Veriopt

September 13, 2022

Abstract

The Veriopt project aims to prove the optimization pass of the GraalVM compiler. The GraalVM compiler includes a sophisticated Intermediate Representation (IR) in the form of a sea-of-nodes based graph structure. We first define the IR graph structure in the Isabelle/HOL interactive theorem prover. We subsequently give the evaluation of the structure a semantics based on the current understanding of the purpose of each IR graph node. Optimization phases are then encoded including the static analysis passes required for an optimization. Each optimization phase is proved to be correct by proving that a bisimulation exists between the unoptimized and optimized graphs. The following document has been automatically generated from the Isabelle/HOL source to provide a very comprehensive definition of the semantics and optimizations introduced by the Veriopt project.

Contents

| 1 | Optimizations for Abs Nodes | 3 |
|----|--|-----------------|
| 2 | Optimizations for Add Nodes | 8 |
| 3 | Optimizations for And Nodes 3.1 Conditional Expression | 11 14 |
| 4 | Optimizations for Mul Nodes | 17 |
| 5 | Optimizations for Negate Nodes | 23 |
| 6 | Optimizations for Not Nodes | 24 |
| 7 | Optimizations for Or Nodes | 25 |
| 8 | Optimizations for SignedDiv Nodes | 27 |
| 9 | Optimizations for Sub Nodes | 27 |
| 10 | Optimizations for Xor Nodes | 32 |

```
theory AbsPhase
imports
Common
begin
```

phase AbsNode terminating size

 ${f case}\ True$

 ${\bf case}\ \, True$

then show ?thesis

proof $(cases\ v = -(2 \ \widehat{}\ (Nat.size\ v - 1)))$

begin

1 Optimizations for Abs Nodes

```
lemma abs-pos:
 fixes v :: ('a :: len word)
 assumes 0 \le s v
 shows (if v < s \ \theta \ then - v \ else \ v) = v
 by (simp add: assms signed.leD)
lemma abs-neg:
 fixes v :: ('a :: len word)
 assumes v < s \theta
 assumes -(2 \cap (Nat.size\ v-1)) < s\ v
 shows (if v < s \ \theta then -v else v) = -v \land \theta < s - v
 \mathbf{by}\;(smt\;(verit,\;ccfv\text{-}SIG)\;assms(1)\;assms(2)\;signed\text{-}take\text{-}bit\text{-}int\text{-}greater\text{-}eq\text{-}minus\text{-}exp
    signed-take-bit-int-greater-eq-self-iff\ sint-0\ sint-word-ariths(4)\ word-sless-alt)
lemma abs-max-neg:
 fixes v :: ('a :: len word)
 assumes v < s \theta
 \mathbf{assumes} - (2 \ \widehat{} \ (Nat.size \ v - 1)) = v
 shows -v = v
 using assms
  by (metis One-nat-def add.inverse-neutral double-eq-zero-iff mult-minus-right
size-word.rep-eq)
lemma final-abs:
 fixes v :: ('a :: len word)
 assumes take-bit (Nat.size v) v = v
 assumes -(2 \cap (Nat.size\ v-1)) \neq v
 shows 0 \le s (if v < s 0 then -v else v)
proof (cases v < s \theta)
```

```
then show ?thesis using abs-max-neg
     using assms by presburger
 next
   case False
   then have -(2 \cap (Nat.size\ v-1)) < s\ v
     unfolding word-sless-def using signed-take-bit-int-greater-self-iff
       by (smt (verit, best) One-nat-def diff-less double-eq-zero-iff len-gt-0 lessI
less-irrefl
        mult-minus-right neg-equal-0-iff-equal signed.rep-eq signed-of-int
        signed-take-bit-int-greater-eq-self-iff\ signed-word-eqI\ sint-0\ sint-range-size
        sint-sbintrunc' sint-word-ariths(4) size-word.rep-eq unsigned-0 word-2p-lem
        word-sless.rep-eq word-sless-def)
   then show ?thesis
     using abs-neg abs-pos signed.nless-le by auto
 qed
next
 {f case} False
 then show ?thesis using abs-pos by auto
qed
lemma wf-abs: is-IntVal x \Longrightarrow intval-abs x \ne UndefVal
 using intval-abs.simps unfolding new-int.simps
 using is-IntVal-def by force
fun bin-abs :: 'a :: len word <math>\Rightarrow 'a :: len word where
 bin-abs\ v = (if\ (v < s\ 0)\ then\ (-v)\ else\ v)
lemma val-abs-zero:
 intval-abs (new-int b \theta) = new-int b \theta
 by simp
lemma less-eq-zero:
 assumes val-to-bool (val[(IntVal\ b\ 0) < (IntVal\ b\ v)])
 shows int-signed-value b \ v > 0
 using assms unfolding intval-less-than.simps(1) apply simp
 by (metis bool-to-val.elims val-to-bool.simps(1))
lemma val-abs-pos:
 assumes val-to-bool(val[(new\text{-}int\ b\ 0) < (new\text{-}int\ b\ v)])
 shows intval-abs (new-int b v) = (new-int b v)
 using assms using less-eq-zero unfolding intval-abs.simps new-int.simps
 by force
lemma val-abs-neg:
```

```
assumes val-to-bool(val[(new\text{-}int\ b\ v) < (new\text{-}int\ b\ 0)])
   shows intval-abs (new-int b v) = intval-negate (new-int b v)
   using assms using less-eq-zero unfolding intval-abs.simps new-int.simps
   by force
lemma val-bool-unwrap:
   val-to-bool (bool-to-val v) = v
   by (metis bool-to-val.elims one-neg-zero val-to-bool.simps(1))
lemma take-bit-unwrap:
   b = 64 \Longrightarrow take-bit \ b \ (v1::64 \ word) = v1
   by (metis size64 size-word.rep-eq take-bit-length-eq)
lemma bit-less-eq-def:
   fixes v1 v2 :: 64 word
  assumes b \le 64
  shows sint (signed-take-bit (b - Suc (0::nat)) (take-bit b v1))
      < sint (signed-take-bit (b - Suc (0::nat)) (take-bit b v2)) \longleftrightarrow
       signed-take-bit (63::nat) (Word.rep v1) < signed-take-bit (63::nat) (Word.rep
v2)
   using assms sorry
lemma less-eq-def:
  shows val-to-bool(val[(new\text{-}int\ b\ v1) < (new\text{-}int\ b\ v2)]) \longleftrightarrow v1 < s\ v2
  unfolding new-int.simps intval-less-than.simps bool-to-val-bin.simps bool-to-val.simps
int-signed-value.simps apply (simp add: val-bool-unwrap)
   apply auto unfolding word-sless-def apply auto
   unfolding signed-def apply auto using bit-less-eq-def
  apply (metis bot-nat-0.extremum take-bit-0)
  by (metis bit-less-eq-def bot-nat-0.extremum take-bit-0)
lemma val-abs-always-pos:
   assumes intval-abs (new-int b v) = (new-int b v')
   shows 0 \le s \ v'
   using assms
proof (cases v = \theta)
   case True
   then have v' = 0
      using val-abs-zero assms
        by (smt (verit, ccfv-threshold) Suc-diff-1 bit-less-eq-def bot-nat-0.extremum
diff-is-0-eq len-gt-0 l
take-bit-unwrap)
   then show ?thesis by simp
\mathbf{next}
   case neq0: False
   then show ?thesis
   proof (cases\ val\ -to\ -bool(val[(new\ -int\ b\ 0)\ <\ (new\ -int\ b\ v)]))
      case True
```

```
then show ?thesis using less-eq-def
     using assms\ val\mbox{-}abs\mbox{-}pos
      by (smt (verit, ccfv-SIG) One-nat-def Suc-leI bit.compl-one bit-less-eq-def
cancel-comm-monoid-add-class.diff-cancel diff-zero len-qt-0 len-of-numeral-defs(2)
mask-0\ mask-1\ one-le-numeral\ one-neq-zero\ signed-word-eqI\ take-bit-dist-subL\ take-bit-minus-one-eq-mask
take-bit-not-eq-mask-diff take-bit-signed-take-bit zero-le-numeral)
 next
   case False
   then have val-to-bool(val[(new-int b \ v) < (new-int b \ \theta)])
     using neq0 less-eq-def
     by (metis\ signed.neqE)
    then show ?thesis using val-abs-neg less-eq-def unfolding new-int.simps
intval	ext{-}negate.simps
     by (metis signed.nless-le take-bit-0)
 qed
qed
lemma intval-abs-elims:
 assumes intval-abs x \neq UndefVal
 shows \exists t \ v \ . \ x = IntVal \ t \ v \land intval-abs \ x = new-int \ t \ (if int-signed-value \ t \ v <
0 then - v else v
 using assms
 by (meson intval-abs.elims)
lemma wf-abs-new-int:
 assumes intval-abs (IntVal\ t\ v) \neq UndefVal
 shows intval-abs (IntVal\ t\ v) = new-int\ t\ v \lor intval-abs\ (IntVal\ t\ v) = new-int\ t
(-v)
 using assms
 using intval-abs.simps(1) by presburger
lemma mono-undef-abs:
 assumes intval-abs (intval-abs x) \neq UndefVal
 shows intval-abs x \neq UndefVal
 using assms
 by force
lemma val-abs-idem:
 assumes intval-abs(intval-abs(x)) \neq UndefVal
 shows intval-abs(intval-abs(x)) = intval-abs(x)
 using assms
proof -
 obtain b v where in-def: intval-abs x = new-int b v
   using assms intval-abs-elims mono-undef-abs by blast
 then show ?thesis
 proof (cases\ val\ to\ bool(val[(new\ int\ b\ v)\ <\ (new\ int\ b\ 0)]))
```

```
case True
   then have nested: (intval-abs\ (intval-abs\ x)) = new-int\ b\ (-v)
    using val-abs-neg intval-negate.simps in-def
     by simp
   then have x = new\text{-}int \ b \ (-v)
     using in-def True unfolding new-int.simps
   by (smt\ (verit,\ best)\ intval-abs.simps(1)\ less-eq-def\ less-eq-zero\ less-numeral-extra(1)
     mask-1 mask-eq-take-bit-minus-one neg-one.elims neg-one-signed new-int.simps
            one-le-numeral\ one-neq-zero\ signed.neqE\ signed.not-less\ take-bit-of-0
val-abs-always-pos)
   then show ?thesis using val-abs-always-pos
     using True in-def less-eq-def signed.leD
     using signed.nless-le by blast
 next
   case False
   then show ?thesis
     using in-def by force
 qed
qed
lemma val-abs-negate:
 assumes x \neq UndefVal \land intval\text{-}negate \ x \neq UndefVal \land intval\text{-}abs(intval\text{-}negate
x) \neq UndefVal
 shows intval-abs (intval-negate x) = intval-abs x
 using assms apply (cases x; auto)
 apply (metis less-eq-def new-int.simps signed.dual-order.strict-iff-not signed.less-linear
        take-bit-0)
 by (smt (verit, ccfv-threshold) add.inverse-neutral intval-abs.simps(1) less-eq-def
less-eq-zero
   less-numeral-extra(1) mask-1 mask-eq-take-bit-minus-one neg-one.elims neg-one-signed
   new-int.simps one-le-numeral one-neg-zero signed order order-iff-strict take-bit-of-0
     val-abs-always-pos)
Optimisations
optimization AbsIdempotence: abs(abs(x)) \longmapsto abs(x)
 by (metis UnaryExpr unary-eval.simps(1) val-abs-idem)
optimization AbsNegate: (abs(-x)) \longmapsto abs(x)
   apply auto using val-abs-negate
 by (metis evaltree-not-undef unary-eval.simps(1) unfold-unary)
end
```

```
end
theory AddPhase
imports
Common
begin
```

