map } (\text{bit } (\text{and } (v \text{ mod } (2::64 \text{ word}) ^ n) \ zv)) \ [0::\text{nat}..<64::\text{nat}]) \rangle \langle \text{horner-sum of-bool } (2::64 \text{ word}) \ (\text{map}$ 
 $(\lambda i::\text{nat}. \text{bit } ((v::64 \text{ word}) \text{ mod } (2::64 \text{ word}) ^ (n::\text{nat})) \ i \wedge \text{bit } (zv::64 \text{ word}) \ i)$ 
 $[0::\text{nat}..<n]) = \text{horner-sum of-bool } (2::64 \text{ word}) \ (\text{map } (\lambda i::\text{nat}. \text{bit } (v \text{ mod } (2::64 \text{ word}) ^ n) \ i \wedge \text{bit } zv \ i) \ [0::\text{nat}..<64::\text{nat}]) \rangle \langle \text{horner-sum of-bool } (2::64 \text{ word})$ 
 $(\text{map } (\lambda i::\text{nat}. \text{bit } (v::64 \text{ word}) \ i \wedge \text{bit } (zv::64 \text{ word}) \ i) \ [0::\text{nat}..<64::\text{nat}]) =$ 
 $\text{horner-sum of-bool } (2::64 \text{ word}) \ (\text{map } (\lambda i::\text{nat}. \text{bit } v \ i \wedge \text{bit } zv \ i) \ [0::\text{nat}..<n::\text{nat}]) \rangle \langle \text{horner-sum of-bool } (2::64 \text{ word}) \ (\text{map } (\lambda i::\text{nat}. \text{bit } (v::64 \text{ word}) \ i \wedge \text{bit } (zv::64 \text{ word}) \ i) \ [0::\text{nat}..<n::\text{nat}]) = \text{horner-sum of-bool } (2::64 \text{ word}) \ (\text{map } (\lambda i::\text{nat}. \text{bit } (v \text{ mod } (2::64 \text{ word}) ^ n) \ i \wedge \text{bit } zv \ i) \ [0::\text{nat}..<n]) \rangle \langle \text{horner-sum of-bool } (2::64 \text{ word}) \ (\text{map } (\text{bit } (\text{and } ((v::64 \text{ word}) \text{ mod } (2::64 \text{ word}) ^ (n::\text{nat})) \ (zv::64 \text{ word}))) \ [0::\text{nat}..<64::\text{nat}]) = \text{and } (v \text{ mod } (2::64 \text{ word}) ^ n) \ zv \rangle \langle \text{horner-sum of-bool } (2::64 \text{ word}) \ (\text{map } (\text{bit } (\text{and } (v::64 \text{ word}) \ (zv::64 \text{ word}))) \ [0::\text{nat}..<64::\text{nat}]) = \text{horner-sum of-bool } (2::64 \text{ word}) \ (\text{map } (\lambda i::\text{nat}. \text{bit } v \ i \wedge \text{bit } zv \ i) \ [0::\text{nat}..<64::\text{nat}]) \rangle$  by presburger
qed

```

lemma *up-mask-upper-bound:*

```

assumes  $[m, p] \vdash x \mapsto \text{IntVal } b \ xv$ 
shows  $xv \leq (\uparrow x)$ 
using assms
by (metis (no-types, lifting) and.idem and.right-neutral bit.conj-cancel-left bit.conj-disj-distrib(1) bit.double-compl ucast-id up-spec word-and-le1 word-not-dist(2))

```

lemma *L2:*

```

assumes  $\text{numberOfLeadingZeros } (\uparrow z) + \text{numberOfTrailingZeros } (\uparrow y) \geq 64$ 
assumes  $n = 64 - \text{numberOfLeadingZeros } (\uparrow z)$ 
assumes  $[m, p] \vdash z \mapsto \text{IntVal } b \ zv$ 
assumes  $[m, p] \vdash y \mapsto \text{IntVal } b \ yv$ 
shows  $yv \text{ mod } 2^n = 0$ 

```

```

proof –
  have  $yv \bmod 2^{\wedge n} = \text{horner-sum of-bool } 2 \ (\text{map } (\text{bit } yv) \ [0..<n])$ 
    by (simp add: horner-sum-bit-eq-take-bit take-bit-eq-mod)
  also have  $\dots \leq \text{horner-sum of-bool } 2 \ (\text{map } (\text{bit } (\uparrow y)) \ [0..<n])$ 
    using up-mask-upper-bound assms(4)
    by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) and.right-neutral bit.conj-cancel-right bit.conj-disj-distrib(1)
bit.double-compl horner-sum-bit-eq-take-bit take-bit-and ucast-id up-spec word-and-le1
word-not-dist(2)))
  also have  $\text{horner-sum of-bool } 2 \ (\text{map } (\text{bit } (\uparrow y)) \ [0..<n]) = \text{horner-sum of-bool } 2$ 
(map ( $\lambda x. \text{False}$ )  $[0..<n]$ )
    proof –
      have  $\forall i < n. \neg(\text{bit } (\uparrow y) \ i)$ 
        using assms(1,2) zerosBelowLowestOne
        by (metis add commute add-diff-inverse-nat add-lessD1 leD le-diff-conv numberOfTrailingZeros-def)
      then show ?thesis
        by (metis (full-types) transfer-map)
    qed
  also have  $\text{horner-sum of-bool } 2 \ (\text{map } (\lambda x. \text{False}) \ [0..<n]) = 0$ 
    using zero-horner
    by blast
  finally show ?thesis
    by auto
qed

