Veriopt

April 12, 2023

Abstract

The Veriopt project aims to prove the optimization pass of the GraalVM compiler. The GraalVM compiler includes a sophisticated Intermediate Representation (IR) in the form of a sea-of-nodes based graph structure. We first define the IR graph structure in the Isabelle/HOL interactive theorem prover. We subsequently give the evaluation of the structure a semantics based on the current understanding of the purpose of each IR graph node. Optimization phases are then encoded including the static analysis passes required for an optimization. Each optimization phase is proved to be correct by proving that a bisimulation exists between the unoptimized and optimized graphs. The following document has been automatically generated from the Isabelle/HOL source to provide a very comprehensive definition of the semantics and optimizations introduced by the Veriopt project.

Contents

1		ditional Theorems about Computer Words	3			
	1.1	Bit-Shifting Operators	3			
	1.2	Fixed-width Word Theories	4			
		1.2.1 Support Lemmas for Upper/Lower Bounds	4			
		1.2.2 Support lemmas for take bit and signed take bit	8			
2	java	8 8	10			
	2.1	0 0	10			
	2.2	8	14			
	2.3	8	14			
	2.4	9	15			
	2.5	8	15			
	2.6	Long.zeroCount	16			
3	Ope	erator Semantics	19			
	3.1	Arithmetic Operators	21			
	3.2	Bitwise Operators	22			
	3.3	* *	22			
	3.4	Narrowing and Widening Operators	23			
	3.5	Bit-Shifting Operators	24			
		3.5.1 Examples of Narrowing / Widening Functions	25			
	3.6	Fixed-width Word Theories	26			
		3.6.1 Support Lemmas for Upper/Lower Bounds	26			
		3.6.2 Support lemmas for take bit and signed take bit	30			
4	Sta	mp Typing	32			
5	Gra	aph Representation	36			
	5.1	IR Graph Nodes	36			
	5.2	IR Graph Node Hierarchy	44			
	5.3	IR Graph Type	51			
		5.3.1 Example Graphs	56			
	5.4	Structural Graph Comparison	56			
	5.5	Control-flow Graph Traversal	58			
6	Data-flow Semantics 60					
	6.1	Data-flow Tree Representation	61			
	6.2		62			
	6.3		63			
	6.4		65			
	6.5	Stamp Masks	66			
	6.6	Data-flow Tree Theorems	68			
		6.6.1 Deterministic Data-flow Evaluation	68			

		6.6.3 Evaluation Results are Valid	68 71 72
		v I	72
	6.7	1 1	73
	6.8	Lemmas about new_int and integer eval results	74
7	Tre	e to Graph	80
	7.1	Subgraph to Data-flow Tree	80
	7.2	Data-flow Tree to Subgraph	84
	7.3	Lift Data-flow Tree Semantics	88
	7.4	Graph Refinement	88
	7.5	Maximal Sharing	88
	7.6	Formedness Properties	88
	7.7	Dynamic Frames	90
	7.8	Tree to Graph Theorems	02
		7.8.1 Extraction and Evaluation of Expression Trees is De-	
		terministic	02
		7.8.2 Monotonicity of Graph Refinement	09
		7.8.3 Lift Data-flow Tree Refinement to Graph Refinement . 1	
		7.8.4 Term Graph Reconstruction	
		7.8.5 Data-flow Tree to Subgraph Preserves Maximal Sharing1	36
8	Cor	ntrol-flow Semantics 18	5 0
8	Cor 8.1	ntrol-flow Semantics 18 Object Heap	
8			50
8	8.1	Object Heap	50 51 53
8	8.1 8.2	Object Heap	50 51 53
8	8.1 8.2 8.3	Object Heap1Intraprocedural Semantics1Interprocedural Semantics1Big-step Execution18.4.1 Heap Testing1	50 51 53 55 56
8	8.1 8.2 8.3	Object Heap1Intraprocedural Semantics1Interprocedural Semantics1Big-step Execution1	50 51 53 55 56
8	8.1 8.2 8.3 8.4	Object Heap1Intraprocedural Semantics1Interprocedural Semantics1Big-step Execution18.4.1 Heap Testing1	50 51 53 55 56 56
9	8.1 8.2 8.3 8.4 8.5	Object Heap1Intraprocedural Semantics1Interprocedural Semantics1Big-step Execution18.4.1 Heap Testing1Control-flow Semantics Theorems18.5.1 Control-flow Step is Deterministic1	50 51 53 55 56 56
	8.1 8.2 8.3 8.4 8.5	Object Heap1Intraprocedural Semantics1Interprocedural Semantics1Big-step Execution18.4.1 Heap Testing1Control-flow Semantics Theorems18.5.1 Control-flow Step is Deterministic1	50 51 53 55 56 56 57
	8.1 8.2 8.3 8.4 8.5	Object Heap 1 Intraprocedural Semantics 1 Interprocedural Semantics 1 Big-step Execution 1 8.4.1 Heap Testing 1 Control-flow Semantics Theorems 1 8.5.1 Control-flow Step is Deterministic 1 cof Infrastructure 1	50 51 53 55 56 56 57 61
	8.1 8.2 8.3 8.4 8.5 Pro 9.1	Object Heap 1 Intraprocedural Semantics 1 Interprocedural Semantics 1 Big-step Execution 1 8.4.1 Heap Testing 1 Control-flow Semantics Theorems 1 8.5.1 Control-flow Step is Deterministic 1 of Infrastructure 16 Bisimulation 1	50 51 53 55 56 56 57 61 62
	8.1 8.2 8.3 8.4 8.5 Pro 9.1 9.2	Object Heap 1 Intraprocedural Semantics 1 Interprocedural Semantics 1 Big-step Execution 1 8.4.1 Heap Testing 1 Control-flow Semantics Theorems 1 8.5.1 Control-flow Step is Deterministic 1 cof Infrastructure 1 Bisimulation 1 Graph Rewriting 1	50 51 53 55 56 56 57 61 62 67
	8.1 8.2 8.3 8.4 8.5 Pro 9.1 9.2 9.3	Object Heap 1 Intraprocedural Semantics 1 Interprocedural Semantics 1 Big-step Execution 1 8.4.1 Heap Testing 1 Control-flow Semantics Theorems 1 8.5.1 Control-flow Step is Deterministic 1 of Infrastructure 1 Bisimulation 1 Graph Rewriting 1 Stuttering 1	50 51 53 55 56 56 57 61 62 67
	8.1 8.2 8.3 8.4 8.5 Pro 9.1 9.2 9.3	Object Heap 1 Intraprocedural Semantics 1 Interprocedural Semantics 1 Big-step Execution 1 8.4.1 Heap Testing 1 Control-flow Semantics Theorems 1 8.5.1 Control-flow Step is Deterministic 1 of Infrastructure 1 Bisimulation 1 Graph Rewriting 1 Stuttering 1 Evaluation Stamp Theorems 1	50 51 53 55 56 56 57 61 62 67 68
	8.1 8.2 8.3 8.4 8.5 Pro 9.1 9.2 9.3	Object Heap	50 51 53 55 56 56 57 61 62 67 68
	8.1 8.2 8.3 8.4 8.5 Pro 9.1 9.2 9.3	Object Heap	50 51 53 55 56 56 57 61 62 67 68 69 71
	8.1 8.2 8.3 8.4 8.5 Pro 9.1 9.2 9.3	Object Heap1Intraprocedural Semantics1Interprocedural Semantics1Big-step Execution18.4.1 Heap Testing1Control-flow Semantics Theorems18.5.1 Control-flow Step is Deterministic1of Infrastructure1Bisimulation1Graph Rewriting1Stuttering1Evaluation Stamp Theorems19.4.1 Support Lemmas for Integer Stamps and Associated IntVal values19.4.2 Validity of all Unary Operators19.4.3 Support Lemmas for Binary Operators19.4.4 Validity of Stamp Meet and Join Operators1	50 51 53 55 56 56 57 61 62 67 68 .69 71 73 76
	8.1 8.2 8.3 8.4 8.5 Pro 9.1 9.2 9.3	Object Heap	50 51 53 55 56 56 57 61 62 67 68 69 71 73 76

10	Opt	ization DSL 1	79
	10.1	Markup	179
		10.1.1 Expression Markup	180
		10.1.2 Value Markup	181
		10.1.3 Word Markup	182
	10.2	Optimization Phases	183
	10.3	Canonicalization DSL	183
		10.3.1 Semantic Preservation Obligation	186
		10.3.2 Termination Obligation	186
		10.3.3 Standard Termination Measure	
		10.3.4 Automated Tactics	187
11			.88
		AbsNode Phase	
		AddNode Phase	
		AndNode Phase	
		BinaryNode Phase	
	11.5	ConditionalNode Phase	203
	11.6	MulNode Phase	207
		Experimental AndNode Phase	
	11.8	NotNode Phase	228
	11.9	OrNode Phase	229
	11.10	0ShiftNode Phase	233
	11.11	1SignedDivNode Phase	234
	11.12	2SignedRemNode Phase	234
	11.13	3SubNode Phase	235
	11.14	4XorNode Phase	240
	~		
12			242
		Individual Elimination Rules	242 254
	177	LODITOL-DOW L-rann Iraversal	15/

1 Additional Theorems about Computer Words

```
theory JavaWords
 imports
   HOL-Library.Word
   HOL-Library. Signed-Division
   HOL-Library.Float
   HOL-Library.LaTeX sugar
begin
Java supports 64, 32, 16, 8 signed ints, plus 1 bit (boolean) ints, and char
is 16-bit unsigned. E.g. an 8-bit stamp has a default range of -128..+127.
And a 1-bit stamp has a default range of -1..0, surprisingly.
During calculations the smaller sizes are sign-extended to 32 bits.
type-synonym int64 = 64 \ word - long
type-synonym int32 = 32 \ word — int
type-synonym int16 = 16 word — short
type-synonym int8 = 8 word — char
type-synonym int1 = 1 word - boolean
abbreviation valid-int-widths :: nat set where
 valid\text{-}int\text{-}widths \equiv \{1, 8, 16, 32, 64\}
type-synonym iwidth = nat
fun bit-bounds :: nat \Rightarrow (int \times int) where
 bit-bounds bits = (((2 \hat{bits}) div 2) * -1, ((2 \hat{bits}) div 2) - 1)
definition logic-negate :: ('a::len) word <math>\Rightarrow 'a word where
 logic-negate x = (if x = 0 then 1 else 0)
fun int-signed-value :: iwidth \Rightarrow int64 \Rightarrow int where
 int-signed-value b v = sint (signed-take-bit (b - 1) v)
fun int-unsigned-value :: iwidth \Rightarrow int64 \Rightarrow int where
 int-unsigned-value b v = uint v
A convenience function for directly constructing -1 values of a given bit size.
fun neg\text{-}one :: iwidth \Rightarrow int64 where
 neg\text{-}one\ b=mask\ b
      Bit-Shifting Operators
definition shiftl (infix <<75) where
 shiftl \ w \ n = (push-bit \ n) \ w
lemma shiftl-power[simp]: (x::('a::len) \ word) * (2 \ \hat{} j) = x << j
 unfolding shiftl-def apply (induction j)
```

```
lemma (x::('a::len) word) * ((2 ^j) + 1) = x << j + x
 by (simp add: distrib-left)
lemma (x::('a::len) word) * ((2 ^j) - 1) = x << j - x
 by (simp add: right-diff-distrib)
lemma (x::('a::len) word) * ((2^j) + (2^k)) = x << j + x << k
 by (simp add: distrib-left)
lemma (x::('a::len) word) * ((2\hat{j}) - (2\hat{k})) = x << j - x << k
 by (simp add: right-diff-distrib)
Unsigned shift right.
definition shiftr (infix >>> 75) where
 shiftr \ w \ n = drop-bit \ n \ w
corollary (255 :: 8 word) >>> (2 :: nat) = 63 by code-simp
Signed shift right.
definition sshiftr :: 'a :: len word \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 'a :: len word (infix >> 75) where
 sshiftr \ w \ n = word-of-int \ ((sint \ w) \ div \ (2 \ \widehat{\ } n))
corollary (128 :: 8 \text{ word}) >> 2 = 0xE0 \text{ by } code\text{-simp}
      Fixed-width Word Theories
1.2.1 Support Lemmas for Upper/Lower Bounds
lemma size32: size v = 32 for v :: 32 word
 using size-word.rep-eq
 using One-nat-def add.right-neutral add-Suc-right len-of-numeral-defs(2) len-of-numeral-defs(3)
mult.right-neutral mult-Suc-right numeral-2-eq-2 numeral-Bit0
 by (smt (verit, del-insts) mult.commute)
lemma size64: size v = 64 for v :: 64 word
 using size-word.rep-eq
 using One-nat-def add-right-neutral add-Suc-right len-of-numeral-defs(2) len-of-numeral-defs(3)
mult.right-neutral mult-Suc-right numeral-2-eq-2 numeral-Bit0
 by (smt (verit, del-insts) mult.commute)
lemma lower-bounds-equiv:
 assumes 0 < N
 shows -(((2::int) \ \widehat{\ } (N-1))) = (2::int) \ \widehat{\ } N \ div \ 2 * - 1
 by (simp add: assms int-power-div-base)
```

apply simp unfolding funpow-Suc-right

by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) push-bit-eq-mult)

```
lemma upper-bounds-equiv:
 assumes \theta < N
 shows (2::int) \hat{\ } (N-1) = (2::int) \hat{\ } N \ div \ 2
 by (simp add: assms int-power-div-base)
Some min/max bounds for 64-bit words
lemma bit-bounds-min64: ((fst\ (bit-bounds\ 64))) \le (sint\ (v::int64))
  unfolding bit-bounds.simps fst-def
  using sint-ge[of v] by simp
lemma bit-bounds-max64: ((snd\ (bit-bounds\ 64))) \ge (sint\ (v::int64))
 unfolding bit-bounds.simps fst-def
 using sint-lt[of v] by simp
Extend these min/max bounds to extracting smaller signed words using
signed\_take\_bit.
Note: we could use signed to convert between bit-widths, instead of signed take bit.
But that would have to be done separately for each bit-width type.
corollary sint(signed-take-bit\ 7\ (128::int8)) = -128 by code-simp
ML-val \langle @\{thm\ signed-take-bit-decr-length-iff\} \rangle
declare [[show-types=true]]
ML-val \langle @\{thm\ signed-take-bit-int-less-exp\} \rangle
{\bf lemma}\ signed-take-bit-int-less-exp-word:
 \mathbf{fixes}\ \mathit{ival} :: \ 'a :: \mathit{len}\ \mathit{word}
 assumes n < LENGTH('a)
 shows sint(signed-take-bit\ n\ ival) < (2::int) ^n
 apply transfer
 by (smt (verit, best) not-take-bit-negative signed-take-bit-eq-take-bit-shift
    signed-take-bit-int-less-exp take-bit-int-greater-self-iff)
\mathbf{lemma}\ signed-take-bit-int-greater-eq-minus-exp-word:
 fixes ival :: 'a :: len word
 assumes n < LENGTH('a)
 shows - (2 \hat{n}) \leq sint(signed-take-bit \ n \ ival)
 apply transfer
 by (smt (verit, best) signed-take-bit-int-greater-eq-minus-exp
    signed-take-bit-int-greater-eq-self-iff signed-take-bit-int-less-exp)
\mathbf{lemma}\ signed\text{-}take\text{-}bit\text{-}range\text{:}
 fixes ival :: 'a :: len word
 assumes n < LENGTH('a)
 assumes val = sint(signed-take-bit \ n \ ival)
 shows -(2 \hat{n}) \leq val \wedge val < 2 \hat{n}
```

```
using signed-take-bit-int-greater-eq-minus-exp-word signed-take-bit-int-less-exp-word
using assms by blast
A bit_bounds version of the above lemma.
```

```
\mathbf{lemma}\ signed\mbox{-}take\mbox{-}bit\mbox{-}bounds:
  fixes ival :: 'a :: len word
 assumes n \leq LENGTH('a)
 assumes 0 < n
 assumes val = sint(signed-take-bit (n - 1) ival)
 shows fst (bit\text{-}bounds\ n) \leq val \wedge val \leq snd\ (bit\text{-}bounds\ n)
  using assms signed-take-bit-range lower-bounds-equiv upper-bounds-equiv
  by (metis bit-bounds.simps fst-conv less-imp-diff-less nat-less-le sint-qe sint-lt
snd-conv zle-diff1-eq)
lemma signed-take-bit-bounds64:
 fixes ival :: int64
 assumes n \leq 64
 assumes 0 < n
 assumes val = sint(signed-take-bit (n - 1) ival)
 shows fst (bit\text{-}bounds\ n) \leq val \wedge val \leq snd\ (bit\text{-}bounds\ n)
 using assms signed-take-bit-bounds
 by (metis size64 word-size)
lemma int-signed-value-bounds:
  assumes b1 \le 64
 assumes \theta < b1
 shows fst (bit-bounds b1) \leq int-signed-value b1 v2 \wedge 
        int-signed-value b1 v2 \le snd (bit-bounds b1)
  using assms int-signed-value.simps signed-take-bit-bounds64 by blast
lemma int-signed-value-range:
  fixes ival :: int64
 assumes val = int-signed-value n ival
 \mathbf{shows} - (2 \hat{} (n-1)) \le val \wedge val < 2 \hat{} (n-1)
 using signed-take-bit-range assms
  by (smt (verit, ccfv-SIG) One-nat-def diff-less int-signed-value.elims len-gt-0
len-num1 power-less-imp-less-exp power-strict-increasing sint-greater-eq sint-less)
Some lemmas to relate (int) bit bounds to bit-shifting values.
lemma bit-bounds-lower:
 assumes 0 < bits
 shows word-of-int (fst (bit-bounds bits)) = ((-1) << (bits - 1))
  unfolding bit-bounds.simps fst-conv
  by (metis (mono-tags, opaque-lifting) assms(1) mult-1 mult-minus1-right mult-minus-left
of-int-minus of-int-power shiftl-power upper-bounds-equiv word-numeral-alt)
lemma two-exp-div:
```

assumes 0 < bits

```
shows ((2::int) \cap bits \ div \ (2::int)) = (2::int) \cap (bits - Suc \ \theta)
 using assms by (auto simp: int-power-div-base)
declare [[show-types]]
Some lemmas about unsigned words smaller than 64-bit, for zero-extend
operators.
\mathbf{lemma}\ take\text{-}bit\text{-}smaller\text{-}range\text{:}
 fixes ival :: 'a :: len word
 assumes n < LENGTH('a)
 assumes val = sint(take-bit \ n \ ival)
 shows 0 \leq val \wedge val < (2::int) \cap n
 by (simp add: assms signed-take-bit-eq)
lemma take-bit-same-size-nochange:
 fixes ival :: 'a :: len word
 assumes n = LENGTH('a)
 shows ival = take-bit n ival
 by (simp add: assms)
A simplification lemma for new\_int, showing that upper bits can be ignored.
lemma take-bit-redundant[simp]:
 fixes ival :: 'a :: len word
 assumes 0 < n
 assumes n < LENGTH('a)
 shows signed-take-bit (n-1) (take-bit n ival) = signed-take-bit (n-1) ival
proof -
 have \neg (n \le n - 1) using assms by arith
 then have \bigwedge i . signed-take-bit (n-1) (take-bit n i) = signed-take-bit (n-1) i
   using signed-take-bit-take-bit by (metis (mono-tags))
 then show ?thesis
   by blast
\mathbf{qed}
lemma take-bit-same-size-range:
 fixes ival :: 'a :: len word
 assumes n = LENGTH('a)
 assumes ival2 = take-bit \ n \ ival
 shows -(2 \hat{n} div 2) < sint ival 2 \wedge sint ival 2 < 2 \hat{n} div 2
 using assms lower-bounds-equiv sint-ge sint-lt by auto
lemma take-bit-same-bounds:
 fixes ival :: 'a :: len word
 assumes n = LENGTH('a)
 assumes ival2 = take-bit \ n \ ival
 shows fst (bit\text{-}bounds\ n) \leq sint\ ival2 \wedge sint\ ival2 \leq snd\ (bit\text{-}bounds\ n)
 unfolding bit-bounds.simps
 using assms take-bit-same-size-range
 by force
```

Next we show that casting a word to a wider word preserves any upper/lower bounds. (These lemmas may not be needed any more, since we are not using scast now?)

```
lemma scast-max-bound:
 assumes sint (v :: 'a :: len word) < M
 assumes LENGTH('a) < LENGTH('b)
 shows sint ((scast v) :: 'b :: len word) < M
 unfolding Word.scast-eq Word.sint-sbintrunc'
 using Bit-Operations.signed-take-bit-int-eq-self-iff
 by (smt\ (verit,\ best)\ One-nat-def\ assms(1)\ assms(2)\ decr-length-less-iff\ linorder-not-le
power-strict-increasing-iff signed-take-bit-int-less-self-iff sint-greater-eq)
lemma scast-min-bound:
 assumes M \leq sint (v :: 'a :: len word)
 assumes LENGTH('a) < LENGTH('b)
 shows M \leq sint ((scast \ v) :: 'b :: len \ word)
 unfolding Word.scast-eq Word.sint-sbintrunc'
 \mathbf{using}\ \textit{Bit-Operations.signed-take-bit-int-eq-self-iff}
 by (smt (verit) One-nat-def Suc-pred assms(1) assms(2) len-qt-0 less-Suc-eq or-
der-less-le order-less-le-trans power-le-imp-le-exp signed-take-bit-int-greater-eq-self-iff
sint-lt)
lemma scast-bigger-max-bound:
 assumes (result :: 'b :: len word) = scast (v :: 'a :: len word)
 shows sint \ result < 2 \ ^LENGTH('a) \ div \ 2
 using sint-lt upper-bounds-equiv scast-max-bound
 by (smt (verit, best) assms(1) len-qt-0 signed-scast-eq signed-take-bit-int-greater-self-iff
sint-ge sint-less upper-bounds-equiv)
lemma scast-bigger-min-bound:
 assumes (result :: 'b :: len word) = scast (v :: 'a :: len word)
 shows -(2 \cap LENGTH('a) \ div \ 2) \leq sint \ result
 using sint-ge lower-bounds-equiv scast-min-bound
 by (smt (verit) assms len-gt-0 nat-less-le not-less scast-max-bound)
lemma scast-bigger-bit-bounds:
 assumes (result :: 'b :: len word) = scast (v :: 'a :: len word)
 shows fst (bit-bounds (LENGTH('a))) \leq sint result \wedge sint result \leq snd (bit-bounds
(LENGTH('a))
 using assms scast-bigger-min-bound scast-bigger-max-bound
 by auto
```

1.2.2 Support lemmas for take bit and signed take bit.

Lemmas for removing redundant take_bit wrappers.

```
lemma take-bit-dist-addL[simp]:
fixes x :: 'a :: len word
shows take-bit b (take-bit b x + y) = take-bit b (x + y)
```

```
proof (induction b)
 case \theta
 then show ?case
   by simp
next
 case (Suc\ b)
 then show ?case
   by (simp add: add.commute mask-eqs(2) take-bit-eq-mask)
qed
lemma take-bit-dist-addR[simp]:
 fixes x :: 'a :: len word
 shows take-bit\ b\ (x+take-bit\ b\ y)=take-bit\ b\ (x+y)
 using take-bit-dist-addL by (metis add.commute)
lemma take-bit-dist-subL[simp]:
 fixes x :: 'a :: len word
 shows take-bit\ b\ (take-bit\ b\ x-y)=take-bit\ b\ (x-y)
 by (metis take-bit-dist-addR uminus-add-conv-diff)
lemma take-bit-dist-subR[simp]:
 fixes x :: 'a :: len word
 shows take-bit b (x - take-bit b y) = take-bit b (x - y)
 using take-bit-dist-subL
 by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) diff-add-cancel diff-right-commute diff-self)
lemma take-bit-dist-neg[simp]:
 fixes ix :: 'a :: len word
 shows take-bit\ b\ (-take-bit\ b\ (ix)) = take-bit\ b\ (-ix)
 by (metis diff-0 take-bit-dist-subR)
lemma signed-take-take-bit[simp]:
 fixes x :: 'a :: len word
 assumes 0 < b
 shows signed-take-bit (b-1) (take-bit\ b\ x) = signed-take-bit\ (b-1)\ x
 by (smt (verit, best) Suc-diff-1 assms lessI linorder-not-less signed-take-bit-take-bit)
lemma mod-larger-ignore:
 fixes a :: int
 fixes m n :: nat
 assumes n < m
 shows (a \mod 2 \widehat{\ } m) \mod 2 \widehat{\ } n = a \mod 2 \widehat{\ } n
 by (smt (verit, del-insts) assms exp-mod-exp linorder-not-le mod-0-imp-dvd mod-mod-cancel
mod-self order-less-imp-le)
```

```
lemma mod-dist-over-add:
fixes a b c :: int64
fixes n :: nat
assumes 1: 0 < n
assumes 2: n < 64
shows (a \ mod \ 2 \ n + b) \ mod \ 2 \ n = (a + b) \ mod \ 2 \ n
proof —
have 3: (0 :: int64) < 2 \ n
using assms by (simp \ add: size64 \ word-2p-lem)
then show ?thesis
unfolding word-mod-2p-is-mask[OF \ 3]
apply transfer
by (metis \ (no-types, \ opaque-lifting) \ and.right-idem \ take-bit-add \ take-bit-eq-mask)
qed
```

2 java.lang.Long

Utility functions from the Java Long class that Graal occasionally makes use of.

```
theory JavaLong imports JavaWords HOL-Library.FSet begin

lemma negative-all-set-32: n < 32 \Longrightarrow bit \ (-1::int32) \ n apply transfer by auto

definition MaxOrNeg :: nat \ set \implies int where MaxOrNeg \ s = (if \ s = \{\} \ then \ -1 \ else \ Max \ s)

definition MinOrHighest :: nat \ set \implies nat \implies nat where MinOrHighest \ s \ m = (if \ s = \{\} \ then \ m \ else \ Min \ s)

lemma MaxOrNegEmpty: MaxOrNeg \ s = -1 \longleftrightarrow s = \{\} unfolding MaxOrNeg-def by auto
```

2.1 Long.highestOneBit

```
definition highestOneBit :: ('a::len) word \Rightarrow int where <math>highestOneBit \ v = MaxOrNeg \ \{n. \ bit \ v \ n\}
```

lemma highestOneBitInvar:

```
highestOneBit\ v = j \Longrightarrow (\forall\ i::nat.\ (int\ i > j \longrightarrow \neg\ (bit\ v\ i)))
 apply (induction \ size \ v)
 apply simp
 by (smt (verit) MaxOrNeq-def Max-qe empty-iff finite-bit-word highestOneBit-def
mem-Collect-eq of-nat-mono)
lemma highestOneBitNeg:
  highestOneBit \ v = -1 \longleftrightarrow v = 0
 unfolding highestOneBit-def MaxOrNeg-def
 by (metis Collect-empty-eq-bot bit-0-eq bit-word-eqI int-ops(2) negative-eq-positive
one-neq-zero)
{f lemma}\ higher Bits False:
 fixes v :: 'a :: len word
 shows i > size \ v \Longrightarrow \neg \ (bit \ v \ i)
 by (simp add: bit-word.rep-eq size-word.rep-eq)
lemma highestOneBitN:
 assumes bit v n
 assumes \forall i :: nat. (int i > n \longrightarrow \neg (bit v i))
 shows highestOneBit \ v = n
 unfolding highestOneBit-def MaxOrNeg-def
 by (metis Max-qe Max-in all-not-in-conv assms(1) assms(2) finite-bit-word mem-Collect-eq
of-nat-less-iff order-less-le)
lemma highestOneBitSize:
 assumes bit v n
 assumes n = size v
 shows highestOneBit \ v = n
 by (metis \ assms(1) \ assms(2) \ not-bit-length \ wsst-TYs(3))
lemma highestOneBitMax:
  highestOneBit\ v < size\ v
 unfolding highestOneBit-def MaxOrNeg-def
 using higherBitsFalse
 by (simp add: bit-imp-le-length size-word.rep-eq)
\mathbf{lemma}\ highestOneBitAtLeast:
 assumes bit v n
 shows highestOneBit \ v \geq n
proof (induction size v)
 case \theta
 then show ?case by simp
next
 case (Suc \ x)
  then have \forall i. \ bit \ v \ i \longrightarrow i < Suc \ x
   by (simp\ add: bit-imp-le-length\ wsst-TYs(3))
```

```
then show ?case
   unfolding highestOneBit-def MaxOrNeg-def
   using assms by auto
qed
lemma highestOneBitElim:
  highestOneBit \ v = n
    \implies ((n = -1 \land v = 0) \lor (n \ge 0 \land bit \lor n))
 unfolding highestOneBit-def MaxOrNeg-def
 by (metis Max-in finite-bit-word le0 le-minus-one-simps(3) mem-Collect-eq of-nat-0-le-iff
of-nat-eq-iff)
A recursive implementation of highestOneBit that is suitable for code gen-
eration.
fun highestOneBitRec :: nat \Rightarrow ('a::len) word \Rightarrow int where
  highestOneBitRec\ n\ v =
   (if bit v n then n
    else if n = 0 then -1
    else\ highestOneBitRec\ (n-1)\ v)
lemma \ highestOneBitRecTrue:
  highestOneBitRec\ n\ v = j \Longrightarrow j \ge 0 \Longrightarrow bit\ v\ j
proof (induction \ n)
 case \theta
 then show ?case
  by (metis diff-0 highestOneBitRec.simps leD of-nat-0-eq-iff of-nat-0-le-iff zle-diff1-eq)
 case (Suc \ n)
 then show ?case
   by (metis diff-Suc-1 highestOneBitRec.elims nat.discI nat-int)
qed
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{highestOneBitRecN}\colon
 assumes bit v n
 shows highestOneBitRec n v = n
 by (simp add: assms)
{f lemma}\ highestOneBitRecMax:
  highestOneBitRec\ n\ v \leq n
 by (induction n; simp)
lemma highestOneBitRecElim:
 assumes highestOneBitRec\ n\ v = j
 shows ((j = -1 \land v = 0) \lor (j \ge 0 \land bit \ v \ j))
 using assms highestOneBitRecTrue by blast
\mathbf{lemma}\ highestOneBitRecZero:
  v = 0 \Longrightarrow highestOneBitRec\ (size\ v)\ v = -1
```

```
by (induction rule: highestOneBitRec.induct; simp)
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{highestOneBitRecLess} :
 assumes \neg bit \ v \ n
 shows highestOneBitRec n v = highestOneBitRec (n - 1) v
 using assms by force
Some lemmas that use masks to restrict highestOneBit and relate it to
highestOneBitRec.
lemma highestOneBitMask:
 assumes size v = n
 shows highestOneBit\ v = highestOneBit\ (and\ v\ (mask\ n))
 by (metis assms dual-order.refl lt2p-lem mask-eq-iff size-word.rep-eq)
lemma maskSmaller:
 fixes v :: 'a :: len word
 assumes \neg bit v n
 shows and v (mask (Suc n)) = and v (mask n)
 unfolding bit-eq-iff
 by (metis assms bit-and-iff bit-mask-iff less-Suc-eq)
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{highestOneBitSmaller} :
 assumes size \ v = Suc \ n
 assumes \neg bit \ v \ n
 shows highestOneBit\ v = highestOneBit\ (and\ v\ (mask\ n))
 by (metis assms highestOneBitMask maskSmaller)
lemma highestOneBitRecMask:
 shows highestOneBit (and \ v \ (mask \ (Suc \ n))) = highestOneBitRec \ n \ v
proof (induction \ n)
 case \theta
 then show ?case
  by (smt (verit, ccfv-SIG) Word.mask-Suc-0 and-mask-lt-2p and-nonnegative-int-iff
of-int-0 uint-1-eq uint-and word-and-def)
next
 case (Suc\ n)
 then show ?case
 proof (cases\ bit\ v\ (Suc\ n))
   case True
   have 1: highestOneBitRec\ (Suc\ n)\ v = Suc\ n
    by (simp add: True)
   have \forall i::nat. (int \ i > (Suc \ n) \longrightarrow \neg (bit \ (and \ v \ (mask \ (Suc \ (Suc \ n)))) \ i))
    by (simp add: bit-and-iff bit-mask-iff)
   then have 2: highestOneBit (and \ v \ (mask \ (Suc \ (Suc \ n)))) = Suc \ n
    using True highestOneBitN
    by (metis bit-take-bit-iff lessI take-bit-eq-mask)
   then show ?thesis
    using 1 2 by auto
```

```
next
   case False
   then show ?thesis
    by (simp add: Suc maskSmaller)
 ged
\mathbf{qed}
Finally - we can use the mask lemmas to relate highestOneBitRec to its
spec.
lemma highestOneBitImpl[code]:
 highestOneBit\ v = highestOneBitRec\ (size\ v)\ v
 \mathbf{by}\ (\textit{metis highestOneBitMask highestOneBitRecMask maskSmaller not-bit-length})
wsst-TYs(3)
lemma highestOneBit (0x5 :: int8) = 2 by code\text{-}simp
2.2
      Long.lowestOneBit
definition lowestOneBit :: ('a::len) word <math>\Rightarrow nat where
 lowestOneBit\ v = MinOrHighest\ \{n\ .\ bit\ v\ n\}\ (size\ v)
lemma max-bit: bit (v::('a::len) word) n \Longrightarrow n < size v
 by (simp add: bit-imp-le-length size-word.rep-eq)
lemma max-set-bit: MaxOrNeg \{n : bit (v::('a::len) word) n\} < Nat. size v
 using max-bit unfolding MaxOrNeg-def
 by force
      Long.numberOfLeadingZeros
definition numberOfLeadingZeros :: ('a::len) word <math>\Rightarrow nat where
 numberOfLeadingZeros\ v = nat\ (Nat.size\ v - highestOneBit\ v - 1)
lemma MaxOrNeg-neg: MaxOrNeg \{\} = -1
 by (simp add: MaxOrNeg-def)
lemma MaxOrNeg-max: s \neq \{\} \Longrightarrow MaxOrNeg \ s = Max \ s
 by (simp add: MaxOrNeg-def)
lemma zero-no-bits:
 \{n \ . \ bit \ 0 \ n\} = \{\}
 by simp
lemma highestOneBit\ (0::64\ word) = -1
 by (simp add: MaxOrNeg-neg highestOneBit-def)
lemma numberOfLeadingZeros (0::64 word) = 64
 unfolding numberOfLeadingZeros-def using MaxOrNeg-neg highestOneBit-def
size 64
```

```
by (smt (verit) nat-int zero-no-bits)
lemma highestOneBit-top: Max \{highestOneBit (v::64 word)\} < 64
 unfolding highestOneBit-def
 by (metis Max-singleton int-eq-iff-numeral max-set-bit size 64)
lemma\ numberOfLeadingZeros-top:\ Max\ \{numberOfLeadingZeros\ (v::64\ word)\} \le
 unfolding numberOfLeadingZeros-def
 using size64
 by (simp add: MaxOrNeg-def highestOneBit-def nat-le-iff)
lemma numberOfLeadingZeros-range: 0 \le numberOfLeadingZeros a \land numberOfLead-
ingZeros \ a \leq Nat.size \ a
 {\bf unfolding} \ number Of Leading Zeros-def
 using MaxOrNeq-def highestOneBit-def nat-le-iff
 by (smt (verit) bot-nat-0.extremum int-eq-iff)
lemma\ leadingZerosAddHighestOne:\ numberOfLeadingZeros\ v\ +\ highestOneBit\ v
= Nat.size v - 1
 unfolding \ number Of Leading Zeros-def \ highest One Bit-def
 using MaxOrNeg-def int-nat-eq int-ops(6) max-bit order-less-irreft by fastforce
2.4 Long.numberOfTrailingZeros
definition numberOfTrailingZeros :: ('a::len) word <math>\Rightarrow nat where
 numberOfTrailingZeros \ v = lowestOneBit \ v
lemma lowestOneBit-bot: lowestOneBit (0::64 word) = 64
 unfolding lowestOneBit-def MinOrHighest-def
 by (simp add: size64)
lemma bit-zero-set-in-top: bit (-1::'a::len \ word) 0
lemma nat\text{-}bot\text{-}set: (\theta::nat) \in xs \longrightarrow (\forall x \in xs . \theta \leq x)
 by fastforce
lemma numberOfTrailingZeros (0::64 word) = 64
 unfolding numberOfTrailingZeros-def
 using lowestOneBit-bot by simp
2.5 Long.bitCount
definition bitCount :: ('a::len) \ word \Rightarrow nat \ \mathbf{where}
 bitCount\ v = card\ \{n\ .\ bit\ v\ n\}
lemma bitCount \theta = \theta
 unfolding bitCount-def
 by (metis card.empty zero-no-bits)
```

2.6 Long.zeroCount

```
definition zeroCount :: ('a::len) word \Rightarrow nat where
 zeroCount \ v = card \ \{n. \ n < Nat.size \ v \land \neg(bit \ v \ n)\}\
lemma zeroCount-finite: finite \{n. \ n < Nat.size \ v \land \neg(bit \ v \ n)\}
 using finite-nat-set-iff-bounded by blast
lemma negone-set:
 bit (-1::('a::len) word) n \longleftrightarrow n < LENGTH('a)
 by simp
lemma negone-all-bits:
  \{n : bit (-1::('a::len) \ word) \ n\} = \{n : 0 \le n \land n < LENGTH('a)\}
 using negone-set
 by auto
lemma bitCount-finite:
 finite \{n : bit (v::('a::len) word) n\}
 by simp
lemma card-of-range:
 x = card \{ n : 0 \le n \land n < x \}
 by simp
lemma range-of-nat:
  \{(n::nat) : 0 \le n \land n < x\} = \{n : n < x\}
 by simp
lemma finite-range:
 finite \{n::nat : n < x\}
 \mathbf{by} \ simp
lemma range-eq:
 fixes x y :: nat
 shows card \{y..< x\} = card \{y<..x\}
 using card-atLeastLessThan card-greaterThanAtMost by presburger
lemma card-of-range-bound:
 fixes x y :: nat
 assumes x > y
 shows x - y = card \{n : y < n \land n \le x\}
proof -
 have finite: finite \{n : y \le n \land n < x\}
   by auto
 have nonempty: \{n : y \le n \land n < x\} \ne \{\}
   using assms by blast
 have simprep: \{n : y < n \land n \le x\} = \{y < ...x\}
   by auto
```

```
have x - y = card \{y < ...x\}
   by auto
 then show ?thesis
   unfolding simprep by blast
qed
\mathbf{lemma} \ \mathit{bitCount} \ (-1 \text{::} ('a \text{::} \mathit{len}) \ \mathit{word}) = \mathit{LENGTH}('a)
  unfolding bitCount-def using card-of-range
 by (metis (no-types, lifting) Collect-cong negone-all-bits)
lemma bitCount-range:
 fixes n :: ('a::len) word
 shows 0 \le bitCount \ n \land bitCount \ n \le Nat.size \ n
 unfolding bitCount-def
 \textbf{by} \ (\textit{metis atLeastLessThan-iff bot-nat-0.extremum max-bit mem-Collect-eq subsetI}
subset-eq-atLeast0-lessThan-card)
\mathbf{lemma}\ zeros Above Highest One:
  n > highestOneBit \ a \Longrightarrow \neg(bit \ a \ n)
 unfolding highestOneBit-def MaxOrNeg-def
  by (metis (mono-tags, opaque-lifting) Collect-empty-eq Max-ge finite-bit-word
less-le-not-le mem-Collect-eq of-nat-le-iff)
\mathbf{lemma}\ zerosBelowLowestOne:
 assumes n < lowestOneBit a
 shows \neg(bit\ a\ n)
proof (cases \{i. bit a i\} = \{\})
 case True
 then show ?thesis by simp
\mathbf{next}
  case False
 have n < Min (Collect (bit a)) \Longrightarrow \neg bit a n
   using False by auto
 then show ?thesis
   by (metis False MinOrHighest-def assms lowestOneBit-def)
qed
lemma union-bit-sets:
 fixes a :: ('a::len) word
 shows \{n : n < Nat.size \ a \land bit \ a \ n\} \cup \{n : n < Nat.size \ a \land \neg(bit \ a \ n)\} = \{n \}
n < Nat.size a
 by fastforce
lemma disjoint-bit-sets:
 fixes a :: ('a::len) word
 shows \{n : n < Nat.size \ a \land bit \ a \ n\} \cap \{n : n < Nat.size \ a \land \neg(bit \ a \ n)\} = \{\}
 bv blast
lemma qualified-bitCount:
```

```
bitCount\ v = card\ \{n\ .\ n < Nat.size\ v \land bit\ v\ n\}
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) Collect-cong bitCount-def max-bit)
lemma card-eq:
    assumes finite x \land finite \ y \land finite \ z
    assumes x \cup y = z
    assumes y \cap x = \{\}
    shows card z - card y = card x
    \mathbf{using} \ \mathit{assms} \ \mathit{add-diff-cancel-right'} \ \mathit{card-Un-disjoint}
    by (metis inf.commute)
lemma card-add:
    assumes finite x \land finite \ y \land finite \ z
    assumes x \cup y = z
    assumes y \cap x = \{\}
    shows card x + card y = card z
    using assms card-Un-disjoint
    by (metis inf.commute)
lemma card-add-inverses:
    assumes finite \{n. Q n \land \neg(P n)\} \land finite \{n. Q n \land P n\} \land finite \{n. Q n\}
    shows card \{n. Q n \land P n\} + card \{n. Q n \land \neg (P n)\} = card \{n. Q n\}
    apply (rule card-add)
    using assms apply simp
    apply auto[1]
    by auto
\mathbf{lemma}\ one \textit{s-zero-sum-to-width}:
     bitCount\ a\ +\ zeroCount\ a\ =\ Nat.size\ a
proof -
     have add-cards: card \{n. (\lambda n. n < size a) n \land (bit a n)\} + card \{n. (\lambda n. n < size a) n \land (bit a n)\} + card \{n. (\lambda n. n < size a) n \land (bit a n)\} + card \{n. (\lambda n. n < size a) n \land (bit a n)\} + card \{n. (\lambda n. n < size a) n \land (bit a n)\} + card \{n. (\lambda n. n < size a) n \land (bit a n)\} + card \{n. (\lambda n. n < size a) n \land (bit a n)\} + card \{n. (\lambda n. n < size a) n \land (bit a n)\} + card \{n. (\lambda n. n < size a) n \land (bit a n)\} + card \{n. (\lambda n. n < size a) n \land (bit a n)\} + card \{n. (\lambda n. n < size a) n \land (bit a n)\} + card \{n. (\lambda n. n < size a) n \land (bit a n)\} + card \{n. (\lambda n. n < size a) n \land (bit a n)\} + card \{n. (\lambda n. n < size a) n \land (bit a n)\} + card \{n. (\lambda n. n < size a) n \land (bit a n)\} + card \{n. (\lambda n. n < size a) n \land (bit a) n \land (bi
size\ a)\ n \land \neg(bit\ a\ n)\} = card\ \{n.\ (\lambda n.\ n < size\ a)\ n\}
         apply (rule card-add-inverses) by simp
    then have ... = Nat.size a
         by auto
  then show ?thesis
         {\bf unfolding} \ bitCount\text{-}def \ zeroCount\text{-}def \ {\bf using} \ max\text{-}bit
         by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) Collect-cong add-cards)
qed
lemma intersect-bitCount-helper:
    card \{n : n < Nat.size \ a\} - bitCount \ a = card \{n : n < Nat.size \ a \land \neg(bit \ a \ n)\}
proof -
    have size-def: Nat.size a = card \{n : n < Nat.size a\}
         using card-of-range by simp
    have bitCount-def: bitCount\ a = card\ \{n\ .\ n < Nat.size\ a \land bit\ a\ n\}
         using qualified-bitCount by auto
     have disjoint: \{n : n < Nat.size \ a \land bit \ a \ n\} \cap \{n : n < Nat.size \ a \land \neg (bit \ a \ n)\}
```

```
n)\} = \{\}
   using disjoint-bit-sets by auto
 have union: \{n : n < Nat.size \ a \land bit \ a \ n\} \cup \{n : n < Nat.size \ a \land \neg(bit \ a \ n)\}
= \{n : n < Nat.size a\}
   using union-bit-sets by auto
  show ?thesis
   unfolding bitCount-def
   apply (rule card-eq)
   using finite-range apply simp
   using union apply blast
   using disjoint by simp
\mathbf{lemma}\ intersect\text{-}bitCount:
  Nat.size \ a - bitCount \ a = card \ \{n \ . \ n < Nat.size \ a \land \neg(bit \ a \ n)\}
  using card-of-range intersect-bitCount-helper by auto
\mathbf{hide}	ext{-}\mathbf{fact} intersect	ext{-}bitCount	ext{-}helper
end
```

3 Operator Semantics

```
theory Values
imports
Java Words
begin
```

In order to properly implement the IR semantics we first introduce a type that represents runtime values. These runtime values represent the full range of primitive types currently allowed by our semantics, ranging from basic integer types to object references and arrays.

Note that Java supports 64, 32, 16, 8 signed ints, plus 1 bit (boolean) ints, and char is 16-bit unsigned. E.g. an 8-bit stamp has a default range of -128..+127. And a 1-bit stamp has a default range of -1..0, surprisingly.

During calculations the smaller sizes are sign-extended to 32 bits, but explicit widening nodes will do that, so most binary calculations should see equal input sizes.

An object reference is an option type where the *None* object reference points to the static fields. This is examined more closely in our definition of the heap.

```
{f type-synonym}\ objref=nat\ option {f datatype}\ (discs-sels)\ Value\ =\ UndefVal\ |
```

```
IntVal iwidth int64 |
  ObjRef objref |
  ObjStr\ string
fun intval-bits :: Value \Rightarrow nat where
  intval-bits (IntVal\ b\ v) = b
fun intval\text{-}word :: Value \Rightarrow int64 where
  intval-word (IntVal\ b\ v) = v
Converts an integer word into a Java value.
fun new\text{-}int :: iwidth \Rightarrow int64 \Rightarrow Value where
  new-int b w = IntVal b (take-bit b w)
Converts an integer word into a Java value, iff the two types are equal.
fun new-int-bin :: iwidth \Rightarrow iwidth \Rightarrow int64 \Rightarrow Value where
  new-int-bin b1 b2 w = (if b1=b2 then new-int b1 w else UndefVal)
fun wf-bool :: Value \Rightarrow bool where
  wf-bool (IntVal\ b\ w) = (b = 1)
  wf-bool - = False
fun val-to-bool :: Value \Rightarrow bool where
  val-to-bool (IntVal\ b\ val) = (if\ val = 0\ then\ False\ else\ True)
  val-to-bool val = False
fun bool-to-val :: bool \Rightarrow Value where
  bool-to-val True = (IntVal 32 1)
  bool-to-val False = (IntVal 32 0)
Converts an Isabelle bool into a Java value, iff the two types are equal.
fun bool-to-val-bin :: iwidth \Rightarrow iwidth \Rightarrow bool \Rightarrow Value where
  bool-to-val-bin\ t1\ t2\ b=(if\ t1=t2\ then\ bool-to-val\ b\ else\ UndefVal)
fun is-int-val :: Value \Rightarrow bool where
  is\text{-}int\text{-}val\ v = is\text{-}IntVal\ v
lemma neg\text{-}one\text{-}value[simp]: new\text{-}int \ b \ (neg\text{-}one \ b) = IntVal \ b \ (mask \ b)
```

```
by simp
```

```
lemma neg-one-signed[simp]:
assumes 0 < b
shows int-signed-value b (neg-one b) = -1
by (smt (verit, best) assms diff-le-self diff-less int-signed-value.simps less-one mask-eq-take-bit-minus-one neg-one.simps nle-le signed-minus-1 signed-take-bit-take-bit verit-comp-simplify1(1))
```

3.1 Arithmetic Operators

We need to introduce arithmetic operations which agree with the JVM.

Within the JVM, bytecode arithmetic operations are performed on 32 or 64 bit integers, unboxing where appropriate.

The following collection of intval functions correspond to the JVM arithmetic operations. We merge the 32 and 64 bit operations into a single function, even though the stamp of each IRNode tells us exactly what the bit widths will be. These merged functions make it easier to do the instantiation of Value as 'plus', etc. It might be worse for reasoning, because it could cause more case analysis, but this does not seem to be a problem in practice.

```
fun intval\text{-}add :: Value \Rightarrow Value \Rightarrow Value where
  intval-add (IntVal b1 v1) (IntVal b2 v2) =
   (if b1 = b2 then Int Val b1 (take-bit b1 (v1+v2)) else Undef Val)
  intval-add - - = UndefVal
fun intval-sub :: Value \Rightarrow Value \Rightarrow Value where
  intval-sub (IntVal b1 v1) (IntVal b2 v2) = new-int-bin b1 b2 (v1-v2) |
  intval-sub - - = UndefVal
fun intval-mul :: Value \Rightarrow Value \Rightarrow Value where
  intval-mul (IntVal b1 v1) (IntVal b2 v2) = new-int-bin b1 b2 (v1*v2)
  intval-mul - - = UndefVal
fun intval-div :: Value \Rightarrow Value \Rightarrow Value where
  intval-div (IntVal b1 v1) (IntVal b2 v2) =
       new-int-bin b1 b2 (word-of-int
          ((int\text{-}signed\text{-}value\ b1\ v1)\ sdiv\ (int\text{-}signed\text{-}value\ b2\ v2)))\ |
  intval-div - - = UndefVal
fun intval-mod :: Value \Rightarrow Value \Rightarrow Value where
  intval-mod (IntVal b1 v1) (IntVal b2 v2) =
```

```
new-int-bin b1 b2 (word-of-int
          ((int-signed-value b1 v1) smod (int-signed-value b2 v2))) |
  intval-mod - - = UndefVal
fun intval-negate :: Value \Rightarrow Value where
  intval-negate (IntVal\ t\ v) = new-int\ t\ (-\ v)
  intval-negate - = UndefVal
fun intval-abs :: Value \Rightarrow Value where
  intval-abs\ (IntVal\ t\ v) = new-int\ t\ (if\ int-signed-value\ t\ v < 0\ then\ -\ v\ else\ v)\ |
  intval-abs - = UndefVal
TODO: clarify which widths this should work on: just 1-bit or all?
fun intval-logic-negation :: Value \Rightarrow Value where
  intval-logic-negation (IntVal b v) = new-int b (logic-negate v)
  intval-logic-negation - = UndefVal
3.2
       Bitwise Operators
fun intval-and :: Value \Rightarrow Value \Rightarrow Value where
  intval-and (IntVal\ b1\ v1)\ (IntVal\ b2\ v2) = new-int-bin\ b1\ b2\ (and\ v1\ v2)
  intval-and - - = UndefVal
fun intval\text{-}or :: Value \Rightarrow Value \Rightarrow Value  where
  intval-or (IntVal b1 v1) (IntVal b2 v2) = new-int-bin b1 b2 (or v1 v2)
  intval-or - - = UndefVal
fun intval-xor :: Value \Rightarrow Value \Rightarrow Value where
  intval-xor (IntVal b1 v1) (IntVal b2 v2) = new-int-bin b1 b2 (xor v1 v2)
  intval-xor - - = UndefVal
fun intval-not :: Value \Rightarrow Value where
  intval-not (IntVal\ t\ v) = new-int t\ (not\ v)
  intval-not - = UndefVal
3.3
       Comparison Operators
fun intval-short-circuit-or :: Value \Rightarrow Value \Rightarrow Value where
 intval\text{-}short\text{-}circuit\text{-}or\ (IntVal\ b1\ v1)\ (IntVal\ b2\ v2) = bool\text{-}to\text{-}val\text{-}bin\ b1\ b2\ (((v1) + val\text{-}bin\ b1) b2))
\neq 0) \vee (v2 \neq 0)))
  intval-short-circuit-or - - = UndefVal
fun intval-equals :: Value \Rightarrow Value \Rightarrow Value where
  intval-equals (IntVal b1 v1) (IntVal b2 v2) = bool-to-val-bin b1 b2 (v1 = v2) |
  intval-equals - - = UndefVal
fun intval-less-than :: Value \Rightarrow Value \Rightarrow Value where
  intval-less-than (IntVal b1 v1) (IntVal b2 v2) =
   bool-to-val-bin b1 b2 (int-signed-value b1 v1 < int-signed-value b2 v2)
```

```
intval-less-than - - = UndefVal

fun intval-below :: Value \Rightarrow Value \Rightarrow Value where

intval-below (IntVal b1 v1) (IntVal b2 v2) = bool-to-val-bin b1 b2 (v1 < v2) |

intval-below - - = UndefVal

fun intval-conditional :: Value \Rightarrow Value \Rightarrow Value \Rightarrow Value where

intval-conditional cond tv fv = (if (val-to-bool cond) then tv else fv)
```

3.4 Narrowing and Widening Operators

Note: we allow these operators to have inBits=outBits, because the Graal compiler also seems to allow that case, even though it should rarely / never arise in practice.

Some sanity checks that $take_bitN$ and $signed_take_bit(N-1)$ match up as expected.

```
corollary sint (signed-take-bit \ 0 \ (1 :: int32)) = -1 by code-simp corollary sint (signed-take-bit \ 7 \ ((256 + 128) :: int64)) = -128 by code-simp corollary sint (take-bit \ 7 \ ((256 + 128 + 64) :: int64)) = 64 by code-simp corollary sint (take-bit \ 8 \ ((256 + 128 + 64) :: int64)) = 128 + 64 by code-simp
```

```
fun intval-narrow :: nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow Value \Rightarrow Value where intval-narrow inBits outBits (IntVal b v) = (if inBits = b \land 0 < outBits \land outBits \leq inBits \land inBits \leq 64 then new-int outBits v else UndefVal) | intval-narrow - - - = UndefVal
```

```
fun intval-sign-extend :: nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow Value \Rightarrow Value where intval-sign-extend inBits outBits (IntVal b v) = (if inBits = b \land 0 < inBits \land inBits \leq outBits \land outBits \leq 64 then new-int outBits (signed-take-bit (inBits - 1) v) else UndefVal) | intval-sign-extend - - - = UndefVal
```

```
fun intval-zero-extend :: nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow Value \Rightarrow Value where intval-zero-extend inBits outBits (IntVal b v) = (if inBits = b \land 0 < inBits \land inBits \leq outBits \land outBits \leq 64 then new-int outBits (take-bit inBits v) else UndefVal) | intval-zero-extend - - - = UndefVal
```

Some well-formedness results to help reasoning about narrowing and widening operators

lemma *intval-narrow-ok*:

```
assumes intval-narrow inBits outBits val \neq UndefVal
 shows 0 < outBits \land outBits \le inBits \land inBits \le 64 \land outBits \le 64 \land
       is-IntVal val \wedge
       intval-bits val = inBits
  using assms intval-narrow.simps neg0-conv intval-bits.simps
 by (metis Value.disc(2) intval-narrow.elims le-trans)
lemma intval-sign-extend-ok:
  assumes intval-sign-extend inBits outBits val \neq UndefVal
 shows \theta < inBits \wedge
       inBits \leq outBits \land outBits \leq 64 \land
       is-IntVal val \wedge
       intval-bits val = inBits
 using assms intval-sign-extend.simps neq0-conv
 by (metis intval-bits.simps intval-sign-extend.elims is-IntVal-def)
lemma intval-zero-extend-ok:
 assumes intval\text{-}zero\text{-}extend\ inBits\ outBits\ val } \neq \textit{UndefVal}
 shows \theta < inBits \wedge
       inBits \leq outBits \wedge outBits \leq 64 \wedge
       is-IntVal val \land
       intval-bits val = inBits
  using assms intval-sign-extend.simps neq0-conv
  by (metis intval-bits.simps intval-zero-extend.elims is-IntVal-def)
```

3.5 Bit-Shifting Operators

Note that Java shift operators use unary numeric promotion, unlike other binary operators, which use binary numeric promotion (see the Java language reference manual). This means that the left-hand input determines the output size, while the right-hand input can be any size.

```
fun shift-amount :: iwidth \Rightarrow int64 \Rightarrow nat where shift-amount b val = unat (and val (if b = 64 then 0x3F else 0x1f))

fun intval-left-shift :: Value \Rightarrow Value \Rightarrow Value where intval-left-shift (IntVal b1 v1) (IntVal b2 v2) = new-int b1 (v1 << shift-amount b1 v2) | intval-left-shift - - = UndefVal
```

Signed shift is more complex, because we sometimes have to insert 1 bits at the correct point, which is at b1 bits.

```
fun intval-right-shift :: Value \Rightarrow Value \Rightarrow Value where intval-right-shift (IntVal b1 v1) (IntVal b2 v2) = (let shift = shift-amount b1 v2 in let ones = and (mask b1) (not (mask (b1 - shift) :: int64)) in (if int-signed-value b1 v1 < 0 then new-int b1 (or ones (v1 >>> shift)) else new-int b1 (v1 >>> shift)))
```

```
intval-right-shift - - = UndefVal
```

```
fun intval-uright-shift :: Value \Rightarrow Value \Rightarrow Value where intval-uright-shift (Int Val b1 v1) (Int Val b2 v2) = new-int b1 (v1 >>> shift-amount b1 v2) | intval-uright-shift - - = Undef Val
```

3.5.1 Examples of Narrowing / Widening Functions

experiment begin

```
corollary intval-narrow 32 8 (IntVal 32 (256 + 128)) = IntVal 8 128 by simp corollary intval-narrow 32 8 (IntVal 32 (-2)) = IntVal 8 254 by simp corollary intval-narrow 32 1 (IntVal 32 (-2)) = IntVal 1 0 by simp corollary intval-narrow 32 1 (IntVal 32 (-3)) = IntVal 1 1 by simp
```

```
corollary intval-narrow 32 8 (IntVal 64 (-2)) = UndefVal by simp corollary intval-narrow 64 8 (IntVal 32 (-2)) = UndefVal by simp corollary intval-narrow 64 8 (IntVal 64 254) = IntVal 8 254 by simp corollary intval-narrow 64 8 (IntVal 64 (256+127)) = IntVal 8 127 by simp corollary intval-narrow 64 64 (IntVal 64 (-2)) = IntVal 64 (-2) by simp end
```

experiment begin

```
corollary intval-sign-extend 8 32 (IntVal 8 (256 + 128)) = IntVal 32 (2^32 - 128) by simp corollary intval-sign-extend 8 32 (IntVal 8 (-2)) = IntVal 32 (2^32 - 2) by simp corollary intval-sign-extend 1 32 (IntVal 1 (-2)) = IntVal 32 0 by simp corollary intval-sign-extend 1 32 (IntVal 1 (-3)) = IntVal 32 (mask 32) by simp
```

```
corollary intval-sign-extend 8 32 (IntVal 64 254) = UndefVal by simp corollary intval-sign-extend 8 64 (IntVal 32 254) = UndefVal by simp corollary intval-sign-extend 8 64 (IntVal 8 254) = IntVal 64 (-2) by simp corollary intval-sign-extend 32 64 (IntVal 32 (2^32 - 2)) = IntVal 64 (-2) by simp corollary intval-sign-extend 64 64 (IntVal 64 (-2)) = IntVal 64 (-2) by simp
```

experiment begin

end

```
corollary intval-zero-extend 8 32 (IntVal 8 (256 + 128)) = IntVal 32 128 by simp corollary intval-zero-extend 8 32 (IntVal 8 (-2)) = IntVal 32 254 by simp corollary intval-zero-extend 1 32 (IntVal 1 (-1)) = IntVal 32 1 by simp corollary intval-zero-extend 1 32 (IntVal 1 (-2)) = IntVal 32 0 by simp
```

```
corollary intval-zero-extend 8 32 (IntVal 64 (-2)) = UndefVal by simp corollary intval-zero-extend 8 64 (IntVal 64 (-2)) = UndefVal by simp
```

```
corollary intval-zero-extend 8 64 (IntVal 8 254) = IntVal 64 254 by simp
corollary intval-zero-extend 32 64 (IntVal 32 (2^32 - 2)) = IntVal 64 (2^32 -
2) by simp
corollary intval-zero-extend 64 64 (IntVal 64 (-2)) = IntVal 64 (-2) by simp
end
experiment begin
corollary intval-right-shift (IntVal 8 128) (IntVal 8 0) = IntVal 8 128 by eval
corollary intval-right-shift (IntVal 8 128) (IntVal 8 1) = IntVal 8 192 by eval
corollary intval-right-shift (IntVal 8 128) (IntVal 8 2) = IntVal 8 224 by eval
\textbf{corollary} \ intval\text{-}right\text{-}shift\ (IntVal\ 8\ 128)\ (IntVal\ 8\ 8) = IntVal\ 8\ 255\ \textbf{by}\ eval
corollary intval-right-shift (IntVal 8 128) (IntVal 8 31) = IntVal 8 255 by eval
lemma intval-add-sym:
 shows intval-add \ a \ b = intval-add \ b \ a
 by (induction a; induction b; auto simp: add.commute)
lemma intval-add (IntVal 32 (2^31-1)) (IntVal 32 (2^31-1)) = IntVal 32 (2^32
-2
 by eval
lemma intval-add (IntVal\ 64\ (2^31-1)) (IntVal\ 64\ (2^31-1)) = IntVal\ 64\ 4294967294
 by eval
end
      Fixed-width Word Theories
3.6
theory ValueThms
 imports Values
begin
        Support Lemmas for Upper/Lower Bounds
lemma size32: size v = 32 for v :: 32 word
 using size-word.rep-eq
 \textbf{using} \ \textit{One-nat-def} \ add. \textit{right-neutral} \ add-\textit{Suc-right len-of-numeral-defs}(2) \ len-of-numeral-defs}(3)
mult.right-neutral mult-Suc-right numeral-2-eq-2 numeral-Bit0
 by (smt (verit, del-insts) mult.commute)
lemma size64: size v = 64 for v :: 64 word
```

using size-word.rep-eq

```
mult.right-neutral\ mult-Suc-right\ numeral-2-eq-2\ numeral-Bit0
 by (smt (verit, del-insts) mult.commute)
lemma lower-bounds-equiv:
 assumes \theta < N
 shows -(((2::int) \ \widehat{\ } (N-1))) = (2::int) \ \widehat{\ } N \ div \ 2*-1
 by (simp add: assms int-power-div-base)
lemma upper-bounds-equiv:
 assumes 0 < N
 shows (2::int) \ \hat{\ } (N-1) = (2::int) \ \hat{\ } N \ div \ 2
 by (simp add: assms int-power-div-base)
Some min/max bounds for 64-bit words
lemma bit-bounds-min64: ((fst\ (bit-bounds\ 64))) \le (sint\ (v::int64))
 unfolding bit-bounds.simps fst-def
 using sint-ge[of v] by simp
lemma bit-bounds-max64: ((snd (bit-bounds 64))) > (sint (v::int64))
 unfolding bit-bounds.simps fst-def
 using sint-lt[of v] by simp
Extend these min/max bounds to extracting smaller signed words using
signed\_take\_bit.
Note: we could use signed to convert between bit-widths, instead of signed_take_bit.
But that would have to be done separately for each bit-width type.
value sint(signed-take-bit\ 7\ (128::int8))
ML-val \langle @\{thm\ signed-take-bit-decr-length-iff\} \rangle
declare [[show-types=true]]
ML-val \langle @\{thm\ signed-take-bit-int-less-exp\} \rangle
lemma signed-take-bit-int-less-exp-word:
 fixes ival :: 'a :: len word
 assumes n < LENGTH('a)
 shows sint(signed-take-bit\ n\ ival) < (2::int) ^ n
 apply transfer
 by (smt (verit, best) not-take-bit-negative signed-take-bit-eq-take-bit-shift
    signed-take-bit-int-less-exp take-bit-int-greater-self-iff)
\mathbf{lemma} \ signed-take-bit-int-greater-eq-minus-exp-word:
 fixes ival :: 'a :: len word
 assumes n < LENGTH('a)
```

using One-nat-def add-right-neutral add-Suc-right len-of-numeral-defs(2) len-of-numeral-defs(3)

```
shows - (2 \hat{n}) \leq sint(signed-take-bit \ n \ ival)
 apply transfer
 by (smt (verit, best) signed-take-bit-int-greater-eq-minus-exp
    signed-take-bit-int-greater-eq-self-iff signed-take-bit-int-less-exp)
\mathbf{lemma}\ signed\mbox{-}take\mbox{-}bit\mbox{-}range:
  fixes ival :: 'a :: len word
 assumes n < LENGTH('a)
 assumes val = sint(signed-take-bit \ n \ ival)
 \mathbf{shows} - (2 \hat{n}) \leq val \wedge val < 2 \hat{n}
 \textbf{using} \ signed-take-bit-int-greater-eq-minus-exp-word \ signed-take-bit-int-less-exp-word
 using assms by blast
A bit bounds version of the above lemma.
\mathbf{lemma}\ signed\text{-}take\text{-}bit\text{-}bounds:
  fixes ival :: 'a :: len word
 assumes n \leq LENGTH('a)
 assumes 0 < n
 assumes val = sint(signed-take-bit (n - 1) ival)
 shows fst (bit\text{-}bounds\ n) \leq val \wedge val \leq snd\ (bit\text{-}bounds\ n)
 {\bf using} \ assms \ signed-take-bit-range \ lower-bounds-equiv \ upper-bounds-equiv
  by (metis bit-bounds.simps fst-conv less-imp-diff-less nat-less-le sint-ge sint-lt
snd-conv zle-diff1-eq)
lemma signed-take-bit-bounds64:
 fixes ival :: int64
 assumes n < 64
 assumes 0 < n
 assumes val = sint(signed-take-bit (n - 1) ival)
 shows fst (bit\text{-}bounds\ n) \leq val \wedge val \leq snd\ (bit\text{-}bounds\ n)
 {f using} \ assms \ signed-take-bit-bounds
 by (metis size64 word-size)
lemma int-signed-value-bounds:
 assumes b1 \le 64
 assumes \theta < b1
 shows fst (bit\text{-}bounds\ b1) \leq int\text{-}signed\text{-}value\ b1\ v2\ \land
        int-signed-value b1 v2 \le snd (bit-bounds b1)
  using assms int-signed-value.simps signed-take-bit-bounds64 by blast
lemma int-signed-value-range:
  fixes ival :: int64
 assumes val = int-signed-value n ival
 \mathbf{shows} - (2 \ \widehat{} \ (n-1)) \le val \land val < 2 \ \widehat{} \ (n-1)
 using signed-take-bit-range assms
  by (smt (verit, ccfv-SIG) One-nat-def diff-less int-signed-value.elims len-qt-0
len-num1 power-less-imp-less-exp power-strict-increasing sint-greater-eq sint-less)
```

Some lemmas about unsigned words smaller than 64-bit, for zero-extend

30

```
operators.
lemma take-bit-smaller-range:
 fixes ival :: 'a :: len word
 assumes n < LENGTH('a)
 assumes val = sint(take-bit \ n \ ival)
 shows 0 \le val \land val < (2::int) \cap n
 by (simp add: assms signed-take-bit-eq)
lemma take-bit-same-size-nochange:
 fixes ival :: 'a :: len word
 assumes n = LENGTH('a)
 shows ival = take-bit \ n \ ival
 by (simp add: assms)
A simplification lemma for new int, showing that upper bits can be ignored.
lemma take-bit-redundant[simp]:
 fixes ival :: 'a :: len word
 assumes 0 < n
 assumes n < LENGTH('a)
 shows signed-take-bit (n-1) (take-bit n ival) = signed-take-bit (n-1) ival
proof -
 have \neg (n \le n - 1) using assms by arith
 then have \bigwedge i . signed-take-bit (n-1) (take-bit \ n \ i) = signed-take-bit \ (n-1) i
   using signed-take-bit-take-bit by (metis (mono-tags))
 then show ?thesis
   by blast
qed
lemma take-bit-same-size-range:
 fixes ival :: 'a :: len word
 assumes n = LENGTH('a)
 assumes ival2 = take-bit \ n \ ival
 shows -(2 \hat{n} div 2) \leq sint ival 2 \wedge sint ival 2 < 2 \hat{n} div 2
 using assms lower-bounds-equiv sint-ge sint-lt by auto
lemma take-bit-same-bounds:
 fixes ival :: 'a :: len word
 assumes n = LENGTH('a)
 assumes ival2 = take-bit \ n \ ival
 shows fst (bit\text{-}bounds\ n) \leq sint\ ival2 \wedge sint\ ival2 \leq snd\ (bit\text{-}bounds\ n)
 unfolding bit-bounds.simps
 using assms take-bit-same-size-range
 by force
```

Next we show that casting a word to a wider word preserves any upper/lower bounds. (These lemmas may not be needed any more, since we are not using scast now?)

lemma scast-max-bound:

```
assumes sint (v :: 'a :: len word) < M
 assumes LENGTH('a) < LENGTH('b)
 shows sint((scast\ v)::'b::len\ word) < M
 unfolding Word.scast-eq Word.sint-sbintrunc'
 using Bit-Operations.signed-take-bit-int-eq-self-iff
 \mathbf{by}\ (smt\ (verit,\ best)\ One-nat-def\ assms(1)\ assms(2)\ decr-length-less-iff\ linorder-not-le
power-strict-increasing-iff signed-take-bit-int-less-self-iff sint-greater-eq)
lemma scast-min-bound:
 assumes M \leq sint (v :: 'a :: len word)
 assumes LENGTH('a) < LENGTH('b)
 shows M \leq sint ((scast \ v) :: 'b :: len \ word)
 unfolding Word.scast-eq Word.sint-sbintrunc'
 using Bit-Operations.signed-take-bit-int-eq-self-iff
 by (smt (verit) One-nat-def Suc-pred assms(1) assms(2) len-qt-0 less-Suc-eq or-
der-less-le order-less-le-trans power-le-imp-le-exp signed-take-bit-int-greater-eq-self-iff
sint-lt)
lemma scast-bigger-max-bound:
 assumes (result :: 'b :: len word) = scast (v :: 'a :: len word)
 shows sint \ result < 2 \ ^LENGTH('a) \ div \ 2
 using sint-lt upper-bounds-equiv scast-max-bound
 by (smt (verit, best) assms(1) len-gt-0 signed-scast-eq signed-take-bit-int-greater-self-iff
sint-ge sint-less upper-bounds-equiv)
lemma scast-bigger-min-bound:
 assumes (result :: 'b :: len word) = scast (v :: 'a :: len word)
 shows -(2 \cap LENGTH('a) \ div \ 2) \leq sint \ result
 using sint-ge lower-bounds-equiv scast-min-bound
 by (smt (verit) assms len-gt-0 nat-less-le not-less scast-max-bound)
{\bf lemma}\ scast-bigger-bit-bounds:
 assumes (result :: 'b :: len word) = scast (v :: 'a :: len word)
 shows fst (bit-bounds (LENGTH('a))) \leq sint \ result \wedge sint \ result \leq snd (bit-bounds
(LENGTH('a))
 using assms scast-bigger-min-bound scast-bigger-max-bound
 by auto
Results about new int.
lemma new-int-take-bits:
 assumes IntVal\ b\ val = new\text{-}int\ b\ ival
 shows take-bit b val = val
 using assms by force
```

3.6.2 Support lemmas for take bit and signed take bit.

Lemmas for removing redundant take_bit wrappers.

lemma take-bit-dist-addL[simp]:

```
fixes x :: 'a :: len word
 shows take-bit b (take-bit\ b\ x+y)=take-bit\ b\ (x+y)
proof (induction b)
 case \theta
 then show ?case
   by simp
next
 case (Suc\ b)
 then show ?case
   by (simp\ add:\ add.commute\ mask-eqs(2)\ take-bit-eq-mask)
qed
lemma take-bit-dist-addR[simp]:
 fixes x :: 'a :: len word
 shows take-bit\ b\ (x+take-bit\ b\ y)=take-bit\ b\ (x+y)
 using take-bit-dist-addL by (metis add.commute)
lemma take-bit-dist-subL[simp]:
 fixes x :: 'a :: len word
 shows take-bit b (take-bit\ b\ x-y)=take-bit\ b\ (x-y)
 by (metis take-bit-dist-addR uminus-add-conv-diff)
lemma take-bit-dist-subR[simp]:
 fixes x :: 'a :: len word
 shows take-bit b (x - take-bit b y) = take-bit b (x - y)
 using take-bit-dist-subL
 by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) diff-add-cancel diff-right-commute diff-self)
lemma take-bit-dist-neg[simp]:
 fixes ix :: 'a :: len word
 shows take-bit\ b\ (-take-bit\ b\ (ix)) = take-bit\ b\ (-ix)
 by (metis diff-0 take-bit-dist-subR)
lemma signed-take-take-bit[simp]:
 fixes x :: 'a :: len word
 assumes \theta < b
 shows signed-take-bit (b-1) (take-bit\ b\ x) = signed-take-bit\ (b-1)\ x
 by (smt (verit, best) Suc-diff-1 assms lessI linorder-not-less signed-take-bit-take-bit)
lemma mod-larger-ignore:
 fixes a :: int
 fixes m n :: nat
 assumes n < m
 shows (a \mod 2 \widehat{\ } m) \mod 2 \widehat{\ } n = a \mod 2 \widehat{\ } n
 by (smt (verit, del-insts) assms exp-mod-exp linorder-not-le mod-0-imp-dvd mod-mod-cancel
```

```
lemma mod-dist-over-add:
fixes a b c :: int64
fixes n :: nat
assumes 1: 0 < n
assumes 2: n < 64
shows (a \ mod \ 2^n + b) \ mod \ 2^n = (a + b) \ mod \ 2^n
proof —
have 3: (0 :: int64) < 2^n
using assms by (simp \ add: size64 \ word-2p-lem)
then show ?thesis
unfolding word-mod-2p-is-mask[OF 3]
```

by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) and right-idem take-bit-add take-bit-eq-mask)

end

qed

4 Stamp Typing

apply transfer

```
theory Stamp
imports Values
begin
```

The GraalVM compiler uses the Stamp class to store range and type information for a given node in the IR graph. We model the Stamp class as a datatype, Stamp, and provide a number of functions on the datatype which correspond to the class methods within the compiler.

Stamp information is used in a variety of ways in optimizations, and so, we additionally provide a number of lemmas which help to prove future optimizations.

```
 \begin{array}{l} \textbf{datatype} \; Stamp = \\ VoidStamp \\ | \; IntegerStamp \; (stp-bits: \; nat) \; (stpi-lower: \; int) \; (stpi-upper: \; int) \\ | \; KlassPointerStamp \; (stp-nonNull: \; bool) \; (stp-alwaysNull: \; bool) \\ | \; MethodCountersPointerStamp \; (stp-nonNull: \; bool) \; (stp-alwaysNull: \; bool) \\ | \; MethodPointersStamp \; (stp-nonNull: \; bool) \; (stp-alwaysNull: \; bool) \\ | \; ObjectStamp \; (stp-type: \; string) \; (stp-exactType: \; bool) \; (stp-nonNull: \; bool) \; (stp-alwaysNull: \; bool) \\ | \; RawPointerStamp \; (stp-nonNull: \; bool) \; (stp-alwaysNull: \; bool) \\ | \; RlegalStamp \\ \hline \\ \textbf{fun} \; \; is\text{-stamp-empty} \; :: \; Stamp \; \Rightarrow \; bool \; \textbf{where} \\ | \; is\text{-stamp-empty} \; (IntegerStamp \; b \; lower \; upper) \; = \; (upper \; < \; lower) \; | \end{array}
```

```
is-stamp-empty x = False
```

Just like the IntegerStamp class, we need to know that our lo/hi bounds fit into the given number of bits (either signed or unsigned). Our integer stamps have infinite lo/hi bounds, so if the lower bound is non-negative, we can assume that all values are positive, and the integer bits of a related value can be interpreted as unsigned. This is similar (but slightly more general) to what IntegerStamp.java does with its test: if (sameSignBounds()) in the unsignedUpperBound() method.