2 Optimizations for Add Nodes

```
phase AddNode
 terminating size
begin
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{binadd\text{-}commute} :
 assumes bin-eval\ BinAdd\ x\ y \neq UndefVal
 shows bin-eval BinAdd x y = bin-eval BinAdd y x
 using assms intval-add-sym by simp
optimization AddShiftConstantRight: ((const v) + y) \mapsto y + (const v) when
\neg (is\text{-}ConstantExpr\ y)
 using size-non-const apply fastforce
 unfolding le-expr-def
 apply (rule \ impI)
 subgoal premises 1
   apply (rule \ all I \ imp I) +
   subgoal premises 2 for m p va
     apply (rule BinaryExprE[OF 2])
    subgoal premises 3 for x ya
      apply (rule BinaryExpr)
      using 3 apply simp
      using 3 apply simp
      using 3 binadd-commute apply auto
      done
    done
   done
 done
optimization AddShiftConstantRight2: ((const\ v) + y) \longmapsto y + (const\ v) when
\neg (is\text{-}ConstantExpr\ y)
 unfolding le-expr-def
  apply (auto simp: intval-add-sym)
 using size-non-const by fastforce
```

```
lemma is-neutral-0 [simp]:
 assumes 1: intval-add (IntVal\ b\ x)\ (IntVal\ b\ 0) \neq UndefVal
 shows intval-add (IntVal b x) (IntVal b 0) = (new-int b x)
 using 1 by auto
optimization AddNeutral: (e + (const (IntVal 32 0))) \mapsto e
  unfolding le-expr-def apply auto
  \mathbf{using}\ is\text{-}neutral\text{-}0\ eval\text{-}unused\text{-}bits\text{-}zero
 by (smt (verit) add-cancel-left-right intval-add.elims val-to-bool.simps(1))
ML-val \langle @\{term \langle x = y \rangle \} \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{NeutralLeftSubVal} :
 assumes e1 = new\text{-}int \ b \ ival
 shows val[(e1 - e2) + e2] \approx e1
 apply simp using assms by (cases e1; cases e2; auto)
optimization RedundantSubAdd: ((e_1 - e_2) + e_2) \mapsto e_1
 apply auto using eval-unused-bits-zero NeutralLeftSubVal
 unfolding well-formed-equal-defn
 by (smt (verit) evalDet intval-sub.elims new-int.elims)
lemma allE2: (\forall x \ y. \ P \ x \ y) \Longrightarrow (P \ a \ b \Longrightarrow R) \Longrightarrow R
 \mathbf{by} \ simp
lemma just-goal2:
 assumes 1: (\forall a b. (intval-add (intval-sub a b) b \neq UndefVal \land a \neq UndefVal)
   intval-add (intval-sub a b) b = a))
 shows (BinaryExpr BinAdd (BinaryExpr BinSub e_1 e_2) e_2) \geq e_1
 unfolding le-expr-def unfold-binary bin-eval.simps
 by (metis 1 evalDet evaltree-not-undef)
optimization RedundantSubAdd2: e_2 + (e_1 - e_2) \longmapsto e_1
  by (smt (verit, del-insts) BinaryExpr BinaryExprE RedundantSubAdd(1) bi-
nadd-commute le-expr-def rewrite-preservation.simps(1))
lemma AddToSubHelperLowLevel:
 shows intval-add (intval-negate e) y = intval-sub y \in (is ?x = ?y)
```

```
by (induction y; induction e; auto)
optimization AddToSub: -e + y \longmapsto y - e
 using AddToSubHelperLowLevel by auto
print-phases
lemma val-redundant-add-sub:
 assumes a = new-int bb ival
 assumes val[b + a] \neq UndefVal
 shows val[(b+a)-b]=a
 using assms apply (cases a; cases b; auto)
 by presburger
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{val-add-right-negate-to-sub} :
 assumes val[x + e] \neq UndefVal
 shows val[x + (-e)] = val[x - e]
 using assms by (cases x; cases e; auto)
\mathbf{lemma}\ exp-add\text{-}left\text{-}negate\text{-}to\text{-}sub\text{:}
exp[-e + y] \ge exp[y - e]
 apply (cases e; cases y; auto)
 using AddToSubHelperLowLevel by auto+
Optimisations
optimization RedundantAddSub: (b + a) - b \mapsto a
  apply auto using val-redundant-add-sub eval-unused-bits-zero
 by (smt (verit) evalDet intval-add.elims new-int.elims)
optimization AddRightNegateToSub: x + -e \longmapsto x - e
  \mathbf{using}\ AddToSubHelperLowLevel\ intval-add-sym\ \mathbf{by}\ auto
optimization AddLeftNegateToSub: -e + y \longmapsto y - e
 using exp-add-left-negate-to-sub by blast
```