thm-oracles L1 L2

lemma unfold-binary-width-add:
  shows  $([m,p] \vdash \text{BinaryExpr BinAdd } xe \ ye \mapsto \text{IntVal } b \ \text{val}) = (\exists \ x \ y. \ ([m,p] \vdash xe \mapsto \text{IntVal } b \ x) \wedge \ ([m,p] \vdash ye \mapsto \text{IntVal } b \ y) \wedge \ (\text{IntVal } b \ \text{val} = \text{bin-eval BinAdd } (\text{IntVal } b \ x) \ (\text{IntVal } b \ y)) \wedge \ (\text{IntVal } b \ \text{val} \neq \text{UndefVal})) \text{ (is } ?L = ?R)$ 
    proof (intro iffI)
      assume  $?L$ 
      show  $?R$  apply (rule evaltree.cases[OF ?L])
        apply force+ apply auto[1]
        apply (smt (verit) intval-add.elims intval-bits.simps)
        by blast
    next
      assume  $R: ?R$ 
      then obtain  $x \ y$  where  $[m,p] \vdash xe \mapsto \text{IntVal } b \ x$ 
        and  $[m,p] \vdash ye \mapsto \text{IntVal } b \ y$ 
        and  $\text{new-int } b \ \text{val} = \text{bin-eval BinAdd } (\text{IntVal } b \ x) \ (\text{IntVal } b \ y)$ 
        and  $\text{new-int } b \ \text{val} \neq \text{UndefVal}$ 
        by auto
      then show  $?L$ 
        using  $R$  by blast

```

qed

lemma *unfold-binary-width-and:*

shows $([m,p] \vdash \text{BinaryExpr BinAnd } xe \ ye \mapsto \text{IntVal } b \ \text{val}) = (\exists \ x \ y.$
 $(([m,p] \vdash xe \mapsto \text{IntVal } b \ x) \wedge$
 $([m,p] \vdash ye \mapsto \text{IntVal } b \ y) \wedge$
 $(\text{IntVal } b \ \text{val} = \text{bin-eval BinAnd } (\text{IntVal } b \ x) \ (\text{IntVal } b \ y)) \wedge$
 $(\text{IntVal } b \ \text{val} \neq \text{UndefVal}))$ **(is ?L = ?R)**
proof (*intro iffI*)
assume $\exists: ?L$
show $?R$ **apply** (*rule evaltree.cases[OF ?L]*)
apply *force+* **apply** *auto[1]* **using** *intval-and.elims intval-bits.simps*
apply (*smt (verit) new-int.simps new-int-bin.simps take-bit-and*)
by *blast*
next
assume $R: ?R$
then obtain $x \ y$ **where** $[m,p] \vdash xe \mapsto \text{IntVal } b \ x$
and $[m,p] \vdash ye \mapsto \text{IntVal } b \ y$
and $\text{new-int } b \ \text{val} = \text{bin-eval BinAnd } (\text{IntVal } b \ x) \ (\text{IntVal } b \ y)$
and $\text{new-int } b \ \text{val} \neq \text{UndefVal}$
by *auto*
then show $?L$
using R **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *mod-dist-over-add-right:*

fixes $a \ b \ c :: \text{int64}$
fixes $n :: \text{nat}$
assumes $1: 0 < n$
assumes $2: n < 64$
shows $(a + b \bmod 2^n) \bmod 2^n = (a + b) \bmod 2^n$
using *mod-dist-over-add*
by (*simp add: 1 2 add.commute*)

lemma *numberOfLeadingZeros-range:*

$0 \leq \text{numberOfLeadingZeros } n \wedge \text{numberOfLeadingZeros } n \leq \text{Nat.size } n$
unfolding *numberOfLeadingZeros-def highestOneBit-def* **using** *max-set-bit*
by (*simp add: highestOneBit-def leadingZeroBounds numberOfLeadingZeros-def*)

lemma *improved-opt:*

assumes $\text{numberOfLeadingZeros } (\uparrow z) + \text{numberOfTrailingZeros } (\uparrow y) \geq 64$
shows $\text{exp}[(x + y) \ \& \ z] \geq \text{exp}[x \ \& \ z]$
apply *simp* **apply** (*(rule allI)+; rule impI*)
subgoal **premises** *eval* **for** $m \ p \ v$
proof –
obtain n **where** $n: n = 64 - \text{numberOfLeadingZeros } (\uparrow z)$
by *simp*
obtain $b \ \text{val}$ **where** $\text{val}: [m, p] \vdash \text{exp}[(x + y) \ \& \ z] \mapsto \text{IntVal } b \ \text{val}$

```

    by (metis BinaryExprE bin-eval-new-int eval new-int.simps)
  then obtain xv yv where addv:  $[m, p] \vdash \text{exp}[x + y] \mapsto \text{IntVal } b \ (xv + yv)$ 
    apply (subst (asm) unfold-binary-width-and) by (metis add.right-neutral)
  then obtain yv where yv:  $[m, p] \vdash y \mapsto \text{IntVal } b \ yv$ 
    apply (subst (asm) unfold-binary-width-add) by blast
  from addv obtain xv where xv:  $[m, p] \vdash x \mapsto \text{IntVal } b \ xv$ 
    apply (subst (asm) unfold-binary-width-add) by blast
  from val obtain zv where zv:  $[m, p] \vdash z \mapsto \text{IntVal } b \ zv$ 
    apply (subst (asm) unfold-binary-width-and) by blast
  have addv:  $[m, p] \vdash \text{exp}[x + y] \mapsto \text{new-int } b \ (xv + yv)$ 
    apply (rule evaltree.BinaryExpr)
    using xv apply simp
    using yv apply simp
    by simp+
  have lhs:  $[m, p] \vdash \text{exp}[(x + y) \ \& \ z] \mapsto \text{new-int } b \ (\text{and } (xv + yv) \ zv)$ 
    apply (rule evaltree.BinaryExpr)
    using addv apply simp
    using zv apply simp
    using addv apply auto[1]
    by simp
  have rhs:  $[m, p] \vdash \text{exp}[x \ \& \ z] \mapsto \text{new-int } b \ (\text{and } xv \ zv)$ 
    apply (rule evaltree.BinaryExpr)
    using xv apply simp
    using zv apply simp
    apply force
    by simp
  then show ?thesis
proof (cases numberOfLeadingZeros ( $\uparrow z$ ) > 0)
  case True
    have n-bounds:  $0 \leq n \wedge n < 64$ 
      using diff-le-self n numberOfLeadingZeros-range
      by (simp add: True)
    have and (xv + yv) zv = and ((xv + yv) mod  $2^n$ ) zv
      using L1 n zv by blast
    also have ... = and ((xv + (yv mod  $2^n$ )) mod  $2^n$ ) zv
      using mod-dist-over-add-right n-bounds
      by (metis take-bit-0 take-bit-eq-mod zero-less-iff-neq-zero)
    also have ... = and (((xv mod  $2^n$ ) + (yv mod  $2^n$ )) mod  $2^n$ ) zv
      by (metis bits-mod-by-1 mod-dist-over-add n-bounds order-le-imp-less-or-eq
        power-0)
    also have ... = and ((xv mod  $2^n$ ) mod  $2^n$ ) zv
      using L2 n zv yv
      using assms by auto
    also have ... = and (xv mod  $2^n$ ) zv
      using mod-mod-trivial
      by (smt (verit, best) and.idem take-bit-eq-mask take-bit-eq-mod word-bw-assocs(1))
    also have ... = and xv zv
      using L1 n zv by metis
  finally show ?thesis

```



```

    using eval lhs rhs
    by (metis evalDet)
next
case False
then have numberOfLeadingZeros ( $\uparrow z$ ) = 0
    by simp
then have numberOfTrailingZeros ( $\uparrow y$ )  $\geq$  64
    using assms(1)
    by fastforce
then have  $yv = 0$ 
    using yv
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) L1 L2 add-diff-cancel-left' and.comm-neutral
and.idem bit.compl-zero bit.conj-cancel-right bit.conj-disj-distrib(1) bit.double-compl
less-imp-diff-less linorder-not-le word-not-dist(2))
    then show ?thesis
        by (metis add.right-neutral eval evalDet lhs rhs)
qed
qed
done

thm-oracles improved-opt

```

end

```

phase NewAnd
terminating size
begin

```

```

optimization redundant-lhs-y-or:  $((x \mid y) \& z) \mapsto x \& z$ 
    when (((and (IRExpr-up y) (IRExpr-up z)) = 0))
    apply (simp add: IRExpr-up-def)
    using simple-mask.exp-eliminate-y by blast

```