Note that this is a bit different and more accurate than what StampFactory.forUnsignedInteger does (it widens large unsigned ranges to the max signed range to allow all bit patterns) because its lo/hi values are only 64-bit.

```
fun valid-stamp :: Stamp \Rightarrow bool where valid-stamp (IntegerStamp\ bits\ lo\ hi) = (0 < bits \land bits \leq 64 \land fst\ (bit-bounds\ bits) \leq lo \land lo \leq snd\ (bit-bounds\ bits) \land fst\ (bit-bounds\ bits) \leq hi \land hi \leq snd\ (bit-bounds\ bits)) | valid-stamp s = True
```

```
experiment begin corollary bit-bounds 1 = (-1, 0) by simp end
```

```
— A stamp which includes the full range of the type fun unrestricted-stamp :: Stamp \Rightarrow Stamp where unrestricted-stamp VoidStamp = VoidStamp \mid unrestricted-stamp (IntegerStamp bits IntegerStamp bits
```

```
fun is-stamp-unrestricted :: Stamp \Rightarrow bool where
   is-stamp-unrestricted s = (s = unrestricted-stamp s)
 — A stamp which provides type information but has an empty range of values
fun empty-stamp :: Stamp \Rightarrow Stamp where
   empty-stamp \ VoidStamp = VoidStamp |
  empty-stamp (IntegerStamp bits lower upper) = (IntegerStamp bits (snd (bit-bounds)
bits)) (fst (bit-bounds bits))) |
     empty-stamp (KlassPointerStamp nonNull alwaysNull) = (KlassPointerStamp
nonNull \ alwaysNull)
  empty-stamp \ (MethodCountersPointerStamp \ nonNull \ alwaysNull) = (MethodCountersPointerStamp \ nonNull \ alwaysNull)
nonNull alwaysNull)
  empty-stamp (MethodPointersStamp nonNull alwaysNull) = (MethodPointersStamp nonNull alwaysNull alway
nonNull \ alwaysNull)
   empty-stamp (ObjectStamp type exactType nonNull alwaysNull) = (ObjectStamp
"" True True False) |
   empty-stamp stamp = IllegalStamp
— Calculate the meet stamp of two stamps
fun meet :: Stamp \Rightarrow Stamp \Rightarrow Stamp where
   meet\ VoidStamp\ VoidStamp\ =\ VoidStamp\ |
   meet (IntegerStamp b1 l1 u1) (IntegerStamp b2 l2 u2) = (
       if b1 \neq b2 then IllegalStamp else
      (IntegerStamp b1 (min l1 l2) (max u1 u2))
   ) |
   meet \ (KlassPointerStamp \ nn1 \ an1) \ (KlassPointerStamp \ nn2 \ an2) = (
       KlassPointerStamp\ (nn1 \land nn2)\ (an1 \land an2)
     meet (MethodCountersPointerStamp nn1 an1) (MethodCountersPointerStamp
nn2 \ an2) = (
      MethodCountersPointerStamp\ (nn1 \land nn2)\ (an1 \land an2)
   meet \ (MethodPointersStamp \ nn1 \ an1) \ (MethodPointersStamp \ nn2 \ an2) = (
       MethodPointersStamp\ (nn1 \land nn2)\ (an1 \land an2)
   meet \ s1 \ s2 = IllegalStamp
— Calculate the join stamp of two stamps
fun join :: Stamp \Rightarrow Stamp \Rightarrow Stamp where
   join\ VoidStamp\ VoidStamp = VoidStamp
   join (IntegerStamp \ b1 \ l1 \ u1) (IntegerStamp \ b2 \ l2 \ u2) = (
       if b1 \neq b2 then IllegalStamp else
       (IntegerStamp b1 (max l1 l2) (min u1 u2))
   join (KlassPointerStamp nn1 an1) (KlassPointerStamp nn2 an2) = (
```

```
if ((nn1 \vee nn2) \wedge (an1 \vee an2))
   then (empty-stamp (KlassPointerStamp nn1 an1))
    else (KlassPointerStamp (nn1 \lor nn2) (an1 \lor an2))
 join (MethodCountersPointerStamp nn1 an1) (MethodCountersPointerStamp nn2
an2) = (
   if ((nn1 \vee nn2) \wedge (an1 \vee an2))
   then (empty-stamp (MethodCountersPointerStamp nn1 an1))
   else (MethodCountersPointerStamp (nn1 \lor nn2) (an1 \lor an2))
 join (MethodPointersStamp nn1 an1) (MethodPointersStamp nn2 an2) = (
   if ((nn1 \vee nn2) \wedge (an1 \vee an2))
   then (empty-stamp (MethodPointersStamp nn1 an1))
   else (MethodPointersStamp (nn1 \lor nn2) (an1 \lor an2))
 join \ s1 \ s2 = IllegalStamp
— In certain circumstances a stamp provides enough information to evaluate a
value as a stamp, the asConstant function converts the stamp to a value where one
can be inferred.
fun asConstant :: Stamp \Rightarrow Value where
  asConstant (IntegerStamp \ b \ l \ h) = (if \ l = h \ then \ IntVal \ b \ (word-of-int \ l) \ else
UndefVal)
  asConstant -= UndefVal
— Determine if two stamps never have value overlaps i.e. their join is empty
fun alwaysDistinct :: Stamp \Rightarrow Stamp \Rightarrow bool where
  alwaysDistinct\ stamp1\ stamp2 = is\text{-}stamp\text{-}empty\ (join\ stamp1\ stamp2)
— Determine if two stamps must always be the same value i.e. two equal constants
fun neverDistinct :: Stamp \Rightarrow Stamp \Rightarrow bool where
  never Distinct\ stamp1\ stamp2\ =\ (as Constant\ stamp1\ =\ as Constant\ stamp2\ \wedge
asConstant\ stamp1 \neq\ UndefVal)
fun constantAsStamp :: Value <math>\Rightarrow Stamp where
 constant As Stamp \ (Int Val \ b \ v) = (Integer Stamp \ b \ (int\text{-}signed\text{-}value \ b \ v) \ (int\text{-}signed\text{-}value \ b \ v)
(b \ v)) \mid
  constantAsStamp -= IllegalStamp
— Define when a runtime value is valid for a stamp. The stamp bounds must be
valid, and val must be zero-extended.
fun valid-value :: Value <math>\Rightarrow Stamp \Rightarrow bool where
  valid-value (IntVal b1 val) (IntegerStamp b l h) =
    (if b1 = b then
      valid-stamp (IntegerStamp b l h) <math>\land
      take-bit b val = val \land
      l \leq \mathit{int\text{-}signed\text{-}value}\ b\ \mathit{val}\ \land\ \mathit{int\text{-}signed\text{-}value}\ b\ \mathit{val} \leq \mathit{h}
```

```
valid-value (ObjRef ref) (ObjectStamp klass exact nonNull alwaysNull) =
    ((alwaysNull \longrightarrow ref = None) \land (ref=None \longrightarrow \neg nonNull))
  valid-value stamp val = False
definition wf-value :: Value \Rightarrow bool where
  wf-value v = valid-value v (constantAsStamp v)
lemma unfold-wf-value[simp]:
  wf-value v \Longrightarrow valid-value v (constantAsStamp v)
 using wf-value-def by auto
fun compatible :: Stamp \Rightarrow Stamp \Rightarrow bool where
  compatible (IntegerStamp b1 lo1 hi1) (IntegerStamp b2 lo2 hi2) =
    (b1 = b2 \land valid\text{-}stamp (IntegerStamp b1 lo1 hi1) \land valid\text{-}stamp (IntegerStamp b1 lo1 hi1))
b2 lo2 hi2)) |
  compatible (VoidStamp) (VoidStamp) = True \mid
  compatible - - = False
fun stamp-under :: Stamp \Rightarrow Stamp \Rightarrow bool where
 stamp-under (IntegerStamp b1 lo1 hi1) (IntegerStamp b2 lo2 hi2) = (hi1 < lo2)
  stamp-under - - = False
— The most common type of stamp within the compiler (apart from the Void-
Stamp) is a 32 bit integer stamp with an unrestricted range. We use default-stamp
as it is a frequently used stamp.
definition default-stamp :: Stamp where
  default-stamp = (unrestricted-stamp (IntegerStamp 32 0 0))
value valid-value (IntVal 8 (255)) (IntegerStamp 8 (-128) 127)
end
```

5 Graph Representation

5.1 IR Graph Nodes

else False) |

```
\begin{array}{c} \textbf{theory} \ IRNodes\\ \textbf{imports}\\ \textit{Values} \\ \textbf{begin} \end{array}
```

The GraalVM IR is represented using a graph data structure. Here we define the nodes that are contained within the graph. Each node represents a Node subclass in the GraalVM compiler, the node classes have annotated fields to indicate input and successor edges.

type-synonym ID = nat

We represent these classes with each IRNode constructor explicitly labelling a reference to the node IDs that it stores as inputs and successors.

The inputs_of and successors_of functions partition those labelled references into input edges and successor edges of a node.

To identify each Node, we use a simple natural number index. Zero is always the start node in a graph. For human readability, within nodes we write INPUT (or special case thereof) instead of ID for input edges, and SUCC instead of ID for control-flow successor edges. Optional edges are handled as "INPUT option" etc.

```
type-synonym\ INPUT = ID
type-synonym INPUT-ASSOC = ID
type-synonym INPUT-STATE = ID
\mathbf{type\text{-}synonym}\ \mathit{INPUT\text{-}GUARD} = \mathit{ID}
\mathbf{type\text{-}synonym}\ \mathit{INPUT\text{-}COND} = \mathit{ID}
type-synonym INPUT-EXT = ID
type-synonym SUCC = ID
datatype (discs-sels) IRNode =
        AbsNode (ir-value: INPUT)
              AddNode (ir-x: INPUT) (ir-y: INPUT)
              AndNode (ir-x: INPUT) (ir-y: INPUT)
              BeginNode (ir-next: SUCC)
       |\ Bytecode Exception Node\ (ir-arguments:\ INPUT\ list)\ (ir-state After-opt:\ INPUT-STATE)\ (ir-st
option) (ir-next: SUCC)
          ConditionalNode (ir-condition: INPUT-COND) (ir-trueValue: INPUT) (ir-falseValue:
INPUT)
       | ConstantNode (ir-const: Value)
     | DynamicNewArrayNode (ir-elementType: INPUT) (ir-length: INPUT) (ir-voidClass-opt:
INPUT option) (ir-stateBefore-opt: INPUT-STATE option) (ir-next: SUCC)
        \mid EndNode
     | ExceptionObjectNode (ir-stateAfter-opt: INPUT-STATE option) (ir-next: SUCC)
         | FrameState (ir-monitorIds: INPUT-ASSOC list) (ir-outerFrameState-opt: IN-
PUT-STATE option) (ir-values-opt: INPUT list option) (ir-virtualObjectMappings-opt:
INPUT-STATE list option)
    | \textit{IfNode (ir-condition: INPUT-COND) (ir-true Successor: SUCC) (ir-false Successor: S
SUCC)
              IntegerBelowNode (ir-x: INPUT) (ir-y: INPUT)
              IntegerEqualsNode (ir-x: INPUT) (ir-y: INPUT)
        | IntegerLessThanNode (ir-x: INPUT) (ir-y: INPUT)
            | InvokeNode (ir-nid: ID) (ir-callTarget: INPUT-EXT) (ir-classInit-opt: IN-
PUT option) (ir-stateDuring-opt: INPUT-STATE option) (ir-stateAfter-opt: IN-
PUT-STATE option) (ir-next: SUCC)
     | Invoke With Exception Node (ir-nid: ID) (ir-call Target: INPUT-EXT) (ir-class Init-opt: Invoke With Exception Node (ir-nid: ID) (ir-call Target: INPUT-EXT) (ir-class Init-opt: Invoke With Exception Node (ir-nid: ID) (ir-call Target: INPUT-EXT) (ir-class Init-opt: Invoke With Exception Node (ir-nid: ID) (ir-call Target: INPUT-EXT) (ir-class Init-opt: INPUT-EXT) (ir-c
```

```
INPUT option) (ir-stateDuring-opt: INPUT-STATE option) (ir-stateAfter-opt: IN-
PUT-STATE option) (ir-next: SUCC) (ir-exceptionEdge: SUCC)
  IsNullNode (ir-value: INPUT)
  KillingBeginNode (ir-next: SUCC)
 | LeftShiftNode (ir-x: INPUT) (ir-y: INPUT)
  | LoadFieldNode (ir-nid: ID) (ir-field: string) (ir-object-opt: INPUT option)
(ir-next: SUCC)
 | LogicNegationNode (ir-value: INPUT-COND)
 | LoopBeginNode (ir-ends: INPUT-ASSOC list) (ir-overflowGuard-opt: INPUT-GUARD
option) (ir-stateAfter-opt: INPUT-STATE option) (ir-next: SUCC)
 | LoopEndNode (ir-loopBegin: INPUT-ASSOC)|
||LoopExitNode|| (ir-loopBegin: INPUT-ASSOC) (ir-stateAfter-opt: INPUT-STATE)
option) (ir-next: SUCC)
   MergeNode (ir-ends: INPUT-ASSOC list) (ir-stateAfter-opt: INPUT-STATE
option) (ir-next: SUCC)
  MethodCallTargetNode (ir-targetMethod: string) (ir-arguments: INPUT list)
  MulNode (ir-x: INPUT) (ir-y: INPUT)
  NarrowNode (ir-inputBits: nat) (ir-resultBits: nat) (ir-value: INPUT)
  NegateNode (ir-value: INPUT)
  NewArrayNode (ir-length: INPUT) (ir-stateBefore-opt: INPUT-STATE option)
(ir-next: SUCC)
  NewInstanceNode (ir-nid: ID) (ir-instanceClass: string) (ir-stateBefore-opt: IN-
PUT-STATE option) (ir-next: SUCC)
  NotNode (ir-value: INPUT)
  OrNode (ir-x: INPUT) (ir-y: INPUT)
  ParameterNode (ir-index: nat)
  PiNode (ir-object: INPUT) (ir-guard-opt: INPUT-GUARD option)
 | ReturnNode (ir-result-opt: INPUT option) (ir-memoryMap-opt: INPUT-EXT
option)
  RightShiftNode (ir-x: INPUT) (ir-y: INPUT)
  ShortCircuitOrNode (ir-x: INPUT-COND) (ir-y: INPUT-COND)
  SignExtendNode (ir-inputBits: nat) (ir-resultBits: nat) (ir-value: INPUT)
 | SignedDivNode (ir-nid: ID) (ir-x: INPUT) (ir-y: INPUT) (ir-zeroCheck-opt: IN-
PUT-GUARD option) (ir-stateBefore-opt: INPUT-STATE option) (ir-next: SUCC)
 | SignedRemNode (ir-nid: ID) (ir-x: INPUT) (ir-y: INPUT) (ir-zeroCheck-opt:
INPUT-GUARD option) (ir-stateBefore-opt: INPUT-STATE option) (ir-next: SUCC)
  StartNode (ir-stateAfter-opt: INPUT-STATE option) (ir-next: SUCC)
 | StoreFieldNode (ir-nid: ID) (ir-field: string) (ir-value: INPUT) (ir-stateAfter-opt:
INPUT-STATE option) (ir-object-opt: INPUT option) (ir-next: SUCC)
  SubNode (ir-x: INPUT) (ir-y: INPUT)
  UnsignedRightShiftNode (ir-x: INPUT) (ir-y: INPUT)
  UnwindNode (ir-exception: INPUT)
  ValuePhiNode (ir-nid: ID) (ir-values: INPUT list) (ir-merge: INPUT-ASSOC)
   ValueProxyNode (ir-value: INPUT) (ir-loopExit: INPUT-ASSOC)
  XorNode (ir-x: INPUT) (ir-y: INPUT)
  ZeroExtendNode (ir-inputBits: nat) (ir-resultBits: nat) (ir-value: INPUT)
  NoNode
```

```
fun opt-to-list :: 'a option \Rightarrow 'a list where opt-to-list None = [] \mid opt-to-list (Some \ v) = [v]
fun opt-list-to-list :: 'a list option \Rightarrow 'a list where opt-list-to-list None = [] \mid opt-list-to-list (Some \ x) = x
```

| RefNode (ir-ref:ID)

The following functions, inputs_of and successors_of, are automatically generated from the GraalVM compiler. Their purpose is to partition the node edges into input or successor edges.

```
fun inputs-of :: IRNode \Rightarrow ID \ list \ \mathbf{where}
    inputs-of-AbsNode:
    inputs-of (AbsNode value) = [value]
    inputs-of-AddNode:
    inputs-of (AddNode\ x\ y) = [x,\ y]
    inputs-of-AndNode:
    inputs-of (AndNode\ x\ y) = [x,\ y]
    inputs-of-BeginNode:
    inputs-of (BeginNode next) = [] |
    inputs-of-BytecodeExceptionNode:
      inputs-of (BytecodeExceptionNode\ arguments\ stateAfter\ next) = arguments\ @
(opt-to-list stateAfter)
    inputs-of-Conditional Node:
     inputs-of (ConditionalNode condition trueValue falseValue) = [condition, true-option = falseValue]
 Value, falseValue
    inputs-of-ConstantNode:
    inputs-of (ConstantNode \ const) = [] |
    inputs-of-DynamicNewArrayNode:
      inputs-of (DynamicNewArrayNode elementType length0 voidClass stateBefore
next) = [elementType, length0] @ (opt-to-list voidClass) @ (opt-to-list stateBefore)
    inputs-of-EndNode:
    inputs-of (EndNode) = [] |
    inputs-of-ExceptionObjectNode:
    inputs-of\ (ExceptionObjectNode\ stateAfter\ next) = (opt-to-list\ stateAfter)\ |
    inputs-of	ext{-}FrameState:
   inputs-of (FrameState monitorIds outerFrameState values virtualObjectMappings)
= monitor Ids @ (opt-to-list outer Frame State) @ (opt-list-to-list values) @ (opt-l
virtualObjectMappings)
    inputs-of-IfNode:
    inputs-of (IfNode condition trueSuccessor falseSuccessor) = [condition]
```

```
inputs-of-IntegerBelowNode:
 inputs-of\ (IntegerBelowNode\ x\ y) = [x,\ y]\ |
 inputs-of-Integer Equals Node:
 inputs-of\ (IntegerEqualsNode\ x\ y) = [x,\ y]\ |
 inputs-of-IntegerLessThanNode:
 inputs-of\ (IntegerLessThanNode\ x\ y) = [x,\ y]\ |
 inputs-of-InvokeNode:
  inputs-of (InvokeNode nid0 callTarget classInit stateDuring stateAfter next)
= callTarget # (opt-to-list classInit) @ (opt-to-list stateDuring) @ (opt-to-list
stateAfter)
 inputs-of-Invoke\ With Exception\ Node:
 inputs-of\ (InvokeWithExceptionNode\ nid0\ callTarget\ classInit\ stateDuring\ stateAfter
next\ exceptionEdge) = callTarget\ \#\ (opt\text{-}to\text{-}list\ classInit)\ @\ (opt\text{-}to\text{-}list\ stateDur-
ing) @ (opt-to-list stateAfter) |
 inputs-of-IsNullNode:
 inputs-of (IsNullNode value) = [value]
 inputs-of-KillingBeginNode:
 inputs-of (KillingBeginNode next) = [] |
 inputs-of-LeftShiftNode:
 inputs-of (LeftShiftNode x y) = [x, y]
 inputs-of-LoadFieldNode:
 inputs-of\ (LoadFieldNode\ nid0\ field\ object\ next) = (opt-to-list\ object)\ |
 inputs-of-LogicNegationNode:
 inputs-of (LogicNegationNode value) = [value]
 inputs-of-LoopBeginNode:
 inputs-of\ (LoopBeginNode\ ends\ overflowGuard\ stateAfter\ next) = ends\ @\ (opt-to-list
overflowGuard) @ (opt-to-list stateAfter) |
 inputs-of-LoopEndNode:
 inputs-of (LoopEndNode\ loopBegin) = [loopBegin]
 inputs-of-LoopExitNode:
  inputs-of (LoopExitNode\ loopBegin\ stateAfter\ next) = loopBegin\ \#\ (opt-to-list
stateAfter)
 inputs-of-MergeNode:
 inputs-of (MergeNode\ ends\ stateAfter\ next) = ends\ @\ (opt-to-list\ stateAfter)\ |
 inputs-of-Method Call Target Node:
 inputs-of (MethodCallTargetNode targetMethod arguments) = arguments
 inputs-of-MulNode:
 inputs-of (MulNode \ x \ y) = [x, \ y] \mid
 inputs-of-NarrowNode:
 inputs-of\ (NarrowNode\ inputBits\ resultBits\ value) = [value]
 inputs-of-NegateNode:
 inputs-of (NegateNode value) = [value]
 inputs-of-NewArrayNode:
 Before) |
 inputs-of-NewInstanceNode:
  inputs-of (NewInstanceNode nid0 instanceClass stateBefore next) = (opt-to-list
stateBefore)
 inputs-of-NotNode:
```

```
inputs-of\ (NotNode\ value) = [value]
 inputs-of-OrNode:
 inputs-of (OrNode \ x \ y) = [x, \ y] \mid
 inputs-of-ParameterNode:
 inputs-of (ParameterNode index) = []
 inputs-of-PiNode:
 inputs-of\ (PiNode\ object\ guard) = object\ \#\ (opt-to-list\ guard)
 inputs-of-ReturnNode:
  inputs-of (ReturnNode result memoryMap) = (opt-to-list result) @ (opt-to-list
memoryMap) \mid
 inputs-of-RightShiftNode:
 inputs-of (RightShiftNode \ x \ y) = [x, \ y]
 inputs-of-ShortCircuitOrNode:
 inputs-of\ (ShortCircuitOrNode\ x\ y)=[x,\ y]\ |
 inputs-of-SignExtendNode:
 inputs-of\ (SignExtendNode\ inputBits\ resultBits\ value) = [value]
 inputs-of-SignedDivNode:
  inputs-of (SignedDivNode nid0 \ x \ y \ zeroCheck \ stateBefore \ next) = [x, y] @
(opt-to-list zeroCheck) @ (opt-to-list stateBefore) |
 inputs-of-SignedRemNode:
  inputs-of (SignedRemNode nid0 \ x \ y \ zeroCheck \ stateBefore \ next) = [x, y] @
(opt-to-list zeroCheck) @ (opt-to-list stateBefore) |
 inputs-of	ext{-}StartNode:
 inputs-of\ (StartNode\ stateAfter\ next) = (opt-to-list\ stateAfter)
 inputs-of	ext{-}StoreFieldNode:
  inputs-of (StoreFieldNode nid0 field value stateAfter object next) = value #
(opt-to-list stateAfter) @ (opt-to-list object) |
 inputs-of-SubNode:
 inputs-of\ (SubNode\ x\ y) = [x,\ y]\ |
 inputs-of-Unsigned Right Shift Node:
 inputs-of\ (UnsignedRightShiftNode\ x\ y) = [x,\ y]\ |
 inputs-of-UnwindNode:
 inputs-of (UnwindNode exception) = [exception]
 inputs-of-ValuePhiNode:
 inputs-of\ (ValuePhiNode\ nid0\ values\ merge) = merge\ \#\ values\ |
 inputs-of-ValueProxyNode:
 inputs-of\ (ValueProxyNode\ value\ loopExit) = [value,\ loopExit]\ |
 inputs-of-XorNode:
 inputs-of (XorNode\ x\ y) = [x,\ y]
 inputs-of-ZeroExtendNode:
 inputs-of\ (ZeroExtendNode\ inputBits\ resultBits\ value) = [value]
 inputs-of-NoNode: inputs-of (NoNode) = [] |
 inputs-of-RefNode: inputs-of (RefNode ref) = [ref]
```

fun successors-of :: $IRNode \Rightarrow ID$ list where

successors-of-AbsNode:

```
successors-of (AbsNode value) = [] |
 successors-of-AddNode:
 successors-of (AddNode \ x \ y) = [] \mid
 successors-of-AndNode:
 successors-of (AndNode\ x\ y) = []
 successors-of-BeginNode:
 successors-of (BeginNode next) = [next]
 successors-of-BytecodeExceptionNode:
 successors-of (BytecodeExceptionNode\ arguments\ stateAfter\ next) = [next]
 successors-of-ConditionalNode:
 successors-of (ConditionalNode condition trueValue\ falseValue) = []
 successors-of-ConstantNode:
 successors-of\ (ConstantNode\ const) = \lceil \rceil
 successors-of-DynamicNewArrayNode:
 successors-of (DynamicNewArrayNode elementType length0 voidClass stateBefore
next) = [next]
 successors-of-EndNode:
 successors-of (EndNode) = []
 successors-of-ExceptionObjectNode:
 successors-of (ExceptionObjectNode\ stateAfter\ next) = [next]
 successors-of-FrameState:
 successors-of (FrameState monitorIds outerFrameState values virtualObjectMap-
pings) = [] \mid
 successors-of-IfNode:
  successors-of (IfNode condition trueSuccessor falseSuccessor) = [trueSuccessor,
falseSuccessor
 successors-of-IntegerBelowNode:
 successors-of (IntegerBelowNode \ x \ y) = [] \mid
 successors-of-IntegerEqualsNode:
 successors-of (IntegerEqualsNode\ x\ y) = []
 successors-of-IntegerLessThanNode:
 successors-of (IntegerLessThanNode \ x \ y) = [] |
 successors-of-InvokeNode:
 successors-of (InvokeNode nid0 callTarget classInit stateDuring stateAfter next)
= [next]
 successors-of-Invoke With Exception Node:
  successors-of (InvokeWithExceptionNode\ nid0\ callTarget\ classInit\ stateDuring
stateAfter\ next\ exceptionEdge) = [next,\ exceptionEdge]
 successors-of-IsNullNode:
 successors-of (IsNullNode value) = [] |
 successors-of-KillingBeginNode:
 successors-of (KillingBeginNode\ next) = [next]
 successors-of-LeftShiftNode:
 successors-of (LeftShiftNode \ x \ y) = [] 
 successors-of-LoadFieldNode:
 successors-of (LoadFieldNode nid0 field object next) = [next]
 successors-of-LogicNegationNode:
 successors-of (LogicNegationNode\ value) = []
 successors-of-LoopBeginNode:
```

```
successors-of (LoopBeqinNode\ ends\ overflowGuard\ stateAfter\ next) = [next]
successors-of-LoopEndNode:
successors-of\ (LoopEndNode\ loopBegin) = []\ |
successors-of-LoopExitNode:
successors-of (LoopExitNode\ loopBegin\ stateAfter\ next) = [next]
successors-of-MergeNode:
successors-of (MergeNode\ ends\ stateAfter\ next) = [next]
successors-of-MethodCallTargetNode:
successors-of (MethodCallTargetNode\ targetMethod\ arguments) = []
successors-of-MulNode:
successors-of (MulNode\ x\ y) = []
successors-of-NarrowNode:
successors-of (NarrowNode\ inputBits\ resultBits\ value) = []
successors-of-NegateNode:
successors-of (NegateNode\ value) = []
successors-of-NewArrayNode:
successors-of (NewArrayNode\ length0\ stateBefore\ next) = [next]
successors-of-NewInstanceNode:
successors-of (NewInstanceNode nid0 instanceClass stateBefore next) = [next]
successors-of-NotNode:
successors-of\ (NotNode\ value) = []
successors-of-OrNode:
successors-of (OrNode \ x \ y) = [] 
successors-of-ParameterNode:
successors-of\ (ParameterNode\ index) = [] |
successors-of-PiNode:
successors-of (PiNode object guard) = [] |
successors-of-ReturnNode:
successors-of (ReturnNode\ result\ memoryMap) = []
successors-of-RightShiftNode:
successors-of (RightShiftNode\ x\ y) = []
successors-of-ShortCircuitOrNode:
successors-of (ShortCircuitOrNode\ x\ y) = []
successors-of-SignExtendNode:
successors-of (SignExtendNode\ inputBits\ resultBits\ value) = []
successors-of-SignedDivNode:
successors-of (SignedDivNode nid0 x y zeroCheck stateBefore next) = [next]
successors-of-SignedRemNode:
successors-of (SignedRemNode nid0 x y zeroCheck stateBefore next) = [next]
successors-of-StartNode:
successors-of\ (StartNode\ stateAfter\ next) = \lceil next \rceil \mid
successors-of-StoreFieldNode:
successors-of (StoreFieldNode\ nid0\ field\ value\ stateAfter\ object\ next) = [next]
successors-of-SubNode:
successors-of (SubNode x y) = [] |
successors-of-UnsignedRightShiftNode:
successors-of (UnsignedRightShiftNode \ x \ y) = [] \ []
successors-of-UnwindNode:
successors-of (UnwindNode\ exception) = []
```

```
successors-of-ValuePhiNode:
 successors-of (ValuePhiNode nid0 values merge) = [] |
 successors-of-ValueProxyNode:
 successors-of (ValueProxyNode\ value\ loopExit) = []
 successors-of-XorNode:
 successors-of (XorNode \ x \ y) = [] \mid
 successors-of-ZeroExtendNode:
 successors-of (ZeroExtendNode\ inputBits\ resultBits\ value) = []
 successors-of-NoNode: successors-of (NoNode) = [] |
 successors-of-RefNode: successors-of (RefNode ref) = [ref]
lemma inputs-of (FrameState x (Some y) (Some z) None) = x @ [y] @ z
 unfolding inputs-of-FrameState by simp
lemma successors-of (FrameState x (Some y) (Some z) None) = []
 unfolding inputs-of-FrameState by simp
lemma inputs-of (IfNode c\ t\ f) = [c]
 unfolding inputs-of-IfNode by simp
lemma successors-of (IfNode c\ t\ f) = [t, f]
 unfolding successors-of-IfNode by simp
lemma inputs-of (EndNode) = [] \land successors-of (EndNode) = []
 unfolding inputs-of-EndNode successors-of-EndNode by simp
```

5.2 IR Graph Node Hierarchy

theory IRNodeHierarchy imports IRNodes begin

end

It is helpful to introduce a node hierarchy into our formalization. Often the GraalVM compiler relies on explicit type checks to determine which operations to perform on a given node, we try to mimic the same functionality by using a suite of predicate functions over the IRNode class to determine inheritance.

As one would expect, the function is < ClassName > Type will be true if the node parameter is a subclass of the ClassName within the GraalVM compiler.

These functions have been automatically generated from the compiler.

```
fun is-EndNode :: IRNode \Rightarrow bool where is-EndNode EndNode = True \mid is-EndNode - = False
```

```
fun is-VirtualState :: IRNode \Rightarrow bool where
  is-VirtualState n = ((is-FrameState n))
fun is-BinaryArithmeticNode :: IRNode <math>\Rightarrow bool where
  is-BinaryArithmeticNode n = ((is-AddNode n) \lor (is-AndNode n) \lor (is-MulNode
n) \vee (is\text{-}OrNode\ n) \vee (is\text{-}SubNode\ n) \vee (is\text{-}XorNode\ n))
fun is-ShiftNode :: IRNode \Rightarrow bool where
 is-ShiftNode n = ((is-LeftShiftNode n) \lor (is-RightShiftNode n) \lor (is-UnsignedRightShiftNode n-
n))
fun is-BinaryNode :: IRNode <math>\Rightarrow bool where
  is-BinaryNode n = ((is-BinaryArithmeticNode n) \lor (is-ShiftNode n))
fun is-AbstractLocalNode :: IRNode <math>\Rightarrow bool where
  is-AbstractLocalNode n = ((is-ParameterNode n))
fun is-IntegerConvertNode :: IRNode \Rightarrow bool where
  is-IntegerConvertNode n = ((is-NarrowNode n) \lor (is-SignExtendNode n) \lor
(is-ZeroExtendNode\ n))
fun is-UnaryArithmeticNode :: IRNode <math>\Rightarrow bool where
 is-UnaryArithmeticNode n = ((is-AbsNode n) \lor (is-NegateNode n) \lor (is-NotNode
n))
fun is-UnaryNode :: IRNode \Rightarrow bool where
  is-UnaryNode n = ((is-IntegerConvertNode n) \lor (is-UnaryArithmeticNode n))
fun is-PhiNode :: IRNode \Rightarrow bool where
  is-PhiNode n = ((is-ValuePhiNode n))
fun is-FloatingGuardedNode :: IRNode <math>\Rightarrow bool where
  is-FloatingGuardedNode n = ((is-PiNode n))
fun is-UnaryOpLogicNode :: IRNode <math>\Rightarrow bool where
  is-UnaryOpLogicNode n = ((is-IsNullNode n))
fun is-IntegerLowerThanNode :: IRNode \Rightarrow bool where
 is-IntegerLowerThanNode n = ((is-IntegerBelowNode n) \lor (is-IntegerLessThanNode
n))
fun is-CompareNode :: IRNode \Rightarrow bool where
 is-CompareNode n = ((is-IntegerEqualsNode n) \lor (is-IntegerLowerThanNode n))
fun is-BinaryOpLogicNode :: IRNode <math>\Rightarrow bool where
  is-BinaryOpLogicNode n = ((is-CompareNode n))
```

```
fun is-LogicNode :: IRNode <math>\Rightarrow bool where
   is\text{-}LogicNode \ n = ((is\text{-}BinaryOpLogicNode \ n) \lor (is\text{-}LogicNegationNode \ n) \lor
(is	ext{-}ShortCircuitOrNode\ n) \lor (is	ext{-}UnaryOpLogicNode\ n))
fun is-ProxyNode :: IRNode <math>\Rightarrow bool where
  is-ProxyNode\ n = ((is-ValueProxyNode\ n))
fun is-FloatingNode :: IRNode <math>\Rightarrow bool where
 is-FloatingNode n = ((is-AbstractLocalNode n) \lor (is-BinaryNode n) \lor (is-ConditionalNode
n) \lor (is\text{-}ConstantNode\ n) \lor (is\text{-}FloatingGuardedNode\ n) \lor (is\text{-}LogicNode\ n) \lor
(is-PhiNode\ n) \lor (is-ProxyNode\ n) \lor (is-UnaryNode\ n))
fun is-AccessFieldNode :: IRNode <math>\Rightarrow bool where
  is-AccessFieldNode n = ((is-LoadFieldNode n) \lor (is-StoreFieldNode n))
fun is-AbstractNewArrayNode :: IRNode <math>\Rightarrow bool where
 is-AbstractNewArrayNode n = ((is-DynamicNewArrayNode n) \lor (is-NewArrayNode
n))
fun is-AbstractNewObjectNode :: IRNode <math>\Rightarrow bool where
 is-AbstractNewObjectNode \ n = ((is-AbstractNewArrayNode \ n) \lor (is-NewInstanceNode \ n) \lor (is-NewInstanceNode \ n) \lor (is-NewInstanceNode \ n) \lor (is-NewInstanceNode \ n)
n))
fun is-IntegerDivRemNode :: IRNode \Rightarrow bool where
  is-IntegerDivRemNode n = ((is-SignedDivNode n) \lor (is-SignedRemNode n))
fun is-FixedBinaryNode :: IRNode <math>\Rightarrow bool where
  is-FixedBinaryNode n = ((is-IntegerDivRemNode n))
fun is-DeoptimizingFixedWithNextNode :: IRNode <math>\Rightarrow bool where
 is-DeoptimizingFixedWithNextNode\ n = ((is-AbstractNewObjectNode\ n) \lor (is-FixedBinaryNode\ n)
fun is-AbstractMemoryCheckpoint :: IRNode <math>\Rightarrow bool where
 is-AbstractMemoryCheckpoint n=((is-BytecodeExceptionNode n) \lor (is-InvokeNode
n))
fun is-AbstractStateSplit :: IRNode \Rightarrow bool where
  is-AbstractStateSplit \ n = ((is-AbstractMemoryCheckpoint \ n))
fun is-AbstractMergeNode :: IRNode <math>\Rightarrow bool where
  is-AbstractMergeNode \ n = ((is-LoopBeginNode \ n) \lor (is-MergeNode \ n))
fun is-BeginStateSplitNode :: IRNode <math>\Rightarrow bool where
 is-BeginStateSplitNode n = ((is-AbstractMergeNode n) \lor (is-ExceptionObjectNode
n) \lor (is\text{-}LoopExitNode\ n) \lor (is\text{-}StartNode\ n))
fun is-AbstractBeginNode :: IRNode <math>\Rightarrow bool where
   is-AbstractBeginNode n = ((is-BeginNode n) \lor (is-BeginStateSplitNode n) \lor
```

```
(is-KillingBeginNode\ n))
\mathbf{fun} \ \mathit{is-FixedWithNextNode} :: \mathit{IRNode} \Rightarrow \mathit{bool} \ \mathbf{where}
 is-FixedWithNextNode n = ((is-AbstractBeqinNode n) \lor (is-AbstractStateSplit n)
\vee (is-AccessFieldNode n) \vee (is-DeoptimizingFixedWithNextNode n))
fun is-WithExceptionNode :: IRNode \Rightarrow bool where
  is-WithExceptionNode n = ((is-InvokeWithExceptionNode n))
fun is-ControlSplitNode :: IRNode <math>\Rightarrow bool where
  is-ControlSplitNode n = ((is-IfNode n) \lor (is-WithExceptionNode n))
fun is-ControlSinkNode :: IRNode <math>\Rightarrow bool where
  is-ControlSinkNode n = ((is-ReturnNode n) \lor (is-UnwindNode n))
fun is-AbstractEndNode :: IRNode <math>\Rightarrow bool where
  is-AbstractEndNode n = ((is-EndNode n) \lor (is-LoopEndNode n))
fun is-FixedNode :: IRNode \Rightarrow bool where
 is-FixedNode n = ((is-AbstractEndNode n) \lor (is-ControlSinkNode n) \lor (is-ControlSplitNode
n) \vee (is\text{-}FixedWithNextNode} n))
fun is-CallTargetNode :: IRNode <math>\Rightarrow bool where
  is-CallTargetNode n = ((is-MethodCallTargetNode n))
fun is-ValueNode :: IRNode \Rightarrow bool where
  is-ValueNode n = ((is-CallTargetNode n) \lor (is-FixedNode n) \lor (is-FloatingNode
n))
fun is-Node :: IRNode \Rightarrow bool where
  is-Node n = ((is-ValueNode n) \lor (is-VirtualState n))
fun is-MemoryKill :: IRNode \Rightarrow bool where
  is-MemoryKill n = ((is-AbstractMemoryCheckpoint n))
fun is-NarrowableArithmeticNode :: IRNode \Rightarrow bool where
 is-NarrowableArithmeticNode n = ((is-AbsNode n) \lor (is-AddNode n) \lor (is-AndNode
n) \lor (is\text{-}NulNode\ n) \lor (is\text{-}NegateNode\ n) \lor (is\text{-}NotNode\ n) \lor (is\text{-}OrNode\ n) \lor
(is\text{-}ShiftNode\ n) \lor (is\text{-}SubNode\ n) \lor (is\text{-}XorNode\ n))
fun is-AnchoringNode :: IRNode <math>\Rightarrow bool where
  is-AnchoringNode n = ((is-AbstractBeginNode n))
fun is-DeoptBefore :: IRNode <math>\Rightarrow bool where
  is-DeoptBefore n = ((is-DeoptimizingFixedWithNextNode n))
fun is-IndirectCanonicalization :: IRNode \Rightarrow bool where
  is-IndirectCanonicalization n = ((is-LogicNode n))
```

```
fun is-IterableNodeType :: IRNode <math>\Rightarrow bool where
 is-IterableNodeType n = ((is-AbstractBeginNode n) \lor (is-AbstractMergeNode n) \lor
(is	ext{-}FrameState\ n) \lor (is	ext{-}IfNode\ n) \lor (is	ext{-}IntegerDivRemNode\ n) \lor (is	ext{-}InvokeWithExceptionNode\ n)
n) \lor (is\text{-}LoopBeginNode\ n) \lor (is\text{-}LoopExitNode\ n) \lor (is\text{-}MethodCallTargetNode\ n)
\lor (is-ParameterNode n) \lor (is-ReturnNode n) \lor (is-ShortCircuitOrNode n))
fun is-Invoke :: IRNode \Rightarrow bool where
  is-Invoke n = ((is-InvokeNode n) \lor (is-InvokeWithExceptionNode n))
fun is-Proxy :: IRNode \Rightarrow bool where
  is-Proxy n = ((is-ProxyNode n))
fun is-ValueProxy :: IRNode \Rightarrow bool where
  is-ValueProxy n = ((is-PiNode n) \lor (is-ValueProxyNode n))
fun is-ValueNodeInterface :: IRNode \Rightarrow bool where
  is-ValueNodeInterface n = ((is-ValueNode n))
fun is-ArrayLengthProvider :: IRNode <math>\Rightarrow bool where
  is-ArrayLengthProvider n = ((is-AbstractNewArrayNode n) \lor (is-ConstantNode
n))
fun is-StampInverter :: IRNode <math>\Rightarrow bool where
 is-StampInverter n = ((is-IntegerConvertNode n) \lor (is-NegateNode n) \lor (is-NotNode
n))
fun is-GuardingNode :: IRNode <math>\Rightarrow bool where
  is-GuardingNode n = ((is-AbstractBeginNode n))
fun is-SingleMemoryKill :: IRNode <math>\Rightarrow bool where
 is-SingleMemoryKill n = ((is-BytecodeExceptionNode n) \lor (is-ExceptionObjectNode
n) \lor (is\text{-}InvokeNode\ n) \lor (is\text{-}InvokeWithExceptionNode\ n) \lor (is\text{-}KillingBeginNode\ n)
n) \lor (is\text{-}StartNode\ n))
fun is-LIRLowerable :: IRNode <math>\Rightarrow bool where
   is\text{-}LIRLowerable\ n=((is\text{-}AbstractBeginNode\ n)\ \lor\ (is\text{-}AbstractEndNode\ n)\ \lor
(is	ext{-}AbstractMergeNode\ n) \lor (is	ext{-}BinaryOpLogicNode\ n) \lor (is	ext{-}CallTargetNode\ n) \lor
(is-ConditionalNode\ n) \lor (is-ConstantNode\ n) \lor (is-IfNode\ n) \lor (is-InvokeNode\ n)
\lor (is-InvokeWithExceptionNode n) \lor (is-IsNullNode n) \lor (is-LoopBeginNode n) \lor
(is-PiNode\ n) \lor (is-ReturnNode\ n) \lor (is-SignedDivNode\ n) \lor (is-SignedRemNode\ n)
n) \lor (is\text{-}UnaryOpLogicNode\ n) \lor (is\text{-}UnwindNode\ n))
fun is-GuardedNode :: IRNode <math>\Rightarrow bool where
  is-GuardedNode n = ((is-FloatingGuardedNode n))
\mathbf{fun} \ \mathit{is-ArithmeticLIRLowerable} :: \mathit{IRNode} \Rightarrow \mathit{bool} \ \mathbf{where}
 is-ArithmeticLIRLowerable n = ((is-AbsNode n) \lor (is-BinaryArithmeticNode n) \lor
(is\text{-}IntegerConvertNode\ n) \lor (is\text{-}NotNode\ n) \lor (is\text{-}ShiftNode\ n) \lor (is\text{-}UnaryArithmeticNode\ n)
n))
```

```
fun is-SwitchFoldable :: IRNode <math>\Rightarrow bool where
   is-SwitchFoldable n = ((is-IfNode n))
fun is-VirtualizableAllocation :: IRNode \Rightarrow bool where
   is-VirtualizableAllocation n = ((is-NewArrayNode n) \lor (is-NewInstanceNode n))
fun is-Unary :: IRNode \Rightarrow bool where
   is-Unary n = ((is-LoadFieldNode n) \lor (is-LoqicNegationNode n) \lor (is-UnaryNode
n) \vee (is\text{-}UnaryOpLogicNode\ n))
fun is-FixedNodeInterface :: IRNode <math>\Rightarrow bool where
   is-FixedNodeInterface n = ((is-FixedNode n))
fun is-BinaryCommutative :: IRNode \Rightarrow bool where
  is-Binary Commutative n = ((is-AddNode n) \lor (is-AndNode n) \lor (is-IntegerEqualsNode
n) \lor (is\text{-}MulNode\ n) \lor (is\text{-}OrNode\ n) \lor (is\text{-}XorNode\ n))
fun is-Canonicalizable :: IRNode \Rightarrow bool where
  is-Canonicalizable n = ((is-BytecodeExceptionNode n) \lor (is-ConditionalNode n) \lor (is-Condition
(is-DynamicNewArrayNode\ n) \lor (is-PhiNode\ n) \lor (is-PiNode\ n) \lor (is-ProxyNode\ n)
n) \lor (is\text{-}StoreFieldNode\ n) \lor (is\text{-}ValueProxyNode\ n))
fun is-UncheckedInterfaceProvider :: IRNode \Rightarrow bool where
  is-UncheckedInterfaceProvider n = ((is-InvokeNode n) \lor (is-InvokeWithExceptionNode
n) \vee (is\text{-}LoadFieldNode\ n) \vee (is\text{-}ParameterNode\ n))
fun is-Binary :: IRNode \Rightarrow bool where
  is-Binary n = ((is-Binary Arithmetic Node n) \lor (is-Binary Node n) \lor (is-Binary Op Logic Node n)
n) \lor (is\text{-}CompareNode\ n) \lor (is\text{-}FixedBinaryNode\ n) \lor (is\text{-}ShortCircuitOrNode\ n))
fun is-ArithmeticOperation :: IRNode \Rightarrow bool where
  is-ArithmeticOperation n = ((is-BinaryArithmeticNode n) \lor (is-IntegerConvertNode
n) \lor (is\text{-}ShiftNode\ n) \lor (is\text{-}UnaryArithmeticNode\ n))
fun is-ValueNumberable :: IRNode \Rightarrow bool where
   is-ValueNumberable n = ((is-FloatingNode n) \lor (is-ProxyNode n))
fun is-Lowerable :: IRNode \Rightarrow bool where
     is-Lowerable n = ((is-AbstractNewObjectNode n) \lor (is-AccessFieldNode n) \lor
(is	ext{-}BytecodeExceptionNode\ n) \lor (is	ext{-}ExceptionObjectNode\ n) \lor (is	ext{-}IntegerDivRemNode\ n)
n) \vee (is\text{-}UnwindNode\ n))
fun is-Virtualizable :: IRNode \Rightarrow bool where
   is-Virtualizable n = ((is-IsNullNode n) \lor (is-LoadFieldNode n) \lor (is-PiNode n)
\lor (is\text{-}StoreFieldNode\ n) \lor (is\text{-}ValueProxyNode\ n))
fun is-Simplifiable :: IRNode <math>\Rightarrow bool where
    is-Simplifiable n = ((is-AbstractMergeNode n) \lor (is-BeginNode n) \lor (is-IfNode
```

```
n) \lor (is\text{-}LoopExitNode\ n) \lor (is\text{-}MethodCallTargetNode\ n) \lor (is\text{-}NewArrayNode\ n))
\mathbf{fun}\ is\text{-}StateSplit\ ::\ IRNode\ \Rightarrow\ bool\ \mathbf{where}
is\text{-}StateSplit\ n = ((is\text{-}AbstractStateSplit\ n) \lor (is\text{-}BeginStateSplitNode\ n) \lor (is\text{-}StoreFieldNode\ n))
\mathbf{fun}\ is\text{-}ConvertNode\ ::\ IRNode\ \Rightarrow\ bool\ \mathbf{where}
is\text{-}ConvertNode\ n = ((is\text{-}IntegerConvertNode\ n))
\mathbf{fun}\ is\text{-}sequential\text{-}node\ ::\ IRNode\ \Rightarrow\ bool\ \mathbf{where}
is\text{-}sequential\text{-}node\ (StartNode\ -\ ) =\ True\ |
is\text{-}sequential\text{-}node\ (KillingBeginNode\ -\ ) =\ True\ |
is\text{-}sequential\text{-}node\ (LoopBeginNode\ -\ -\ ) =\ True\ |
is\text{-}sequential\text{-}node\ (MergeNode\ -\ -\ ) =\ True\ |
is\text{-}sequential\text{-}node\ (RefNode\ -\ ) =\ True\ |
is\text{-}sequential\text{-}node\ -\ -\ -\ }
```

The following convenience function is useful in determining if two IRNodes are of the same type irregardless of their edges. It will return true if both the node parameters are the same node class.

```
fun is-same-ir-node-type :: IRNode \Rightarrow IRNode \Rightarrow bool where
is-same-ir-node-type n1 n2 = (
  ((is-AbsNode \ n1) \land (is-AbsNode \ n2)) \lor
  ((is-AddNode \ n1) \land (is-AddNode \ n2)) \lor
  ((is-AndNode \ n1) \land (is-AndNode \ n2)) \lor
  ((is-BeginNode\ n1) \land (is-BeginNode\ n2)) \lor
  ((is-BytecodeExceptionNode\ n1) \land (is-BytecodeExceptionNode\ n2)) \lor
  ((is-ConditionalNode\ n1) \land (is-ConditionalNode\ n2)) \lor
  ((is\text{-}ConstantNode\ n1) \land (is\text{-}ConstantNode\ n2)) \lor
  ((is-DynamicNewArrayNode\ n1) \land (is-DynamicNewArrayNode\ n2)) \lor
  ((is\text{-}EndNode\ n1) \land (is\text{-}EndNode\ n2)) \lor
  ((is\text{-}ExceptionObjectNode\ n1) \land (is\text{-}ExceptionObjectNode\ n2)) \lor
  ((is\text{-}FrameState\ n1) \land (is\text{-}FrameState\ n2)) \lor
  ((is\text{-}IfNode\ n1) \land (is\text{-}IfNode\ n2)) \lor
  ((is-IntegerBelowNode\ n1)\ \land\ (is-IntegerBelowNode\ n2))\ \lor
  ((is-IntegerEqualsNode\ n1) \land (is-IntegerEqualsNode\ n2)) \lor
  ((is-IntegerLessThanNode\ n1) \land (is-IntegerLessThanNode\ n2)) \lor
  ((is\text{-}InvokeNode\ n1) \land (is\text{-}InvokeNode\ n2)) \lor
  ((is-InvokeWithExceptionNode\ n1) \land (is-InvokeWithExceptionNode\ n2)) \lor
  ((is\text{-}IsNullNode\ n1) \land (is\text{-}IsNullNode\ n2)) \lor
  ((is\text{-}KillingBeginNode\ n1) \land (is\text{-}KillingBeginNode\ n2)) \lor
  ((is-LeftShiftNode\ n1) \land (is-LeftShiftNode\ n2)) \lor
  ((is\text{-}LoadFieldNode\ n1) \land (is\text{-}LoadFieldNode\ n2)) \lor
  ((is\text{-}LogicNegationNode\ n1) \land (is\text{-}LogicNegationNode\ n2)) \lor
  ((is\text{-}LoopBeginNode\ n1) \land (is\text{-}LoopBeginNode\ n2)) \lor
  ((is\text{-}LoopEndNode\ n1) \land (is\text{-}LoopEndNode\ n2)) \lor
```

```
((is\text{-}LoopExitNode\ n1) \land (is\text{-}LoopExitNode\ n2)) \lor
((is\text{-}MergeNode\ n1) \land (is\text{-}MergeNode\ n2)) \lor
((is-MethodCallTargetNode\ n1) \land (is-MethodCallTargetNode\ n2)) \lor
((is\text{-}MulNode\ n1) \land (is\text{-}MulNode\ n2)) \lor
((is-NarrowNode\ n1) \land (is-NarrowNode\ n2)) \lor
((is\text{-}NegateNode\ n1) \land (is\text{-}NegateNode\ n2)) \lor
((is-NewArrayNode\ n1) \land (is-NewArrayNode\ n2)) \lor
((is\text{-}NewInstanceNode\ n1) \land (is\text{-}NewInstanceNode\ n2)) \lor
((is\text{-}NotNode\ n1) \land (is\text{-}NotNode\ n2)) \lor
((is\text{-}OrNode\ n1) \land (is\text{-}OrNode\ n2)) \lor
((is-ParameterNode\ n1) \land (is-ParameterNode\ n2)) \lor
((is\text{-}PiNode\ n1) \land (is\text{-}PiNode\ n2)) \lor
((is\text{-}ReturnNode\ n1) \land (is\text{-}ReturnNode\ n2)) \lor
((is-RightShiftNode\ n1) \land (is-RightShiftNode\ n2)) \lor
((is-ShortCircuitOrNode\ n1) \land (is-ShortCircuitOrNode\ n2)) \lor
((is\text{-}SignedDivNode\ n1) \land (is\text{-}SignedDivNode\ n2)) \lor
((is\text{-}SignedRemNode\ n1) \land (is\text{-}SignedRemNode\ n2)) \lor
((is-SignExtendNode\ n1) \land (is-SignExtendNode\ n2)) \lor
((is\text{-}StartNode\ n1) \land (is\text{-}StartNode\ n2)) \lor
((is\text{-}StoreFieldNode\ n1) \land (is\text{-}StoreFieldNode\ n2)) \lor
((is\text{-}SubNode\ n1) \land (is\text{-}SubNode\ n2)) \lor
((is\text{-}UnsignedRightShiftNode\ n1) \land (is\text{-}UnsignedRightShiftNode\ n2)) \lor
((is\text{-}UnwindNode\ n1) \land (is\text{-}UnwindNode\ n2)) \lor
((is-ValuePhiNode\ n1) \land (is-ValuePhiNode\ n2)) \lor
((is-ValueProxyNode\ n1) \land (is-ValueProxyNode\ n2)) \lor
((is\text{-}XorNode\ n1) \land (is\text{-}XorNode\ n2)) \lor
((is\text{-}ZeroExtendNode\ n1) \land (is\text{-}ZeroExtendNode\ n2)))
```

 \mathbf{end}

5.3 IR Graph Type

```
theory IRGraph
imports
IRNodeHierarchy
Stamp
HOL-Library.FSet
HOL.Relation
begin
```

This theory defines the main Graal data structure - an entire IR Graph.

IRGraph is defined as a partial map with a finite domain. The finite domain is required to be able to generate code and produce an interpreter.

```
typedef IRGraph = \{g :: ID \rightarrow (IRNode \times Stamp) : finite (dom g)\}
proof -
have finite(dom(Map.empty)) \land ran Map.empty = \{\} by auto
then show ?thesis
by fastforce
```

```
qed
setup-lifting type-definition-IRGraph
lift-definition ids :: IRGraph \Rightarrow ID \ set
  is \lambda g. \{nid \in dom \ g : \nexists s. \ g \ nid = (Some \ (NoNode, \ s))\}.
fun with-default :: c \Rightarrow (b \Rightarrow c) \Rightarrow ((a \rightarrow b) \Rightarrow a \Rightarrow c) where
  with-default def conv = (\lambda m \ k.
    (case \ m \ k \ of \ None \Rightarrow def \mid Some \ v \Rightarrow conv \ v))
lift-definition kind :: IRGraph \Rightarrow (ID \Rightarrow IRNode)
  is with-default NoNode fst .
lift-definition stamp :: IRGraph \Rightarrow ID \Rightarrow Stamp
  is with-default IllegalStamp and.
lift-definition add\text{-}node :: ID \Rightarrow (IRNode \times Stamp) \Rightarrow IRGraph \Rightarrow IRGraph
  is \lambda nid \ k \ g. if fst \ k = NoNode \ then \ g \ else \ g(nid \mapsto k) by simp
lift-definition remove-node :: ID \Rightarrow IRGraph \Rightarrow IRGraph
  is \lambda nid\ g.\ g(nid:=None) by simp
lift-definition replace-node :: ID \Rightarrow (IRNode \times Stamp) \Rightarrow IRGraph \Rightarrow IRGraph
  is \lambda nid \ k \ g. if fst \ k = NoNode \ then \ g \ else \ g(nid \mapsto k) by simp
lift-definition as-list :: IRGraph \Rightarrow (ID \times IRNode \times Stamp) list
  is \lambda g. map (\lambda k. (k, the (g k))) (sorted-list-of-set (dom g)).
fun no-node :: (ID \times (IRNode \times Stamp)) list \Rightarrow (ID \times (IRNode \times Stamp)) list
where
  no-node g = filter (\lambda n. fst (snd n) \neq NoNode) g
lift-definition irgraph :: (ID \times (IRNode \times Stamp)) \ list \Rightarrow IRGraph
  is map-of \circ no-node
  by (simp add: finite-dom-map-of)
definition as-set :: IRGraph \Rightarrow (ID \times (IRNode \times Stamp)) set where
  as-set g = \{(n, kind \ g \ n, stamp \ g \ n) \mid n \ . \ n \in ids \ g\}
definition true\text{-}ids :: IRGraph \Rightarrow ID set  where
  true\text{-}ids\ g=ids\ g-\{n\in ids\ g.\ \exists\ n'\ .\ kind\ g\ n=\textit{RefNode}\ n'\}
definition domain-subtraction :: 'a set \Rightarrow ('a \times 'b) set \Rightarrow ('a \times 'b) set
  (infix \leq 3\theta) where
```

domain-subtraction $s \ r = \{(x, y) \ . \ (x, y) \in r \land x \notin s\}$

notation (latex)

domain-subtraction (- \triangleleft -)

${\bf code\text{-}datatype}\ irgraph$

```
fun filter-none where
 filter-none g = \{nid \in dom \ g : \nexists s. \ g \ nid = (Some \ (NoNode, s))\}
lemma no-node-clears:
  res = no\text{-}node \ xs \longrightarrow (\forall \ x \in set \ res. \ fst \ (snd \ x) \neq NoNode)
 by simp
lemma dom-eq:
  assumes \forall x \in set \ xs. \ fst \ (snd \ x) \neq NoNode
 shows filter-none (map-of xs) = dom (map-of xs)
 unfolding filter-none.simps using assms map-of-SomeD
 by fastforce
lemma fil-eq:
 filter-none\ (map-of\ (no-node\ xs)) = set\ (map\ fst\ (no-node\ xs))
 using no-node-clears
 by (metis dom-eq dom-map-of-conv-image-fst list.set-map)
lemma irgraph[code]: ids (irgraph m) = set (map fst (no-node m))
  unfolding irgraph-def ids-def using fil-eq
  by (smt Rep-IRGraph comp-apply eq-onp-same-args filter-none.simps ids.abs-eq
ids-def irgraph.abs-eq irgraph.rep-eq irgraph-def mem-Collect-eq)
lemma [code]: Rep-IRGraph (irgraph m) = map-of (no-node m)
  using Abs-IRGraph-inverse
 by (simp add: irgraph.rep-eq)
— Get the inputs set of a given node ID
fun inputs :: IRGraph \Rightarrow ID \Rightarrow ID set where
 inputs\ g\ nid = set\ (inputs-of\ (kind\ g\ nid))
— Get the successor set of a given node ID
fun succ :: IRGraph \Rightarrow ID \Rightarrow ID set where
  succ\ q\ nid = set\ (successors-of\ (kind\ q\ nid))
— Gives a relation between node IDs - between a node and its input nodes
fun input\text{-}edges :: IRGraph \Rightarrow ID rel where
  input-edges\ g = (\bigcup\ i \in ids\ g.\ \{(i,j)|j.\ j \in (inputs\ g\ i)\})
— Find all the nodes in the graph that have nid as an input - the usages of nid
fun usages :: IRGraph \Rightarrow ID \Rightarrow ID set where
  usages\ g\ nid = \{i.\ i \in ids\ g \land nid \in inputs\ g\ i\}
fun successor-edges :: IRGraph \Rightarrow ID rel where
  successor\text{-}edges\ g = (\bigcup\ i \in ids\ g.\ \{(i,j)|j\ .\ j \in (succ\ g\ i)\})
fun predecessors :: IRGraph \Rightarrow ID \Rightarrow ID set where
  predecessors \ g \ nid = \{i. \ i \in ids \ g \land nid \in succ \ g \ i\}
fun nodes-of :: IRGraph \Rightarrow (IRNode \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow ID set where
```

```
nodes-of g \ sel = \{ nid \in ids \ g \ . \ sel \ (kind \ g \ nid) \}
fun edge :: (IRNode \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow ID \Rightarrow IRGraph \Rightarrow 'a where
  edge \ sel \ nid \ g = sel \ (kind \ g \ nid)
fun filtered-inputs :: IRGraph \Rightarrow ID \Rightarrow (IRNode \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow ID list where
  filtered-inputs g nid f = filter (f \circ (kind g)) (inputs-of (kind g nid))
\textbf{fun} \ \textit{filtered-successors} :: \mathit{IRGraph} \Rightarrow \mathit{ID} \Rightarrow (\mathit{IRNode} \Rightarrow \mathit{bool}) \Rightarrow \mathit{ID} \ \mathit{list} \ \textbf{where}
  filtered-successors q nid f = filter (f \circ (kind \ q)) (successors-of (kind \ q \ nid))
fun filtered-usages :: IRGraph \Rightarrow ID \Rightarrow (IRNode \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow ID set where
 filtered-usages g nid f = \{n \in (usages \ g \ nid). \ f \ (kind \ g \ n)\}
fun is-empty :: IRGraph \Rightarrow bool where
  is\text{-}empty\ g = (ids\ g = \{\})
fun any-usage :: IRGraph \Rightarrow ID \Rightarrow ID where
  any-usage q nid = hd (sorted-list-of-set (usages q nid))
lemma ids-some[simp]: x \in ids \ g \longleftrightarrow kind \ g \ x \neq NoNode
proof -
  have that: x \in ids \ g \longrightarrow kind \ g \ x \neq NoNode
    using ids.rep-eq kind.rep-eq by force
 have kind g x \neq NoNode \longrightarrow x \in ids g
    unfolding with-default.simps kind-def ids-def
    by (cases Rep-IRGraph g x = None; auto)
  from this that show ?thesis by auto
qed
lemma not-in-g:
  assumes nid \notin ids g
 shows kind \ g \ nid = NoNode
 using assms ids-some by blast
lemma valid-creation[simp]:
 \mathit{finite}\ (\mathit{dom}\ g) \longleftrightarrow \mathit{Rep-IRGraph}\ (\mathit{Abs-IRGraph}\ g) = g
 using Abs-IRGraph-inverse by (metis Rep-IRGraph mem-Collect-eq)
lemma [simp]: finite (ids g)
  using Rep-IRGraph ids.rep-eq by simp
lemma [simp]: finite (ids (irgraph g))
 by (simp add: finite-dom-map-of)
lemma [simp]: finite (dom \ g) \longrightarrow ids \ (Abs-IRGraph \ g) = \{nid \in dom \ g \ . \ \nexists \ s. \ g
nid = Some (NoNode, s)
 using ids.rep-eq by simp
lemma [simp]: finite (dom\ q) \longrightarrow kind\ (Abs\text{-}IRGraph\ q) = (\lambda x\ .\ (case\ q\ x\ of\ None
\Rightarrow NoNode \mid Some \ n \Rightarrow fst \ n)
 by (simp add: kind.rep-eq)
```

```
lemma [simp]: finite (dom g) \longrightarrow stamp (Abs-IRGraph g) = (\lambda x . (case g x of
None \Rightarrow IllegalStamp \mid Some \ n \Rightarrow snd \ n)
 using stamp.abs-eq stamp.rep-eq by auto
lemma [simp]: ids (irgraph g) = set (map fst (no-node g))
 using irgraph by auto
lemma [simp]: kind (irgraph g) = (\lambdanid. (case (map-of (no-node g)) nid of None
\Rightarrow NoNode \mid Some \ n \Rightarrow fst \ n)
 using irgraph.rep-eq kind.transfer kind.rep-eq by auto
lemma [simp]: stamp (irgraph g) = (\lambda nid. (case (map-of (no-node g)) nid of None
\Rightarrow IllegalStamp | Some n \Rightarrow snd n)
 using irgraph.rep-eq stamp.transfer stamp.rep-eq by auto
lemma map-of-upd: (map\text{-}of\ g)(k\mapsto v)=(map\text{-}of\ ((k,\ v)\ \#\ g))
 by simp
lemma [code]: replace-node nid k (irgraph g) = (irgraph ( ((nid, k) \# g)))
proof (cases\ fst\ k = NoNode)
 {f case}\ True
 then show ?thesis
   by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) Rep-IRGraph-inject filter.simps(2) irgraph.abs-eq
no-node.simps replace-node.rep-eq snd-conv)
next
 case False
 then show ?thesis unfolding irgraph-def replace-node-def no-node.simps
   by (smt (verit, best) Rep-IRGraph comp-apply eq-onp-same-args filter.simps(2)
id-def irgraph.rep-eq map-fun-apply map-of-upd mem-Collect-eq no-node.elims re-
place-node.abs-eq replace-node-def snd-eqD)
qed
lemma [code]: add-node nid k (irgraph g) = (irgraph (((nid, k) \# g)))
  by (smt (23) Rep-IRGraph-inject add-node.rep-eq filter.simps(2) irgraph.rep-eq
map-of-upd no-node.simps snd-conv)
lemma add-node-lookup:
 gup = add-node nid (k, s) g \longrightarrow
   (if k \neq NoNode then kind gup nid = k \wedge stamp gup nid = s else kind gup nid
= kind \ g \ nid)
proof (cases k = NoNode)
 case True
 then show ?thesis
   by (simp add: add-node.rep-eq kind.rep-eq)
 case False
 then show ?thesis
```

```
by (simp add: kind.rep-eq add-node.rep-eq stamp.rep-eq)
qed
lemma remove-node-lookup:
  gup = remove\text{-}node \ nid \ g \longrightarrow kind \ gup \ nid = NoNode \land stamp \ gup \ nid =
IllegalStamp
 \mathbf{by}\ (simp\ add:\ kind.rep-eq\ remove-node.rep-eq\ stamp.rep-eq)
lemma replace-node-lookup[simp]:
 gup = replace - node \ nid \ (k, \ s) \ g \ \land \ k \neq \ NoNode \longrightarrow kind \ gup \ nid = k \ \land \ stamp
gup \ nid = s
 by (simp add: replace-node.rep-eq kind.rep-eq stamp.rep-eq)
\mathbf{lemma}\ replace\text{-}node\text{-}unchanged:
 gup = replace - node \ nid \ (k, s) \ g \longrightarrow (\forall \ n \in (ids \ g - \{nid\}) \ . \ n \in ids \ g \land n \in ids
qup \wedge kind \ q \ n = kind \ qup \ n
 by (simp add: kind.rep-eq replace-node.rep-eq)
5.3.1 Example Graphs
Example 1: empty graph (just a start and end node)
definition start-end-graph:: IRGraph where
  None None, VoidStamp)]
Example 2: public static int sq(int x) return x * x;
[1 P(0)] / [0 Start] [4 *] | / V / [5 Return]
definition eg2-sq :: IRGraph where
 eg2-sq = irgraph
   (0, StartNode None 5, VoidStamp),
   (1, ParameterNode 0, default-stamp),
   (4, MulNode 1 1, default-stamp),
   (5, ReturnNode (Some 4) None, default-stamp)
value input-edges eg2-sq
value usages eg2-sq 1
end
5.4
      Structural Graph Comparison
```

theory

 $\begin{array}{c} Comparison \\ \textbf{imports} \end{array}$

```
IRGraph begin
```

We introduce a form of structural graph comparison that is able to assert structural equivalence of graphs which differ in zero or more reference node chains for any given nodes.

```
fun find-ref-nodes :: IRGraph \Rightarrow (ID \rightarrow ID) where
find-ref-nodes q = map-of
 (map\ (\lambda n.\ (n, ir-ref\ (kind\ g\ n)))\ (filter\ (\lambda id.\ is-RefNode\ (kind\ g\ id))\ (sorted-list-of-set)
(ids \ q))))
fun replace-ref-nodes :: IRGraph \Rightarrow (ID \rightarrow ID) \Rightarrow ID \ list \Rightarrow ID \ list where
replace-ref-nodes g m xs = map (\lambda id. (case (m id) of Some other \Rightarrow other | None)
\Rightarrow id)) xs
fun find-next :: ID \ list \Rightarrow ID \ set \Rightarrow ID \ option \ \mathbf{where}
  find-next to-see seen = (let \ l = (filter \ (\lambda nid. \ nid \notin seen) \ to-see)
    in (case \ l \ of \ [] \Rightarrow None \ | \ xs \Rightarrow Some \ (hd \ xs)))
inductive reachables :: IRGraph \Rightarrow ID \ list \Rightarrow ID \ set \Rightarrow ID \ set \Rightarrow bool \ where
reachables g [] \{\} \}
[None = find\text{-}next \ to\text{-}see \ seen] \implies reachables \ q \ to\text{-}see \ seen \ |
[Some \ n = find\text{-}next \ to\text{-}see \ seen;]
  node = kind \ g \ n;
  new = (inputs-of \ node) @ (successors-of \ node);
  reachables g (to-see @ new) (\{n\} \cup seen) seen' \parallel \implies reachables <math>g to-see seen
seen'
\mathbf{code\text{-}pred}\ (modes: i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o \Rightarrow bool)\ [show\text{-}steps, show\text{-}mode\text{-}inference, show\text{-}intermediate\text{-}results]
reachables .
inductive nodeEq :: (ID \rightarrow ID) \Rightarrow IRGraph \Rightarrow ID \Rightarrow IRGraph \Rightarrow ID \Rightarrow bool
\llbracket kind \ g1 \ n1 = RefNode \ ref; \ nodeEq \ m \ g1 \ ref \ g2 \ n2 \ \rrbracket \Longrightarrow nodeEq \ m \ g1 \ n1 \ g2 \ n2 \ \rrbracket
[x = kind \ g1 \ n1;
  y = kind \ g2 \ n2;
  is-same-ir-node-type \ x \ y;
  replace-ref-nodes\ g1\ m\ (successors-of\ x) = successors-of\ y;
  replace-ref-nodes g1 m (inputs-of x) = inputs-of y \mathbb{I}
  \implies nodeEq \ m \ q1 \ n1 \ q2 \ n2
code-pred [show-modes] nodeEq.
fun diffNodesGraph :: IRGraph <math>\Rightarrow IRGraph \Rightarrow ID set where
diffNodesGraph \ g1 \ g2 = (let \ refNodes = find-ref-nodes \ g1 \ in
```

 $- \Rightarrow False \mid - \Rightarrow True \land \neg (nodeEq\ refNodes\ g1\ n\ g2\ n) \})$

 $\{ n : n \in Predicate.the (reachables-i-i-i-o g1 [0] \{ \}) \land (case refNodes n of Some \} \}$

```
fun diffNodesInfo :: IRGraph \Rightarrow IRGraph \Rightarrow (ID \times IRNode \times IRNode) set (infix \cap_s 20)
where
diffNodesInfo g1 g2 = {(nid, kind g1 nid, kind g2 nid) | nid . nid \in diffNodesGraph g1 g2}

fun eqGraph :: IRGraph \Rightarrow IRGraph \Rightarrow bool (infix \approx_s 20)
where
eqGraph isabelle-graph graal-graph = ((diffNodesGraph isabelle-graph graal-graph) = {})
```

end

5.5 Control-flow Graph Traversal

```
theory
Traversal
imports
IRGraph
begin
```

type-synonym Seen = ID set

nextEdge helps determine which node to traverse next by returning the first successor edge that isn't in the set of already visited nodes. If there is not an appropriate successor, None is returned instead.

```
fun nextEdge :: Seen \Rightarrow ID \Rightarrow IRGraph \Rightarrow ID option where 
 <math>nextEdge \ seen \ nid \ g = 
 (let \ nids = (filter \ (\lambda nid'. \ nid' \notin seen) \ (successors-of \ (kind \ g \ nid))) \ in 
 (if \ length \ nids > 0 \ then \ Some \ (hd \ nids) \ else \ None))
```

pred determines which node, if any, acts as the predecessor of another.

Merge nodes represent a special case where-in the predecessor exists as an input edge of the merge node, to simplify the traversal we treat only the first input end node as the predecessor, ignoring that multiple nodes may act as a successor.

For all other nodes, the predecessor is the first element of the predecessors set. Note that in a well-formed graph there should only be one element in the predecessor set.

```
fun pred :: IRGraph ⇒ ID ⇒ ID option where

pred g nid = (case kind g nid of

(MergeNode ends - -) ⇒ Some (hd ends) |

- ⇒

(if IRGraph.predecessors g nid = {}

then None else

Some (hd (sorted-list-of-set (IRGraph.predecessors g nid)))
```

)

Here we try to implement a generic fork of the control-flow traversal algorithm that was initially implemented for the Conditional Elimination phase

```
type-synonym 'a TraversalState = (ID \times Seen \times 'a)
```

inductive Step

 $:: ('a\ TraversalState \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow IRGraph \Rightarrow 'a\ TraversalState \Rightarrow 'a\ TraversalState$ option $\Rightarrow bool$

for $sa\ g$ where

— Hit a BeginNode with an IfNode predecessor which represents the start of a basic block for the IfNode. 1. nid' will be the successor of the begin node. 2. Find the first and only predecessor. 3. Extract condition from the preceding IfNode. 4. Negate condition if the begin node is second branch (we've taken the else branch of the condition) 5. Add the condition or the negated condition to stack 6. Perform any stamp updates based on the condition using the registerNewCondition function and place them on the top of the stack of stamp information

 $\llbracket kind\ g\ nid = BeginNode\ nid';$

```
nid \notin seen;
seen' = \{nid\} \cup seen;
Some if cond = pred g nid;
kind g if cond = If Node cond t f;
analysis' = sa (nid, seen, analysis) \parallel
\implies Step sa g (nid, seen, analysis) (Some (nid', seen', analysis')) \mid
```

— Hit an EndNode 1. nid' will be the usage of EndNode 2. pop the conditions and stamp stack

```
\llbracket kind\ g\ nid = EndNode;
```

 $nid \notin seen;$

```
seen' = \{nid\} \cup seen;
nid' = any\text{-}usage \ g \ nid;
analysis' = sa \ (nid, seen, analysis)
\implies Step \ sa \ g \ (nid, seen, analysis) \ (Some \ (nid', seen', analysis')) \ |
```

— We can find a successor edge that is not in seen, go there $\llbracket \neg (is\text{-}EndNode\ (kind\ q\ nid));$

```
\neg (is\text{-}BeginNode\ (kind\ g\ nid));
nid \notin seen;
seen' = \{nid\} \cup seen;
Some\ nid' = nextEdge\ seen'\ nid\ g;
```

```
analysis' = sa \ (nid, seen, analysis)]
\Rightarrow Step \ sa \ g \ (nid, seen, analysis) \ (Some \ (nid', seen', analysis')) |

— We can cannot find a successor edge that is not in seen, give back None
[\neg (is\text{-}EndNode \ (kind \ g \ nid));
\neg (is\text{-}BeginNode \ (kind \ g \ nid));
nid \notin seen;
seen' = \{nid\} \cup seen;

None = nextEdge \ seen' \ nid \ g]
\Rightarrow Step \ sa \ g \ (nid, seen, analysis) \ None |

— We've already seen this node, give back None
[nid \in seen] \Rightarrow Step \ sa \ g \ (nid, seen, analysis) \ None

code-pred (modes: \ i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o \Rightarrow bool) Step.

end
```

6 Data-flow Semantics

```
theory IRTreeEval
imports
Graph.Stamp
begin
```

We define a tree representation of data-flow nodes, as an abstraction of the graph view.

Data-flow trees are evaluated in the context of a method state (currently called MapState in the theories for historical reasons).

The method state consists of the values for each method parameter, references to method parameters use an index of the parameter within the parameter list, as such we store a list of parameter values which are looked up at parameter references.