end

```
end
theory AndPhase
imports
Common
```

begin

3 Optimizations for And Nodes

```
\mathbf{phase}\ \mathit{AndNode}
  terminating size
begin
{f lemma}\ bin-and-nots:
 (^{\sim}x \& ^{\sim}y) = (^{\sim}(x \mid y))
 by simp
{f lemma}\ bin-and-neutral:
 (x \& ^{\sim} False) = x
 by simp
lemma val-and-equal:
  assumes x = new\text{-}int b v
           val[x \& x] \neq UndefVal
 shows val[x \& x] = x
  using assms by (cases x; auto)
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{val-and-nots}:
  val[^{\sim}x \& ^{\sim}y] = val[^{\sim}(x \mid y)]
  apply (cases x; cases y; auto) by (simp add: take-bit-not-take-bit)
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{val-and-neutral} :
  assumes x = new\text{-}int \ b \ v
           val[x \& ^{\sim}(new\text{-}int \ b' \ \theta)] \neq UndefVal
 shows val[x \& ^{\sim}(new\text{-}int \ b' \ \theta)] = x
  using assms apply (cases x; auto) apply (simp add: take-bit-eq-mask)
  by presburger
lemma val-and-sign-extend:
  assumes e = (1 << In)-1
 shows val[(intval\text{-}sign\text{-}extend\ In\ Out\ x)\ \&\ (IntVal\ 32\ e)] = intval\text{-}zero\text{-}extend\ In\ Out\ x)
  using assms apply (cases x; auto)
 sorry
```

```
\mathbf{lemma}\ val\text{-} and\text{-} sign\text{-} extend\text{-} 2\text{:}
 assumes e = (1 << In)-1 \land intval-and (intval-sign-extend In Out x) (IntVal32)
e) \neq UndefVal
 shows val[(intval\text{-}sign\text{-}extend\ In\ Out\ x)\ \&\ (IntVal\ 32\ e)] = intval\text{-}zero\text{-}extend\ In\ Out\ x)
Out x
  using assms apply (cases x; auto)
 sorry
lemma val-and-zero:
  assumes x = new\text{-}int \ b \ v
  shows val[x \& (IntVal \ b \ \theta)] = IntVal \ b \ \theta
  using assms by (cases x; auto)
lemma exp-and-equal:
  \exp[x\ \&\ x] \ge \exp[x]
  apply auto using val-and-equal eval-unused-bits-zero
  by (smt (verit) evalDet intval-and.elims new-int.elims)
lemma exp-and-nots:
  exp[^{\sim}x \& ^{\sim}y] \ge exp[^{\sim}(x \mid y)]
  apply (cases x; cases y; auto) using val-and-nots
  by fastforce+
definition wf-stamp :: IRExpr \Rightarrow bool where
  wf-stamp e = (\forall m \ p \ v. \ ([m, p] \vdash e \mapsto v) \longrightarrow valid-value \ v \ (stamp-expr \ e))
lemma \ val-and-commute[simp]:
  val[x \& y] = val[y \& x]
  apply (cases x; cases y; auto)
  by (simp\ add:\ word-bw-comms(1))
Optimisations
optimization AndEqual: x \& x \longmapsto x
 using exp-and-equal by blast
optimization And Shift Constant Right: ((const\ x)\ \&\ y) \longmapsto y\ \&\ (const\ x)
                                       when \neg (is\text{-}ConstantExpr\ y)
  using val-and-commute apply auto
 sorry
```

```
optimization And Nots: (^{\sim}x) & (^{\sim}y) \longmapsto ^{\sim}(x \mid y)
   using exp-and-nots by auto
optimization And Sign Extend: Binary Expr Bin And (Unary Expr (Unary Sign Extend
In Out)(x)
                                               (ConstantExpr (IntVal 32 e))
                               \longmapsto (UnaryExpr\ (UnaryZeroExtend\ In\ Out)\ x)
                                             when (e = (1 << In) - 1)
  \mathbf{apply}\ simp\text{-}all
  apply auto
 sorry
optimization And Neutral: (x \& ^{\sim}(const (Int Val \ b \ \theta))) \longmapsto x
  when (wf\text{-}stamp\ x \land stamp\text{-}expr\ x = IntegerStamp\ b\ lo\ hi)
  apply auto using val-and-neutral
 by (smt (verit) Value.sel(1) eval-unused-bits-zero intval-and.elims intval-word.simps
     new-int.simps new-int-bin.simps take-bit-eq-mask)
end
context stamp-mask
begin
lemma And Right Fall through: (((and (not (\downarrow x)) (\uparrow y)) = \theta)) \longrightarrow exp[x \& y] \ge
exp[y]
 apply simp apply (rule impI; (rule allI)+)
 apply (rule\ impI)
 subgoal premises p for m p v
 proof -
   obtain xv where xv: [m, p] \vdash x \mapsto xv
     using p(2) by blast
   obtain yv where yv: [m, p] \vdash y \mapsto yv
     using p(2) by blast
   have v = val[xv \& yv]
     using p(2) xv yv
     by (metis BinaryExprE bin-eval.simps(4) evalDet)
   then have v = yv
     using p(1) not-down-up-mask-and-zero-implies-zero
   by (smt (verit) eval-unused-bits-zero intval-and.elims new-int.elims new-int-bin.elims
p(2) unfold-binary xv yv)
   then show ?thesis using yv by simp
  qed
 done
lemma AndLeftFallthrough: (((and (not (\downarrow y)) (\uparrow x)) = 0)) \longrightarrow exp[x \& y] \ge
```

```
exp[x]
 \mathbf{apply} \ simp \ \mathbf{apply} \ (\mathit{rule} \ \mathit{impI}; \ (\mathit{rule} \ \mathit{allI}) +)
 apply (rule impI)
 subgoal premises p for m p v
 proof -
   obtain xv where xv: [m, p] \vdash x \mapsto xv
     using p(2) by blast
   obtain yv where yv: [m, p] \vdash y \mapsto yv
     using p(2) by blast
   have v = val[xv \& yv]
     using p(2) xv yv
     by (metis BinaryExprE bin-eval.simps(4) evalDet)
   then have v = xv
     using p(1) not-down-up-mask-and-zero-implies-zero
   by (smt (verit) and commute eval-unused-bits-zero intval-and elims new-int.simps
new-int-bin.simps \ p(2) \ unfold-binary \ xv \ yv)
   then show ?thesis using xv by simp
 qed
 done
end
end
3.1
       Conditional Expression
theory ConditionalPhase
 imports
   Common
begin
{f phase} ConditionalNode
 terminating size
begin
lemma negates: is-IntVal e \Longrightarrow val-to-bool (val[e]) \equiv \neg(val-to-bool (val[!e]))
 using intval-logic-negation.simps unfolding logic-negate-def
 sorry
{f lemma} negation-condition-intval:
 assumes e = IntVal b ie
 assumes \theta < b
 shows val[(!e) ? x : y] = val[e ? y : x]
 using assms by (cases e; auto simp: negates logic-negate-def)
optimization NegateConditionFlipBranches: ((!e) ? x : y) \mapsto (e ? y : x)
```

```
apply simp using negation-condition-intval
 by (smt (verit, ccfv-SIG) ConditionalExpr ConditionalExprE Value.collapse Value.exhaust-disc
evaltree-not-undefintval-logic-negation.simps(4)\ intval-logic-negation.simps\ negates
unary-eval.simps(4) unfold-unary)
optimization DefaultTrueBranch: (true ? x : y) \mapsto x.
optimization DefaultFalseBranch: (false ? x : y) \longmapsto y.
optimization Conditional Equal Branches: (e ? x : x) \mapsto x.
definition wff-stamps :: bool where
 wff-stamps = (\forall m \ p \ expr \ val \ . ([m,p] \vdash expr \mapsto val) \longrightarrow valid-value val \ (stamp\text{-}expr
expr))
definition wf-stamp :: IRExpr \Rightarrow bool where
  wf-stamp e = (\forall m \ p \ v. ([m, p] \vdash e \mapsto v) \longrightarrow valid-value v (stamp-expr e))
{f lemma}\ val	ext{-}optimise	ext{-}integer	ext{-}test:
 assumes is-IntVal32 x
 shows intval-conditional (intval-equals val[(x \& (IntVal32 1))] (IntVal32 0))
        (IntVal32\ 0)\ (IntVal32\ 1) =
        val[x \& IntVal32 1]
  apply simp-all
 apply auto
  \textbf{using} \ bool-to-val. elims \ intval-equals. elims \ val-to-bool. simps (1) \ val-to-bool. simps (3) 
 sorry
optimization ConditionalEliminateKnownLess: ((x < y) ? x : y) \mapsto x
                              when (stamp-under\ (stamp-expr\ x)\ (stamp-expr\ y)
                                   \land wf-stamp x \land wf-stamp y)
      apply auto
   using stamp-under.simps wf-stamp-def val-to-bool.simps
   sorry
optimization Conditional Equal Is RHS: ((x \ eq \ y) \ ? \ x : y) \longmapsto y
  apply simp-all apply auto using Canonicalization.intval.simps(1) evalDet
         intval	ext{-}conditional.simps\ evaltree	ext{-}not	ext{-}undef
 by (metis\ (no\text{-}types,\ opaque\text{-}lifting)\ Value.discI(2)\ Value.distinct(1)\ intval-and.simps(3)
intval-equals.simps(2) val-optimise-integer-test val-to-bool.simps(2))
```

```
optimization normalizeX: ((x \ eq \ const \ (IntVal \ 32 \ 0)) \ ?
                                                                    (const\ (IntVal\ 32\ 0)): (const\ (IntVal\ 32\ 1))) \longmapsto x
                                                          when (x = ConstantExpr(IntVal\ 32\ 0) \mid (x = ConstantExpr
(Int Val 32 1)))
    done
optimization normalizeX2: ((x eq (const (IntVal 32 1))) ?
                                                                      (const\ (IntVal\ 32\ 1)): (const\ (IntVal\ 32\ 0))) \longmapsto x
                                                           when (x = ConstantExpr (Int Val 32 0) | (x = ConstantExpr
(Int Val 32 1)))
    done
optimization flip X: ((x \ eq \ (const \ (Int Val \ 32 \ 0))) \ ?
                                                         (const\ (IntVal\ 32\ 1)): (const\ (IntVal\ 32\ 0))) \longmapsto
                                                           x \oplus (const (IntVal 32 1))
                                                        when (x = ConstantExpr (IntVal 32 0) | (x = ConstantExpr
(Int Val 32 1)))
    done
optimization flipX2: ((x eq (const (IntVal 32 1))) ?
                                                           (const\ (IntVal\ 32\ 0)): (const\ (IntVal\ 32\ 1))) \longmapsto
                                                           x \oplus (const (IntVal 32 1))
                                                        when (x = ConstantExpr (IntVal 32 0) | (x = ConstantExpr
(Int Val 32 1)))
    done
optimization OptimiseIntegerTest:
          (((x \& (const (IntVal 32 1))) eq (const (IntVal 32 0))) ?
            (\mathit{const}\ (\mathit{IntVal}\ 32\ 0)): (\mathit{const}\ (\mathit{IntVal}\ 32\ 1))) \longmapsto
              x \& (const (IntVal 32 1))
               when (stamp-expr \ x = default-stamp)
     apply simp-all
      apply auto
    using val-optimise-integer-test sorry
optimization opt-optimise-integer-test-2:
          (((x \& (const (IntVal 32 1))) eq (const (IntVal 32 0))) ?