```

optimization redundant-lhs-x-or:  $((x \mid y) \& z) \mapsto y \& z$ 
    when (((and (IRExpr-up x) (IRExpr-up z)) = 0))
    apply (simp add: IRExpr-up-def)
    using simple-mask.exp-eliminate-y
    by (meson exp-or-commute mono-binary order-refl order-trans)

```

```

optimization redundant-rhs-y-or:  $(z \& (x \mid y)) \mapsto z \& x$ 
    when (((and (IRExpr-up y) (IRExpr-up z)) = 0))
    apply (simp add: IRExpr-up-def)
    using simple-mask.exp-eliminate-y
    by (meson exp-and-commute order.trans)

```

```

optimization redundant-rhs-x-or:  $(z \& (x \mid y)) \mapsto z \& y$ 
      when  $((\text{and } (IRExpr\text{-up } x) (IRExpr\text{-up } z)) = 0)$ 
  apply (simp add: IRExpr-up-def)
  using simple-mask.exp-eliminate-y
  by (meson dual-order.trans exp-and-commute exp-or-commute mono-binary or-
der-refl)

```

end

end

1.8 NotNode Phase

```

theory NotPhase
  imports
    Common
  begin

  phase NotNode
    terminating size
  begin

```

```

lemma bin-not-cancel:
   $\text{bin}[\neg(\neg(e))] = \text{bin}[e]$ 
  by auto

```

```

lemma val-not-cancel:
  assumes  $\text{val}[\sim(\text{new-int } b \ v)] \neq \text{UndefVal}$ 
  shows  $\text{val}[\sim(\sim(\text{new-int } b \ v))] = (\text{new-int } b \ v)$ 
  by (simp add: take-bit-not-take-bit)

```

```

lemma exp-not-cancel:
   $\text{exp}[\sim(\sim a)] \geq \text{exp}[a]$ 
  using val-not-cancel apply auto
  by (metis eval-unused-bits-zero intval-logic-negation.cases new-int.simps intval-not.simps(1)

    intval-not.simps(2) intval-not.simps(3) intval-not.simps(4))

```

Optimisations

```

optimization NotCancel:  $\text{exp}[\sim(\sim a)] \mapsto a$ 
  by (metis exp-not-cancel)

```

end

end

1.9 OrNode Phase

```
theory OrPhase
  imports
    Common
begin
```

```
context stamp-mask
begin
```

Taking advantage of the truth table of or operations.

#	x	y	$x y$
1	0	0	0
2	0	1	1
3	1	0	1
4	1	1	1

If row 2 never applies, that is, $\text{canBeZero } x \ \& \ \text{canBeOne } y = 0$, then $(x|y) = x$.

Likewise, if row 3 never applies, $\text{canBeZero } y \ \& \ \text{canBeOne } x = 0$, then $(x|y) = y$.

```
lemma OrLeftFallthrough:
  assumes (and (not ( $\downarrow x$ )) ( $\uparrow y$ )) = 0
  shows  $\text{exp}[x \mid y] \geq \text{exp}[x]$ 
  using assms
  apply simp apply ((rule allI)+; rule impI)
  subgoal premises eval for m p v
  proof -
    obtain b vv where e:  $[m, p] \vdash \text{exp}[x \mid y] \mapsto \text{IntVal } b \text{ } vv$ 
    by (metis BinaryExprE bin-eval-new-int new-int.simps eval)
    from e obtain xv where xv:  $[m, p] \vdash x \mapsto \text{IntVal } b \text{ } xv$ 
    apply (subst (asm) unfold-binary-width)
    by force+
    from e obtain yv where yv:  $[m, p] \vdash y \mapsto \text{IntVal } b \text{ } yv$ 
    apply (subst (asm) unfold-binary-width)
    by force+
    have vdef:  $v = \text{intval-or } (\text{IntVal } b \text{ } xv) (\text{IntVal } b \text{ } yv)$ 
    by (metis bin-eval.simps(5) eval(2) evalDet unfold-binary xv yv)
    have  $\forall i. (\text{bit } xv \ i) \mid (\text{bit } yv \ i) = (\text{bit } v \ i)$ 
    by (metis assms bit-and-iff not-down-up-mask-and-zero-implies-zero xv yv)
    then have  $\text{IntVal } b \text{ } xv = \text{intval-or } (\text{IntVal } b \text{ } xv) (\text{IntVal } b \text{ } yv)$ 
    by (smt (verit, ccfv-threshold) and.idem assms bit.conj-disj-distrib eval-unused-bits-zero
```

```

    intval-or.simps(1) new-int.simps new-int-bin.simps not-down-up-mask-and-zero-implies-zero

    word-ao-absorbs(3) xv yv
  then show ?thesis
    using xv vdef by presburger
qed
done

lemma OrRightFallthrough:
  assumes (and (not (↓y)) (↑x)) = 0
  shows exp[x | y] ≥ exp[y]
  using assms
  apply simp apply ((rule allI)+; rule impI)
  subgoal premises eval for m p v
  proof -
    obtain b vv where e: [m, p] ⊢ exp[x | y] ↦ IntVal b vv
      by (metis BinaryExprE bin-eval-new-int new-int.simps eval)
    from e obtain xv where xv: [m, p] ⊢ x ↦ IntVal b xv
      apply (subst (asm) unfold-binary-width)
      by force+
    from e obtain yv where yv: [m, p] ⊢ y ↦ IntVal b yv
      apply (subst (asm) unfold-binary-width)
      by force+
    have vdef: v = intval-or (IntVal b xv) (IntVal b yv)
      by (metis bin-eval.simps(5) eval(2) evalDet unfold-binary xv yv)
    have ∀ i. (bit xv i) | (bit yv i) = (bit yv i)
      by (metis assms bit-and-iff not-down-up-mask-and-zero-implies-zero xv yv)
    then have IntVal b yv = intval-or (IntVal b xv) (IntVal b yv)
      by (metis (no-types, lifting) assms eval-unused-bits-zero intval-or.simps(1)
        new-int.elims
        new-int-bin.elims stamp-mask.not-down-up-mask-and-zero-implies-zero
        stamp-mask-axioms
        word-ao-absorbs(8) xv yv)
    then show ?thesis
      using vdef yv by presburger
  qed
done