The method state also stores a mapping of node ids to values. The contents of this mapping is calculates during the traversal of the control flow graph. As a concrete example, as the SignedDivNode::'a can have side-effects (during division by zero), it is treated as part of the control-flow, since the data-flow phase is specified to be side-effect free. As a result, the control-flow semantics for SignedDivNode::'a calculates the value of a node and maps the node identifier to the value within the method state. The data-flow semantics then just reads the value stored in the method state for the node.

```
type-synonym ID = nat
type-synonym MapState = ID \Rightarrow Value
type-synonym Params = Value\ list
```

```
definition new-map-state :: MapState where new-map-state = (\lambda x. \ UndefVal)
```

6.1 Data-flow Tree Representation

```
datatype IRUnaryOp =
   UnaryAbs
   UnaryNeg
   UnaryNot
   UnaryLogicNegation
   UnaryNarrow (ir-inputBits: nat) (ir-resultBits: nat)
   UnarySignExtend (ir-inputBits: nat) (ir-resultBits: nat)
   UnaryZeroExtend (ir-inputBits: nat) (ir-resultBits: nat)
datatype IRBinaryOp =
   BinAdd
   BinMul
   BinSub
   BinAnd
   BinOr
   BinXor
   BinShortCircuitOr
   BinLeftShift
   BinRightShift
   BinURightShift
   BinIntegerEquals
   BinIntegerLessThan
  BinIntegerBelow
datatype (discs-sels) IRExpr =
   UnaryExpr (ir-uop: IRUnaryOp) (ir-value: IRExpr)
   BinaryExpr (ir-op: IRBinaryOp) (ir-x: IRExpr) (ir-y: IRExpr)
   ConditionalExpr (ir-condition: IRExpr) (ir-trueValue: IRExpr) (ir-falseValue:
IRExpr)
 | ParameterExpr (ir-index: nat) (ir-stamp: Stamp)
 | LeafExpr (ir-nid: ID) (ir-stamp: Stamp)
   ConstantExpr (ir-const: Value)
   Constant Var (ir-name: string)
   VariableExpr (ir-name: string) (ir-stamp: Stamp)
fun is-ground :: IRExpr \Rightarrow bool where
 is-ground (UnaryExpr\ op\ e) = is-ground e
 is-ground (BinaryExpr op e1 e2) = (is-ground e1 \land is-ground e2) |
 is-ground (ConditionalExpr b e1 e2) = (is-ground b \wedge is-ground e1 \wedge is-ground
```

```
e2) |
is-ground (ParameterExpr i s) = True |
is-ground (LeafExpr n s) = True |
is-ground (ConstantExpr v) = True |
is-ground (ConstantVar name) = False |
is-ground (VariableExpr name s) = False

typedef GroundExpr = { e :: IRExpr . is-ground e }
using is-ground.simps(6) by blast
```

6.2 Functions for re-calculating stamps

Note: in Java all integer calculations are done as 32 or 64 bit calculations. However, here we generalise the operators to allow any size calculations. Many operators have the same output bits as their inputs. However, the unary integer operators that are not normal_unary are narrowing or widening operators, so the result bits is specified by the operator. The binary integer operators are divided into three groups: (1) binary_fixed_32 operators always output 32 bits, (2) binary_shift_ops operators output size is determined by their left argument, and (3) other operators output the same number of bits as both their inputs.

```
abbreviation binary-fixed-32-ops :: IRBinaryOp set where
 binary-fixed-32-ops \equiv \{BinShortCircuitOr, BinIntegerEquals, BinIntegerLessThan,
BinIntegerBelow}
abbreviation binary-shift-ops :: IRBinaryOp set where
  binary-shift-ops \equiv \{BinLeftShift, BinRightShift, BinURightShift\}
abbreviation normal-unary :: IRUnaryOp set where
  normal-unary \equiv \{UnaryAbs, UnaryNeg, UnaryNot, UnaryLogicNegation\}
fun stamp-unary :: IRUnaryOp \Rightarrow Stamp \Rightarrow Stamp where
  stamp-unary op (IntegerStamp \ b \ lo \ hi) =
   unrestricted-stamp (IntegerStamp (if op \in normal-unary then b else (ir-resultBits
op)) lo hi) |
  stamp-unary op -= IllegalStamp
fun stamp-binary :: IRBinaryOp \Rightarrow Stamp \Rightarrow Stamp \Rightarrow Stamp where
  stamp-binary op (IntegerStamp b1 lo1 hi1) (IntegerStamp b2 lo2 hi2) =
   (if \ op \in binary\text{-}shift\text{-}ops \ then \ unrestricted\text{-}stamp \ (IntegerStamp \ b1 \ lo1 \ hi1)
    else if b1 \neq b2 then IllegalStamp else
     (if \ op \in binary-fixed-32-ops)
      then unrestricted-stamp (IntegerStamp 32 lo1 hi1)
      else unrestricted-stamp (IntegerStamp b1 lo1 hi1)))
  stamp-binary op - - = IllegalStamp
```

```
fun stamp-expr: IRExpr \Rightarrow Stamp where stamp-expr (UnaryExpr op x) = stamp-unary op (stamp-expr x) | stamp-expr (BinaryExpr bop x y) = stamp-binary bop (stamp-expr x) (stamp-expr y) | <math>stamp-expr (ConstantExpr val) = constantAsStamp val | stamp-expr (LeafExpr i s) = s | <math>stamp-expr (ParameterExpr i s) = s | stamp-expr (ConditionalExpr c t f) = meet (stamp-expr t) (stamp-expr f)
```

export-code stamp-unary stamp-binary stamp-expr

6.3 Data-flow Tree Evaluation

```
fun unary-eval :: IRUnaryOp \Rightarrow Value \Rightarrow Value where unary-eval UnaryAbs v = intval-abs v | unary-eval UnaryNeg v = intval-negate v | unary-eval UnaryNot v = intval-not v | unary-eval UnaryLogicNegation v = intval-logic-negation v | unary-eval (UnaryNarrow\ inBits\ outBits) v = intval-narrow\ inBits\ outBits v | unary-eval (UnarySignExtend\ inBits\ outBits) v = intval-sign-extend\ inBits\ outBits v | unary-eval (UnaryZeroExtend\ inBits\ outBits) v = intval-zero-extend\ inBits\ outBits v
```

```
fun bin-eval :: IRBinaryOp ⇒ Value ⇒ Value ⇒ Value where bin-eval BinAdd v1 v2 = intval-add v1 v2 | bin-eval BinMul v1 v2 = intval-mul v1 v2 | bin-eval BinSub v1 v2 = intval-sub v1 v2 | bin-eval BinAnd v1 v2 = intval-and v1 v2 | bin-eval BinOr v1 v2 = intval-or v1 v2 | bin-eval BinXor v1 v2 = intval-or v1 v2 | bin-eval BinShortCircuitOr v1 v2 = intval-short-circuit-or v1 v2 | bin-eval BinLeftShift v1 v2 = intval-left-shift v1 v2 | bin-eval BinRightShift v1 v2 = intval-right-shift v1 v2 | bin-eval BinURightShift v1 v2 = intval-uright-shift v1 v2 | bin-eval BinIntegerEquals v1 v2 = intval-equals v1 v2 | bin-eval BinIntegerEquals v1 v2 = intval-less-than v1 v2 | bin-eval BinIntegerLessThan v1 v2 = intval-less-than v1 v2 | bin-eval BinIntegerBelow v1 v2 = intval-below v1 v2
```

lemmas eval-thms =

intval-abs.simps intval-negate.simps intval-not.simps intval-logic-negation.simps intval-narrow.simps intval-sign-extend.simps intval-zero-extend.simps intval-add.simps intval-mul.simps intval-sub.simps intval-and.simps intval-or.simps intval-xor.simps intval-left-shift.simps intval-right-shift.simps

```
intval-uright-shift.simps intval-equals.simps
  intval\mbox{-}less\mbox{-}than.simps\ intval\mbox{-}below.simps
inductive not-undef-or-fail :: Value \Rightarrow Value \Rightarrow bool where
  \llbracket value \neq UndefVal \rrbracket \implies not\text{-}undef\text{-}or\text{-}fail\ value\ value}
notation (latex output)
  not-undef-or-fail (- = -)
inductive
  evaltree :: MapState \Rightarrow Params \Rightarrow IRExpr \Rightarrow Value \Rightarrow bool ([-,-] \vdash - \mapsto -55)
  for m p where
  Constant Expr:
  [wf-value c]
    \implies [m,p] \vdash (ConstantExpr\ c) \mapsto c
  ParameterExpr:
  [i < length p; valid-value (p!i) s]
    \implies [m,p] \vdash (ParameterExpr\ i\ s) \mapsto p!i
  Conditional Expr:
  [[m,p] \vdash ce \mapsto cond;
    cond \neq UndefVal;
    branch = (if \ val\ -to\ -bool \ cond \ then \ te \ else \ fe);
    [m,p] \vdash branch \mapsto result;
    result \neq UndefVal
    \implies [m,p] \vdash (ConditionalExpr\ ce\ te\ fe) \mapsto result
  UnaryExpr:
  \llbracket [m,p] \vdash xe \mapsto x;
    result = (unary-eval \ op \ x);
    result \neq UndefVal
    \implies [m,p] \vdash (UnaryExpr \ op \ xe) \mapsto result \mid
  BinaryExpr:
  \llbracket [m,p] \vdash xe \mapsto x;
    [m,p] \vdash ye \mapsto y;
    result = (bin-eval \ op \ x \ y);
    result \neq UndefVal
    \implies [m,p] \vdash (BinaryExpr\ op\ xe\ ye) \mapsto result
  LeafExpr:
  \llbracket val = m \ n;
    valid-value \ val \ s
    \implies [m,p] \vdash LeafExpr \ n \ s \mapsto val
```

code-pred (modes: $i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o \Rightarrow bool \ as \ evalT$)

```
[show\text{-}steps, show\text{-}mode\text{-}inference, show\text{-}intermediate\text{-}results]
  evaltree.
inductive
  evaltrees :: MapState \Rightarrow Params \Rightarrow IRExpr\ list \Rightarrow Value\ list \Rightarrow bool\ ([-,-] \vdash - \mapsto_L
  for m p where
  EvalNil:
  [m,p] \vdash [] \mapsto_L [] \mid
  EvalCons:
  \llbracket [m,p] \vdash x \mapsto xval;
    [m,p] \vdash yy \mapsto_L yyval
    \implies [m,p] \vdash (x \# yy) \mapsto_L (xval \# yyval)
code-pred (modes: i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o \Rightarrow bool \ as \ evalTs)
  evaltrees.
definition sq\text{-}param\theta :: IRExpr where
  sq\text{-}param0 = BinaryExpr\ BinMul
    (ParameterExpr 0 (IntegerStamp 32 (- 2147483648) 2147483647))
    (ParameterExpr 0 (IntegerStamp 32 (- 2147483648) 2147483647))
values \{v. \ evaltree \ new-map-state \ [IntVal \ 32 \ 5] \ sq-param 0 \ v\}
declare evaltree.intros [intro]
```

6.4 Data-flow Tree Refinement

declare evaltrees.intros [intro]

We define the induced semantic equivalence relation between expressions. Note that syntactic equality implies semantic equivalence, but not vice versa.

```
definition equiv-exprs :: IRExpr \Rightarrow IRExpr \Rightarrow bool \ (- \doteq -55) where (e1 \doteq e2) = (\forall m \ p \ v. \ (([m,p] \vdash e1 \mapsto v) \longleftrightarrow ([m,p] \vdash e2 \mapsto v)))
```

We also prove that this is a total equivalence relation (equivp equiv-exprs) (HOL.Equiv_Relations), so that we can reuse standard results about equivalence relations.

```
lemma equivp equiv-exprs
apply (auto simp add: equivp-def equiv-exprs-def)
by (metis equiv-exprs-def)+
```

We define a refinement ordering over IRExpr and show that it is a preorder. Note that it is asymmetric because e2 may refer to fewer variables than e1.

```
instantiation IRExpr :: preorder begin
notation less-eq (infix \sqsubseteq 65)
definition
  le-expr-def [simp]:
    (e_2 \leq e_1) \overset{\cdot}{\longleftrightarrow} (\forall \ m \ p \ v. \ (([m,p] \vdash e_1 \mapsto v) \longrightarrow ([m,p] \vdash e_2 \mapsto v)))
definition
  lt-expr-def [simp]:
    (e_1 < e_2) \longleftrightarrow (e_1 \le e_2 \land \neg (e_1 \doteq e_2))
instance proof
  \mathbf{fix} \ x \ y \ z :: IRExpr
  show x < y \longleftrightarrow x \le y \land \neg (y \le x) by (simp add: equiv-exprs-def; auto)
  show x \le x by simp
  show x \le y \Longrightarrow y \le z \Longrightarrow x \le z by simp
qed
end
abbreviation (output) Refines :: IRExpr \Rightarrow IRExpr \Rightarrow bool (infix \supseteq 64)
  where e_1 \supseteq e_2 \equiv (e_2 \leq e_1)
```

6.5 Stamp Masks

A stamp can contain additional range information in the form of masks. A stamp has an up mask and a down mask, corresponding to a the bits that may be set and the bits that must be set.

Examples: A stamp where no range information is known will have; an up mask of -1 as all bits may be set, and a down mask of 0 as no bits must be set.

A stamp known to be one should have; an up mask of 1 as only the first bit may be set, no others, and a down mask of 1 as the first bit must be set and no others.

We currently don't carry mask information in stamps, and instead assume correct masks to prove optimizations.

```
locale stamp\text{-}mask =
fixes up :: IRExpr \Rightarrow int64 \ (\uparrow)
fixes down :: IRExpr \Rightarrow int64 \ (\downarrow)
assumes up\text{-}spec: [m, p] \vdash e \mapsto IntVal \ b \ v \Longrightarrow (and \ v \ (not \ ((ucast \ (\uparrow e))))) = 0
and down\text{-}spec: [m, p] \vdash e \mapsto IntVal \ b \ v \Longrightarrow (and \ (not \ v) \ (ucast \ (\downarrow e))) = 0
begin

lemma may\text{-}implies\text{-}either:
[m, p] \vdash e \mapsto IntVal \ b \ v \Longrightarrow bit \ (\uparrow e) \ n \Longrightarrow bit \ v \ n = False \ \lor bit \ v \ n = True
by simp
```

```
lemma not-may-implies-false:
  [m, p] \vdash e \mapsto IntVal\ b\ v \Longrightarrow \neg(bit\ (\uparrow e)\ n) \Longrightarrow bit\ v\ n = False
  using up-spec
  using bit-and-iff bit-eq-iff bit-not-iff bit-unsigned-iff down-spec
  by (smt (verit, best) bit.double-compl)
lemma must-implies-true:
  [m, p] \vdash e \mapsto IntVal\ b\ v \Longrightarrow bit\ (\downarrow e)\ n \Longrightarrow bit\ v\ n = True
  using down-spec
 by (metis bit.compl-one bit-and-iff bit-minus-1-iff bit-not-iff impossible-bit ucast-id)
\mathbf{lemma}\ not\text{-}must\text{-}implies\text{-}either:
  [m,\,p] \vdash e \mapsto \mathit{IntVal}\ b\ v \Longrightarrow \neg(\mathit{bit}\ (\mathop{\downarrow}\! e)\ n) \Longrightarrow \mathit{bit}\ v\ n = \mathit{False}\ \lor\ \mathit{bit}\ v\ n = \mathit{True}
 by simp
lemma must-implies-may:
  [m, p] \vdash e \mapsto IntVal\ b\ v \Longrightarrow n < 32 \Longrightarrow bit\ (\downarrow e)\ n \Longrightarrow bit\ (\uparrow e)\ n
  by (meson must-implies-true not-may-implies-false)
lemma up-mask-and-zero-implies-zero:
  assumes and (\uparrow x) (\uparrow y) = 0
  assumes [m, p] \vdash x \mapsto IntVal\ b\ xv
  assumes [m, p] \vdash y \mapsto IntVal\ b\ yv
 shows and xv yv = 0
  using assms
 by (smt (z3) and.commute and.right-neutral and-zero-eq bit.compl-zero bit.conj-cancel-right
bit.conj-disj-distribs(1) ucast-id up-spec word-bw-assocs(1) word-not-dist(2))
lemma not-down-up-mask-and-zero-implies-zero:
  assumes and (not (\downarrow x)) (\uparrow y) = 0
  assumes [m, p] \vdash x \mapsto IntVal\ b\ xv
 assumes [m, p] \vdash y \mapsto IntVal\ b\ yv
 shows and xv yv = yv
 using assms
 by (smt (z3) and-zero-eq bit.conj-cancel-left bit.conj-disj-distribs(1) bit.conj-disj-distribs(2)
bit.de-Morgan-disj down-spec or-eq-not-not-and ucast-id up-spec word-ao-absorbs(2)
word-ao-absorbs(8) word-bw-lcs(1) word-not-dist(2))
end
definition IRExpr-up :: IRExpr \Rightarrow int64 where
  IRExpr-up \ e = not \ \theta
definition IRExpr-down :: IRExpr \Rightarrow int64 where
  IRExpr-down \ e = 0
lemma ucast-zero: (ucast (0::int64)::int32) = 0
```

end

6.6 Data-flow Tree Theorems

```
\begin{array}{c} \textbf{theory} \ IRTreeEvalThms \\ \textbf{imports} \\ Graph. \ ValueThms \\ IRTreeEval \\ \textbf{begin} \end{array}
```

6.6.1 Deterministic Data-flow Evaluation

```
lemma evalDet:

[m,p] \vdash e \mapsto v_1 \Longrightarrow

[m,p] \vdash e \mapsto v_2 \Longrightarrow

v_1 = v_2

apply (induction arbitrary: v_2 rule: evaltree.induct)

by (elim\ EvalTreeE;\ auto)+
```

 ${\bf lemma}\ eval All Det:$

```
[m,p] \vdash e \mapsto_L v1 \Longrightarrow

[m,p] \vdash e \mapsto_L v2 \Longrightarrow

v1 = v2

apply (induction arbitrary: v2 rule: evaltrees.induct)

apply (elim EvalTreeE; auto)

using evalDet by force
```

6.6.2 Typing Properties for Integer Evaluation Functions

We use three simple typing properties on integer values: $is_IntVal32$, $is_IntVal64$ and the more general is_IntVal .

```
lemma unary-eval-not-obj-ref:

shows unary-eval op x \neq ObjRef\ v

by (cases op; cases x; auto)

lemma unary-eval-not-obj-str:

shows unary-eval op x \neq ObjStr\ v
```

```
by (cases op; cases x; auto)
```

```
lemma unary-eval-int:
 assumes def: unary-eval op x \neq UndefVal
 shows is-IntVal (unary-eval \ op \ x)
 unfolding is-IntVal-def using def
 apply (cases unary-eval op x; auto)
 using unary-eval-not-obj-ref unary-eval-not-obj-str by simp+
lemma bin-eval-int:
 assumes def: bin-eval \ op \ x \ y \neq UndefVal
 shows is-IntVal (bin-eval op x y)
 apply (cases op; cases x; cases y)
 unfolding is-IntVal-def using def apply auto
              apply presburger+
         apply (meson bool-to-val.elims)
        apply (meson bool-to-val.elims)
       apply (smt (verit) new-int.simps)+
 by (meson bool-to-val.elims)+
lemma Int Val \theta:
  (Int Val \ 32 \ 0) = (new-int \ 32 \ 0)
 \mathbf{unfolding}\ new\text{-}int.simps
 by auto
lemma Int Val1:
 (Int Val \ 32 \ 1) = (new-int \ 32 \ 1)
 unfolding new-int.simps
 by auto
lemma bin-eval-new-int:
 assumes def: bin-eval op x y \neq UndefVal
 shows \exists b \ v. \ (bin\text{-}eval \ op \ x \ y) = new\text{-}int \ b \ v \ \land
            b = (if \ op \in binary-fixed-32-ops \ then \ 32 \ else \ intval-bits \ x)
 apply (cases op; cases x; cases y)
 unfolding is-IntVal-def using def apply auto
 apply presburger+
 apply (metis take-bit-and)
 apply presburger
 apply (metis take-bit-or)
 apply presburger
 apply (metis take-bit-xor)
```

```
apply presburger
  using IntVal0 IntVal1
 apply (metis bool-to-val.elims new-int.simps)
 apply presburger
 apply (smt (verit) new-int.elims)
 apply (smt (verit, best) new-int.elims)
 apply (metis IntVal0 IntVal1 bool-to-val.elims new-int.simps)
 apply presburger
 apply (metis IntVal0 IntVal1 bool-to-val.elims new-int.simps)
 apply presburger
 apply (metis IntVal0 IntVal1 bool-to-val.elims new-int.simps)
 by meson
lemma int-stamp:
 assumes i: is-IntVal v
 shows is-IntegerStamp (constantAsStamp v)
 using i unfolding is-IntegerStamp-def is-IntVal-def by auto
\mathbf{lemma}\ validStampIntConst:
 assumes v = IntVal\ b\ ival
 assumes 0 < b \land b \le 64
 shows valid-stamp (constantAsStamp v)
proof -
  have bnds: fst (bit-bounds b) \leq int-signed-value b ival \wedge int-signed-value b ival
\leq snd \ (bit\text{-}bounds \ b)
   using assms int-signed-value-bounds
   by presburger
have s: constantAsStamp\ v = IntegerStamp\ b\ (int-signed-value\ b\ ival)\ (int-signed-value\ b\ ival)
b ival
   using assms(1) constantAsStamp.simps(1) by blast
 then show ?thesis
   unfolding s valid-stamp.simps
   using assms(2) assms bnds by linarith
qed
\mathbf{lemma}\ validDefIntConst:
 assumes v: v = IntVal\ b\ ival
 assumes 0 < b \land b \le 64
 assumes take-bit b ival = ival
 shows valid-value v (constantAsStamp v)
proof -
  have bnds: fst (bit-bounds b) \leq int-signed-value b ival \wedge int-signed-value b ival
\leq snd \ (bit\text{-}bounds \ b)
   \mathbf{using}\ assms\ int\text{-}signed\text{-}value\text{-}bounds
   by presburger
have s: constantAsStamp\ v = IntegerStamp\ b\ (int-signed-value\ b\ ival)\ (int-signed-value\ b\ ival)
b ival
```

```
using assms(1) constantAsStamp.simps(1) by blast
then show ?thesis
unfolding s unfolding v unfolding valid-value.simps
using assms validStampIntConst
by simp
qed
```

6.6.3 Evaluation Results are Valid

```
A valid value cannot be UndefVal.
lemma valid-not-undef:
 assumes a1: valid-value val s
 assumes a2: s \neq VoidStamp
 shows val \neq UndefVal
 apply (rule valid-value.elims(1)[of val s True])
 using a1 a2 by auto
lemma valid-VoidStamp[elim]:
 shows valid-value val VoidStamp \Longrightarrow
     val = UndefVal
 using valid-value.simps by metis
lemma valid-ObjStamp[elim]:
 shows valid-value val (ObjectStamp klass exact nonNull alwaysNull) \Longrightarrow
     (\exists v. val = ObjRef v)
 using valid-value.simps by (metis val-to-bool.cases)
lemma valid-int[elim]:
 shows valid-value val (IntegerStamp b lo hi) \Longrightarrow
     (\exists v. val = IntVal b v)
 using valid-value.elims(2) by fastforce
lemmas valid-value-elims =
  valid-VoidStamp
  valid-ObjStamp
  valid-int
lemma evaltree-not-undef:
 fixes m p e v
 \mathbf{shows}\ ([m,p] \vdash e \mapsto v) \Longrightarrow v \neq \mathit{UndefVal}
 apply (induction rule: evaltree.induct)
 using valid-not-undef wf-value-def by auto
lemma leafint:
 assumes ev: [m,p] \vdash LeafExpr\ i\ (IntegerStamp\ b\ lo\ hi) \mapsto val
```

shows $\exists b \ v. \ val = (IntVal \ b \ v)$

```
proof -
 have valid-value val (IntegerStamp b lo hi)
   using ev by (rule LeafExprE; simp)
 then show ?thesis by auto
qed
lemma default-stamp [simp]: default-stamp = IntegerStamp 32 (-2147483648)
2147483647
 using default-stamp-def by auto
lemma valid-value-signed-int-range [simp]:
 assumes valid-value val (IntegerStamp b lo hi)
 assumes lo < \theta
 shows \exists v. (val = IntVal \ b \ v \land a)
          lo < int-signed-value b \ v \land
          int-signed-value b \ v \leq hi)
 using assms valid-int
 by (metis\ valid-value.simps(1))
```

6.6.4 Example Data-flow Optimisations

6.6.5 Monotonicity of Expression Refinement

We prove that each subexpression position is monotonic. That is, optimizing a subexpression anywhere deep inside a top-level expression also optimizes that top-level expression.

Note that we might also be able to do this via reusing Isabelle's mono operator (HOL.Orderings theory), proving instantiations like mono(UnaryExprop), but it is not obvious how to do this for both arguments of the binary expressions.

```
lemma mono-unary:
   assumes x \ge x'
   shows (UnaryExpr\ op\ x) \ge (UnaryExpr\ op\ x')
   using UnaryExpr\ assms by uuto

lemma mono-binary:
   assumes x \ge x'
   assumes y \ge y'
   shows (BinaryExpr\ op\ x\ y) \ge (BinaryExpr\ op\ x'\ y')
   using BinaryExpr\ assms by uuto

lemma never-void:
   assumes [m,\ p] \vdash x \mapsto xv
   assumes valid-value\ xv\ (stamp-value\ xv)
   shows valid-value\ xv value\ xv
```

```
lemma compatible-trans:
  compatible x \ y \land compatible \ y \ z \Longrightarrow compatible \ x \ z
 by (cases x; cases y; cases z; simp del: valid-stamp.simps)
lemma compatible-refl:
  compatible \ x \ y \Longrightarrow compatible \ y \ x
 using compatible.elims(2) by fastforce
lemma mono-conditional:
 assumes c \geq c'
 assumes t \geq t'
 assumes f \geq f'
 shows (ConditionalExpr c \ t \ f) \geq (ConditionalExpr c' \ t' \ f')
proof (simp only: le-expr-def; (rule allI)+; rule impI)
  \mathbf{fix} \ m \ p \ v
  assume a: [m,p] \vdash ConditionalExpr c t f \mapsto v
 then obtain cond where c: [m,p] \vdash c \mapsto cond by auto
 then have c': [m,p] \vdash c' \mapsto cond using assms by auto
 define branch where b: branch = (if \ val\ -to\ -bool \ cond \ then \ t \ else \ f)
  define branch' where b': branch' = (if val-to-bool cond then t' else f')
  then have beval: [m,p] \vdash branch \mapsto v using a b c evalDet by blast
 from beval have [m,p] \vdash branch' \mapsto v using assms b b' by auto
  then show [m,p] \vdash ConditionalExpr\ c'\ t'\ f' \mapsto v
   using ConditionalExpr\ c'\ b'
   by (simp add: evaltree-not-undef)
qed
```

6.7 Unfolding rules for evaltree quadruples down to bin-eval level

These rewrite rules can be useful when proving optimizations. They support top-down rewriting of each level of the tree into the lower-level $bin_eval / unary_eval$ level, simply by saying $unfoldingunfold_evaltree$.

```
lemma unfold\text{-}const:

shows ([m,p] \vdash ConstantExpr \ c \mapsto v) = (wf\text{-}value \ v \land v = c)

by \ blast
```

```
lemma unfold-binary:
    shows ([m,p] \vdash BinaryExpr \ op \ xe \ ye \mapsto val) = (\exists \ x \ y.
                       (([m,p] \vdash xe \mapsto x) \land
                         ([m,p] \vdash ye \mapsto y) \land
                         (\mathit{val} = \mathit{bin}\text{-}\mathit{eval} \ \mathit{op} \ \mathit{x} \ \mathit{y}) \ \land \\
                         (val \neq UndefVal)
                  )) (is ?L = ?R)
proof (intro iffI)
    assume 3: ?L
    show ?R by (rule evaltree.cases[OF 3]; blast+)
next
    assume ?R
    then obtain x y where [m,p] \vdash xe \mapsto x
                  and [m,p] \vdash ye \mapsto y
                  and val = bin-eval \ op \ x \ y
                  and val \neq UndefVal
         by auto
    then show ?L
           by (rule BinaryExpr)
  qed
lemma unfold-unary:
    shows ([m,p] \vdash UnaryExpr \ op \ xe \mapsto val)
                    = (\exists x.
                              (([m,p] \vdash xe \mapsto x) \land
                                (val = unary-eval \ op \ x) \land
                                (val \neq UndefVal)
                              )) (is ?L = ?R)
    by auto
lemmas unfold-evaltree =
     unfold-binary
     unfold-unary
                Lemmas about new_int and integer eval results.
lemma unary-eval-new-int:
    assumes def: unary-eval op x \neq UndefVal
    shows \exists b \ v. \ unary-eval \ op \ x = new-int \ b \ v \ \land
                                  b = (if \ op \in normal-unary \ then \ intval-bits \ x \ else \ ir-resultBits \ op)
proof (cases op \in normal\text{-}unary)
    case True
    then show ?thesis
      \mathbf{by}\ (\textit{metis def empty-iff insert-iff intval-abs.elims\ intval-bits.simps\ intval-logic-negation.elims\ def empty-iff\ insert-iff\ intval-abs.elims\ intval-bits.simps\ intval-logic-negation.elims\ def empty-iff\ insert-iff\ intval-abs.elims\ intval-bits.simps\ intval-logic-negation.elims\ def empty-iff\ insert-iff\ insert-iff\ intval-abs.elims\ intval-bits.simps\ intval-logic-negation.elims\ def empty-iff\ insert-iff\ insert-iff\
intval-negate.elims\ intval-not.elims\ unary-eval.simps(1)\ unary-eval.simps(2)\ unary-eval.simps(3)
unary-eval.simps(4))
```

```
next
 case False
 consider ib \ ob \ where \ op = \ UnaryNarrow \ ib \ ob \ |
          ib \ ob \ \mathbf{where} \ op = UnaryZeroExtend \ ib \ ob \ |
          ib \ ob \ \mathbf{where} \ op = \mathit{UnarySignExtend} \ ib \ ob
   by (metis False IRUnaryOp.exhaust insert-iff)
  then show ?thesis
  proof (cases)
   case 1
   then show ?thesis
    by (metis\ False\ IR\ Unary\ Op.sel(4)\ def\ intval-narrow.elims\ unary-eval.simps(5))
 next
   case 2
   then show ?thesis
   by (metis\ False\ IR\ Unary\ Op.sel(6)\ def\ intval-zero-extend.elims\ unary-eval.simps(7))
 next
   case 3
   then show ?thesis
   by (metis\ False\ IR\ Unary\ Op.sel(5)\ def\ intval-sign-extend.\ elims\ unary-eval.\ simps(6))
 qed
qed
lemma new-int-unused-bits-zero:
 assumes IntVal\ b\ ival = new-int\ b\ ival0
 shows take-bit b ival = ival
 using assms(1) new-int-take-bits by blast
lemma unary-eval-unused-bits-zero:
 assumes unary-eval op x = IntVal\ b\ ival
 shows take-bit b ival = ival
 \mathbf{using}\ assms\ unary\text{-}eval\text{-}new\text{-}int
 by (metis\ Value.inject(1)\ Value.simps(5)\ new-int.elims\ new-int-unused-bits-zero)
{f lemma}\ bin-eval-unused-bits-zero:
 assumes bin-eval op x y = (IntVal \ b \ ival)
 shows take-bit b ival = ival
 using assms bin-eval-new-int
 by (metis Value.distinct(1) Value.inject(1) new-int.elims new-int-take-bits)
\mathbf{lemma}\ eval\text{-}unused\text{-}bits\text{-}zero\text{:}
  [m,p] \vdash xe \mapsto (IntVal\ b\ ix) \Longrightarrow take-bit\ b\ ix = ix
proof (induction xe)
 case (UnaryExpr x1 xe)
 then show ?case
   using unary-eval-unused-bits-zero by force
next
 case (BinaryExpr x1 xe1 xe2)
  then show ?case
   using bin-eval-unused-bits-zero by force
```

```
next
 case (ConditionalExpr xe1 xe2 xe3)
 then show ?case
   by (metis (full-types) EvalTreeE(3))
 case (ParameterExpr i s)
 then have valid-value (p!i) s
   by fastforce
 then show ?case
  by (metis ParameterExprE Value.distinct(7) intval-bits.simps intval-word.simps
local.ParameterExpr\ valid-value.elims(2))
next
 case (LeafExpr x1 x2)
 then show ?case
  by (smt\ (z3)\ EvalTreeE(6)\ Value.simps(11)\ valid-value.elims(1)\ valid-value.simps(1))
next
 case (ConstantExpr x)
 then show ?case using wf-value-def
   by (metis EvalTreeE(1) constantAsStamp.simps(1) valid-value.simps(1))
 case (Constant Var x)
 then show ?case
   by fastforce
\mathbf{next}
 case (VariableExpr x1 x2)
 then show ?case
   bv fastforce
\mathbf{qed}
lemma unary-normal-bit size:
 assumes unary-eval op x = IntVal b ival
 assumes op \in normal-unary
 shows \exists ix. x = IntVal b ix
 apply (cases op)
      prefer 7 using assms apply blast
     prefer 6 using assms apply blast
     prefer 5 using assms apply blast
 using \ Value.distinct(1) \ Value.sel(1) \ assms(1) \ new-int.simps \ unary-eval.simps
     intval-abs. elims\ intval-negate. elims\ intval-not. elims\ intval-logic-negation. elims
    apply metis+
 done
\mathbf{lemma}\ unary\text{-}not\text{-}normal\text{-}bitsize\text{:}
 assumes unary-eval op x = IntVal b ival
 assumes op \notin normal\text{-}unary
 shows b = ir-resultBits op \land 0 < b \land b \le 64
 apply (cases op)
```

```
using assms apply blast+
 \mathbf{apply} \; (\textit{metis} \; IR \textit{UnaryOp.sel(4)} \; \textit{Value.distinct(1)} \; \textit{Value.sel(1)} \; \textit{assms(1)} \; \textit{intval-narrow.elims} \\
intval-narrow-ok new-int.simps\ unary-eval.simps(5))
   apply (smt (verit) IRUnaryOp.sel(5) Value.distinct(1) Value.sel(1) assms(1)
intval-sign-extend.elims new-int.simps order-less-le-trans unary-eval.simps(6))
 apply (metis IRUnaryOp.sel(6) Value.distinct(1) assms(1) intval-bits.simps int-
val-zero-extend.elims linorder-not-less neq0-conv new-int.simps unary-eval.simps (7)
 done
lemma unary-eval-bitsize:
 assumes unary-eval op x = IntVal\ b\ ival
 assumes 2: x = IntVal \ bx \ ix
 assumes 0 < bx \land bx \le 64
 shows \theta < b \land b \leq 64
proof (cases op \in normal\text{-}unary)
 case True
 then obtain tmp where unary-eval of x = new-int bx tmp
   by (cases op; simp; auto simp: 2)
 then show ?thesis
   using assms by simp
next
 case False
 then obtain tmp where unary-eval op x = new-int b \ tmp \land 0 < b \land b \leq 64
   apply (cases op; simp; auto simp: 2)
   apply (metis 2 Value.inject(1) Value.simps(5) assms(1) intval-narrow.simps(1)
intval-narrow-ok new-int.simps unary-eval.simps(5)
  apply (metis 2 Value.distinct(1) Value.inject(1) assms(1) bot-nat-0.not-eq-extremum
diff-is-0-eq intval-sign-extend.elims new-int.simps unary-eval.simps (6) zero-less-diff)
     by (smt (verit, del-insts) 2 Value.simps(5) assms(1) intval-bits.simps int-
val-zero-extend.simps(1) new-int.simps order-less-le-trans unary-eval.simps(7)
 then show ?thesis
   by blast
qed
lemma bin-eval-inputs-are-ints:
 assumes bin-eval op x y = IntVal b ix
 obtains xb\ yb\ xi\ yi where x = IntVal\ xb\ xi\ \land\ y = IntVal\ yb\ yi
proof -
 have bin-eval op x y \neq UndefVal
   by (simp add: assms)
 then show ?thesis
   using assms apply (cases op; cases x; cases y; simp)
   using that by blast+
qed
```

```
lemma eval-bits-1-64:
    [m,p] \vdash xe \mapsto (Int Val\ b\ ix) \Longrightarrow 0 < b \land b \le 64
proof (induction xe arbitrary: b ix)
    case (UnaryExpr\ op\ x2)
    then obtain xv where
             xv: ([m,p] \vdash x2 \mapsto xv) \land
                      IntVal\ b\ ix = unary-eval\ op\ xv
       using unfold-binary by auto
    then have b = (if \ op \in normal-unary \ then \ intval-bits \ xv \ else \ ir-resultBits \ op)
       using unary-eval-new-int
       by (metis\ Value.disc(1)\ Value.discI(1)\ Value.sel(1)\ new-int.simps)
    then show ?case
       by (metis xv UnaryExpr.IH unary-normal-bitsize unary-not-normal-bitsize)
next
    case (BinaryExpr\ op\ x\ y)
    then obtain xv yv where
             xy: ([m,p] \vdash x \mapsto xv) \land
                      ([m,p] \vdash y \mapsto yv) \land
                      IntVal\ b\ ix = bin-eval\ op\ xv\ yv
       using unfold-binary by auto
   then have def: bin-eval op xv \ yv \neq UndefVal and xv: xv \neq UndefVal and yv \neq Und
 UndefVal
       using evaltree-not-undef xy by (force, blast, blast)
    then have b = (if \ op \in binary\text{-}fixed\text{-}32\text{-}ops \ then \ 32 \ else \ intval\text{-}bits \ xv)
       by (metis xy intval-bits.simps new-int.simps bin-eval-new-int)
   then show ?case
     by (metis BinaryExpr.IH(1) Value.distinct(7) Value.distinct(9) xv bin-eval-inputs-are-ints
intval-bits.elims le-add-same-cancel less-or-eq-imp-le numeral-Bit0 xy zero-less-numeral)
next
   case (ConditionalExpr xe1 xe2 xe3)
   then show ?case
       by (metis (full-types) EvalTreeE(3))
\mathbf{next}
    case (ParameterExpr x1 x2)
   then show ?case
     using ParameterExprE intval-bits.simps valid-stamp.simps(1) valid-value.elims(2)
valid-value.simps(17)
       by (metis (no-types, lifting))
next
   case (LeafExpr x1 x2)
   then show ?case
     by (smt (z3) EvalTreeE(6) Value.distinct(7) Value.inject(1) valid-stamp.simps(1)
valid-value. elims(1)
next
    case (ConstantExpr x)
    then show ?case using wf-value-def
     by (metis\ Eval\ Tree\ E(1)\ constant\ As\ Stamp.simps(1)\ valid-stamp.simps(1)\ valid-value.simps(1))
next
   case (Constant Var x)
```

```
then show ?case
   by blast
\mathbf{next}
  case (VariableExpr x1 x2)
 then show ?case
   \mathbf{bv} blast
\mathbf{qed}
lemma unfold-binary-width:
 assumes op \notin binary\text{-}fixed\text{-}32\text{-}ops \land op \notin binary\text{-}shift\text{-}ops
 shows ([m,p] \vdash BinaryExpr \ op \ xe \ ye \mapsto IntVal \ b \ val) = (\exists \ x \ y.
         (([m,p] \vdash xe \mapsto IntVal\ b\ x) \land
          ([m,p] \vdash ye \mapsto IntVal\ b\ y) \land
          (IntVal\ b\ val = bin-eval\ op\ (IntVal\ b\ x)\ (IntVal\ b\ y))\ \land
          (IntVal\ b\ val \neq UndefVal)
       )) (is ?L = ?R)
proof (intro iffI)
 assume 3: ?L
 show ?R apply (rule evaltree.cases[OF 3])
        apply force+ apply auto[1]
   using assms apply (cases op; auto)
         apply (smt (verit) intval-add.elims Value.inject(1))
   using intval-mul.elims Value.inject(1)
        apply (smt (verit) new-int.simps new-int-bin.simps)
   using intval-sub.elims Value.inject(1)
       apply (smt (verit) new-int.simps new-int-bin.simps)
   using intval-and.elims Value.inject(1)
      apply (smt (verit) new-int.simps new-int-bin.simps take-bit-and)
   using intval-or.elims Value.inject(1)
     apply (smt (verit) new-int.simps new-int-bin.simps take-bit-or)
   using intval-xor.elims Value.inject(1)
    apply (smt (verit) new-int.simps new-int-bin.simps take-bit-xor)
 by blast
\mathbf{next}
 assume R: ?R
 then obtain x \ y where [m,p] \vdash xe \mapsto IntVal \ b \ x
       and [m,p] \vdash ye \mapsto IntVal\ b\ y
       and new-int b \ val = bin\text{-}eval \ op \ (IntVal \ b \ x) \ (IntVal \ b \ y)
       and new\text{-}int\ b\ val \neq UndefVal
   using bin-eval-unused-bits-zero by force
 then show ?L
   using R by blast
qed
end
```

Tree to Graph

```
theory Tree To Graph
 imports
   Semantics. IR Tree Eval
   Graph. IR Graph
begin
```

```
Subgraph to Data-flow Tree
fun find-node-and-stamp :: IRGraph <math>\Rightarrow (IRNode \times Stamp) \Rightarrow ID \ option \ \mathbf{where}
 find-node-and-stamp g(n,s) =
    find (\lambda i. kind g i = n \wedge stamp \ g i = s) (sorted-list-of-set(ids g))
export-code find-node-and-stamp
fun is-preevaluated :: IRNode \Rightarrow bool where
  is-preevaluated (InvokeNode\ n - - - -) = True\ |
  is-preevaluated (InvokeWithExceptionNode\ n - - - - -) = True\ |
  is-preevaluated (NewInstanceNode n - - -) = True
  is-preevaluated (LoadFieldNode n - - -) = True
  is-preevaluated (SignedDivNode n - - - -) = True
  is-preevaluated (SignedRemNode\ n - - - - ) = True\ |
  is-preevaluated (ValuePhiNode n - -) = True
  is-preevaluated - = False
inductive
  rep :: IRGraph \Rightarrow ID \Rightarrow IRExpr \Rightarrow bool (- \vdash - \simeq - 55)
```

for g where

```
ConstantNode:
\llbracket kind\ g\ n = ConstantNode\ c \rrbracket
 \implies g \vdash n \simeq (ConstantExpr\ c) \mid
ParameterNode:
```

```
[kind\ g\ n = ParameterNode\ i;
 stamp \ g \ n = s
 \implies g \vdash n \simeq (ParameterExpr \ i \ s) \mid
```

Conditional Node:

```
[kind\ g\ n = ConditionalNode\ c\ t\ f;]
  g \vdash c \simeq ce;
  g \vdash t \simeq te;
  g \vdash f \simeq fe
  \implies g \vdash n \simeq (ConditionalExpr \ ce \ te \ fe) \mid
```

AbsNode:

```
[kind\ g\ n = AbsNode\ x;]
 g \vdash x \simeq xe
  \implies g \vdash n \simeq (\mathit{UnaryExpr}\ \mathit{UnaryAbs}\ \mathit{xe}) \mid
NotNode:
[kind\ g\ n=NotNode\ x;
  g \vdash x \simeq xe
  \implies g \vdash n \simeq (UnaryExpr\ UnaryNot\ xe)
NegateNode:
\llbracket kind\ g\ n = NegateNode\ x;
  g \vdash x \simeq xe
  \implies g \vdash n \simeq (\mathit{UnaryExpr}\ \mathit{UnaryNeg}\ \mathit{xe}) \mid
LogicNegationNode:
\llbracket kind\ g\ n = LogicNegationNode\ x;
  g \vdash x \simeq xe
  \implies g \vdash n \simeq (\textit{UnaryExpr UnaryLogicNegation xe}) \mid
AddNode:
[kind\ g\ n = AddNode\ x\ y;
  g \vdash x \simeq xe;
  g \vdash y \simeq ye
  \implies g \vdash n \simeq (BinaryExpr\ BinAdd\ xe\ ye) \mid
MulNode:
[kind\ g\ n = MulNode\ x\ y;
  g \vdash x \simeq xe;
  g \vdash y \simeq ye
  \implies g \vdash n \simeq (BinaryExpr\ BinMul\ xe\ ye) \mid
SubNode:
[kind\ g\ n = SubNode\ x\ y;
 g \vdash x \simeq xe;
  g \vdash y \simeq ye
  \implies g \vdash n \simeq (BinaryExpr\ BinSub\ xe\ ye) \mid
AndNode:
[kind\ g\ n = AndNode\ x\ y;
  g \vdash x \simeq xe;
  g \vdash y \simeq ye
  \implies g \vdash n \simeq (BinaryExpr\ BinAnd\ xe\ ye) \mid
OrNode:
[kind\ g\ n=OrNode\ x\ y;
 g \vdash x \simeq xe;
  g \vdash y \simeq ye
  \implies g \vdash n \simeq (BinaryExpr\ BinOr\ xe\ ye) \mid
```

```
XorNode:
[kind\ g\ n = XorNode\ x\ y;
 g \vdash x \simeq xe;
 g \vdash y \simeq ye
 \implies g \vdash n \simeq (BinaryExpr\ BinXor\ xe\ ye) \mid
ShortCircuitOrNode:
[kind\ g\ n = ShortCircuitOrNode\ x\ y;]
 g \vdash x \simeq xe;
 g \vdash y \simeq ye
 \implies g \vdash n \simeq (BinaryExpr\ BinShortCircuitOr\ xe\ ye) \mid
LeftShiftNode:
\llbracket kind\ g\ n = LeftShiftNode\ x\ y;
 g \vdash x \simeq xe;
 g \vdash y \simeq ye
\implies g \vdash n \simeq (BinaryExpr\ BinLeftShift\ xe\ ye) \mid
RightShiftNode:
[kind\ g\ n = RightShiftNode\ x\ y;]
 g \vdash x \simeq xe;
 g \vdash y \simeq ye
\implies g \vdash n \simeq (BinaryExpr\ BinRightShift\ xe\ ye) \mid
Unsigned Right Shift Node: \\
\llbracket kind\ g\ n = UnsignedRightShiftNode\ x\ y;
 g \vdash x \simeq xe;
 g \vdash y \simeq ye
\implies g \vdash n \simeq (\mathit{BinaryExpr\ BinURightShift\ xe\ ye}) \mid
IntegerBelowNode:
[kind\ g\ n = IntegerBelowNode\ x\ y;
 g \vdash x \simeq xe;
 g \vdash y \simeq ye
 \implies g \vdash n \simeq (BinaryExpr\ BinIntegerBelow\ xe\ ye)
Integer Equals Node:
\llbracket kind\ g\ n = IntegerEqualsNode\ x\ y;
 g \vdash x \simeq xe;
 g \vdash y \simeq ye ]\!]
 \implies g \vdash n \simeq (BinaryExpr\ BinIntegerEquals\ xe\ ye) \mid
IntegerLessThanNode:
\llbracket kind\ g\ n = IntegerLessThanNode\ x\ y;
 g \vdash x \simeq xe;
 g \vdash y \simeq ye
 \implies g \vdash n \simeq (BinaryExpr\ BinIntegerLessThan\ xe\ ye) \mid
```

```
NarrowNode:
  \llbracket kind\ g\ n = NarrowNode\ inputBits\ resultBits\ x;
    g \vdash x \simeq xe
    \implies g \vdash n \simeq (UnaryExpr\ (UnaryNarrow\ inputBits\ resultBits)\ xe) \mid
  SignExtendNode:
  \llbracket kind\ g\ n = SignExtendNode\ inputBits\ resultBits\ x;
    g \vdash x \simeq xe
    \implies g \vdash n \simeq (UnaryExpr\ (UnarySignExtend\ inputBits\ resultBits)\ xe) \mid
  ZeroExtendNode:
  \llbracket kind\ g\ n = ZeroExtendNode\ inputBits\ resultBits\ x;
    g \vdash x \simeq xe
    \implies g \vdash n \simeq (UnaryExpr\ (UnaryZeroExtend\ inputBits\ resultBits)\ xe) \mid
  LeafNode:
  [is-preevaluated (kind g n);
    stamp \ q \ n = s
    \implies g \vdash n \simeq (\textit{LeafExpr} \ n \ s) \mid
  RefNode:
  [kind\ g\ n = RefNode\ n';
    g \vdash n' \simeq e
    \implies g \vdash n \simeq e
code-pred (modes: i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o \Rightarrow bool \ as \ exprE) rep.
inductive
  replist :: IRGraph \Rightarrow ID \ list \Rightarrow IRExpr \ list \Rightarrow bool \ (-\vdash -\simeq_L - 55)
  for g where
  RepNil:
  g \vdash [] \simeq_L [] \mid
  RepCons:
  \llbracket g \vdash x \simeq xe;
    g \vdash xs \simeq_L xse
    \implies g \vdash x \# xs \simeq_L xe \# xse
code-pred (modes: i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o \Rightarrow bool \ as \ exprListE) replist.
definition wf-term-graph :: MapState \Rightarrow Params \Rightarrow IRGraph \Rightarrow ID \Rightarrow bool where
  wf-term-graph m p g n = (\exists e. (g \vdash n \simeq e) \land (\exists v. ([m, p] \vdash e \mapsto v)))
values \{t. \ eg2\text{-}sq \vdash 4 \simeq t\}
```

7.2 Data-flow Tree to Subgraph

```
fun unary-node :: IRUnaryOp \Rightarrow ID \Rightarrow IRNode where
  unary-node UnaryAbs\ v = AbsNode\ v
  unary-node\ UnaryNot\ v=NotNode\ v
  unary-node\ UnaryNeg\ v=NegateNode\ v\mid
  unary-node\ UnaryLogicNegation\ v=LogicNegationNode\ v\mid
  unary-node (UnaryNarrow\ ib\ rb) v=NarrowNode\ ib\ rb\ v
  unary-node (UnarySignExtend\ ib\ rb) v=SignExtendNode\ ib\ rb\ v
  unary-node (UnaryZeroExtend\ ib\ rb) v=ZeroExtendNode\ ib\ rb\ v
fun bin-node :: IRBinaryOp \Rightarrow ID \Rightarrow ID \Rightarrow IRNode where
  bin-node BinAdd\ x\ y = AddNode\ x\ y\ |
  bin-node BinMul \ x \ y = MulNode \ x \ y
  bin-node BinSub \ x \ y = SubNode \ x \ y \mid
  bin-node BinAnd \ x \ y = AndNode \ x \ y \mid
  bin-node BinOr \ x \ y = OrNode \ x \ y \mid
  bin-node BinXor x y = XorNode x y
  bin-node\ BinShortCircuitOr\ x\ y = ShortCircuitOrNode\ x\ y\ |
  bin-node\ BinLeftShift\ x\ y = LeftShiftNode\ x\ y\ |
  bin-node BinRightShift \ x \ y = RightShiftNode \ x \ y
  bin-node\ BinURightShift\ x\ y = UnsignedRightShiftNode\ x\ y\ |
  bin-node\ BinIntegerEquals\ x\ y = IntegerEqualsNode\ x\ y
  bin-node\ BinIntegerLessThan\ x\ y = IntegerLessThanNode\ x\ y\ |
  bin-node BinIntegerBelow \ x \ y = IntegerBelowNode \ x \ y
inductive fresh-id :: IRGraph \Rightarrow ID \Rightarrow bool where
 n \notin ids \ g \Longrightarrow fresh-id \ g \ n
code-pred fresh-id.
fun get-fresh-id :: IRGraph \Rightarrow ID where
 get-fresh-id g = last(sorted-list-of-set(ids g)) + 1
export-code get-fresh-id
value get-fresh-id eg2-sq
value get-fresh-id (add-node 6 (ParameterNode 2, default-stamp) eg2-sq)
```

inductive

```
unrep :: IRGraph \Rightarrow IRExpr \Rightarrow (IRGraph \times ID) \Rightarrow bool (- \oplus - \leadsto - 55)
where
ConstantNodeSame:
\llbracket find\text{-}node\text{-}and\text{-}stamp\ g\ (ConstantNode\ c,\ constantAsStamp\ c) = Some\ n \rrbracket
 \implies g \oplus (ConstantExpr\ c) \rightsquigarrow (g,\ n)
ConstantNodeNew:\\
\llbracket find\text{-}node\text{-}and\text{-}stamp\ g\ (ConstantNode\ c,\ constantAsStamp\ c) = None;
  n = get\text{-}fresh\text{-}id g;
 g' = add-node n (ConstantNode c, constantAsStamp c) g \parallel
 \implies g \oplus (ConstantExpr\ c) \rightsquigarrow (g',\ n) \mid
ParameterNodeSame:
\llbracket find\text{-}node\text{-}and\text{-}stamp\ g\ (ParameterNode\ i,\ s) = Some\ n 
rbracket
  \implies g \oplus (ParameterExpr \ i \ s) \rightsquigarrow (g, n) \mid
ParameterNodeNew:
\llbracket find\text{-}node\text{-}and\text{-}stamp\ g\ (ParameterNode\ i,\ s) = None;
 n = qet-fresh-id q;
 g' = add-node n (ParameterNode i, s) g
 \implies g \oplus (ParameterExpr \ i \ s) \leadsto (g', \ n) \mid
Conditional Node Same: \\
[find-node-and-stamp g4 (ConditionalNode c t f, s') = Some n;
 g \oplus ce \leadsto (g2, c);
 g2 \oplus te \leadsto (g3, t);
 g3 \oplus fe \rightsquigarrow (g4, f);
 s' = meet (stamp \ g \nmid \ t) (stamp \ g \nmid \ f)
 \implies g \oplus (ConditionalExpr \ ce \ te \ fe) \leadsto (g4, \ n) \mid
Conditional Node New:
[find-node-and-stamp g4 (ConditionalNode c t f, s') = None;
 g \oplus ce \leadsto (g2, c);
 g2 \oplus te \leadsto (g3, t);
 g3 \oplus fe \leadsto (g4, f);
 s' = meet (stamp \ g \not\downarrow t) (stamp \ g \not\downarrow f);
 n = get-fresh-id g4;
 g' = add-node n (ConditionalNode c t f, s') g4
 \implies g \oplus (ConditionalExpr \ ce \ te \ fe) \leadsto (g', n)
UnaryNodeSame:
[find-node-and-stamp g2 (unary-node op x, s') = Some n;
 g \oplus xe \leadsto (g2, x);
 s' = stamp\text{-}unary \ op \ (stamp \ g2 \ x)
 \implies g \oplus (UnaryExpr \ op \ xe) \leadsto (g2, \ n) \mid
UnaryNodeNew:
[find-node-and-stamp g2 (unary-node op x, s') = None;
```

```
g \oplus xe \leadsto (g2, x);
    s' = stamp\text{-}unary \ op \ (stamp \ g2 \ x);
    n = get-fresh-id g2;
    g' = add-node n (unary-node op x, s') g2
    \implies g \oplus (UnaryExpr \ op \ xe) \leadsto (g', n)
  BinaryNodeSame:
  [find-node-and-stamp g3 (bin-node op x y, s') = Some n;
    g \oplus xe \leadsto (g2, x);
    g2 \oplus ye \leadsto (g3, y);
    s' = stamp-binary \ op \ (stamp \ g3 \ x) \ (stamp \ g3 \ y)
    \implies g \oplus (BinaryExpr \ op \ xe \ ye) \leadsto (g3, \ n) \mid
  BinaryNodeNew:
  [find-node-and-stamp g3 (bin-node op x y, s') = None;
    g \oplus xe \leadsto (g2, x);
    g2 \oplus ye \leadsto (g3, y);
    s' = stamp\text{-}binary\ op\ (stamp\ g3\ x)\ (stamp\ g3\ y);
    n = get-fresh-id g3;
    g' = add-node n (bin-node op x y, s') g3
    \implies g \oplus (BinaryExpr \ op \ xe \ ye) \leadsto (g', \ n) \mid
  AllLeafNodes:
  [stamp\ g\ n=s;
    is-preevaluated (kind \ g \ n)
    \implies g \oplus (\textit{LeafExpr} \ n \ s) \leadsto (g, \ n)
code-pred (modes: i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o \Rightarrow bool \ as \ unrep E)
```

unrep.

```
find-node-and-stamp (g::IRGraph) (ConstantNode (c::Value), constantAsStamp c) = Some (n::nat)
                                                                    q \oplus ConstantExpr \ c \leadsto (q, n)
find-node-and-stamp \ (g::IRGraph) \ (ConstantNode \ (c::Value), \ constantAsStamp \ c) = None
                                                                  (n::nat) = get\text{-}fresh\text{-}id g
                      (g'::IRGraph) = add-node n (ConstantNode c, constantAsStamp c) g
                                                           g \oplus ConstantExpr c \leadsto (g', n)
find-node-and-stamp \ (g::IRGraph) \ (ParameterNode \ (i::nat), \ s::Stamp) = Som \ (n::nat)
                                                      q \oplus ParameterExpr \ i \ s \leadsto (q, n)
find-node-and-stamp (g::IRGraph) (ParameterNode (i::nat), s::Stamp) = None
                                                      (n::nat) = get\text{-}fresh\text{-}id\ g
                           (g'::IRGraph) = add-node n (ParameterNode i, s) g
                                             g \oplus ParameterExpr \ i \ s \leadsto (g', n)
find-node-and-stamp\ (g4::IRGraph)\ (ConditionalNode\ (c::nat)\ (t::nat)\ (f::nat)\ ,\ s'::Stamp) = Some\ (n::nat)
                                                             g::IRGraph \oplus ce::IRExpr \leadsto (g2::IRGraph, c)
                                                                      g2 \oplus te::IRExpr \leadsto (g3::IRGraph, t)
                                       g3 \oplus fe::IRExpr \leadsto (g4, f) s' = meet (stamp g4 t) (stamp g4 f)
                                                                  g \oplus ConditionalExpr \ ce \ te \ fe \leadsto (g4, n)
find-node-and-stamp (g4::IRGraph) (ConditionalNode (c::nat) (t::nat) (f::nat), s'::Stamp) = None
                                                    g::IRGraph \oplus ce::IRExpr \leadsto (g2::IRGraph, c)
                             g2 \oplus te::IRExpr \leadsto (g3::IRGraph, t) g3 \oplus fe::IRExpr \leadsto (g4, f) s' = meet \ (stamp \ g4 \ t) \ (stamp \ g4 \ f) (n::nat) = get\text{-}fresh\text{-}id \ g4
                                        (g'::IRGraph) = add-node n (ConditionalNode c t f, s') g
                                                          g \oplus ConditionalExpr \ ce \ te \ fe \leadsto (g', n)
find-node-and-stamp \ (g3::IRGraph) \ (bin-node \ (op::IRBinaryOp) \ (x::nat) \ (y::nat), \ s'::Stamp) = Some \ (n::nat) \ (y::nat) \ (y::nat
                                                               g::IRGraph \oplus xe::IRExpr \leadsto (g2::IRGraph, x)
                                                                                  g2 \oplus ye::IRExpr \leadsto (g3, y)
                                                             s' = stamp-binary op (stamp g3 x) (stamp g3 y)
                                                                        g \oplus BinaryExpr \ op \ xe \ ye \leadsto (g3, n)
find-node-and-stamp (g3::IRGraph) (bin-node (op::IRBinaryOp) (x::nat) (y::nat), s'::Stamp) = None
                                                       g::IRGraph \oplus xe::IRExpr \leadsto (g2::IRGraph, x)
                                                                         g2 \oplus ye::IRExpr \leadsto (g3, y)
                                                    s' = stamp\text{-}binary\ op\ (stamp\ g3\ x)\ (stamp\ g3\ y)
                                                                           (n::nat) = get\text{-}fresh\text{-}id g3
                                                 (g'::IRGraph) = add-node n (bin-node op x y, s') g3
                                                                g \oplus BinaryExpr \ op \ xe \ ye \leadsto (g', n)
find-node-and-stamp\ (g2::IRGraph)\ (unary-node\ (op::IRUnaryOp)\ (x::nat),\ s':Stamp) = Some\ (n::nat)
                                                                   g::IRGraph \oplus xe::IRExpr \leadsto (g2, x)
                                                                     s' = stamp\text{-}unary \ op \ (stamp \ g2 \ x)
                                                                     g \oplus UnaryExpr \ op \ xe \leadsto (g2, n)
find-node-and-stamp\ (g2::IRGraph)\ (unary-node\ (op::IRUnaryOp)\ (x::nat),\ s':Stamp)=None
                                                          g::IRG_{\mathbf{x}} gph \oplus xe::IRExpr \leadsto (g2, x)
                              s' = stamp\text{-}unary \ op \ (stamp \ g2 \ x)  (n::nat) = get\text{-}fresh\text{-}id \ g2
                                          (g'::IRGraph) = add-node n (unary-node op x, s') g2
                                                              g \oplus UnaryExpr \ op \ xe \leadsto (g', n)
  stamp (g::IRGraph) (n::nat) = (s::Stamp)
                                                                                            is-preevaluated (kind g n)
                                               g \oplus LeafExpr \ n \ s \leadsto (g, n)
```

unrepRules

```
values \{(n, g) : (eg2\text{-}sq \oplus sq\text{-}param0 \leadsto (g, n))\}
```

7.3 Lift Data-flow Tree Semantics

```
definition encodeeval :: IRGraph \Rightarrow MapState \Rightarrow Params \Rightarrow ID \Rightarrow Value \Rightarrow bool ([-,-,-] \vdash - \mapsto - 50) where encodeeval\ g\ m\ p\ n\ v = (\exists\ e.\ (g \vdash n \simeq e) \land ([m,p] \vdash e \mapsto v))
```

7.4 Graph Refinement

```
definition graph-represents-expression :: IRGraph \Rightarrow ID \Rightarrow IRExpr \Rightarrow bool

(- \vdash - \trianglelefteq - 50)

where

(g \vdash n \trianglelefteq e) = (\exists e' . (g \vdash n \simeq e') \land (e' \leq e))
```

definition graph-refinement ::
$$IRGraph \Rightarrow IRGraph \Rightarrow bool$$
 where graph-refinement g_1 $g_2 = ((ids \ g_1 \subseteq ids \ g_2) \land (\forall \ n \ . \ n \in ids \ g_1 \longrightarrow (\forall \ e. \ (g_1 \vdash n \simeq e) \longrightarrow (g_2 \vdash n \trianglelefteq e))))$

lemma graph-refinement:

```
graph-refinement g1 g2 \Longrightarrow (\forall n \ m \ p \ v. \ n \in ids \ g1 \longrightarrow ([g1, \ m, \ p] \vdash n \mapsto v) \longrightarrow ([g2, \ m, \ p] \vdash n \mapsto v))
```

by (meson encodeeval-def graph-refinement-def graph-represents-expression-def le-expr-def)

7.5 Maximal Sharing

```
definition maximal-sharing:
```

```
maximal-sharing g = (\forall n_1 \ n_2 \ . \ n_1 \in true\text{-}ids \ g \land n_2 \in true\text{-}ids \ g \longrightarrow (\forall e. \ (g \vdash n_1 \simeq e) \land (g \vdash n_2 \simeq e) \land (stamp \ g \ n_1 = stamp \ g \ n_2) \longrightarrow n_1 = n_2))
```

end

7.6 Formedness Properties

```
theory Form
imports
Semantics. Tree To Graph
begin
```

```
definition wf-start where
wf-start g = (0 \in ids \ g \land is\text{-}StartNode\ (kind\ g\ 0))
```

definition
$$wf$$
-closed where wf -closed $g = (\forall n \in ids \ g \ .$

```
inputs g n \subseteq ids g \land
       succ\ g\ n\subseteq ids\ g\ \land
       kind \ g \ n \neq NoNode
definition wf-phis where
  wf-phis g =
    (\forall n \in ids g.
       is-PhiNode (kind g n) \longrightarrow
       length (ir-values (kind g n))
        = length (ir-ends)
             (kind\ g\ (ir\text{-}merge\ (kind\ g\ n)))))
definition wf-ends where
  wf-ends g =
    (\forall n \in ids g.
       is-AbstractEndNode (kind q n) \longrightarrow
       card (usages g n) > 0)
fun wf-graph :: IRGraph \Rightarrow bool where
  wf-graph g = (wf-start g \wedge wf-closed g \wedge wf-phis g \wedge wf-ends g)
lemmas wf-folds =
  wf-graph.simps
  wf-start-def
  wf-closed-def
  wf-phis-def
  wf-ends-def
fun wf-stamps :: IRGraph \Rightarrow bool where
  \textit{wf-stamps}\ g = (\forall\ n \in \textit{ids}\ g\ .
    (\forall \ v \ m \ p \ e \ . \ (g \vdash n \simeq e) \land ([m, \, p] \vdash e \mapsto v) \longrightarrow valid\text{-}value \ v \ (stamp\text{-}expr \ e)))
fun \textit{wf-stamp} :: IRGraph \Rightarrow (ID \Rightarrow Stamp) \Rightarrow \textit{bool} \ \textbf{where}
  wf-stamp g s = (\forall n \in ids g).
    (\forall \ v \ m \ p \ e \ . \ (g \vdash n \simeq e) \ \land \ ([m, \ p] \vdash e \mapsto v) \longrightarrow \textit{valid-value} \ v \ (s \ n)))
lemma wf-empty: wf-graph start-end-graph
  unfolding start-end-graph-def wf-folds by simp
lemma wf-eg2-sq: wf-graph eg2-sq
  unfolding eg2-sq-def wf-folds by simp
fun wf-logic-node-inputs :: IRGraph \Rightarrow ID \Rightarrow bool where
wf-logic-node-inputs g n =
 (\forall \ \textit{inp} \in \textit{set} \ (\textit{inputs-of} \ (\textit{kind} \ \textit{g} \ \textit{n})) \ . \ (\forall \ \textit{v} \ \textit{m} \ \textit{p} \ . \ ([\textit{g}, \ \textit{m}, \ \textit{p}] \vdash \textit{inp} \mapsto \textit{v}) \longrightarrow \textit{wf-bool}
v))
fun wf-values :: IRGraph \Rightarrow bool where
  wf-values g = (\forall n \in ids \ g).
```

```
 \begin{array}{c} (\forall \ v \ m \ p \ . \ ([g, \ m, \ p] \vdash n \mapsto v) \longrightarrow \\ (is\text{-}LogicNode \ (kind \ g \ n) \longrightarrow \\ wf\text{-}bool \ v \land wf\text{-}logic\text{-}node\text{-}inputs \ g \ n))) \end{array}
```