                                        (const\ (IntVal\ 32\ 0)): (const\ (IntVal\ 32\ 1))) \longmapsto
                                    when (x = ConstantExpr (IntVal 32 0) | (x = ConstantExpr (IntVal 32 0)) | (x = ConstantExpr (IntVal 32 0) | (x = ConstantExpr (IntVal 32 0)) | (x = Consta
32 1)))
    done
```

```
optimization opt-conditional-eliminate-known-less: ((x < y) ? x : y) \mapsto x
                              when (((stamp-under\ (stamp-expr\ x)\ (stamp-expr\ y))\ |
                              ((stpi-upper\ (stamp-expr\ x)) = (stpi-lower\ (stamp-expr\ x))
y))))
                                  \land wf-stamp x \land wf-stamp y)
  unfolding le-expr-def apply auto
 {\bf using} \ stamp-under.simps \ wf-stamp-def
 sorry
end
end
{\bf theory}\ {\it MulPhase}
 imports
    Common
begin
     Optimizations for Mul Nodes
4
phase MulNode
 terminating size
begin
{f lemma}\ bin-eliminate-redundant-negative:
  uminus\ (x:: 'a::len\ word)*uminus\ (y:: 'a::len\ word) = x*y
 \mathbf{by} \ simp
{f lemma}\ bin-multiply-identity:
(x :: 'a :: len word) * 1 = x
 \mathbf{by} \ simp
{\bf lemma}\ bin-multiply-eliminate:
(x :: 'a :: len word) * \theta = \theta
 by simp
\mathbf{lemma}\ \textit{bin-multiply-negative} :
(x :: 'a :: len word) * uminus 1 = uminus x
 by simp
\mathbf{lemma}\ bin\text{-}multiply\text{-}power\text{-}2\text{:}
(x:: 'a::len \ word) * (2^j) = x << j
 by simp
```

```
lemma take-bit64[simp]:
 fixes w :: int64
 shows take-bit 64 w = w
proof -
 have Nat.size w = 64
   by (simp add: size64)
  then show ?thesis
  by (metis lt2p-lem mask-eq-iff take-bit-eq-mask verit-comp-simplify1(2) wsst-TYs(3))
qed
lemma testt:
 fixes a :: nat
 fixes b c :: 64 word
 shows take-bit a (take-bit a (b) * take-bit a (c)) =
       take-bit \ a \ (b * c)
by (smt (verit, ccfv-SIG) take-bit-mult take-bit-of-int unsigned-take-bit-eq word-mult-def)
lemma val-eliminate-redundant-negative:
  assumes val[-x * -y] \neq UndefVal
 shows val[-x * -y] = val[x * y]
 using assms apply (cases x; cases y; auto)
 using testt by auto
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{val-multiply-neutral} :
 assumes x = new\text{-}int \ b \ v
 shows val[x] * (IntVal \ b \ 1) = val[x]
 using assms times-Value-def by force
lemma val-multiply-zero:
 assumes x = new\text{-}int b v
 shows val[x] * (IntVal \ b \ \theta) = IntVal \ b \ \theta
 using assms by (simp add: times-Value-def)
lemma val-multiply-negative:
 assumes x = new\text{-}int \ b \ v
 shows x * intval\text{-}negate (IntVal b 1) = intval\text{-}negate x
 using assms times-Value-def
 \mathbf{by}\;(smt\;(verit)\;Value.disc(1)\;Value.inject(1)\;add.inverse-neutral\;intval-negate.simps(1)
      is-IntVal-def mask-0 mask-eq-take-bit-minus-one new-int.elims of-bool-eq(2)
take\mbox{-}bit\mbox{-}dist\mbox{-}neg
   take-bit-of-1 val-eliminate-redundant-negative val-multiply-neutral val-multiply-zero
     verit-minus-simplify(4) zero-neq-one)
```

```
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{val-MulPower2} :
 fixes i :: 64 word
 assumes y = IntVal\ 64\ (2\ \widehat{\ }unat(i))
          0 < i
 and
          i < 64
 and
          (63 :: int64) = mask 6
 and
          val-to-bool(val[IntVal\ 64\ 0 < x])
 and
          val-to-bool(val[IntVal\ 64\ 0 < y])
 and
 shows x * y = val[x << IntVal 64 i]
 using assms apply (cases x; cases y; auto)
   apply (simp add: times-Value-def)
   subgoal premises p for x2
   proof -
     have 63: (63 :: int64) = mask 6
      using assms(4) by blast
     then have (2::int) \cap 6 = 64
      \mathbf{by} \ eval
     then have uint \ i < (2::int) \ \widehat{\phantom{a}} 6
        by (smt (verit, ccfv-SIG) numeral-Bit0 of-int-numeral one-eq-numeral-iff
p(6) uint-2p
          word-less-def word-not-simps(1) word-of-int-2p)
     then have and i \pmod{6} = i
      using mask-eq-iff by blast
     then show x2 \ll unat i = x2 \ll unat (and i (63::64 word))
      unfolding 63
      by force
   \mathbf{qed}
   done
\mathbf{lemma}\ val\text{-} MulPower2Add1:
 fixes i :: 64 \ word
 assumes y = IntVal 64 ((2 \cap unat(i)) + 1)
          0 < i
 and
 and
          i < 64
 and
          val-to-bool(val[IntVal\ 64\ 0 < x])
          val-to-bool(val[IntVal\ 64\ 0 < y])
 and
 shows x * y = val[(x << IntVal 64 i) + x]
 using assms apply (cases x; cases y; auto)
   apply (simp add: times-Value-def)
   subgoal premises p for x2
 proof -
   have 63: (63 :: int64) = mask 6
    by eval
   then have (2::int) \cap 6 = 64
    by eval
   then have and i \pmod{6} = i
```

```
using mask-eq-iff by (simp\ add:\ less-mask-eq\ p(6))
   then have x2 * ((2::64 \ word) \cap unat \ i + (1::64 \ word)) = (x2 * ((2::64 \ word)))
\hat{} unat i)) + x2
     by (simp add: distrib-left)
   then show x2 * ((2::64 \ word) \cap unat \ i + (1::64 \ word)) = x2 << unat \ (and \ i
(63::64 \ word)) + x2
     by (simp add: 63 \langle and (i::64 word) (mask (6::nat)) = i\rangle)
   qed
 done
lemma val-MulPower2Sub1:
 fixes i :: 64 word
 \mathbf{assumes}\ y = \mathit{IntVal}\ 64\ ((2\ \widehat{\ }\mathit{unat}(i))\ -\ 1)
 and
           0 < i
 and
           i < 64
           val-to-bool(val[IntVal\ 64\ 0 < x])
 and
 and
           val-to-bool(val[IntVal\ 64\ 0 < y])
 shows x * y = val[(x \ll IntVal 64 i) - x]
 using assms apply (cases x; cases y; auto)
   apply (simp add: times-Value-def)
   subgoal premises p for x2
 proof -
   have 63: (63 :: int64) = mask 6
     by eval
   then have (2::int) \cap 6 = 64
     by eval
   then have and i \pmod{6} = i
     using mask\text{-}eq\text{-}iff by (simp \ add: \ less\text{-}mask\text{-}eq \ p(6))
   then have x2 * ((2::64 \ word) \cap unat \ i - (1::64 \ word)) = (x2 * ((2::64 \ word)))
\hat{} unat i)) - x2
     by (simp add: right-diff-distrib')
   then show x2 * ((2::64 \ word) \cap unat \ i - (1::64 \ word)) = x2 << unat \ (and \ i
(63::64 \ word)) - x2
     by (simp add: 63 \langle and (i::64 word) (mask (6::nat)) = i\rangle)
   qed
 done
{\bf lemma}\ val\text{-} distribute\text{-}multiplication:
 assumes x = new\text{-}int \ 64 \ xx \land q = new\text{-}int \ 64 \ qq \land a = new\text{-}int \ 64 \ aa
 shows val[x * (q + a)] = val[(x * q) + (x * a)]
 apply (cases x; cases q; cases a; auto) using distrib-left assms by auto
\mathbf{lemma}\ val\text{-}MulPower2AddPower2:
 fixes i j :: 64 word
```

```
assumes y = IntVal \ 64 \ ((2 \cap unat(i)) + (2 \cap unat(j)))
 \mathbf{and}
         0 < i
 and
          0 < j
         i < 64
 and
          j < 64
 and
 and
          x = new-int 64 xx
 shows x * y = val[(x << IntVal 64 i) + (x << IntVal 64 j)]
 using assms
 proof -
   have 63: (63 :: int64) = mask 6
     by eval
   then have (2::int) \cap 6 = 64
     \mathbf{by} \ eval
   then have n: IntVal\ 64\ ((2 \cap unat(i)) + (2 \cap unat(j))) =
         val[(IntVal\ 64\ (2\ \widehat{\ }unat(i)))+(IntVal\ 64\ (2\ \widehat{\ }unat(j)))]
     using assms by (cases i; cases j; auto)
  then have val[x * ((IntVal\ 64\ (2 \cap unat(i))) + (IntVal\ 64\ (2 \cap unat(j))))] =
         val[(x * IntVal 64 (2 \cap unat(i))) + (x * IntVal 64 (2 \cap unat(j)))]
    using assms val-distribute-multiplication val-MulPower2 by simp
  then have val[(x * IntVal 64 (2 \cap unat(i)))] = val[x << IntVal 64 i]
    using assms val-MulPower2 sorry
   then show ?thesis
      sorry
   qed
lemma exp-multiply-zero-64:
exp[x * (const (IntVal 64 0))] \ge ConstantExpr (IntVal 64 0)
 using val-multiply-zero apply auto
 {\bf using}\ Value.inject(1)\ constant AsStamp.simps(1)\ int-signed-value-bounds\ intval-mul.elims
          mult-zero-right new-int.simps new-int-bin.simps nle-le numeral-eq-Suc
take-bit-of-0
      unfold-const valid-stamp.simps(1) valid-value.simps(1) zero-less-Suc
 by (smt (verit))
lemma exp-multiply-neutral:
exp[x * (const (IntVal \ b \ 1))] \ge x
 using val-multiply-neutral apply auto sorry
lemma exp-MulPower2:
 fixes i :: 64 \ word
 assumes y = ConstantExpr (IntVal 64 (2 ^unat(i)))
 and
          0 < i
 and
          i < 64
 and
          exp[x > (const\ IntVal\ b\ \theta)]
 and
          exp[y > (const\ IntVal\ b\ \theta)]
```

```
shows exp[x * y] \ge exp[x << ConstantExpr (IntVal 64 i)]
 using assms val-MulPower2 apply (cases x; cases y; auto)
 sorry
optimization EliminateRedundantNegative: -x * -y \longmapsto x * y
  apply auto using val-eliminate-redundant-negative bin-eval.simps(2)
 by (metis BinaryExpr)
optimization MulNeutral: x * ConstantExpr (IntVal \ b \ 1) \longmapsto x
 \mathbf{using}\ exp\text{-}multiply\text{-}neutral\ \mathbf{by}\ blast
optimization MulEliminator: x * ConstantExpr (IntVal b 0) <math>\longmapsto const (IntVal b
 apply auto using val-multiply-zero
 using Value.inject(1) constantAsStamp.simps(1) int-signed-value-bounds intval-mul.elims
      mult-zero-right new-int.simps new-int-bin.simps take-bit-of-0 unfold-const
      valid-stamp.simps(1) valid-value.simps(1)
 by (smt (verit))
optimization MulNegate: x * -(const (IntVal \ b \ 1)) \longmapsto -x
 apply auto using val-multiply-negative
 by (smt (verit) Value.distinct(1) Value.sel(1) add.inverse-inverse intval-mul.elims
   intval-negate.simps(1)\ mask-eq-take-bit-minus-one\ new-int.simps\ new-int-bin.simps
     take-bit-dist-neg times-Value-def unary-eval.simps(2) unfold-unary
     val-eliminate-redundant-negative)
optimization MulPower2: x * y \longmapsto x << const (IntVal 64 i)
                         when (i > 0 \land
                              64 > i \land
                               y = (ConstantExpr (IntVal 64 (2 ^unat(i)))))
 sorry
end
end
{\bf theory}\ {\it NegatePhase}
 imports
   Common
begin
```