end

phase OrNode
  terminating size
begin

lemma bin-or-equal:
  bin[x | x] = bin[x]
  by simp

```

lemma *bin-shift-const-right-helper*:

$x \mid y = y \mid x$

by *simp*

lemma *bin-or-not-operands*:

$(\sim x \mid \sim y) = (\sim(x \& y))$

by *simp*

lemma *val-or-equal*:

assumes $x = \text{new-int } b \ v$

and $(\text{val}[x \mid x] \neq \text{UndefVal})$

shows $\text{val}[x \mid x] = \text{val}[x]$

apply (*cases* x ; *auto*) **using** *bin-or-equal* *assms*

by *auto*+

lemma *val-elim-redundant-false*:

assumes $x = \text{new-int } b \ v$

and $\text{val}[x \mid \text{false}] \neq \text{UndefVal}$

shows $\text{val}[x \mid \text{false}] = \text{val}[x]$

using *assms* **apply** (*cases* x ; *auto*) **by** *presburger*

lemma *val-shift-const-right-helper*:

$\text{val}[x \mid y] = \text{val}[y \mid x]$

apply (*cases* x ; *cases* y ; *auto*)

by (*simp* *add: or.commute*)+

lemma *val-or-not-operands*:

$\text{val}[\sim x \mid \sim y] = \text{val}[\sim(x \& y)]$

apply (*cases* x ; *cases* y ; *auto*)

by (*simp* *add: take-bit-not-take-bit*)

lemma *exp-or-equal*:

$\text{exp}[x \mid x] \geq \text{exp}[x]$

using *val-or-equal* **apply** *auto*

by (*smt* (*verit*, *ccfv-SIG*) *evalDet* *eval-unused-bits-zero* *intval-negate.elims* *int-val-or.simps*(2)

intval-or.simps(6) *intval-or.simps*(7) *new-int.simps* *val-or-equal*)

lemma *exp-elim-redundant-false*:

$\text{exp}[x \mid \text{false}] \geq \text{exp}[x]$

using *val-elim-redundant-false* **apply** *auto*

by (*smt* (*verit*) *Value.sel*(1) *eval-unused-bits-zero* *intval-or.elims* *new-int.simps*

new-int-bin.simps *val-elim-redundant-false*)

Optimisations

optimization *OrEqual*: $x \mid x \mapsto x$

```

    by (meson exp-or-equal)

optimization OrShiftConstantRight: ((const x) | y)  $\mapsto$  y | (const x) when  $\neg$ (is-ConstantExpr y)
  using size-flip-binary apply force
  apply auto
  by (simp add: BinaryExpr unfold-const val-shift-const-right-helper)

optimization EliminateRedundantFalse: x | false  $\mapsto$  x
  by (meson exp-elim-redundant-false)

optimization OrNotOperands: ( $\sim$ x |  $\sim$ y)  $\mapsto$   $\sim$ (x & y)
  apply (metis add-2-eq-Suc' less-SucI not-add-less1 not-less-eq size-binary-const size-non-add)
  apply auto
  by (metis BinaryExpr UnaryExpr bin-eval.simps(4) intval-not.simps(2) unary-eval.simps(3)

      val-or-not-operands)

optimization OrLeftFallthrough:
  x | y  $\mapsto$  x when ((and (not (IExpr-down x)) (IExpr-up y)) = 0)
  using simple-mask.OrLeftFallthrough by blast

optimization OrRightFallthrough:
  x | y  $\mapsto$  y when ((and (not (IExpr-down y)) (IExpr-up x)) = 0)
  using simple-mask.OrRightFallthrough by blast

end

end

```

1.10 ShiftNode Phase

```

theory ShiftPhase
  imports
    Common
  begin

  phase ShiftNode
    terminating size
  begin

  fun intval-log2 :: Value  $\Rightarrow$  Value where
    intval-log2 (IntVal b v) = IntVal b (word-of-int (SOME e. v=2e)) |
    intval-log2 - = UndefVal

  fun in-bounds :: Value  $\Rightarrow$  int  $\Rightarrow$  int  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
    in-bounds (IntVal b v) l h = (l < sint v  $\wedge$  sint v < h) |

```

in-bounds - l h = False

lemma

assumes *in-bounds (intval-log2 val-c) 0 32*

shows *intval-left-shift x (intval-log2 val-c) = intval-mul x val-c*

apply (*cases val-c; auto*) **using** *intval-left-shift.simps(1) intval-mul.simps(1) intval-log2.simps(1)*

sorry

lemma *e-intval:*

n = intval-log2 val-c ∧ in-bounds n 0 32 ⟶

intval-left-shift x (intval-log2 val-c) =

intval-mul x val-c

proof (*rule impI*)

assume *n = intval-log2 val-c ∧ in-bounds n 0 32*

show *intval-left-shift x (intval-log2 val-c) =*

intval-mul x val-c

proof (*cases ∃ v . val-c = IntVal 32 v*)

case *True*

obtain *vc* **where** *val-c = IntVal 32 vc*

using *True* **by** *blast*

then have *n = IntVal 32 (word-of-int (SOME e. vc=2^e))*

using *⟨n = intval-log2 val-c ∧ in-bounds n 0 32⟩ intval-log2.simps(1)* **by**

presburger

then show *?thesis* **sorry**

next

case *False*

then have *∃ v . val-c = IntVal 64 v*

sorry

then obtain *vc* **where** *val-c = IntVal 64 vc*

by *auto*

then have *n = IntVal 64 (word-of-int (SOME e. vc=2^e))*

using *⟨n = intval-log2 val-c ∧ in-bounds n 0 32⟩ intval-log2.simps(1)* **by**

presburger

then show *?thesis* **sorry**

qed

qed

optimization *e:*

*x * (const c) ⟶ x << (const n) when (n = intval-log2 c ∧ in-bounds n 0 32)*

using *e-intval*

using *BinaryExprE ConstantExprE bin-eval.simps(2,7)* **sorry**

end

end

1.11 SignedDivNode Phase

theory *SignedDivPhase*

imports

Common

begin

phase *SignedDivNode*

terminating *size*

begin

lemma *val-division-by-one-is-self-32*:

assumes $x = \text{new-int } 32 \ v$

shows $\text{intval-div } x \ (\text{IntVal } 32 \ 1) = x$

using *assms* **apply** (*cases* x ; *auto*)

by (*simp add: take-bit-signed-take-bit*)

end

end

1.12 SignedRemNode Phase

theory *SignedRemPhase*

imports

Common

begin

phase *SignedRemNode*

terminating *size*

begin

lemma *val-remainder-one*:

assumes $\text{intval-mod } x \ (\text{IntVal } 32 \ 1) \neq \text{UndefVal}$

shows $\text{intval-mod } x \ (\text{IntVal } 32 \ 1) = \text{IntVal } 32 \ 0$

using *assms* **apply** (*cases* x ; *auto*) **sorry**

value *word-of-int* (*sint* ($x2::32 \ \text{word}$) *smod* 1)

end

end

1.13 SubNode Phase