end

7.7 Dynamic Frames

This theory defines two operators, 'unchanged' and 'changeonly', that are useful for specifying which nodes in an IRGraph can change. The dynamic framing idea originates from 'Dynamic Frames' in software verification, started by Ioannis T. Kassios in "Dynamic frames: Support for framing, dependencies and sharing without restrictions", In FM 2006.

```
theory IRGraphFrames
 imports
    Form
begin
fun unchanged :: ID set \Rightarrow IRGraph \Rightarrow IRGraph \Rightarrow bool where
  unchanged \ ns \ g1 \ g2 = (\forall \ n \ . \ n \in ns \longrightarrow
   (n \in ids \ g1 \land n \in ids \ g2 \land kind \ g1 \ n = kind \ g2 \ n \land stamp \ g1 \ n = stamp \ g2 \ n))
fun changeonly :: ID set \Rightarrow IRGraph \Rightarrow IRGraph \Rightarrow bool where
  changeonly ns g1 g2 = (\forall n . n \in ids g1 \land n \notin ns \longrightarrow
    (n \in ids \ g1 \land n \in ids \ g2 \land kind \ g1 \ n = kind \ g2 \ n \land stamp \ g1 \ n = stamp \ g2 \ n))
lemma node-unchanged:
  assumes unchanged ns g1 g2
 assumes nid \in ns
 shows kind \ g1 \ nid = kind \ g2 \ nid
 using assms by auto
lemma other-node-unchanged:
  assumes changeonly ns g1 g2
  assumes nid \in ids \ g1
  assumes nid \notin ns
  shows kind \ g1 \ nid = kind \ g2 \ nid
  using assms
  using changeonly.simps by blast
Some notation for input nodes used
inductive eval-uses:: IRGraph \Rightarrow ID \Rightarrow ID \Rightarrow bool
  for g where
  use\theta: nid \in ids g
    \implies eval\text{-}uses\ g\ nid\ nid\ |
```

```
use-inp: nid' \in inputs \ g \ n
   \implies eval\text{-}uses\ g\ nid\ nid'
 use-trans: [eval-uses g nid nid';
   eval-uses q nid' nid''
   \implies eval-uses g nid nid"
fun eval-usages :: IRGraph \Rightarrow ID \Rightarrow ID set where
 eval-usages g nid = \{n \in ids \ g : eval-uses g nid n\}
lemma eval-usages-self:
 assumes nid \in ids g
 shows nid \in eval\text{-}usages g nid
 using assms eval-usages.simps eval-uses.intros(1)
 by (simp add: ids.rep-eq)
lemma not-in-g-inputs:
 assumes nid \notin ids g
 shows inputs g nid = \{\}
proof -
 have k: kind g \ nid = NoNode using assms not-in-g by blast
 then show ?thesis by (simp add: k)
qed
lemma child-member:
 assumes n = kind \ g \ nid
 assumes n \neq NoNode
 assumes List.member (inputs-of n) child
 shows child \in inputs g \ nid
 unfolding inputs.simps using assms
 by (metis in-set-member)
lemma child-member-in:
 assumes nid \in ids g
 assumes List.member (inputs-of (kind g nid)) child
 shows child \in inputs g \ nid
 unfolding inputs.simps using assms
 by (metis child-member ids-some inputs.elims)
lemma inp-in-g:
 assumes n \in inputs \ g \ nid
 shows nid \in ids g
proof -
 have inputs g nid \neq \{\}
   using assms
   by (metis empty-iff empty-set)
```

```
then have kind g nid \neq NoNode
   \mathbf{using}\ not\text{-}in\text{-}g\text{-}inputs
   using ids-some by blast
  then show ?thesis
   using not-in-g
   by metis
qed
lemma inp-in-g-wf:
 assumes wf-graph g
 assumes n \in inputs \ g \ nid
 shows n \in ids g
 using assms unfolding wf-folds
 using inp-in-g by blast
lemma kind-unchanged:
 assumes nid \in ids \ g1
 assumes unchanged (eval-usages g1 nid) g1 g2
 shows kind \ g1 \ nid = kind \ g2 \ nid
proof -
 show ?thesis
   using assms eval-usages-self
   using unchanged.simps by blast
qed
lemma stamp-unchanged:
 assumes nid \in ids \ g1
 assumes unchanged (eval-usages g1 nid) g1 g2
 shows stamp \ g1 \ nid = stamp \ g2 \ nid
 by (meson \ assms(1) \ assms(2) \ eval-usages-self \ unchanged.elims(2))
lemma child-unchanged:
 assumes child \in inputs \ g1 \ nid
 assumes unchanged (eval-usages g1 nid) g1 g2
 shows unchanged (eval-usages g1 child) g1 g2
 by (smt assms(1) assms(2) eval-usages.simps mem-Collect-eq
     unchanged.simps use-inp use-trans)
lemma eval-usages:
 assumes us = eval\text{-}usages g \ nid
 assumes nid' \in ids \ g
 shows eval-uses g nid nid' \longleftrightarrow nid' \in us (is ?P \longleftrightarrow ?Q)
 \mathbf{using}\ assms\ eval	ext{-}usages.simps
 by (simp add: ids.rep-eq)
lemma inputs-are-uses:
 assumes nid' \in inputs \ g \ nid
```

```
shows eval-uses g nid nid'
 by (metis assms use-inp)
lemma inputs-are-usages:
 assumes nid' \in inputs \ g \ nid
 assumes nid' \in ids g
 shows nid' \in eval\text{-}usages g nid
 using assms(1) assms(2) eval-usages inputs-are-uses by blast
lemma inputs-of-are-usages:
 assumes List.member (inputs-of (kind g nid)) nid'
 assumes nid' \in ids \ g
 shows nid' \in eval\text{-}usages g nid
 by (metis assms(1) assms(2) in-set-member inputs.elims inputs-are-usages)
lemma usage-includes-inputs:
 assumes us = eval\text{-}usages \ q \ nid
 assumes ls = inputs g \ nid
 assumes ls \subseteq ids g
 shows ls \subseteq us
 using inputs-are-usages eval-usages
 using assms(1) assms(2) assms(3) by blast
lemma elim-inp-set:
 assumes k = kind \ g \ nid
 assumes k \neq NoNode
 assumes child \in set (inputs-of k)
 shows child \in inputs g \ nid
 using assms by auto
\mathbf{lemma}\ encode\text{-}in\text{-}ids:
 assumes g \vdash nid \simeq e
 shows nid \in ids g
 using assms
 apply (induction rule: rep.induct)
 apply simp+
 by fastforce+
{f lemma} eval-in-ids:
 assumes [g, m, p] \vdash nid \mapsto v
 shows nid \in ids g
 using assms using encodeeval-def encode-in-ids
 by auto
\mathbf{lemma}\ transitive\text{-}kind\text{-}same:
 assumes unchanged (eval-usages g1 nid) g1 g2
 shows \forall nid' \in (eval\text{-}usages\ g1\ nid). kind\ g1\ nid' = kind\ g2\ nid'
 using assms
 by (meson\ unchanged.elims(1))
```

```
theorem stay-same-encoding:
     assumes nc: unchanged (eval-usages g1 nid) g1 g2
     assumes g1: g1 \vdash nid \simeq e
    assumes wf: wf-graph g1
     shows g2 \vdash nid \simeq e
proof -
     have dom: nid \in ids \ g1
          using g1 encode-in-ids by simp
     show ?thesis
using g1 nc wf dom proof (induction e rule: rep.induct)
     case (ConstantNode \ n \ c)
     then have kind g2 n = ConstantNode c
          using dom nc kind-unchanged
          by metis
     then show ?case using rep. ConstantNode
          by presburger
next
     case (ParameterNode \ n \ i \ s)
     then have kind g2 \ n = ParameterNode \ i
          by (metis kind-unchanged)
     then show ?case
      \textbf{by} \ (metis\ Parameter Node. hyps (2)\ Parameter Node. prems (1)\ Parameter Node. prems (3)
rep.ParameterNode stamp-unchanged)
\mathbf{next}
     case (ConditionalNode\ n\ c\ t\ f\ ce\ te\ fe)
     then have kind g2 n = ConditionalNode c t f
          by (metis kind-unchanged)
     have c \in eval\text{-}usages\ g1\ n\ \land\ t \in eval\text{-}usages\ g1\ n\ \land\ f \in eval\text{-}usages\ g1\ n
          using inputs-of-ConditionalNode
              by (metis\ ConditionalNode.hyps(1)\ ConditionalNode.hyps(2)\ ConditionalNode.hyps(2)
ode.hyps(3) ConditionalNode.hyps(4) encode-in-ids inputs.simps inputs-are-usages
list.set-intros(1) set-subset-Cons subset-code(1))
     then show ?case using transitive-kind-same
      \textbf{by} \ (metis\ Conditional Node. py s(1)\ Conditional Node. prems (1)\ IR Nodes. inputs-of-Conditional Node (1)\ Conditional Node (2)\ Conditional Node
\langle kind \ q2 \ n = Conditional Node \ c \ t \ f \rangle \ child-unchanged \ inputs.simps \ list.set-intros(1)
local. \ Conditional Node (5)\ local. \ Conditional Node (6)\ local. \ Conditional Node (7)\ local. \ Conditional Node (9)\ local. \ Conditional Node (10)\ local.
rep.ConditionalNode\ set-subset-Cons\ subset-code(1)\ unchanged.elims(2))
next
     case (AbsNode \ n \ x \ xe)
     then have kind g2 n = AbsNode x
          using kind-unchanged
          by metis
     then have x \in eval\text{-}usages g1 n
          \mathbf{using}\ inputs-of-AbsNode
              by (metis\ AbsNode.hyps(1)\ AbsNode.hyps(2)\ encode-in-ids\ inputs.simps\ in-
puts-are-usages list.set-intros(1))
     then show ?case
           by (metis AbsNode.IH AbsNode.hyps(1) AbsNode.prems(1) AbsNode.prems(3)
```

```
IRNodes.inputs-of-AbsNode \langle kind \ g2 \ n = AbsNode \ x \rangle child-member-in child-unchanged
local.wf member-rec(1) rep.AbsNode unchanged.simps)
next
   case (NotNode \ n \ x \ xe)
   then have kind q2 \ n = NotNode \ x
      using kind-unchanged
      by metis
   then have x \in eval\text{-}usages \ g1 \ n
      using inputs-of-NotNode
        by (metis\ NotNode.hyps(1)\ NotNode.hyps(2)\ encode-in-ids\ inputs.simps\ in-
puts-are-usages list.set-intros(1))
   then show ?case
       by (metis NotNode.IH NotNode.hyps(1) NotNode.prems(1) NotNode.prems(3)
IRNodes.inputs-of-NotNode \land kind \ g2 \ n = NotNode \ x \gt \ child-member-in \ child-unchanged
local.wf member-rec(1) rep.NotNode unchanged.simps)
next
   case (NegateNode \ n \ x \ xe)
   then have kind g2 n = NegateNode x
      using kind-unchanged by metis
   then have x \in eval\text{-}usages \ g1 \ n
      using inputs-of-NegateNode
       by (metis NegateNode.hyps(1) NegateNode.hyps(2) encode-in-ids inputs.simps
inputs-are-usages\ list.set-intros(1))
   then show ?case
        by (metis IRNodes.inputs-of-NegateNode NegateNode.IH NegateNode.hyps(1)
NegateNode.prems(1) NegateNode.prems(3) \land kind g2 n = NegateNode x \land child-member-in
child-unchanged local.wf member-rec(1) rep.NegateNode unchanged.elims(1))
next
   case (LogicNegationNode \ n \ x \ xe)
   then have kind g2 \ n = LogicNegationNode \ x
      using kind-unchanged by metis
   then have x \in eval\text{-}usages g1 n
      {\bf using} \ inputs-of\text{-}LogicNegationNode \ inputs-of\text{-}are\text{-}usages
      by (metis\ LogicNegationNode.hyps(1)\ LogicNegationNode.hyps(2)\ encode-in-ids
member-rec(1)
   then show ?case
       {f by}\ (metis\ IRNodes.inputs-of-LogicNegationNode\ LogicNegationNode.IH\ Logic-logicNegationNode\ LogicNegationNode.IH\ Logic-logicNegationNode\ LogicNegationNode\ LogicNegationNo
NegationNode.hyps(1)\ LogicNegationNode.hyps(2)\ LogicNegationNode.prems(1)\ \langle kind
g2 n = LogicNegationNode x > child-unchanged encode-in-ids inputs.simps list.set-intros(1)
local.wf rep.LogicNegationNode)
next
   case (AddNode \ n \ x \ y \ xe \ ye)
   then have kind g2 n = AddNode x y
      using kind-unchanged by metis
   then have x \in eval\text{-}usages \ g1 \ n \land y \in eval\text{-}usages \ g1 \ n
      {f using}\ inputs-of-LogicNegationNode\ inputs-of-are-usages
    by (metis\ AddNode.hyps(1)\ AddNode.hyps(2)\ AddNode.hyps(3)\ IRNodes.inputs-of-AddNode
encode-in-ids in-mono inputs.simps inputs-are-usages list.set-intros(1) set-subset-Cons)
   then show ?case
```

```
by (metis\ AddNode.IH(1)\ AddNode.IH(2)\ AddNode.hyps(1)\ AddNode.hyps(2)
AddNode.hyps(3) \ AddNode.prems(1) \ IRNodes.inputs-of-AddNode \land kind \ g2 \ n = AddNode
xy child-unchanged encode-in-ids in-set-member inputs.simps local.wf member-rec(1)
rep.AddNode
next
     case (MulNode \ n \ x \ y \ xe \ ye)
     then have kind g2 n = MulNode x y
         using kind-unchanged by metis
     then have x \in eval\text{-}usages g1 \ n \land y \in eval\text{-}usages g1 \ n
         {f using}\ inputs-of\mbox{-}LogicNegationNode\ inputs-of\mbox{-}are\mbox{-}usages
     \textbf{by} \ (metis \ MulNode.hyps(2) \ MulNode.hyps(2) \ MulNode.hyps(3) \ IRNodes.inputs-of-MulNode \ Apple \ A
encode-in-ids in-mono inputs.simps inputs-are-usages list.set-intros(1) set-subset-Cons)
     then show ?case using MulNode inputs-of-MulNode
     by (metis \land kind \ g2 \ n = MulNode \ x \ y) \ child-unchanged inputs.simps \ list.set-intros(1)
rep.MulNode\ set-subset-Cons subset-iff unchanged.elims(2))
next
     case (SubNode \ n \ x \ y \ xe \ ye)
    then have kind g2 \ n = SubNode \ x \ y
        using kind-unchanged by metis
     then have x \in eval\text{-}usages \ g1 \ n \land y \in eval\text{-}usages \ g1 \ n
        using inputs-of-LogicNegationNode inputs-of-are-usages
     \textbf{by} \ (metis \ SubNode.hyps(1) \ SubNode.hyps(2) \ SubNode.hyps(3) \ IRNodes.inputs-of-SubNode
encode-in-ids in-mono inputs.simps inputs-are-usages list.set-intros(1) set-subset-Cons)
     then show ?case using SubNode inputs-of-SubNode
      by (metis \land kind \ q2 \ n = SubNode \ x \ y) \ child-member child-unchanged encode-in-ids
ids-some member-rec(1) rep.SubNode)
next
     case (AndNode \ n \ x \ y \ xe \ ye)
     then have kind g2 n = AndNode x y
        using kind-unchanged by metis
     then have x \in eval\text{-}usages \ g1 \ n \land y \in eval\text{-}usages \ g1 \ n
        using inputs-of-LogicNegationNode inputs-of-are-usages
     \textbf{by} \; (metis \; And Node. hyps(1) \; And Node. hyps(2) \; And Node. hyps(3) \; IR Nodes. inputs-of-And Node \; hyps(2) \; And Node \; hyps(3) \; IR Nodes. inputs-of-And Node \; hyps(4) \; IR Nodes. inputs-of-And Node \; hyps(4) \; IR Node \; 
encode-in-ids in-mono inputs.simps inputs-are-usages list.set-intros(1) set-subset-Cons)
     then show ?case using AndNode inputs-of-AndNode
     by (metis \land kind \ q2 \ n = AndNode \ x \ y) \ child-unchanged inputs.simps \ list.set-intros(1)
rep. And Node\ set-subset-Cons\ subset-iff\ unchanged. elims (2))
next
     case (OrNode \ n \ x \ y \ xe \ ye)
     then have kind g2 n = OrNode x y
         using kind-unchanged by metis
     then have x \in eval\text{-}usages \ g1 \ n \land y \in eval\text{-}usages \ g1 \ n
        using inputs-of-OrNode inputs-of-are-usages
     \textbf{by} \ (metis \ OrNode.hyps(1) \ OrNode.hyps(2) \ OrNode.hyps(3) \ IRNodes.inputs-of-OrNode
encode-in-ids in-mono inputs.simps inputs-are-usages list.set-intros(1) set-subset-Cons)
     then show ?case using OrNode inputs-of-OrNode
       by (metis \langle kind \ g \ 2 \ n = OrNode \ x \ y \rangle child-member child-unchanged encode-in-ids
ids-some member-rec(1) rep.OrNode)
next
```

```
case (XorNode \ n \ x \ y \ xe \ ye)
  then have kind g2 n = XorNode x y
   using kind-unchanged by metis
  then have x \in eval\text{-}usages \ g1 \ n \land y \in eval\text{-}usages \ g1 \ n
   using inputs-of-XorNode inputs-of-are-usages
  by (metis\ XorNode.hyps(1)\ XorNode.hyps(2)\ XorNode.hyps(3)\ IRNodes.inputs-of-XorNode
encode-in-ids in-mono inputs.simps inputs-are-usages list.set-intros(1) set-subset-Cons)
  then show ?case using XorNode inputs-of-XorNode
  by (metis \langle kind \ q \ 2 \ n = XorNode \ x \ y \rangle child-member child-unchanged encode-in-ids
ids-some member-rec(1) rep.XorNode)
next
  case (ShortCircuitOrNode\ n\ x\ y\ xe\ ye)
  then have kind g2 n = ShortCircuitOrNode x y
   using kind-unchanged by metis
  then have x \in eval\text{-}usages g1 \ n \land y \in eval\text{-}usages g1 \ n
   using inputs-of-XorNode inputs-of-are-usages
    by (metis ShortCircuitOrNode.hyps(1) ShortCircuitOrNode.hyps(2) ShortCir-
cuitOrNode.hyps(3) IRNodes.inputs-of-ShortCircuitOrNode encode-in-ids in-mono
inputs.simps inputs-are-usages \ list.set-intros(1) \ set-subset-Cons)
  then show ?case using ShortCircuitOrNode inputs-of-ShortCircuitOrNode
   by (metis \langle kind \ g2 \ n = ShortCircuitOrNode \ x \ y \rangle child-member child-unchanged
encode-in-ids ids-some member-rec(1) rep.ShortCircuitOrNode)
case (LeftShiftNode\ n\ x\ y\ xe\ ye)
  then have kind g2 n = LeftShiftNode x y
   using kind-unchanged by metis
  then have x \in eval\text{-}usages \ g1 \ n \land y \in eval\text{-}usages \ g1 \ n
   \mathbf{using}\ inputs-of\text{-}XorNode\ inputs-of\text{-}are\text{-}usages
    by (metis\ LeftShiftNode.hyps(1)\ LeftShiftNode.hyps(2)\ LeftShiftNode.hyps(3)
IRNodes.inputs-of-LeftShiftNode\ encode-in-ids\ in-mono\ inputs.simps\ inputs-are-usages
list.set-intros(1) set-subset-Cons)
 then show ?case using LeftShiftNode inputs-of-LeftShiftNode
     by (metis \langle kind \ g2 \ n = LeftShiftNode \ x \ y \rangle child-member child-unchanged
encode-in-ids ids-some member-rec(1) rep.LeftShiftNode)
next
case (RightShiftNode\ n\ x\ y\ xe\ ye)
 then have kind g2 n = RightShiftNode x y
   using kind-unchanged by metis
  then have x \in eval\text{-}usages \ g1 \ n \land y \in eval\text{-}usages \ g1 \ n
   using inputs-of-RightShiftNode inputs-of-are-usages
  \textbf{by} \ (\textit{metis RightShiftNode.hyps}(1) \ \textit{RightShiftNode.hyps}(2) \ \textit{RightShiftNode.hyps}(3)
IRNodes.inputs-of-RightShiftNode\ encode-in-ids\ in-mono\ inputs.simps\ inputs-are-usages
list.set-intros(1) set-subset-Cons)
  then show ?case using RightShiftNode inputs-of-RightShiftNode
    by (metis \langle kind \ g2 \ n = RightShiftNode \ x \ y \rangle child-member child-unchanged
encode-in-ids ids-some member-rec(1) rep.RightShiftNode)
case (UnsignedRightShiftNode\ n\ x\ y\ xe\ ye)
 then have kind g2 n = UnsignedRightShiftNode x y
```

```
using kind-unchanged by metis
  then have x \in eval\text{-}usages \ g1 \ n \land y \in eval\text{-}usages \ g1 \ n
   \mathbf{using}\ inputs-of\text{-}\textit{UnsignedRightShiftNode}\ inputs-of\text{-}\textit{are-usages}
   by (metis\ UnsignedRightShiftNode.hyps(1)\ UnsignedRightShiftNode.hyps(2)\ Un-
signedRightShiftNode.hyps(3) IRNodes.inputs-of-UnsignedRightShiftNode encode-in-ids
in-mono\ inputs.simps\ inputs-are-usages\ list.set-intros(1)\ set-subset-Cons)
 then show ?case using UnsignedRightShiftNode inputs-of-UnsignedRightShiftNode
  by (metis \land kind \ g2 \ n = UnsignedRightShiftNode \ x \ y) \ child-member \ child-unchanged
encode-in-ids ids-some member-rec(1) rep. UnsignedRightShiftNode)
next
  case (IntegerBelowNode \ n \ x \ y \ xe \ ye)
  then have kind g2 \ n = IntegerBelowNode \ x \ y
   using kind-unchanged by metis
  then have x \in eval\text{-}usages \ g1 \ n \land y \in eval\text{-}usages \ g1 \ n
   using inputs-of-IntegerBelowNode inputs-of-are-usages
   by (metis\ IntegerBelowNode.hyps(1)\ IntegerBelowNode.hyps(2)\ IntegerBelowN-
ode.hyps(3) IRNodes.inputs-of-IntegerBelowNode encode-in-ids in-mono inputs.simps
inputs-are-usages list.set-intros(1) set-subset-Cons)
  {\bf then \ show} \ ? case \ {\bf using} \ Integer Below Node \ inputs-of-Integer Below Node
   by (metis \land kind \ g2 \ n = IntegerBelowNode \ x \ y) \ child-member \ child-unchanged
encode-in-ids ids-some member-rec(1) rep.IntegerBelowNode)
next
  case (IntegerEqualsNode\ n\ x\ y\ xe\ ye)
  then have kind g2 \ n = IntegerEqualsNode \ x \ y
   using kind-unchanged by metis
  then have x \in eval-usages g1 \ n \land y \in eval-usages g1 \ n
   using inputs-of-IntegerEqualsNode inputs-of-are-usages
   by (metis\ Integer Equals Node. hyps(1)\ Integer Equals Node. hyps(2)\ Integer Equal-
sNode.hyps (\textit{3}) \ IRNodes.inputs-of-Integer Equals Node\ encode-in-ids\ in-mono\ inputs.simps
inputs-are-usages list.set-intros(1) set-subset-Cons)
  then show ?case using IntegerEqualsNode inputs-of-IntegerEqualsNode
   by (metis \langle kind \ q2 \ n = Integer Equals Node \ x \ y \rangle \ child-member \ child-unchanged
encode-in-ids ids-some member-rec(1) rep.IntegerEqualsNode)
  case (IntegerLessThanNode\ n\ x\ y\ xe\ ye)
 then have kind q2 n = IntegerLessThanNode x y
   using kind-unchanged by metis
  then have x \in eval\text{-}usages g1 \ n \land y \in eval\text{-}usages g1 \ n
   \mathbf{using}\ inputs-of\text{-}IntegerLessThanNode\ inputs-of\text{-}are\text{-}usages
     by (metis\ IntegerLessThanNode.hyps(1)\ IntegerLessThanNode.hyps(2)\ Inte-
gerLessThanNode.hyps(3)\ IRNodes.inputs-of-IntegerLessThanNode\ encode-in-ids\ in-mono
inputs.simps inputs-are-usages \ list.set-intros(1) \ set-subset-Cons)
 then show ?case using IntegerLessThanNode inputs-of-IntegerLessThanNode
  by (metis \langle kind \ g \ 2 \ n = IntegerLessThanNode \ x \ y \rangle child-member child-unchanged
encode-in-ids ids-some member-rec(1) rep.IntegerLessThanNode)
next
  case (NarrowNode \ n \ ib \ rb \ x \ xe)
  then have kind g2 \ n = NarrowNode \ ib \ rb \ x
   using kind-unchanged by metis
```

```
then have x \in eval-usages q1 n
              {\bf using} \ inputs-of\text{-}NarrowNode \ inputs-of\text{-}are\text{-}usages
         \textbf{by} \; (\textit{metis NarrowNode.hyps(1)} \; \textit{NarrowNode.hyps(2)} \; \textit{IRNodes.inputs-of-NarrowNode} \\
 encode-in-ids inputs.simps inputs-are-usages list.set-intros(1))
        then show ?case using NarrowNode inputs-of-NarrowNode
                   by (metis \langle kind \ g2 \ n = NarrowNode \ ib \ rb \ x \rangle child-unchanged inputs.elims
list.set-intros(1) rep.NarrowNode unchanged.simps)
        case (SignExtendNode \ n \ ib \ rb \ x \ xe)
        then have kind g2 n = SignExtendNode ib rb x
               using kind-unchanged by metis
        then have x \in eval\text{-}usages g1 n
              \mathbf{using}\ inputs-of\text{-}SignExtendNode\ inputs-of\text{-}are\text{-}usages
                \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{metis}\ \mathit{SignExtendNode.hyps}(1)\ \mathit{SignExtendNode.hyps}(2)\ \mathit{encode-in-ids}\ \mathit{in-ids}\ \mathit{in-id
puts.simps\ inputs-are-usages\ list.set-intros(1))
        then show ?case using SignExtendNode inputs-of-SignExtendNode
         by (metis \land kind g2 \ n = SignExtendNode \ ib \ rb \ x) \ child-member-in \ child-unchanged
in\text{-}set\text{-}member\ list.set\text{-}intros(1)\ rep.SignExtendNode\ unchanged.elims(2))}
        case (ZeroExtendNode \ n \ ib \ rb \ x \ xe)
        then have kind g2 \ n = ZeroExtendNode \ ib \ rb \ x
               using kind-unchanged by metis
        then have x \in eval\text{-}usages g1 n
               using inputs-of-ZeroExtendNode inputs-of-are-usages
         \textbf{by} \; (metis \; Zero Extend Node. hyps (1) \; Zero Extend Node. hyps (2) \; IR Nodes. inputs-of-Zero Extend Node (2) \; IR Nodes. inputs-of-Zero Extend Node (2) \; IR Nodes. inputs-of-Zero Extend Node (3) \; IR Node 
encode-in-ids inputs.simps inputs-are-usages list.set-intros(1))
        then show ?case using ZeroExtendNode inputs-of-ZeroExtendNode
         by (metis \land kind \ g2 \ n = ZeroExtendNode \ ib \ rb \ x) \ child-member-in \ child-unchanged
member-rec(1) rep.ZeroExtendNode unchanged.simps)
next
        case (LeafNode n s)
        then show ?case
              by (metis kind-unchanged rep.LeafNode stamp-unchanged)
        case (RefNode \ n \ n')
       then have kind q2 n = RefNode n'
               using kind-unchanged by metis
        then have n' \in eval\text{-}usages \ q1 \ n
                    by (metis IRNodes.inputs-of-RefNode RefNode.hyps(1) RefNode.hyps(2) en-
code-in-ids inputs.elims inputs-are-usages list.set-intros(1))
        then show ?case
         \textbf{by} \ (\textit{metis IRNodes.inputs-of-RefNode RefNode.IH RefNode.hyps(1)} \ RefNode.hyps(2)
RefNode.prems(1) \land kind \ g2 \ n = RefNode \ n' \land child-unchanged \ encode-in-ids \ in-ids 
puts.elims list.set-intros(1) local.wf rep.RefNode)
qed
qed
```

```
theorem stay-same:
 assumes nc: unchanged (eval-usages g1 nid) g1 g2
 assumes g1: [g1, m, p] \vdash nid \mapsto v1
 assumes wf: wf-graph g1
 shows [g2, m, p] \vdash nid \mapsto v1
proof -
 have nid: nid \in ids \ g1
   using q1 eval-in-ids by simp
 then have nid \in eval\text{-}usages g1 \ nid
   using eval-usages-self by blast
 then have kind-same: kind g1 nid = kind g2 nid
   using nc node-unchanged by blast
 obtain e where e: (g1 \vdash nid \simeq e) \land ([m,p] \vdash e \mapsto v1)
   using encodeeval-def g1
   by auto
 then have val: [m,p] \vdash e \mapsto v1
   using g1 encodeeval-def
   by simp
 then show ?thesis using e nid nc
   unfolding encodeeval-def
 proof (induct e v1 arbitrary: nid rule: evaltree.induct)
   case (ConstantExpr\ c)
   then show ?case
     by (meson local.wf stay-same-encoding)
 next
   case (ParameterExpr i s)
   have g2 \vdash nid \simeq ParameterExpr i s
     using stay-same-encoding ParameterExpr
     by (meson\ local.wf)
   then show ?case using evaltree.ParameterExpr
     by (meson ParameterExpr.hyps)
   case (ConditionalExpr ce cond branch te fe v)
   then have g2 \vdash nid \simeq ConditionalExpr \ ce \ te \ fe
   using Conditional Expr.prems(1) Conditional Expr.prems(3) local.wf stay-same-encoding
     by presburger
   then show ?case
       by (meson\ Conditional Expr.prems(1)\ Conditional Expr.prems(3)\ local.wf
stay-same-encoding)
 next
   case (UnaryExpr xe v op)
   then show ?case
     using local.wf stay-same-encoding by blast
 next
   case (BinaryExpr\ xe\ x\ ye\ y\ op)
   then show ?case
     using local.wf stay-same-encoding by blast
 next
   case (LeafExpr\ val\ nid\ s)
```

```
then show ?case
     by (metis local.wf stay-same-encoding)
 \mathbf{qed}
qed
lemma add-changed:
 assumes gup = add-node new k g
 shows changeonly \{new\} g gup
 using assms unfolding add-node-def changeonly.simps
 using add-node.rep-eq add-node-def kind.rep-eq stamp.rep-eq by simp
lemma disjoint-change:
 assumes changeonly change g gup
 assumes nochange = ids g - change
 shows unchanged nochange q qup
 using assms unfolding changeonly.simps unchanged.simps
 \mathbf{by} blast
lemma add-node-unchanged:
 assumes new \notin ids g
 assumes nid \in ids g
 assumes gup = add-node new k g
 assumes wf-graph g
 shows unchanged (eval-usages g nid) g gup
proof -
 have new \notin (eval\text{-}usages \ g \ nid) using assms
   using eval-usages.simps by blast
 then have changeonly \{new\} g gup
   using assms add-changed by blast
 then show ?thesis using assms add-node-def disjoint-change
   using Diff-insert-absorb by auto
qed
lemma eval-uses-imp:
 ((nid' \in ids \ q \land nid = nid')
   \vee nid' \in inputs g nid
   \vee (\exists nid'' . eval\text{-}uses g nid nid'' \wedge eval\text{-}uses g nid'' nid'))
   \longleftrightarrow eval-uses g nid nid'
 using use0 use-inp use-trans
 by (meson eval-uses.simps)
lemma wf-use-ids:
 assumes wf-graph g
 assumes nid \in ids g
 assumes eval-uses g nid nid'
 shows nid' \in ids g
 using assms(3)
proof (induction rule: eval-uses.induct)
```

```
case use0
  then show ?case by simp
\mathbf{next}
  case use-inp
  then show ?case
   using assms(1) inp-in-g-wf by blast
\mathbf{next}
  {f case}\ use\mbox{-}trans
  then show ?case by blast
qed
lemma no-external-use:
  assumes wf-graph g
 assumes nid' \notin ids g
 assumes nid \in ids q
 shows \neg(eval\text{-}uses\ q\ nid\ nid')
proof -
  have 0: nid \neq nid'
   using assms by blast
  \mathbf{have}\ \mathit{inp}\colon \mathit{nid}'\notin \mathit{inputs}\ \mathit{g}\ \mathit{nid}
   using assms
   using inp-in-g-wf by blast
  have rec-0: \nexists n . n \in ids \ g \land n = nid'
    using assms by blast
  have rec-inp: \nexists n . n \in ids \ g \land n \in inputs \ g \ nid'
   using assms(2) inp-in-g by blast
  have rec: \nexists nid''. eval-uses g nid nid'' \land eval-uses g nid'' nid'
   using wf-use-ids assms(1) assms(2) assms(3) by blast
  from inp 0 rec show ?thesis
   using eval-uses-imp by blast
qed
```

7.8 Tree to Graph Theorems

```
\begin{tabular}{l} \textbf{theory} & \textit{TreeToGraphThms} \\ \textbf{imports} \\ & \textit{IRTreeEvalThms} \\ & \textit{IRGraphFrames} \\ & \textit{HOL-Eisbach.Eisbach} \\ & \textit{HOL-Eisbach.Eisbach-Tools} \\ \textbf{begin} \\ \end{tabular}
```

end

7.8.1 Extraction and Evaluation of Expression Trees is Deterministic.

First, we prove some extra rules that relate each type of IRNode to the corresponding IRExpr type that 'rep' will produce. These are very helpful

```
named-theorems rep
lemma rep-constant [rep]:
  g \vdash n \simeq e \Longrightarrow
  kind\ g\ n = ConstantNode\ c \Longrightarrow
   e = ConstantExpr\ c
  by (induction rule: rep.induct; auto)
lemma rep-parameter [rep]:
  g \vdash n \simeq e \Longrightarrow
  kind \ q \ n = ParameterNode \ i \Longrightarrow
   (\exists s. e = ParameterExpr i s)
  by (induction rule: rep.induct; auto)
lemma rep-conditional [rep]:
  g \vdash n \simeq e \Longrightarrow
  kind\ g\ n = ConditionalNode\ c\ t\ f \Longrightarrow
  (\exists ce te fe. e = ConditionalExpr ce te fe)
  by (induction rule: rep.induct; auto)
lemma rep-abs [rep]:
  g \vdash n \simeq e \Longrightarrow
  kind\ g\ n = AbsNode\ x \Longrightarrow
  (\exists xe. \ e = UnaryExpr\ UnaryAbs\ xe)
  by (induction rule: rep.induct; auto)
lemma rep-not [rep]:
  g \vdash n \simeq e \Longrightarrow
  kind\ g\ n = NotNode\ x \Longrightarrow
   (\exists xe. \ e = UnaryExpr\ UnaryNot\ xe)
 by (induction rule: rep.induct; auto)
lemma rep-negate [rep]:
  g \vdash n \simeq e \Longrightarrow
   kind\ g\ n = NegateNode\ x \Longrightarrow
   (\exists xe. \ e = UnaryExpr\ UnaryNeg\ xe)
  by (induction rule: rep.induct; auto)
lemma rep-logicnegation [rep]:
  g \vdash n \simeq e \Longrightarrow
   kind\ g\ n = LogicNegationNode\ x \Longrightarrow
   (\exists xe. \ e = UnaryExpr\ UnaryLogicNegation\ xe)
  by (induction rule: rep.induct; auto)
lemma rep-add [rep]:
  g \vdash n \simeq e \Longrightarrow
   kind\ g\ n = AddNode\ x\ y \Longrightarrow
   (\exists xe \ ye. \ e = BinaryExpr \ BinAdd \ xe \ ye)
```

for proving that 'rep' is deterministic.

```
by (induction rule: rep.induct; auto)
lemma rep-sub [rep]:
  g \vdash n \simeq e \Longrightarrow
   kind\ g\ n = SubNode\ x\ y \Longrightarrow
   (\exists xe \ ye. \ e = BinaryExpr \ BinSub \ xe \ ye)
  \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{induction}\ \mathit{rule}\colon \mathit{rep.induct};\ \mathit{auto})
lemma rep-mul [rep]:
  g \vdash n \simeq e \Longrightarrow
   kind \ g \ n = MulNode \ x \ y \Longrightarrow
   (\exists xe \ ye. \ e = BinaryExpr \ BinMul \ xe \ ye)
  by (induction rule: rep.induct; auto)
lemma rep-and [rep]:
  q \vdash n \simeq e \Longrightarrow
   kind\ g\ n = AndNode\ x\ y \Longrightarrow
   (\exists xe \ ye. \ e = BinaryExpr \ BinAnd \ xe \ ye)
  by (induction rule: rep.induct; auto)
lemma rep-or [rep]:
  g \vdash n \simeq e \Longrightarrow
   kind \ g \ n = OrNode \ x \ y \Longrightarrow
   (\exists xe \ ye. \ e = BinaryExpr \ BinOr \ xe \ ye)
  by (induction rule: rep.induct; auto)
lemma rep-xor [rep]:
  g \vdash n \simeq e \Longrightarrow
   kind\ g\ n = XorNode\ x\ y \Longrightarrow
   (\exists xe \ ye. \ e = BinaryExpr \ BinXor \ xe \ ye)
  by (induction rule: rep.induct; auto)
lemma rep-short-circuit-or [rep]:
  g \vdash n \simeq e \Longrightarrow
   kind\ g\ n = ShortCircuitOrNode\ x\ y \Longrightarrow
   (\exists xe \ ye. \ e = BinaryExpr \ BinShortCircuitOr \ xe \ ye)
  by (induction rule: rep.induct; auto)
lemma rep-left-shift [rep]:
  g \vdash n \simeq e \Longrightarrow
   kind\ g\ n = LeftShiftNode\ x\ y \Longrightarrow
   (\exists xe \ ye. \ e = BinaryExpr \ BinLeftShift \ xe \ ye)
  by (induction rule: rep.induct; auto)
lemma rep-right-shift [rep]:
  g \vdash n \simeq e \Longrightarrow
   kind\ g\ n = RightShiftNode\ x\ y \Longrightarrow
   (\exists xe \ ye. \ e = BinaryExpr \ BinRightShift \ xe \ ye)
  by (induction rule: rep.induct; auto)
```

```
lemma rep-unsigned-right-shift [rep]:
  g \vdash n \simeq e \Longrightarrow
   kind\ g\ n = UnsignedRightShiftNode\ x\ y \Longrightarrow
   (\exists xe \ ye. \ e = BinaryExpr \ BinURightShift \ xe \ ye)
  by (induction rule: rep.induct; auto)
lemma rep-integer-below [rep]:
  g \vdash n \simeq e \Longrightarrow
   kind\ g\ n = IntegerBelowNode\ x\ y \Longrightarrow
  (\exists xe \ ye. \ e = BinaryExpr \ BinIntegerBelow \ xe \ ye)
  by (induction rule: rep.induct; auto)
lemma rep-integer-equals [rep]:
  q \vdash n \simeq e \Longrightarrow
   kind\ q\ n = IntegerEqualsNode\ x\ y \Longrightarrow
   (\exists xe \ ye. \ e = BinaryExpr \ BinIntegerEquals \ xe \ ye)
  by (induction rule: rep.induct; auto)
lemma rep-integer-less-than [rep]:
  g \vdash n \simeq e \Longrightarrow
   kind\ g\ n = IntegerLessThanNode\ x\ y \Longrightarrow
   (\exists xe \ ye. \ e = BinaryExpr \ BinIntegerLessThan \ xe \ ye)
  by (induction rule: rep.induct; auto)
lemma rep-narrow [rep]:
  g \vdash n \simeq e \Longrightarrow
   kind\ g\ n = NarrowNode\ ib\ rb\ x \Longrightarrow
  (\exists x. \ e = UnaryExpr(UnaryNarrow ib \ rb) \ x)
  by (induction rule: rep.induct; auto)
lemma rep-sign-extend [rep]:
  g \vdash n \simeq e \Longrightarrow
  kind\ g\ n = SignExtendNode\ ib\ rb\ x \Longrightarrow
   (\exists x. \ e = UnaryExpr(UnarySignExtend\ ib\ rb)\ x)
 by (induction rule: rep.induct; auto)
lemma rep-zero-extend [rep]:
  g \vdash n \simeq e \Longrightarrow
   kind\ g\ n = ZeroExtendNode\ ib\ rb\ x \Longrightarrow
   (\exists x. \ e = UnaryExpr\ (UnaryZeroExtend\ ib\ rb)\ x)
  by (induction rule: rep.induct; auto)
lemma rep-load-field [rep]:
  g \vdash n \simeq e \Longrightarrow
   is-preevaluated (kind \ g \ n) \Longrightarrow
   (\exists s. \ e = LeafExpr \ n \ s)
  by (induction rule: rep.induct; auto)
```

```
lemma rep-ref [rep]:
  g \vdash n \simeq e \Longrightarrow
   kind\ g\ n = RefNode\ n' \Longrightarrow
    g \vdash n' \simeq e
  by (induction rule: rep.induct; auto)
method solve-det uses node =
   (match\ node\ \mathbf{in}\ kind\ {\mbox{--}} = node\ {\mbox{--}}\ \mathbf{for}\ node \Rightarrow
     \langle match \ rep \ in \ r: - \Longrightarrow - = node - \Longrightarrow - \Longrightarrow
        \langle match\ IRNode.inject\ in\ i:\ (node\ -=\ node\ -)=-\Rightarrow
           \langle match \; RepE \; in \; e: - \Longrightarrow (\bigwedge x. \; - = node \; x \Longrightarrow -) \Longrightarrow - \Longrightarrow
             \langle match\ IRNode.distinct\ in\ d:\ node\ - \neq RefNode\ - \Rightarrow
                \langle metis \ i \ e \ r \ d \rangle \rangle \rangle \rangle |
    match \ node \ \mathbf{in} \ kind - - = node - - \mathbf{for} \ node \Rightarrow
     \langle match \ rep \ in \ r: - \Longrightarrow - = node - - \Longrightarrow - \Longrightarrow
        \langle match\ IRNode.inject\ in\ i:\ (node --= node --) = - \Rightarrow
           \langle match \; RepE \; in \; e: - \Longrightarrow (\bigwedge x \; y. \; - = node \; x \; y \Longrightarrow -) \Longrightarrow - \Longrightarrow
             \langle match\ IRNode.distinct\ in\ d:\ node\ -\ - \neq RefNode\ - \Rightarrow
                \langle metis \ i \ e \ r \ d \rangle \rangle \rangle \rangle \rangle
    match \ node \ \mathbf{in} \ kind - - = node - - - \mathbf{for} \ node \Rightarrow
     \langle match \ rep \ in \ r: - \Longrightarrow - = node - - - \Longrightarrow - \Longrightarrow
        \langle match\ IRNode.inject\ in\ i:\ (node --- = node ---) = - \Rightarrow
           \langle match \; RepE \; in \; e: - \Longrightarrow (\bigwedge x \; y \; z. \; - = \; node \; x \; y \; z \Longrightarrow -) \Longrightarrow - \Longrightarrow
              < match\ IRNode.distinct\ in\ d:\ node\ -\ -\ - \neq\ RefNode\ - \Rightarrow
                \langle metis \ i \ e \ r \ d \rangle \rangle \rangle \rangle |
   match \ node \ \mathbf{in} \ kind - - = node - - - \ \mathbf{for} \ node \Rightarrow
     \langle match \ rep \ in \ r: - \Longrightarrow - = node - - - \Longrightarrow - \Longrightarrow
        \langle match\ IRNode.inject\ in\ i:\ (node\ {\ \ ---} = node\ {\ \ ---}) = {\ \ -} \Rightarrow
           \langle match \; RepE \; in \; e: - \Longrightarrow (\bigwedge x. \; - = node \; - \; x \Longrightarrow -) \Longrightarrow - \Longrightarrow
             \langle match\ IRNode.distinct\ in\ d:\ node\ -\ -\ \neq\ RefNode\ -\ \Rightarrow
                \langle metis \ i \ e \ r \ d \rangle \rangle \rangle \rangle
Now we can prove that 'rep' and 'eval', and their list versions, are determin-
istic.
lemma repDet:
  shows (g \vdash n \simeq e_1) \Longrightarrow (g \vdash n \simeq e_2) \Longrightarrow e_1 = e_2
proof (induction arbitrary: e<sub>2</sub> rule: rep.induct)
   case (ConstantNode \ n \ c)
   then show ?case using rep-constant by auto
next
  case (ParameterNode \ n \ i \ s)
  then show ?case
     by (metis IRNode.disc(2685) ParameterNodeE is-RefNode-def rep-parameter)
   case (ConditionalNode\ n\ c\ t\ f\ ce\ te\ fe)
   then show ?case
     using IRNode.distinct(593)
     \mathbf{using}\ \mathit{IRNode.inject}(6)\ \mathit{ConditionalNodeE}\ \mathit{rep-conditional}
```

```
by metis
next
 case (AbsNode \ n \ x \ xe)
 then show ?case
   by (solve-det node: AbsNode)
next
 case (NotNode \ n \ x \ xe)
 then show ?case
   by (solve-det node: NotNode)
next
 case (NegateNode \ n \ x \ xe)
 then show ?case
   by (solve-det node: NegateNode)
\mathbf{next}
  case (LogicNegationNode \ n \ x \ xe)
 then show ?case
   by (solve-det node: LogicNegationNode)
next
 case (AddNode \ n \ x \ y \ xe \ ye)
 then show ?case
   by (solve-det node: AddNode)
\mathbf{next}
  case (MulNode \ n \ x \ y \ xe \ ye)
 then show ?case
   by (solve-det node: MulNode)
next
 case (SubNode \ n \ x \ y \ xe \ ye)
 then show ?case
   \mathbf{by}\ (solve\text{-}det\ node:\ SubNode)
next
 case (AndNode \ n \ x \ y \ xe \ ye)
 then show ?case
   \mathbf{by}\ (solve\text{-}det\ node:\ AndNode)
 case (OrNode \ n \ x \ y \ xe \ ye)
 then show ?case
   by (solve-det node: OrNode)
next
 case (XorNode \ n \ x \ y \ xe \ ye)
 then show ?case
   by (solve-det node: XorNode)
next
 case (ShortCircuitOrNode\ n\ x\ y\ xe\ ye)
 then show ?case
   by (solve-det node: ShortCircuitOrNode)
 case (LeftShiftNode\ n\ x\ y\ xe\ ye)
 then show ?case
   by (solve-det node: LeftShiftNode)
```

```
next
 case (RightShiftNode\ n\ x\ y\ xe\ ye)
 then show ?case
   by (solve-det node: RightShiftNode)
next
  \mathbf{case} \ (\mathit{UnsignedRightShiftNode} \ n \ x \ y \ xe \ ye)
 then show ?case
   by (solve-det node: UnsignedRightShiftNode)
next
  case (IntegerBelowNode \ n \ x \ y \ xe \ ye)
 then show ?case
   by (solve-det node: IntegerBelowNode)
next
 case (IntegerEqualsNode\ n\ x\ y\ xe\ ye)
 then show ?case
   by (solve-det node: IntegerEqualsNode)
 case (IntegerLessThanNode\ n\ x\ y\ xe\ ye)
 then show ?case
   by (solve-det node: IntegerLessThanNode)
\mathbf{next}
  case (NarrowNode \ n \ x \ xe)
 then show ?case
   by (metis IRNode.distinct(2203) IRNode.inject(28) NarrowNodeE rep-narrow)
next
  case (SignExtendNode \ n \ x \ xe)
  then show ?case
  by (metis IRNode.distinct(2599) IRNode.inject(39) SignExtendNodeE rep-sign-extend)
\mathbf{next}
 case (ZeroExtendNode \ n \ x \ xe)
 then show ?case
  by (metis IRNode.distinct(2753) IRNode.inject(50) ZeroExtendNodeE rep-zero-extend)
next
 case (LeafNode \ n \ s)
 then show ?case using rep-load-field LeafNodeE
   by (metis\ is-preevaluated.simps(53))
next
  case (RefNode n')
 then show ?case
   using rep-ref by blast
\mathbf{qed}
lemma repAllDet:
 g \vdash xs \simeq_L e1 \Longrightarrow
  g \vdash xs \simeq_L e2 \Longrightarrow
proof (induction arbitrary: e2 rule: replist.induct)
 case RepNil
 then show ?case
```

```
using replist.cases by auto
\mathbf{next}
 case (RepCons \ x \ xe \ xs \ xse)
 then show ?case
   by (metis list.distinct(1) list.sel(1) list.sel(3) repDet replist.cases)
\mathbf{qed}
lemma encodeEvalDet:
 [g,m,p] \vdash e \mapsto v1 \Longrightarrow
  [g,m,p] \vdash e \mapsto v2 \Longrightarrow
  v1 = v2
 by (metis encodeeval-def evalDet repDet)
lemma graphDet: ([g,m,p] \vdash n \mapsto v_1) \land ([g,m,p] \vdash n \mapsto v_2) \Longrightarrow v_1 = v_2
 using encodeEvalDet by blast
7.8.2 Monotonicity of Graph Refinement
Lift refinement monotonicity to graph level. Hopefully these shouldn't really
be required.
lemma mono-abs:
 assumes kind\ g1\ n=AbsNode\ x\wedge kind\ g2\ n=AbsNode\ x
 assumes (g1 \vdash x \simeq xe1) \land (g2 \vdash x \simeq xe2)
 assumes xe1 \ge xe2
 assumes (g1 \vdash n \simeq e1) \land (g2 \vdash n \simeq e2)
 shows e1 \ge e2
 by (metis AbsNode assms(1) assms(2) assms(3) assms(4) mono-unary repDet)
lemma mono-not:
 assumes kind\ g1\ n = NotNode\ x \land kind\ g2\ n = NotNode\ x
 assumes (g1 \vdash x \simeq xe1) \land (g2 \vdash x \simeq xe2)
 assumes xe1 \ge xe2
 assumes (g1 \vdash n \simeq e1) \land (g2 \vdash n \simeq e2)
 shows e1 \geq e2
 by (metis\ NotNode\ assms(1)\ assms(2)\ assms(3)\ assms(4)\ mono-unary\ repDet)
lemma mono-negate:
 assumes kind\ g1\ n = NegateNode\ x \land kind\ g2\ n = NegateNode\ x
 assumes (g1 \vdash x \simeq xe1) \land (g2 \vdash x \simeq xe2)
 assumes xe1 \ge xe2
 assumes (g1 \vdash n \simeq e1) \land (g2 \vdash n \simeq e2)
 shows e1 \ge e2
 by (metis NegateNode assms(1) assms(2) assms(3) assms(4) mono-unary repDet)
lemma mono-logic-negation:
 assumes kind g1 n = LogicNegationNode x \land kind g2 n = LogicNegationNode x
 assumes (g1 \vdash x \simeq xe1) \land (g2 \vdash x \simeq xe2)
 assumes xe1 \ge xe2
```

assumes $(g1 \vdash n \simeq e1) \land (g2 \vdash n \simeq e2)$

```
shows e1 > e2
 by (metis LogicNegationNode assms(1) assms(2) assms(3) assms(4) mono-unary
repDet)
lemma mono-narrow:
 assumes kind g1 n = NarrowNode ib rb x \land kind g2 n = NarrowNode ib rb x
 assumes (g1 \vdash x \simeq xe1) \land (g2 \vdash x \simeq xe2)
 assumes xe1 \ge xe2
 assumes (g1 \vdash n \simeq e1) \land (g2 \vdash n \simeq e2)
 shows e1 \ge e2
 using assms mono-unary repDet NarrowNode
 by metis
lemma mono-sign-extend:
 assumes kind g1 n = SignExtendNode ib rb x \wedge kind g2 n = SignExtendNode ib
 assumes (g1 \vdash x \simeq xe1) \land (g2 \vdash x \simeq xe2)
 assumes xe1 \ge xe2
 assumes (g1 \vdash n \simeq e1) \land (g2 \vdash n \simeq e2)
 shows e1 \ge e2
  by (metis SignExtendNode assms(1) assms(2) assms(3) assms(4) mono-unary
repDet)
lemma mono-zero-extend:
 assumes kind g1 n = ZeroExtendNode ib rb x \land kind g2 n = ZeroExtendNode ib
rb x
 assumes (g1 \vdash x \simeq xe1) \land (g2 \vdash x \simeq xe2)
 assumes xe1 \ge xe2
 assumes (g1 \vdash n \simeq e1) \land (g2 \vdash n \simeq e2)
 shows e1 \ge e2
 using assms mono-unary repDet ZeroExtendNode
 by metis
lemma mono-conditional-graph:
 assumes kind g1 n = ConditionalNode\ c\ t\ f \land kind\ g2\ n = ConditionalNode\ c\ t\ f
 assumes (g1 \vdash c \simeq ce1) \land (g2 \vdash c \simeq ce2)
 assumes (g1 \vdash t \simeq te1) \land (g2 \vdash t \simeq te2)
 assumes (g1 \vdash f \simeq fe1) \land (g2 \vdash f \simeq fe2)
 assumes ce1 \ge ce2 \land te1 \ge te2 \land fe1 \ge fe2
 assumes (g1 \vdash n \simeq e1) \land (g2 \vdash n \simeq e2)
 shows e1 \ge e2
 using ConditionalNodeE\ IRNode.inject(6)\ assms(1)\ assms(2)\ assms(3)\ assms(4)
assms(5) assms(6) mono-conditional repDet rep-conditional
 by (smt (verit, best) ConditionalNode)
lemma mono-add:
  assumes kind g1 n = AddNode \ x \ y \land kind \ g2 \ n = AddNode \ x \ y
 assumes (g1 \vdash x \simeq xe1) \land (g2 \vdash x \simeq xe2)
 assumes (g1 \vdash y \simeq ye1) \land (g2 \vdash y \simeq ye2)
```

```
assumes xe1 \ge xe2 \land ye1 \ge ye2
  assumes (g1 \vdash n \simeq e1) \land (g2 \vdash n \simeq e2)
  shows e1 \ge e2
  using mono-binary assms AddNodeE IRNode.inject(2) repDet rep-add
  by (metis\ IRNode.distinct(205))
lemma mono-mul:
  assumes kind g1 n = MulNode \ x \ y \land kind \ g2 \ n = MulNode \ x \ y
  assumes (g1 \vdash x \simeq xe1) \land (g2 \vdash x \simeq xe2)
  assumes (g1 \vdash y \simeq ye1) \land (g2 \vdash y \simeq ye2)
  assumes xe1 \ge xe2 \land ye1 \ge ye2
  assumes (g1 \vdash n \simeq e1) \land (g2 \vdash n \simeq e2)
  shows e1 \ge e2
  using mono-binary assms IRNode.inject(27) MulNodeE repDet rep-mul
  by (smt (verit, best) MulNode)
lemma term-graph-evaluation:
  (g \vdash n \leq e) \Longrightarrow (\forall m p v . ([m,p] \vdash e \mapsto v) \longrightarrow ([g,m,p] \vdash n \mapsto v))
  unfolding graph-represents-expression-def apply auto
  by (meson encodeeval-def)
lemma encodes-contains:
  g \vdash n \simeq e \Longrightarrow
  kind \ g \ n \neq NoNode
  apply (induction rule: rep.induct)
  apply (match IRNode.distinct in e: ?n \neq NoNode \Rightarrow
         \langle presburger \ add: \ e \rangle) +
  apply force
  by fastforce
lemma no-encoding:
  assumes n \notin ids g
 shows \neg(g \vdash n \simeq e)
  using assms apply simp apply (rule notI) by (induction e; simp add: en-
codes-contains)
lemma not-excluded-keep-type:
  assumes n \in ids \ g1
  assumes n \notin excluded
  assumes (excluded \subseteq as\text{-}set g1) \subseteq as\text{-}set g2
  shows kind \ g1 \ n = kind \ g2 \ n \wedge stamp \ g1 \ n = stamp \ g2 \ n
  using assms unfolding as-set-def domain-subtraction-def by blast
method metis-node-eq-unary for node :: 'a \Rightarrow IRNode =
  (match\ IRNode.inject\ \mathbf{in}\ i:\ (node\ -=\ node\ -)=-\Rightarrow
     \langle metis i \rangle
method metis-node-eq-binary for node :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow IRNode =
  (match\ IRNode.inject\ \mathbf{in}\ i:\ (node\ -\ -=\ node\ -\ -)=-\Rightarrow
```

```
\langle metis \ i \rangle \rangle method metis-node-eq-ternary for node :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow IRNode = (match \ IRNode.inject \ in \ i: (node - - - = node - - -) = - \Rightarrow \langle metis \ i \rangle )
```

7.8.3 Lift Data-flow Tree Refinement to Graph Refinement

```
theorem graph-semantics-preservation:
 assumes a: e1' \geq e2'
 assumes b: (\{n'\} \subseteq as\text{-set } g1) \subseteq as\text{-set } g2
 assumes c: g1 \vdash n' \simeq e1'
 assumes d: g2 \vdash n' \simeq e2'
 shows graph-refinement g1 g2
 unfolding graph-refinement-def apply rule
  apply (metis b d ids-some no-encoding not-excluded-keep-type singleton-iff sub-
setI)
 apply (rule allI) apply (rule impI) apply (rule allI) apply (rule impI)
 unfolding graph-represents-expression-def
proof -
 fix n e1
 assume e: n \in ids \ g1
 assume f: (g1 \vdash n \simeq e1)
 show \exists e2. (g2 \vdash n \simeq e2) \land e1 \geq e2
 proof (cases n = n')
   case True
   have g: e1 = e1' using cf True repDet by simp
   have h: (g2 \vdash n \simeq e2') \land e1' \geq e2'
     using True a d by blast
   then show ?thesis
     using q by blast
 \mathbf{next}
   {\bf case}\ \mathit{False}
   have n \notin \{n'\}
     using False by simp
   then have i: kind\ g1\ n=kind\ g2\ n\ \wedge\ stamp\ g1\ n=stamp\ g2\ n
     using not-excluded-keep-type
     using b e by presburger
   show ?thesis using f i
   proof (induction e1)
     case (ConstantNode \ n \ c)
     then show ?case
       by (metis eq-refl rep. ConstantNode)
   next
     case (ParameterNode \ n \ i \ s)
     then show ?case
       \mathbf{by}\ (metis\ eq\text{-}refl\ rep.ParameterNode)
   next
     case (ConditionalNode n c t f ce1 te1 fe1)
```

```
have k: q1 \vdash n \simeq ConditionalExpr ce1 te1 fe1 using f ConditionalNode
      by (simp\ add:\ ConditionalNode.hyps(2)\ rep.ConditionalNode)
     obtain cn tn fn where l: kind g1 n = ConditionalNode cn tn fn
       using ConditionalNode.hyps(1) by blast
     then have mc: g1 \vdash cn \simeq ce1
       using ConditionalNode.hyps(1) ConditionalNode.hyps(2) by fastforce
     from l have mt: g1 \vdash tn \simeq te1
       using ConditionalNode.hyps(1) ConditionalNode.hyps(3) by fastforce
     from l have mf: g1 \vdash fn \simeq fe1
       using ConditionalNode.hyps(1) ConditionalNode.hyps(4) by fastforce
     then show ?case
     proof -
      have g1 \vdash cn \simeq ce1 using mc by simp
      have g1 \vdash tn \simeq te1 using mt by simp
      have g1 \vdash fn \simeq fe1 using mf by simp
      have cer: \exists ce2. (q2 \vdash cn \simeq ce2) \land ce1 > ce2
        using ConditionalNode
        using a b c d l no-encoding not-excluded-keep-type repDet singletonD
        by (metis-node-eq-ternary ConditionalNode)
       have ter: \exists te2. (g2 \vdash tn \simeq te2) \land te1 \geq te2
        using ConditionalNode a b c d l no-encoding not-excluded-keep-type repDet
singleton D
        by (metis-node-eq-ternary ConditionalNode)
      have \exists fe2. (g2 \vdash fn \simeq fe2) \land fe1 \geq fe2
        using ConditionalNode a b c d l no-encoding not-excluded-keep-type repDet
singletonD
        by (metis-node-eq-ternary ConditionalNode)
         then have \exists ce2 te2 fe2. (g2 \vdash n \simeq ConditionalExpr ce2 te2 fe2) \land
Conditional Expr\ ce1\ te1\ fe1 \geq\ Conditional Expr\ ce2\ te2\ fe2
        using ConditionalNode.prems l rep.ConditionalNode cer ter
        by (smt (verit) mono-conditional)
      then show ?thesis
        \mathbf{by}\ meson
     qed
   next
     case (AbsNode \ n \ x \ xe1)
     have k: g1 \vdash n \simeq UnaryExpr\ UnaryAbs\ xe1\ using\ f\ AbsNode
      \mathbf{by}\ (simp\ add:\ AbsNode.hyps(2)\ rep.AbsNode)
     obtain xn where l: kind g1 n = AbsNode xn
       using AbsNode.hyps(1) by blast
     then have m: g1 \vdash xn \simeq xe1
       using AbsNode.hyps(1) AbsNode.hyps(2) by fastforce
     then show ?case
     proof (cases xn = n')
      {\bf case}\  \, True
      then have n: xe1 = e1' using c m repDet by simp
       then have ev: g2 \vdash n \simeq UnaryExpr\ UnaryAbs\ e2' using AbsNode.hyps(1)
l m n
        using AbsNode.prems True d rep.AbsNode by simp
```

```
then have r: UnaryExpr\ UnaryAbs\ e1' \geq UnaryExpr\ UnaryAbs\ e2'
        by (meson a mono-unary)
       then show ?thesis using ev r
        by (metis \ n)
     next
      {f case} False
      have g1 \vdash xn \simeq xe1 using m by simp
      have \exists xe2. (g2 \vdash xn \simeq xe2) \land xe1 \geq xe2
        using AbsNode
       using False b encodes-contains l not-excluded-keep-type not-in-g singleton-iff
        \mathbf{by}\ (\textit{metis-node-eq-unary}\ \textit{AbsNode})
        then have \exists xe2. (g2 \vdash n \simeq UnaryExpr\ UnaryAbs\ xe2) \land UnaryExpr
UnaryAbs \ xe1 \ge UnaryExpr \ UnaryAbs \ xe2
        by (metis AbsNode.prems l mono-unary rep.AbsNode)
      then show ?thesis
        by meson
     qed
   next
     case (NotNode \ n \ x \ xe1)
     have k: g1 \vdash n \simeq UnaryExpr\ UnaryNot\ xe1\ using\ f\ NotNode
      by (simp add: NotNode.hyps(2) rep.NotNode)
     obtain xn where l: kind g1 n = NotNode xn
       using NotNode.hyps(1) by blast
     then have m: g1 \vdash xn \simeq xe1
       using NotNode.hyps(1) NotNode.hyps(2) by fastforce
     then show ?case
     proof (cases xn = n')
      \mathbf{case} \ \mathit{True}
      then have n: xe1 = e1' using c \ m \ repDet by simp
       then have ev: g2 \vdash n \simeq UnaryExpr\ UnaryNot\ e2'\ using\ NotNode.hyps(1)
l m n
        using NotNode.prems True d rep.NotNode by simp
      then have r: UnaryExpr\ UnaryNot\ e1' \ge UnaryExpr\ UnaryNot\ e2'
        by (meson a mono-unary)
      then show ?thesis using ev r
        by (metis \ n)
     next
       case False
      have g1 \vdash xn \simeq xe1 using m by simp
      have \exists xe2. (g2 \vdash xn \simeq xe2) \land xe1 \geq xe2
        \mathbf{using}\ \mathit{NotNode}
        using False i b l not-excluded-keep-type singletonD no-encoding
        by (metis-node-eq-unary NotNode)
        then have \exists xe2. (g2 \vdash n \simeq UnaryExpr\ UnaryNot\ xe2) \land UnaryExpr
UnaryNot \ xe1 \ge UnaryExpr \ UnaryNot \ xe2
        by (metis NotNode.prems l mono-unary rep.NotNode)
       then show ?thesis
        by meson
     qed
```

```
next
     case (NegateNode \ n \ x \ xe1)
     have k: g1 \vdash n \simeq UnaryExpr\ UnaryNeg\ xe1\ using\ f\ NegateNode
      by (simp add: NegateNode.hyps(2) rep.NegateNode)
     obtain xn where l: kind g1 n = NegateNode xn
       using NegateNode.hyps(1) by blast
     then have m: g1 \vdash xn \simeq xe1
       using NegateNode.hyps(1) NegateNode.hyps(2) by fastforce
     then show ?case
     proof (cases xn = n')
      {\bf case}\ {\it True}
      then have n: xe1 = e1' using c \ m \ repDet by simp
     then have ev: g2 \vdash n \simeq UnaryExpr\ UnaryNeg\ e2'\ using\ NegateNode.hyps(1)
l m n
        using NegateNode.prems True d rep.NegateNode by simp
      then have r: UnaryExpr\ UnaryNeg\ e1' \geq UnaryExpr\ UnaryNeg\ e2'
        by (meson a mono-unary)
      then show ?thesis using ev r
        by (metis \ n)
     next
      case False
      have g1 \vdash xn \simeq xe1 using m by simp
      have \exists xe2. (g2 \vdash xn \simeq xe2) \land xe1 \geq xe2
        using NegateNode
        using False i b l not-excluded-keep-type singletonD no-encoding
        by (metis-node-eq-unary NegateNode)
        then have \exists xe2. (g2 \vdash n \simeq UnaryExpr\ UnaryNeg\ xe2) \land UnaryExpr
UnaryNeg \ xe1 \ge UnaryExpr \ UnaryNeg \ xe2
        by (metis NegateNode.prems l mono-unary rep.NegateNode)
      then show ?thesis
        by meson
     qed
   next
     case (LogicNegationNode\ n\ x\ xe1)
     have k: g1 \vdash n \simeq UnaryExpr\ UnaryLogicNegation\ xe1\ using\ f\ LogicNega-
      \mathbf{by}\ (simp\ add:\ LogicNegationNode.hyps(2)\ rep.LogicNegationNode)
     obtain xn where l: kind g1 n = LogicNegationNode xn
       using LogicNegationNode.hyps(1) by blast
     then have m: g1 \vdash xn \simeq xe1
      using LogicNegationNode.hyps(1) LogicNegationNode.hyps(2) by fastforce
     then show ?case
     proof (cases xn = n')
      case True
      then have n: xe1 = e1' using c m repDet by simp
         then have ev: g2 \vdash n \simeq UnaryExpr\ UnaryLogicNegation\ e2' using
LogicNegationNode.hyps(1) \ l \ m \ n
        using LogicNegationNode.prems True d rep.LogicNegationNode by simp
      then have r: UnaryExpr\ UnaryLogicNegation\ e1' \geq UnaryExpr\ UnaryLog-
```

```
icNegation e2'
        by (meson a mono-unary)
      then show ?thesis using ev r
        by (metis \ n)
     next
      {f case} False
      have g1 \vdash xn \simeq xe1 using m by simp
      have \exists xe2. (g2 \vdash xn \simeq xe2) \land xe1 \geq xe2
        using LogicNegationNode
        using False i b l not-excluded-keep-type singletonD no-encoding
        by (metis-node-eq-unary LogicNegationNode)
         then have \exists xe2. (g2 \vdash n \simeq UnaryExpr\ UnaryLogicNegation\ xe2) \land
UnaryExpr\ UnaryLogicNegation\ xe1 \geq UnaryExpr\ UnaryLogicNegation\ xe2
       by (metis\ LogicNegationNode.prems\ l\ mono-unary\ rep.LogicNegationNode)
      then show ?thesis
        by meson
     qed
   next
     case (AddNode\ n\ x\ y\ xe1\ ye1)
     have k: g1 \vdash n \simeq BinaryExpr\ BinAdd\ xe1\ ye1 using f\ AddNode
      \mathbf{by}\ (simp\ add:\ AddNode.hyps(2)\ rep.AddNode)
     obtain xn yn where l: kind g1 n = AddNode xn yn
       using AddNode.hyps(1) by blast
     then have mx: g1 \vdash xn \simeq xe1
      using AddNode.hyps(1) AddNode.hyps(2) by fastforce
     from l have my: g1 \vdash yn \simeq ye1
      using AddNode.hyps(1) AddNode.hyps(3) by fastforce
     then show ?case
     proof -
      have g1 \vdash xn \simeq xe1 using mx by simp
      have g1 \vdash yn \simeq ye1 using my by simp
      have xer: \exists xe2. (g2 \vdash xn \simeq xe2) \land xe1 \geq xe2
        using AddNode
        using a b c d l no-encoding not-excluded-keep-type repDet singletonD
        by (metis-node-eq-binary AddNode)
      have \exists ye2. (q2 \vdash yn \simeq ye2) \land ye1 > ye2
        using AddNode
        using a b c d l no-encoding not-excluded-keep-type repDet singletonD
        by (metis-node-eq-binary AddNode)
     then have \exists xe2 ye2. (g2 \vdash n \simeq BinaryExpr BinAdd xe2 ye2) \land BinaryExpr
BinAdd\ xe1\ ye1 \ge BinaryExpr\ BinAdd\ xe2\ ye2
        by (metis AddNode.prems l mono-binary rep.AddNode xer)
      then show ?thesis
        by meson
     qed
   next
     case (MulNode \ n \ x \ y \ xe1 \ ye1)
     have k: g1 \vdash n \simeq BinaryExpr\ BinMul\ xe1\ ye1\ using\ f\ MulNode
      by (simp add: MulNode.hyps(2) rep.MulNode)
```

```
obtain xn yn where l: kind q1 n = MulNode xn yn
       using MulNode.hyps(1) by blast
     then have mx: g1 \vdash xn \simeq xe1
       using MulNode.hyps(1) MulNode.hyps(2) by fastforce
     from l have my: q1 \vdash yn \simeq ye1
       using MulNode.hyps(1) MulNode.hyps(3) by fastforce
     then show ?case
     proof -
      have g1 \vdash xn \simeq xe1 using mx by simp
      have g1 \vdash yn \simeq ye1 using my by simp
      have xer: \exists xe2. (g2 \vdash xn \simeq xe2) \land xe1 \geq xe2
        using MulNode
        using a b c d l no-encoding not-excluded-keep-type repDet singletonD
        by (metis-node-eq-binary MulNode)
      have \exists ye2. (g2 \vdash yn \simeq ye2) \land ye1 \geq ye2
        using MulNode
        using a b c d l no-encoding not-excluded-keep-type repDet singletonD
        by (metis-node-eq-binary MulNode)
     then have \exists xe2 ye2. (g2 \vdash n \simeq BinaryExpr BinMul xe2 ye2) \land BinaryExpr
BinMul\ xe1\ ye1 \geq BinaryExpr\ BinMul\ xe2\ ye2
        by (metis MulNode.prems l mono-binary rep.MulNode xer)
       then show ?thesis
        by meson
     qed
   next
     case (SubNode\ n\ x\ y\ xe1\ ye1)
     have k: g1 \vdash n \simeq BinaryExpr\ BinSub\ xe1\ ye1 using f\ SubNode
      by (simp\ add:\ SubNode.hyps(2)\ rep.SubNode)
     obtain xn yn where l: kind g1 n = SubNode xn yn
      using SubNode.hyps(1) by blast
     then have mx: g1 \vdash xn \simeq xe1
       using SubNode.hyps(1) SubNode.hyps(2) by fastforce
     from l have my: g1 \vdash yn \simeq ye1
       using SubNode.hyps(1) SubNode.hyps(3) by fastforce
     then show ?case
     proof -
      have g1 \vdash xn \simeq xe1 using mx by simp
      have g1 \vdash yn \simeq ye1 using my by simp
      have xer: \exists xe2. (g2 \vdash xn \simeq xe2) \land xe1 \geq xe2
        using SubNode
        using a b c d l no-encoding not-excluded-keep-type repDet singletonD
        by (metis-node-eq-binary SubNode)
      have \exists ye2. (g2 \vdash yn \simeq ye2) \land ye1 \geq ye2
      \mathbf{using} \; SubNode \; a \; b \; c \; d \; l \; no\text{-}encoding \; not\text{-}excluded\text{-}keep\text{-}type \; repDet \; singletonD
        by (metis-node-eq-binary SubNode)
      then have \exists xe2 ye2. (g2 \vdash n \simeq BinaryExpr BinSub xe2 ye2) \land BinaryExpr
BinSub \ xe1 \ ye1 > BinaryExpr \ BinSub \ xe2 \ ye2
        by (metis SubNode.prems l mono-binary rep.SubNode xer)
      then show ?thesis
```

```
by meson
     qed
   \mathbf{next}
     case (AndNode\ n\ x\ y\ xe1\ ye1)
     have k: g1 \vdash n \simeq BinaryExpr\ BinAnd\ xe1\ ye1 using f\ AndNode
      by (simp\ add:\ AndNode.hyps(2)\ rep.AndNode)
     obtain xn yn where l: kind g1 n = AndNode xn yn
       using AndNode.hyps(1) by blast
     then have mx: g1 \vdash xn \simeq xe1
      using AndNode.hyps(1) AndNode.hyps(2) by fastforce
     from l have my: g1 \vdash yn \simeq ye1
      using AndNode.hyps(1) AndNode.hyps(3) by fastforce
     then show ?case
     proof -
      have g1 \vdash xn \simeq xe1 using mx by simp
      have q1 \vdash yn \simeq ye1 using my by simp
      have xer: \exists xe2. (g2 \vdash xn \simeq xe2) \land xe1 \geq xe2
        using AndNode
        using a b c d l no-encoding not-excluded-keep-type repDet singletonD
        by (metis-node-eq-binary AndNode)
      have \exists ye2. (g2 \vdash yn \simeq ye2) \land ye1 \geq ye2
            using AndNode a b c d l no-encoding not-excluded-keep-type repDet
singletonD
        by (metis-node-eq-binary AndNode)
     then have \exists xe2 ye2. (g2 \vdash n \simeq BinaryExpr BinAnd xe2 ye2) \land BinaryExpr
BinAnd\ xe1\ ye1 \geq BinaryExpr\ BinAnd\ xe2\ ye2
        by (metis AndNode.prems l mono-binary rep.AndNode xer)
      then show ?thesis
        \mathbf{by} \ meson
     qed
   next
     case (OrNode\ n\ x\ y\ xe1\ ye1)
     have k: g1 \vdash n \simeq BinaryExpr\ BinOr\ xe1\ ye1\ using\ f\ OrNode
      by (simp\ add:\ OrNode.hyps(2)\ rep.OrNode)
     obtain xn yn where l: kind g1 n = OrNode xn yn
      using OrNode.hyps(1) by blast
     then have mx: g1 \vdash xn \simeq xe1
      using OrNode.hyps(1) OrNode.hyps(2) by fastforce
     from l have my: g1 \vdash yn \simeq ye1
       using OrNode.hyps(1) OrNode.hyps(3) by fastforce
     then show ?case
     proof -
      have g1 \vdash xn \simeq xe1 using mx by simp
      have g1 \vdash yn \simeq ye1 using my by simp
      have xer: \exists xe2. (g2 \vdash xn \simeq xe2) \land xe1 \geq xe2
        using OrNode
        using a b c d l no-encoding not-excluded-keep-type repDet singletonD
        by (metis-node-eq-binary OrNode)
      have \exists ye2. (g2 \vdash yn \simeq ye2) \land ye1 \geq ye2
```

```
using OrNode a b c d l no-encoding not-excluded-keep-type repDet singletonD
        by (metis-node-eq-binary OrNode)
      then have \exists xe2 ye2. (g2 \vdash n \simeq BinaryExpr BinOr xe2 ye2) \land BinaryExpr
BinOr\ xe1\ ye1 \geq BinaryExpr\ BinOr\ xe2\ ye2
        by (metis OrNode.prems l mono-binary rep.OrNode xer)
      then show ?thesis
        by meson
    qed
   next
    case (XorNode \ n \ x \ y \ xe1 \ ye1)
    have k: g1 \vdash n \simeq BinaryExpr\ BinXor\ xe1\ ye1 using f\ XorNode
      by (simp\ add:\ XorNode.hyps(2)\ rep.XorNode)
    obtain xn yn where l: kind g1 n = XorNode xn yn
      using XorNode.hyps(1) by blast
    then have mx: q1 \vdash xn \simeq xe1
      using XorNode.hyps(1) XorNode.hyps(2) by fastforce
    from l have my: g1 \vdash yn \simeq ye1
      using XorNode.hyps(1) XorNode.hyps(3) by fastforce
    then show ?case
    proof -
      have g1 \vdash xn \simeq xe1 using mx by simp
      have g1 \vdash yn \simeq ye1 using my by simp
      have xer: \exists xe2. (g2 \vdash xn \simeq xe2) \land xe1 \geq xe2
        using XorNode
        using a b c d l no-encoding not-excluded-keep-type repDet singletonD
        by (metis-node-eq-binary XorNode)
      have \exists ye2. (g2 \vdash yn \simeq ye2) \land ye1 \geq ye2
            using XorNode a b c d l no-encoding not-excluded-keep-type repDet
singletonD
        by (metis-node-eq-binary XorNode)
     then have \exists xe2 ye2. (g2 \vdash n \simeq BinaryExpr BinXor xe2 ye2) \land BinaryExpr
BinXor\ xe1\ ye1 \ge BinaryExpr\ BinXor\ xe2\ ye2
        by (metis XorNode.prems l mono-binary rep.XorNode xer)
      then show ?thesis
        by meson
    qed
   next
   case (ShortCircuitOrNode n x y xe1 ye1)
     have k: g1 \vdash n \simeq BinaryExpr\ BinShortCircuitOr\ xe1\ ye1\ using\ f\ ShortCir-
cuitOrNode
      by (simp add: ShortCircuitOrNode.hyps(2) rep.ShortCircuitOrNode)
    obtain xn yn where l: kind g1 n = ShortCircuitOrNode xn yn
      using ShortCircuitOrNode.hyps(1) by blast
    then have mx: g1 \vdash xn \simeq xe1
     using ShortCircuitOrNode.hyps(1) ShortCircuitOrNode.hyps(2) by fastforce
    from l have my: g1 \vdash yn \simeq ye1
     using ShortCircuitOrNode.hyps(1) ShortCircuitOrNode.hyps(3) by fastforce
    then show ?case
    proof -
```

```
have q1 \vdash xn \simeq xe1 using mx by simp
       have g1 \vdash yn \simeq ye1 using my by simp
       have xer: \exists xe2. (g2 \vdash xn \simeq xe2) \land xe1 \geq xe2
        using ShortCircuitOrNode
        using a b c d l no-encoding not-excluded-keep-type repDet singletonD
        by (metis-node-eq-binary ShortCircuitOrNode)
       have \exists ye2. (g2 \vdash yn \simeq ye2) \land ye1 \geq ye2
          using ShortCircuitOrNode a b c d l no-encoding not-excluded-keep-type
repDet \ singletonD
        by (metis-node-eq-binary ShortCircuitOrNode)
      then have \exists xe2 ye2. (g2 \vdash n \simeq BinaryExpr BinShortCircuitOr xe2 ye2) \land
BinaryExpr\ BinShortCircuitOr\ xe1\ ye1 \geq BinaryExpr\ BinShortCircuitOr\ xe2\ ye2
       \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{metis}\ ShortCircuitOrNode.prems\ l\ mono-binary\ rep.ShortCircuitOrNode
xer
       then show ?thesis
        by meson
     qed
   next
     case (LeftShiftNode\ n\ x\ y\ xe1\ ye1)
     have k: g1 \vdash n \simeq BinaryExpr\ BinLeftShift\ xe1\ ye1\ using\ f\ LeftShiftNode
       by (simp add: LeftShiftNode.hyps(2) rep.LeftShiftNode)
     obtain xn yn where l: kind g1 n = LeftShiftNode xn yn
       using LeftShiftNode.hyps(1) by blast
     then have mx: g1 \vdash xn \simeq xe1
       using LeftShiftNode.hyps(1) LeftShiftNode.hyps(2) by fastforce
     from l have my: g1 \vdash yn \simeq ye1
       using LeftShiftNode.hyps(1) LeftShiftNode.hyps(3) by fastforce
     then show ?case
     proof -
       have g1 \vdash xn \simeq xe1 using mx by simp
       have g1 \vdash yn \simeq ye1 using my by simp
       have xer: \exists xe2. (g2 \vdash xn \simeq xe2) \land xe1 \geq xe2
        using LeftShiftNode
        using a b c d l no-encoding not-excluded-keep-type repDet singletonD
        by (metis-node-eq-binary LeftShiftNode)
       have \exists ye2. (q2 \vdash yn \simeq ye2) \land ye1 > ye2
         using LeftShiftNode a b c d l no-encoding not-excluded-keep-type repDet
singletonD
        by (metis-node-eq-binary LeftShiftNode)
         then have \exists xe2 ye2. (g2 \vdash n \simeq BinaryExpr BinLeftShift xe2 ye2) \land
BinaryExpr\ BinLeftShift\ xe1\ ye1 \geq BinaryExpr\ BinLeftShift\ xe2\ ye2
        by (metis LeftShiftNode.prems l mono-binary rep.LeftShiftNode xer)
       then show ?thesis
        by meson
     qed
   next
     case (RightShiftNode\ n\ x\ y\ xe1\ ye1)
     have k: g1 \vdash n \simeq BinaryExpr\ BinRightShift\ xe1\ ye1\ using\ f\ RightShiftNode
       by (simp add: RightShiftNode.hyps(2) rep.RightShiftNode)
```

```
obtain xn yn where l: kind g1 n = RightShiftNode xn yn
       using RightShiftNode.hyps(1) by blast
     then have mx: g1 \vdash xn \simeq xe1
       using RightShiftNode.hyps(1) RightShiftNode.hyps(2) by fastforce
     from l have my: q1 \vdash yn \simeq ye1
       using RightShiftNode.hyps(1) RightShiftNode.hyps(3) by fastforce
     then show ?case
     proof -
       have g1 \vdash xn \simeq xe1 using mx by simp
       have g1 \vdash yn \simeq ye1 using my by simp
       have xer: \exists xe2. (g2 \vdash xn \simeq xe2) \land xe1 \geq xe2
        using RightShiftNode
        using a b c d l no-encoding not-excluded-keep-type repDet singletonD
        by (metis-node-eq-binary RightShiftNode)
       have \exists ye2. (g2 \vdash yn \simeq ye2) \land ye1 \geq ye2
         using RightShiftNode a b c d l no-encoding not-excluded-keep-type repDet
singletonD
        by (metis-node-eq-binary RightShiftNode)
        then have \exists xe2 ye2. (g2 \vdash n \simeq BinaryExpr BinRightShift xe2 ye2) \land
BinaryExpr\ BinRightShift\ xe1\ ye1 \geq BinaryExpr\ BinRightShift\ xe2\ ye2
        by (metis RightShiftNode.prems l mono-binary rep.RightShiftNode xer)
       then show ?thesis
        \mathbf{by} \ meson
     qed
   next
     case (UnsignedRightShiftNode n x y xe1 ye1)
    have k: g1 \vdash n \simeq BinaryExpr\ BinURightShift\ xe1\ ye1\ using\ f\ UnsignedRight-
ShiftNode
      \textbf{by} \ (simp \ add: \ Unsigned Right Shift Node. hyps (2) \ rep. \ Unsigned Right Shift Node)
     obtain xn \ yn \ where l: kind \ g1 \ n = UnsignedRightShiftNode <math>xn \ yn
       using UnsignedRightShiftNode.hyps(1) by blast
     then have mx: q1 \vdash xn \simeq xe1
       using UnsignedRightShiftNode.hyps(1) UnsignedRightShiftNode.hyps(2) by
fast force
     from l have my: g1 \vdash yn \simeq ye1
       using UnsignedRightShiftNode.hyps(1) UnsignedRightShiftNode.hyps(3) by
fast force
     then show ?case
     proof -
       have g1 \vdash xn \simeq xe1 using mx by simp
       have g1 \vdash yn \simeq ye1 using my by simp
       have xer: \exists xe2. (g2 \vdash xn \simeq xe2) \land xe1 \geq xe2
        using UnsignedRightShiftNode
        using a b c d l no-encoding not-excluded-keep-type repDet singletonD
        by (metis-node-eq-binary UnsignedRightShiftNode)
       have \exists ye2. (g2 \vdash yn \simeq ye2) \land ye1 \geq ye2
        using UnsignedRightShiftNode a b c d l no-encoding not-excluded-keep-type
repDet \ singletonD
        by (metis-node-eq-binary UnsignedRightShiftNode)
```

```
then have \exists xe2 ye2. (g2 \vdash n \simeq BinaryExpr BinURightShift xe2 ye2) \land
BinaryExpr\ BinURightShift\ xe1\ ye1 \geq BinaryExpr\ BinURightShift\ xe2\ ye2
     \mathbf{by} \; (\textit{metis UnsignedRightShiftNode.prems l mono-binary rep. UnsignedRightShiftNode})
xer
      then show ?thesis
        by meson
     qed
     case (IntegerBelowNode n x y xe1 ye1)
     have k: g1 \vdash n \simeq BinaryExpr\ BinIntegerBelow\ xe1\ ye1 using f IntegerBe-
lowNode
      by (simp\ add:\ IntegerBelowNode.hyps(2)\ rep.IntegerBelowNode)
     obtain xn \ yn where l: kind \ g1 \ n = IntegerBelowNode \ xn \ yn
      using IntegerBelowNode.hyps(1) by blast
     then have mx: q1 \vdash xn \simeq xe1
       using IntegerBelowNode.hyps(1) IntegerBelowNode.hyps(2) by fastforce
     from l have my: g1 \vdash yn \simeq ye1
       using IntegerBelowNode.hyps(1) IntegerBelowNode.hyps(3) by fastforce
     then show ?case
     proof -
      have g1 \vdash xn \simeq xe1 using mx by simp
      have g1 \vdash yn \simeq ye1 using my by simp
      have xer: \exists xe2. (g2 \vdash xn \simeq xe2) \land xe1 \geq xe2
        using IntegerBelowNode
        using a b c d l no-encoding not-excluded-keep-type repDet singletonD
        by (metis-node-eq-binary IntegerBelowNode)
      have \exists ye2. (g2 \vdash yn \simeq ye2) \land ye1 \geq ye2
       using IntegerBelowNode a b c d l no-encoding not-excluded-keep-type repDet
singletonD
        by (metis-node-eq-binary IntegerBelowNode)
       then have \exists xe2 ye2. (g2 \vdash n \simeq BinaryExpr BinIntegerBelow xe2 ye2) <math>\land
BinaryExpr\ BinIntegerBelow\ xe1\ ye1 \geq BinaryExpr\ BinIntegerBelow\ xe2\ ye2
          by (metis\ IntegerBelowNode.prems\ l\ mono-binary\ rep.IntegerBelowNode
xer
      then show ?thesis
        by meson
     qed
     case (IntegerEqualsNode\ n\ x\ y\ xe1\ ye1)
    have k: g1 \vdash n \simeq BinaryExpr\ BinIntegerEquals\ xe1\ ye1 using f IntegerEqual-
sNode
      by (simp\ add:\ IntegerEqualsNode.hyps(2)\ rep.IntegerEqualsNode)
     obtain xn yn where l: kind g1 n = IntegerEqualsNode xn yn
      using IntegerEqualsNode.hyps(1) by blast
     then have mx: g1 \vdash xn \simeq xe1
      using IntegerEqualsNode.hyps(1) IntegerEqualsNode.hyps(2) by fastforce
     from l have my: q1 \vdash yn \simeq ye1
       using IntegerEqualsNode.hyps(1) IntegerEqualsNode.hyps(3) by fastforce
     then show ?case
```

```
proof -
       have g1 \vdash xn \simeq xe1 using mx by simp
       have g1 \vdash yn \simeq ye1 using my by simp
       have xer: \exists xe2. (g2 \vdash xn \simeq xe2) \land xe1 \geq xe2
         using IntegerEqualsNode
         using a b c d l no-encoding not-excluded-keep-type repDet singletonD
         by (metis-node-eq-binary IntegerEqualsNode)
       have \exists ye2. (g2 \vdash yn \simeq ye2) \land ye1 \geq ye2
            using IntegerEqualsNode a b c d l no-encoding not-excluded-keep-type
repDet \ singletonD
         by (metis-node-eq-binary IntegerEqualsNode)
       then have \exists xe2 ye2. (g2 \vdash n \simeq BinaryExpr BinIntegerEquals xe2 ye2) \land
BinaryExpr\ BinIntegerEquals\ xe1\ ye1 \geq BinaryExpr\ BinIntegerEquals\ xe2\ ye2
         \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{metis}\ \mathit{IntegerEqualsNode}. \mathit{prems}\ \mathit{l}\ \mathit{mono-binary}\ \mathit{rep}. \mathit{IntegerEqualsNode}
xer
       then show ?thesis
         by meson
     qed
   next
     case (IntegerLessThanNode\ n\ x\ y\ xe1\ ye1)
      have k: g1 \vdash n \simeq BinaryExpr\ BinIntegerLessThan\ xe1\ ye1 using f Inte-
gerLessThanNode
       by (simp\ add:\ IntegerLessThanNode.hyps(2)\ rep.IntegerLessThanNode)
     obtain xn yn where l: kind g1 n = IntegerLessThanNode <math>xn yn
       using IntegerLessThanNode.hyps(1) by blast
     then have mx: g1 \vdash xn \simeq xe1
       using IntegerLessThanNode.hyps(1) IntegerLessThanNode.hyps(2) by fast-
force
     from l have my: g1 \vdash yn \simeq ye1
       using IntegerLessThanNode.hyps(1) IntegerLessThanNode.hyps(3) by fast-
force
     then show ?case
     proof -
       have g1 \vdash xn \simeq xe1 using mx by simp
       have g1 \vdash yn \simeq ye1 using my by simp
       have xer: \exists xe2. (q2 \vdash xn \simeq xe2) \land xe1 > xe2
         using IntegerLessThanNode
         using a b c d l no-encoding not-excluded-keep-type repDet singletonD
         by (metis-node-eq-binary IntegerLessThanNode)
       have \exists ye2. (g2 \vdash yn \simeq ye2) \land ye1 \geq ye2
         \mathbf{using}\ IntegerLessThanNode\ a\ b\ c\ d\ l\ no\text{-}encoding\ not\text{-}excluded\text{-}keep\text{-}type
repDet \ singletonD
         by (metis-node-eq-binary IntegerLessThanNode)
       then have \exists xe2 ye2. (g2 \vdash n \simeq BinaryExpr BinIntegerLessThan xe2 ye2)
\land BinaryExpr BinIntegerLessThan xe1 ye1 \ge BinaryExpr BinIntegerLessThan xe2
ye2
      by (metis\ IntegerLess\ ThanNode.prems\ l\ mono-binary\ rep.IntegerLess\ ThanNode)
xer
       then show ?thesis
```

```
by meson
     \mathbf{qed}
   next
     case (NarrowNode n inputBits resultBits x xe1)
     have k: g1 \vdash n \simeq UnaryExpr (UnaryNarrow inputBits resultBits) xe1 using
f NarrowNode
      by (simp\ add:\ NarrowNode.hyps(2)\ rep.NarrowNode)
     obtain xn where l: kind g1 n = NarrowNode inputBits resultBits <math>xn
      \mathbf{using}\ \mathit{NarrowNode.hyps}(\mathit{1})\ \mathbf{by}\ \mathit{blast}
     then have m: g1 \vdash xn \simeq xe1
      using NarrowNode.hyps(1) NarrowNode.hyps(2)
      by auto
     then show ?case
     proof (cases xn = n')
      case True
      then have n: xe1 = e1' using c \ m \ repDet by simp
      then have ev: g2 \vdash n \simeq UnaryExpr (UnaryNarrow inputBits resultBits) e2'
using NarrowNode.hyps(1) \ l \ m \ n
        using NarrowNode.prems True d rep.NarrowNode by simp
    then have r: UnaryExpr(UnaryNarrow\ inputBits\ resultBits)\ e1' \geq UnaryExpr
(UnaryNarrow inputBits resultBits) e2'
        by (meson a mono-unary)
      then show ?thesis using ev r
        by (metis \ n)
     next
      case False
      have g1 \vdash xn \simeq xe1 using m by simp
      have \exists xe2. (g2 \vdash xn \simeq xe2) \land xe1 \geq xe2
        using NarrowNode
       using False b encodes-contains l not-excluded-keep-type not-in-g singleton-iff
        by (metis-node-eq-ternary NarrowNode)
         then have \exists xe2. (g2 \vdash n \simeq UnaryExpr (UnaryNarrow inputBits re-
sultBits) \ xe2) \land \ UnaryExpr \ (UnaryNarrow \ inputBits \ resultBits) \ xe1 \ge UnaryExpr
(UnaryNarrow inputBits resultBits) xe2
        by (metis\ NarrowNode.prems\ l\ mono-unary\ rep.NarrowNode)
      then show ?thesis
        by meson
     qed
   next
     case (SignExtendNode n inputBits resultBits x xe1)
      have k: g1 \vdash n \simeq UnaryExpr (UnarySignExtend inputBits resultBits) xe1
using f SignExtendNode
      by (simp add: SignExtendNode.hyps(2) rep.SignExtendNode)
     obtain xn where l: kind g1 n = SignExtendNode inputBits resultBits xn
      using SignExtendNode.hyps(1) by blast
     then have m: g1 \vdash xn \simeq xe1
      using SignExtendNode.hyps(1) SignExtendNode.hyps(2)
      by auto
     then show ?case
```

```
proof (cases xn = n')
      {f case} True
      then have n: xe1 = e1' using c m repDet by simp
     then have ev: g2 \vdash n \simeq UnaryExpr (UnarySignExtend inputBits resultBits)
e2' using SignExtendNode.hyps(1) l m n
        using SignExtendNode.prems True d rep.SignExtendNode by simp
        then have r: UnaryExpr (UnarySignExtend inputBits resultBits) e1' \ge
UnaryExpr (UnarySignExtend inputBits resultBits) e2'
        by (meson a mono-unary)
      then show ?thesis using ev r
        by (metis \ n)
     \mathbf{next}
      case False
      have g1 \vdash xn \simeq xe1 using m by simp
      have \exists xe2. (g2 \vdash xn \simeq xe2) \land xe1 \geq xe2
        using SignExtendNode
       using False b encodes-contains l not-excluded-keep-type not-in-q singleton-iff
        \mathbf{by}\ (\textit{metis-node-eq-ternary}\ \textit{SignExtendNode})
     then have \exists xe2. (g2 \vdash n \simeq UnaryExpr (UnarySignExtend inputBits result-
Bits) xe2) \land UnaryExpr (UnarySignExtend\ inputBits\ resultBits) xe1 \ge UnaryExpr
(UnarySignExtend inputBits resultBits) xe2
        by (metis\ SignExtendNode.prems\ l\ mono-unary\ rep.SignExtendNode)
      then show ?thesis
        by meson
     \mathbf{qed}
   next
     case (ZeroExtendNode n inputBits resultBits x xe1)
     have k: g1 \vdash n \simeq UnaryExpr (UnaryZeroExtend inputBits resultBits) xe1
using f ZeroExtendNode
      by (simp add: ZeroExtendNode.hyps(2) rep.ZeroExtendNode)
     obtain xn where l: kind g1 n = ZeroExtendNode inputBits resultBits xn
      using ZeroExtendNode.hyps(1) by blast
     then have m: g1 \vdash xn \simeq xe1
      using ZeroExtendNode.hyps(1) ZeroExtendNode.hyps(2)
      by auto
     then show ?case
     proof (cases xn = n')
      \mathbf{case} \ \mathit{True}
      then have n: xe1 = e1' using c \ m \ repDet by simp
     then have ev: g2 \vdash n \simeq UnaryExpr (UnaryZeroExtend inputBits resultBits)
e2' using ZeroExtendNode.hyps(1) l m n
        using ZeroExtendNode.prems True d rep.ZeroExtendNode by simp
        then have r: UnaryExpr (UnaryZeroExtend inputBits resultBits) e1' \geq
UnaryExpr (UnaryZeroExtend inputBits resultBits) e2'
        by (meson a mono-unary)
      then show ?thesis using ev r
        by (metis n)
     next
      case False
```

```
have g1 \vdash xn \simeq xe1 using m by simp
       have \exists xe2. (g2 \vdash xn \simeq xe2) \land xe1 \geq xe2
         \mathbf{using}\ \mathit{ZeroExtendNode}
        using False b encodes-contains l not-excluded-keep-type not-in-g singleton-iff
         by (metis-node-eq-ternary ZeroExtendNode)
      then have \exists xe2. (g2 \vdash n \simeq UnaryExpr (UnaryZeroExtend inputBits result-
Bits) \ xe2) \land \ UnaryExpr \ (UnaryZeroExtend \ inputBits \ resultBits) \ xe1 \ge UnaryExpr
(UnaryZeroExtend inputBits resultBits) xe2
         by (metis ZeroExtendNode.prems l mono-unary rep.ZeroExtendNode)
       then show ?thesis
         \mathbf{by}\ meson
     qed
   \mathbf{next}
     case (LeafNode \ n \ s)
     then show ?case
       by (metis eq-refl rep.LeafNode)
     case (RefNode n')
     then show ?case
         by (metis a b c d no-encoding not-excluded-keep-type rep.RefNode repDet
singletonD)
   qed
  qed
qed
{\bf lemma}\ graph-semantics-preservation-subscript:
  assumes a: e_1' \geq e_2'
  assumes b: (\{n\} \leq as\text{-}set \ g_1) \subseteq as\text{-}set \ g_2
 assumes c: g_1 \vdash n \simeq e_1'
 assumes d: g_2 \vdash n \simeq e_2'
 shows graph-refinement g_1 g_2
  using graph-semantics-preservation assms by simp
lemma tree-to-graph-rewriting:
  e_1 \geq e_2
  \land (g_1 \vdash n \simeq e_1) \land maximal\text{-}sharing g_1
  \land (\{n\} \leq as\text{-}set \ g_1) \subseteq as\text{-}set \ g_2
  \land (g_2 \vdash n \simeq e_2) \land maximal\text{-}sharing g_2
  \implies graph-refinement g_1 g_2
  \mathbf{using}\ graph\text{-}semantics\text{-}preservation
  by auto
declare [[simp-trace]]
lemma equal-refines:
  fixes e1 e2 :: IRExpr
  assumes e1 = e2
  shows e1 \ge e2
  using assms
```

```
by simp
declare [[simp-trace=false]]
lemma eval-contains-id[simp]: g1 \vdash n \simeq e \Longrightarrow n \in ids \ g1
 using no-encoding by blast
lemma subset-kind[simp]: as-set g1 \subseteq as-set g2 \Longrightarrow g1 \vdash n \simeq e \Longrightarrow kind g1 n =
kind g2 n
  using eval-contains-id unfolding as-set-def
 \mathbf{by} blast
lemma subset-stamp[simp]: as-set g1 \subseteq as-set g2 \Longrightarrow g1 \vdash n \simeq e \Longrightarrow stamp \ g1 \ n
= stamp \ g2 \ n
 using eval-contains-id unfolding as-set-def
 by blast
method solve-subset-eval uses as-set eval =
  (metis eval as-set subset-kind subset-stamp)
  metis eval as-set subset-kind)
lemma subset-implies-evals:
  assumes as-set g1 \subseteq as-set g2
 assumes (g1 \vdash n \simeq e)
 shows (g2 \vdash n \simeq e)
 using assms(2)
 apply (induction \ e)
                     apply (solve-subset-eval as-set: assms(1) eval: ConstantNode)
                    apply (solve-subset-eval as-set: assms(1) eval: ParameterNode)
                   apply (solve-subset-eval as-set: assms(1) eval: ConditionalNode)
                    apply (solve-subset-eval as-set: assms(1) eval: AbsNode)
                   apply (solve-subset-eval as-set: assms(1) eval: NotNode)
                  apply (solve-subset-eval as-set: assms(1) eval: NegateNode)
                apply (solve-subset-eval as-set: assms(1) eval: LogicNegationNode)
                \mathbf{apply}\ (solve\text{-}subset\text{-}eval\ as\text{-}set:\ assms(1)\ eval:\ AddNode)
               apply (solve-subset-eval as-set: assms(1) eval: MulNode)
               apply (solve-subset-eval as-set: assms(1) eval: SubNode)
              apply (solve-subset-eval as-set: assms(1) eval: AndNode)
             apply (solve-subset-eval as-set: assms(1) eval: OrNode)
            apply (solve-subset-eval as-set: assms(1) eval: XorNode)
           apply (solve-subset-eval as-set: assms(1) eval: ShortCircuitOrNode)
          apply (solve-subset-eval as-set: assms(1) eval: LeftShiftNode)
         apply (solve-subset-eval as-set: assms(1) eval: RightShiftNode)
        apply (solve-subset-eval as-set: assms(1) eval: UnsignedRightShiftNode)
       apply (solve-subset-eval as-set: assms(1) eval: IntegerBelowNode)
       apply (solve-subset-eval as-set: assms(1) eval: IntegerEqualsNode)
      apply (solve-subset-eval as-set: assms(1) eval: IntegerLessThanNode)
```

```
apply (solve-subset-eval as-set: assms(1) eval: NarrowNode)
    apply (solve-subset-eval as-set: assms(1) eval: SignExtendNode)
   apply (solve-subset-eval as-set: assms(1) eval: ZeroExtendNode)
  apply (solve-subset-eval as-set: assms(1) eval: LeafNode)
  by (solve-subset-eval as-set: assms(1) eval: RefNode)
lemma subset-refines:
 assumes as-set g1 \subseteq as-set g2
 shows graph-refinement g1 g2
proof -
 have ids \ g1 \subseteq ids \ g2 using assms unfolding as-set-def
   by blast
  then show ?thesis unfolding graph-refinement-def apply rule
   apply (rule allI) apply (rule impI) apply (rule allI) apply (rule impI)
   unfolding graph-represents-expression-def
   proof -
     fix n e1
     assume 1:n \in ids \ g1
     assume 2:g1 \vdash n \simeq e1
     show \exists e2. (g2 \vdash n \simeq e2) \land e1 \geq e2
       using assms 1 2 using subset-implies-evals
       by (meson equal-refines)
   qed
 qed
lemma graph-construction:
  e_1 \geq e_2
  \land as\text{-}set \ g_1 \subseteq as\text{-}set \ g_2
 \wedge (g_2 \vdash n \simeq e_2)
  \implies (g_2 \vdash n \trianglelefteq e_1) \land graph\text{-refinement } g_1 \ g_2
 \mathbf{using}\ \mathit{subset-refines}
 by (meson encodeeval-def graph-represents-expression-def le-expr-def)
7.8.4 Term Graph Reconstruction
lemma find-exists-kind:
 assumes find-node-and-stamp q (node, s) = Some nid
 shows kind \ q \ nid = node
 using assms unfolding find-node-and-stamp.simps
 by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) find-Some-iff)
lemma find-exists-stamp:
 assumes find-node-and-stamp g (node, s) = Some nid
 shows stamp \ g \ nid = s
 using assms unfolding find-node-and-stamp.simps
 by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) find-Some-iff)
lemma find-new-kind:
```

```
assumes g' = add-node nid (node, s) g
   assumes node \neq NoNode
   shows kind g' nid = node
   using assms
   using add-node-lookup by presburger
lemma find-new-stamp:
    assumes g' = add-node nid (node, s) g
   assumes node \neq NoNode
   shows stamp \ g' \ nid = s
   using assms
   using add-node-lookup by presburger
lemma sorted-bottom:
   assumes finite xs
   assumes x \in xs
   shows x \leq last(sorted-list-of-set(xs::nat set))
   using assms
   using sorted2-simps(2) sorted-list-of-set(2)
  by (smt (verit, del-insts) Diff-iff Max-qe Max-in empty-iff list.set(1) snoc-eq-iff-butlast
sorted-insort-is-snoc\ sorted-list-of-set(1)\ sorted-list-of-set. fold-insort-key. in finite\ sorted-list-of-set. fold-insort-key. In finite sorted-list-of-set. fold-list-of-set. fold-insort-key. Fold-list-of-set. fold-list-of-set.
lemma fresh: finite xs \Longrightarrow last(sorted-list-of-set(xs::nat\ set)) + 1 \notin xs
    using sorted-bottom
   using not-le by auto
lemma fresh-ids:
   assumes n = get-fresh-id g
   shows n \notin ids \ g
proof -
   have finite (ids g) using Rep-IRGraph by auto
   then show ?thesis
       using assms fresh unfolding get-fresh-id.simps
       by blast
qed
lemma graph-unchanged-rep-unchanged:
    assumes \forall n \in ids \ g. \ kind \ g \ n = kind \ g' \ n
   assumes \forall n \in ids \ g. \ stamp \ g \ n = stamp \ g' \ n
   shows (g \vdash n \simeq e) \longrightarrow (g' \vdash n \simeq e)
   apply (rule impI) subgoal premises e using e assms
       apply (induction \ n \ e)
                                                apply (metis no-encoding rep. ConstantNode)
                                              apply (metis no-encoding rep.ParameterNode)
                                            apply (metis no-encoding rep.ConditionalNode)
                                          apply (metis no-encoding rep.AbsNode)
                                        apply (metis no-encoding rep.NotNode)
                                       apply (metis no-encoding rep.NegateNode)
                                     apply (metis no-encoding rep.LogicNegationNode)
```

```
apply (metis no-encoding rep.AddNode)
              apply (metis no-encoding rep.MulNode)
              apply (metis no-encoding rep.SubNode)
             apply (metis no-encoding rep.AndNode)
            apply (metis no-encoding rep.OrNode)
             apply (metis no-encoding rep.XorNode)
            {\bf apply}\ (\textit{metis no-encoding rep.ShortCircuitOrNode})
           apply (metis no-encoding rep.LeftShiftNode)
          apply (metis no-encoding rep.RightShiftNode)
          apply (metis no-encoding rep. UnsignedRightShiftNode)
         apply (metis no-encoding rep.IntegerBelowNode)
        apply (metis no-encoding rep.IntegerEqualsNode)
       apply (metis no-encoding rep.IntegerLessThanNode)
      {\bf apply} \ (\textit{metis no-encoding rep.NarrowNode})
     apply (metis no-encoding rep.SignExtendNode)
    apply (metis no-encoding rep.ZeroExtendNode)
    apply (metis no-encoding rep.LeafNode)
   by (metis no-encoding rep.RefNode)
 done
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{fresh-node-subset}:
 assumes n \notin ids \ q
 assumes g' = add-node n(k, s) g
 shows as-set g \subseteq as-set g'
 using assms
 by (smt (verit, del-insts) Collect-mono-iff Diff-idemp Diff-insert-absorb add-changed
as-set-def disjoint-change unchanged.simps)
lemma unrep-subset:
 assumes (g \oplus e \leadsto (g', n))
 shows as-set g \subseteq as-set g'
 using assms proof (induction g \in (g', n) arbitrary: g'(n)
 case (ConstantNodeSame\ g\ c\ n)
 then show ?case by blast
next
 case (ConstantNodeNew\ q\ c\ n\ q')
 then show ?case using fresh-ids fresh-node-subset
   by presburger
next
 case (ParameterNodeSame\ g\ i\ s\ n)
 then show ?case by blast
next
 case (ParameterNodeNew\ g\ i\ s\ n\ g')
 then show ?case using fresh-ids fresh-node-subset
   by presburger
 case (ConditionalNodeSame\ g\ ce\ g2\ c\ te\ g3\ t\ fe\ g4\ f\ s'\ n)
 then show ?case by blast
next
```

```
case (ConditionalNodeNew g ce g2 c te g3 t fe g4 f s' n g')
  then show ?case using fresh-ids fresh-node-subset
   by (meson subset-trans)
next
 case (UnaryNodeSame\ g\ xe\ g2\ x\ s'\ op\ n)
 then show ?case by blast
next
  case (UnaryNodeNew\ g\ xe\ g2\ x\ s'\ op\ n\ g')
  then show ?case using fresh-ids fresh-node-subset
   by (meson subset-trans)
next
  case (BinaryNodeSame\ g\ xe\ g2\ x\ ye\ g3\ y\ s'\ op\ n)
 then show ?case by blast
next
  case (BinaryNodeNew\ g\ xe\ g2\ x\ ye\ g3\ y\ s'\ op\ n\ g')
 then show ?case using fresh-ids fresh-node-subset
   by (meson subset-trans)
next
 case (AllLeafNodes\ g\ n\ s)
 then show ?case by blast
qed
lemma fresh-node-preserves-other-nodes:
 assumes n' = get\text{-}fresh\text{-}id g
 assumes g' = add-node n'(k, s) g
 shows \forall n \in ids \ g \cdot (g \vdash n \simeq e) \longrightarrow (g' \vdash n \simeq e)
 using assms
 by (smt (verit, ccfv-SIG) Diff-idemp Diff-insert-absorb add-changed disjoint-change
fresh-ids\ graph-unchanged-rep-unchanged\ unchanged.elims(2))
lemma found-node-preserves-other-nodes:
 assumes find-node-and-stamp g(k, s) = Some n
 shows \forall n \in ids \ g. \ (g \vdash n \simeq e) \longleftrightarrow (g \vdash n \simeq e)
 using assms
 by blast
lemma unrep-ids-subset[simp]:
 assumes g \oplus e \leadsto (g', n)
 shows ids g \subseteq ids g'
 using assms\ unrep-subset
 by (meson graph-refinement-def subset-refines)
lemma unrep-unchanged:
 assumes g \oplus e \leadsto (g', n)
 shows \forall n \in ids \ g \ . \ \forall e. \ (g \vdash n \simeq e) \longrightarrow (g' \vdash n \simeq e)
 using assms unrep-subset fresh-node-preserves-other-nodes
  by (meson subset-implies-evals)
```