5 Optimizations for Negate Nodes

```
phase NegateNode
 terminating size
begin
lemma bin-negative-cancel:
-1 * (-1 * ((x::('a::len) word))) = x
 by auto
value (2 :: 32 word) >>> (31 :: nat)
\mathbf{value} - ((2 :: 32 \ word) >> (31 :: nat))
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{bin-negative-shift32} :
 shows -((x :: 32 \ word) >> (31 :: nat)) = x >>> (31 :: nat)
 sorry
lemma val-negative-cancel:
 assumes intval-negate (new-int b v) \neq UndefVal
 shows val[-(-(new-int\ b\ v))] = val[new-int\ b\ v]
 using assms by simp
{\bf lemma}\ val\text{-} distribute\text{-} sub\text{:}
 assumes x \neq UndefVal \land y \neq UndefVal
 shows val[-(x-y)] = val[y-x]
 using assms by (cases x; cases y; auto)
lemma exp-distribute-sub:
 shows exp[-(x-y)] \ge exp[y-x]
 using val-distribute-sub apply auto
 using evaltree-not-undef by auto
\mathbf{lemma}\ \textit{exp-negative-cancel}:
 shows exp[-(-x)] \ge exp[x]
 using val-negative-cancel apply (cases x; simp)
 using unary-eval-new-int apply force
 sorry
optimization NegateCancel: -(-(x)) \mapsto x
 using val-negative-cancel exp-negative-cancel by blast
optimization DistributeSubtraction: -(x - y) \longmapsto (y - x)
  apply simp-all
```

```
apply auto
  \mathbf{by}\ (simp\ add:\ BinaryExpr\ evaltree-not-undef\ val-distribute-sub)
optimization NegativeShift: -(x >> (const (IntVal \ b \ y))) \longmapsto x >>> (const
(IntVal\ b\ y))
                                  when (stamp-expr \ x = IntegerStamp \ b' \ lo \ hi \land unat \ y)
= (b' - 1)
  apply simp-all apply auto
  sorry
end
end
theory NotPhase
  imports
    Common
begin
      Optimizations for Not Nodes
\mathbf{phase}\ \mathit{NotNode}
  terminating size
begin
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{bin}\text{-}\mathit{not}\text{-}\mathit{cancel}\text{:}
 bin[\neg(\neg(e))] = bin[e]
 by auto
\mathbf{lemma}\ val\text{-}not\text{-}cancel:
  assumes val[^{\sim}(new\text{-}int\ b\ v)] \neq UndefVal
  shows val[^{\sim}(^{\sim}(new\text{-}int\ b\ v))] = (new\text{-}int\ b\ v)
  using bin-not-cancel
  by (simp add: take-bit-not-take-bit)
lemma exp-not-cancel:
  shows exp[^{\sim}(^{\sim}a)] \geq exp[a]
  using unary-eval.simps(3) val-not-cancel
  apply (cases a; simp)
             apply (smt\ (verit,\ ccfv\text{-}SIG)\ unary\text{-}eval.simps(3)\ unary\text{-}eval-new\text{-}int
unfold-unary\ val-not-cancel)
  sorry
```

```
\begin{array}{l} \textbf{optimization} \ NotCancel: \ exp[^{\sim}(^{\sim}a)] \longmapsto a \\ \textbf{by} \ (metis \ exp-not-cancel) \\ \\ \textbf{end} \\ \\ \textbf{end} \\ \\ \textbf{theory} \ OrPhase \\ \\ \textbf{imports} \\ \\ Common \\ NewAnd \\ \\ \textbf{begin} \end{array}
```