```
theory SubPhase
  imports
    Common
    Proofs.StampEvalThms
begin

phase SubNode
  terminating size
begin

lemma bin-sub-after-right-add:
  shows  $((x :: ('a :: len) \text{ word}) + (y :: ('a :: len) \text{ word})) - y = x$ 
  by simp

lemma sub-self-is-zero:
  shows  $(x :: ('a :: len) \text{ word}) - x = 0$ 
  by simp

lemma bin-sub-then-left-add:
  shows  $(x :: ('a :: len) \text{ word}) - (x + (y :: ('a :: len) \text{ word})) = -y$ 
  by simp

lemma bin-sub-then-left-sub:
  shows  $(x :: ('a :: len) \text{ word}) - (x - (y :: ('a :: len) \text{ word})) = y$ 
  by simp

lemma bin-subtract-zero:
  shows  $(x :: 'a :: len \text{ word}) - (0 :: 'a :: len \text{ word}) = x$ 
  by simp

lemma bin-sub-negative-value:
   $(x :: ('a :: len) \text{ word}) - (-(y :: ('a :: len) \text{ word})) = x + y$ 
  by simp

lemma bin-sub-self-is-zero:
   $(x :: ('a :: len) \text{ word}) - x = 0$ 
  by simp

lemma bin-sub-negative-const:
   $(x :: 'a :: len \text{ word}) - (-(y :: 'a :: len \text{ word})) = x + y$ 
  by simp

lemma val-sub-after-right-add-2:
  assumes  $x = \text{new-int } b \ v$ 
  assumes  $\text{val}[(x + y) - y] \neq \text{UndefVal}$ 
  shows  $\text{val}[(x + y) - y] = \text{val}[x]$ 
```

```

using bin-sub-after-right-add
using assms apply (cases x; cases y; auto)
by (metis (full-types) intval-sub.simps(2))

lemma val-sub-after-left-sub:
  assumes  $\text{val}[(x - y) - x] \neq \text{UndefVal}$ 
  shows  $\text{val}[(x - y) - x] = \text{val}[-y]$ 
  using assms apply (cases x; cases y; auto)
  using intval-sub.elims by fastforce

lemma val-sub-then-left-sub:
  assumes  $y = \text{new-int } b \ v$ 
  assumes  $\text{val}[x - (x - y)] \neq \text{UndefVal}$ 
  shows  $\text{val}[x - (x - y)] = \text{val}[y]$ 
  using assms apply (cases x; cases y; auto)
  by (metis (mono-tags) intval-sub.simps(5))

lemma val-subtract-zero:
  assumes  $x = \text{new-int } b \ v$ 
  assumes  $\text{intval-sub } x \ (\text{IntVal } b \ 0) \neq \text{UndefVal}$ 
  shows  $\text{intval-sub } x \ (\text{IntVal } b \ 0) = \text{val}[x]$ 
  using assms by (induction x; simp)

lemma val-zero-subtract-value:
  assumes  $x = \text{new-int } b \ v$ 
  assumes  $\text{intval-sub } (\text{IntVal } b \ 0) \ x \neq \text{UndefVal}$ 
  shows  $\text{intval-sub } (\text{IntVal } b \ 0) \ x = \text{val}[-x]$ 
  using assms by (induction x; simp)

lemma val-sub-then-left-add:
  assumes  $\text{val}[x - (x + y)] \neq \text{UndefVal}$ 
  shows  $\text{val}[x - (x + y)] = \text{val}[-y]$ 
  using assms apply (cases x; cases y; auto)
  by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) intval-sub.simps(5))

lemma val-sub-negative-value:
  assumes  $\text{val}[x - (-y)] \neq \text{UndefVal}$ 
  shows  $\text{val}[x - (-y)] = \text{val}[x + y]$ 
  using assms by (cases x; cases y; auto)

lemma val-sub-self-is-zero:
  assumes  $x = \text{new-int } b \ v \wedge \text{val}[x - x] \neq \text{UndefVal}$ 
  shows  $\text{val}[x - x] = \text{new-int } b \ 0$ 
  using assms by (cases x; auto)

lemma val-sub-negative-const:
  assumes  $y = \text{new-int } b \ v \wedge \text{val}[x - (-y)] \neq \text{UndefVal}$ 
  shows  $\text{val}[x - (-y)] = \text{val}[x + y]$ 
  using assms by (cases x; cases y; auto)

```

```

lemma exp-sub-after-right-add:
  shows  $\exp[(x + y) - y] \geq \exp[x]$ 
  apply auto
  by (smt (verit) evalDet eval-unused-bits-zero intval-add.elims new-int.simps
    val-sub-after-right-add-2)

lemma exp-sub-after-right-add2:
  shows  $\exp[(x + y) - x] \geq \exp[y]$ 
  using exp-sub-after-right-add apply auto
  by (smt (z3) Value.inject(1) diff-eq-eq evalDet eval-unused-bits-zero intval-add.elims

    intval-sub.elims new-int.simps new-int-bin.simps take-bit-dist-subL bin-eval.simps(1)

    bin-eval.simps(3) intval-add-sym unfold-binary)

lemma exp-sub-negative-value:
   $\exp[x - (-y)] \geq \exp[x + y]$ 
  apply simp
  by (smt (verit) bin-eval.simps(1) bin-eval.simps(3) evaltree-not-undef unary-eval.simps(2)

    unfold-binary unfold-unary val-sub-negative-value)

lemma exp-sub-then-left-sub:
   $\exp[x - (x - y)] \geq \exp[y]$ 
  using val-sub-then-left-sub apply auto
  subgoal premises p for m p xa xaa ya
  proof–
    obtain xa where xa:  $[m, p] \vdash x \mapsto xa$ 
    using p(2) by blast
    obtain ya where ya:  $[m, p] \vdash y \mapsto ya$ 
    using p(5) by auto
    obtain xaa where xaa:  $[m, p] \vdash x \mapsto xaa$ 
    using p(2) by blast
    have 1:  $\text{val}[xa - (xaa - ya)] \neq \text{UndefVal}$ 
    by (metis evalDet p(2) p(3) p(4) p(5) xa xaa ya)
    then have  $\text{val}[xaa - ya] \neq \text{UndefVal}$ 
    by auto
    then have  $[m, p] \vdash y \mapsto \text{val}[xa - (xaa - ya)]$ 
    by (metis 1 Value.exhaust evalDet eval-unused-bits-zero evaltree-not-undef
      intval-sub.simps(6) intval-sub.simps(7) new-int.simps p(5) val-sub-then-left-sub
xa xaa
      ya)
    then show ?thesis
    by (metis evalDet p(2) p(4) p(5) xa xaa ya)
  qed
done

```

thm-oracles *exp-sub-then-left-sub*

Optimisations

optimization *SubAfterAddRight*: $((x + y) - y) \mapsto x$
using *exp-sub-after-right-add* **by** *blast*

optimization *SubAfterAddLeft*: $((x + y) - x) \mapsto y$
using *exp-sub-after-right-add2* **by** *blast*

optimization *SubAfterSubLeft*: $((x - y) - x) \mapsto -y$
apply (*metis Suc-lessI add-2-eq-Suc' add-less-cancel-right less-trans-Suc not-add-less1*

size-binary-const size-binary-lhs size-binary-rhs size-non-add)
apply *auto*
by (*metis evalDet unary-eval.simps(2) unfold-unary val-sub-after-left-sub*)

optimization *SubThenAddLeft*: $(x - (x + y)) \mapsto -y$
apply *auto*
by (*metis evalDet unary-eval.simps(2) unfold-unary val-sub-then-left-add*)

optimization *SubThenAddRight*: $(y - (x + y)) \mapsto -x$
apply *auto*
by (*metis evalDet intval-add-sym unary-eval.simps(2) unfold-unary val-sub-then-left-add*)

optimization *SubThenSubLeft*: $(x - (x - y)) \mapsto y$
using *size-simps* **apply** *simp*
using *exp-sub-then-left-sub* **by** *blast*

optimization *SubtractZero*: $(x - (\text{const IntVal } b \ 0)) \mapsto x$
apply *auto*
by (*smt (verit) add.right-neutral diff-add-cancel eval-unused-bits-zero intval-sub.elims*
intval-word.simps new-int.simps new-int-bin.simps)

thm-oracles *SubtractZero*

optimization *SubNegativeValue*: $(x - (-y)) \mapsto x + y$
apply (*metis add-2-eq-Suc' less-SucI less-add-Suc1 not-less-eq size-binary-const*
size-non-add)
using *exp-sub-negative-value* **by** *simp*

thm-oracles *SubNegativeValue*

lemma *negate-idempotent*:
assumes $x = \text{IntVal } b \ v \wedge \text{take-bit } b \ v = v$
shows $x = \text{val}[-(-x)]$