theorem term-graph-reconstruction:

```
g \oplus e \leadsto (g', n) \Longrightarrow (g' \vdash n \simeq e) \land as\text{-set } g \subseteq as\text{-set } g'
subgoal premises e apply (rule \ conjI) defer
 using e unrep-subset apply blast using e
proof (induction g \in (g', n) arbitrary: g'(n)
 case (ConstantNodeSame\ g'\ c\ n)
 then have kind g' n = ConstantNode c
   using find-exists-kind local.ConstantNodeSame by blast
 then show ?case using ConstantNode by blast
next
 \mathbf{case} \ (\mathit{ConstantNodeNew} \ g \ c)
 then show ?case
   using ConstantNode IRNode.distinct(683) add-node-lookup by presburger
next
 case (ParameterNodeSame \ i \ s)
 then show ?case
   by (metis ParameterNode find-exists-kind find-exists-stamp)
 case (ParameterNodeNew\ g\ i\ s)
 then show ?case
   by (metis IRNode.distinct(2447) ParameterNode add-node-lookup)
 case (ConditionalNodeSame g4 c t f s' n g ce g2 te g3 fe)
 then have k: kind g \nmid n = ConditionalNode \ c \ t f
   using find-exists-kind by blast
 have c: g4 \vdash c \simeq ce using local. ConditionalNodeSame unrep-unchanged
   using no-encoding by blast
 have t: g \nmid \vdash t \simeq te using local.ConditionalNodeSame unrep-unchanged
   using no-encoding by blast
 have f: g_4 \vdash f \simeq fe using local.ConditionalNodeSame unrep-unchanged
   using no-encoding by blast
 then show ?case using c t f
   using ConditionalNode\ k by blast
next
 case (ConditionalNodeNew g4 c t f s' g ce g2 te g3 fe n g')
 moreover have ConditionalNode\ c\ t\ f \neq NoNode
   using unary-node.elims by blast
 ultimately have k: kind g' n = ConditionalNode c t f
   \mathbf{using}\ find\text{-}new\text{-}kind\ local.\ Conditional Node New
   by presburger
 then have c: g' \vdash c \simeq ce using local.ConditionalNodeNew unrep-unchanged
   using no-encoding
   by (metis\ ConditionalNodeNew.hyps(9)\ fresh-node-preserves-other-nodes)
 then have t: q' \vdash t \simeq te using local. Conditional Node New unrep-unchanged
   using no-encoding fresh-node-preserves-other-nodes
   by metis
 then have f: g' \vdash f \simeq fe using local. ConditionalNodeNew unrep-unchanged
   using no-encoding fresh-node-preserves-other-nodes
   by metis
 then show ?case using c \ t f
```

```
using ConditionalNode\ k by blast
next
 case (UnaryNodeSame\ g'\ op\ x\ s'\ n\ g\ xe)
 then have k: kind g' n = unary-node op x
   using find-exists-kind local. UnaryNodeSame by blast
 then have g' \vdash x \simeq xe using local. UnaryNodeSame by blast
 then show ?case using k
   apply (cases op)
   using AbsNode unary-node.simps(1) apply presburger
   using NegateNode unary-node.simps(3) apply presburger
   using NotNode unary-node.simps(2) apply presburger
   using LogicNegationNode unary-node.simps(4) apply presburger
   using NarrowNode unary-node.simps(5) apply presburger
   using SignExtendNode unary-node.simps(6) apply presburger
   using ZeroExtendNode unary-node.simps(7) by presburger
 case (UnaryNodeNew\ g2\ op\ x\ s'\ g\ xe\ n\ g')
 moreover have unary-node op x \neq NoNode
   using unary-node.elims by blast
 ultimately have k: kind g' n = unary-node op x
   using find-new-kind local. UnaryNodeNew
   by presburger
 have x \in ids \ g2 \ using \ local. UnaryNodeNew
   using eval-contains-id by blast
 then have x \neq n using local. UnaryNodeNew(5) fresh-ids by blast
 have g' \vdash x \simeq xe using local. UnaryNodeNew fresh-node-preserves-other-nodes
   using \langle x \in ids \ g2 \rangle by blast
 then show ?case using k
   apply (cases op)
   using AbsNode unary-node.simps(1) apply presburger
   using NegateNode unary-node.simps(3) apply presburger
   using NotNode\ unary-node.simps(2) apply presburger
   using LogicNegationNode unary-node.simps(4) apply presburger
   using NarrowNode unary-node.simps(5) apply presburger
   using SignExtendNode unary-node.simps(6) apply presburger
   using ZeroExtendNode unary-node.simps(7) by presburger
next
 case (BinaryNodeSame\ g3\ op\ x\ y\ s'\ n\ g\ xe\ g2\ ye)
 then have k: kind g3 n = bin-node op x y
   using find-exists-kind by blast
 have x: g3 \vdash x \simeq xe using local.BinaryNodeSame unrep-unchanged
   using no-encoding by blast
 have y: g3 \vdash y \simeq ye using local.BinaryNodeSame unrep-unchanged
   using no-encoding by blast
 then show ?case using x \ y \ k apply (cases op)
   using AddNode bin-node.simps(1) apply presburger
   using MulNode\ bin-node.simps(2) apply presburger
   using SubNode\ bin-node.simps(3) apply presburger
   using AndNode bin-node.simps(4) apply presburger
```

```
using OrNode bin-node.simps(5) apply presburger
     using XorNode bin-node.simps(6) apply presburger
     using ShortCircuitOrNode bin-node.simps(7) apply presburger
     using LeftShiftNode bin-node.simps(8) apply presburger
     using RightShiftNode bin-node.simps(9) apply presburger
     using UnsignedRightShiftNode bin-node.simps(10) apply presburger
     using IntegerEqualsNode bin-node.simps(11) apply presburger
     using IntegerLessThanNode bin-node.simps(12) apply presburger
     using IntegerBelowNode bin-node.simps(13) by presburger
 next
   case (BinaryNodeNew\ g3\ op\ x\ y\ s'\ g\ xe\ g2\ ye\ n\ g')
   moreover have bin-node op x y \neq NoNode
     using bin-node.elims by blast
   ultimately have k: kind g' n = bin-node op x y
     using find-new-kind local.BinaryNodeNew
     by presburger
   then have k: kind q' n = bin-node op x y
     using find-exists-kind by blast
   have x: g' \vdash x \simeq xe using local.BinaryNodeNew unrep-unchanged
     using no-encoding
     by (meson fresh-node-preserves-other-nodes)
   have y: g' \vdash y \simeq ye using local.BinaryNodeNew unrep-unchanged
     using no-encoding
     by (meson fresh-node-preserves-other-nodes)
   then show ?case using x \ y \ k apply (cases op)
     using AddNode bin-node.simps(1) apply presburger
     using MulNode bin-node.simps(2) apply presburger
     using SubNode\ bin-node.simps(3) apply presburger
     using AndNode\ bin-node.simps(4) apply presburger
     using OrNode\ bin-node.simps(5) apply presburger
     using XorNode\ bin-node.simps(6) apply presburger
     \mathbf{using}\ \mathit{ShortCircuitOrNode}\ \mathit{bin-node.simps}(7)\ \mathbf{apply}\ \mathit{presburger}
     using LeftShiftNode bin-node.simps(8) apply presburger
     using RightShiftNode bin-node.simps(9) apply presburger
     using UnsignedRightShiftNode bin-node.simps(10) apply presburger
     using IntegerEqualsNode bin-node.simps(11) apply presburger
     {\bf using} \ {\it IntegerLessThanNode} \ bin-node.simps (12) \ {\bf apply} \ presburger
     using IntegerBelowNode bin-node.simps(13) by presburger
 next
   case (AllLeafNodes\ g\ n\ s)
   then show ?case using rep.LeafNode by blast
 qed
 done
lemma ref-refinement:
 assumes g \vdash n \simeq e_1
 assumes kind q n' = RefNode n
 shows g \vdash n' \unlhd e_1
 using assms RefNode
```

```
by (meson equal-refines graph-represents-expression-def)
lemma unrep-refines:
 assumes g \oplus e \leadsto (g', n)
 shows graph-refinement g g'
 using assms
 using graph-refinement-def subset-refines unrep-subset by blast
lemma add-new-node-refines:
 assumes n \notin ids g
 assumes g' = add-node n(k, s) g
 shows graph-refinement g g'
 using assms unfolding graph-refinement
 using fresh-node-subset subset-refines by presburger
lemma add-node-as-set:
 assumes g' = add-node n(k, s) g
 shows (\{n\} \leq as\text{-}set\ g) \subseteq as\text{-}set\ g'
 using assms unfolding as-set-def domain-subtraction-def
 using add-changed
 by (smt\ (z3)\ case-prodE\ change only.simps\ mem-Collect-eq\ prod.sel(1)\ subset I)
theorem refined-insert:
 assumes e_1 \geq e_2
 assumes g_1 \oplus e_2 \leadsto (g_2, n')
 shows (g_2 \vdash n' \leq e_1) \land graph\text{-refinement } g_1 \ g_2
 using assms
 using graph-construction term-graph-reconstruction by blast
lemma ids-finite: finite (ids g)
 using Rep-IRGraph ids.rep-eq by simp
lemma unwrap-sorted: set (sorted-list-of-set (ids g)) = ids g
 using Rep-IRGraph set-sorted-list-of-set ids-finite
 by blast
lemma find-none:
 assumes find-node-and-stamp g(k, s) = None
 shows \forall n \in ids \ g. \ kind \ g \ n \neq k \lor stamp \ g \ n \neq s
proof -
 have (\nexists n. \ n \in ids \ g \land (kind \ g \ n = k \land stamp \ g \ n = s))
    using assms unfolding find-node-and-stamp.simps using find-None-iff un-
wrap-sorted
   by (metis (mono-tags, lifting))
  then show ?thesis
   by blast
\mathbf{qed}
```

```
 \begin{array}{l} \textbf{method} \ \textit{ref-represents} \ \textbf{uses} \ \textit{node} = \\ (\textit{metis} \ \textit{IRNode.distinct(2755)} \ \textit{RefNode} \ \textit{dual-order.refl} \ \textit{find-new-kind} \ \textit{fresh-node-subset} \\ \textit{node} \ \textit{subset-implies-evals}) \end{array}
```

7.8.5 Data-flow Tree to Subgraph Preserves Maximal Sharing

```
lemma same-kind-stamp-encodes-equal:
 assumes kind g n = kind g n'
 assumes stamp \ g \ n = stamp \ g \ n'
 assumes \neg(is\text{-}preevaluated\ (kind\ g\ n))
 shows \forall e. (g \vdash n \simeq e) \longrightarrow (g \vdash n' \simeq e)
 apply (rule allI)
 subgoal for e
   apply (rule \ impI)
   subgoal premises eval using eval assms
    apply (induction \ e)
   using ConstantNode apply presburger
   using ParameterNode apply presburger
                    apply (metis ConditionalNode)
                    apply (metis AbsNode)
                   apply (metis NotNode)
                  apply (metis NegateNode)
                 apply (metis LogicNegationNode)
                apply (metis AddNode)
               apply (metis MulNode)
              apply (metis SubNode)
              apply (metis AndNode)
             apply (metis OrNode)
             apply (metis XorNode)
             apply (metis ShortCircuitOrNode)
           apply (metis LeftShiftNode)
          {\bf apply} \ (\textit{metis RightShiftNode})
          apply (metis UnsignedRightShiftNode)
         apply (metis IntegerBelowNode)
        \mathbf{apply} \ (metis\ IntegerEqualsNode)
       apply (metis IntegerLessThanNode)
      apply (metis NarrowNode)
```

```
apply (metis SignExtendNode)
     apply (metis ZeroExtendNode)
   defer
    apply (metis RefNode)
   by blast
   done
 done
lemma new-node-not-present:
  assumes find-node-and-stamp g (node, s) = None
 assumes n = get-fresh-id g
 assumes g' = add-node n \ (node, s) \ g
 shows \forall n' \in true\text{-}ids \ g. \ (\forall e. \ ((g \vdash n \simeq e) \land (g \vdash n' \simeq e)) \longrightarrow n = n')
 using assms
 using encode-in-ids fresh-ids by blast
lemma true-ids-def:
  true-ids\ g = \{n \in ids\ g.\ \neg(is-RefNode\ (kind\ g\ n)) \land ((kind\ g\ n) \neq NoNode)\}
 unfolding true-ids-def ids-def
 using ids-def is-RefNode-def by fastforce
lemma add-node-some-node-def:
  assumes k \neq NoNode
 assumes g' = add-node nid(k, s) g
 shows g' = Abs\text{-}IRGraph ((Rep\text{-}IRGraph g)(nid \mapsto (k, s)))
 using assms
 by (metis Rep-IRGraph-inverse add-node.rep-eq fst-conv)
\mathbf{lemma}\ ids\text{-}add\text{-}update\text{-}v1\text{:}
 assumes g' = add-node nid (k, s) g
 assumes k \neq NoNode
 shows dom (Rep-IRGraph g') = dom (Rep-IRGraph g) \cup \{nid\}
 using assms ids.rep-eq add-node-some-node-def
 by (simp add: add-node.rep-eq)
lemma ids-add-update-v2:
 assumes g' = add-node nid (k, s) g
 assumes k \neq NoNode
 shows nid \in ids \ g'
 using assms
 using find-new-kind ids-some by presburger
lemma add-node-ids-subset:
 assumes n \in ids g
 assumes g' = add-node n node g
 shows ids g' = ids g \cup \{n\}
 using assms unfolding add-node-def
 apply (cases fst \ node = NoNode)
 using ids.rep-eq replace-node.rep-eq replace-node-def apply auto[1]
```

```
ids.rep-eq ids-def insert-absorb mem-Collect-eq option.inject option.simps(3) re-
place-node.rep-eq replace-node-def sup-bot.right-neutral)
lemma convert-maximal:
 assumes \forall n \ n'. \ n \in true\text{-}ids \ g \land n' \in true\text{-}ids \ g \longrightarrow (\forall e \ e'. \ (g \vdash n \simeq e) \land (g \vdash n \simeq e))
n' \simeq e' \longrightarrow e \neq e'
 shows maximal-sharing g
 using assms
 using maximal-sharing by blast
lemma add-node-set-eq:
 assumes k \neq NoNode
 \mathbf{assumes}\ n\notin \mathit{ids}\ g
 shows as-set (add\text{-}node\ n\ (k,\ s)\ q) = as\text{-}set\ q \cup \{(n,\ (k,\ s))\}
 using assms unfolding as-set-def add-node-def apply transfer apply simp
 by blast
lemma add-node-as-set-eq:
 assumes g' = add-node n(k, s) g
 assumes n \notin ids g
 shows (\{n\} \leq as\text{-}set\ g') = as\text{-}set\ g
 using assms unfolding domain-subtraction-def
 using add-node-set-eq
 by (smt (z3) Collect-cong Rep-IRGraph-inverse UnCI UnE add-node.rep-eq as-set-def
case-prodE2 case-prodI2 le-boolE le-boolI' mem-Collect-eq prod.sel(1) singletonD
singletonI)
lemma true-ids:
  true-ids\ g = ids\ g - \{n \in ids\ g.\ is-RefNode\ (kind\ g\ n)\}
 {f unfolding}\ true{\it -ids-def}
 by fastforce
\mathbf{lemma}\ as	ext{-}ids:
 assumes as-set q = as-set q'
 shows ids g = ids g'
 using assms
 by (metis antisym equalityD1 graph-refinement-def subset-refines)
lemma ids-add-update:
 assumes k \neq NoNode
 assumes n \notin ids \ q
 assumes g' = add-node n(k, s) g
 shows ids g' = ids g \cup \{n\}
 using assms apply (subst assms(3)) using add-node-set-eq as-set-ids
 by (smt (verit, del-insts) Collect-cong Diff-idemp Diff-insert-absorb Un-commute
add-node.rep-eq add-node-def ids.rep-eq ids-add-update-v1 ids-add-update-v2 insertE
insert-Collect insert-is-Un map-upd-Some-unfold mem-Collect-eq replace-node-def
```

by (smt (verit, best) Collect-cong Un-insert-right dom-fun-upd fst-conv fun-upd-apply

unfolding ids-def

```
replace-node-unchanged)
```

next

```
lemma true-ids-add-update:
 assumes k \neq NoNode
 assumes n \notin ids g
 assumes g' = add-node n(k, s) g
 assumes \neg(is\text{-}RefNode\ k)
 shows true-ids g' = true-ids g \cup \{n\}
 \mathbf{using}\ assms\ \mathbf{using}\ true\text{-}ids\ ids\text{-}add\text{-}update
  \mathbf{by}\ (smt\ (z3)\ Collect\text{-}cong\ Diff\text{-}iff\ Diff\text{-}insert\text{-}absorb\ Un\text{-}commute\ add\text{-}node\text{-}def
find-new-kind insert-Diff-if insert-is-Un mem-Collect-eq replace-node-def replace-node-unchanged)
lemma new-def:
 assumes (new \le as\text{-}set g') = as\text{-}set g
 shows n \in ids \ g \longrightarrow n \notin new
 using assms
 by (smt (z3) as-set-def case-prodD domain-subtraction-def mem-Collect-eq)
lemma add-preserves-rep:
 assumes unchanged: (new \le as\text{-}set \ g') = as\text{-}set \ g
 assumes closed: wf-closed g
 assumes existed: n \in ids \ g
 assumes g' \vdash n \simeq e
 shows g \vdash n \simeq e
proof (cases \ n \in new)
 case True
 have n \notin ids \ q
   using unchanged True unfolding as-set-def domain-subtraction-def
  then show ?thesis using existed by simp
next
 case False
 then have kind\text{-}eq: \forall n' . n' \notin new \longrightarrow kind g n' = kind g' n'
   — can be more general than stamp eq because NoNode default is equal
   using unchanged not-excluded-keep-type
   by (smt (z3) case-prodE domain-subtraction-def ids-some mem-Collect-eq sub-
setI)
 from False have stamp-eq: \forall n' \in ids \ g' \ . \ n' \notin new \longrightarrow stamp \ g \ n' = stamp \ g'
n'
   using unchanged not-excluded-keep-type
   by (metis\ equalityE)
 show ?thesis using assms(4) kind-eq stamp-eq False
 proof (induction n e rule: rep.induct)
   case (ConstantNode \ n \ c)
   then show ?case
     using rep. ConstantNode kind-eq by presburger
```

```
case (ParameterNode \ n \ i \ s)
   then show ?case
     {\bf using} \ rep. Parameter Node
     by (metis no-encoding)
   case (ConditionalNode\ n\ c\ t\ f\ ce\ te\ fe)
   have kind: kind g n = ConditionalNode c t f
      using ConditionalNode.hyps(1) ConditionalNode.prems(3) kind-eq by pres-
burger
   then have isin: n \in ids g
     by simp
   have inputs: \{c, t, f\} = inputs g n
    \mathbf{using} \ kind \ \mathbf{unfolding} \ inputs.simps \ \mathbf{using} \ inputs-of\text{-}ConditionalNode \ \mathbf{by} \ simp
   have c \in ids \ g \land t \in ids \ g \land f \in ids \ g
     \mathbf{using}\ closed\ \mathbf{unfolding}\ \textit{wf-closed-def}
     using isin inputs by blast
   then have c \notin new \land t \notin new \land f \notin new
     using new-def unchanged by blast
   then show ?case using ConditionalNode apply simp
     using rep.ConditionalNode by presburger
 \mathbf{next}
   case (AbsNode \ n \ x \ xe)
   then have kind: kind g n = AbsNode x
     by simp
   then have isin: n \in ids \ g
     by simp
   have inputs: \{x\} = inputs \ g \ n
     using kind unfolding inputs.simps by simp
   have x \in ids \ g
     using closed unfolding wf-closed-def
     using isin inputs by blast
   then have x \notin new
     using new-def unchanged by blast
   then show ?case
     using AbsNode
     using rep.AbsNode by presburger
 next
   case (NotNode \ n \ x \ xe)
   then have kind: kind g \ n = NotNode \ x
     by simp
   then have isin: n \in ids g
     by simp
   have inputs: \{x\} = inputs \ g \ n
     using kind unfolding inputs.simps by simp
   have x \in ids g
     using closed unfolding wf-closed-def
     using isin inputs by blast
   then have x \notin new
     using new-def unchanged by blast
```

```
then show ?case using NotNode
   using rep.NotNode by presburger
next
 case (NegateNode \ n \ x \ xe)
 then have kind: kind g n = NegateNode x
   by simp
 then have isin: n \in ids g
   by simp
 have inputs: \{x\} = inputs \ g \ n
   using kind unfolding inputs.simps by simp
 have x \in ids \ g
   using closed unfolding wf-closed-def
   using isin inputs by blast
 then have x \notin new
   using new-def unchanged by blast
 then show ?case using NegateNode
   using rep.NegateNode by presburger
next
 case (LogicNegationNode \ n \ x \ xe)
 then have kind: kind g n = LogicNegationNode x
   by simp
 then have isin: n \in ids g
   by simp
 have inputs: \{x\} = inputs \ g \ n
   using kind unfolding inputs.simps by simp
 have x \in ids \ g
   using closed unfolding wf-closed-def
   using isin inputs by blast
 then have x \notin new
   using new-def unchanged by blast
 then show ?case using LogicNegationNode
   using rep.LogicNegationNode by presburger
next
 case (AddNode \ n \ x \ y \ xe \ ye)
 then have kind: kind g n = AddNode x y
   by simp
 then have isin: n \in ids g
   by simp
 have inputs: \{x, y\} = inputs g n
   using kind unfolding inputs.simps by simp
 have x \in ids \ g \land y \in ids \ g
   using closed unfolding wf-closed-def
   using isin inputs by blast
 then have x \notin new \land y \notin new
   using new-def unchanged by blast
 then show ?case using AddNode
   using rep.AddNode by presburger
next
 case (MulNode \ n \ x \ y \ xe \ ye)
```

```
then have kind: kind g n = MulNode x y
   by simp
 then have isin: n \in ids g
   by simp
 have inputs: \{x, y\} = inputs g n
   using kind unfolding inputs.simps by simp
 have x \in ids \ g \land y \in ids \ g
   using closed unfolding wf-closed-def
   using isin inputs by blast
 then have x \notin new \land y \notin new
   using new-def unchanged by blast
 then show ?case using MulNode
   using rep.MulNode by presburger
next
 case (SubNode\ n\ x\ y\ xe\ ye)
 then have kind: kind q n = SubNode x y
   by simp
 then have isin: n \in ids \ g
   by simp
 have inputs: \{x, y\} = inputs g n
   using kind unfolding inputs.simps by simp
 have x \in ids \ g \land y \in ids \ g
   using closed unfolding wf-closed-def
   using isin inputs by blast
 then have x \notin new \land y \notin new
   using new-def unchanged by blast
 then show ?case using SubNode
   using rep.SubNode by presburger
next
 case (AndNode \ n \ x \ y \ xe \ ye)
 then have kind: kind g n = AndNode x y
   by simp
 then have isin: n \in ids \ g
   by simp
 have inputs: \{x, y\} = inputs g n
   using kind unfolding inputs.simps by simp
 have x \in ids \ g \land y \in ids \ g
   using closed unfolding wf-closed-def
   using isin inputs by blast
 then have x \notin new \land y \notin new
   using new-def unchanged by blast
 then show ?case using AndNode
   using rep.AndNode by presburger
next
 case (OrNode \ n \ x \ y \ xe \ ye)
 then have kind: kind g \ n = OrNode \ x \ y
   by simp
 then have isin: n \in ids \ g
   by simp
```

```
have inputs: \{x, y\} = inputs g n
   using kind unfolding inputs.simps by simp
 have x \in ids \ g \land y \in ids \ g
   using closed unfolding wf-closed-def
   using isin inputs by blast
 then have x \notin new \land y \notin new
   using new-def unchanged by blast
 then show ?case using OrNode
   using rep.OrNode by presburger
next
 case (XorNode \ n \ x \ y \ xe \ ye)
 then have kind: kind g n = XorNode x y
   by simp
 then have isin: n \in ids g
   by simp
 have inputs: \{x, y\} = inputs \ q \ n
   using kind unfolding inputs.simps by simp
 have x \in ids \ g \land y \in ids \ g
   using closed unfolding wf-closed-def
   using isin inputs by blast
 then have x \notin new \land y \notin new
   using new-def unchanged by blast
 then show ?case using XorNode
   using rep.XorNode by presburger
next
 case (ShortCircuitOrNode\ n\ x\ y\ xe\ ye)
 then have kind: kind g n = ShortCircuitOrNode x y
   bv simp
 then have isin: n \in ids g
   by simp
 have inputs: \{x, y\} = inputs g n
   using kind unfolding inputs.simps by simp
 have x \in ids \ g \land y \in ids \ g
   using closed unfolding wf-closed-def
   using isin inputs by blast
 then have x \notin new \land y \notin new
   using new-def unchanged by blast
 then show ?case using ShortCircuitOrNode
   using rep.ShortCircuitOrNode by presburger
next
 case (LeftShiftNode\ n\ x\ y\ xe\ ye)
 then have kind: kind g n = LeftShiftNode x y
   by simp
 then have isin: n \in ids \ g
   \mathbf{by} \ simp
 have inputs: \{x, y\} = inputs g n
   using kind unfolding inputs.simps by simp
 have x \in ids \ g \land y \in ids \ g
   using closed unfolding wf-closed-def
```

```
using isin inputs by blast
 then have x \notin new \land y \notin new
   using new-def unchanged by blast
 then show ?case using LeftShiftNode
   using rep.LeftShiftNode by presburger
next
 case (RightShiftNode\ n\ x\ y\ xe\ ye)
 then have kind: kind g n = RightShiftNode x y
   by simp
 then have isin: n \in ids g
   by simp
 have inputs: \{x, y\} = inputs g n
   using kind unfolding inputs.simps by simp
 have x \in ids \ g \land y \in ids \ g
   using closed unfolding wf-closed-def
   using isin inputs by blast
 then have x \notin new \land y \notin new
   using new-def unchanged by blast
 then show ?case using RightShiftNode
   using rep.RightShiftNode by presburger
next
 case (UnsignedRightShiftNode\ n\ x\ y\ xe\ ye)
 then have kind: kind \ g \ n = UnsignedRightShiftNode \ x \ y
   by simp
 then have isin: n \in ids \ g
   by simp
 have inputs: \{x, y\} = inputs \ g \ n
   using kind unfolding inputs.simps by simp
 have x \in ids \ g \land y \in ids \ g
   using closed unfolding wf-closed-def
   using isin inputs by blast
 then have x \notin new \land y \notin new
   using new-def unchanged by blast
 then show ?case using UnsignedRightShiftNode
   using rep. UnsignedRightShiftNode by presburger
 case (IntegerBelowNode \ n \ x \ y \ xe \ ye)
 then have kind: kind g \ n = IntegerBelowNode \ x \ y
   by simp
 then have isin: n \in ids \ g
   by simp
 have inputs: \{x, y\} = inputs g n
   using kind unfolding inputs.simps by simp
 have x \in ids \ g \land y \in ids \ g
   using closed unfolding wf-closed-def
   using isin inputs by blast
 then have x \notin new \land y \notin new
   \mathbf{using}\ new\text{-}def\ unchanged\ \mathbf{by}\ blast
 then show ?case using IntegerBelowNode
```

```
using rep.IntegerBelowNode by presburger
next
 case (IntegerEqualsNode\ n\ x\ y\ xe\ ye)
 then have kind: kind g \ n = IntegerEqualsNode \ x \ y
   by simp
 then have isin: n \in ids \ g
   by simp
 have inputs: \{x, y\} = inputs g n
   using kind unfolding inputs.simps by simp
 have x \in ids \ g \land y \in ids \ g
   using closed unfolding wf-closed-def
   using isin inputs by blast
 then have x \notin new \land y \notin new
   using new-def unchanged by blast
 then show ?case using IntegerEqualsNode
   using rep.IntegerEqualsNode by presburger
 case (IntegerLessThanNode\ n\ x\ y\ xe\ ye)
 then have kind: kind g \ n = IntegerLessThanNode \ x \ y
   by simp
 then have isin: n \in ids \ g
   by simp
 have inputs: \{x, y\} = inputs g n
   using kind unfolding inputs.simps by simp
 have x \in ids \ g \land y \in ids \ g
   using closed unfolding wf-closed-def
   using isin inputs by blast
 then have x \notin new \land y \notin new
   using new-def unchanged by blast
 then show ?case using IntegerLessThanNode
   using rep.IntegerLessThanNode by presburger
 case (NarrowNode\ n\ inputBits\ resultBits\ x\ xe)
 then have kind: kind g n = NarrowNode inputBits resultBits x
   by simp
 then have isin: n \in ids q
   by simp
 have inputs: \{x\} = inputs \ g \ n
   using kind unfolding inputs.simps by simp
 have x \in ids \ q
   using closed unfolding wf-closed-def
   using isin inputs by blast
 then have x \notin new
   using new-def unchanged by blast
 then show ?case using NarrowNode
   using rep.NarrowNode by presburger
 case (SignExtendNode\ n\ inputBits\ resultBits\ x\ xe)
 then have kind: kind \ g \ n = SignExtendNode \ inputBits \ resultBits \ x
```

```
by simp
   then have isin: n \in ids g
    \mathbf{by} \ simp
   have inputs: \{x\} = inputs \ g \ n
    using kind unfolding inputs.simps by simp
   have x \in ids g
    using closed unfolding wf-closed-def
    using isin inputs by blast
   then have x \notin new
    using new-def unchanged by blast
   then show ?case using SignExtendNode
    using rep.SignExtendNode by presburger
 next
   case (ZeroExtendNode\ n\ inputBits\ resultBits\ x\ xe)
   then have kind: kind g n = ZeroExtendNode inputBits resultBits x
    by simp
   then have isin: n \in ids q
    by simp
   have inputs: \{x\} = inputs \ g \ n
    using kind unfolding inputs.simps by simp
   have x \in ids g
    using closed unfolding wf-closed-def
    using isin inputs by blast
   then have x \notin new
    using new-def unchanged by blast
   then show ?case using ZeroExtendNode
    using rep.ZeroExtendNode by presburger
 \mathbf{next}
   case (LeafNode \ n \ s)
   then show ?case
    by (metis no-encoding rep.LeafNode)
   case (RefNode \ n \ n' \ e)
   then have kind: kind g n = RefNode n'
    by simp
   then have isin: n \in ids q
    by simp
   have inputs: \{n'\} = inputs \ g \ n
    using kind unfolding inputs.simps by simp
   have n' \in ids g
    using closed unfolding wf-closed-def
    using isin inputs by blast
   then have n' \notin new
    using new-def unchanged by blast
   then show ?case
    using RefNode
    using rep.RefNode by presburger
 qed
qed
```

```
lemma not-in-no-rep:
 n \notin ids \ g \Longrightarrow \forall \ e. \ \neg(g \vdash n \simeq e)
 using eval-contains-id by blast
lemma unary-inputs:
 assumes kind g n = unary-node op x
 shows inputs g n = \{x\}
 using assms by (cases op; auto)
lemma unary-succ:
 assumes kind g n = unary-node op x
 shows succ g n = \{\}
 using assms by (cases op; auto)
lemma binary-inputs:
 assumes kind \ g \ n = bin-node \ op \ x \ y
 shows inputs g n = \{x, y\}
 using assms by (cases op; auto)
lemma binary-succ:
 assumes kind g n = bin-node op x y
 shows succ \ g \ n = \{\}
 using assms by (cases op; auto)
lemma unrep-contains:
 assumes g \oplus e \leadsto (g', n)
 shows n \in ids g'
 using assms
 using not-in-no-rep term-graph-reconstruction by blast
{\bf lemma}\ unrep-preserves\text{-}contains:
 assumes n \in ids g
 assumes g \oplus e \leadsto (g', n')
 shows n \in ids g'
 using assms
 by (meson subsetD unrep-ids-subset)
{\bf lemma}\ unrep-preserves-closure:
 assumes wf-closed g
 assumes g \oplus e \leadsto (g', n)
 shows wf-closed g'
 \mathbf{using}\ assms(2,1)\ \mathbf{unfolding}\ \textit{wf-closed-def}
 proof (induction g \in (g', n) arbitrary: g' n)
   case (ConstantNodeSame\ g\ c\ n)
   then show ?case
     \mathbf{by} blast
```

```
next
   case (ConstantNodeNew\ g\ c\ n\ g')
   then have dom: ids g' = ids g \cup \{n\}
     by (meson IRNode.distinct(683) add-node-ids-subset ids-add-update)
   have k: kind q' n = ConstantNode c
     using ConstantNodeNew add-node-lookup by simp
   then have inp: \{\} = inputs g' n
     unfolding inputs.simps by simp
   from k have suc: \{\} = succ g' n
     unfolding succ.simps by simp
   have inputs g' n \subseteq ids g' \land succ g' n \subseteq ids g' \land kind g' n \neq NoNode
     using inp \ suc \ k by simp
   then show ?case
   \mathbf{by} \; (smt \; (verit) \; ConstantNodeNew.hyps(3) \; ConstantNodeNew.prems \; Un-insert-right
add-changed changeonly.elims(2) dom inputs.simps insert-iff singleton-iff subset-insertI
subset-trans succ.simps sup-bot-right)
 next
   case (ParameterNodeSame\ g\ i\ s\ n)
   then show ?case by blast
   case (ParameterNodeNew\ g\ i\ s\ n\ g')
   then have dom: ids g' = ids g \cup \{n\}
     using IRNode.distinct(2447) fresh-ids ids-add-update by presburger
   have k: kind g' n = ParameterNode i
     using ParameterNodeNew add-node-lookup by simp
   then have inp: \{\} = inputs g' n
     unfolding inputs.simps by simp
   from k have suc: \{\} = succ g' n
     unfolding succ.simps by simp
   \mathbf{have}\ \mathit{inputs}\ \mathit{g'}\ n\subseteq \mathit{ids}\ \mathit{g'} \land \mathit{succ}\ \mathit{g'}\ n\subseteq \mathit{ids}\ \mathit{g'} \land \mathit{kind}\ \mathit{g'}\ n\neq \mathit{NoNode}
     using k inp suc by simp
   then show ?case
   by (smt (verit) ParameterNodeNew.hyps(3) ParameterNodeNew.prems Un-insert-right
add-node-as-set dom inputs.elims insertE not-excluded-keep-type order-trans single-
tonD subset-insertI succ.elims sup-bot-right)
   case (ConditionalNodeSame g ce g2 c te g3 t fe g4 f s' n)
   then show ?case by blast
  next
   case (ConditionalNodeNew g4 c t f s' g ce g2 te g3 fe n g')
   then have dom: ids g' = ids \ g_4 \cup \{n\}
     by (meson IRNode.distinct(591) add-node-ids-subset ids-add-update)
   have k: kind g' n = ConditionalNode\ c\ t\ f
     using ConditionalNodeNew add-node-lookup by simp
   then have inp: \{c, t, f\} = inputs g' n
     unfolding inputs.simps by simp
   from k have suc: \{\} = succ \ g' \ n
     unfolding succ.simps by simp
   have inputs g' n \subseteq ids \ g' \land succ \ g' \ n \subseteq ids \ g' \land kind \ g' \ n \neq NoNode
```

```
using k inp suc unrep-contains unrep-preserves-contains
     using ConditionalNodeNew
      by (smt (verit) IRNode.simps(643) Un-insert-right bot.extremum dom in-
sert-absorb insert-subset subset-insertI sup-bot-right)
   then show ?case using dom
   by (smt (z3) ConditionalNodeNew.hyps ConditionalNodeNew.prems Diff-eq-empty-iff
Diff-iff Un-insert-right Un-upper 1 add-node-def inputs.simps insertE replace-node-def
replace-node-unchanged subset-trans succ.simps sup-bot-right)
 next
   case (UnaryNodeSame\ g\ xe\ g2\ x\ s'\ op\ n)
   then show ?case by blast
   case (UnaryNodeNew g2 op x s' g xe n g')
   then have dom: ids g' = ids g2 \cup \{n\}
     by (metis add-node-ids-subset add-node-lookup ids-add-update ids-some un-
rep. UnaryNodeNew unrep-contains)
   have k: kind g' n = unary-node op x
     using UnaryNodeNew\ add-node-lookup
     by (metis\ fresh-ids\ ids-some)
   then have inp: \{x\} = inputs \ g' \ n
     using unary-inputs by simp
   from k have suc: \{\} = succ \ g' \ n
     using unary-succ by simp
   have inputs g' n \subseteq ids g' \land succ g' n \subseteq ids g' \land kind g' n \neq NoNode
     using k inp suc unrep-contains unrep-preserves-contains
     using UnaryNodeNew
        by (metis Un-upper1 dom empty-subsetI ids-some insert-not-empty in-
sert-subset I not-in-g-inputs subset-iff)
   then show ?case
     by (smt (verit) Un-insert-right UnaryNodeNew.hyps UnaryNodeNew.prems
add-changed changeonly. elims(2) dom inputs. simps insert-iff singleton-iff subset-insert I
subset-trans succ.simps sup-bot-right)
 next
   case (BinaryNodeSame\ g\ xe\ g2\ x\ ye\ g3\ y\ s'\ op\ n)
   then show ?case by blast
   case (BinaryNodeNew g3 op x y s' g xe g2 ye n g')
   then have dom: ids q' = ids \ q3 \cup \{n\}
      by (metis binary-inputs fresh-ids ids-add-update ids-some insert-not-empty
not-in-g-inputs)
   have k: kind g' n = bin-node op x y
     using BinaryNodeNew\ add-node-lookup
     by (metis fresh-ids ids-some)
   then have inp: \{x, y\} = inputs g' n
     using binary-inputs by simp
   from k have suc: \{\} = succ \ g' \ n
     using binary-succ by simp
   have inputs g' n \subseteq ids g' \land succ g' n \subseteq ids g' \land kind g' n \neq NoNode
     using k inp suc unrep-contains unrep-preserves-contains
```

```
using BinaryNodeNew
       by (metis Un-upper1 dom empty-subsetI ids-some insert-not-empty in-
sert-subsetI not-in-g-inputs subset-iff)
   then show ?case using dom BinaryNodeNew
     by (smt (verit, del-insts) Diff-eq-empty-iff Diff-iff Un-insert-right Un-upper1
add-node-def\ inputs. simps\ insertE\ replace-node-def\ replace-node-unchanged\ subset-trans
succ.simps\ sup-bot-right)
 next
   case (AllLeafNodes\ g\ n\ s)
   then show ?case
    by blast
 qed
inductive-cases ConstUnrepE: g \oplus (ConstantExpr \ x) \leadsto (g', \ n)
definition constant-value where
 constant-value = (IntVal \ 32 \ \theta)
definition bad-graph where
 bad-graph = irgraph
   (0, AbsNode 1, constantAsStamp constant-value),
   (1, RefNode 2, constantAsStamp constant-value),
   (2, ConstantNode constant-value, constantAsStamp constant-value)
```

end

8 Control-flow Semantics

```
theory IRStepObj
imports
TreeToGraph
begin
```

8.1 Object Heap

The heap model we introduce maps field references to object instances to runtime values. We use the H[f][p] heap representation. See $\cite{heap-reps-2011}$. We also introduce the DynamicHeap type which allocates new object references sequentially storing the next free object reference as 'Free'.

```
type-synonym ('a, 'b) Heap = 'a \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow Value
type-synonym Free = nat
type-synonym ('a, 'b) DynamicHeap = ('a, 'b) Heap \times Free

fun h-load-field :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) DynamicHeap \Rightarrow Value where
h-load-field fr (h, n) = h fr

fun h-store-field :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow Value \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) DynamicHeap \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) DynamicHeap where
h-store-field fr v (h, n) = (h(f := ((h f)(r := v))), n)

fun h-new-inst :: ('a, 'b) DynamicHeap \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) DynamicHeap \times Value where
h-new-inst (h, n) = ((h, n+1), (ObjRef (Some n)))

type-synonym FieldRefHeap = (string, objref) DynamicHeap
```

```
definition new-heap :: ('a, 'b) DynamicHeap where
new-heap = ((\lambda f. \lambda p. UndefVal), 0)
```

8.2 Intraprocedural Semantics

```
fun find-index :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \ list \Rightarrow nat \ \mathbf{where}
 find-index v(x \# xs) = (if(x=v) then 0 else find-index v(xs+1)
fun phi-list :: IRGraph \Rightarrow ID \Rightarrow ID \ list \ \mathbf{where}
  phi-list q n =
   (filter (\lambda x.(is-PhiNode\ (kind\ g\ x)))
      (sorted-list-of-set\ (usages\ g\ n)))
fun input-index :: IRGraph \Rightarrow ID \Rightarrow ID \Rightarrow nat where
  input-index g \ n \ n' = find-index n' \ (input s-of (kind \ g \ n))
fun phi-inputs :: IRGraph \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow ID \ list \Rightarrow ID \ list where
  phi-inputs g i nodes = (map (\lambda n. (inputs-of (kind g n))!(i + 1)) nodes)
fun set-phis :: ID list \Rightarrow Value\ list \Rightarrow MapState \Rightarrow MapState\ where
  set-phis [] [] <math>m = m
  set-phis (n \# xs) (v \# vs) m = (set-phis xs vs (m(n := v)))
  set-phis [] (v # vs) m = m |
  set-phis (x \# xs) [] m = m
```

Intraprocedural semantics are given as a small-step semantics.

Within the context of a graph, the configuration triple, (ID, MethodState, Heap), is related to the subsequent configuration.

inductive $step :: IRGraph \Rightarrow Params \Rightarrow (ID \times MapState \times FieldRefHeap) \Rightarrow (ID \times MapState \times FieldRef$

```
\times MapState \times FieldRefHeap) \Rightarrow bool
 (-, -\vdash -\to -55) for g p where
  SequentialNode:
  [is-sequential-node\ (kind\ g\ nid);
   nid' = (successors-of (kind g nid))!0
   \implies g, p \vdash (nid, m, h) \rightarrow (nid', m, h) \mid
  IfNode:
  [kind\ g\ nid = (IfNode\ cond\ tb\ fb);
   g \vdash cond \simeq condE;
   [m, p] \vdash condE \mapsto val;
   nid' = (if \ val\ -to\ -bool \ val \ then \ tb \ else \ fb)]
   \implies g, p \vdash (nid, m, h) \rightarrow (nid', m, h) \mid
  EndNodes:
  [is-AbstractEndNode\ (kind\ g\ nid);
   merge = any-usage g nid;
   is-AbstractMergeNode (kind g merge);
   i = find\text{-}index\ nid\ (inputs\text{-}of\ (kind\ g\ merge));
   phis = (phi-list\ g\ merge);
   inps = (phi-inputs \ g \ i \ phis);
    g \vdash inps \simeq_L inpsE;
   [m, p] \vdash inpsE \mapsto_L vs;
   m' = set-phis phis vs m
   \implies g, p \vdash (nid, m, h) \rightarrow (merge, m', h) \mid
  NewInstanceNode:
   [kind\ g\ nid\ =\ (NewInstanceNode\ nid\ f\ obj\ nid');
     (h', ref) = h-new-inst h;
     m' = m(nid := ref)
   \implies g, p \vdash (nid, m, h) \rightarrow (nid', m', h') \mid
  LoadFieldNode:
    \llbracket kind\ g\ nid = (LoadFieldNode\ nid\ f\ (Some\ obj)\ nid');
      g \vdash obj \simeq objE;
      [m, p] \vdash objE \mapsto ObjRef ref;
     h-load-field f ref h = v;
     m' = m(nid := v)
   \implies g, p \vdash (nid, m, h) \rightarrow (nid', m', h) \mid
  SignedDivNode:
   [kind\ g\ nid\ =\ (SignedDivNode\ nid\ x\ y\ zero\ sb\ nxt);
     g \vdash x \simeq xe;
     g \vdash y \simeq ye;
     [m, p] \vdash xe \mapsto v1;
```

```
m' = m(nid := v)
    \implies g, p \vdash (nid, m, h) \rightarrow (nxt, m', h)
  SignedRemNode:
    \llbracket kind \ g \ nid = (SignedRemNode \ nid \ x \ y \ zero \ sb \ nxt);
      g \vdash x \simeq xe;
      g \vdash y \simeq ye;
      [m, p] \vdash xe \mapsto v1;
      [m,\ p] \vdash ye \mapsto v\mathcal{2};
      v = (intval - mod \ v1 \ v2);
      m' = m(nid := v)
    \implies g, p \vdash (nid, m, h) \rightarrow (nxt, m', h) \mid
  StaticLoadFieldNode:
    [kind\ g\ nid = (LoadFieldNode\ nid\ f\ None\ nid');
      h-load-field f None h = v;
      m' = m(nid := v)
    \implies g, p \vdash (nid, m, h) \rightarrow (nid', m', h) \mid
  StoreFieldNode:
    \llbracket kind \ g \ nid = (StoreFieldNode \ nid \ f \ newval - (Some \ obj) \ nid');
      g \vdash newval \simeq newvalE;
      g \vdash obj \simeq objE;
      [m, p] \vdash newvalE \mapsto val;
      [m, p] \vdash objE \mapsto ObjRef ref;
      h' = h-store-field f ref val h;
      m' = m(nid := val)
    \Longrightarrow g,\ p \vdash (\mathit{nid},\ m,\ h) \rightarrow (\mathit{nid}',\ m',\ h') \ |
  StaticStoreFieldNode:
    [kind\ g\ nid = (StoreFieldNode\ nid\ f\ newval\ -\ None\ nid');
      g \vdash newval \simeq newvalE;
      [m, p] \vdash newvalE \mapsto val;
      h' = h-store-field f None val h;
      m' = m(nid := val)
    \implies g, p \vdash (nid, m, h) \rightarrow (nid', m', h')
code-pred (modes: i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i * i * i \Rightarrow o * o * o \Rightarrow bool) step.
8.3 Interprocedural Semantics
type-synonym Signature = string
type-synonym \ Program = Signature 
ightharpoonup IRGraph
```

 $[m, p] \vdash ye \mapsto v2;$ v = (intval-div v1 v2);

inductive $step-top :: Program \Rightarrow (IRGraph \times ID \times MapState \times Params) \ list \times FieldRefHeap \Rightarrow (IRGraph \times ID \times MapState \times Params) \ list \times FieldRefHeap \Rightarrow$

```
bool
  (-\vdash -\longrightarrow -55)
 for P where
  Lift:
  \llbracket g, p \vdash (nid, m, h) \rightarrow (nid', m', h') \rrbracket
    \implies P \vdash ((g,nid,m,p)\#stk, h) \longrightarrow ((g,nid',m',p)\#stk, h') \mid
  InvokeNodeStep:
  [is-Invoke\ (kind\ g\ nid);
    callTarget = ir\text{-}callTarget (kind g nid);
    kind\ g\ callTarget = (MethodCallTargetNode\ targetMethod\ arguments);
    Some \ targetGraph = P \ targetMethod;
    m' = new-map-state;
    g \vdash arguments \simeq_L argsE;
    [m, p] \vdash argsE \mapsto_L p'
    \implies P \vdash ((g, nid, m, p) \# stk, h) \longrightarrow ((targetGraph, 0, m', p') \# (g, nid, m, p) \# stk, h)
  ReturnNode:
  \llbracket kind\ g\ nid = (ReturnNode\ (Some\ expr)\ -);
    g \vdash expr \simeq e;
    [m, p] \vdash e \mapsto v;
    cm' = cm(cnid := v);
    cnid' = (successors-of (kind cg cnid))!0
   \implies P \vdash ((g, nid, m, p) \# (cg, cnid, cm, cp) \# stk, h) \longrightarrow ((cg, cnid', cm', cp) \# stk, h) \mid
  ReturnNodeVoid:
  \llbracket kind\ g\ nid = (ReturnNode\ None\ -);
    cm' = cm(cnid := (ObjRef (Some (2048))));
    cnid' = (successors-of (kind cg cnid))!0
   \Longrightarrow P \vdash ((g,nid,m,p)\#(cg,cnid,cm,cp)\#stk,\ h) \longrightarrow ((cg,cnid',cm',cp)\#stk,\ h) \mid
  UnwindNode:
  [kind\ g\ nid = (UnwindNode\ exception);
    g \vdash exception \simeq exceptionE;
    [m, p] \vdash exceptionE \mapsto e;
    kind\ cg\ cnid = (InvokeWithExceptionNode - - - - - exEdge);
    cm' = cm(cnid := e)
  \implies P \vdash ((g,nid,m,p)\#(cg,cnid,cm,cp)\#stk, h) \longrightarrow ((cg,exEdge,cm',cp)\#stk, h)
code-pred (modes: i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o \Rightarrow bool) step-top.
```

8.4 Big-step Execution

```
type-synonym Trace = (IRGraph \times ID \times MapState \times Params) list
fun has-return :: MapState \Rightarrow bool where
  has\text{-}return \ m = (m \ 0 \neq UndefVal)
inductive \ exec :: Program
      \Rightarrow (IRGraph \times ID \times MapState \times Params) \ list \times FieldRefHeap
      \Rightarrow (IRGraph \times ID \times MapState \times Params) \ list \times FieldRefHeap
      \Rightarrow Trace
      \Rightarrow bool
  (- ⊢ - | - →* - | -)
  for P
  where
  \llbracket P \vdash (((g,nid,m,p)\#xs),h) \longrightarrow (((g',nid',m',p')\#ys),h');
    \neg(has\text{-}return\ m');
    l' = (l @ [(g,nid,m,p)]);
    exec\ P\ (((g',nid',m',p')\#ys),h')\ l'\ next-state\ l'']
    \implies exec\ P\ (((g,nid,m,p)\#xs),h)\ l\ next-state\ l'''
  \llbracket P \vdash (((g,nid,m,p)\#xs),h) \longrightarrow (((g',nid',m',p')\#ys),h');
    has-return m';
    l' = (l @ [(g,nid,m,p)])
    \implies exec\ P\ (((g,nid,m,p)\#xs),h)\ l\ (((g',nid',m',p')\#ys),h')\ l'
code-pred (modes: i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o \Rightarrow o \Rightarrow bool \ as \ Exec) exec.
\mathbf{inductive}\ \mathit{exec-debug} :: \mathit{Program}
     \Rightarrow (IRGraph \times ID \times MapState \times Params) \ list \times FieldRefHeap
     \Rightarrow (IRGraph \times ID \times MapState \times Params) \ list \times FieldRefHeap
     \Rightarrow bool
  (-⊢-→*-* -)
  where
  [n > 0;
    p \vdash s \longrightarrow s';
    exec\text{-}debug\ p\ s'\ (n-1)\ s''
    \implies exec\text{-}debug\ p\ s\ n\ s''
  [n = \theta]
    \implies exec\text{-}debug\ p\ s\ n\ s
code-pred (modes: i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o \Rightarrow bool) exec-debug.
```

8.4.1 Heap Testing

imports
IRStepObj

```
definition p3:: Params where
 p3 = [IntVal \ 32 \ 3]
values {(prod.fst(prod.snd (prod.snd (hd (prod.fst res))))) 0
     | res. (\lambda x. Some \ eg2\text{-}sq) \vdash ([(eg2\text{-}sq,0,new\text{-}map\text{-}state,p3), (eg2\text{-}sq,0,new\text{-}map\text{-}state,p3)],
new-heap) \rightarrow *2* res
definition field-sq :: string where
 field-sq = "sq"
definition eg3-sq :: IRGraph where
  eg3-sq = irgraph
    (0, StartNode None 4, VoidStamp),
   (1, ParameterNode 0, default-stamp),
   (3, MulNode 1 1, default-stamp),
   (4, StoreFieldNode 4 field-sq 3 None None 5, VoidStamp),
   (5, ReturnNode (Some 3) None, default-stamp)
values {h-load-field field-sq None (prod.snd res)
          | res. (\lambda x. Some \ eg3-sq) \vdash ([(eg3-sq, 0, new-map-state, p3), (eg3-sq, 0, new-map-state, p3))
new-map-state, p3)], new-heap) \rightarrow *3* res}
definition eg4-sq :: IRGraph where
  \mathit{eg4}\text{-}\mathit{sq} = \mathit{irgraph} \ [
   (0, StartNode None 4, VoidStamp),
   (1, ParameterNode 0, default-stamp),
   (3, MulNode 1 1, default-stamp),
   (4, NewInstanceNode 4 "obj-class" None 5, ObjectStamp "obj-class" True True
True),
   (5, StoreFieldNode 5 field-sq 3 None (Some 4) 6, VoidStamp),
   (6, ReturnNode (Some 3) None, default-stamp)
values \{h\text{-load-field field-sq }(Some \ \theta) \ (prod.snd \ res) \mid res.
               (\lambda x. \ Some \ eg4\text{-}sq) \vdash ([(eg4\text{-}sq, \ 0, \ new\text{-}map\text{-}state, \ p3), \ (eg4\text{-}sq, \ 0, \ new\text{-}map\text{-}state, \ p3))
new-map-state, p3], new-heap) \rightarrow *3* res}
end
        Control-flow Semantics Theorems
theory IRStepThms
```

```
{\it Tree To Graph Thms} \\ {\bf begin}
```

We prove that within the same graph, a configuration triple will always transition to the same subsequent configuration. Therefore, our step semantics is deterministic.