7 Optimizations for Or Nodes

```
phase OrNode
  terminating size
begin
lemma bin-or-equal:
  bin[x \mid x] = bin[x]
  by simp
{\bf lemma}\ bin\hbox{-}shift\hbox{-}const\hbox{-}right\hbox{-}helper:
 x \mid y = y \mid x
 by simp
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{bin-or-not-operands} :
 (^{\sim}x \mid ^{\sim}y) = (^{\sim}(x \& y))
  by simp
lemma val-or-equal:
  assumes x = new\text{-}int \ b \ v
  assumes x \neq UndefVal \land ((intval\text{-}or\ x\ x) \neq UndefVal)
  shows val[x \mid x] = val[x]
  apply (cases x; auto) using bin-or-equal assms
  by auto+
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{val-elim-redundant-false} :
  assumes x = new\text{-}int \ b \ v
  assumes x \neq UndefVal \land (intval\text{-}or\ x\ (bool\text{-}to\text{-}val\ False)) \neq UndefVal
  shows val[x \mid false] = val[x]
   \mathbf{using}\ assms\ \mathbf{apply}\ (\mathit{cases}\ x;\ \mathit{auto})\ \mathbf{by}\ \mathit{presburger}
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{val-shift-const-right-helper} :
   val[x \mid y] = val[y \mid x]
  apply (cases x; cases y; auto)
  by (simp\ add:\ or.commute)+
```

```
lemma val-or-not-operands:
val[^{\sim}x \mid ^{\sim}y] = val[^{\sim}(x \& y)]
 apply (cases x; cases y; auto)
 by (simp add: take-bit-not-take-bit)
lemma exp-or-equal:
  exp[x \mid x] \ge exp[x]
  apply simp using val-or-equal sorry
lemma exp-elim-redundant-false:
exp[x \mid false] \ge exp[x]
  apply simp using val-elim-redundant-false
  apply (cases x) sorry
optimization OrEqual: x \mid x \longmapsto x
 by (meson exp-or-equal le-expr-def)
optimization OrShiftConstantRight: ((const\ x)\ |\ y) \longmapsto y\ |\ (const\ x)\ when\ \neg (is-ConstantExpr
  unfolding le-expr-def using val-shift-const-right-helper size-non-const
  apply simp apply auto
 sorry
optimization EliminateRedundantFalse: x \mid false \longmapsto x
 by (meson exp-elim-redundant-false le-expr-def)
optimization OrNotOperands: (^{\sim}x \mid ^{\sim}y) \longmapsto ^{\sim}(x \& y)
  apply auto using val-or-not-operands
  by \ (metis\ Binary Expr\ Unary Expr\ bin-eval.simps(4)\ intval-not.simps(2)\ unary-eval.simps(3)) 
optimization OrLeftFallthrough: (x \mid y) \longmapsto x
                         when (((and (not (IRExpr-down x)) (IRExpr-up y)) = 0))
 by (simp add: IRExpr-down-def IRExpr-up-def)
optimization OrRightFallthrough: (x \mid y) \longmapsto y
                         when (((and (not (IRExpr-down y)) (IRExpr-up x)) = 0))
 by (meson\ exp-or-commute\ OrLeftFallthrough(1)\ order\ trans\ rewrite-preservation.simps(2))
end
end
theory SignedDivPhase
 imports
   Common
```