```

using assms
using is-IntVal-def by force

optimization ZeroSubtractValue:  $((\text{const IntVal } b \ 0) - x) \mapsto (-x)$ 
                                     when  $(\text{wf-stamp } x \wedge \text{stamp-expr } x = \text{IntegerStamp } b \ \text{lo} \ \text{hi} \wedge \neg(\text{is-ConstantExpr } x))$ 
defer
apply auto unfolding wf-stamp-def
apply  $(\text{smt } (\text{verit}) \ \text{diff-0} \ \text{intval-negate.simps}(1) \ \text{intval-sub.elims} \ \text{intval-word.simps}$ 
                                      $\text{new-int-bin.simps} \ \text{unary-eval.simps}(2) \ \text{unfold-unary})$ 
using add-2-eq-Suc' size.simps(2) size-flip-binary by presburger

optimization SubSelfIsZero:  $(x - x) \mapsto \text{const IntVal } b \ 0$  when
                                      $(\text{wf-stamp } x \wedge \text{stamp-expr } x = \text{IntegerStamp } b \ \text{lo} \ \text{hi})$ 
apply simp-all
apply auto
using IRExpr.disc(42) One-nat-def size-non-const apply presburger
by  $(\text{smt } (\text{verit}, \text{best}) \ \text{wf-value-def} \ \text{ConstantExpr} \ \text{evalDet} \ \text{eval-bits-1-64} \ \text{eval-unused-bits-zero}$ 
                                      $\text{new-int.simps} \ \text{take-bit-of-0} \ \text{val-sub-self-is-zero} \ \text{validDefIntConst} \ \text{valid-int} \ \text{wf-stamp-def})$ 

end

end

### 1.14 XorNode Phase

theory XorPhase
imports
   Common
   Proofs.StampEvalThms
begin

phase XorNode
terminating size
begin

lemma bin-xor-self-is-false:
   $\text{bin}[x \oplus x] = 0$ 
by simp

```

```

lemma bin-xor-commute:
  bin[x  $\oplus$  y] = bin[y  $\oplus$  x]
  by (simp add: xor.commute)

lemma bin-eliminate-redundant-false:
  bin[x  $\oplus$  0] = bin[x]
  by simp

lemma val-xor-self-is-false:
  assumes val[x  $\oplus$  x]  $\neq$  UndefVal
  shows val-to-bool (val[x  $\oplus$  x]) = False
  using assms by (cases x; auto)

lemma val-xor-self-is-false-2:
  assumes (val[x  $\oplus$  x])  $\neq$  UndefVal
  and x = IntVal 32 v
  shows val[x  $\oplus$  x] = bool-to-val False
  using assms by (cases x; auto)

lemma val-xor-self-is-false-3:
  assumes val[x  $\oplus$  x]  $\neq$  UndefVal  $\wedge$  x = IntVal 64 v
  shows val[x  $\oplus$  x] = IntVal 64 0
  using assms by (cases x; auto)

lemma val-xor-commute:
  val[x  $\oplus$  y] = val[y  $\oplus$  x]
  apply (cases x; cases y; auto)
  by (simp add: xor.commute)+

lemma val-eliminate-redundant-false:
  assumes x = new-int b v
  assumes val[x  $\oplus$  (bool-to-val False)]  $\neq$  UndefVal
  shows val[x  $\oplus$  (bool-to-val False)] = x
  using assms apply (cases x; auto)
  by meson

lemma exp-xor-self-is-false:
  assumes wf-stamp x  $\wedge$  stamp-expr x = default-stamp
  shows exp[x  $\oplus$  x]  $\geq$  exp[false]
  using assms apply auto unfolding wf-stamp-def
  by (smt (z3) validDefIntConst IntVal0 Value.inject(1) bool-to-val.simps(2)
    constantAsStamp.simps(1) evalDet int-signed-value-bounds new-int.simps unf-
fold-const
    val-xor-self-is-false-2 valid-int valid-stamp.simps(1) valid-value.simps(1) wf-value-def)

lemma exp-eliminate-redundant-false:

```

```

shows  $\exp[x \oplus \text{false}] \geq \exp[x]$ 
using val-eliminate-redundant-false apply auto
subgoal premises p for m p xa
proof -
  obtain xa where xa:  $[m, p] \vdash x \mapsto xa$ 
  using p(2) by blast
  then have  $\text{val}[xa \oplus (\text{IntVal } 32 \ 0)] \neq \text{UndefVal}$ 
  using evalDet p(2) p(3) by blast
  then have  $[m, p] \vdash x \mapsto \text{val}[xa \oplus (\text{IntVal } 32 \ 0)]$ 
  apply (cases xa; auto) using eval-unused-bits-zero xa by auto
  then show ?thesis
  using evalDet p(2) xa by blast
qed
done

```

Optimisations

```

optimization XorSelfIsFalse:  $(x \oplus x) \mapsto \text{false}$  when
  (wf-stamp x  $\wedge$  stamp-expr x = default-stamp)
  using size-non-const apply force
  using exp-xor-self-is-false by auto

optimization XorShiftConstantRight:  $((\text{const } x) \oplus y) \mapsto y \oplus (\text{const } x)$  when
 $\neg(\text{is-ConstantExpr } y)$ 
  using size-flip-binary apply force
  unfolding le-expr-def using val-xor-commute
  by auto

optimization EliminateRedundantFalse:  $(x \oplus \text{false}) \mapsto x$ 
  using exp-eliminate-redundant-false by blast

```

end

end

1.15 NegateNode Phase