8.5.1 Control-flow Step is Deterministic

```
theorem stepDet:
  (g, p \vdash (nid, m, h) \rightarrow next) \Longrightarrow
  (\forall next'. ((g, p \vdash (nid, m, h) \rightarrow next') \longrightarrow next = next'))
proof (induction rule: step.induct)
  case (SequentialNode nid next m h)
 have notif: \neg(is\text{-}IfNode\ (kind\ g\ nid))
   using SequentialNode.hyps(1) is-sequential-node.simps
   by (metis is-IfNode-def)
  have notend: \neg(is-AbstractEndNode\ (kind\ g\ nid))
   using SequentialNode.hyps(1) is-sequential-node.simps
   by (metis\ is-AbstractEndNode.simps\ is-EndNode.elims(2)\ is-LoopEndNode-def)
  have notnew: \neg(is\text{-}NewInstanceNode\ (kind\ q\ nid))
   using SequentialNode.hyps(1) is-sequential-node.simps
   by (metis is-NewInstanceNode-def)
  have notload: \neg(is\text{-}LoadFieldNode\ (kind\ g\ nid))
   using SequentialNode.hyps(1) is-sequential-node.simps
   by (metis is-LoadFieldNode-def)
  have notstore: \neg(is\text{-}StoreFieldNode\ (kind\ g\ nid))
   using SequentialNode.hyps(1) is-sequential-node.simps
   by (metis is-StoreFieldNode-def)
 have notdivrem: \neg (is\text{-}IntegerDivRemNode\ (kind\ g\ nid))
     using SequentialNode.hyps(1) is-sequential-node.simps is-SignedDivNode-def
is-SignedRemNode-def
   by (metis is-IntegerDivRemNode.simps)
  from notif notend notnew notload notstore notdivrem
 show ?case using SequentialNode step.cases
  by (smt (z3) IRNode.disc(1028) IRNode.disc(2270) IRNode.discI(31) Pair-inject
is-sequential-node.simps(18) is-sequential-node.simps(43) is-sequential-node.simps(44))
next
  case (IfNode nid cond tb fb m val next h)
  then have notseq: \neg(is\text{-}sequential\text{-}node\ (kind\ g\ nid))
   {\bf using} \ is-sequential-node. simps \ is-AbstractMergeNode. simps
   by (simp\ add:\ IfNode.hyps(1))
  have notend: \neg(is\text{-}AbstractEndNode\ (kind\ g\ nid))
   using is-AbstractEndNode.simps
   \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{simp}\ \mathit{add}\colon \mathit{IfNode.hyps}(\mathit{1}))
  have not divrem: \neg(is\text{-}IntegerDivRemNode\ (kind\ g\ nid))
   using is-AbstractEndNode.simps
   by (simp\ add:\ IfNode.hyps(1))
  from notseq notend notdivrem show ?case using IfNode repDet evalDet IRN-
```

```
ode.distinct IRNode.inject(11) Pair-inject step.simps
           by (smt (z3) IRNode.distinct IRNode.inject(12) Pair-inject step.simps)
next
      case (EndNodes\ nid\ merge\ i\ phis\ inputs\ m\ vs\ m'\ h)
      have not seq: \neg (is-sequential-node (kind q nid))
           using EndNodes.hyps(1) is-AbstractEndNode.simps is-sequential-node.simps
           by (metis is-EndNode.elims(2) is-LoopEndNode-def)
      have notif: \neg(is\text{-}IfNode\ (kind\ g\ nid))
            using EndNodes.hyps(1) is-IfNode-def is-AbstractEndNode.elims
           by (metis\ IRNode.distinct-disc(1058)\ is-EndNode.simps(12))
      have notref: \neg(is\text{-}RefNode\ (kind\ g\ nid))
           using EndNodes.hyps(1) is-sequential-node.simps
                   using IRNode.disc(1899) IRNode.distinct(1473) is-AbstractEndNode.simps
is-EndNode.elims(2) is-LoopEndNode-def is-RefNode-def
           by metis
      have notnew: \neg(is\text{-}NewInstanceNode\ (kind\ q\ nid))
           using EndNodes.hyps(1) is-AbstractEndNode.simps
        using IRNode. distinct-disc(1442) is-EndNode. simps(29) is-NewInstanceNode-def
           by (metis\ IRNode.distinct-disc(1901)\ is-EndNode.simps(32))
      have notload: \neg(is\text{-}LoadFieldNode\ (kind\ g\ nid))
           using EndNodes.hyps(1) is-AbstractEndNode.simps
           using is-LoadFieldNode-def
           by (metis\ IRNode.distinct-disc(1706)\ is-EndNode.simps(21))
      have notstore: \neg(is\text{-}StoreFieldNode\ (kind\ g\ nid))
            using EndNodes.hyps(1) is-AbstractEndNode.simps is-StoreFieldNode-def
           by (metis IRNode.distinct-disc(1926) is-EndNode.simps(44))
      have notdivrem: \neg(is\text{-}IntegerDivRemNode\ (kind\ g\ nid))
        \textbf{using} \ EndNodes. hyps (1) \ is - AbstractEndNode. simps \ is - SignedDivNode-def \ is - SignedRemNode-def \ is - Si
        \mathbf{using}\ IRNode. distinct-disc (1498)\ IRNode. distinct-disc (1500)\ is\text{-}Integer DivRemNode. simps (1500)\ is-Integer DivRemNode. distinct-disc (1498)\ IRNode. distinct-disc (1500)\ is-Integer DivRemNode. distinct-disc (1498)\ IRNode. distinct-disc (1500)\ is-Integer DivRemNode. distinct-disc (1498)\ IRNode. distinct-disc (1500)\ is-Integer DivRemNode. disc (1500)\ is-Integer DivRem
is-EndNode.simps(36) is-EndNode.simps(37)
           by auto
      from notseq notif notref notnew notload notstore notdivrem
      show ?case using EndNodes repAllDet evalAllDet
        \textbf{by} \ (smt \ (z3) \ is \textit{-} If Node-def \ is \textit{-} LoadFieldNode-def \ is \textit{-} New InstanceNode-def \ is \textit{-} RefNode-def \ is \textit{-} New InstanceNode-def \ is \textit{-} New InstanceNode-def
is-StoreFieldNode-def is-SignedDivNode-def is-SignedRemNode-def Pair-inject is-IntegerDivRemNode.elims(3)
step.cases)
next
      case (NewInstanceNode nid f obj nxt h' ref h m' m)
      then have notseq: \neg(is\text{-sequential-node }(kind \ g \ nid))
            \mathbf{using}\ is\text{-}sequential\text{-}node.simps\ is\text{-}AbstractMergeNode.simps}
           by (simp\ add:\ NewInstanceNode.hyps(1))
      have notend: \neg(is\text{-}AbstractEndNode\ (kind\ g\ nid))
           using is-AbstractMergeNode.simps
           by (simp\ add:\ NewInstanceNode.hyps(1))
      have notif: \neg(is\text{-}IfNode\ (kind\ g\ nid))
            using is-AbstractMergeNode.simps
           by (simp add: NewInstanceNode.hyps(1))
```

have notref: $\neg(is\text{-}RefNode\ (kind\ g\ nid))$ using is-AbstractMergeNode.simps

```
by (simp add: NewInstanceNode.hyps(1))
  have notload: \neg(is\text{-}LoadFieldNode\ (kind\ g\ nid))
   using is-AbstractMergeNode.simps
   by (simp\ add:\ NewInstanceNode.hyps(1))
  have notstore: \neg(is\text{-}StoreFieldNode\ (kind\ g\ nid))
   using is-AbstractMergeNode.simps
   by (simp\ add:\ NewInstanceNode.hyps(1))
  have notdivrem: \neg (is\text{-}IntegerDivRemNode\ (kind\ g\ nid))
   using is-AbstractMergeNode.simps
   by (simp add: NewInstanceNode.hyps(1))
  from notseq notend notif notref notload notstore notdivrem
 show ?case using NewInstanceNode step.cases
    by (smt (z3) IRNode.disc(1028) IRNode.disc(2270) IRNode.discI(11) IRN-
ode.distinct(2311) IRNode.distinct(2313) IRNode.inject(31) Pair-inject)
next
  case (LoadFieldNode nid f obj nxt m ref h v m')
 then have notseq: \neg(is\text{-sequential-node (kind q nid)})
   {f using}\ is\mbox{-}sequential\mbox{-}node.simps\ is\mbox{-}AbstractMergeNode.simps
   by (simp\ add:\ LoadFieldNode.hyps(1))
  have notend: \neg(is\text{-}AbstractEndNode\ (kind\ g\ nid))
   using is-AbstractEndNode.simps
   by (simp\ add:\ LoadFieldNode.hyps(1))
  have notdivrem: \neg (is\text{-}IntegerDivRemNode\ (kind\ g\ nid))
   using is-AbstractEndNode.simps
   by (simp add: LoadFieldNode.hyps(1))
  from notseq notend notdivrem
  show ?case using LoadFieldNode step.cases repDet evalDet
  by (smt (z3) IRNode.distinct(1051) IRNode.distinct(1721) IRNode.distinct(1739)
IRNode.distinct(1741) IRNode.distinct(1745) IRNode.inject(20) Pair-inject Value.inject(2)
option.distinct(1) option.inject)
next
  case (StaticLoadFieldNode\ nid\ f\ nxt\ h\ v\ m'\ m)
 then have notseq: \neg(is\text{-sequential-node }(kind \ g \ nid))
   {f using}\ is\mbox{-}sequential\mbox{-}node.simps\ is\mbox{-}AbstractMergeNode.simps
   by (simp\ add:\ StaticLoadFieldNode.hyps(1))
 have notend: \neg(is\text{-}AbstractEndNode\ (kind\ q\ nid))
   using is-AbstractEndNode.simps
   by (simp add: StaticLoadFieldNode.hyps(1))
  have notdivrem: \neg(is\text{-}IntegerDivRemNode\ (kind\ g\ nid))
   by (simp\ add:\ StaticLoadFieldNode.hyps(1))
  from notseq notend notdivrem
 {f show}? case using StaticLoadFieldNode step. cases
  by (smt (z3) IRNode.distinct(1051) IRNode.distinct(1721) IRNode.distinct(1739)
IRNode.distinct(1741)\ IRNode.distinct(1745)\ IRNode.inject(20)\ Pair-inject\ option.distinct(1))
  case (StoreFieldNode nid f newval uu obj nxt m val ref h' h m')
  then have notseg: \neg(is\text{-sequential-node (kind q nid)})
   {\bf using} \ is\mbox{-}sequential\mbox{-}node.simps \ is\mbox{-}AbstractMergeNode.simps
   by (simp\ add:\ StoreFieldNode.hyps(1))
```

```
have notend: \neg(is\text{-}AbstractEndNode\ (kind\ q\ nid))
   \mathbf{using}\ is\text{-}AbstractEndNode.simps
   by (simp add: StoreFieldNode.hyps(1))
  have notdivrem: \neg(is\text{-}IntegerDivRemNode\ (kind\ g\ nid))
   by (simp add: StoreFieldNode.hyps(1))
  from notseq notend notdivrem
 show ?case using StoreFieldNode step.cases repDet evalDet
  by (smt (23) IRNode.distinct(1097) IRNode.distinct(1745) IRNode.distinct(2317)
IRNode.distinct(2605)\ IRNode.distinct(2627)\ IRNode.inject(43)\ Pair-inject\ Value.inject(2)
option.distinct(1) \ option.inject)
next
  case (StaticStoreFieldNode nid f newval uv nxt m val h' h m')
  then have notseg: \neg(is\text{-sequential-node (kind q nid)})
   {\bf using}\ is\mbox{-}sequential\mbox{-}node.simps\ is\mbox{-}AbstractMergeNode.simps
   by (simp add: StaticStoreFieldNode.hyps(1))
  have notend: \neg(is\text{-}AbstractEndNode\ (kind\ q\ nid))
   using is-AbstractEndNode.simps
   by (simp add: StaticStoreFieldNode.hyps(1))
  have notdivrem: \neg(is\text{-}IntegerDivRemNode\ (kind\ q\ nid))
   by (simp\ add:\ StaticStoreFieldNode.hyps(1))
  from notseq notend notdivrem
 show ?case using StoreFieldNode step.cases repDet evalDet
  by (smt (z3) IRNode.distinct(1097) IRNode.distinct(1745) IRNode.distinct(2317)
IRNode.distinct(2605) IRNode.distinct(2627) IRNode.inject(43) Pair-inject Static-
StoreFieldNode.hyps(2) StaticStoreFieldNode.hyps(2) StaticStoreFieldNode.hyps(3)
StaticStoreFieldNode.hyps(4) StaticStoreFieldNode.hyps(5) option.distinct(1)
next
  case (SignedDivNode nid x y zero sb nxt m v1 v2 v m' h)
  then have notseq: \neg(is\text{-sequential-node (kind g nid)})
   {f using}\ is\mbox{-}sequential\mbox{-}node.simps\ is\mbox{-}AbstractMergeNode.simps
   by (simp\ add:\ SignedDivNode.hyps(1))
  have notend: \neg(is\text{-}AbstractEndNode\ (kind\ q\ nid))
   using is-AbstractEndNode.simps
   by (simp\ add:\ SignedDivNode.hyps(1))
  from notseq notend
 show ?case using SignedDivNode step.cases repDet evalDet
  by (smt (z3) IRNode.distinct(1091) IRNode.distinct(1739) IRNode.distinct(2311)
IRNode.distinct(2601) IRNode.distinct(2605) IRNode.inject(40) Pair-inject)
next
  case (SignedRemNode\ nid\ x\ y\ zero\ sb\ nxt\ m\ v1\ v2\ v\ m'\ h)
  then have notseq: \neg(is\text{-}sequential\text{-}node\ (kind\ g\ nid))
   \mathbf{using}\ is\text{-}sequential\text{-}node.simps\ is\text{-}AbstractMergeNode.simps}
   by (simp\ add:\ SignedRemNode.hyps(1))
  have notend: \neg(is\text{-}AbstractEndNode\ (kind\ g\ nid))
   \mathbf{using}\ is\text{-}AbstractEndNode.simps
   by (simp\ add:\ SignedRemNode.hyps(1))
  from notseg notend
  show ?case using SignedRemNode step.cases repDet evalDet
  by (smt (z3) IRNode.distinct(1093) IRNode.distinct(1741) IRNode.distinct(2313)
```

```
IRNode.distinct(2601) IRNode.distinct(2627) IRNode.inject(41) Pair-inject)
qed
lemma stepRefNode:
  \llbracket kind \ g \ nid = RefNode \ nid' \rrbracket \Longrightarrow g, \ p \vdash (nid,m,h) \rightarrow (nid',m,h)
 using SequentialNode
 by (metis IRNodes.successors-of-RefNode is-sequential-node.simps(7) nth-Cons-0)
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{IfNodeStepCases}:
 assumes kind \ g \ nid = IfNode \ cond \ tb \ fb
 assumes g \vdash cond \simeq condE
 assumes [m, p] \vdash condE \mapsto v
 assumes g, p \vdash (nid, m, h) \rightarrow (nid', m, h)
 shows nid' \in \{tb, fb\}
 using step.IfNode repDet stepDet assms
 by (metis insert-iff old.prod.inject)
lemma IfNodeSeq:
 shows kind g nid = IfNode cond to fb \longrightarrow \neg (is-sequential-node (kind g nid))
 unfolding is-sequential-node.simps
 using is-sequential-node.simps(18) by presburger
lemma IfNodeCond:
  assumes kind \ g \ nid = IfNode \ cond \ tb \ fb
 assumes g, p \vdash (nid, m, h) \rightarrow (nid', m, h)
 shows \exists condE v. ((g \vdash cond \simeq condE) \land ([m, p] \vdash condE \mapsto v))
 using assms(2,1) by (induct\ (nid,m,h)\ (nid',m,h)\ rule:\ step.induct;\ auto)
lemma step-in-ids:
 assumes g, p \vdash (nid, m, h) \rightarrow (nid', m', h')
 shows nid \in ids \ g
 using assms apply (induct (nid, m, h) (nid', m', h') rule: step.induct)
 using is-sequential-node.simps(45) not-in-g
 apply simp
 apply (metis\ is-sequential-node.simps(53))
 using ids-some
 using IRNode.distinct(1113) apply presburger
 using EndNodes(1) is-AbstractEndNode.simps is-EndNode.simps(45) ids-some
 apply (metis\ IRNode.disc(1218)\ is\text{-}EndNode.simps(52))
 by simp+
```

end

9 Proof Infrastructure

9.1 Bisimulation

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{theory} \ \textit{Bisimulation} \\ \textbf{imports} \end{array}$

```
Stuttering begin
```

```
inductive weak-bisimilar :: ID \Rightarrow IRGraph \Rightarrow IRGraph \Rightarrow bool
          (- . - \sim -) for nid where
          [\forall P'. (g \ m \ p \ h \vdash nid \leadsto P') \longrightarrow (\exists Q' . (g' \ m \ p \ h \vdash nid \leadsto Q') \land P' = Q');
                 \forall \ Q'. \ (g' \ m \ p \ h \vdash nid \leadsto Q') \longrightarrow (\exists \ P' \ . \ (g \ m \ p \ h \vdash nid \leadsto P') \land P' = Q') ]
         \implies nid \cdot g \sim g'
A strong bisimilation between no-op transitions
inductive strong-noop-bisimilar :: ID \Rightarrow IRGraph \Rightarrow IRGraph \Rightarrow bool
         (-\mid -\sim -) for nid where
           \forall P'. (g, p \vdash (nid, m, h) \rightarrow P') \longrightarrow (\exists Q'. (g', p \vdash (nid, m, h) \rightarrow Q') \land P' = (\neg P') \land
                \stackrel{\cdot}{\forall} Q'. \; (g', \, p \vdash (nid, \, m, \, h) \rightarrow Q') \longrightarrow (\exists \, P' \; . \; (g, \, p \vdash (nid, \, m, \, h) \rightarrow P') \, \land \, P' = (g, \, p \vdash (nid, \, m, \, h) \rightarrow P') \, \land \, P' = (g, \, p \vdash (nid, \, m, \, h) \rightarrow P') \, \land \, P' = (g, \, p \vdash (nid, \, m, \, h) \rightarrow P') \, \land \, P' = (g, \, p \vdash (nid, \, m, \, h) \rightarrow P') \, \land \, P' = (g, \, p \vdash (nid, \, m, \, h) \rightarrow P') \, \land \, P' = (g, \, p \vdash (nid, \, m, \, h) \rightarrow P') \, \land \, P' = (g, \, p \vdash (nid, \, m, \, h) \rightarrow P') \, \land \, P' = (g, \, p \vdash (nid, \, m, \, h) \rightarrow P') \, \land \, P' = (g, \, p \vdash (nid, \, m, \, h) \rightarrow P') \, \land \, P' = (g, \, p \vdash (nid, \, m, \, h) \rightarrow P') \, \land \, P' = (g, \, p \vdash (nid, \, m, \, h) \rightarrow P') \, \land \, P' = (g, \, p \vdash (nid, \, m, \, h) \rightarrow P') \, \land \, P' = (g, \, p \vdash (nid, \, m, \, h) \rightarrow P') \, \land \, P' = (g, \, p \vdash (nid, \, m, \, h) \rightarrow P') \, \land \, P' = (g, \, p \vdash (nid, \, m, \, h) \rightarrow P') \, \land \, P' = (g, \, p \vdash (nid, \, m, \, h) \rightarrow P') \, \land \, P' = (g, \, p \vdash (nid, \, m, \, h) \rightarrow P') \, \land \, P' = (g, \, p \vdash (nid, \, m, \, h) \rightarrow P') \, \land \, P' = (g, \, p \vdash (nid, \, m, \, h) \rightarrow P') \, \land \, P' = (g, \, p \vdash (nid, \, m, \, h) \rightarrow P') \, \land \, P' = (g, \, p \vdash (nid, \, m, \, h) \rightarrow P') \, \land \, P' = (g, \, p \vdash (nid, \, m, \, h) \rightarrow P') \, \land \, P' = (g, \, p \vdash (nid, \, m, \, h) \rightarrow P') \, \land \, P' = (g, \, p \vdash (nid, \, m, \, h) \rightarrow P') \, \land \, P' = (g, \, p \vdash (nid, \, m, \, h) \rightarrow P') \, \land \, P' = (g, \, p \vdash (nid, \, m, \, h) \rightarrow P') \, \land \, P' = (g, \, p \vdash (nid, \, m, \, h) \rightarrow P') \, \land \, P' = (g, \, p \vdash (nid, \, m, \, h) \rightarrow P') \, \land \, P' = (g, \, p \vdash (nid, \, m, \, h) \rightarrow P') \, \land \, P' = (g, \, p \vdash (nid, \, m, \, h) \rightarrow P') \, \land \, P' = (g, \, p \vdash (nid, \, m, \, h) \rightarrow P') \, \land \, P' = (g, \, p \vdash (nid, \, m, \, h) \rightarrow P') \, \land \, P' = (g, \, p \vdash (nid, \, m, \, h) \rightarrow P') \, \land \, P' = (g, \, p \vdash (nid, \, m, \, h) \rightarrow P') \, \land \, P' = (g, \, p \vdash (nid, \, m, \, h) \rightarrow P') \, \land \, P' = (g, \, p \vdash (nid, \, m, \, h) \rightarrow P') \, \land \, P' = (g, \, p \vdash (nid, \, m, \, h) \rightarrow P') \, \land \, P' = (g, \, p \vdash (nid, \, m, \, h) \rightarrow P') \, \land \, P' = (g, \, p \vdash (nid, \, m, \, h) \rightarrow P') \, \land \, P' = (g, \, p \vdash (nid, \, m, \, h) \rightarrow P') \, \land \, P' = (g, \, p \vdash (nid, \, m, \, h) \rightarrow P') \, \land \, P' = (g, \, p \vdash (nid, \, m, \, h) \rightarrow P') \, \land \, P' = (g, \, p \vdash (nid, \, m, \, h) \rightarrow P') \, \land \, P' = (g, \, p \vdash (nid, \, m, \, h) \rightarrow P') \, \land \, P' = (g, \, p \vdash (nid, \, m, \, h) \rightarrow P') \, \land \, P' = (g,
         \implies nid \mid g \sim g'
\mathbf{lemma}\ lockstep\text{-}strong\text{-}bisimilulation:
         assumes q' = replace - node \ nid \ node \ q
         assumes g, p \vdash (nid, m, h) \rightarrow (nid', m, h)
        assumes g', p \vdash (nid, m, h) \rightarrow (nid', m, h)
        shows nid \mid g \sim g'
         using assms(2) assms(3) stepDet strong-noop-bisimilar.simps by metis
lemma no-step-bisimulation:
         assumes \forall m \ p \ h \ nid' \ m' \ h'. \ \neg(g, p \vdash (nid, m, h) \rightarrow (nid', m', h'))
         assumes \forall m \ p \ h \ nid' \ m' \ h'. \ \neg(g', p \vdash (nid, m, h) \rightarrow (nid', m', h'))
        shows nid \mid g \sim g'
         using assms
         by (simp add: assms(1) assms(2) strong-noop-bisimilar.intros)
end
9.2
                                     Graph Rewriting
theory
         Rewrites
imports
         Stuttering
begin
fun replace-usages :: ID \Rightarrow ID \Rightarrow IRGraph \Rightarrow IRGraph where
          replace-usages nid nid' g = replace-node nid (RefNode nid', stamp g nid') g
lemma replace-usages-effect:
         assumes g' = replace-usages nid \ nid' \ g
```

```
shows kind \ g' \ nid = RefNode \ nid'
  using assms replace-node-lookup replace-usages.simps
 by (metis IRNode.distinct(2755))
lemma replace-usages-changeonly:
 assumes nid \in ids \ g
 assumes g' = replace-usages nid \ nid' \ g
 shows changeonly \{nid\} g g'
 using assms unfolding replace-usages.simps
 by (metis add-changed add-node-def replace-node-def)
lemma replace-usages-unchanged:
 assumes nid \in ids g
 assumes g' = replace-usages nid \ nid' \ g
 shows unchanged (ids g - \{nid\}) g g'
 using assms unfolding replace-usages.simps
 using assms(2) disjoint-change replace-usages-changeonly by presburger
fun nextNid :: IRGraph \Rightarrow ID where
  nextNid\ g = (Max\ (ids\ g)) + 1
lemma max-plus-one:
  fixes c :: ID \ set
 shows [finite c; c \neq \{\}] \Longrightarrow (Max c) + 1 \notin c
 by (meson Max-gr-iff less-add-one less-irrefl)
lemma ids-finite:
 finite (ids g)
 by simp
\mathbf{lemma}\ nextNidNotIn:
  ids \ g \neq \{\} \longrightarrow nextNid \ g \notin ids \ g
 unfolding nextNid.simps
 using ids-finite max-plus-one by blast
fun bool-to-val-width1 :: bool <math>\Rightarrow Value where
  bool-to-val-width 1 True = (Int Val \ 1 \ 1)
  bool-to-val-width1 False = (IntVal\ 1\ 0)
fun constantCondition :: bool <math>\Rightarrow ID \Rightarrow IRNode \Rightarrow IRGraph \Rightarrow IRGraph where
  constantCondition\ val\ nid\ (IfNode\ cond\ t\ f)\ g =
   replace-node nid (IfNode (nextNid g) t f, stamp g nid)
      (add-node (nextNid g) ((ConstantNode (bool-to-val-width1 val)), constantA-
sStamp\ (bool-to-val-width1\ val))\ g)\ |
  constantCondition\ cond\ nid\ -\ q=q
```

lemma constantConditionTrue:

```
assumes kind\ g\ if cond = If Node\ cond\ t\ f
 assumes g' = constantCondition True if cond (kind g if cond) g
 shows g', p \vdash (ifcond, m, h) \rightarrow (t, m, h)
proof -
  have ifn: \bigwedge c \ t \ f. If Node c \ t \ f \neq NoNode
   by simp
  then have if': kind \ g' \ if cond = If Node \ (nextNid \ g) \ t \ f
   using assms(1) assms(2) constantCondition.simps(1) replace-node-lookup
   by presburger
  have truedef: bool-to-val True = (IntVal 32 1)
   by auto
  from ifn have if cond \neq (nextNid \ g)
   by (metis assms(1) emptyE ids-some nextNidNotIn)
 moreover have \bigwedge c. ConstantNode c \neq NoNode by simp
  ultimately have kind\ g'\ (nextNid\ g) = ConstantNode\ (bool-to-val-width1\ True)
   using add-changed add-node-def assms(1) assms(2) constantCondition.simps(1)
not-in-q other-node-unchanged replace-node-def replace-node-lookup singletonD
   by (smt (z3) find-new-kind replace-node-unchanged)
  then have c': kind\ g'\ (nextNid\ g) = ConstantNode\ (IntVal\ 1\ 1)
   using truedef by simp
  have valid-value (IntVal 1 1) (constantAsStamp (IntVal 1 1))
   {f unfolding}\ constant As Stamp. simps\ valid-value. simps
   using nat-numeral by force
  then have [g', m, p] \vdash nextNid g \mapsto IntVal 1 1
    using ConstantExpr\ ConstantNode\ Value.distinct(1) \land kind\ g'\ (nextNid\ g) =
ConstantNode (bool-to-val-width1 True) encodeeval-def truedef
   by (metis\ bool-to-val-width1.simps(1)\ wf-value-def)
 from if' c' show ?thesis using IfNode
    \textbf{by} \ (\textit{metis} \ (\textit{no-types}, \ \textit{opaque-lifting}) \ \textit{val-to-bool.simps} (\textit{1}) \ \textit{`} [\textit{g'}, \textit{m}, \textit{p}] \vdash \textit{nextNid} \ \textit{g}
\mapsto IntVal 1 1> encodeeval-def zero-neq-one)
qed
{f lemma}\ constant Condition False:
 assumes kind\ g\ if cond = If Node\ cond\ t\ f
 assumes g' = constantCondition False if cond (kind g if cond) g
 shows g', p \vdash (ifcond, m, h) \rightarrow (f, m, h)
proof -
  have ifn: \land c t f. IfNode c t f \neq NoNode
   by simp
  then have if': kind\ g'\ ifcond = IfNode\ (nextNid\ g)\ t\ f
   \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{metis}\ \mathit{assms}(1)\ \mathit{assms}(2)\ \mathit{constantCondition.simps}(1)\ \mathit{replace-node-lookup})
  have falsedef: bool-to-val False = (IntVal 32 0)
   by auto
  from if n have if cond \neq (nextNid g)
   by (metis assms(1) equals0D ids-some nextNidNotIn)
  moreover have \bigwedge c. ConstantNode c \neq NoNode by simp
  ultimately have kind\ q'\ (nextNid\ q) = ConstantNode\ (bool-to-val-width1\ False)
     by (smt (z3) \ add\text{-}changed \ add\text{-}node\text{-}def \ assms(1) \ assms(2) \ constantCondi-
tion.simps(1) find-new-kind not-in-g other-node-unchanged replace-node-def single-
```

```
tonD)
 then have c': kind\ g'\ (nextNid\ g) = ConstantNode\ (IntVal\ 1\ 0)
   using falsedef by simp
 have valid-value (IntVal 1 0) (constantAsStamp (IntVal 1 0))
   unfolding constantAsStamp.simps valid-value.simps
   using nat-numeral by force
 then have [g', m, p] \vdash nextNid \ g \mapsto IntVal \ 1 \ 0
   by (meson ConstantExpr ConstantNode c' encodeeval-def wf-value-def)
 from if' c' show ?thesis using IfNode
   by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) val-to-bool.simps(1) \langle [g',m,p] \vdash nextNid\ g
\mapsto IntVal 1 0> encodeeval-def)
qed
lemma diff-forall:
 assumes \forall n \in ids \ g - \{nid\}. \ cond \ n
 shows \forall n. n \in ids \ g \land n \notin \{nid\} \longrightarrow cond \ n
 by (meson Diff-iff assms)
lemma replace-node-changeonly:
 assumes g' = replace - node \ nid \ node \ g
 shows changeonly \{nid\} g g'
 using assms replace-node-unchanged
 unfolding changeonly.simps using diff-forall
 by (metis add-changed add-node-def changeonly.simps replace-node-def)
lemma add-node-changeonly:
 assumes g' = add-node nid node g
 shows changeonly \{nid\} g g'
  by (metis Rep-IRGraph-inverse add-node.rep-eq assms replace-node.rep-eq re-
place-node-changeonly)
lemma constantConditionNoEffect:
 assumes \neg(is-IfNode (kind g nid))
 shows g = constantCondition b nid (kind g nid) g
 using assms apply (cases kind g nid)
 using constantCondition.simps
 apply presburger+
 apply (metis is-IfNode-def)
 using constantCondition.simps
 by presburger+
lemma constantConditionIfNode:
 assumes kind \ g \ nid = IfNode \ cond \ t \ f
 shows constant Condition val nid (kind g nid) g =
   replace-node nid (IfNode (nextNid g) t f, stamp g nid)
     (add-node\ (nextNid\ g)\ ((ConstantNode\ (bool-to-val-width1\ val)),\ constantA-
sStamp \ (bool-to-val-width1 \ val)) \ g)
 using constant Condition.simps
 by (simp add: assms)
```

```
{\bf lemma}\ constant Condition\text{-}change only:
 assumes nid \in ids g
 assumes g' = constantCondition \ b \ nid \ (kind \ g \ nid) \ g
 shows changeonly \{nid\} g g'
proof (cases is-IfNode (kind g nid))
  case True
 have nextNid \ g \notin ids \ g
    using nextNidNotIn by (metis\ emptyE)
  then show ?thesis using assms
  using replace-node-changeonly add-node-changeonly unfolding changeonly.simps
   using True\ constantCondition.simps(1)\ is-IfNode-def
   by (metis (no-types, lifting) insert-iff)
next
  case False
 have q = q'
   using constant Condition No Effect
   using False \ assms(2) by blast
  then show ?thesis by simp
qed
lemma constantConditionNoIf:
  assumes \forall cond t f. kind g ifcond \neq IfNode cond t f
 assumes g' = constantCondition\ val\ if cond\ (kind\ g\ if cond)\ g
 shows \exists nid' . (q \ m \ p \ h \vdash ifcond \leadsto nid') \longleftrightarrow (q' \ m \ p \ h \vdash ifcond \leadsto nid')
proof -
 have g' = g
   using assms(2) assms(1)
   using constant Condition No Effect
   by (metis\ IRNode.collapse(11))
  then show ?thesis by simp
qed
\mathbf{lemma}\ constant Condition Valid:
 assumes kind \ q \ if cond = If Node \ cond \ t \ f
 assumes [g, m, p] \vdash cond \mapsto v
 assumes const = val\text{-}to\text{-}bool\ v
 assumes g' = constantCondition const if cond (kind g if cond) g
 shows \exists nid' . (g \ m \ p \ h \vdash ifcond \leadsto nid') \longleftrightarrow (g' \ m \ p \ h \vdash ifcond \leadsto nid')
proof (cases const)
  case True
 have ifstep: g, p \vdash (ifcond, m, h) \rightarrow (t, m, h)
   by (meson IfNode True assms(1) assms(2) assms(3) encodeeval-def)
 have ifstep': g', p \vdash (ifcond, m, h) \rightarrow (t, m, h)
   \mathbf{using}\ constant Condition True
   using True \ assms(1) \ assms(4) by presburger
  from ifstep ifstep' show ?thesis
   using StutterStep by blast
```

```
next
  case False
  have ifstep: g, p \vdash (ifcond, m, h) \rightarrow (f, m, h)
    by (meson IfNode False assms(1) assms(2) assms(3) encodeeval-def)
  have ifstep': g', p \vdash (ifcond, m, h) \rightarrow (f, m, h)
    {\bf using}\ constant Condition False
    using False \ assms(1) \ assms(4) by presburger
  from ifstep ifstep' show ?thesis
    using StutterStep by blast
qed
end
9.3
        Stuttering
theory Stuttering
  imports
    Semantics. IRStep Thms
begin
inductive \ stutter:: IRGraph \Rightarrow MapState \Rightarrow Params \Rightarrow FieldRefHeap \Rightarrow ID \Rightarrow
ID \Rightarrow bool (---- \vdash - \leadsto -55)
  for g m p h where
  StutterStep:
  \llbracket g, p \vdash (nid, m, h) \rightarrow (nid', m, h) \rrbracket
   \implies g \ m \ p \ h \vdash nid \leadsto nid'
  Transitive:
  \llbracket g, p \vdash (nid, m, h) \rightarrow (nid'', m, h);
    g\ m\ p\ h\vdash nid^{\prime\prime}\leadsto nid^{\prime\prime}
   \implies g \ m \ p \ h \vdash nid \leadsto nid'
lemma stuttering-successor:
  assumes (g, p \vdash (nid, m, h) \rightarrow (nid', m, h))
 shows \{P'. (g \ m \ p \ h \vdash nid \leadsto P')\} = \{nid'\} \cup \{nid''. (g \ m \ p \ h \vdash nid' \leadsto nid'')\}
proof -
  have nextin: nid' \in \{P'. (g \ m \ p \ h \vdash nid \leadsto P')\}
    using assms StutterStep by blast
 have next subset: \{nid''. (g \ m \ p \ h \vdash nid' \leadsto nid'')\} \subseteq \{P'. (g \ m \ p \ h \vdash nid \leadsto P')\}
    by (metis Collect-mono assms stutter. Transitive)
  have \forall n \in \{P'. (g \ m \ p \ h \vdash nid \leadsto P')\}. n = nid' \lor n \in \{nid''. (g \ m \ p \ h \vdash nid')\}
\rightsquigarrow nid'')
    using stepDet
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) Pair-inject assms mem-Collect-eq stutter.simps)
  then show ?thesis
    using insert-absorb mk-disjoint-insert nextin nextsubset by auto
qed
```

9.4 Evaluation Stamp Theorems

```
theory StampEvalThms
 imports Graph. Value Thms
        Semantics.IRTreeEvalThms
begin
lemma
 assumes take-bit b v = v
 shows signed-take-bit b \ v = v
 using assms
 by (metis(full-types) eq-imp-le signed-take-bit-take-bit)
\mathbf{lemma}\ unwrap\text{-}signed\text{-}take\text{-}bit:
 fixes v :: int64
 assumes 0 < b \land b \le 64
 assumes signed-take-bit (b-1) v=v
 shows signed-take-bit 63 (Word.rep (signed-take-bit (b - Suc \ 0) \ v)) = sint \ v
 using assms using size64 unfolding signed-def by auto
lemma unrestricted-new-int-always-valid [simp]:
 assumes 0 < b \land b \le 64
 shows valid-value (new-int b v) (unrestricted-stamp (IntegerStamp b lo hi))
 {f unfolding}\ unrestricted\mbox{-}stamp.simps\ new\mbox{-}int.simps\ valid\mbox{-}value.simps
  by (simp; metis One-nat-def assms int-power-div-base int-signed-value.simps
int-signed-value-range linorder-not-le not-exp-less-eq-0-int zero-less-numeral)
lemma \ unary-undef: \ val = \ UndefVal \Longrightarrow \ unary-eval \ op \ val = \ UndefVal
 by (cases op; auto)
lemma unary-obj: val = ObjRef x \Longrightarrow unary-eval op val = UndefVal
 by (cases op; auto)
lemma unrestricted-stamp-valid:
 assumes s = unrestricted-stamp (IntegerStamp b lo hi)
 assumes 0 < b \land b \le 64
 shows valid-stamp s
 using assms
  by (smt\ (z3)\ Stamp.inject(1)\ bit-bounds.simps\ not-exp-less-eq-0-int\ prod.sel(1)
prod.sel(2) \ unrestricted-stamp.simps(2) \ upper-bounds-equiv valid-stamp.elims(1)
lemma unrestricted-stamp-valid-value [simp]:
 assumes 1: result = IntVal \ b \ ival
 assumes take-bit b ival = ival
 assumes 0 < b \land b \le 64
```

```
shows valid-value result (unrestricted-stamp (IntegerStamp b lo hi))

proof —
have valid-stamp (unrestricted-stamp (IntegerStamp b lo hi))
using assms unrestricted-stamp-valid by blast
then show ?thesis
unfolding 1 unrestricted-stamp.simps valid-value.simps
using assms int-signed-value-bounds by presburger

qed
```

9.4.1 Support Lemmas for Integer Stamps and Associated IntVal values

Valid int implies some useful facts.

```
lemma valid-int-gives:
   assumes valid-value (IntVal b val) stamp
   obtains lo hi where stamp = IntegerStamp b lo hi \land
     valid-stamp (IntegerStamp b lo hi) \land
     take-bit b val = val \land
     lo \leq int-signed-value b val \land int-signed-value b val \leq hi
     using assms
   by (smt (z3) Value.distinct(7) Value.inject(1) valid-value.elims(1))
```

And the corresponding lemma where we know the stamp rather than the value.

```
lemma valid-int-stamp-gives:
assumes valid-value val (IntegerStamp b lo hi)
obtains ival where val = IntVal b ival \land
valid-stamp (IntegerStamp b lo hi) \land
take-bit b ival = ival \land
lo \leq int-signed-value b ival \land int-signed-value b ival \leq hi
by (metis assms valid-int valid-value.simps(1))
A valid int must have the expected number of bits.
lemma valid-int-same-bits:
```

```
assumes valid-value (IntVal b val) (IntegerStamp bits lo hi)
shows b = bits
by (meson assms valid-value.simps(1))
```

A valid value means a valid stamp.

```
lemma valid-int-valid-stamp:

assumes valid-value (IntVal b val) (IntegerStamp bits lo hi)

shows valid-stamp (IntegerStamp bits lo hi)

by (metis assms valid-value.simps(1))
```

A valid int means a valid non-empty stamp.

```
lemma valid-int-not-empty:
assumes valid-value (IntVal b val) (IntegerStamp bits lo hi)
```

```
shows lo < hi
 by (metis assms order.trans valid-value.simps(1))
A valid int fits into the given number of bits (and other bits are zero).
lemma valid-int-fits:
 assumes valid-value (IntVal b val) (IntegerStamp bits lo hi)
 shows take-bit bits val = val
 by (metis\ assms\ valid-value.simps(1))
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{valid-int-is-zero-masked}\colon
  assumes valid-value (IntVal b val) (IntegerStamp bits lo hi)
 shows and val (not (mask bits)) = 0
 by (metis (no-types, lifting) assms bit.conj-cancel-right take-bit-eq-mask valid-int-fits
           word-bw-assocs(1) word-log-esimps(1))
Unsigned into have bounds 0 up to 2^bits.
{f lemma}\ valid-int-unsigned-bounds:
  assumes valid-value (IntVal b val) (IntegerStamp bits lo hi)
 shows uint \ val < 2 \ \hat{} \ bits
 \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{metis}\ \mathit{assms}(1)\ \mathit{mask-eq-iff}\ \mathit{take-bit-eq-mask}\ \mathit{valid-value}.\mathit{simps}(1))
Signed into have the usual two-complement bounds.
lemma valid-int-signed-upper-bound:
 assumes valid-value (IntVal b val) (IntegerStamp bits lo hi)
 shows int-signed-value bits val < 2 \hat{\ } (bits - 1)
 by (metis (mono-tags, opaque-lifting) diff-le-mono int-signed-value.simps less-imp-diff-less
    linorder-not-le\ one-le-numeral\ order-less-le-trans\ power-increasing\ signed-take-bit-int-less-exp-word
sint-lt)
lemma valid-int-signed-lower-bound:
 assumes valid-value (IntVal b val) (IntegerStamp bits lo hi)
 shows -(2 \cap (bits - 1)) \leq int\text{-}signed\text{-}value bits val
 by (smt (verit) diff-le-self int-signed-value.simps linorder-not-less power-increasing-iff
signed-take-bit-int-greater-eq-minus-exp-word sint-greater-eq)
and bit bounds versions of the above bounds.
\mathbf{lemma}\ valid\text{-}int\text{-}signed\text{-}upper\text{-}bit\text{-}bound:
 assumes valid-value (IntVal b val) (IntegerStamp bits lo hi)
 shows int-signed-value bits val \leq snd (bit-bounds bits)
proof -
 have b = bits using assms valid-int-same-bits by blast
 then show ?thesis
   using assms by force
qed
```

```
lemma valid-int-signed-lower-bit-bound:
   assumes valid-value (IntVal b val) (IntegerStamp bits lo hi)
   shows fst (bit-bounds bits) ≤ int-signed-value bits val
proof —
   have b = bits using assms valid-int-same-bits by blast
   then show ?thesis
    using assms by force
qed

Valid values satisfy their stamp bounds.
lemma valid-int-signed-range:
   assumes valid-value (IntVal b val) (IntegerStamp bits lo hi)
   shows lo ≤ int-signed-value bits val ∧ int-signed-value bits val ≤ hi
   by (metis assms valid-value.simps(1))
```

9.4.2 Validity of all Unary Operators

We split the validity proof for unary operators into two lemmas, one for normal unary operators whose output bits equals their input bits, and the other case for the widen and narrow operators.

```
\mathbf{lemma}\ eval\text{-}normal\text{-}unary\text{-}implies\text{-}valid\text{-}value:}
 assumes [m,p] \vdash expr \mapsto val
 assumes result = unary-eval \ op \ val
 assumes op: op \in normal-unary
 assumes result \neq UndefVal
 assumes valid-value val (stamp-expr expr)
 shows valid-value result (stamp-expr (UnaryExpr op expr))
proof -
 obtain b1 v1 where v1: val = IntVal \ b1 \ v1
  by (metis Value.exhaust assms(1) assms(2) assms(4) assms(5) evaltree-not-undef
unary-obj\ valid-value.simps(11))
 then obtain b2 v2 where v2: result = IntVal b2 v2
   using assms(2) assms(4) is-IntVal-def unary-eval-int by presburger
 then have result = unary-eval \ op \ (Int Val \ b1 \ v1)
   using assms(2) v1 by blast
 then obtain vtmp where vtmp: result = new-int b2 vtmp
   using assms(3) v2 by auto
 obtain b' lo' hi' where stamp-expr expr = IntegerStamp b' lo' hi'
   by (metis assms(5) v1 valid-int-gives)
 then have stamp-unary op (stamp-expr\ expr) =
   unrestricted-stamp
    (IntegerStamp (if op \in normal-unary then b' else ir-resultBits op) lo' hi')
   using stamp-unary.simps(1) by presburger
  then obtain lo2\ hi2\ where\ s:\ (stamp-expr\ (UnaryExpr\ op\ expr))\ =\ unre-
stricted-stamp (IntegerStamp b2 lo2 hi2)
   unfolding stamp-expr.simps
   using vtmp op
  by (smt (verit, best) \ Value.inject(1) \ ((result:: Value) = unary-eval (op::IRUnaryOp))
(IntVal\ (b1::nat)\ (v1::64\ word)) \land (stamp-expr\ (expr::IRExpr) = IntegerStamp\ (b'::nat)
```

```
(lo'::int) (hi'::int) assms(5) insertE intval-abs.simps(1) intval-logic-negation.simps(1)
intval-negate.simps(1)\ intval-not.simps(1)\ new-int.elims\ singleton-iff\ unary-eval.simps(1)
unary-eval.simps(2) \ unary-eval.simps(3) \ unary-eval.simps(4) \ v1 \ valid-int-same-bits)
  then have 0 < b1 \land b1 \leq 64
   using valid-int-gives
   by (metis\ assms(5)\ v1\ valid-stamp.simps(1))
  then have fst (bit-bounds b2) \leq int-signed-value b2 v2 \wedge a
            int-signed-value b2 v2 \le snd (bit-bounds b2)
  by (smt (verit, del-insts) Stamp.inject(1) assms(3) assms(5) int-signed-value-bounds
s\ stamp-expr.simps(1)\ stamp-unary.simps(1)\ unrestricted-stamp.simps(2)\ v1\ valid-int-gives)
  then show ?thesis
   unfolding s v2 unrestricted-stamp.simps valid-value.simps
    by (smt\ (z3)\ assms(3)\ assms(5)\ is\ -stamp\ -empty.simps(1)\ new\ -int\ -take\ -bits\ s
stamp-expr.simps(1) stamp-unary.simps(1) unrestricted-stamp.simps(2) v1 v2 valid-int-gives
valid-stamp.simps(1) vtmp)
qed
{f lemma}\ narrow-widen-output-bits:
 assumes unary-eval op val \neq UndefVal
 assumes op \notin normal\text{-}unary
 shows 0 < (ir\text{-}resultBits\ op) \land (ir\text{-}resultBits\ op) \leq 64
proof -
  consider ib ob where op = UnaryNarrow ib ob
         ib \ ob \ \mathbf{where} \ op = \mathit{UnarySignExtend} \ ib \ ob
         ib \ ob \ \mathbf{where} \ op = \mathit{UnaryZeroExtend} \ ib \ ob
   using IRUnaryOp.exhaust-sel assms(2) by blast
  then show ?thesis
 proof (cases)
   case 1
   then show ?thesis using assms intval-narrow-ok by force
  next
   case 2
   then show ?thesis using assms intval-sign-extend-ok by force
 next
   case 3
   then show ?thesis using assms intval-zero-extend-ok by force
 qed
qed
\mathbf{lemma}\ eval\text{-}widen\text{-}narrow\text{-}unary\text{-}implies\text{-}valid\text{-}value:}
 assumes [m,p] \vdash expr \mapsto val
 assumes result = unary-eval \ op \ val
 assumes op: op \notin normal\text{-}unary
 assumes result \neq UndefVal
 assumes valid-value val (stamp-expr expr)
 shows valid-value result (stamp-expr (UnaryExpr op expr))
proof -
  obtain b1 v1 where v1: val = IntVal \ b1 \ v1
```

```
by (metis Value.exhaust assms(1) assms(2) assms(4) assms(5) evaltree-not-undef
unary-obj\ valid-value.simps(11))
 then have result = unary-eval \ op \ (Int Val \ b1 \ v1)
   using assms(2) v1 by blast
 then obtain v2 where v2: result = new-int (ir-resultBits op) v2
   using assms by (cases op; simp; (meson new-int.simps)+)
 then obtain v3 where v3: result = IntVal (ir-resultBits op) <math>v3
   using assms by (cases op; simp; (meson new-int.simps)+)
  then obtain lo2\ hi2 where s: (stamp-expr\ (UnaryExpr\ op\ expr)) = unre-
stricted-stamp (IntegerStamp (ir-resultBits op) lo2 hi2)
   unfolding stamp-expr.simps stamp-unary.simps
   using assms(3) assms(5) v1 valid-int-gives by fastforce
 then have outBits: 0 < (ir\text{-}resultBits op) \land (ir\text{-}resultBits op) \leq 64
   \mathbf{using}\ assms\ narrow-widen-output-bits
   by blast
 then have fst (bit-bounds (ir-resultBits op)) \leq int-signed-value (ir-resultBits op)
v3 \wedge
          int-signed-value (ir-resultBits op) v3 \le snd (bit-bounds (ir-resultBits op))
   using int-signed-value-bounds
  by (smt\ (verit,\ del\text{-}insts)\ Stamp.inject(1)\ assms(3)\ assms(5)\ int\text{-}signed\text{-}value\text{-}bounds
s\ stamp-expr.simps(1)\ stamp-unary.simps(1)\ unrestricted-stamp.simps(2)\ v1\ valid-int-gives)
 then show ?thesis
   \mathbf{unfolding}\ s\ v3\ unrestricted-stamp.simps\ valid-value.simps
   using outBits v2 v3 by auto
qed
lemma eval-unary-implies-valid-value:
 assumes [m,p] \vdash expr \mapsto val
 assumes result = unary-eval \ op \ val
 assumes result \neq UndefVal
 assumes valid-value val (stamp-expr expr)
 shows valid-value result (stamp-expr (UnaryExpr op expr))
 proof (cases op \in normal-unary)
   \mathbf{case} \ \mathit{True}
   then show ?thesis by (metis assms eval-normal-unary-implies-valid-value)
 next
   case False
  then show ?thesis by (metis assms eval-widen-narrow-unary-implies-valid-value)
 qed
9.4.3
        Support Lemmas for Binary Operators
lemma binary-undef: v1 = UndefVal \lor v2 = UndefVal \Longrightarrow bin-eval op v1 v2 =
UndefVal
 by (cases op; auto)
lemma binary-obj: v1 = ObjRef \ x \lor v2 = ObjRef \ y \Longrightarrow bin-eval \ op \ v1 \ v2 =
UndefVal
 by (cases op; auto)
```

Some lemmas about the three different output sizes for binary operators.

```
lemma bin-eval-bits-binary-shift-ops:
 assumes result = bin-eval \ op \ (IntVal \ b1 \ v1) \ (IntVal \ b2 \ v2)
 assumes result \neq UndefVal
 assumes op \in binary\text{-}shift\text{-}ops
 shows \exists v. result = new-int b1 v
 using assms
 by (cases op; simp; smt (verit, best) new-int.simps)+
lemma bin-eval-bits-fixed-32-ops:
 assumes result = bin-eval \ op \ (IntVal \ b1 \ v1) \ (IntVal \ b2 \ v2)
 assumes result \neq UndefVal
 assumes op \in binary-fixed-32-ops
 shows \exists v. result = new-int 32 v
 using assms
 apply (cases op; simp)
 using assms bool-to-val.simps bin-eval-new-int new-int.simps bin-eval-unused-bits-zero
 by metis+
lemma bin-eval-bits-normal-ops:
 assumes result = bin-eval \ op \ (IntVal \ b1 \ v1) \ (IntVal \ b2 \ v2)
 assumes result \neq UndefVal
 assumes op \notin binary\text{-}shift\text{-}ops
 assumes op \notin binary-fixed-32-ops
 shows \exists v. result = new-int b1 v
 using assms apply (cases op; simp)
 using assms apply (metis (mono-tags))+
 using take-bit-and apply metis
 using take-bit-or apply metis
 using take-bit-xor by metis
lemma bin-eval-input-bits-equal:
 assumes result = bin-eval \ op \ (IntVal \ b1 \ v1) \ (IntVal \ b2 \ v2)
 assumes result \neq UndefVal
 assumes op \notin binary\text{-}shift\text{-}ops
 shows b1 = b2
 using assms apply (cases op; simp)
 by presburger+
\mathbf{lemma}\ bin\text{-}eval\text{-}implies\text{-}valid\text{-}value:}
 assumes [m,p] \vdash expr1 \mapsto val1
 assumes [m,p] \vdash expr2 \mapsto val2
 assumes result = bin-eval \ op \ val1 \ val2
 assumes result \neq UndefVal
 assumes valid-value val1 (stamp-expr expr1)
 assumes valid-value val2 (stamp-expr expr2)
 shows valid-value result (stamp-expr (BinaryExpr op expr1 expr2))
proof -
```

```
obtain b1 v1 where v1: val1 = IntVal \ b1 \ v1
  by (metis\ Value.collapse(1)\ assms(3)\ assms(4)\ bin-eval-inputs-are-ints\ bin-eval-int)
 obtain b2 v2 where v2: val2 = IntVal b2 v2
  by (metis Value.collapse(1) assms(3) assms(4) bin-eval-inputs-are-ints bin-eval-int)
 then obtain lo1 hi1 where s1: stamp-expr expr1 = IntegerStamp b1 lo1 hi1
   by (metis assms(5) v1 valid-int-gives)
 then obtain lo2\ hi2 where s2: stamp-expr\ expr2 = IntegerStamp\ b2\ lo2\ hi2
   by (metis assms(6) v2 valid-int-gives)
 then have r: result = bin-eval op (IntVal b1 v1) (IntVal b2 v2)
   using assms(3) v1 v2 by blast
 then obtain bres vtmp where vtmp: result = new-int bres vtmp
   using assms bin-eval-bits-binary-shift-ops
   by (meson bin-eval-new-int)
 then obtain vres where vres: result = IntVal\ bres\ vres
   by force
 then have sres: stamp-expr (BinaryExpr op expr1 expr2) =
          unrestricted-stamp (IntegerStamp bres lo1 hi1)
         \land 0 < bres \land bres \leq 64
   proof (cases op \in binary\text{-}shift\text{-}ops)
    case True
    then show ?thesis
      unfolding s1 s2 stamp-binary.simps stamp-expr.simps
      using assms bin-eval-bits-binary-shift-ops
      by (metis Value.inject(1) eval-bits-1-64 new-int.simps r v1 vres)
   next
    case False
    then have op \notin binary\text{-}shift\text{-}ops
      by simp
    then have beq: b1 = b2
      using v1 v2 assms bin-eval-input-bits-equal by simp
    then show ?thesis
    proof (cases op \in binary-fixed-32-ops)
      \mathbf{case} \ \mathit{True}
      then show ?thesis
      unfolding s1 s2 stamp-binary.simps stamp-expr.simps
      \mathbf{using}\ assms\ bin-eval-bits-fixed-32-ops
        by (metis False Value.inject(1) beg bin-eval-new-int le-add-same-cancel1
new-int.simps numeral-Bit0 vres zero-le-numeral zero-less-numeral)
    next
      case False
      then show ?thesis
      unfolding s1 s2 stamp-binary.simps stamp-expr.simps
      using assms
    by (metis beq bin-eval-new-int eval-bits-1-64 intval-bits.simps unrestricted-new-int-always-valid
unrestricted-stamp.simps(2) v1 valid-int-same-bits vres)
   ged
 ged
 then show ?thesis
```

```
\begin{array}{c} \textbf{unfolding} \ \textit{vres} \\ \textbf{using} \ \textit{unrestricted-new-int-always-valid} \ \textit{vres} \ \textit{vtmp} \ \textbf{by} \ \textit{presburger} \\ \textbf{qed} \end{array}
```

9.4.4 Validity of Stamp Meet and Join Operators

```
lemma stamp-meet-integer-is-valid-stamp:
 assumes valid-stamp stamp1
 assumes valid-stamp stamp2
 assumes is-IntegerStamp stamp1
 assumes is-IntegerStamp stamp2
 shows valid-stamp (meet stamp1 stamp2)
 {\bf using} \ assms \ {\bf unfolding} \ is\mbox{-} Integer Stamp-def \ valid-stamp. simps \ meet. simps
 \mathbf{by}\;(smt\;(verit,\,del\text{-}insts)\;meet.simps(2)\;valid\text{-}stamp.simps(1)\;valid\text{-}stamp.simps(8))
\mathbf{lemma}\ stamp	eta-is	ext{-}valid	ext{-}stamp:
 assumes 1: valid-stamp stamp1
 assumes 2: valid-stamp stamp 2
 shows valid-stamp (meet stamp1 stamp2)
  by (cases stamp1; cases stamp2; insert stamp-meet-integer-is-valid-stamp[OF 1
2]; auto)
lemma stamp-meet-commutes: meet <math>stamp1 stamp2 = meet stamp2 stamp1
 by (cases stamp1; cases stamp2; auto)
\mathbf{lemma}\ stamp\text{-}meet\text{-}is\text{-}valid\text{-}value1:
 assumes valid-value val stamp1
 assumes valid-stamp stamp2
 assumes stamp1 = IntegerStamp \ b1 \ lo1 \ hi1
 assumes stamp2 = IntegerStamp \ b2 \ lo2 \ hi2
 assumes meet \ stamp1 \ stamp2 \neq IllegalStamp
 shows valid-value val (meet stamp1 stamp2)
proof -
  have m: meet stamp1 stamp2 = IntegerStamp b1 (min lo1 lo2) (max hi1 hi2)
   using assms by (metis meet.simps(2))
  obtain ival where val: val = IntVal \ b1 \ ival
   using assms valid-int by blast
 then have v: valid\text{-}stamp (IntegerStamp b1 lo1 hi1) <math>\land
      take-bit b1 ival = ival \land
      lo1 \leq int-signed-value b1 \ ival \wedge int-signed-value b1 \ ival \leq hi1
   using assms by (metis\ valid\text{-}value.simps(1))
 then have mm: min lo1 lo2 \leq int-signed-value b1 ival \wedge int-signed-value b1 ival
≤ max hi1 hi2
   by linarith
 then have valid-stamp (IntegerStamp b1 (min lo1 lo2) (max hi1 hi2))
   using assms\ v\ stamp\text{-}meet\text{-}is\text{-}valid\text{-}stamp
   by (metis\ meet.simps(2))
  then show ?thesis
```

```
unfolding m val valid-value.simps

using mm v by presburger

qed

and the symmetric lemma follows by the commutativity of meet.

lemma stamp-meet-is-valid-value:

assumes valid-value val stamp2

assumes valid-stamp stamp1

assumes stamp1 = IntegerStamp b1 lo1 hi1

assumes stamp2 = IntegerStamp b2 lo2 hi2

assumes meet stamp1 stamp2 \neq IllegalStamp

shows valid-value val (meet stamp1 stamp2)

using assms stamp-meet-commutes stamp-meet-is-valid-value1

by metis
```

9.4.5 Validity of conditional expressions

```
lemma conditional-eval-implies-valid-value:
 \mathbf{assumes}\ [m,p] \vdash cond \mapsto condv
 assumes expr = (if \ val-to-bool \ condv \ then \ expr1 \ else \ expr2)
 assumes [m,p] \vdash expr \mapsto val
 assumes val \neq UndefVal
 assumes valid-value condv (stamp-expr cond)
 assumes valid-value val (stamp-expr expr)
 assumes compatible (stamp-expr expr1) (stamp-expr expr2)
 shows valid-value val (stamp-expr (ConditionalExpr cond expr1 expr2))
proof -
 have def: meet (stamp-expr expr1) (stamp-expr expr2) \neq IllegalStamp
   using assms
  by (metis\ Stamp.\ distinct(13)\ Stamp.\ distinct(25)\ compatible.\ elims(2)\ meet.\ simps(1)
meet.simps(2)
 then have valid-stamp (meet (stamp-expr expr1) (stamp-expr expr2))
   using assms
  by (smt (verit, best) compatible.elims(2) stamp-meet-is-valid-stamp valid-stamp.simps(2))
 then show ?thesis using stamp-meet-is-valid-value
   using assms def
  by (smt (verit, best) compatible.elims(2) never-void stamp-expr.simps(6) stamp-meet-commutes)
qed
```

9.4.6 Validity of Whole Expression Tree Evaluation

TODO: find a way to encode that conditional expressions must have compatible (and valid) stamps? One approach would be for all the stamp_expr operators to require that all input stamps are valid.

```
definition wf-stamp :: IRExpr \Rightarrow bool where wf-stamp e = (\forall m \ p \ v. \ ([m, p] \vdash e \mapsto v) \longrightarrow valid-value \ v \ (stamp-expr \ e))
```

```
lemma stamp-under-defn:
 assumes stamp-under\ (stamp-expr\ x)\ (stamp-expr\ y)
 assumes wf-stamp x \wedge wf-stamp y
 assumes ([m, p] \vdash x \mapsto xv) \land ([m, p] \vdash y \mapsto yv)
  shows val-to-bool (bin-eval BinIntegerLessThan xv yv) \lor (bin-eval BinInte-
gerLessThan \ xv \ yv) = UndefVal
proof -
 have yval: valid-value yv (stamp-expr y)
   using assms wf-stamp-def by blast
 obtain b \ lx \ hi \ where \ xstamp: \ stamp-expr \ x = IntegerStamp \ b \ lx \ hi
   using assms(1)
   by (metis\ stamp-under.elims(2))
 then obtain b' lo hy where ystamp: stamp-expr y = IntegerStamp b' lo hy
   using assms(1)
   by (meson\ stamp-under.elims(2))
 obtain xvv where xvv: xv = IntVal \ b \ xvv
   by (metis assms(2) assms(3) valid-int wf-stamp-def xstamp)
 then have xval: valid-value (IntVal b xvv) (stamp-expr x)
   using assms(2) assms(3) wf-stamp-def by blast
 obtain yvv where yvv: yv = IntVal b' yvv
   by (metis valid-int ystamp yval)
 then have xval: valid-value (IntVal b' yvv) (stamp-expr y)
   using yval
   by blast
 have xunder: int-signed-value b xvv \le hi
   using xvv xval valid-value.simps
   by (metis assms(2) assms(3) wf-stamp-def xstamp)
 have yunder: lo \leq int\text{-}signed\text{-}value b' yvv
   using yvv yval valid-value.simps
   by (metis\ ystamp)
 have unwrap: \forall cond. bool-to-val-bin b b cond = bool-to-val cond
   by simp
 from xunder yunder have int-signed-value b xvv < int-signed-value b' yvv
   using assms(1) xstamp ystamp by auto
  then have (intval-less-than xv yv) = IntVal 32 1 \vee (intval-less-than xv yv) =
UndefVal
   using xvv yvv
   using intval-less-than.simps(1) unwrap
   using bool-to-val.simps(1)
   by simp
 then show ?thesis
   by force
qed
lemma stamp-under-defn-inverse:
 assumes stamp-under (stamp-expr y) (stamp-expr x)
 assumes wf-stamp x \land wf-stamp y
 assumes ([m, p] \vdash x \mapsto xv) \land ([m, p] \vdash y \mapsto yv)
```

```
shows \neg(val\text{-}to\text{-}bool\ (bin\text{-}eval\ BinIntegerLessThan\ xv\ yv)) \lor (bin\text{-}eval\ BinIntegerLessThan\ xv\ yv))
gerLessThan \ xv \ yv) = UndefVal
proof -
 have yval: valid-value yv (stamp-expr y)
   using assms wf-stamp-def by blast
 obtain b lo hx where xstamp: stamp-expr \ x = IntegerStamp \ b \ lo \ hx
   using assms(1)
   by (metis\ stamp-under.elims(2))
 then obtain b' ly hi where ystamp: stamp-expr y = IntegerStamp b' ly hi
   using assms(1)
   by (meson\ stamp-under.elims(2))
 obtain xvv where xvv: xv = IntVal \ b \ xvv
   by (metis assms(2) assms(3) valid-int wf-stamp-def xstamp)
 then have xval: valid-value (IntVal b xvv) (stamp-expr x)
   using assms(2) assms(3) wf-stamp-def by blast
 obtain yvv where yvv: yv = IntVal b' yvv
   by (metis valid-int ystamp yval)
 then have xval: valid-value (IntVal\ b'\ yvv) (stamp-expr\ y)
   using yval by auto
 have yunder: int-signed-value b' yvv \leq hi
   using yvv yval valid-value.simps
   by (metis ystamp)
 have xover: lo \leq int\text{-}signed\text{-}value\ b\ xvv
   using xvv xval valid-value.simps
   by (metis assms(2) assms(3) wf-stamp-def xstamp)
 have unwrap: \forall cond. bool-to-val-bin b b cond = bool-to-val cond
 from xover yunder have int-signed-value b' yvv < int-signed-value b xvv
   using assms(1) xstamp ystamp by auto
 then have (intval\text{-}less\text{-}than\ xv\ yv) = IntVal\ 32\ 0\ \lor (intval\text{-}less\text{-}than\ xv\ yv) =
UndefVal
   using xvv yvv
   using intval-less-than.simps(1) unwrap by simp
 then show ?thesis
   by force
qed
end
       Optization DSL
10
10.1
       Markup
theory Markup
 imports Semantics.IRTreeEval Snippets.Snipping
```

begin

datatype 'a Rewrite =

Transform 'a 'a $(- \longmapsto -10)$ |

```
Conditional 'a 'a bool (- \longmapsto - when - 11)
 Sequential 'a Rewrite 'a Rewrite |
 Transitive 'a Rewrite
datatype 'a ExtraNotation =
 ConditionalNotation 'a 'a 'a (- ? - : - 50)
 EqualsNotation 'a 'a (- eq -) |
 ConstantNotation 'a (const - 120) |
  TrueNotation (true)
 FalseNotation (false)
 ExclusiveOr 'a 'a (- \oplus -) \mid
 LogicNegationNotation 'a (!-) |
 ShortCircuitOr 'a 'a (- || -)
definition word :: ('a::len) word \Rightarrow 'a word where
 word x = x
ML-file \langle markup.ML \rangle
10.1.1 Expression Markup
\mathbf{ML} \langle
structure\ IRExprTranslator: DSL-TRANSLATION =
struct
fun \ markup \ DSL-Tokens.Add = @\{term \ BinaryExpr\} \$ \ @\{term \ BinAdd\}
   markup\ DSL\text{-}Tokens.Sub = @\{term\ BinaryExpr\} \$ @\{term\ BinSub\}
   markup\ DSL\text{-}Tokens.Mul = @\{term\ BinaryExpr\} \$ @\{term\ BinMul\}
   markup\ DSL\text{-}Tokens.And = @\{term\ BinaryExpr\} \$ @\{term\ BinAnd\}
   markup\ DSL\text{-}Tokens.Or = @\{term\ BinaryExpr\} \$ @\{term\ BinOr\}
   | markup \ DSL-Tokens.ShortCircuitOr = @\{term \ BinaryExpr\}  $ @\{term \ BinaryExpr\} 
ShortCircuitOr}
 | markup \ DSL\text{-}Tokens.Abs = @\{term \ UnaryExpr\} \$ @\{term \ UnaryAbs\} 
  markup\ DSL-Tokens.Less = @\{term\ BinaryExpr\} \$ @\{term\ BinIntegerLessThan\}
  markup\ DSL\text{-}Tokens. Equals = @\{term\ BinaryExpr\} \$\ @\{term\ BinIntegerEquals\} \\
   markup\ DSL\text{-}Tokens.Not = @\{term\ UnaryExpr\} \$ @\{term\ UnaryNot\}
   markup\ DSL\text{-}Tokens.Negate = @\{term\ UnaryExpr\} \$ @\{term\ UnaryNeg\}
   markup\ DSL\text{-}Tokens.LogicNegate = @\{term\ UnaryExpr\} \$ @\{term\ UnaryLog-
icNegation}
 | markup\ DSL\text{-}Tokens.LeftShift = @\{term\ BinaryExpr\} \$ @\{term\ BinLeftShift\}
 | markup\ DSL\text{-}Tokens.RightShift = @\{term\ BinaryExpr\} \$ @\{term\ BinRightShift\} 
  markup\ DSL-Tokens. UnsignedRightShift = @\{term\ BinaryExpr\} \$ @\{term\ BinaryExpr\} \}
URightShift
   markup\ DSL\text{-}Tokens.Conditional = @\{term\ ConditionalExpr\}
   markup\ DSL\text{-}Tokens.Constant = @\{term\ ConstantExpr\}
   markup\ DSL\text{-}Tokens.TrueConstant = @\{term\ ConstantExpr\ (IntVal\ 32\ 1)\}
   markup\ DSL\text{-}Tokens.FalseConstant = @\{term\ ConstantExpr\ (IntVal\ 32\ 0)\}
end
structure\ IRExprMarkup = DSL-Markup(IRExprTranslator);
```

```
ir expression translation

syntax -expandExpr :: term \Rightarrow term (exp[-])

parse-translation \leftarrow [( @{syntax-const} -expandExpr} , IREx-

prMarkup.markup-expr [])] \rightarrow
```

```
ir\ expression\ example
\mathbf{value}\ exp[(e_1 < e_2)\ ?\ e_1: e_2]
ConditionalExpr\ (BinaryExpr\ BinIntegerLessThan\ (e_1::IRExpr)\ (e_2::IRExpr))\ e_1\ e_2
```

10.1.2 Value Markup

```
ML \leftarrow
```

```
structure\ IntValTranslator: DSL-TRANSLATION =
fun\ markup\ DSL\text{-}Tokens.Add = @\{term\ intval\text{-}add\}
   markup\ DSL\text{-}Tokens.Sub = @\{term\ intval\text{-}sub\}
   markup\ DSL\text{-}Tokens.Mul = @\{term\ intval\text{-}mul\}
   markup\ DSL\text{-}Tokens.And = @\{term\ intval\text{-}and\}
   markup\ DSL-Tokens.Or = @\{term\ intval\text{-}or\}
   markup\ DSL\text{-}Tokens.ShortCircuitOr = @\{term\ intval\text{-}short\text{-}circuit\text{-}or\}
   markup\ DSL\text{-}Tokens.Xor = @\{term\ intval\text{-}xor\}
   markup\ DSL\text{-}Tokens.Abs = @\{term\ intval\text{-}abs\}
   markup\ DSL\text{-}Tokens.Less = @\{term\ intval\text{-}less\text{-}than\}
   markup\ DSL\text{-}Tokens.Equals = @\{term\ intval\text{-}equals\}
   markup\ DSL\text{-}Tokens.Not = @\{term\ intval\text{-}not\}
   markup\ DSL\text{-}Tokens.Negate = @\{term\ intval\text{-}negate\}
   markup\ DSL\text{-}Tokens.LogicNegate = @\{term\ intval\text{-}logic\text{-}negation\}
   markup\ DSL\text{-}Tokens.LeftShift = @\{term\ intval\text{-}left\text{-}shift\}
   markup\ DSL\text{-}Tokens.RightShift = @\{term\ intval\text{-}right\text{-}shift\}
   markup\ DSL\text{-}Tokens.\ Unsigned Right Shift = @\{term\ intval\text{-}uright\text{-}shift\}
   markup\ DSL\text{-}Tokens.Conditional = @\{term\ intval\text{-}conditional\}
   markup\ DSL-Tokens.Constant = @\{term\ IntVal\ 32\}
   markup\ DSL-Tokens. TrueConstant = @\{term\ IntVal\ 32\ 1\}
   markup\ DSL-Tokens.FalseConstant = @\{term\ IntVal\ 32\ 0\}
end
structure\ IntValMarkup = DSL-Markup(IntValTranslator);
```

```
value expression example  \begin{aligned}  & \textbf{value } val[(e_1 < e_2) ? e_1 : e_2] \\  & intval\text{-}conditional (intval\text{-}less\text{-}than } (e_1 :: Value) \ (e_2 :: Value)) \ e_1 \ e_2 \end{aligned}
```

10.1.3 Word Markup

Markup.markup-expr [])] \rightarrow

```
\mathbf{ML} \langle
structure\ WordTranslator: DSL-TRANSLATION =
fun \ markup \ DSL-Tokens.Add = @\{term \ plus\}
   markup\ DSL\text{-}Tokens.Sub = @\{term\ minus\}
  | markup \ DSL-Tokens.Mul = @\{term \ times\} |
 \mid markup\ DSL\text{-}Tokens.And = @\{term\ Bit\text{-}Operations.semiring-bit\text{-}operations-class.and}\}
   markup\ DSL-Tokens.Or = @\{term\ or\}
   markup\ DSL\text{-}Tokens.Xor = @\{term\ xor\}
   markup\ DSL\text{-}Tokens.Abs = @\{term\ abs\}
   markup\ DSL\text{-}Tokens.Less = @\{term\ less\}
   markup\ DSL\text{-}Tokens.Equals = @\{term\ HOL.eq\}
   markup\ DSL\text{-}Tokens.Not = @\{term\ not\}
   markup\ DSL\text{-}Tokens.Negate = @\{term\ uminus\}
   markup\ DSL\text{-}Tokens.LogicNegate = @\{term\ logic\text{-}negate\}
   markup\ DSL-Tokens.LeftShift = @\{term\ shiftl\}
   markup\ DSL\text{-}Tokens.RightShift = @\{term\ signed\text{-}shiftr\}
   markup\ DSL\text{-}Tokens.UnsignedRightShift = @\{term\ shiftr\}
   markup\ DSL-Tokens.Constant = @\{term\ word\}
   markup\ DSL-Tokens. TrueConstant = @\{term\ 1\}
   markup\ DSL\text{-}Tokens.FalseConstant = @\{term\ 0\}
end
structure\ WordMarkup = DSL-Markup(WordTranslator);
   word expression translation
   syntax - expandWord :: term \Rightarrow term (bin[-])
   parse-translation \langle [( @\{syntax-const\}
                                                        -expand Word
                                                                               Word-
```

```
value bin[x \& y \mid z]
    intval-conditional (intval-less-than (e_1:: Value) (e_2:: Value)) e_1 e_2
value bin[-x]
value val[-x]
value exp[-x]
value bin[!x]
value val[!x]
value exp[!x]
value bin[\neg x]
value val[\neg x]
value exp[\neg x]
value bin[^{\sim}x]
value val[^{\sim}x]
value exp[^{\sim}x]
value ^{\sim}x
\quad \text{end} \quad
         Optimization Phases
10.2
theory Phase
 \mathbf{imports}\ \mathit{Main}
begin
ML-file map.ML
\mathbf{ML}	ext{-file}\ phase.ML
end
         Canonicalization DSL
10.3
{\bf theory} \ {\it Canonicalization}
 {\bf imports}
    Markup
    Phase
```

 $word\ expression\ example$

HOL-Eisbach.Eisbach

phase :: thy-decl and

print-phases :: diag and
export-phases :: thy-decl and

terminating:: quasi-command and

keywords

```
optimization :: thy-goal-defn
begin
print-methods
\mathbf{ML} \langle
datatype \ 'a \ Rewrite =
  Transform of 'a * 'a \mid
  Conditional of 'a*'a*term
  Sequential of 'a Rewrite * 'a Rewrite |
  Transitive\ of\ 'a\ Rewrite
type\ rewrite = \{
 name: binding,
 rewrite: term Rewrite,
 proofs: thm list,
 code: thm list,
 source: term
structure\ RewriteRule: Rule=
struct
type T = rewrite;
fun pretty-rewrite ctxt (Transform (from, to)) =
     Pretty.block [
       Syntax.pretty-term ctxt from,
       Pretty.str \mapsto,
       Syntax.pretty-term ctxt to
 | pretty-rewrite\ ctxt\ (Conditional\ (from,\ to,\ cond)) =
     Pretty.block
       Syntax.pretty-term ctxt from,
       Pretty.str \mapsto,
       Syntax.pretty-term ctxt to,
       Pretty.str when,
       Syntax.pretty-term ctxt cond
 | pretty-rewrite - - = Pretty.str not implemented*)
fun pretty-thm ctxt thm =
  (Proof-Context.pretty-fact\ ctxt\ (,\ [thm]))
fun\ pretty\ ctxt\ obligations\ t=
 let
   val \ is\mbox{-}skipped = Thm\mbox{-}Deps.has\mbox{-}skip\mbox{-}proof \ (\#proofs \ t);
   val \ warning = (if \ is - skipped)
```

```
then [Pretty.str (proof skipped), Pretty.brk 0]
     else []);
   val \ obligations = (if \ obligations
     then [Pretty.big-list
           obligations:
           (map\ (pretty-thm\ ctxt)\ (\#proofs\ t)),
          Pretty.brk \ \theta
     else []);
   fun\ pretty-bind\ binding =
     Pretty.markup
      (Position.markup (Binding.pos-of binding) Markup.position)
      [Pretty.str (Binding.name-of binding)];
 Pretty.block ([
   pretty-bind (#name t), Pretty.str:,
   Syntax.pretty-term ctxt (#source t), Pretty.fbrk
 @ obligations @ warning)
 end
end
structure\ RewritePhase = DSL-Phase(RewriteRule);
val - =
 Outer-Syntax.command command-keyword (phase) enter an optimization phase
  (Parse.binding -- | Parse.$$$ terminating -- Parse.const -- | Parse.begin
    >> (Toplevel.begin-main-target true o RewritePhase.setup));
fun\ print-phases\ print-obligations\ ctxt =
   val thy = Proof\text{-}Context.theory\text{-}of ctxt;
   fun\ print\ phase = RewritePhase.pretty\ print-obligations\ phase\ ctxt
   map print (RewritePhase.phases thy)
 end
fun print-optimizations print-obligations thy =
 print-phases print-obligations thy |> Pretty.writeln-chunks
 Outer-Syntax.command command-keyword (print-phases)
   print debug information for optimizations
   (Parse.opt-bang >>
     (fn \ b => Toplevel.keep ((print-optimizations \ b) \ o \ Toplevel.context-of)));
fun export-phases thy name =
 let
```

```
val state = Toplevel.theory-toplevel thy;
   val\ ctxt = Toplevel.context-of\ state;
   val\ content = Pretty.string-of\ (Pretty.chunks\ (print-phases\ false\ ctxt));
   val\ cleaned = YXML.content-of\ content;
   val filename = Path.explode (name \hat{\ }.rules);
   val \ directory = Path.explode \ optimizations;
   val path = Path.binding (
              Path.append directory filename,
              Position.none);
   val thy' = thy \mid > Generated-Files. add-files (path, (Bytes. string content));
   val - = Export.export thy' path [YXML.parse cleaned];
   val - = writeln (Export.message thy' (Path.basic optimizations));
   thy'
 end
val - =
 Outer	ext{-}Syntax.command \  \  \textbf{command-keyword} \  \  \langle export	ext{-}phases 
angle
   export information about encoded optimizations
   (Parse.path >>
     (fn \ name => Toplevel.theory (fn \ state => export-phases \ state \ name)))
```

ML-file rewrites.ML

10.3.1 Semantic Preservation Obligation

```
fun rewrite-preservation :: IRExpr Rewrite \Rightarrow bool where rewrite-preservation (Transform x y) = (y \le x) | rewrite-preservation (Conditional x y cond) = (cond \longrightarrow (y \le x)) | rewrite-preservation (Sequential x y) = (rewrite-preservation x \land x rewrite-preservation y) | rewrite-preservation (Transitive x) = rewrite-preservation x
```

10.3.2 Termination Obligation

```
fun rewrite-termination :: IRExpr Rewrite \Rightarrow (IRExpr \Rightarrow nat) \Rightarrow bool where rewrite-termination (Transform x y) trm = (trm \ x > trm \ y) \mid rewrite-termination (Conditional x y cond) trm = (cond \longrightarrow (trm \ x > trm \ y)) \mid rewrite-termination (Sequential x y) trm = (rewrite-termination \ x \ trm \land rewrite-termination \ y \ trm) \mid rewrite-termination (Transitive x) trm = rewrite-termination \ x \ trm

fun intval :: Value Rewrite \Rightarrow bool where intval (Transform x y) = (x \neq UndefVal \land y \neq UndefVal \longrightarrow x = y) \mid intval (Conditional x y cond) = (cond \longrightarrow (x = y)) \mid
```

```
intval (Sequential x y) = (intval x \land intval y) \mid intval (Transitive x) = intval x
```

10.3.3 Standard Termination Measure

```
fun size :: IRExpr \Rightarrow nat where
  unary-size:
 size (UnaryExpr op x) = (size x) + 2
  bin-const-size:
  size (BinaryExpr \ op \ x \ (ConstantExpr \ cy)) = (size \ x) + 2
  bin-size:
  size (BinaryExpr op x y) = (size x) + (size y) + 2
  size\ (ConditionalExpr\ c\ t\ f) = (size\ c) + (size\ t) + (size\ f) + 2
 const\text{-}size\text{:}
  size (ConstantExpr c) = 1
  param-size:
  size (ParameterExpr ind s) = 2
  leaf-size:
  size (LeafExpr \ nid \ s) = 2 \mid
  size (Constant Var c) = 2
 size (VariableExpr x s) = 2
```

10.3.4 Automated Tactics

named-theorems size-simps size simplication rules

```
method unfold-optimization =
  (unfold rewrite-preservation.simps, unfold rewrite-termination.simps,
    unfold intval.simps,
    rule conjE, simp, simp del: le-expr-def, force?)
  | (unfold rewrite-preservation.simps, unfold rewrite-termination.simps,
    rule conjE, simp, simp del: le-expr-def, force?)

method unfold-size =
  (((unfold size.simps, simp add: size-simps del: le-expr-def)?
  ; (simp add: size-simps del: le-expr-def)?
  ; (auto simp: size-simps)?
  ; (unfold size.simps)?)[1])
```