8 Optimizations for SignedDiv Nodes

```
{\bf phase}\ Signed Div Node
 terminating size
begin
lemma val-division-by-one-is-self-32:
 assumes x = new\text{-}int 32 v
 shows intval-div x (IntVal 32 1) = x
  using assms apply (cases x; auto)
  by (simp add: take-bit-signed-take-bit)
end
end
theory SubPhase
 imports
    Common
begin
     Optimizations for Sub Nodes
phase SubNode
 terminating size
begin
{f lemma}\ bin-sub-after-right-add:
  shows ((x::('a::len) \ word) + (y::('a::len) \ word)) - y = x
 \mathbf{by} \ simp
lemma sub-self-is-zero:
 \mathbf{shows}\ (x::('a::len)\ word) - x = 0
 \mathbf{by} \ simp
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{bin-sub-then-left-add}\colon
 shows (x::('a::len) \ word) - (x + (y::('a::len) \ word)) = -y
 \mathbf{by} \ simp
lemma bin-sub-then-left-sub:
 shows (x::('a::len) \ word) - (x - (y::('a::len) \ word)) = y
```

```
by simp
{f lemma}\ bin-subtract-zero:
 shows (x :: 'a::len \ word) - (0 :: 'a::len \ word) = x
 by simp
{\bf lemma}\ bin-sub-negative-value:
(x :: ('a::len) \ word) - (-(y :: ('a::len) \ word)) = x + y
 by simp
lemma bin-sub-self-is-zero:
(x :: ('a::len) \ word) - x = 0
 \mathbf{by} \ simp
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{bin-sub-negative-const}\colon
(x :: 'a::len \ word) - (-(y :: 'a::len \ word)) = x + y
 by simp
lemma val-sub-after-right-add-2:
 assumes x = new\text{-}int \ b \ v
 assumes val[(x + y) - y] \neq UndefVal
 shows val[(x + y) - (y)] = val[x]
 \mathbf{using}\ bin\text{-}sub\text{-}after\text{-}right\text{-}add
 using assms apply (cases x; cases y; auto)
 by (metis\ (full-types)\ intval-sub.simps(2))
lemma \ val-sub-after-left-sub:
 assumes val[(x - y) - x] \neq UndefVal
 shows val[(x - y) - x] = val[-y]
 using assms apply (cases x; cases y; auto)
 using intval-sub.elims by fastforce
lemma val-sub-then-left-sub:
 assumes y = new\text{-}int b v
 assumes val[x - (x - y)] \neq UndefVal
 shows val[x - (x - y)] = val[y]
 using assms apply (cases x; cases y; auto)
 by (metis\ (mono-tags)\ intval-sub.simps(5))
{f lemma}\ val	ext{-}subtract	ext{-}zero:
 assumes x = new-int b v
 assumes intval-sub x (IntVal 32 0) \neq UndefVal
 shows intval-sub x (IntVal 32 \theta) = val[x]
 using assms apply (induction x; simp)
 by presburger
lemma val-zero-subtract-value:
```

assumes x = new-int b v

```
assumes intval-sub (IntVal 32 0) x \neq UndefVal
 shows intval-sub (IntVal 32 0) x = val[-x]
 using assms apply (induction x; simp)
 by presburger
lemma val-zero-subtract-value-64:
 assumes x = new\text{-}int \ b \ v
 assumes intval-sub (IntVal 64 0) x \neq UndefVal
 shows intval-sub (IntVal 64 0) x = val[-x]
 using assms apply (induction x; simp)
 by presburger
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{val-sub-then-left-add}\colon
 assumes val[x - (x + y)] \neq UndefVal
 shows val[x - (x + y)] = val[-y]
 using assms apply (cases x; cases y; auto)
 \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{metis}\ (\mathit{mono-tags},\ \mathit{lifting})\ \mathit{intval-sub.simps}(5))
{f lemma}\ val\mbox{-}sub\mbox{-}negative\mbox{-}value:
 assumes val[x - (-y)] \neq UndefVal
 shows val[x - (-y)] = val[x + y]
 using assms by (cases x; cases y; auto)
\mathbf{lemma}\ val\text{-}sub\text{-}self\text{-}is\text{-}zero:
 assumes x = new\text{-}int \ b \ v \land x - x \neq UndefVal
 shows val[x - x] = new\text{-}int \ b \ \theta
 using assms by (cases x; auto)
{f lemma}\ val	ext{-}sub	ext{-}negative	ext{-}const:
 assumes y = new\text{-}int \ b \ v \land val[x - (-y)] \neq UndefVal
 shows val[x - (-y)] = val[x + y]
 using assms by (cases x; cases y; auto)
lemma exp-sub-after-right-add:
 shows exp[(x+y)-y] \ge exp[x]
 apply auto using val-sub-after-right-add-2
 using evalDet eval-unused-bits-zero intval-add.elims new-int.simps
 by (smt (verit))
lemma exp-sub-after-right-add2:
 shows exp[(x + y) - x] \ge exp[y]
 using exp-sub-after-right-add apply auto
 using bin-eval.simps(1) bin-eval.simps(3) intval-add-sym unfold-binary
 by (smt\ (z3)\ Value.inject(1)\ diff-eq-eq\ evalDet\ eval-unused-bits-zero\ intval-add.elims
```