```

theory NegatePhase
  imports
    Common
begin

  phase NegateNode
    terminating size
begin

```

lemma *bin-negative-cancel*:
 $-1 * (-1 * ((x::('a::len) \text{ word}))) = x$
by *auto*

lemma *val-negative-cancel*:
assumes *intval-negate* (*new-int* *b* *v*) \neq *UndefVal*
shows $\text{val}[-(-(\text{new-int } b \ v))] = \text{val}[\text{new-int } b \ v]$
using *assms* **by** *simp*

lemma *val-distribute-sub*:
assumes $x \neq \text{UndefVal} \wedge y \neq \text{UndefVal}$
shows $\text{val}[-(x - y)] = \text{val}[y - x]$
using *assms* **by** (*cases* *x*; *cases* *y*; *auto*)

lemma *exp-distribute-sub*:
shows $\text{exp}[-(x - y)] \geq \text{exp}[y - x]$
using *val-distribute-sub* **apply** *auto*
using *evaltree-not-undef* **by** *auto*

thm-oracles *exp-distribute-sub*

lemma *exp-negative-cancel*:
shows $\text{exp}[-(-x)] \geq \text{exp}[x]$
using *val-negative-cancel* **apply** *auto*
by (*metis* (*no-types*, *opaque-lifting*) *eval-unused-bits-zero* *intval-negate.elims*
intval-negate.simps(1) *minus-equation-iff* *new-int.simps* *take-bit-dist-neg*)

lemma *exp-negative-shift*:
assumes *stamp-expr* $x = \text{IntegerStamp } b' \text{ lo } hi$
and $\text{unat } y = (b' - 1)$
shows $\text{exp}[-(x \gg (\text{const } (\text{new-int } b \ y)))] \geq \text{exp}[x \gg \gg (\text{const } (\text{new-int } b \ y))]$
apply *auto*
subgoal *premises* *p* **for** *m* *p* *xa*
proof $-$
obtain *xa* **where** *xa*: $[m, p] \vdash x \mapsto xa$
using *p*(2) **by** *auto*
then **have** 1: *intval-negate* (*intval-right-shift* *xa* (*IntVal* *b* (*take-bit* *b* *y*))) \neq *UndefVal*
using *evalDet* *p*(1) *p*(2) **by** *blast*
then **have** 2: *intval-right-shift* *xa* (*IntVal* *b* (*take-bit* *b* *y*))) \neq *UndefVal*
by *auto*
then **have** 3: $-((2::\text{int}) \wedge b \text{ div } (2::\text{int})) \sqsubseteq \text{sint } (\text{signed-take-bit } (b - \text{Suc } (0::\text{nat})) (\text{take-bit } b \ y))$
by (*smt* (*verit*, *del-insts*) *One-nat-def* *diff-le-self* *gr0I* *half-nonnegative-int-iff*
linorder-not-le *lower-bounds-equiv* *power-increasing-iff* *signed-0* *signed-take-bit-int-greater-eq-minus-exp-word*
signed-take-bit-of-0 *sint-greater-eq* *take-bit-0*)


```

    then have 4: sint (signed-take-bit (b - Suc (0::nat)) (take-bit b y)) < (2::int)
    ^ b div (2::int)
    by (metis Suc-le-lessD Suc-pred eval-bits-1-64 int-power-div-base p(4) signed-take-bit-int-less-exp-word
size64 unfold-const wsst-TYs(3) zero-less-numeral)
    then have 5: (0::nat) < b
    using eval-bits-1-64 p(4) by blast
    then have 6: b  $\sqsubseteq$  (64::nat)
    using eval-bits-1-64 p(4) by blast
    then have 7: [m,p]  $\vdash$  BinaryExpr BinURightShift x
    (ConstantExpr (IntVal b (take-bit b y)))  $\mapsto$ 
    intval-negate (intval-right-shift xa (IntVal b (take-bit b y)))
    apply (cases y; auto)

subgoal premises p for n
proof -
  have sg1: y = word-of-nat n
  by (simp add: p(1))
  then have sg2: n < (18446744073709551616::nat)
  by (simp add: p(2))
  then have sg3: b  $\sqsubseteq$  (64::nat)
  by (simp add: 6)
  then have sg4: [m,p]  $\vdash$  BinaryExpr BinURightShift x
  (ConstantExpr (IntVal b (take-bit b (word-of-nat n))))  $\mapsto$ 
  intval-negate (intval-right-shift xa (IntVal b (take-bit b (word-of-nat
n))))))
  sorry
  then show ?thesis
  by simp
qed
done
then show ?thesis
by (metis evalDet p(2) xa)
qed
done

```

Optimisations

```

optimization NegateCancel:  $\neg(\neg(x)) \mapsto x$ 
using exp-negative-cancel by blast

```

```

optimization DistributeSubtraction:  $\neg(x - y) \mapsto (y - x)$ 
apply (smt (z3) add.left-commute add-2-eq-Suc' add-diff-cancel-left' is-ConstantExpr-def

less-Suc-eq-0-disj plus-1-eq-Suc size.simps(11) size-binary-const size-non-add

zero-less-diff)
using exp-distribute-sub by simp

```

```

optimization NegativeShift:  $-(x >> (\text{const } (\text{new-int } b \ y))) \mapsto x >>> (\text{const } (\text{new-int } b \ y))$ 
   $\text{when } (\text{stamp-expr } x = \text{IntegerStamp } b' \ \text{lo } \text{hi} \wedge \text{unat } y = (b' - 1))$ 
  using exp-negative-shift by simp

end

end
theory TacticSolving
  imports Common
begin

fun size :: IRExpr  $\Rightarrow$  nat where
  size (UnaryExpr op e) = (size e) * 2 |
  size (BinaryExpr BinAdd x y) = (size x) + ((size y) * 2) |
  size (BinaryExpr op x y) = (size x) + (size y) |
  size (ConditionalExpr cond t f) = (size cond) + (size t) + (size f) + 2 |
  size (ConstantExpr c) = 1 |
  size (ParameterExpr ind s) = 2 |
  size (LeafExpr nid s) = 2 |
  size (ConstantVar c) = 2 |
  size (VariableExpr x s) = 2

lemma size-pos[simp]:  $0 < \text{size } y$ 
  apply (induction y; auto?)
  subgoal premises prems for op a b
    using prems by (induction op; auto)
  done

phase TacticSolving
  terminating size
begin

```

1.16 AddNode

```

lemma value-approx-implies-refinement:
  assumes lhs  $\approx$  rhs
  assumes  $\forall m \ p \ v. ([m, p] \vdash \text{elhs} \mapsto v) \longrightarrow v = \text{lhs}$ 
  assumes  $\forall m \ p \ v. ([m, p] \vdash \text{erhs} \mapsto v) \longrightarrow v = \text{rhs}$ 
  assumes  $\forall m \ p \ v1 \ v2. ([m, p] \vdash \text{elhs} \mapsto v1) \longrightarrow ([m, p] \vdash \text{erhs} \mapsto v2)$ 
  shows  $\text{elhs} \geq \text{erhs}$ 
  using assms unfolding le-expr-def well-formed-equal-def
  using evalDet evaltree-not-undef
  by metis

method explore-cases for x y :: Value =
  (cases x; cases y; auto)

```

```

method explore-cases-bin for  $x :: IRExpr =$ 
  (cases  $x$ ; auto)

method obtain-approx-eq for  $lhs\ rhs\ x\ y :: Value =$ 
  (rule meta-mp[where  $P = lhs \approx rhs$ ], defer-tac, explore-cases  $x\ y$ )

method obtain-eval for  $exp :: IRExpr$  and  $val :: Value =$ 
  (rule meta-mp[where  $P = \bigwedge m\ p\ v. ([m, p] \vdash exp \mapsto v) \implies v = val$ ], defer-tac)

method solve for  $lhs\ rhs\ x\ y :: Value =$ 
  (match conclusion in  $size - < size - \Rightarrow \langle simp \rangle$ )?,
  (match conclusion in  $(elhs :: IRExpr) \geq (erhs :: IRExpr)$  for  $elhs\ erhs \Rightarrow \langle$ 
    (obtain-approx-eq  $lhs\ rhs\ x\ y$ )?)

```

print-methods