${\bf print\text{-}methods}$

```
ML <

structure System : RewriteSystem =

struct

val preservation = @{const rewrite-preservation};

val termination = @{const rewrite-termination};

val intval = @{const intval};
```

```
end
structure\ DSL = DSL-Rewrites(System);
val - =
  Outer-Syntax.local-theory-to-proof command-keyword < optimization >
   define an optimization and open proof obligation
   (Parse-Spec.thm-name: -- Parse.term
       >> DSL.rewrite-cmd);
end
       Canonicalization Optimizations
11
theory Common
 imports
   Optimization DSL.\ Canonicalization
   Semantics.IRTreeEvalThms
begin
lemma size-pos[size-simps]: <math>0 < size y
 apply (induction y; auto?)
 by (smt (z3) add-2-eq-Suc' add-is-0 not-gr0 size.elims size.simps(12) size.simps(13)
size.simps(14) size.simps(15) zero-neq-numeral zero-neq-one)
lemma size-non-add[size-simps]: size (BinaryExpr op a b) = size a + size b + 2
\longleftrightarrow \neg (is\text{-}ConstantExpr\ b)
 by (induction b; induction op; auto simp: is-ConstantExpr-def)
\mathbf{lemma}\ size\text{-}non\text{-}const[size\text{-}simps]:
  \neg is-ConstantExpr y \Longrightarrow 1 < size y
 using size-pos apply (induction y; auto)
 by (metis Suc-lessI add-is-1 is-ConstantExpr-def le-less linorder-not-le n-not-Suc-n
numeral-2-eq-2 pos2 size.simps(2) size-non-add)
lemma size-binary-const[size-simps]:
  size\ (BinaryExpr\ op\ a\ b) = size\ a + 2 \longleftrightarrow (is-ConstantExpr\ b)
 by (induction b; auto simp: is-ConstantExpr-def size-pos)
lemma size-flip-binary[size-simps]:
  \neg (is\text{-}ConstantExpr\ y) \longrightarrow size\ (BinaryExpr\ op\ (ConstantExpr\ x)\ y) > size
(BinaryExpr\ op\ y\ (ConstantExpr\ x))
 by (metis add-Suc not-less-eq order-less-asym plus-1-eq-Suc size.simps(11) size.simps(2)
size-non-add)
lemma size-binary-lhs-a[size-simps]:
  size (BinaryExpr \ op \ (BinaryExpr \ op' \ a \ b) \ c) > size \ a
```

by (metis add-lessD1 less-add-same-cancel1 pos2 size-binary-const size-non-add)

```
lemma size-binary-lhs-b[size-simps]:
 size\ (BinaryExpr\ op\ (BinaryExpr\ op'\ a\ b)\ c) > size\ b
 by (metis IRExpr.disc(42) One-nat-def add.left-commute add.right-neutral is-ConstantExpr-def
less-add-Suc2 numeral-2-eq-2 plus-1-eq-Suc size.simps(11) size-binary-const size-non-add
size-non-const trans-less-add1)
lemma size-binary-lhs-c[size-simps]:
  size (BinaryExpr \ op \ (BinaryExpr \ op' \ a \ b) \ c) > size \ c
 by (metis\ IRExpr.disc(42)\ add.left-commute\ add.right-neutral\ is-ConstantExpr-def
less-Suc-eq\ numeral-2-eq-2\ plus-1-eq-Suc\ size.simps (11)\ size-non-add\ size-non-const
trans-less-add2)
lemma size-binary-rhs-a[size-simps]:
  size\ (BinaryExpr\ op\ c\ (BinaryExpr\ op'\ a\ b)) > size\ a
 by (smt (verit, best) less-Suc-eq less-add-Suc2 less-add-same-cancel1 linorder-neqE-nat
not-add-less1 order-less-trans pos2 size.simps(4) size-binary-const size-non-add)
lemma size-binary-rhs-b[size-simps]:
  size (BinaryExpr \ op \ c \ (BinaryExpr \ op' \ a \ b)) > size \ b
 \textbf{by} \ (metis\ add.left\text{-}commute\ add.right\text{-}neutral\ is\text{-}ConstantExpr\text{-}def\ less I\ numeral\text{-}2\text{-}eq\text{-}2
plus-1-eq-Suc size.simps(11) size.simps(4) size-non-add trans-less-add2)
lemma size-binary-rhs-c[size-simps]:
  size (BinaryExpr \ op \ c \ (BinaryExpr \ op' \ a \ b)) > size \ c
 by simp
lemma \ size-binary-lhs[size-simps]:
  size (BinaryExpr op x y) > size x
  by (metis One-nat-def Suc-eq-plus1 add-Suc-right less-add-Suc1 numeral-2-eq-2
size-binary-const size-non-add)
lemma size-binary-rhs[size-simps]:
 size (BinaryExpr op x y) > size y
 by (metis IRExpr.disc(42) add-strict-increasing is-ConstantExpr.def linorder-not-le
not-add-less1 size.simps(11) size-non-add size-non-const size-pos)
lemmas \ arith[size-simps] = Suc-leI \ add-strict-increasing \ order-less-trans \ trans-less-add2
definition well-formed-equal :: Value <math>\Rightarrow Value \Rightarrow bool
  (infix \approx 50) where
  well-formed-equal v_1 v_2 = (v_1 \neq UndefVal \longrightarrow v_1 = v_2)
lemma well-formed-equal-defn [simp]:
  well-formed-equal v_1 v_2 = (v_1 \neq UndefVal \longrightarrow v_1 = v_2)
  unfolding well-formed-equal-def by simp
```

end

11.1 AbsNode Phase

```
theory AbsPhase
 imports
   Common
begin
phase AbsNode
 terminating size
begin
lemma abs-pos:
 fixes v :: ('a :: len word)
 assumes 0 \le s v
 shows (if v < s \ 0 \ then - v \ else \ v) = v
 by (simp add: assms signed.leD)
lemma abs-neg:
 fixes v :: ('a :: len word)
 assumes v < s \theta
 assumes -(2 \ \widehat{} \ (Nat.size \ v - 1)) < s \ v
 shows (if v < s \ \theta then -v else v) = -v \land \theta < s - v
 \mathbf{by} \; (smt \; (verit, \; ccfv\text{-}SIG) \; assms(1) \; assms(2) \; signed\text{-}take\text{-}bit\text{-}int\text{-}greater\text{-}eq\text{-}minus\text{-}exp)}
    signed-take-bit-int-greater-eq-self-iff\ sint-0\ sint-word-ariths(4)\ word-sless-alt)
lemma abs-max-neg:
 fixes v :: ('a :: len word)
 assumes v < s \theta
 \mathbf{assumes} - (2 \ \widehat{} \ (Nat.size \ v - 1)) = v
 \mathbf{shows} - v = v
 using assms
  by (metis One-nat-def add.inverse-neutral double-eq-zero-iff mult-minus-right
size-word.rep-eq)
lemma final-abs:
 fixes v :: ('a :: len word)
 assumes take-bit (Nat.size v) v = v
 assumes -(2 \hat{Nat.size} v - 1) \neq v
 shows 0 \le s (if v < s \ 0 then -v else v)
proof (cases v < s \theta)
 case True
 then show ?thesis
 proof (cases\ v = -(2 \ \widehat{}\ (Nat.size\ v - 1)))
   {\bf case}\  \, True
   then show ?thesis using abs-max-neg
```

```
using assms by presburger
 next
   {\bf case}\ \mathit{False}
   then have -(2 \cap (Nat.size\ v-1)) < s\ v
     unfolding word-sless-def using signed-take-bit-int-greater-self-iff
       by (smt (verit, best) One-nat-def diff-less double-eq-zero-iff len-gt-0 lessI
less-irrefl
        mult-minus-right neg-equal-0-iff-equal signed.rep-eq signed-of-int
        signed-take-bit-int-greater-eq-self-iff\ signed-word-eqI\ sint-0\ sint-range-size
        sint-sbintrunc' sint-word-ariths(4) size-word.rep-eq unsigned-0 word-2p-lem
        word-sless.rep-eq word-sless-def)
   then show ?thesis
     using abs-neg abs-pos signed.nless-le by auto
 qed
next
 case False
 then show ?thesis using abs-pos by auto
lemma wf-abs: is-IntVal x \Longrightarrow intval-abs x \ne UndefVal
  using intval-abs.simps unfolding new-int.simps
 using is-IntVal-def by force
fun bin-abs :: 'a :: len word <math>\Rightarrow 'a :: len word where
  bin-abs\ v = (if\ (v < s\ 0)\ then\ (-v)\ else\ v)
lemma val-abs-zero:
  intval-abs (new-int b \ \theta) = new-int b \ \theta
 by simp
lemma less-eq-zero:
 assumes val-to-bool (val[(IntVal\ b\ 0) < (IntVal\ b\ v)])
 shows int-signed-value b \ v > 0
 using assms unfolding intval-less-than.simps(1) apply simp
 by (metis bool-to-val.elims val-to-bool.simps(1))
lemma val-abs-pos:
 assumes val-to-bool(val[(new\text{-}int\ b\ 0) < (new\text{-}int\ b\ v)])
 shows intval-abs (new-int b v) = (new-int b v)
 using assms using less-eq-zero unfolding intval-abs.simps new-int.simps
 by force
lemma val-abs-neg:
 assumes val-to-bool(val[(new\text{-}int\ b\ v) < (new\text{-}int\ b\ \theta)])
```

```
shows intval-abs (new-int \ b \ v) = intval-negate (new-int \ b \ v)
    using assms using less-eq-zero unfolding intval-abs.simps new-int.simps
   by force
lemma val-bool-unwrap:
    val-to-bool (bool-to-val v) = v
   by (metis bool-to-val.elims one-neq-zero val-to-bool.simps(1))
lemma take-bit-unwrap:
    b = 64 \Longrightarrow take-bit \ b \ (v1::64 \ word) = v1
   by (metis size64 size-word.rep-eq take-bit-length-eq)
lemma bit-less-eq-def:
   fixes v1 v2 :: 64 word
   assumes b \le 64
   shows sint (signed-take-bit (b - Suc (0::nat)) (take-bit b v1))
        < sint (signed-take-bit (b - Suc (0::nat)) (take-bit b v2)) \longleftrightarrow
         signed-take-bit (63::nat) (Word.rep v1) < signed-take-bit (63::nat) (Word.rep
v2)
   using assms sorry
lemma less-eq-def:
   shows val-to-bool(val[(new\text{-}int\ b\ v1) < (new\text{-}int\ b\ v2)]) \longleftrightarrow v1 < s\ v2
    {\bf unfolding} \ new-int.simps \ intval-less-than.simps \ bool-to-val-bin.simps \ bool-to-val.simps \ bo
                       int-signed-value.simps
   apply (simp add: val-bool-unwrap) apply auto[1]
   unfolding word-sless-def apply auto[1]
   unfolding signed-def apply auto[1] apply auto[2]
   using bit-less-eq-def apply (metis bot-nat-0.extremum take-bit-0)
   by (metis bit-less-eq-def bot-nat-0.extremum take-bit-0)
lemma val-abs-always-pos:
   assumes intval-abs (new-int b v) = (new-int b v')
   shows 0 \le s v'
   using assms
proof (cases \ v = 0)
   {f case}\ True
    then have v' = \theta
       \mathbf{using}\ \mathit{val-abs-zero}\ \mathit{assms}
          by (smt (verit, ccfv-threshold) Suc-diff-1 bit-less-eq-def bot-nat-0.extremum
diff-is-0-eq
               len-gt-0 len-of-numeral-defs(2) order-le-less signed-eq-0-iff take-bit-0
               take-bit-signed-take-bit take-bit-unwrap)
   then show ?thesis by simp
    case neg0: False
   then show ?thesis
```

```
proof (cases\ val\ to\ bool(val[(new\ int\ b\ 0)\ <\ (new\ int\ b\ v)]))
   {f case} True
   then show ?thesis using less-eq-def
     using assms val-abs-pos
     by (smt (verit, ccfv-SIG) One-nat-def Suc-leI bit.compl-one bit-less-eq-def
     cancel-comm-monoid-add-class.diff-cancel \ diff-zero \ len-gt-0 \ len-of-numeral-defs (2)
      mask-0\ mask-1\ one-le-numeral\ one-neq-zero\ signed-word-eqI\ take-bit-dist-subL
       take-bit-minus-one-eq-mask\ take-bit-not-eq-mask-diff\ take-bit-signed-take-bit
        zero-le-numeral)
 next
   case False
   then have val-to-bool(val[(new-int b \ v) < (new-int b \ \theta)])
     using neq0 less-eq-def
     by (metis\ signed.negE)
    then show ?thesis using val-abs-neg less-eq-def unfolding new-int.simps
intval	ext{-}negate.simps
     by (metis signed.nless-le take-bit-0)
 qed
qed
lemma intval-abs-elims:
 assumes intval-abs x \neq UndefVal
 shows \exists t \ v \ . \ x = IntVal \ t \ v \land intval-abs \ x = new-int \ t \ (if int-signed-value \ t \ v <
0 then - v else v
 using assms
 by (meson intval-abs.elims)
lemma wf-abs-new-int:
 assumes intval-abs (IntVal\ t\ v) \neq UndefVal
 shows intval-abs (IntVal\ t\ v) = new-int\ t\ v\ \lor\ intval-abs\ (IntVal\ t\ v) = new-int\ t
 using assms
 using intval-abs.simps(1) by presburger
lemma mono-undef-abs:
 assumes intval-abs (intval-abs x) \neq UndefVal
 shows intval-abs x \neq UndefVal
 using assms
 by force
lemma val-abs-idem:
 assumes intval-abs(intval-abs(x)) \neq UndefVal
 shows intval-abs(intval-abs(x)) = intval-abs(x)
```

```
using assms
proof -
 obtain b v where in-def: intval-abs x = new-int b v
   using assms intval-abs-elims mono-undef-abs by blast
 then show ?thesis
 proof (cases\ val\ to\ bool(val[(new\ int\ b\ v)\ <\ (new\ int\ b\ 0)]))
   case True
   then have nested: (intval-abs\ (intval-abs\ x)) = new-int\ b\ (-v)
     using val-abs-neg intval-negate.simps in-def
     by simp
   then have x = new-int b(-v)
     using in-def True unfolding new-int.simps
   by (smt (verit, best) intval-abs.simps(1) less-eq-def less-eq-zero less-numeral-extra(1)
     mask-1 mask-eq-take-bit-minus-one neg-one.elims neg-one-signed new-int.simps
            one-le-numeral one-neg-zero signed.negE signed.not-less take-bit-of-0
val-abs-always-pos)
   then show ?thesis using val-abs-always-pos
     using True in-def less-eq-def signed.leD
     using signed.nless-le by blast
 next
   case False
   then show ?thesis
     using in-def by force
 qed
qed
lemma val-abs-negate:
 assumes intval-abs (intval-negate x) \neq UndefVal
 shows intval-abs (intval-negate x) = intval-abs x
 using assms apply (cases x; auto)
 apply (metis less-eq-def new-int.simps signed.dual-order.strict-iff-not signed.less-linear
        take-bit-0
 by (smt (verit, ccfv-threshold) add.inverse-neutral intval-abs.simps(1) less-eq-def
less-eq-zero
   less-numeral-extra(1) mask-1 mask-eq-take-bit-minus-one neg-one.elims neg-one-signed
   new-int.simps one-le-numeral one-neg-zero signed.order.order-iff-strict take-bit-of-0
     val-abs-always-pos)
Optimisations
optimization AbsIdempotence: abs(abs(x)) \longmapsto abs(x)
  apply auto[1]
 by (metis UnaryExpr unary-eval.simps(1) val-abs-idem)
optimization AbsNegate: (abs(-x)) \longmapsto abs(x)
```

```
apply auto[1] using val-abs-negate
 by (metis unary-eval.simps(1) unfold-unary)
end
end
11.2
        AddNode Phase
theory AddPhase
 imports
   Common
begin
phase AddNode
 terminating size
begin
{f lemma}\ binadd\text{-}commute:
 assumes bin-eval\ BinAdd\ x\ y \neq UndefVal
 shows bin-eval BinAdd x y = bin-eval BinAdd y x
 using assms intval-add-sym by simp
\textbf{optimization} \ \textit{AddShiftConstantRight} : ((\textit{const}\ \textit{v})\ +\ \textit{y})\ \longmapsto\ \textit{y}\ +\ (\textit{const}\ \textit{v})\ \textit{when}
\neg (is\text{-}ConstantExpr\ y)
 using size-non-const
 apply (metis add-2-eq-Suc' less-Suc-eq plus-1-eq-Suc size.simps(11) size-non-add)
 unfolding le-expr-def
 apply (rule impI)
 subgoal premises 1
   apply (rule allI impI)+
   subgoal premises 2 for m p va
     apply (rule BinaryExprE[OF 2])
     subgoal premises 3 for x ya
      apply (rule BinaryExpr)
      using 3 apply simp
      using 3 apply simp
      using 3 binadd-commute apply auto
      done
     done
   done
 done
optimization AddShiftConstantRight2: ((const\ v) + y) \longmapsto y + (const\ v) when
\neg (is\text{-}ConstantExpr\ y)
```

```
unfolding le-expr-def
  apply (auto simp: intval-add-sym)
  using size-non-const
 by (metis add-2-eq-Suc' lessI plus-1-eq-Suc size.simps(11) size-non-add)
lemma is-neutral-0 [simp]:
 assumes 1: intval-add (IntVal\ b\ x)\ (IntVal\ b\ 0) \neq UndefVal
 shows intval-add (IntVal b x) (IntVal b 0) = (new-int b x)
 using 1 by auto
optimization AddNeutral: (e + (const (IntVal 32 0))) \mapsto e
 unfolding le-expr-def apply auto
 using is-neutral-0 eval-unused-bits-zero
 by (smt (verit) add-cancel-left-right intval-add.elims val-to-bool.simps(1))
ML-val \langle @\{term \langle x = y \rangle\} \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{NeutralLeftSubVal} :
 assumes e1 = new\text{-}int \ b \ ival
 shows val[(e1 - e2) + e2] \approx e1
 apply simp using assms by (cases e1; cases e2; auto)
optimization RedundantSubAdd: ((e_1 - e_2) + e_2) \longmapsto e_1
 apply auto using eval-unused-bits-zero NeutralLeftSubVal
 unfolding well-formed-equal-defn
 by (smt (verit) evalDet intval-sub.elims new-int.elims)
lemma allE2: (\forall x \ y. \ P \ x \ y) \Longrightarrow (P \ a \ b \Longrightarrow R) \Longrightarrow R
 by simp
lemma just-goal2:
  assumes 1: (\forall a \ b. \ (intval\text{-}add \ (intval\text{-}sub \ a \ b) \ b \neq UndefVal \ \land \ a \neq UndefVal
   intval-add (intval-sub a b) b = a))
 shows (BinaryExpr BinAdd (BinaryExpr BinSub e_1 e_2) e_2) \geq e_1
 unfolding le-expr-def unfold-binary bin-eval.simps
 by (metis 1 evalDet evaltree-not-undef)
optimization RedundantSubAdd2: e_2 + (e_1 - e_2) \longmapsto e_1
 apply (metis add.commute add-less-cancel-right less-add-Suc2 plus-1-eq-Suc size-binary-const
```

```
by (smt (verit, del-insts) BinaryExpr BinaryExprE RedundantSubAdd(1) bi-
nadd-commute le-expr-def rewrite-preservation.simps(1))
\mathbf{lemma}\ Add To Sub Helper Low Level:
 shows intval-add (intval-negate e) y = intval-sub y \in (is ?x = ?y)
 by (induction \ y; induction \ e; auto)
print-phases
lemma val-redundant-add-sub:
 assumes a = new-int bb ival
 assumes val[b + a] \neq UndefVal
 shows val[(b+a)-b]=a
 using assms apply (cases a; cases b; auto)
 by presburger
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{val-add-right-negate-to-sub} :
 assumes val[x + e] \neq UndefVal
 shows val[x + (-e)] = val[x - e]
 using assms by (cases x; cases e; auto)
\mathbf{lemma}\ exp-add\text{-}left\text{-}negate\text{-}to\text{-}sub\text{:}
 exp[-e + y] \ge exp[y - e]
 apply (cases e; cases y; auto)
 using AddToSubHelperLowLevel by auto+
Optimisations
optimization RedundantAddSub: (b + a) - b \mapsto a
  apply auto
 by (smt (verit) evalDet intval-add.elims new-int.elims val-redundant-add-sub
     eval-unused-bits-zero)
optimization AddRightNegateToSub: x + -e \longmapsto x - e
 apply (metis Nat.add-0-right add-2-eq-Suc' add-less-mono1 add-mono-thms-linordered-field(2)
        less-SucI not-less-less-Suc-eq size-binary-const size-non-add size-pos)
```

size-non-add trans-less-add2)

```
using AddToSubHelperLowLevel intval-add-sym by auto
```

```
optimization AddLeftNegateToSub: -e + y \mapsto y - e apply (smt\ (verit,\ best)\ One-nat-def\ add.commute\ add-Suc-right\ is-ConstantExpr-def\ less-add-Suc2 numeral-2-eq-2\ plus-1-eq-Suc\ size.simps(1)\ size.simps(11)\ size-binary-const\ size-non-add) using exp-add-left-negate-to-sub\ by\ blast
```

end

end

11.3 AndNode Phase

```
theory AndPhase
 imports
   Common
   Proofs. Stamp Eval Thms
begin
context stamp-mask
begin
lemma And Right Fall through: (((and (not (\downarrow x)) (\uparrow y)) = 0)) \longrightarrow exp[x \& y] \ge
exp[y]
 apply simp apply (rule impI; (rule allI)+)
 apply (rule\ impI)
 subgoal premises p for m p v
 proof -
   obtain xv where xv: [m, p] \vdash x \mapsto xv
     using p(2) by blast
   obtain yv where yv: [m, p] \vdash y \mapsto yv
     using p(2) by blast
   have v = val[xv \& yv]
     by (metis\ BinaryExprE\ bin-eval.simps(4)\ evalDet\ p(2)\ xv\ yv)
   then have v = yv
   by (smt (verit) eval-unused-bits-zero intval-and.elims new-int.elims new-int-bin.elims
p(2)
        unfold-binary xv yv p(1) not-down-up-mask-and-zero-implies-zero)
   then show ?thesis using yv by simp
 qed
 done
```

```
lemma AndLeftFallthrough: (((and (not (\downarrow y)) (\uparrow x)) = 0)) \longrightarrow exp[x \& y] \ge
exp[x]
 apply simp apply (rule impI; (rule allI)+)
 apply (rule impI)
 subgoal premises p for m p v
 proof -
   obtain xv where xv: [m, p] \vdash x \mapsto xv
     using p(2) by blast
   obtain yv where yv: [m, p] \vdash y \mapsto yv
     using p(2) by blast
   have v = val[xv \& yv]
     by (metis\ BinaryExprE\ bin-eval.simps(4)\ evalDet\ p(2)\ xv\ yv)
   then have v = xv
   by (smt (verit) and.commute eval-unused-bits-zero intval-and.elims new-int.simps
      new-int-bin.simps\ p(2)\ unfold-binary\ xv\ yv\ p(1)\ not-down-up-mask-and-zero-implies-zero)
   then show ?thesis using xv by simp
 qed
 done
end
\mathbf{phase}\ \mathit{AndNode}
 terminating size
begin
lemma bin-and-nots:
(^{\sim}x \& ^{\sim}y) = (^{\sim}(x \mid y))
 by simp
{f lemma}\ bin-and-neutral:
(x \& ^{\sim}False) = x
 by simp
lemma val-and-equal:
 assumes x = new\text{-}int \ b \ v
           val[x \& x] \neq UndefVal
 and
 shows val[x \& x] = x
  using assms by (cases x; auto)
lemma val-and-nots:
  val[^{\sim}x \& ^{\sim}y] = val[^{\sim}(x \mid y)]
 apply (cases x; cases y; auto) by (simp add: take-bit-not-take-bit)
{f lemma}\ val\mbox{-} and\mbox{-} neutral:
 assumes x = new\text{-}int \ b \ v
          val[x \& ^{\sim}(new\text{-}int \ b' \ \theta)] \neq UndefVal
 shows val[x \& (new\text{-}int \ b' \ \theta)] = x
```

```
lemma val-and-zero:
 assumes x = new-int b v
 shows val[x \& (IntVal \ b \ \theta)] = IntVal \ b \ \theta
  using assms by (cases x; auto)
lemma exp-and-equal:
 exp[x \& x] \ge exp[x]
  apply auto
 by (smt (verit) evalDet intval-and.elims new-int.elims val-and-equal eval-unused-bits-zero)
lemma exp-and-nots:
 exp[^{\sim}x \& ^{\sim}y] \ge exp[^{\sim}(x \mid y)]
  apply (cases x; cases y; auto) using val-and-nots
 by fastforce+
lemma exp-sign-extend:
 assumes e = (1 \ll In) - 1
 shows BinaryExpr BinAnd (UnaryExpr (UnarySignExtend In Out) x)
                        (ConstantExpr(new-int b e))
                       \geq (UnaryExpr(UnaryZeroExtend\ In\ Out)\ x)
 apply auto
 subgoal premises p for m p va
   proof -
    obtain va where va: [m,p] \vdash x \mapsto va
      using p(2) by auto
     then have va \neq UndefVal
      by (simp add: evaltree-not-undef)
     then have 1: intval-and (intval-sign-extend In Out va) (IntVal b (take-bit b
e)) \neq UndefVal
      using evalDet p(1) p(2) va by blast
     then have 2: intval-sign-extend In Out va \neq UndefVal
      by auto
     then have 21: (0::nat) < b
      using eval-bits-1-64 p(4) by blast
     then have \beta: b \sqsubseteq (64::nat)
      using eval-bits-1-64 p(4) by blast
     then have 4: -((2::int) \hat{b} div (2::int)) \sqsubseteq sint (signed-take-bit (b - Suc))
(0::nat)) (take-bit\ b\ e))
    by (simp add: 21 int-power-div-base signed-take-bit-int-greater-eq-minus-exp-word)
    then have 5: sint (signed-take-bit (b - Suc (0::nat)) (take-bit b e)) < (2::int)
```

using assms apply (cases x; auto) apply (simp add: take-bit-eq-mask)

by presburger

^ b div (2::int)

```
by (simp add: 21 3 Suc-le-lessD int-power-div-base signed-take-bit-int-less-exp-word)
     then have 6: [m,p] \vdash UnaryExpr (UnaryZeroExtend In Out)
            x \mapsto intval-and (intval-sign-extend In Out va) (IntVal\ b\ (take-bit b\ e))
      apply (cases va; simp)
      apply (simp\ add: \langle (va::Value) \neq UndefVal \rangle) defer
       subgoal premises p for x3
        proof -
         have va = ObjRef x3
           using p(1) by auto
           then have sint (signed-take-bit (b - Suc (0::nat)) (take-bit b e)) <
(2::int) ^ b div (2::int)
           by (simp add: 5)
         then show ?thesis
           using 2 intval-sign-extend.simps(3) p(1) by blast
       subgoal premises p for x4
        proof -
         have sg1: va = ObjStr x4
           using 2 p(1) by auto
           then have sint (signed-take-bit (b - Suc (0::nat)) (take-bit b e)) <
(2::int) ^ b div (2::int)
           by (simp add: 5)
          then show ?thesis
           using 1 sg1 by auto
        qed
        subgoal premises p for x21 x22
         proof -
           have sgg1: va = IntVal x21 x22
             by (simp \ add: \ p(1))
          then have sgg2: sint (signed-take-bit (b - Suc (0::nat)) (take-bit b e))
< (2::int) \hat{} b div (2::int)
             by (simp add: 5)
           then show ?thesis
             sorry
           qed
          done
     then show ?thesis
      by (metis evalDet p(2) va)
   \mathbf{qed}
 done
```

```
lemma val-and-commute[simp]:
  val[x \& y] = val[y \& x]
  apply (cases x; cases y; auto)
 by (simp\ add:\ word-bw-comms(1))
Optimisations
optimization AndEqual: x \& x \longmapsto x
 using exp-and-equal by blast
optimization And Shift Constant Right: ((const\ x)\ \&\ y) \longmapsto y\ \&\ (const\ x)
                                    when \neg (is\text{-}ConstantExpr\ y)
 using size-flip-binary by auto
optimization And Nots: (^{\sim}x) \& (^{\sim}y) \longmapsto ^{\sim}(x \mid y)
  apply (metis add-2-eq-Suc' less-SucI less-add-Suc1 not-less-eq size-binary-const
size-non-add)
 using exp-and-nots by auto
{\bf optimization}\ And Sign Extend:\ Binary Expr\ Bin And\ (\ Unary Expr\ (\ Unary Sign Extend))
In Out)(x)
                                         (const\ (new\text{-}int\ b\ e))
                          \longmapsto (UnaryExpr(UnaryZeroExtend\ In\ Out)(x))
                              when (e = (1 << In) - 1)
  using exp-sign-extend by simp
optimization And Neutral: (x \& {}^{\sim}(const (Int Val \ b \ \theta))) \longmapsto x
  when (wf\text{-}stamp\ x \land stamp\text{-}expr\ x = IntegerStamp\ b\ lo\ hi)
  apply auto
 by (smt\ (verit)\ Value.sel(1)\ eval-unused-bits-zero\ intval-and.elims\ intval-word.simps
     new-int.simps new-int-bin.simps take-bit-eq-mask)
optimization And Right Fall Through: (x \& y) \longmapsto y
                          when (((and (not (IRExpr-down x)) (IRExpr-up y)) = 0))
 by (simp add: IRExpr-down-def IRExpr-up-def)
optimization AndLeftFallThrough: (x \& y) \longmapsto x
                          when (((and (not (IRExpr-down y)) (IRExpr-up x)) = 0))
  by (simp add: IRExpr-down-def IRExpr-up-def)
end
end
```

11.4 BinaryNode Phase

```
{\bf theory} \ {\it BinaryNode}
 imports
   Common
begin
{f phase} BinaryNode
 terminating size
begin
optimization BinaryFoldConstant: BinaryExpr op (const v1) (const v2) \longmapsto Con-
stantExpr (bin-eval op v1 v2)
 unfolding le-expr-def
 apply (rule allI impI)+
 subgoal premises bin for m p v
   print-facts
   apply (rule BinaryExprE[OF bin])
   subgoal premises prems for x y
     print-facts
   proof -
     have x: x = v1 using prems by auto
     have y: y = v2 using prems by auto
     have xy: v = bin\text{-}eval op x y using prems x y by <math>simp
     have int: \exists b \ vv \ . \ v = new\text{-}int \ b \ vv \ using \ bin-eval-new-int \ prems \ by \ fast
     show ?thesis
       \mathbf{unfolding}\ \mathit{prems}\ \mathit{x}\ \mathit{y}\ \mathit{xy}
      apply (rule ConstantExpr)
      using prems x y xy int sorry
     qed
   done
 done
print-facts
end
end
11.5
        ConditionalNode Phase
{\bf theory}\ {\it Conditional Phase}
 imports
   Common
   Proofs. Stamp Eval Thms
begin
{f phase}\ {\it Conditional Node}
```

```
terminating size
begin
lemma negates: \exists v \ b. \ e = IntVal \ b \ v \land b > 0 \Longrightarrow val\text{-}to\text{-}bool \ (val[e]) \longleftrightarrow
\neg(val\text{-}to\text{-}bool\ (val[!e]))
 unfolding intval-logic-negation.simps
 by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) intval-logic-negation.simps(1) logic-negate-def new-int.simps
of-bool-eq(2) one-neq-zero take-bit-of-0 take-bit-of-1 val-to-bool.simps(1))
{\bf lemma}\ negation\hbox{-}condition\hbox{-}intval\hbox{:}
 assumes e = IntVal b ie
 assumes \theta < b
 shows val[(!e) ? x : y] = val[e ? y : x]
 using assms by (cases e; auto simp: negates logic-negate-def)
lemma negation-preserve-eval:
  assumes [m, p] \vdash exp[!e] \mapsto v
 shows \exists v'. ([m, p] \vdash exp[e] \mapsto v') \land v = val[!v']
 using assms by auto
lemma negation-preserve-eval-intval:
 assumes [m, p] \vdash exp[!e] \mapsto v
 shows \exists v' \ b \ vv. \ ([m, p] \vdash exp[e] \mapsto v') \land v' = IntVal \ b \ vv \land b > 0
  by (metis eval-bits-1-64 intval-logic-negation.elims negation-preserve-eval un-
fold-unary)
optimization NegateConditionFlipBranches: ((!e) ? x : y) \mapsto (e ? y : x)
 apply simp using negation-condition-intval negation-preserve-eval-intval
 by (smt (verit, best) ConditionalExpr ConditionalExprE Value.distinct(1) evalDet
negates negation-preserve-eval)
optimization DefaultTrueBranch: (true ? x : y) \longmapsto x.
optimization DefaultFalseBranch: (false ? x : y) \longmapsto y.
optimization Conditional Equal Branches: (e ? x : x) \longmapsto x.
optimization condition-bounds-x: ((u < v) ? x : y) \mapsto x
   when (stamp-under\ (stamp-expr\ u)\ (stamp-expr\ v)\ \land\ wf-stamp\ u\ \land\ wf-stamp\ v)
  using stamp-under-defn by fastforce
optimization condition-bounds-y: ((u < v) ? x : y) \mapsto y
   when (stamp-under\ (stamp-expr\ v)\ (stamp-expr\ u) \land wf-stamp\ u \land wf-stamp\ v)
  using stamp-under-defn-inverse by fastforce
```

```
lemma val-optimise-integer-test:
 assumes \exists v. \ x = IntVal \ 32 \ v
 shows val[((x \& (IntVal 32 1)) eq (IntVal 32 0)) ? (IntVal 32 0) : (IntVal 32 1)]
       val[x \& IntVal 32 1]
 using assms apply auto
 apply (metis\ (full-types)\ bool-to-val.simps(2)\ val-to-bool.simps(1))
 by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) and-one-eq bool-to-val.simps(1) even-iff-mod-2-eq-zero
odd-iff-mod-2-eq-one\ val-to-bool.simps(1))
optimization ConditionalEliminateKnownLess: ((x < y) ? x : y) \mapsto x
                            when (stamp-under\ (stamp-expr\ x)\ (stamp-expr\ y)
                                \land wf-stamp x \land wf-stamp y)
   using stamp-under-defn by fastforce
optimization Conditional Equal IsRHS: ((x eq y) ? x : y) \mapsto y
 apply auto
 by (smt (verit) Value.inject(1) bool-to-val.simps(2) bool-to-val-bin.simps evalDet
     intval-equals. elims\ val-to-bool. elims(1)
optimization normalizeX: ((x \ eq \ const \ (IntVal \ 32 \ 0)) \ ?
                           (const\ (IntVal\ 32\ 0)): (const\ (IntVal\ 32\ 1))) \longmapsto x
                          when (IRExpr-up \ x = 1) \land stamp-expr \ x = IntegerStamp
b 0 1
 apply auto
 subgoal premises p for m p v xa
   proof -
     obtain xa where xa: [m,p] \vdash x \mapsto xa
      using p by blast
    have 3: [m,p] \vdash if val-to-bool (intval-equals xa (IntVal (32::nat) (0::64 word)))
               then ConstantExpr (IntVal (32::nat) (0::64 word))
               else ConstantExpr (IntVal (32::nat) (1::64 word)) \mapsto v
       using evalDet p(3) p(5) xa
       using p(4) p(6) by blast
      then have 4: xa = IntVal 32 0 | xa = IntVal 32 1
       sorry
      then have 6: v = xa
       sorry
     then show ?thesis
      using xa by auto
   qed
 done
optimization normalizeX2: ((x eq (const (IntVal 32 1))) ?
                            (const\ (IntVal\ 32\ 1)): (const\ (IntVal\ 32\ 0))) \longmapsto x
```

```
when (x = ConstantExpr(IntVal\ 32\ 0) \mid (x = ConstantExpr
(Int Val 32 1))) .
optimization flipX: ((x \ eq \ (const \ (IntVal \ 32 \ \theta))) \ ?
                         (const\ (IntVal\ 32\ 1)): (const\ (IntVal\ 32\ 0))) \longmapsto
                          x \oplus (const (IntVal 32 1))
                         when (x = ConstantExpr (IntVal 32 0) | (x = ConstantExpr
(Int Val \ 32 \ 1))).
optimization flip X2: ((x \ eq \ (const \ (Int Val \ 32 \ 1))) \ ?
                          (const\ (IntVal\ 32\ 0)): (const\ (IntVal\ 32\ 1))) \longmapsto
                          x \oplus (const (IntVal 32 1))
                         when (x = ConstantExpr(IntVal 32 0) | (x = ConstantExpr)
(Int Val \ 32 \ 1))).
lemma stamp-of-default:
 assumes stamp-expr \ x = default-stamp
  assumes wf-stamp x
  shows ([m, p] \vdash x \mapsto v) \longrightarrow (\exists vv. \ v = Int Val \ 32 \ vv)
  using assms
  \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{metis}\ \mathit{default-stamp}\ \mathit{valid-value-elims}(3)\ \mathit{wf-stamp-def})
optimization OptimiseIntegerTest:
    (((x \& (const (IntVal 32 1))) eq (const (IntVal 32 0))) ?
     (const\ (IntVal\ 32\ 0)): (const\ (IntVal\ 32\ 1))) \longmapsto
      x & (const (IntVal 32 1))
      when (stamp-expr \ x = default-stamp \land wf-stamp \ x)
  apply simp apply (rule impI; (rule allI)+; rule impI)
  subgoal premises eval for m p v
proof -
  obtain xv where xv: [m, p] \vdash x \mapsto xv
   using eval by fast
  then have x32: \exists v. xv = IntVal 32 v
    using stamp-of-default eval by auto
 obtain lhs where lhs: [m, p] \vdash exp[(((x \& (const (Int Val 32 1))) eq (const (Int Val 32 1)))]
32 0))) ?
      (const\ (IntVal\ 32\ 0)): (const\ (IntVal\ 32\ 1)))] \mapsto lhs
   using eval(2) by auto
  then have lhsV: lhs = val[((xv \& (IntVal 32 1)) eq (IntVal 32 0)) ? (IntVal 32
0): (Int Val \ 32 \ 1)]
   using xv evaltree. Binary Expr evaltree. Constant Expr evaltree. Conditional Expr
  \textbf{by} \ (smt \ (verit) \ Conditional ExprE \ Constant ExprE \ bin-eval. simps (11) \ bin-eval. simps (4)
evalDet intval-conditional.simps unfold-binary)
  obtain rhs where rhs: [m, p] \vdash exp[x \& (const (Int Val 32 1))] \mapsto rhs
   using eval(2) by blast
  then have rhsV: rhs = val[xv \& IntVal 32 1]
   \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{metis}\ \mathit{BinaryExprE}\ \mathit{ConstantExprE}\ \mathit{bin-eval.simps}(4)\ \mathit{evalDet}\ \mathit{xv})
```

```
have lhs = rhs using val-optimise-integer-test x32
          \mathbf{using}\ \mathit{lhsV}\ \mathit{rhsV}\ \mathbf{by}\ \mathit{presburger}
     then show ?thesis
          by (metis eval(2) evalDet lhs rhs)
\mathbf{qed}
     done
optimization opt-optimise-integer-test-2:
             (((x \& (const (IntVal 32 1))) eq (const (IntVal 32 0))) ?
                                                 (const\ (IntVal\ 32\ 0)): (const\ (IntVal\ 32\ 1))) \longmapsto
                                            when (x = ConstantExpr (IntVal 32 0) | (x = ConstantExpr (IntVal 32 0)) | (x = Const
32 1))) .
end
end
                        MulNode Phase
11.6
theory MulPhase
     imports
           Common
           Proofs.StampEvalThms
begin
fun mul-size :: IRExpr \Rightarrow nat where
     mul-size (UnaryExpr\ op\ e) = (mul-size e) + 2
     mul-size (BinaryExpr\ BinMul\ x\ y) = ((mul-size x) + (mul-size y) + 2) * 2
     mul\text{-}size\ (BinaryExpr\ op\ x\ y) = (mul\text{-}size\ x) + (mul\text{-}size\ y) + 2
     mul\text{-}size \ (ConditionalExpr \ cond \ t \ f) = (mul\text{-}size \ cond) + (mul\text{-}size \ t) + (mul\text{-}size \ t)
f) + 2 |
      mul-size (ConstantExpr\ c) = 1
      mul-size (ParameterExpr\ ind\ s) = 2 |
     mul-size (LeafExpr\ nid\ s) = 2 |
     mul-size (ConstantVar\ c) = 2 |
     mul-size (VariableExpr x s) = 2
phase MulNode
```

terminating mul-size

begin

```
{\bf lemma}\ bin-eliminate\text{-}redundant\text{-}negative\text{:}
  uminus\ (x:: 'a::len\ word)*uminus\ (y:: 'a::len\ word) = x*y
 by simp
lemma bin-multiply-identity:
(x :: 'a :: len word) * 1 = x
 by simp
{\bf lemma}\ bin-multiply-eliminate:
(x :: 'a :: len word) * \theta = \theta
 by simp
\mathbf{lemma}\ \textit{bin-multiply-negative} :
(x :: 'a :: len word) * uminus 1 = uminus x
 by simp
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{bin-multiply-power-2}\colon
(x:: 'a::len \ word) * (2^j) = x << j
 by simp
lemma take-bit64[simp]:
 fixes w :: int64
 shows take-bit 64 w = w
proof -
 have Nat.size w = 64
   by (simp add: size64)
 then show ?thesis
  by (metis lt2p-lem mask-eq-iff take-bit-eq-mask verit-comp-simplify1(2) wsst-TYs(3))
qed
\mathbf{lemma}\ mergeTakeBit:
 fixes a :: nat
 fixes b c :: 64 word
 shows take-bit\ a\ (take-bit\ a\ (b)*take-bit\ a\ (c)) =
        take-bit \ a \ (b * c)
by (smt (verit, ccfv-SIG) take-bit-mult take-bit-of-int unsigned-take-bit-eq word-mult-def)
{\bf lemma}\ val\text{-}eliminate\text{-}redundant\text{-}negative\text{:}
 assumes val[-x * -y] \neq UndefVal
 shows val[-x * -y] = val[x * y]
 using assms apply (cases x; cases y; auto)
 using mergeTakeBit by auto
```

```
lemma val-multiply-neutral:
 assumes x = new\text{-}int \ b \ v
 \mathbf{shows}\ val[x*(\mathit{IntVal}\ b\ \mathit{1})] = val[x]
 using assms by force
{\bf lemma}\ val\text{-}multiply\text{-}zero:
 assumes x = new\text{-}int \ b \ v
 shows val[x * (IntVal \ b \ \theta)] = IntVal \ b \ \theta
 using assms by simp
lemma val-multiply-negative:
 assumes x = new-int b v
 shows val[x * intval-negate (IntVal b 1)] = intval-negate x
 by (smt (verit) Value.disc(1) Value.inject(1) add.inverse-neutral intval-negate.simps(1)
      is-IntVal-def mask-0 mask-eq-take-bit-minus-one new-int.elims of-bool-eq(2)
take-bit-dist-neg
   take-bit-of-1\ val-eliminate-redundant-negative\ val-multiply-neutral\ val-multiply-zero
     verit-minus-simplify(4) zero-neq-one assms)
lemma val-MulPower2:
 fixes i :: 64 word
 assumes y = IntVal \ 64 \ (2 \cap unat(i))
 and
          0 < i
 and
          i < 64
 and
          val[x * y] \neq UndefVal
 shows val[x * y] = val[x << IntVal 64 i]
 using assms apply (cases x; cases y; auto)
   subgoal premises p for x2
   proof -
     have 63: (63 :: int64) = mask 6
      by eval
     then have (2::int) \cap 6 = 64
       by eval
     then have uint \ i < (2::int) \ \widehat{\phantom{a}} 6
       by (metis linorder-not-less lt2p-lem of-int-numeral p(4) size64 word-2p-lem
word-of-int-2p
          wsst-TYs(3)
     then have and i \pmod{6} = i
       using mask-eq-iff by blast
     then show x2 \ll unat \ i = x2 \ll unat \ (and \ i \ (63::64 \ word))
       unfolding 63
       by force
   qed
   by presburger
```

```
lemma val-MulPower2Add1:
 fixes i :: 64 word
 assumes y = IntVal\ 64\ ((2 \cap unat(i)) + 1)
          0 < i
 and
 and
          i < 64
 and
          val-to-bool(val[IntVal\ 64\ 0 < x])
          val-to-bool(val[IntVal\ 64\ 0 < y])
 and
 shows val[x * y] = val[(x << IntVal 64 i) + x]
 using assms apply (cases x; cases y; auto)
   subgoal premises p for x2
 proof -
   have 63: (63 :: int64) = mask 6
    by eval
   then have (2::int) \cap 6 = 64
    by eval
   then have and i (mask 6) = i
     using mask-eq-iff by (simp \ add: \ less-mask-eq \ p(6))
   then have x2 * ((2::64 \ word) \ ^unat \ i + (1::64 \ word)) = (x2 * ((2::64 \ word)))
\hat{} unat i)) + x2
    by (simp add: distrib-left)
   then show x2 * ((2::64 \ word) \cap unat \ i + (1::64 \ word)) = x2 << unat \ (and \ i
(63::64 \ word)) + x2
     by (simp add: 63 \langle and (i::64 word) (mask (6::nat)) = i\rangle)
   qed
   using val-to-bool.simps(2) by presburger
\mathbf{lemma}\ val\text{-}MulPower2Sub1:
 fixes i :: 64 \ word
 assumes y = IntVal\ 64\ ((2 \cap unat(i)) - 1)
 and
          0 < i
 and
          i < 64
 and
          val-to-bool(val[IntVal\ 64\ 0 < x])
          val-to-bool(val[IntVal\ 64\ 0 < y])
 shows val[x * y] = val[(x << IntVal 64 i) - x]
 using assms apply (cases x; cases y; auto)
   subgoal premises p for x2
 proof -
   have 63: (63 :: int64) = mask 6
    by eval
   then have (2::int) \cap 6 = 64
    by eval
   then have and i \pmod{6} = i
    using mask-eq-iff by (simp add: less-mask-eq p(6))
   then have x2 * ((2::64 \ word) \cap unat \ i - (1::64 \ word)) = (x2 * ((2::64 \ word)))
\hat{} unat i)) - x2
    by (simp add: right-diff-distrib')
   then show x2 * ((2::64 \ word) \cap unat \ i - (1::64 \ word)) = x2 << unat \ (and \ i
```

```
(63::64 \ word)) - x2
     by (simp add: 63 \langle and (i::64 word) (mask (6::nat)) = i\rangle)
   qed
   using val-to-bool.simps(2) by presburger
\mathbf{lemma}\ val\text{-}distribute\text{-}multiplication:
  assumes x = new\text{-}int \ 64 \ xx \land q = new\text{-}int \ 64 \ qq \land a = new\text{-}int \ 64 \ aa
 shows val[x * (q + a)] = val[(x * q) + (x * a)]
 apply (cases x; cases q; cases a; auto) using distrib-left assms by auto
\mathbf{lemma}\ val\text{-}MulPower2AddPower2:
 fixes i j :: 64 word
 assumes y = IntVal\ 64\ ((2 \cap unat(i)) + (2 \cap unat(j)))
 and
          0 < i
 and
          0 < j
        i < 64
 and
         j < 64
 and
          x = new-int 64 xx
 shows val[x * y] = val[(x << IntVal 64 i) + (x << IntVal 64 j)]
 using assms
 proof -
   have 63: (63 :: int64) = mask 6
     by eval
   then have (2::int) \cap 6 = 64
   then have n: IntVal\ 64\ ((2 \cap unat(i)) + (2 \cap unat(j))) =
         val[(IntVal\ 64\ (2\ \widehat{\ }unat(i)))+(IntVal\ 64\ (2\ \widehat{\ }unat(j)))]
     using assms by (cases i; cases j; auto)
  then have 1: val[x * ((IntVal\ 64\ (2 \cap unat(i))) + (IntVal\ 64\ (2 \cap unat(j))))] =
         val[(x * IntVal 64 (2 \cap unat(i))) + (x * IntVal 64 (2 \cap unat(j)))]
    using assms val-distribute-multiplication val-MulPower2 by simp
  then have 2: val[(x * IntVal 64 (2 \cap unat(i)))] = val[x << IntVal 64 i]
  by (smt (verit) Value.distinct(1) intval-mul.simps(1) new-int.simps new-int-bin.simps
assms
        val-MulPower2)
  then show ?thesis
     by (smt (verit, del-insts) 1 Value.distinct(1) assms(1) assms(3) assms(5)
assms(6)
        intval-mul.simps(1) n new-int.simps new-int-bin.elims val-MulPower2)
  qed
thm-oracles val-MulPower2AddPower2
```

lemma exp-multiply-zero-64:

```
exp[x * (const (IntVal 64 0))] \ge ConstantExpr (IntVal 64 0)
 using val-multiply-zero apply auto
 \mathbf{by} \; (smt \; (verit) \; Value.inject (1) \; constant \\ As Stamp.simps (1) \; int\text{-}signed\text{-}value\text{-}bounds
intval	ext{-}mul.elims
           mult-zero-right new-int.simps new-int-bin.simps nle-le numeral-eq-Suc
take-bit-of-0
    unfold-const valid-stamp.simps(1) valid-value.simps(1) zero-less-Suc wf-value-def)
lemma exp-multiply-neutral:
exp[x * (const (IntVal \ b \ 1))] \ge x
 using val-multiply-neutral apply auto
 by (smt\ (verit)\ Value.inject(1)\ eval-unused-bits-zero\ intval-mul.elims\ mult.right-neutral
     new-int.elims new-int-bin.elims)
thm-oracles exp-multiply-neutral
lemma exp-MulPower2:
 fixes i :: 64 \ word
 assumes y = ConstantExpr (IntVal 64 (2 ^unat(i)))
 and
          0 < i
 and
          i < 64
 and
          exp[x > (const\ Int Val\ b\ 0)]
          exp[y > (const\ IntVal\ b\ \theta)]
 and
 shows exp[x * y] \ge exp[x << ConstantExpr (IntVal 64 i)]
  using assms apply simp
 by (metis ConstantExprE equiv-exprs-def unfold-binary)
{\bf lemma}\ exp{-}MulPower2Add1:
 fixes i :: 64 \ word
 assumes y = ConstantExpr (IntVal 64 ((2 ^unat(i)) + 1))
 and
          0 < i
 and
          i < 64
          exp[x > (const\ Int Val\ b\ \theta)]
 and
 and
          exp[y > (const\ IntVal\ b\ 0)]
shows exp[x * y] \ge exp[(x << ConstantExpr(IntVal 64 i)) + x]
  using assms apply simp
 by (metis (no-types, lifting) ConstantExprE equiv-exprs-def unfold-binary)
lemma exp-MulPower2Sub1:
 fixes i :: 64 word
 assumes y = ConstantExpr (IntVal 64 ((2 \cap unat(i)) - 1))
 and
          0 < i
 and
          i < 64
 and
          exp[x > (const\ Int Val\ b\ \theta)]
 and
          exp[y > (const\ Int Val\ b\ \theta)]
         exp[x * y] \ge exp[(x << ConstantExpr (IntVal 64 i)) - x]
\mathbf{shows}
  using assms apply simp
 by (metis (no-types, lifting) ConstantExprE equiv-exprs-def unfold-binary)
```

```
\mathbf{lemma}\ exp\text{-}MulPower2AddPower2:
 fixes i j :: 64 word
 assumes y = ConstantExpr (IntVal 64 ((2 ^unat(i)) + (2 ^unat(j))))
 and
          0 < i
 and
          0 < j
         i < 64
 and
 and
          j < 64
          exp[x > (const\ IntVal\ b\ \theta)]
 and
 and
          exp[y > (const\ IntVal\ b\ \theta)]
shows exp[x * y] \ge exp[(x << ConstantExpr(IntVal 64 i)) + (x << ConstantExpr)]
(IntVal \ 64 \ j))
  using assms apply simp
 by (metis (no-types, lifting) ConstantExprE equiv-exprs-def unfold-binary)
lemma greaterConstant:
 fixes a \ b :: 64 \ word
 assumes a > b
 and
          y = ConstantExpr (IntVal 64 a)
          x = ConstantExpr (IntVal 64 b)
 and
 shows exp[y > x]
 apply auto
 sorry
lemma exp-distribute-multiplication:
 shows exp[(x * q) + (x * a)] \ge exp[x * (q + a)]
 sorry
Optimisations
optimization EliminateRedundantNegative: -x * -y \longmapsto x * y
 using mul-size.simps apply auto
 \mathbf{by}\ (\textit{metis BinaryExpr val-eliminate-redundant-negative bin-eval.simps}(2))
optimization MulNeutral: x * ConstantExpr (IntVal \ b \ 1) \longmapsto x
 using exp-multiply-neutral by blast
optimization MulEliminator: x * ConstantExpr (IntVal b 0) \longrightarrow const (IntVal b 0)
  apply auto
 by (smt\ (verit)\ Value.inject(1)\ constant AsStamp.simps(1)\ int-signed-value-bounds
intval\text{-}mul.elims
     mult-zero-right new-int.simps new-int-bin.simps take-bit-of-0 unfold-const
     valid-stamp.simps(1) valid-value.simps(1) val-multiply-zero)
optimization MulNegate: x * -(const (IntVal \ b \ 1)) \longmapsto -x
 apply auto
```

```
by (smt (verit) Value.distinct(1) Value.sel(1) add.inverse-inverse intval-mul.elims
           intval-negate.simps(1)\ mask-eq-take-bit-minus-one\ new-int.simps\ new-int-bin.simps
                take-bit-dist-neg\ unary-eval.simps(2)\ unfold-unary\ val-multiply-negative
                val-eliminate-redundant-negative val-multiply-negative wf-value-def)
fun isNonZero :: Stamp \Rightarrow bool where
      isNonZero (IntegerStamp \ b \ lo \ hi) = (lo > 0)
      isNonZero - = False
lemma isNonZero-defn:
     assumes isNonZero (stamp-expr x)
     assumes wf-stamp x
     \mathbf{shows}\ ([m,\,p] \vdash x \mapsto v) \longrightarrow (\exists\,vv\ b.\ (v = \mathit{IntVal}\ b\ vv \land \mathit{val-to-bool}\ \mathit{val}[(\mathit{IntVal}\ b\ v) \land val)) \cap (\exists\,vv \land val) \cap (\exists\,vv \land v
     apply (rule impI) subgoal premises eval
proof -
      obtain b lo hi where xstamp: stamp-expr \ x = IntegerStamp \ b \ lo \ hi
           by (meson\ isNonZero.elims(2)\ assms)
      then obtain vv where vdef: v = IntVal\ b\ vv
           by (metis \ assms(2) \ eval \ valid-int \ wf-stamp-def)
     have lo > 0
           using assms(1) xstamp by force
      then have signed-above: int-signed-value b vv > 0
           using assms unfolding wf-stamp-def
           using eval vdef xstamp by fastforce
     have take-bit b vv = vv
           using eval eval-unused-bits-zero vdef by auto
     then have vv > 0
           by (metis bit-take-bit-iff int-signed-value.simps not-less-zero signed-eq-0-iff
                         signed-take-bit-eq-if-positive take-bit-0 take-bit-of-0 verit-comp-simplify 1(1)
word-gt-0
                      signed-above)
     then show ?thesis
           using vdef signed-above
          by simp
\mathbf{qed}
     done
optimization MulPower2: x * y \longmapsto x << const (IntVal 64 i)
                                                                                   when (i > 0 \land
                                                                                                    64 > i \land
                                                                                                    y = exp[const (IntVal 64 (2 \cap unat(i)))])
        defer
        apply simp apply (rule impI; (rule allI)+; rule impI)
      subgoal premises eval for m p v
proof -
     obtain xv where xv: [m, p] \vdash x \mapsto xv
```

```
using eval(2) by blast
 then obtain xvv where xvv: xv = IntVal 64 xvv
   by (smt (verit) ConstantExprE bin-eval.simps(2) evalDet intval-bits.simps int-
val\text{-}mul.elims
        new-int-bin.simps unfold-binary eval)
 obtain yv where yv: [m, p] \vdash y \mapsto yv
   using eval(1) eval(2) by blast
 then have lhs: [m, p] \vdash exp[x * y] \mapsto val[xv * yv]
   by (metis\ bin-eval.simps(2)\ eval(1)\ eval(2)\ evalDet\ unfold-binary\ xv)
 have [m, p] \vdash exp[const\ (IntVal\ 64\ i)] \mapsto val[(IntVal\ 64\ i)]
  by (smt (verit, ccfv-SIG) ConstantExpr constantAsStamp.simps(1) eval-bits-1-64
take-bit64
       validStampIntConst wf-value-def valid-value.simps(1) xv xvv)
 then have rhs: [m, p] \vdash exp[x << const (IntVal 64 i)] \mapsto val[xv << (IntVal 64 i)]
i)
   using xv xvv using evaltree.BinaryExpr
  by (metis Value.simps(5) bin-eval.simps(8) intval-left-shift.simps(1) new-int.simps)
 have val[xv * yv] = val[xv << (IntVal 64 i)]
   by (metis ConstantExprE eval(1) evaltree-not-undef lhs yv val-MulPower2)
 then show ?thesis
   by (metis eval(1) eval(2) evalDet lhs rhs)
\mathbf{qed}
 done
optimization MulPower2Add1: x * y \longmapsto (x << const (IntVal 64 i)) + x
                         when (i > 0 \land
                               64 > i \land
                               y = ConstantExpr (IntVal 64 ((2 \cap unat(i)) + 1)))
  defer
  apply simp apply (rule impI; (rule allI)+; rule impI)
 subgoal premises p for m p v
 proof -
   obtain xv where xv: [m, p] \vdash x \mapsto xv
     using p by fast
   then obtain xvv where xvv: xv = IntVal 64 xvv
     by (smt\ (verit)\ p\ ConstantExprE\ bin-eval.simps(2)\ evalDet\ intval-bits.simps
intval	ext{-}mul.elims
        new-int-bin.simps unfold-binary)
   obtain yv where yv: [m, p] \vdash y \mapsto yv
     using p by blast
   have ygezero: y > ConstantExpr (IntVal 64 0)
     using greaterConstant p wf-value-def by fastforce
   then have 1: 0 < i \land
               i < 64 \land
               y = ConstantExpr (IntVal 64 ((2 \cap unat(i)) + 1))
     using p by blast
   then have lhs: [m, p] \vdash exp[x * y] \mapsto val[xv * yv]
     by (metis\ bin-eval.simps(2)\ evalDet\ p(1)\ p(2)\ xv\ yv\ unfold-binary)
```

```
then have [m, p] \vdash exp[const\ (IntVal\ 64\ i)] \mapsto val[(IntVal\ 64\ i)]
   by (metis wf-value-def verit-comp-simplify1(2) zero-less-numeral ConstantExpr
     constantAsStamp.simps(1) \ take-bit64 \ validStampIntConst \ valid-value.simps(1))
   then have rhs2: [m, p] \vdash exp[x << const (IntVal 64 i)] \mapsto val[xv << (IntVal 64 i)]
64\ i)
   by (metis Value.simps(5) bin-eval.simps(8) intval-left-shift.simps(1) new-int.simps
xv \ xvv
        evaltree.BinaryExpr)
   then have rhs: [m, p] \vdash exp[(x << const (IntVal 64 i)) + x] \mapsto val[(xv <<
(Int Val \ 64 \ i)) + xv
        by (metis (no-types, lifting) intval-add.simps(1) rhs2 bin-eval.simps(1)
Value.simps(5)
         evaltree.BinaryExpr intval-left-shift.simps(1) new-int.simps xv xvv)
    then have simple: val[xv * (IntVal 64 (2 ^unat(i)))] = val[xv << (IntVal 64)]
i)
      using val-MulPower2 sorry
    then have val[xv * yv] = val[(xv << (IntVal 64 i)) + xv]
    then show ?thesis
      by (metis 1 evalDet lhs p(2) rhs)
 \mathbf{qed}
 done
optimization MulPower2Sub1: x * y \longmapsto (x << const (IntVal 64 i)) - x
                         when (i > 0 \land
                              64 > i \land
                              y = ConstantExpr (IntVal 64 ((2 \cap unat(i)) - 1)))
  defer
  apply simp apply (rule impI; (rule allI)+; rule impI)
 subgoal premises p for m p v
 proof -
   obtain xv where xv: [m,p] \vdash x \mapsto xv
     using p by fast
   then obtain xvv where xvv: xv = IntVal 64 xvv
     by (smt\ (verit)\ p\ ConstantExprE\ bin-eval.simps(2)\ evalDet\ intval-bits.simps
intval	ext{-}mul.elims
        new-int-bin.simps unfold-binary)
   obtain yv where yv: [m,p] \vdash y \mapsto yv
     using p by blast
   have ygezero: y > ConstantExpr (IntVal 64 0)
   by (smt (verit, del-insts) eq-iff-diff-eq-0 mask-0 mask-eq-exp-minus-1 power-inject-exp
        uint-2p unat-eq-zero word-gt-0 zero-neq-one greaterConstant p)
   then have 1: 0 < i \land
               i < 64 \land
               y = ConstantExpr (IntVal 64 ((2 ^unat(i)) - 1))
     using p by blast
```

```
then have lhs: [m, p] \vdash exp[x * y] \mapsto val[xv * yv]
     by (metis bin-eval.simps(2) evalDet p(1) p(2) xv yv unfold-binary)
   then have [m, p] \vdash exp[const\ (IntVal\ 64\ i)] \mapsto val[(IntVal\ 64\ i)]
    by (metis wf-value-def verit-comp-simplify1(2) zero-less-numeral ConstantExpr
      constant As Stamp. simps(1) \ take-bit 64 \ valid Stamp Int Const \ valid-value. simps(1))
   then have rhs2: [m, p] \vdash exp[x << const (IntVal 64 i)] \mapsto val[xv << (IntVal 64 i)]
   by (metis Value.simps(5) bin-eval.simps(8) intval-left-shift.simps(1) new-int.simps
xv \ xvv
         evaltree.BinaryExpr)
   then have rhs: [m, p] \vdash exp[(x \ll const (IntVal 64 i)) - x] \mapsto val[(xv \ll const (IntVal 64 i)) - x]
(Int Val 64 i)) - xv
        by (smt (verit, ccfv-threshold) bin-eval.simps(3) new-int-bin.simps int-
val-sub.simps(1)
      rhs2 bin-eval.simps(1) Value.simps(5) evaltree.BinaryExpr intval-left-shift.simps(1)
         new-int.simps xv xvv )
   then have val[xv * yv] = val[(xv << (IntVal 64 i)) - xv]
      using 1 exp-MulPower2Sub1 ygezero sorry
    then show ?thesis
     by (metis evalDet lhs p(1) p(2) rhs)
  qed
done
end
end
         Experimental AndNode Phase
theory NewAnd
 imports
   Common
   Graph.JavaLong
begin
{f lemma}\ bin-distribute-and-over-or:
  bin[z \& (x | y)] = bin[(z \& x) | (z \& y)]
 by (smt (verit, best) bit-and-iff bit-eqI bit-or-iff)
\mathbf{lemma}\ intval\text{-}distribute\text{-}and\text{-}over\text{-}or:
  val[z \& (x | y)] = val[(z \& x) | (z \& y)]
 apply (cases x; cases y; cases z; auto)
 using bin-distribute-and-over-or by blast+
{f lemma}\ exp	ext{-}distribute	ext{-}and	ext{-}over	ext{-}ov:
  exp[z \& (x | y)] \ge exp[(z \& x) | (z \& y)]
```

```
apply simp using intval-distribute-and-over-or
 using BinaryExpr\ bin-eval.simps(4,5)
 using intval-or.simps(1) unfolding new-int-bin.simps new-int.simps apply auto
 by (metis\ bin-eval.simps(4)\ bin-eval.simps(5)\ intval-or.simps(2)\ intval-or.simps(5))
\mathbf{lemma}\ intval\text{-} and\text{-} commute:
  val[x \& y] = val[y \& x]
 \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{cases}\ x;\ \mathit{cases}\ y;\ \mathit{auto}\ \mathit{simp}\text{:}\ \mathit{and}.\mathit{commute})
\mathbf{lemma}\ intval\text{-}or\text{-}commute:
  val[x \mid y] = val[y \mid x]
 by (cases x; cases y; auto simp: or.commute)
lemma intval-xor-commute:
  val[x \oplus y] = val[y \oplus x]
 \mathbf{by}\ (cases\ x;\ cases\ y;\ auto\ simp:\ xor.commute)
lemma exp-and-commute:
  exp[x \& z] \ge exp[z \& x]
 apply simp using intval-and-commute by auto
lemma exp-or-commute:
  exp[x \mid y] \ge exp[y \mid x]
 apply simp using intval-or-commute by auto
lemma exp-xor-commute:
  exp[x \oplus y] \ge exp[y \oplus x]
 apply simp using intval-xor-commute by auto
lemma bin-eliminate-y:
 assumes bin[y \& z] = 0
 shows bin[(x \mid y) \& z] = bin[x \& z]
 using assms
 by (simp add: and.commute bin-distribute-and-over-or)
lemma intval-eliminate-y:
 assumes val[y \& z] = IntVal b \theta
 shows val[(x \mid y) \& z] = val[x \& z]
 using assms bin-eliminate-y by (cases x; cases y; cases z; auto)
lemma intval-and-associative:
  val[(x \& y) \& z] = val[x \& (y \& z)]
 apply (cases x; cases y; cases z; auto)
 by (simp \ add: \ and. \ assoc)+
{f lemma}\ intval	ext{-}or	ext{-}associative:
  val[(x \mid y) \mid z] = val[x \mid (y \mid z)]
```

```
apply (cases x; cases y; cases z; auto)
  by (simp add: or.assoc)+
{f lemma}\ intval	ext{-}xor	ext{-}associative:
  val[(x \oplus y) \oplus z] = val[x \oplus (y \oplus z)]
  apply (cases x; cases y; cases z; auto)
 \mathbf{by}\ (simp\ add:\ xor.assoc) +
lemma exp-and-associative:
  exp[(x \& y) \& z] \ge exp[x \& (y \& z)]
  apply simp using intval-and-associative by fastforce
{f lemma} exp	ext{-}or	ext{-}associative:
  exp[(x \mid y) \mid z] \ge exp[x \mid (y \mid z)]
  apply simp using intval-or-associative by fastforce
lemma exp-xor-associative:
  exp[(x \oplus y) \oplus z] \ge exp[x \oplus (y \oplus z)]
  apply simp using intval-xor-associative by fastforce
lemma intval-and-absorb-or:
  assumes \exists b \ v \ . \ x = new\text{-}int \ b \ v
  assumes val[x \& (x \mid y)] \neq UndefVal
  shows val[x \& (x \mid y)] = val[x]
  using assms apply (cases x; cases y; auto)
  by (metis\ (mono-tags,\ lifting)\ intval-and.simps(5))
lemma intval-or-absorb-and:
  assumes \exists b \ v \ . \ x = new\text{-}int \ b \ v
  assumes val[x \mid (x \& y)] \neq UndefVal
  shows val[x \mid (x \& y)] = val[x]
  using assms apply (cases x; cases y; auto)
  \mathbf{by} \ (\mathit{metis} \ (\mathit{mono-tags}, \ \mathit{lifting}) \ \mathit{intval-or.simps}(5))
lemma exp-and-absorb-or:
  exp[x \& (x \mid y)] \ge exp[x]
  apply auto using intval-and-absorb-or eval-unused-bits-zero
  by (smt (verit) evalDet intval-or.elims new-int.elims)
{f lemma}\ exp	ext{-}or	ext{-}absorb	ext{-}and:
  exp[x \mid (x \& y)] \ge exp[x]
  apply auto using intval-or-absorb-and eval-unused-bits-zero
  by (smt (verit) evalDet intval-or.elims new-int.elims)
lemma
  assumes y = 0
  shows x + y = or x y
  using assms
```

```
by simp
```

lemma *no-overlap-or*:

```
assumes and x y = 0
 shows x + y = or x y
 using assms
 by (metis bit-and-iff bit-xor-iff disjunctive-add xor-self-eq)
context stamp-mask
begin
lemma intval-up-and-zero-implies-zero:
 assumes and (\uparrow x) (\uparrow y) = 0
 assumes [m, p] \vdash x \mapsto xv
 assumes [m, p] \vdash y \mapsto yv
 assumes val[xv \& yv] \neq UndefVal
 shows \exists b \cdot val[xv \& yv] = new\text{-}int b \theta
 using assms apply (cases xv; cases yv; auto)
 using up-mask-and-zero-implies-zero
 apply (smt (verit, best) take-bit-and take-bit-of-0)
 by presburger
lemma exp-eliminate-y:
  and (\uparrow y) \ (\uparrow z) = 0 \longrightarrow BinaryExpr \ BinAnd \ (BinaryExpr \ BinOr \ x \ y) \ z \ge BinaryExpr \ BinOr \ x \ y)
ryExpr BinAnd x z
 apply simp apply (rule impI; rule allI; rule allI; rule allI)
 subgoal premises p for m p v apply (rule \ impI) subgoal premises e
 proof -
   obtain xv where xv: [m,p] \vdash x \mapsto xv
     using e by auto
   obtain yv where yv: [m,p] \vdash y \mapsto yv
     using e by auto
   obtain zv where zv: [m,p] \vdash z \mapsto zv
     using e by auto
   have lhs: v = val[(xv \mid yv) \& zv]
     using xv yv zv
       by (smt (verit, best) BinaryExprE bin-eval.simps(4) bin-eval.simps(5) e
evalDet
   then have v = val[(xv \& zv) \mid (yv \& zv)]
     by (simp add: intval-and-commute intval-distribute-and-over-or)
```

```
also have \exists b. \ val[yv \& zv] = new\text{-}int \ b \ \theta
     \mathbf{using}\ intval\text{-}up\text{-}and\text{-}zero\text{-}implies\text{-}zero
     by (metis calculation e intval-or.simps(5) p unfold-binary yv zv)
   ultimately have rhs: v = val[xv \& zv]
     using intval-eliminate-y lhs by force
   from lhs rhs show ?thesis
     by (metis BinaryExpr BinaryExprE bin-eval.simps(4) e xv zv)
  qed
 done
 done
lemma leadingZeroBounds:
 fixes x :: 'a :: len word
 assumes n = numberOfLeadingZeros x
 shows 0 < n \land n < Nat.size x
 using assms unfolding numberOfLeadingZeros-def
 by (simp add: MaxOrNeg-def highestOneBit-def nat-le-iff)
lemma above-nth-not-set:
 fixes x :: int64
 assumes n = 64 - numberOfLeadingZeros x
 shows j > n \longrightarrow \neg(bit \ x \ j)
 using assms unfolding numberOfLeadingZeros-def
 by (smt (verit, ccfv-SIG) highestOneBit-def int-nat-eq int-ops(6) less-imp-of-nat-less
max-set-bit size64 zerosAboveHighestOne)
no-notation LogicNegationNotation (!-)
lemma zero-horner:
 horner-sum of-bool 2 (map (\lambda x. False) xs) = 0
 apply (induction xs) apply simp
 by force
lemma zero-map:
 assumes j \leq n
 assumes \forall i. j \leq i \longrightarrow \neg(f i)
 shows map \ f \ [0..< n] = map \ f \ [0..< j] @ map \ (\lambda x. \ False) \ [j..< n]
 apply (insert assms)
 by (smt (verit, del-insts) add-diff-inverse-nat atLeastLessThan-iff bot-nat-0.extremum
leD map-append map-eq-conv set-upt upt-add-eq-append)
lemma map-join-horner:
 assumes map f [0..< n] = map f [0..< j] @ map (\lambda x. False) [j..< n]
 shows horner-sum of-bool (2::'a::len \ word) \ (map \ f \ [0..< n]) = horner-sum of-bool
2 \pmod{f [0..< j]}
proof -
 have horner-sum of-bool (2::'a::len word) (map f[0..< n]) = horner-sum of-bool
2 \pmod{f[0..< j]} + 2 \cap length[0..< j] * horner-sum of-bool 2 \pmod{f[j..< n]}
   using horner-sum-append
```

```
by (smt (verit) assms diff-le-self diff-zero le-add-same-cancel2 length-append
length-map length-upt map-append upt-add-eq-append)
  also have ... = horner-sum of-bool 2 (map f [0..<j]) + 2 ^ length [0..<j] *
horner-sum of-bool 2 (map (\lambda x. False) [j..<n])
   using assms
   by (metis calculation horner-sum-append length-map)
 also have ... = horner-sum of-bool 2 (map f [0..<j])
   using zero-horner
   using mult-not-zero by auto
 finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
lemma split-horner:
 assumes j \leq n
 assumes \forall i. j \leq i \longrightarrow \neg(f i)
 shows horner-sum of-bool (2::'a::len word) (map f[0..< n]) = horner-sum of-bool
2 (map f [0..< j])
 apply (rule map-join-horner)
 apply (rule zero-map)
 using assms by auto
lemma transfer-map:
 assumes \forall i. i < n \longrightarrow f i = f' i
 shows (map f [0..< n]) = (map f' [0..< n])
 using assms by simp
lemma transfer-horner:
 assumes \forall i. i < n \longrightarrow f i = f' i
 shows horner-sum of-bool (2::'a::len word) (map f [0..<n]) = horner-sum of-bool
2 (map f' [0..< n])
 using assms using transfer-map
 by (smt (verit, best))
lemma L1:
 assumes n = 64 - numberOfLeadingZeros (\uparrow z)
 assumes [m, p] \vdash z \mapsto IntVal\ b\ zv
 shows and v zv = and (v mod <math>2^n) zv
proof -
 have nle: n \leq 64
   using assms
   using diff-le-self by blast
 also have and v zv = horner-sum of-bool 2 (map (bit (and v zv)) [0..<64])
   using horner-sum-bit-eq-take-bit size64
   by (metis size-word.rep-eq take-bit-length-eq)
 also have ... = horner-sum of-bool 2 (map (\lambda i. bit (and v zv) i) [0..<64])
   by blast
 also have ... = horner-sum of-bool 2 (map (\lambda i. ((bit v i) \wedge (bit zv i))) [0..<64])
   using bit-and-iff by metis
 also have ... = horner-sum of-bool 2 (map (\lambda i. ((bit v i) \wedge (bit zv i))) [\theta ... < n])
```

```
proof -
           have \forall i. i \geq n \longrightarrow \neg(bit \ zv \ i)
                 using above-nth-not-set assms(1)
                 using assms(2) not-may-implies-false
             by (smt (verit, ccfv-SIG) One-nat-def diff-less int-ops(6) leadingZerosAddHigh-
estOne linorder-not-le nat-int-comparison(2) not-numeral-le-zero size64 zero-less-Suc
zerosAboveHighestOne)
           then have \forall i. i \geq n \longrightarrow \neg((bit \ v \ i) \land (bit \ zv \ i))
                 by auto
           then show ?thesis using nle split-horner
                 by (metis (no-types, lifting))
      also have ... = horner-sum of-bool 2 (map (\lambda i. ((bit (v \mod 2^n) i) \wedge (bit zv
i))) [0..< n])
     proof -
           have \forall i. i < n \longrightarrow bit (v \bmod 2 \hat{n}) i = bit v i
                 by (metis bit-take-bit-iff take-bit-eq-mod)
           then have \forall i. \ i < n \longrightarrow ((bit \ v \ i) \land (bit \ zv \ i)) = ((bit \ (v \ mod \ 2 \widehat{\ n}) \ i) \land (bit \ v) \land (bit
zv(i)
                 by force
           then show ?thesis
                 by (rule transfer-horner)
      also have ... = horner-sum of-bool 2 (map (\lambda i. ((bit (v \mod 2^n) i) \wedge (bit zv
i))) [0...<64])
     proof -
           have \forall i. i \geq n \longrightarrow \neg(bit \ zv \ i)
                 using above-nth-not-set assms(1)
                 using assms(2) not-may-implies-false
             by (smt (verit, ccfv-SIG) One-nat-def diff-less int-ops(6) leadingZerosAddHigh-
estOne\ linorder-not-le\ nat-int-comparison(2)\ not-numeral-le-zero\ size 64\ zero-less-Suc
zerosAboveHighestOne)
           then show ?thesis
                 by (metis (no-types, lifting) assms(1) diff-le-self split-horner)
     qed
     also have ... = horner-sum of-bool 2 (map (bit (and (v mod 2^n) zv)) [0...<64])
           by (meson bit-and-iff)
      also have ... = and (v \mod 2 \hat{n}) zv
           using horner-sum-bit-eq-take-bit size64
           by (metis size-word.rep-eq take-bit-length-eq)
     finally show ?thesis
                using \langle and (v::64 \ word) \ (zv::64 \ word) = horner-sum of-bool (2::64 \ word)
(map\ (bit\ (and\ v\ zv))\ [0::nat..<64::nat]) \(\lambda \text{horner-sum of-bool}\ (2::64\ word)\ (map\ (map\ (and\ v)\ v))\)
(\lambda i::nat. \ bit \ ((v::64 \ word) \ mod \ (2::64 \ word) \ \widehat{} \ (n::nat)) \ i \ \wedge \ bit \ (zv::64 \ word) \ i)
[0::nat..<64::nat]) = horner-sum of-bool (2::64 word) (map (bit (and (v mod (2::64 word))))
(word) \cap (v) = (2::64 \ word) \cap (v) = (3::64 \ word) \cap (v) = (3::6
bit \ ((v::64 \ word) \ mod \ (2::64 \ word) \ \widehat{\ } (n::nat)) \ i \land bit \ (zv::64 \ word) \ i) \ [0::nat..< n])
= horner-sum of-bool (2::64 word) (map (\lambda i::nat. bit (v \mod (2::64 \pmod ^n)) i
\land bit zv i) [0::nat..<64::nat] \lor \land horner-sum of-bool (2::64 \text{ word}) (map (\lambda i::nat. \text{ bit }
```

```
(v::64 \ word) \ i \wedge bit \ (zv::64 \ word) \ i) \ [0::nat..<64::nat]) = horner-sum \ of-bool \ (2::64 \ word)
word) (map (\lambda i::nat. bit v i \land bit zv i) [0::nat.. < n::nat]) <math>\land (horner-sum of-bool (2::64))
word) (map (\lambda i::nat. bit (v::64 word) i \wedge bit (zv::64 word) i) [0::nat.. < n::nat]) =
horner-sum of-bool (2::64 word) (map (\lambda i::nat. bit (v \mod (2::64 \mod) \cap n) i \wedge i
bit zv i) [0::nat..< n]) \land horner-sum\ of\ bool\ (2::64\ word)\ (map\ (bit\ (and\ ((v::64\ word)))))
word) \ mod \ (2::64 \ word) \ \widehat{\ } (n::nat)) \ (zv::64 \ word))) \ [0::nat..<64::nat]) = and \ (v
mod\ (2::64\ word)\ \widehat{\ }n)\ zv \ \langle horner\ sum\ of\ bool\ (2::64\ word)\ (map\ (bit\ (and\ (v::64\ word))\ (and\ (v::64\ word))\ (bit\ (and
(zv:64 \ word)) [0::nat..<64::nat]) = horner-sum of-bool (2::64 \ word) (map)
(\lambda i::nat.\ bit\ v\ i\ \land\ bit\ zv\ i)\ [0::nat..<64::nat]) by presburger
\mathbf{qed}
lemma up-mask-upper-bound:
   assumes [m, p] \vdash x \mapsto IntVal\ b\ xv
   shows xv \leq (\uparrow x)
   using assms
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) and idem and right-neutral bit.conj-cancel-left bit.conj-disj-distribs(1)
bit.double-compl ucast-id up-spec word-and-le1 word-not-dist(2))
lemma L2:
   assumes numberOfLeadingZeros (\uparrow z) + numberOfTrailingZeros (\uparrow y) \geq 64
   assumes n = 64 - numberOfLeadingZeros (\uparrow z)
   assumes [m, p] \vdash z \mapsto IntVal\ b\ zv
   assumes [m, p] \vdash y \mapsto IntVal\ b\ yv
   shows yv \mod 2 \hat{\ } n = 0
proof -
   have yv \mod 2 \hat{\ } n = horner-sum of-bool 2 (map (bit <math>yv) [0...< n])
      by (simp add: horner-sum-bit-eq-take-bit take-bit-eq-mod)
   also have ... \leq horner-sum of-bool 2 (map (bit (\uparrow y)) [0..< n])
      using up-mask-upper-bound assms(4)
    by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) and right-neutral bit.conj-cancel-right bit.conj-disj-distribs(1)
bit.double-compl.horner-sum-bit-eq-take-bit.take-bit-and.ucast-id.up-spec.word-and-le1
word-not-dist(2))
   also have horner-sum of-bool 2 (map (bit (\uparrow y)) [0..<n]) = horner-sum of-bool 2
(map (\lambda x. False) [0..< n])
   proof -
      have \forall i < n. \neg (bit (\uparrow y) i)
          using assms(1,2) zerosBelowLowestOne
         by (metis add.commute add-diff-inverse-nat add-lessD1 leD le-diff-conv num-
berOfTrailingZeros-def)
      then show ?thesis
          by (metis (full-types) transfer-map)
   also have horner-sum of-bool 2 (map (\lambda x. False) [0..<n]) = 0
      using zero-horner
      by blast
   finally show ?thesis
      by auto
qed
```

thm-oracles L1 L2

```
\mathbf{lemma}\ unfold\text{-}binary\text{-}width\text{-}add:
 shows ([m,p] \vdash BinaryExpr\ BinAdd\ xe\ ye \mapsto IntVal\ b\ val) = (\exists\ x\ y.
         (([m,p] \vdash xe \mapsto IntVal\ b\ x) \land
          ([m,p] \vdash ye \mapsto IntVal\ b\ y) \land
          (IntVal\ b\ val = bin-eval\ BinAdd\ (IntVal\ b\ x)\ (IntVal\ b\ y))\ \land
          (IntVal\ b\ val \neq UndefVal)
       )) (is ?L = ?R)
proof (intro iffI)
 assume 3: ?L
 show ?R apply (rule evaltree.cases[OF 3])
   apply force+ apply auto[1]
   apply (smt (verit) intval-add.elims intval-bits.simps)
   by blast
next
 assume R: ?R
 then obtain x \ y where [m,p] \vdash xe \mapsto IntVal \ b \ x
       and [m,p] \vdash ye \mapsto IntVal\ b\ y
       and new-int b val = bin-eval BinAdd (IntVal b x) (IntVal b y)
       and new-int b val \neq UndefVal
   by auto
  then show ?L
   using R by blast
\mathbf{qed}
lemma unfold-binary-width-and:
 shows ([m,p] \vdash BinaryExpr\ BinAnd\ xe\ ye \mapsto IntVal\ b\ val) = (\exists\ x\ y.
         (([m,p] \vdash xe \mapsto IntVal\ b\ x) \land
          ([m,p] \vdash ye \mapsto IntVal\ b\ y) \land
          (Int Val \ b \ val = bin-eval \ BinAnd \ (Int Val \ b \ x) \ (Int Val \ b \ y)) \land
          (IntVal\ b\ val \neq UndefVal)
       )) (is ?L = ?R)
proof (intro iffI)
 assume 3: ?L
 show ?R apply (rule evaltree.cases[OF 3])
   apply force+ apply auto[1] using intval-and.elims intval-bits.simps
   apply (smt (verit) new-int.simps new-int-bin.simps take-bit-and)
   by blast
next
 assume R: ?R
 then obtain x \ y where [m,p] \vdash xe \mapsto IntVal \ b \ x
       and [m,p] \vdash ye \mapsto IntVal\ b\ y
       and new-int b val = bin-eval BinAnd (IntVal b x) (IntVal b y)
       and new\text{-}int\ b\ val \neq UndefVal
   by auto
  then show ?L
   using R by blast
qed
```

```
\mathbf{lemma}\ mod\text{-}dist\text{-}over\text{-}add\text{-}right:
 fixes a \ b \ c :: int64
 fixes n :: nat
 assumes 1: 0 < n
 assumes 2: n < 64
 shows (a + b \mod 2\widehat{\ n}) \mod 2\widehat{\ n} = (a + b) \mod 2\widehat{\ n}
  using mod-dist-over-add
 by (simp add: 1 2 add.commute)
lemma number Of Leading Zeros-range:
  0 \leq numberOfLeadingZeros \ n \land numberOfLeadingZeros \ n \leq Nat.size \ n
 {\bf unfolding} \ number Of Leading Zeros-def \ highest One Bit-def \ {\bf using} \ max-set-bit
 by (simp add: highestOneBit-def leadingZeroBounds numberOfLeadingZeros-def)
lemma improved-opt:
 assumes numberOfLeadingZeros\ (\uparrow z) + numberOfTrailingZeros\ (\uparrow y) \ge 64
 shows exp[(x + y) \& z] \ge exp[x \& z]
 apply simp apply ((rule allI)+; rule impI)
 subgoal premises eval for m p v
proof -
  obtain n where n: n = 64 - numberOfLeadingZeros (\uparrow z)
 obtain b val where val: [m, p] \vdash exp[(x + y) \& z] \mapsto IntVal \ b \ val
   by (metis BinaryExprE bin-eval-new-int eval new-int.simps)
  then obtain xv yv where addv: [m, p] \vdash exp[x + y] \mapsto IntVal\ b\ (xv + yv)
   apply (subst (asm) unfold-binary-width-and) by (metis add.right-neutral)
  then obtain yv where yv: [m, p] \vdash y \mapsto IntVal\ b\ yv
   apply (subst (asm) unfold-binary-width-add) by blast
 from addv obtain xv where xv: [m, p] \vdash x \mapsto IntVal\ b\ xv
   apply (subst (asm) unfold-binary-width-add) by blast
  from val obtain zv where zv: [m, p] \vdash z \mapsto IntVal \ b \ zv
   apply (subst (asm) unfold-binary-width-and) by blast
  have addv: [m, p] \vdash exp[x + y] \mapsto new\text{-}int \ b \ (xv + yv)
   apply (rule evaltree.BinaryExpr)
   using xv apply simp
   using yv apply simp
   by simp+
  have lhs: [m, p] \vdash exp[(x + y) \& z] \mapsto new\text{-int } b \text{ (and } (xv + yv) zv)
   apply (rule evaltree.BinaryExpr)
   using addv apply simp
   using zv apply simp
   using addv apply auto[1]
   by simp
  have rhs: [m, p] \vdash exp[x \& z] \mapsto new\text{-}int \ b \ (and \ xv \ zv)
   apply (rule evaltree.BinaryExpr)
   using xv apply simp
   using zv apply simp
    apply force
```

```
by simp
 then show ?thesis
 proof (cases numberOfLeadingZeros (\uparrow z) > 0)
   case True
   have n-bounds: 0 \le n \land n < 64
     using diff-le-self n numberOfLeadingZeros-range
     by (simp add: True)
   have and (xv + yv) zv = and ((xv + yv) mod 2^n) zv
     using L1 \ n \ zv by blast
   also have ... = and ((xv + (yv \mod 2^n)) \mod 2^n) zv
     using mod-dist-over-add-right n-bounds
     by (metis take-bit-0 take-bit-eq-mod zero-less-iff-neq-zero)
   also have ... = and (((xv \mod 2\widehat{\ n}) + (yv \mod 2\widehat{\ n})) \mod 2\widehat{\ n}) zv
      by (metis bits-mod-by-1 mod-dist-over-add n-bounds order-le-imp-less-or-eq
power-0
   also have ... = and ((xv \mod 2\hat{\ }n) \mod 2\hat{\ }n) zv
     using L2 \ n \ zv \ yv
     using assms by auto
   also have ... = and (xv \mod 2^n) zv
     using mod-mod-trivial
   by (smt (verit, best) and idem take-bit-eq-mask take-bit-eq-mod word-bw-assocs(1))
   also have \dots = and xv zv
     using L1 \ n \ zv  by metis
   finally show ?thesis
     using eval lhs rhs
     by (metis evalDet)
 next
   case False
   then have numberOfLeadingZeros (\uparrow z) = 0
     by simp
   then have numberOfTrailingZeros (\uparrow y) \geq 64
     using assms(1)
     by fastforce
   then have yv = 0
     using yv
       by (metis (no-types, lifting) L1 L2 add-diff-cancel-left' and.comm-neutral
and.idem\ bit.compl-zero\ bit.conj-cancel-right\ bit.conj-disj-distribs (1)\ bit.double-compl
less-imp-diff-less\ linorder-not-le\ word-not-dist(2))
   then show ?thesis
     by (metis add.right-neutral eval evalDet lhs rhs)
 qed
qed
done
```

thm-oracles improved-opt

```
end
```

begin

```
phase NewAnd
 terminating size
begin
optimization redundant-lhs-y-or: ((x \mid y) \& z) \longmapsto x \& z
                           when (((and (IRExpr-up y) (IRExpr-up z)) = 0))
 apply (simp add: IRExpr-up-def)
 using simple-mask.exp-eliminate-y by blast
optimization redundant-lhs-x-or: ((x \mid y) \& z) \longmapsto y \& z
                          when (((and (IRExpr-up x) (IRExpr-up z)) = 0))
 apply (simp add: IRExpr-up-def)
 \mathbf{using}\ simple-mask.\ exp-eliminate-y
 by (meson exp-or-commute mono-binary order-refl order-trans)
\mathbf{optimization}\ \mathit{redundant\text{-}rhs\text{-}y\text{-}or}\colon (z\ \&\ (x\ |\ y)) \longmapsto z\ \&\ x
                           when (((and (IRExpr-up y) (IRExpr-up z)) = 0))
 apply (simp add: IRExpr-up-def)
 using simple-mask.exp-eliminate-y
 by (meson exp-and-commute order.trans)
optimization redundant-rhs-x-or: (z \& (x \mid y)) \longmapsto z \& y
                          when (((and (IRExpr-up x) (IRExpr-up z)) = 0))
 apply (simp add: IRExpr-up-def)
 using simple-mask.exp-eliminate-y
 by (meson dual-order.trans exp-and-commute exp-or-commute mono-binary or-
der-refl)
end
end
11.8
       NotNode Phase
theory NotPhase
 imports
   Common
begin
phase NotNode
 terminating size
```

```
lemma bin-not-cancel:
 bin[\neg(\neg(e))] = bin[e]
  by auto
\mathbf{lemma}\ val\text{-}not\text{-}cancel:
  \begin{array}{ll} \textbf{assumes} \ val[^{\sim}(\textit{new-int}\ b\ v)] \neq \textit{UndefVal} \\ \textbf{shows} \quad val[^{\sim}(^{\sim}(\textit{new-int}\ b\ v))] = (\textit{new-int}\ b\ v) \end{array}
  by (simp add: take-bit-not-take-bit)
\mathbf{lemma}\ \textit{exp-not-cancel} :
   exp[^{\sim}(^{\sim}a)] \ge exp[a]
   using val-not-cancel apply auto
 \textbf{by} \ (\textit{metis eval-unused-bits-zero intval-logic-negation}. \\ \textit{cases new-int.simps} \ intval-not.simps (1)
       intval-not.simps(2) intval-not.simps(3) intval-not.simps(4))
Optimisations
optimization NotCancel: exp[^{\sim}(^{\sim}a)] \longmapsto a
  by (metis exp-not-cancel)
end
end
```

11.9 OrNode Phase

theory OrPhase imports
Common begin

context stamp-mask
begin

Taking advantage of the truth table of or operations.