intval-sub.elims new-int.simps new-int-bin.simps take-bit-dist-subL)

```
lemma exp-sub-negative-value:
exp[x - (-y)] \ge exp[x + y]
 apply simp using val-sub-negative-value
 by (smt (verit) bin-eval.simps(1) bin-eval.simps(3) evaltree-not-undef minus-Value-def
     unary-eval.simps(2) unfold-binary unfold-unary)
definition wf-stamp :: IRExpr \Rightarrow bool where
  \textit{wf-stamp } e = (\forall \textit{ m p v. } ([\textit{m}, \textit{p}] \vdash e \mapsto \textit{v}) \longrightarrow \textit{valid-value v } (\textit{stamp-expr } e))
lemma exp-sub-then-left-sub:
  assumes wf-stamp x \wedge stamp\text{-}expr \ x = IntegerStamp \ b \ lo \ hi
 shows exp[x - (x - y)] \ge exp[y]
 using val-sub-then-left-sub assms
proof -
 have 1: exp[x - (x - y)] = exp[x - x + y]
   apply simp
   sorry
 have exp[x - (x - y)] \ge exp[(const\ (new-int\ b\ \theta)) + y]
 have exp[(const\ Int Val\ b\ \theta) + y] \ge exp[y]
   sorry
 then show ?thesis
   using 1 by fastforce
qed
Optimisations
optimization SubAfterAddRight: ((x + y) - y) \longmapsto x
 using exp-sub-after-right-add by blast
optimization SubAfterAddLeft: ((x + y) - x) \mapsto y
 using exp-sub-after-right-add2 by blast
optimization SubAfterSubLeft: ((x - y) - x) \longmapsto -y
  apply auto
 \mathbf{apply} \; (\textit{metis One-nat-def less-add-one less-numeral-extra} (3) \; less-one \; linor der-neqE-nat
         pos-add-strict size-pos)
 by (metis evalDet unary-eval.simps(2) unfold-unary val-sub-after-left-sub)
optimization SubThenAddLeft: (x - (x + y)) \longmapsto -y
  apply auto
  apply (simp add: Suc-lessI one-is-add)
 by (metis evalDet unary-eval.simps(2) unfold-unary
     val-sub-then-left-add)
```

```
optimization SubThenAddRight: (y - (x + y)) \longrightarrow -x
  apply auto
   apply (metis less-1-mult less-one linorder-negE-nat mult.commute mult-1 nu-
meral\hbox{-} 1\hbox{-} eq\hbox{-} Suc\hbox{-} 0
      one-eq-numeral-iff one-less-numeral-iff semiring-norm (77) size-pos zero-less-iff-neq-zero)
  by (metis\ evalDet\ intval-add-sym\ unary-eval.simps(2)\ unfold-unary
      val-sub-then-left-add)
optimization SubThenSubLeft: (x - (x - y)) \mapsto y
                           when (wf\text{-}stamp\ x \land stamp\text{-}expr\ x = IntegerStamp\ b\ lo\ hi)
  using exp-sub-then-left-sub by blast
optimization SubtractZero: (x - (const\ IntVal\ b\ 0)) \longmapsto x
                            when (wf\text{-}stamp\ x \land stamp\text{-}expr\ x = IntegerStamp\ b\ lo\ hi)
 apply auto
 by (smt (verit) add.right-neutral diff-add-cancel eval-unused-bits-zero intval-sub.elims
     intval-word.simps new-int.simps new-int-bin.simps)
optimization SubNegativeValue: (x - (-y)) \mapsto x + y
  {\bf defer\ using\ } {\it exp-sub-negative-value\ } {\bf apply\ } {\it simp}
  sorry
optimization ZeroSubtractValue: ((const\ IntVal\ b\ 0) - x) \longmapsto (-x)
                                when (wf\text{-}stamp\ x \land stamp\text{-}expr\ x = IntegerStamp\ b\ lo
hi
  apply auto unfolding wf-stamp-def defer
  apply (smt (verit) diff-0 intval-negate.simps(1) intval-sub.elims intval-word.simps
         new-int-bin.simps\ unary-eval.simps(2)\ unfold-unary)
  sorry
\textbf{optimization} \ \textit{SubSelfIsZero} \colon (x - x) \longmapsto \textit{const} \ \textit{IntVal} \ b \ \textit{0} \ \textit{when}
                     (wf\text{-}stamp\ x \land stamp\text{-}expr\ x = IntegerStamp\ b\ lo\ hi)
   apply simp-all
  apply auto
  apply (meson less-add-same-cancel1 less-trans-Suc size-pos)
 by (smt\ (verit)\ Value.inject(1)\ eq-iff-diff-eq-0\ evalDet\ intval-sub.elims\ new-int.elims
    new\text{-}int\text{-}bin.elims\ take\text{-}bit\text{-}of\text{-}0\ unfold\text{-}const\ validDefIntConst\ valid\text{-}stamp.simps(1)
```

```
valid\text{-}value.simps(1) \ wf\text{-}stamp\text{-}def)
```

```
end
\quad \text{end} \quad
theory XorPhase
  imports
    Common
begin
        Optimizations for Xor Nodes
10
phase XorNode
  terminating size
begin
lemma bin-xor-self-is-false:
 bin[x \oplus x] = 0
 by simp
{f lemma}\ bin\mbox{-}xor\mbox{-}commute:
 bin[x \oplus y] = bin[y \oplus x]
 by (simp add: xor.commute)
{\bf lemma}\ bin-eliminate\text{-}redundant\text{-}false:
 bin[x \oplus \theta] = bin[x]
 by simp
lemma val-xor-self-is-false:
  \mathbf{assumes}\ val[x \oplus x] \neq \mathit{UndefVal}
  shows val-to-bool (val[x \oplus x]) = False
  using assms by (cases x; auto)
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{val-xor-self-is-false-2} :
  assumes (val[x \oplus x]) \neq UndefVal \land x = IntVal \ 32 \ v
  shows val[x \oplus x] = bool\text{-}to\text{-}val \ False
  using assms by (cases x; auto)
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{val-xor-self-is-false-3}\colon
```

assumes $val[x \oplus x] \neq UndefVal \land x = IntVal 64 v$

shows $val[x \oplus x] = IntVal \ 64 \ 0$ using assms by (cases x; auto)

```
lemma val-xor-commute:
  val[x \oplus y] = val[y \oplus x]
  apply (cases x; cases y; auto)
 by (simp add: xor.commute)+
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{val-eliminate-redundant-false} :
 assumes x = new\text{-}int \ b \ v
 assumes val[x \oplus (bool\text{-}to\text{-}val\ False)] \neq UndefVal
 shows val[x \oplus (bool\text{-}to\text{-}val\ False)] = x
 using assms apply (cases x; auto)
 by meson
definition wf-stamp :: IRExpr \Rightarrow bool where
  wf-stamp e = (\forall m \ p \ v. ([m, p] \vdash e \mapsto v) \longrightarrow valid-value v \ (stamp-expr e))
lemma exp-xor-self-is-false:
assumes wf-stamp x \wedge stamp-expr x = default-stamp
shows exp[x \oplus x] \ge exp[false]
 using assms apply auto unfolding wf-stamp-def
 \mathbf{using}\ IntVal0\ Value.inject(1)\ bool-to-val.simps(2)\ constantAsStamp.simps(1)\ evalDet
int-signed-value-bounds new-int.simps unfold-const val-xor-self-is-false-2 valid-int
valid-stamp.simps(1) valid-value.simps(1)
 by (smt (z3) validDefIntConst)
optimization XorSelfIsFalse: (x \oplus x) \longmapsto false \ when
                    (wf\text{-}stamp\ x \land stamp\text{-}expr\ x = default\text{-}stamp)
  apply auto[1]
  apply (simp add: Suc-lessI one-is-add) using exp-xor-self-is-false
 by auto
optimization XorShiftConstantRight: ((const\ x)\ \oplus\ y) \longmapsto y \oplus (const\ x) when
\neg (is\text{-}ConstantExpr\ y)
  unfolding le-expr-def using val-xor-commute size-non-const
  apply simp apply auto
 sorry
optimization EliminateRedundantFalse: (x \oplus false) \longmapsto x
   using val-eliminate-redundant-false apply auto sorry
optimization MaskOutRHS: (x \oplus const \ y) \longmapsto UnaryExpr \ UnaryNot \ x
```

```
\label{eq:when} when \; ((stamp-expr \; (x) = \textit{IntegerStamp bits } l \; h))  \begin{aligned} & \textbf{unfolding } \; le\text{-}expr\text{-}def \; \textbf{apply } \; auto \\ & \textbf{sorry} \end{aligned} \mathbf{end} \mathbf{end}
```