```

thm BinaryExprE
optimization opt-add-left-negate-to-sub:
   $-x + y \mapsto y - x$ 

  apply (solve  $val[-x1 + y1]\ val[y1 - x1]\ x1\ y1$ )
  apply simp apply auto using evaltree-not-undef sorry

```

1.17 NegateNode

```

lemma val-distribute-sub:
   $val[-(x-y)] \approx val[y-x]$ 
  by (cases  $x$ ; cases  $y$ ; auto)

optimization distribute-sub:  $-(x-y) \mapsto (y-x)$ 
  apply simp
  using val-distribute-sub apply simp
  using unfold-binary unfold-unary by auto

```

```

lemma val-xor-self-is-false:
  assumes  $x = IntVal\ 32\ v$ 
  shows  $val[x \oplus x] \approx val[false]$ 
  apply simp using assms by (cases  $x$ ; auto)

```

```

definition wf-stamp  $:: IRExpr \Rightarrow bool$  where
  wf-stamp  $e = (\forall m\ p\ v. ([m, p] \vdash e \mapsto v) \longrightarrow valid-value\ v\ (stamp-expr\ e))$ 

```

```

lemma exp-xor-self-is-false:
  assumes  $stamp-expr\ x = IntegerStamp\ 32\ l\ h$ 
  assumes wf-stamp  $x$ 
  shows  $exp[x \oplus x] \geq exp[false]$ 

```

unfolding *le-expr-def* **using** *assms* **unfolding** *wf-stamp-def*
using *val-xor-self-is-false* *evaltree-not-undef*
by (*smt* (*z3*) *wf-value-def* *bin-eval.simps(6)* *bin-eval-new-int* *constantAsStamp.simps(1)* *evalDet*
int-signed-value-bounds *new-int.simps* *new-int-take-bits* *unfold-binary* *un-*
fold-const *valid-int*
valid-stamp.simps(1) *valid-value.simps(1)* *well-formed-equal-defn*)

lemma *val-or-commute[simp]*:
 $val[x \mid y] = val[y \mid x]$
apply (*cases* *x*; *cases* *y*; *auto*)
by (*simp* *add: or.commute*)**+**

lemma *val-xor-commute[simp]*:
 $val[x \oplus y] = val[y \oplus x]$
apply (*cases* *x*; *cases* *y*; *auto*)
by (*simp* *add: word-bw-comms(3)*)

lemma *exp-or-commutative*:
 $exp[x \mid y] \geq exp[y \mid x]$
by *auto*

lemma *exp-xor-commutative*:
 $exp[x \oplus y] \geq exp[y \oplus x]$
by *auto*

lemma *OrInverseVal*:
assumes $n = IntVal\ 32\ v$
shows $val[n \mid \sim n] \approx new-int\ 32\ (-1)$
apply *simp* **using** *assms* **using** *word-or-not* **apply** (*cases* *n*; *auto*) **using** *take-bit-or*
by (*metis* *bit.disj-cancel-right* *mask-eq-take-bit-minus-one*)

optimization *OrInverse*: $exp[n \mid \sim n] \mapsto (const\ (new-int\ 32\ (not\ 0)))$
when (*stamp-expr* *n* = *IntegerStamp* 32 *l* *h* \wedge *wf-stamp* *n*)
unfolding *size.simps* **apply** (*simp* *add: Suc-lessI*)
apply *auto* **using** *OrInverseVal* **unfolding** *wf-stamp-def*
by (*smt* (*z3*) *wf-value-def* *constantAsStamp.simps(1)* *evalDet* *int-signed-value-bounds*
mask-eq-take-bit-minus-one *new-int.elims* *new-int-take-bits* *unfold-const* *valid-int*
valid-stamp.simps(1) *valid-value.simps(1)* *well-formed-equal-defn*)

optimization *OrInverse2*: $exp[\sim n \mid n] \mapsto (const\ (new-int\ 32\ (not\ 0)))$
when (*stamp-expr* *n* = *IntegerStamp* 32 *l* *h* \wedge *wf-stamp* *n*)
using *OrInverse* *exp-or-commutative* **by** *auto*

```

lemma XorInverseVal:
  assumes  $n = \text{IntVal } 32 \ v$ 
  shows  $\text{val}[n \oplus \sim n] \approx \text{new-int } 32 \ (-1)$ 
  apply simp using assms using word-or-not apply (cases  $n$ ; auto)
  by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) bit.compl-zero bit.xor-compl-right bit.xor-self

    mask-eq-take-bit-minus-one take-bit-xor)

optimization XorInverse:  $\text{exp}[n \oplus \sim n] \mapsto (\text{const } (\text{new-int } 32 \ (\text{not } 0)))$ 
  when (stamp-expr  $n = \text{IntegerStamp } 32 \ l \ h \wedge \text{wf-stamp } n$ )
  unfolding size.simps apply (simp add: Suc-lessI)
  apply auto using XorInverseVal
  by (smt (verit) wf-value-def constantAsStamp.simps(1) evalDet int-signed-value-bounds

    intval-xor.elims mask-eq-take-bit-minus-one new-int.elims new-int-take-bits
unfold-const
    valid-stamp.simps(1) valid-value.simps(1) well-formed-equal-defn wf-stamp-def)

optimization XorInverse2:  $\text{exp}[(\sim n) \oplus n] \mapsto (\text{const } (\text{new-int } 32 \ (\text{not } 0)))$ 
  when (stamp-expr  $n = \text{IntegerStamp } 32 \ l \ h \wedge \text{wf-stamp } n$ )
  using XorInverse exp-xor-commutative by auto

end

end

theory ProofStatus
  imports
    AbsPhase
    AddPhase
    AndPhase
    ConditionalPhase
    MulPhase

    NegatePhase
    NewAnd
    NotPhase
    OrPhase
    ShiftPhase
    SignedDivPhase
    SignedRemPhase
    SubPhase
    TacticSolving
    XorPhase
  begin

  declare  $[[\text{show-types=false}]]$ 
  print-phases
  print-phases!

```

```
print-methods

print-theorems

thm opt-add-left-negate-to-sub
thm-oracles AbsNegate

export-phases ⟨Full⟩

end
```