If row 2 never applies, that is, can BeZero x & can BeOne y = 0, then (x|y) = x.

Likewise, if row 3 never applies, can BeZero y & can BeOne x = 0, then (x|y) = y.

```
lemma OrLeftFallthrough:
 assumes (and (not (\downarrow x)) (\uparrow y)) = 0
 shows exp[x \mid y] \ge exp[x]
 using assms
 apply simp apply ((rule allI)+; rule impI)
 subgoal premises eval for m p v
 proof -
   obtain b vv where e: [m, p] \vdash exp[x \mid y] \mapsto IntVal\ b\ vv
     by (metis\ BinaryExprE\ bin-eval-new-int\ new-int.simps\ eval(2))
   from e obtain xv where xv: [m, p] \vdash x \mapsto IntVal\ b\ xv
     apply (subst (asm) unfold-binary-width)
     by force+
   from e obtain yv where yv: [m, p] \vdash y \mapsto IntVal\ b\ yv
     apply (subst (asm) unfold-binary-width)
     by force+
   have vdef: v = intval\text{-}or (IntVal b xv) (IntVal b yv)
     by (metis bin-eval.simps(5) eval(2) evalDet unfold-binary xv yv)
   have \forall i. (bit xv i) | (bit yv i) = (bit xv i)
     by (metis assms bit-and-iff not-down-up-mask-and-zero-implies-zero xv yv)
   then have IntVal\ b\ xv = intval\text{-}or\ (IntVal\ b\ xv)\ (IntVal\ b\ yv)
   by (smt (verit, ccfv-threshold) and idem assms bit.conj-disj-distrib eval-unused-bits-zero
      intval\text{-}or.simps(1)\ new\text{-}int.simps\ new\text{-}int\text{-}bin.simps\ not\text{-}down\text{-}up\text{-}mask\text{-}and\text{-}zero\text{-}implies\text{-}zero
         word-ao-absorbs(3) xv yv)
   then show ?thesis
     using xv vdef by presburger
 ged
 done
lemma OrRightFallthrough:
  assumes (and (not (\downarrow y)) (\uparrow x)) = 0
 shows exp[x \mid y] \ge exp[y]
 using assms
 apply simp apply ((rule allI)+; rule impI)
 subgoal premises eval for m p v
 proof -
   obtain b vv where e: [m, p] \vdash exp[x \mid y] \mapsto IntVal\ b\ vv
     by (metis\ BinaryExprE\ bin-eval-new-int\ new-int.simps\ eval(2))
   from e obtain xv where xv: [m, p] \vdash x \mapsto IntVal\ b\ xv
     \mathbf{apply} \ (\mathit{subst} \ (\mathit{asm}) \ \mathit{unfold\text{-}binary\text{-}width})
     by force+
   from e obtain yv where yv: [m, p] \vdash y \mapsto IntVal\ b\ yv
     apply (subst (asm) unfold-binary-width)
     by force+
   have vdef: v = intval\text{-}or (IntVal b xv) (IntVal b yv)
     bv (metis bin-eval.simps(5) eval(2) evalDet unfold-binary xv yv)
   have \forall i. (bit xv i) | (bit yv i) = (bit yv i)
     by (metis assms bit-and-iff not-down-up-mask-and-zero-implies-zero xv yv)
```

```
then have IntVal\ b\ yv = intval\text{-}or\ (IntVal\ b\ xv)\ (IntVal\ b\ yv)
       \mathbf{by} \ (\textit{metis} \ (\textit{no-types}, \ \textit{lifting}) \ \textit{assms} \ \textit{eval-unused-bits-zero} \ \textit{intval-or.simps} (1)
new\text{-}int.elims
              new\mbox{-}int\mbox{-}bin.elims stamp\mbox{-}mask.not\mbox{-}down\mbox{-}up\mbox{-}mask\mbox{-}and\mbox{-}zero\mbox{-}implies\mbox{-}zero
stamp	ext{-}mask	ext{-}axioms
          word-ao-absorbs(8) xv yv)
    then show ?thesis
      using vdef yv by presburger
 \mathbf{qed}
 done
end
phase OrNode
 terminating size
begin
lemma bin-or-equal:
  bin[x \mid x] = bin[x]
 by simp
lemma bin-shift-const-right-helper:
 x \mid y = y \mid x
 by simp
lemma bin-or-not-operands:
 (^{\sim}x \mid ^{\sim}y) = (^{\sim}(x \& y))
 by simp
lemma val-or-equal:
  assumes x = new\text{-}int \ b \ v
 and (val[x \mid x] \neq UndefVal)
 shows val[x \mid x] = val[x]
  apply (cases x; auto) using bin-or-equal assms
 by auto+
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{val-elim-redundant-false} :
  assumes x = new\text{-}int \ b \ v
            val[x \mid false] \neq UndefVal
  \mathbf{and}
 shows val[x \mid false] = val[x]
  using assms apply (cases x; auto) by presburger
{\bf lemma}\ \textit{val-shift-const-right-helper}:
   val[x \mid y] = val[y \mid x]
  apply (cases x; cases y; auto)
  \mathbf{by}\ (simp\ add:\ or.commute) +
```

```
lemma val-or-not-operands:
 val[^{\sim}x \mid ^{\sim}y] = val[^{\sim}(x \& y)]
 apply (cases x; cases y; auto)
 by (simp add: take-bit-not-take-bit)
lemma exp-or-equal:
  exp[x \mid x] \ge exp[x]
  \mathbf{using} \ \mathit{val-or-equal} \ \mathbf{apply} \ \mathit{auto}[\mathit{1}]
   by (smt (verit, ccfv-SIG) evalDet eval-unused-bits-zero intval-negate.elims int-
val-or.simps(2)
      intval-or.simps(6) intval-or.simps(7) new-int.simps val-or-equal)
\mathbf{lemma}\ exp\text{-}elim\text{-}redundant\text{-}false:
 exp[x \mid false] \ge exp[x]
  using val-elim-redundant-false apply auto[1]
  by (smt (verit) Value.sel(1) eval-unused-bits-zero intval-or.elims new-int.simps
      new-int-bin.simps val-elim-redundant-false)
Optimisations
optimization OrEqual: x \mid x \longmapsto x
 by (meson exp-or-equal)
optimization OrShiftConstantRight: ((const\ x)\ |\ y) \longmapsto y\ |\ (const\ x)\ when\ \neg (is-ConstantExpr
  using size-flip-binary apply force
  apply auto[1]
  by (simp add: BinaryExpr unfold-const val-shift-const-right-helper)
optimization EliminateRedundantFalse: x \mid false \longmapsto x
 by (meson exp-elim-redundant-false)
optimization OrNotOperands: (^{\sim}x \mid ^{\sim}y) \longmapsto ^{\sim}(x \& y)
   apply (metis add-2-eq-Suc' less-SucI not-add-less1 not-less-eq size-binary-const
size-non-add)
   apply auto[1]
 by (metis\ BinaryExpr\ UnaryExpr\ bin-eval.simps(4)\ intval-not.simps(2)\ unary-eval.simps(3)
     val-or-not-operands)
optimization OrLeftFallthrough:
 x \mid y \longmapsto x \text{ when } ((\text{and } (\text{not } (\text{IRExpr-down } x)) \mid (\text{IRExpr-up } y)) = 0)
 using simple-mask.OrLeftFallthrough by blast
optimization OrRightFallthrough:
  x \mid y \longmapsto y \text{ when } ((\text{and } (\text{not } (\text{IRExpr-down } y)) (\text{IRExpr-up } x)) = 0)
  using simple-mask.OrRightFallthrough by blast
```

end

11.10 ShiftNode Phase

```
theory ShiftPhase
 imports
    Common
begin
{\bf phase} \ {\it ShiftNode}
 terminating size
begin
fun intval-log2 :: Value \Rightarrow Value where
  intval-log2 (IntVal b v) = IntVal b (word-of-int (SOME e. v=2^e))
  intval-log2 -= UndefVal
fun in-bounds :: Value \Rightarrow int \Rightarrow int \Rightarrow bool where
  in-bounds (Int Val b v) l h = (l < sint <math>v \land sint v < h)
 in\text{-}bounds - l h = False
lemma
 assumes in-bounds (intval-log2 val-c) 0 32
 shows intval-left-shift x (intval-log2 val-c) = intval-mul x val-c
 apply (cases val-c; auto) using intval-left-shift.simps(1) intval-mul.simps(1) int-
val-log2.simps(1)
 sorry
lemma e-intval:
 n = intval{-}log2 \ val{-}c \land in{-}bounds \ n \ 0 \ 32 \longrightarrow
   intval-left-shift x (intval-log2 val-c) =
   intval-mul \ x \ val-c
proof (rule impI)
 assume n = intval-log2 \ val-c \land in-bounds \ n \ 0 \ 32
 show intval-left-shift x (intval-log2 val-c) =
   intval-mul x val-c
   proof (cases \exists v . val\text{-}c = IntVal 32 v)
     case True
     obtain vc where val-c = IntVal 32 vc
       using True by blast
     then have n = IntVal \ 32 \ (word-of-int \ (SOME \ e. \ vc=2^e))
        using \langle n = intval-log2 \ val-c \wedge in-bounds \ n \ 0 \ 32 \rangle \ intval-log2.simps(1) by
presburger
     then show ?thesis sorry
   next
     case False
     then have \exists v . val-c = IntVal 64 v
```

```
sorry
     then obtain vc where val\text{-}c = IntVal 64 vc
       by auto
     then have n = IntVal\ 64\ (word-of-int\ (SOME\ e.\ vc=2^e))
        using \langle n = intval-log2 \ val-c \wedge in-bounds \ n \ 0 \ 32 \rangle \ intval-log2.simps(1) by
presburger
     then show ?thesis sorry
qed
\mathbf{qed}
optimization e:
 x * (const \ c) \longmapsto x << (const \ n) \ when \ (n = intval-log2 \ c \land in-bounds \ n \ 0 \ 32)
 using e-intval
 using BinaryExprE ConstantExprE bin-eval.simps(2,7) sorry
end
end
          SignedDivNode Phase
{\bf theory}\ {\it SignedDivPhase}
 imports
    Common
begin
{\bf phase} \ {\it SignedDivNode}
 terminating size
begin
lemma val-division-by-one-is-self-32:
 assumes x = new\text{-}int 32 v
 \mathbf{shows} \ intval\text{-}div \ x \ (IntVal \ 32 \ 1) = x
  using assms apply (cases x; auto)
 by (simp add: take-bit-signed-take-bit)
\quad \text{end} \quad
end
         SignedRemNode Phase
11.12
{\bf theory} \ {\it SignedRemPhase}
 imports
```

```
Common
begin
\mathbf{phase}\ \mathit{SignedRemNode}
  terminating size
begin
lemma val-remainder-one:
  assumes intval\text{-}mod\ x\ (IntVal\ 32\ 1) \neq\ UndefVal\ 
  shows intval\text{-}mod\ x\ (IntVal\ 32\ 1) = IntVal\ 32\ 0
  using assms apply (cases x; auto) sorry
value word-of-int (sint (x2::32 word) smod 1)
end
end
          SubNode Phase
11.13
theory SubPhase
  imports
    Common
    Proofs. Stamp Eval Thms
begin
{\bf phase} \ SubNode
  terminating size
begin
lemma bin-sub-after-right-add:
  shows ((x::('a::len) \ word) + (y::('a::len) \ word)) - y = x
  \mathbf{by} \ simp
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{sub-self-is-zero}:
  shows (x::('a::len) word) - x = 0
  \mathbf{by} \ simp
\mathbf{lemma}\ bin\text{-}sub\text{-}then\text{-}left\text{-}add:
  shows (x::('a::len) \ word) - (x + (y::('a::len) \ word)) = -y
  \mathbf{by} \ simp
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{bin-sub-then-left-sub} :
  shows (x::('a::len) \ word) - (x - (y::('a::len) \ word)) = y
  \mathbf{by} \ simp
```

 ${f lemma}\ bin$ -subtract-zero:

```
shows (x :: 'a :: len \ word) - (0 :: 'a :: len \ word) = x
 \mathbf{by} \ simp
{f lemma}\ bin\mbox{-}sub\mbox{-}negative\mbox{-}value:
(x :: ('a::len) \ word) - (-(y :: ('a::len) \ word)) = x + y
 by simp
\mathbf{lemma}\ bin\text{-}sub\text{-}self\text{-}is\text{-}zero:
(x :: ('a::len) \ word) - x = 0
 by simp
lemma bin-sub-negative-const:
(x :: 'a::len \ word) - (-(y :: 'a::len \ word)) = x + y
 \mathbf{by} \ simp
lemma val-sub-after-right-add-2:
 assumes x = new-int b v
 \mathbf{assumes}\ val[(x\,+\,y)\,-\,y]\,\neq\,\mathit{UndefVal}
 shows val[(x + y) - y] = val[x]
 \mathbf{using}\ bin\text{-}sub\text{-}after\text{-}right\text{-}add
 using assms apply (cases x; cases y; auto)
 by (metis (full-types) intval-sub.simps(2))
lemma \ val-sub-after-left-sub:
 assumes val[(x - y) - x] \neq UndefVal
 shows val[(x-y)-x]=val[-y]
 using assms apply (cases x; cases y; auto)
 using intval-sub.elims by fastforce
lemma val-sub-then-left-sub:
 assumes y = new\text{-}int b v
 assumes val[x - (x - y)] \neq UndefVal
 shows val[x - (x - y)] = val[y]
 using assms apply (cases x; cases y; auto)
 by (metis\ (mono-tags)\ intval-sub.simps(5))
lemma val-subtract-zero:
 assumes x = new\text{-}int b v
 assumes intval-sub x (IntVal\ b\ 0) \neq UndefVal
 shows intval-sub x (IntVal b 0) = val[x]
 using assms by (induction x; simp)
lemma val-zero-subtract-value:
 assumes x = new\text{-}int \ b \ v
 assumes intval-sub (IntVal\ b\ 0)\ x \neq UndefVal
 shows intval-sub (IntVal b \theta) x = val[-x]
 using assms by (induction x; simp)
```

```
lemma val-sub-then-left-add:
 assumes val[x - (x + y)] \neq UndefVal
 shows val[x - (x + y)] = val[-y]
 using assms apply (cases x; cases y; auto)
 by (metis\ (mono-tags,\ lifting)\ intval-sub.simps(5))
lemma val-sub-negative-value:
 assumes val[x - (-y)] \neq UndefVal
 shows val[x - (-y)] = val[x + y]
 using assms by (cases x; cases y; auto)
lemma val-sub-self-is-zero:
 assumes x = new\text{-}int \ b \ v \land val[x - x] \neq UndefVal
 shows val[x - x] = new\text{-}int \ b \ \theta
 using assms by (cases x; auto)
lemma val-sub-negative-const:
 assumes y = new\text{-}int \ b \ v \land val[x - (-y)] \neq UndefVal
 shows val[x - (-y)] = val[x + y]
 using assms by (cases x; cases y; auto)
lemma exp-sub-after-right-add:
 shows exp[(x + y) - y] \ge exp[x]
  apply auto
 by (smt (verit) evalDet eval-unused-bits-zero intval-add.elims new-int.simps
     val-sub-after-right-add-2)
\mathbf{lemma}\ exp\text{-}sub\text{-}after\text{-}right\text{-}add2\text{:}
 shows exp[(x + y) - x] \ge exp[y]
 using exp-sub-after-right-add apply auto
 by (smt (z3) \ Value.inject(1) \ diff-eq-eq \ evalDet \ eval-unused-bits-zero \ intval-add.elims
   intval-sub.elims new-int.simps new-int-bin.simps take-bit-dist-subL bin-eval.simps (1)
     bin-eval.simps(3) intval-add-sym unfold-binary)
lemma exp-sub-negative-value:
exp[x - (-y)] \ge exp[x + y]
 apply simp
 by (smt\ (verit)\ bin-eval.simps(1)\ bin-eval.simps(3)\ evaltree-not-undefunary-eval.simps(2)
     unfold-binary unfold-unary val-sub-negative-value)
\mathbf{lemma}\ exp	ext{-}sub	ext{-}then	ext{-}left	ext{-}sub	ext{:}
  exp[x - (x - y)] \ge exp[y]
  using val-sub-then-left-sub apply auto
 subgoal premises p for m p xa xaa ya
   proof-
```

```
obtain xa where xa: [m, p] \vdash x \mapsto xa
               using p(2) by blast
           obtain ya where ya: [m, p] \vdash y \mapsto ya
               using p(5) by auto
           obtain xaa where xaa: [m, p] \vdash x \mapsto xaa
               using p(2) by blast
           have 1: val[xa - (xaa - ya)] \neq UndefVal
               by (metis evalDet p(2) p(3) p(4) p(5) xa xaa ya)
           then have val[xaa - ya] \neq UndefVal
               by auto
           then have [m, p] \vdash y \mapsto val[xa - (xaa - ya)]
               by (metis 1 Value.exhaust evalDet eval-unused-bits-zero evaltree-not-undef
               intval-sub.simps(6) intval-sub.simps(7) new-int.simps p(5) val-sub-then-left-sub
xa xaa
                       ya)
           then show ?thesis
               by (metis evalDet p(2) p(4) p(5) xa xaa ya)
       qed
    done
thm-oracles exp-sub-then-left-sub
Optimisations
optimization SubAfterAddRight: ((x + y) - y) \longmapsto x
   using exp-sub-after-right-add by blast
optimization SubAfterAddLeft: ((x + y) - x) \mapsto y
    using exp-sub-after-right-add2 by blast
optimization SubAfterSubLeft: ((x - y) - x) \longmapsto -y
    \mathbf{apply} \; (\textit{metis Suc-lessI} \; \textit{add-2-eq-Suc'} \; \textit{add-less-cancel-right less-trans-Suc} \; \textit{not-add-less1} \; \textit{add-less-cancel-right less-trans-Suc} \; \textit{not-add-less-cancel-right less-cancel-right less-cancel-righ
                   size-binary-const size-binary-lhs size-binary-rhs size-non-add)
    by (metis evalDet unary-eval.simps(2) unfold-unary val-sub-after-left-sub)
optimization SubThenAddLeft: (x - (x + y)) \longmapsto -y
     apply auto
    by (metis evalDet unary-eval.simps(2) unfold-unary val-sub-then-left-add)
optimization SubThenAddRight: (y - (x + y)) \longmapsto -x
     apply auto
  by (metis evalDet intval-add-sym unary-eval.simps(2) unfold-unary val-sub-then-left-add)
optimization SubThenSubLeft: (x - (x - y)) \mapsto y
    using size-simps apply simp
    using exp-sub-then-left-sub by blast
optimization SubtractZero: (x - (const\ IntVal\ b\ \theta)) \longmapsto x
```

```
apply auto
 by (smt (verit) add.right-neutral diff-add-cancel eval-unused-bits-zero intval-sub.elims
     intval-word.simps new-int.simps new-int-bin.simps)
thm-oracles SubtractZero
optimization SubNegativeValue: (x - (-y)) \mapsto x + y
 apply (metis add-2-eq-Suc' less-SucI less-add-Suc1 not-less-eq size-binary-const
size-non-add)
 using exp-sub-negative-value by simp
thm-oracles SubNegativeValue
lemma negate-idempotent:
 assumes x = IntVal\ b\ v \land take-bit\ b\ v = v
 shows x = val[-(-x)]
 using assms
 using is-IntVal-def by force
optimization ZeroSubtractValue: ((const\ IntVal\ b\ 0) - x) \longmapsto (-x)
                            when (wf-stamp x \wedge stamp-expr x = IntegerStamp b lo
hi \wedge \neg (is\text{-}ConstantExpr x))
  defer
 apply auto unfolding wf-stamp-def
 apply (smt (verit) diff-0 intval-negate.simps(1) intval-sub.elims intval-word.simps
        new-int-bin.simps\ unary-eval.simps(2)\ unfold-unary)
 using add-2-eq-Suc' size.simps(2) size-flip-binary by presburger
optimization SubSelfIsZero: (x - x) \longmapsto const \ IntVal \ b \ 0 \ when
                  (wf\text{-}stamp\ x \land stamp\text{-}expr\ x = IntegerStamp\ b\ lo\ hi)
  apply simp-all
  apply auto
 using IRExpr.disc(42) One-nat-def size-non-const apply presburger
 by (smt (verit, best) wf-value-def ConstantExpr evalDet eval-bits-1-64 eval-unused-bits-zero
        new-int.simps take-bit-of-0 val-sub-self-is-zero validDefIntConst valid-int
wf-stamp-def)
```

```
end
```

 $\quad \text{end} \quad$

11.14 XorNode Phase

```
theory XorPhase
  imports
    Common
    Proofs. Stamp Eval Thms
begin
{\bf phase} \ {\it XorNode}
  terminating size
begin
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{bin-xor-self-is-false} :
 bin[x \oplus x] = 0
 by simp
lemma bin-xor-commute:
 bin[x \oplus y] = bin[y \oplus x]
 by (simp add: xor.commute)
{\bf lemma}\ bin-eliminate-redundant-false:
 bin[x \oplus \theta] = bin[x]
 by simp
{f lemma}\ val	ext{-}xor	ext{-}self	ext{-}is	ext{-}false:
  assumes val[x \oplus x] \neq UndefVal
  shows val-to-bool (val[x \oplus x]) = False
  using assms by (cases x; auto)
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{val-xor-self-is-false-2} :
  assumes (val[x \oplus x]) \neq UndefVal
           x = Int Val 32 v
  shows val[x \oplus x] = bool\text{-}to\text{-}val \ False
  using assms by (cases x; auto)
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{val-xor-self-is-false-3}\colon
  assumes val[x \oplus x] \neq UndefVal \land x = IntVal 64 v
  shows val[x \oplus x] = IntVal \ 64 \ 0
  using assms by (cases x; auto)
lemma val-xor-commute:
   val[x \oplus y] = val[y \oplus x]
```

```
apply (cases x; cases y; auto)
 by (simp\ add:\ xor.commute)+
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{val-eliminate-redundant-false} :
 assumes x = new\text{-}int \ b \ v
 assumes val[x \oplus (bool\text{-}to\text{-}val\ False)] \neq UndefVal
 shows val[x \oplus (bool\text{-}to\text{-}val\ False)] = x
  using assms apply (cases x; auto)
 by meson
lemma exp-xor-self-is-false:
assumes wf-stamp x \wedge stamp-expr x = default-stamp
shows exp[x \oplus x] \ge exp[false]
 using assms apply auto unfolding wf-stamp-def
 by (smt\ (z3)\ validDefIntConst\ IntVal0\ Value.inject(1)\ bool-to-val.simps(2)
     constantAsStamp.simps(1) evalDet int-signed-value-bounds new-int.simps un-
fold-const
   val-xor-self-is-false-2\ valid-int\ valid-stamp.simps(1)\ valid-value.simps(1)\ wf-value-def)
lemma exp-eliminate-redundant-false:
 shows exp[x \oplus false] \ge exp[x]
  using val-eliminate-redundant-false apply auto
 subgoal premises p for m p xa
   proof -
     obtain xa where xa: [m, p] \vdash x \mapsto xa
       using p(2) by blast
     then have val[xa \oplus (IntVal \ 32 \ 0)] \neq UndefVal
       using evalDet p(2) p(3) by blast
     then have [m, p] \vdash x \mapsto val[xa \oplus (IntVal\ 32\ 0)]
       apply (cases xa; auto) using eval-unused-bits-zero xa by auto
     then show ?thesis
       using evalDet p(2) xa by blast
   qed
 done
Optimisations
optimization XorSelfIsFalse: (x \oplus x) \longmapsto false \ when
                   (wf\text{-}stamp\ x \land stamp\text{-}expr\ x = default\text{-}stamp)
 using size-non-const apply force
 using exp-xor-self-is-false by auto
optimization XorShiftConstantRight: ((const\ x)\ \oplus\ y) \longmapsto y \oplus (const\ x) when
\neg (is\text{-}ConstantExpr\ y)
 using size-flip-binary apply force
 unfolding le-expr-def using val-xor-commute
 by auto
optimization EliminateRedundantFalse: (x \oplus false) \longmapsto x
```

end

end

12 Conditional Elimination Phase

```
theory ConditionalElimination
imports
Semantics.IRTreeEvalThms
Proofs.Rewrites
Proofs.Bisimulation
begin
```

12.1 Individual Elimination Rules

The set of rules used for determining whether a condition q1::'a implies another condition q2::'a or its negation. These rules are used for conditional elimination.

```
inductive impliesx :: IRExpr \Rightarrow IRExpr \Rightarrow bool (- <math>\Rightarrow -) and
      impliesnot :: IRExpr \Rightarrow IRExpr \Rightarrow bool (- \Rightarrow \neg -) where
  q-imp-q:
  q \Rightarrow q
  eq-impliesnot-less:
  (BinaryExpr\ BinIntegerEquals\ x\ y) \Rightarrow \neg (BinaryExpr\ BinIntegerLessThan\ x\ y)
  eq\text{-}impliesnot\text{-}less\text{-}rev:
  (BinaryExpr\ BinIntegerEquals\ x\ y) \Rightarrow \neg\ (BinaryExpr\ BinIntegerLessThan\ y\ x) \mid
  less-implies not-rev-less:
  (BinaryExpr\ BinIntegerLessThan\ x\ y) \Rrightarrow \neg (BinaryExpr\ BinIntegerLessThan\ y\ x)
  less-implies not-eq:
  (BinaryExpr\ BinIntegerLessThan\ x\ y) \Rightarrow \neg\ (BinaryExpr\ BinIntegerEquals\ x\ y) \mid
  less-implies not-eq-rev:
  (BinaryExpr\ BinIntegerLessThan\ x\ y) \Rightarrow \neg\ (BinaryExpr\ BinIntegerEquals\ y\ x) \mid
  negate-true:
  \llbracket x \Rightarrow \neg y \rrbracket \implies x \Rightarrow (UnaryExpr\ UnaryLogicNegation\ y) \mid
  negate-false:
  \llbracket x \Rightarrow y \rrbracket \Longrightarrow x \Rightarrow \neg (UnaryExpr\ UnaryLogicNegation\ y)
```

The relation $q1::IRExpr \Rightarrow q2::IRExpr$ indicates that the implication $(q1::bool) \rightarrow (q2::bool)$ is known true (i.e. universally valid), and the relation $q1::IRExpr \Rightarrow q2::IRExpr$ indicates that the implication $(q1::bool) \rightarrow (q2::bool)$ is known false (i.e. $(q1::bool) \rightarrow \neg (q2::bool)$ is universally valid. If neither

```
q1::IRExpr \Rightarrow q2::IRExpr nor q1::IRExpr \Rightarrow \neg q2::IRExpr then the status is unknown. Only the known true and known false cases can be used for conditional elimination.
```

```
fun implies-valid :: IRExpr \Rightarrow IRExpr \Rightarrow bool (infix \rightarrow 50) where
  implies-valid q1 q2 =
    (\forall \ m \ p \ v1 \ v2. \ ([m, \ p] \ \vdash \ q1 \mapsto v1) \ \land \ ([m, p] \ \vdash \ q2 \mapsto v2) \longrightarrow
            (val\text{-}to\text{-}bool\ v1 \longrightarrow val\text{-}to\text{-}bool\ v2))
fun impliesnot\text{-}valid :: IRExpr \Rightarrow IRExpr \Rightarrow bool (infix <math>\mapsto 50) where
  implies not-valid \ q1 \ q2 =
    (\forall m \ p \ v1 \ v2. \ ([m, p] \vdash q1 \mapsto v1) \land ([m, p] \vdash q2 \mapsto v2) \longrightarrow
            (val\text{-}to\text{-}bool\ v1 \longrightarrow \neg val\text{-}to\text{-}bool\ v2))
The relation (q1::IRExpr) \rightarrow (q2::IRExpr) means (q1::bool) \rightarrow (q2::bool)
is universally valid, and the relation (q1::IRExpr) \mapsto (q2::IRExpr) means
(q1::bool) \longrightarrow \neg (q2::bool) is universally valid.
lemma eq-impliesnot-less-helper:
  v1 = v2 \longrightarrow \neg (int\text{-}signed\text{-}value\ b\ v1 < int\text{-}signed\text{-}value\ b\ v2)
  by force
lemma eq-impliesnot-less-val:
  val-to-bool(intval-equals v1 v2) \longrightarrow \neg val-to-bool(intval-less-than v1 v2)
 using eq-implies not-less-helper bool-to-val. simps bool-to-val-bin. simps intval-equals. simps
    intval-less-than. elims\ val-to-bool. elims\ val-to-bool. simps
  by (smt (verit))
{f lemma}\ eq\hbox{-}impliesnot\hbox{-}less\hbox{-}rev\hbox{-}val:
  val-to-bool(intval-equals v1 v2) \longrightarrow \neg val-to-bool(intval-less-than v2 v1)
proof -
  have a: intval-equals v1 v2 = intval-equals v2 v1
    using bool-to-val-bin.simps intval-equals.simps intval-equals.elims
    by (smt (verit))
  show ?thesis using a eq-impliesnot-less-val by presburger
qed
lemma less-impliesnot-rev-less-val:
  val-to-bool(intval-less-than v1 v2) \longrightarrow \neg val-to-bool(intval-less-than v2 v1)
  by (smt\ (verit,\ del\text{-}insts)\ Value.exhaust\ Value.inject(1)\ bool-to-val.simps(2)
      bool\-to\-val\-bin.simps intval\-less\-than.simps(1) intval\-less\-than.simps(5)
      intval-less-than.simps(6) intval-less-than.simps(7) val-to-bool.elims(2))
\mathbf{lemma}\ less-implies not-eq-val:
  val-to-bool(intval-less-than v1 v2) \longrightarrow \neg val-to-bool(intval-equals v1 v2)
  using eq-implies not-less-val by blast
\mathbf{lemma}\ logic\text{-}negate\text{-}type\text{:}
  assumes [m, p] \vdash UnaryExpr\ UnaryLogicNegation\ x \mapsto v
  shows \exists b \ v2. \ [m, p] \vdash x \mapsto IntVal \ b \ v2
```

```
using assms
 by (metis UnaryExprE intval-logic-negation.elims unary-eval.simps(4))
lemma intval-logic-negation-inverse:
 assumes b > 0
 assumes x = IntVal b v
 shows val-to-bool (intval-logic-negation x) \longleftrightarrow \neg (val\text{-to-bool}\ x)
 using assms by (cases x; auto simp: logic-negate-def)
lemma logic-negation-relation-tree:
  assumes [m, p] \vdash y \mapsto val
 assumes [m, p] \vdash UnaryExpr\ UnaryLogicNegation\ y \mapsto invval
 shows val-to-bool val \longleftrightarrow \neg(val-to-bool invval)
 \mathbf{using}\ assms\ \mathbf{using}\ intval\text{-}logic\text{-}negation\text{-}inverse
 by (metis\ UnaryExprE\ evalDet\ eval-bits-1-64\ logic-negate-type\ unary-eval.simps(4))
The following theorem shows that the known true/false rules are valid.
theorem implies-impliesnot-valid:
 shows ((q1 \Rightarrow q2) \longrightarrow (q1 \rightarrowtail q2)) \land
        ((q1 \Longrightarrow \neg q2) \longrightarrow (q1 \rightarrowtail q2))
         (is (?imp \longrightarrow ?val) \land (?notimp \longrightarrow ?notval))
proof (induct q1 q2 rule: impliesx-impliesnot.induct)
 case (q-imp-q q)
 then show ?case
   using evalDet by fastforce
 case (eq\text{-}impliesnot\text{-}less \ x \ y)
 then show ?case apply auto using eq-impliesnot-less-val evalDet by blast
  case (eq\text{-}impliesnot\text{-}less\text{-}rev \ x \ y)
  then show ?case apply auto using eq-impliesnot-less-rev-val evalDet by blast
  case (less-impliesnot-rev-less x y)
 then show ?case apply auto using less-implies not-rev-less-val eval Det by blast
next
  case (less-implies not-eq x y)
  then show ?case apply auto using less-implies not-eq-val eval Det by blast
  case (less-impliesnot-eq-rev x y)
 then show ?case apply auto using eq-impliesnot-less-rev-val evalDet by metis
 case (negate-true \ x \ y)
 then show ?case apply auto
   by (metis logic-negation-relation-tree unary-eval.simps(4) unfold-unary)
 case (negate-false \ x \ y)
 then show ?case apply auto
   by (metis\ UnaryExpr\ logic-negation-relation-tree\ unary-eval.simps(4))
qed
```

We introduce a type TriState::'a (as in the GraalVM compiler) to represent when static analysis can tell us information about the value of a Boolean expression. If Unknown::'a then no information can be inferred and if Known-True::'a/KnownFalse::'a one can infer the expression is always true/false.

```
datatype TriState = Unknown | KnownTrue | KnownFalse
```

The implies relation corresponds to the LogicNode.implies method from the compiler which attempts to infer when one logic nodes value can be inferred from a known logic node.

```
inductive implies :: IRGraph \Rightarrow IRNode \Rightarrow IRNode \Rightarrow TriState \Rightarrow bool
  (-\vdash - \& - \hookrightarrow -) for g where
  eq-imp-less:
  g \vdash (IntegerEqualsNode \ x \ y) \& (IntegerLessThanNode \ x \ y) \hookrightarrow KnownFalse \mid
  eq-imp-less-rev:
  g \vdash (IntegerEqualsNode \ x \ y) \ \& \ (IntegerLessThanNode \ y \ x) \hookrightarrow KnownFalse \mid
  less-imp-rev-less:
  g \vdash (IntegerLessThanNode \ x \ y) \ \& \ (IntegerLessThanNode \ y \ x) \hookrightarrow KnownFalse \mid
  less-imp-not-eq:
  g \vdash (IntegerLessThanNode \ x \ y) \ \& \ (IntegerEqualsNode \ x \ y) \hookrightarrow KnownFalse \mid
  less-imp-not-eq-rev:
  g \vdash (IntegerLessThanNode \ x \ y) \ \& \ (IntegerEqualsNode \ y \ x) \hookrightarrow KnownFalse \ |
  x-imp-x:
  g \vdash x \& x \hookrightarrow KnownTrue \mid
  negate-false:
   \llbracket g \vdash x \& (kind \ g \ y) \hookrightarrow KnownTrue \rrbracket \implies g \vdash x \& (LogicNegationNode \ y) \hookrightarrow
KnownFalse |
  negate-true:
   \llbracket g \vdash x \ \& \ (\mathit{kind} \ g \ y) \ \hookrightarrow \ \mathit{KnownFalse} \rrbracket \implies g \vdash x \ \& \ (\mathit{LogicNegationNode} \ y) \ \hookrightarrow \\
Known True
Total relation over partial implies relation
inductive condition-implies :: IRGraph \Rightarrow IRNode \Rightarrow IRNode \Rightarrow TriState \Rightarrow bool
  (-\vdash - \& - \rightharpoonup -) for g where
  \llbracket \neg (g \vdash a \& b \hookrightarrow imp) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow (g \vdash a \& b \rightharpoonup Unknown) \mid
  \llbracket (g \vdash a \& b \hookrightarrow imp) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow (g \vdash a \& b \rightharpoonup imp)
inductive implies-tree :: IRExpr \Rightarrow IRExpr \Rightarrow bool \Rightarrow bool
  (-\&-\hookrightarrow-) where
  eq-imp-less:
  (BinaryExpr\ BinIntegerEquals\ x\ y)\ \&\ (BinaryExpr\ BinIntegerLessThan\ x\ y)\hookrightarrow
False |
  eq-imp-less-rev:
  (BinaryExpr\ BinIntegerEquals\ x\ y)\ \&\ (BinaryExpr\ BinIntegerLessThan\ y\ x)\hookrightarrow
False |
  less-imp-rev-less:
```

```
(BinaryExpr\ BinIntegerLessThan\ x\ y)\ \&\ (BinaryExpr\ BinIntegerLessThan\ y\ x)
\hookrightarrow False |
  less\mbox{-}imp\mbox{-}not\mbox{-}eq:
  (BinaryExpr\ BinIntegerLessThan\ x\ y)\ \&\ (BinaryExpr\ BinIntegerEquals\ x\ y)\hookrightarrow
False |
  less-imp-not-eq-rev:
  (BinaryExpr\ BinIntegerLessThan\ x\ y)\ \&\ (BinaryExpr\ BinIntegerEquals\ y\ x)\hookrightarrow
False |
  x-imp-x:
  x \& x \hookrightarrow True \mid
  negate-false:
  \llbracket x \& y \hookrightarrow True \rrbracket \Longrightarrow x \& (UnaryExpr\ UnaryLogicNegation\ y) \hookrightarrow False \rrbracket
  negate-true:
  \llbracket x \ \& \ y \hookrightarrow False \rrbracket \Longrightarrow x \ \& \ (UnaryExpr\ UnaryLogicNegation\ y) \hookrightarrow True
Proofs that the implies relation is correct with respect to the existing eval-
uation semantics.
{\bf lemma}\ logic \textit{-negation-relation}:
  assumes [g, m, p] \vdash y \mapsto val
  assumes kind \ q \ neq = LogicNegationNode \ y
  assumes [g, m, p] \vdash neg \mapsto invval
  assumes invval \neq UndefVal
  shows val-to-bool val \longleftrightarrow \neg(val-to-bool invval)
  using assms
  by (metis LogicNegationNode encodeeval-def logic-negation-relation-tree repDet)
lemma implies-valid:
  assumes x \& y \hookrightarrow imp
  assumes [m, p] \vdash x \mapsto v1
  assumes [m, p] \vdash y \mapsto v2
  shows (imp \longrightarrow (val\text{-}to\text{-}bool\ v1 \longrightarrow val\text{-}to\text{-}bool\ v2)) \land
         (\neg imp \longrightarrow (val\text{-}to\text{-}bool\ v1 \longrightarrow \neg(val\text{-}to\text{-}bool\ v2)))
    (is (?TP \longrightarrow ?TC) \land (?FP \longrightarrow ?FC))
  apply (intro\ conjI; rule\ impI)
proof -
  assume KnownTrue: ?TP
  show ?TC
 using assms(1) KnownTrue assms(2-) proof (induct x y imp rule: implies-tree.induct)
    case (eq\text{-}imp\text{-}less \ x \ y)
    then show ?case by simp
  next
    case (eq\text{-}imp\text{-}less\text{-}rev \ x \ y)
    then show ?case by simp
    case (less-imp-rev-less \ x \ y)
    then show ?case by simp
  next
    case (less-imp-not-eq \ x \ y)
```

```
then show ?case by simp
  \mathbf{next}
   case (less-imp-not-eq-rev \ x \ y)
   then show ?case by simp
  next
   case (x-imp-x)
   then show ?case
     by (metis evalDet)
  next
   case (negate-false x1)
   then show ?case using evalDet
     using assms(2,3) by blast
 next
   case (negate-true \ x \ y)
   then show ?case
     using logic-negation-relation-tree sorry
 qed
next
 assume KnownFalse: ?FP
 show ?FC using assms KnownFalse proof (induct x y imp rule: implies-tree.induct)
   case (eq\text{-}imp\text{-}less \ x \ y)
   obtain xval where xval: [m, p] \vdash x \mapsto xval
     using eq\text{-}imp\text{-}less(1) eq\text{-}imp\text{-}less.prems(3)
     by blast
   then obtain yval where yval: [m, p] \vdash y \mapsto yval
     using eq-imp-less.prems(3)
     using eq-imp-less.prems(2) by blast
   have eqeval: [m, p] \vdash (BinaryExpr\ BinIntegerEquals\ x\ y) \mapsto intval\text{-equals\ xval}
yval
     using xval yval evaltree.BinaryExpr
     by (metis BinaryExprE bin-eval.simps(11) eq-imp-less.prems(1) evalDet)
   have lesseval: [m, p] \vdash (BinaryExpr\ BinIntegerLessThan\ x\ y) \mapsto intval\text{-less-than}
xval yval
     using xval yval evaltree.BinaryExpr
     by (metis BinaryExprE bin-eval.simps(12) eq-imp-less.prems(2) evalDet)
   have val-to-bool (intval-equals xval yval) \longrightarrow \neg (val-to-bool (intval-less-than xval
yval))
     apply (cases xval; cases yval; auto)
     by (smt (verit, best) bool-to-val.simps(2) val-to-bool.simps(1))
   then show ?case
     using eqeval lesseval
     by (metis eq-imp-less.prems(1) eq-imp-less.prems(2) evalDet)
   case (eq\text{-}imp\text{-}less\text{-}rev \ x \ y)
   obtain xval where xval: [m, p] \vdash x \mapsto xval
     using eq-imp-less-rev.prems(3)
     using eq-imp-less-rev.prems(2) by blast
   obtain yval where yval: [m, p] \vdash y \mapsto yval
     using eq-imp-less-rev.prems(3)
```

```
using eq-imp-less-rev.prems(2) by blast
   have eqeval: [m, p] \vdash (BinaryExpr\ BinIntegerEquals\ x\ y) \mapsto intval\text{-equals\ xval}
yval
     using xval yval evaltree.BinaryExpr
     by (metis BinaryExprE bin-eval.simps(11) eq-imp-less-rev.prems(1) evalDet)
   have lesseval: [m, p] \vdash (BinaryExpr\ BinIntegerLessThan\ y\ x) \mapsto intval\text{-less-than}
yval xval
     using xval yval evaltree.BinaryExpr
     by (metis\ BinaryExprE\ bin-eval.simps(12)\ eq-imp-less-rev.prems(2)\ evalDet)
   have val-to-bool (intval-equals xval yval) \longrightarrow \neg(val-to-bool (intval-less-than yval
xval))
     apply (cases xval; cases yval; auto)
     by (metis\ (full-types)\ bool-to-val.simps(2)\ less-irrefl\ val-to-bool.simps(1))
   then show ?case
     using eqeval lesseval
     by (metis eq-imp-less-rev.prems(1) eq-imp-less-rev.prems(2) evalDet)
   case (less-imp-rev-less \ x \ y)
   obtain xval where xval: [m, p] \vdash x \mapsto xval
     using less-imp-rev-less.prems(3)
     using less-imp-rev-less.prems(2) by blast
   obtain yval where yval: [m, p] \vdash y \mapsto yval
     using less-imp-rev-less.prems(3)
     using less-imp-rev-less.prems(2) by blast
   have lesseval: [m, p] \vdash (BinaryExpr\ BinIntegerLessThan\ x\ y) \mapsto intval\text{-less-than}
xval yval
     using xval yval evaltree.BinaryExpr
    by (metis BinaryExprE bin-eval.simps(12) evalDet less-imp-rev-less.prems(1))
     have revlesseval: [m, p] \vdash (BinaryExpr\ BinIntegerLessThan\ y\ x) \mapsto int-
val-less-than yval xval
     using xval yval evaltree.BinaryExpr
    by (metis BinaryExprE bin-eval.simps(12) evalDet less-imp-rev-less.prems(2))
   have val-to-bool (intval-less-than xval yval) \longrightarrow \neg (val\text{-to-bool} (intval\text{-less-than}))
yval xval))
     apply (cases xval; cases yval; auto)
     by (smt\ (verit)\ bool-to-val.simps(2)\ val-to-bool.simps(1))
   then show ?case
   by (metis evalDet less-imp-rev-less.prems(1) less-imp-rev-less.prems(2) lesseval
revlesseval)
  next
   case (less-imp-not-eq \ x \ y)
   obtain xval where xval: [m, p] \vdash x \mapsto xval
     using less-imp-not-eq.prems(3)
     using less-imp-not-eq.prems(1) by blast
   obtain yval where yval: [m, p] \vdash y \mapsto yval
     using less-imp-not-eq.prems(3)
     using less-imp-not-eq.prems(1) by blast
   have eqeval: [m, p] \vdash (BinaryExpr\ BinIntegerEquals\ x\ y) \mapsto intval\text{-equals}\ xval
yval
```

```
using xval yval evaltree.BinaryExpr
     by (metis\ BinaryExprE\ bin-eval.simps(11)\ evalDet\ less-imp-not-eq.prems(2))
  have lesseval: [m, p] \vdash (BinaryExpr\ BinIntegerLessThan\ x\ y) \mapsto intval\text{-less-than}
xval yval
     using xval yval evaltree.BinaryExpr
     by (metis BinaryExprE bin-eval.simps(12) evalDet less-imp-not-eq.prems(1))
  have val-to-bool (intval-less-than xval yval) \longrightarrow \neg(val-to-bool (intval-equals xval
yval))
     apply (cases xval; cases yval; auto)
     by (smt\ (verit,\ best)\ bool-to-val.simps(2)\ val-to-bool.simps(1))
   then show ?case
      by (metis eqeval evalDet less-imp-not-eq.prems(1) less-imp-not-eq.prems(2)
lesseval)
 next
   case (less-imp-not-eq-rev \ x \ y)
   obtain xval where xval: [m, p] \vdash x \mapsto xval
     using less-imp-not-eq-rev.prems(3)
     using less-imp-not-eq-rev.prems(1) by blast
   obtain yval where yval: [m, p] \vdash y \mapsto yval
     using less-imp-not-eq-rev.prems(3)
     using less-imp-not-eq-rev.prems(1) by blast
   have eqeval: [m, p] \vdash (BinaryExpr\ BinIntegerEquals\ y\ x) \mapsto intval\text{-equals\ }yval
xval
     using xval yval evaltree.BinaryExpr
   by (metis BinaryExprE bin-eval.simps(11) evalDet less-imp-not-eq-rev.prems(2))
  have lesseval: [m, p] \vdash (BinaryExpr\ BinIntegerLessThan\ x\ y) \mapsto intval\text{-less-than}
xval yval
     using xval yval evaltree.BinaryExpr
   by (metis BinaryExprE bin-eval.simps(12) evalDet less-imp-not-eq-rev.prems(1))
  have val-to-bool (intval-less-than xval yval) \longrightarrow \neg(val-to-bool (intval-equals yval)
xval))
     apply (cases xval; cases yval; auto)
     by (smt\ (verit,\ best)\ bool-to-val.simps(2)\ val-to-bool.simps(1))
   then show ?case
   by (metis eqeval evalDet less-imp-not-eq-rev.prems(1) less-imp-not-eq-rev.prems(2)
lesseval)
 next
   case (x-imp-x x1)
   then show ?case by simp
  next
   case (negate-false \ x \ y)
   then show ?case sorry
   case (negate-true x1)
   then show ?case by simp
 qed
qed
lemma implies-true-valid:
```

```
assumes x \& y \hookrightarrow imp
assumes imp
assumes [m, p] \vdash x \mapsto v1
assumes [m, p] \vdash y \mapsto v2
shows val-to-bool v1 \longrightarrow val-to-bool v2
using assms implies-valid
by blast

lemma implies-false-valid:
assumes x \& y \hookrightarrow imp
assumes \neg imp
assumes [m, p] \vdash x \mapsto v1
assumes [m, p] \vdash y \mapsto v2
shows val-to-bool v1 \longrightarrow \neg (val-to-bool v2)
using assms implies-valid by blast
```

The following relation corresponds to the UnaryOpLogicNode.tryFold and BinaryOpLogicNode.tryFold methods and their associated concrete implementations.

The relation determines if a logic operation can be shown true or false through the stamp typing information.

```
inductive tryFold :: IRNode \Rightarrow (ID \Rightarrow Stamp) \Rightarrow bool \Rightarrow bool
where

[alwaysDistinct \ (stamps \ x) \ (stamps \ y)]
\Rightarrow tryFold \ (IntegerEqualsNode \ x \ y) \ stamps \ False \ |
[neverDistinct \ (stamps \ x) \ (stamps \ y)]
\Rightarrow tryFold \ (IntegerEqualsNode \ x \ y) \ stamps \ True \ |
[is-IntegerStamp \ (stamps \ x);
is-IntegerStamp \ (stamps \ x) \ < stpi-lower \ (stamps \ y)]
\Rightarrow tryFold \ (IntegerLessThanNode \ x \ y) \ stamps \ True \ |
[is-IntegerStamp \ (stamps \ x);
is-IntegerStamp \ (stamps \ x);
is-IntegerStamp \ (stamps \ x);
stpi-lower \ (stamps \ x) \ \geq stpi-upper \ (stamps \ y)]
\Rightarrow tryFold \ (IntegerLessThanNode \ x \ y) \ stamps \ False
```

Proofs that show that when the stamp lookup function is well-formed, the tryFold relation correctly predicts the output value with respect to our evaluation semantics.

lemma

```
assumes kind\ g\ nid = IntegerEqualsNode\ x\ y assumes [g,\ m,\ p] \vdash nid \mapsto v assumes ([g,\ m,\ p] \vdash x \mapsto xval) \land ([g,\ m,\ p] \vdash y \mapsto yval) shows val-to-bool (intval-equals xval\ yval) \longleftrightarrow v = IntVal\ 32\ 1 proof - have v = intval-equals xval\ yval using assms(1,\ 2,\ 3)\ BinaryExprE\ IntegerEqualsNode\ bin-eval.simps(7) by (smt\ (verit)\ bin-eval.simps(11)\ encodeeval-def evalDet repDet)
```

```
then show ?thesis using intval-equals.simps val-to-bool.simps
   \mathbf{by} \ (smt \ (verit) \ bool-to-val.simps(1) \ bool-to-val.simps(2) \ bool-to-val-bin.simps
       intval-equals.elims one-neq-zero)
qed
\mathbf{lemma}\ tryFoldIntegerEqualsAlwaysDistinct:
 assumes wf-stamp g stamps
 assumes kind \ g \ nid = (IntegerEqualsNode \ x \ y)
 assumes [g, m, p] \vdash nid \mapsto v
 assumes alwaysDistinct\ (stamps\ x)\ (stamps\ y)
 shows v = IntVal \ 32 \ 0
proof -
 have \forall val. \neg(valid-value val (join (stamps x) (stamps y)))
   using assms(1,4) unfolding alwaysDistinct.simps
   by (smt (verit, best) is-stamp-empty.elims(2) valid-int valid-value.simps(1))
  obtain xv where [q, m, p] \vdash x \mapsto xv
   using assms using assms(2,3) unfolding encodeeval-def sorry
 have \neg(\exists val . ([g, m, p] \vdash x \mapsto val) \land ([g, m, p] \vdash y \mapsto val))
     using assms(1,4) unfolding alwaysDistinct.simps wf-stamp.simps encodee-
val-def sorry
  then show ?thesis sorry
\mathbf{qed}
\mathbf{lemma}\ tryFoldIntegerEqualsNeverDistinct:
  assumes wf-stamp g stamps
 assumes kind\ g\ nid = (IntegerEqualsNode\ x\ y)
 assumes [q, m, p] \vdash nid \mapsto v
 assumes neverDistinct\ (stamps\ x)\ (stamps\ y)
 shows v = IntVal \ 32 \ 1
 using assms IntegerEqualsNodeE sorry
lemma tryFoldIntegerLessThanTrue:
 assumes wf-stamp g stamps
 \mathbf{assumes} \ kind \ g \ nid = (IntegerLessThanNode \ x \ y)
 assumes [g, m, p] \vdash nid \mapsto v
 assumes stpi-upper\ (stamps\ x) < stpi-lower\ (stamps\ y)
 shows v = IntVal 32 1
proof -
  have stamp-type: is-IntegerStamp (stamps x)
   using assms
   sorry
  obtain xval where xval: [g, m, p] \vdash x \mapsto xval
   using assms(2,3) sorry
 obtain yval where yval: [g, m, p] \vdash y \mapsto yval
   using assms(2,3) sorry
  have is-IntegerStamp (stamps x) \land is-IntegerStamp (stamps y)
   using assms(4)
   sorry
  then have val-to-bool (intval-less-than xval yval)
```

```
sorry
 then show ?thesis
   sorry
qed
\mathbf{lemma} \ tryFoldIntegerLessThanFalse:
 assumes wf-stamp g stamps
 assumes kind\ g\ nid = (IntegerLessThanNode\ x\ y)
 assumes [g, m, p] \vdash nid \mapsto v
 assumes stpi-lower (stamps x) \ge stpi-upper (stamps y)
 shows v = IntVal \ 32 \ \theta
 proof –
 have stamp-type: is-IntegerStamp (stamps x)
   using assms
   sorry
 obtain xval where xval: [g, m, p] \vdash x \mapsto xval
   using assms(2,3) sorry
 obtain yval where yval: [g, m, p] \vdash y \mapsto yval
   using assms(2,3) sorry
 have is-IntegerStamp (stamps x) \land is-IntegerStamp (stamps y)
   using assms(4)
   sorry
 then have \neg(val\text{-}to\text{-}bool\ (intval\text{-}less\text{-}than\ xval\ yval))
 then show ?thesis
   sorry
qed
theorem tryFoldProofTrue:
 assumes wf-stamp g stamps
 assumes tryFold (kind g nid) stamps True
 assumes [g, m, p] \vdash nid \mapsto v
 shows val-to-bool v
 using assms(2) proof (induction kind g nid stamps True rule: tryFold.induct)
case (1 stamps x y)
 then show ?case using tryFoldIntegerEqualsAlwaysDistinct assms
   by force
\mathbf{next}
 case (2 stamps x y)
 then show ?case using tryFoldIntegerEqualsAlwaysDistinct assms
   by (smt (verit, best) one-neq-zero tryFold.cases tryFoldIntegerEqualsNeverDis-
tinct \ tryFoldIntegerLessThanTrue \ val-to-bool.simps(1))
\mathbf{next}
 case (3 stamps x y)
 then show ?case using tryFoldIntegerLessThanTrue\ assms
   by (smt (verit, best) one-neq-zero tryFold.cases tryFoldIntegerEqualsNeverDis-
tinct\ val-to-bool.simps(1))
next
case (4 stamps x y)
```

```
then show ?case using tryFoldIntegerLessThanFalse assms sorry qed
```

```
theorem tryFoldProofFalse:
 assumes wf-stamp q stamps
 assumes tryFold (kind g nid) stamps False
 \mathbf{assumes}\ [g,\ m,\ p] \vdash \mathit{nid} \mapsto v
 shows \neg(val\text{-}to\text{-}bool\ v)
using assms(2) proof (induction kind g nid stamps False rule: tryFold.induct)
case (1 stamps x y)
  then show ?case using tryFoldIntegerEqualsAlwaysDistinct assms sorry
case (2 stamps x y)
 \textbf{then show}~? case~\textbf{using}~tryFoldIntegerEqualsNeverDistinct~assms~\textbf{sorry}
next
 case (3 stamps x y)
 then show ?case using tryFoldIntegerLessThanTrue assms sorry
next
  case (4 stamps x y)
 then show ?case using tryFoldIntegerLessThanFalse assms sorry
qed
```

```
inductive-cases Step E:
 g, p \vdash (nid, m, h) \rightarrow (nid', m', h)
```

Perform conditional elimination rewrites on the graph for a particular node. In order to determine conditional eliminations appropriately the rule needs two data structures produced by static analysis. The first parameter is the set of IRNodes that we know result in a true value when evaluated. The second parameter is a mapping from node identifiers to the flow-sensitive stamp.

The relation transforms the third parameter to the fifth parameter for a node identifier which represents the fourth parameter.

```
{\bf inductive} \ \ {\it Conditional Elimination Step}::
```

```
IRExpr\ set \Rightarrow (ID \Rightarrow Stamp) \Rightarrow IRGraph \Rightarrow ID \Rightarrow IRGraph \Rightarrow bool\ where impliesTrue:
\llbracket kind\ g\ ifcond = (IfNode\ cid\ t\ f);
g \vdash cid \simeq cond;
\exists\ ce \in conds\ .\ (ce \Rightarrow cond);
g' = constantCondition\ True\ ifcond\ (kind\ g\ ifcond)\ g
\rrbracket \Rightarrow ConditionalEliminationStep\ conds\ stamps\ g\ ifcond\ g'\ |
impliesFalse:
\llbracket kind\ g\ ifcond\ = (IfNode\ cid\ t\ f);
g \vdash cid\ \simeq\ cond;
```

```
\exists \ ce \in conds \ . \ (ce \Rightarrow \neg \ cond); \\ g' = constantCondition \ False \ ifcond \ (kind \ g \ ifcond) \ g \\ \parallel \Rightarrow ConditionalEliminationStep \ conds \ stamps \ g \ ifcond \ g' \mid \\ tryFoldTrue: \\ \llbracket kind \ g \ ifcond = (IfNode \ cid \ t \ f); \\ cond = kind \ g \ cid; \\ tryFold \ (kind \ g \ cid) \ stamps \ True; \\ g' = constantCondition \ True \ ifcond \ (kind \ g \ ifcond) \ g \\ \parallel \Rightarrow ConditionalEliminationStep \ conds \ stamps \ g \ ifcond \ g' \mid \\ tryFoldFalse: \\ \llbracket kind \ g \ ifcond = (IfNode \ cid \ t \ f); \\ cond = kind \ g \ cid; \\ tryFold \ (kind \ g \ cid) \ stamps \ False; \\ g' = constantCondition \ False \ ifcond \ (kind \ g \ ifcond) \ g \\ \rrbracket \Rightarrow ConditionalEliminationStep \ conds \ stamps \ g \ ifcond \ g' \\ \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow ConditionalEliminationStep \ conds \ stamps \ g \ ifcond \ g'
```

 $\mathbf{code\text{-}pred}\ (modes:\ i\Rightarrow i\Rightarrow i\Rightarrow i\Rightarrow o\Rightarrow bool)\ Conditional Elimination Step$.

 ${\bf thm}\ {\it Conditional Elimination Step. equation}$

12.2 Control-flow Graph Traversal

```
type-synonym Seen = ID set
type-synonym Condition = IRExpr
type-synonym Conditions = Condition list
type-synonym StampFlow = (ID \Rightarrow Stamp) list
```

nextEdge helps determine which node to traverse next by returning the first successor edge that isn't in the set of already visited nodes. If there is not an appropriate successor, None is returned instead.

```
fun nextEdge :: Seen \Rightarrow ID \Rightarrow IRGraph \Rightarrow ID option where 
 <math>nextEdge \ seen \ nid \ g = 
 (let \ nids = (filter \ (\lambda nid'. \ nid' \notin seen) \ (successors-of \ (kind \ g \ nid))) \ in 
 (if \ length \ nids > 0 \ then \ Some \ (hd \ nids) \ else \ None))
```

pred determines which node, if any, acts as the predecessor of another.

Merge nodes represent a special case where-in the predecessor exists as an input edge of the merge node, to simplify the traversal we treat only the first input end node as the predecessor, ignoring that multiple nodes may act as a successor.

For all other nodes, the predecessor is the first element of the predecessors set. Note that in a well-formed graph there should only be one element in the predecessor set.

```
fun pred :: IRGraph \Rightarrow ID \Rightarrow ID option where <math>pred \ g \ nid = (case \ kind \ g \ nid \ of
```

```
 \begin{array}{l} (MergeNode\ ends\ -\ -) \Rightarrow Some\ (hd\ ends)\ | \\ -\Rightarrow \\ (if\ IRGraph.predecessors\ g\ nid\ =\ \{\} \\ then\ None\ else \\ Some\ (hd\ (sorted-list-of-set\ (IRGraph.predecessors\ g\ nid))) \\ ) \\ ) \end{array}
```

When the basic block of an if statement is entered, we know that the condition of the preceding if statement must be true. As in the GraalVM compiler, we introduce the registerNewCondition function which roughly corresponds to the ConditionalEliminationPhase.registerNewCondition. This method updates the flow-sensitive stamp information based on the condition which we know must be true.

```
fun clip-upper :: Stamp \Rightarrow int \Rightarrow Stamp where
            clip-upper (IntegerStamp b l h) c = (IntegerStamp b l c) |
            clip-upper s c = s
fun clip-lower :: Stamp \Rightarrow int \Rightarrow Stamp where
            clip-lower (IntegerStamp \ b \ l \ h) \ c = (IntegerStamp \ b \ c \ h) \ |
            clip-lower s c = s
\textbf{fun} \ \textit{registerNewCondition} \ :: \ \textit{IRGraph} \ \Rightarrow \ \textit{IRNode} \ \Rightarrow \ (\textit{ID} \ \Rightarrow \ \textit{Stamp}) \ \Rightarrow \ (\textit{ID} \ \Rightarrow \ \texttt{Stamp}) \ \Rightarrow \
Stamp) where
            registerNewCondition\ g\ (IntegerEqualsNode\ x\ y)\ stamps =
                      (stamps
                                (x := join (stamps x) (stamps y)))
                                (y := join (stamps x) (stamps y))
            registerNewCondition\ g\ (IntegerLessThanNode\ x\ y)\ stamps =
                      (stamps
                                (x := clip\text{-}upper\ (stamps\ x)\ (stpi\text{-}lower\ (stamps\ y))))
                                (y := clip\text{-}lower (stamps y) (stpi\text{-}upper (stamps x))) \mid
            registerNewCondition\ g - stamps = stamps
fun hdOr :: 'a \ list \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \ where
            hdOr(x \# xs) de = x \mid
            hdOr [] de = de
```

The Step relation is a small-step traversal of the graph which handles transitions between individual nodes of the graph.

It relates a pairs of tuple of the current node, the set of seen nodes, the always true stack of IfNode conditions, and the flow-sensitive stamp information.

```
inductive Step
```

```
:: IRGraph \Rightarrow (ID \times Seen \times Conditions \times StampFlow) \Rightarrow (ID \times Seen \times Conditions \times StampFlow) \ option \Rightarrow bool
```

for g where

— Hit a BeginNode with an IfNode predecessor which represents the start of a

basic block for the IfNode. 1. nid' will be the successor of the begin node. 2. Find the first and only predecessor. 3. Extract condition from the preceding IfNode. 4. Negate condition if the begin node is second branch (we've taken the else branch of the condition) 5. Add the condition or the negated condition to stack 6. Perform any stamp updates based on the condition using the registerNewCondition function and place them on the top of the stack of stamp information

```
\llbracket kind \ g \ nid = BeginNode \ nid';
```

```
nid \not\in seen;
   seen' = \{nid\} \cup seen;
   Some if cond = pred g nid;
   kind\ g\ if cond = If Node\ cond\ t\ f;
   i = find\text{-}index\ nid\ (successors\text{-}of\ (kind\ q\ ifcond));
   c = (if \ i = 0 \ then \ kind \ q \ cond \ else \ LogicNegationNode \ cond);
   rep q cond ce;
   ce' = (if \ i = 0 \ then \ ce \ else \ UnaryExpr \ UnaryLogicNegation \ ce);
   conds' = ce' \# conds;
   flow' = registerNewCondition \ g \ c \ (hdOr \ flow \ (stamp \ g))
  \implies Step g (nid, seen, conds, flow) (Some (nid', seen', conds', flow' # flow)) |
  — Hit an EndNode 1. nid' will be the usage of EndNode 2. pop the conditions
and stamp stack
  [kind\ g\ nid = EndNode;]
   nid \notin seen;
   seen' = \{nid\} \cup seen;
   nid' = any-usage g nid;
   conds' = tl \ conds;
   flow' = tl flow
   \implies Step g (nid, seen, conds, flow) (Some (nid', seen', conds', flow'))
  — We can find a successor edge that is not in seen, go there
  [\neg (is\text{-}EndNode\ (kind\ q\ nid));
    \neg (is\text{-}BeginNode\ (kind\ g\ nid));
   nid \notin seen;
   seen' = \{nid\} \cup seen;
   Some nid' = nextEdge seen' nid g
   \implies Step g (nid, seen, conds, flow) (Some (nid', seen', conds, flow)) |
    - We can cannot find a successor edge that is not in seen, give back None
  [\neg (is\text{-}EndNode\ (kind\ g\ nid));
    \neg (is\text{-}BeginNode\ (kind\ g\ nid));
```

```
nid \notin seen;
   seen' = \{nid\} \cup seen;
   None = nextEdge seen' nid g
   \implies Step g (nid, seen, conds, flow) None
  — We've already seen this node, give back None
  [nid \in seen] \implies Step \ g \ (nid, seen, conds, flow) \ None
code-pred (modes: i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o \Rightarrow bool) Step.
The Conditional Elimination Phase relation is responsible for combining the
individual traversal steps from the Step relation and the optimizations from
the Conditional Elimination Step relation to perform a transformation of the
whole graph.
{\bf inductive}\ {\it Conditional Elimination Phase}
  :: IRGraph \Rightarrow (ID \times Seen \times Conditions \times StampFlow) \Rightarrow IRGraph \Rightarrow bool
where
  — Can do a step and optimise for the current node
  [Step g (nid, seen, conds, flow) (Some (nid', seen', conds', flow'));
   Conditional Elimination Step (set conds) (hdOr flow (stamp g)) g nid g';
   Conditional Elimination Phase \ g'\ (nid',\ seen',\ conds',\ flow')\ g' \P
   \implies Conditional Elimination Phase g (nid, seen, conds, flow) g''
 — Can do a step, matches whether optimised or not causing non-determinism We
need to find a way to negate Conditional Elimination Step
  [Step\ g\ (nid,\ seen,\ conds,\ flow)\ (Some\ (nid',\ seen',\ conds',\ flow'));
   ConditionalEliminationPhase g (nid', seen', conds', flow') g'
   \implies Conditional Elimination Phase g (nid, seen, conds, flow) g'
   - Can't do a step but there is a predecessor we can backtrace to
  [Step\ g\ (nid,\ seen,\ conds,\ flow)\ None;
   Some nid' = pred g nid;
   seen' = \{nid\} \cup seen;
   Conditional Elimination Phase \ g \ (nid', seen', conds, flow) \ g'
   \implies Conditional Elimination Phase g (nid, seen, conds, flow) g'
  — Can't do a step and have no predecessors so terminate
  Step q (nid, seen, conds, flow) None;
   None = pred \ g \ nid
   \implies Conditional Elimination Phase g (nid, seen, conds, flow) g
code-pred (modes: i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o \Rightarrow bool) ConditionalEliminationPhase.
```

definition $runConditionalElimination :: IRGraph <math>\Rightarrow$ IRGraph where

```
\begin{aligned} &runConditionalElimination \ g = \\ &\left(Predicate.the \ (ConditionalEliminationPhase-i-i-o \ g \ (0, \ \{\}, \ ([], \ [])))\right) \end{aligned}
```

 \mathbf{end}