# Veriopt

#### April 4, 2023

#### Abstract

The Veriopt project aims to prove the optimization pass of the GraalVM compiler. The GraalVM compiler includes a sophisticated Intermediate Representation (IR) in the form of a sea-of-nodes based graph structure. We first define the IR graph structure in the Isabelle/HOL interactive theorem prover. We subsequently give the evaluation of the structure a semantics based on the current understanding of the purpose of each IR graph node. Optimization phases are then encoded including the static analysis passes required for an optimization. Each optimization phase is proved to be correct by proving that a bisimulation exists between the unoptimized and optimized graphs. The following document has been automatically generated from the Isabelle/HOL source to provide a very comprehensive definition of the semantics and optimizations introduced by the Veriopt project.

# Contents

1	$\mathbf{Add}$	ditional Theorems about Computer Words	3
	1.1	Bit-Shifting Operators	3
	1.2	Fixed-width Word Theories	4
		1.2.1 Support Lemmas for Upper/Lower Bounds	4
		1.2.2 Support lemmas for take bit and signed take bit	8
2	java	a.lang.Long	9
	2.1	Long.highestOneBit	9
	2.2	Long.lowestOneBit	12
	2.3	Long.numberOfLeadingZeros	12
	2.4	Long.numberOfTrailingZeros	12
	2.5	Long.bitCount	13
	2.6	Long.zeroCount	13
3	Ope	erator Semantics	<b>15</b>
	3.1	Arithmetic Operators	17
	3.2	Bitwise Operators	18
	3.3	Comparison Operators	18
	3.4	Narrowing and Widening Operators	19
	3.5	Bit-Shifting Operators	20
		3.5.1 Examples of Narrowing / Widening Functions	21
	3.6	Fixed-width Word Theories	22
		3.6.1 Support Lemmas for Upper/Lower Bounds	22
		3.6.2 Support lemmas for take bit and signed take bit	26
4	Sta	mp Typing	27
5	Gra	aph Representation	31
	5.1	IR Graph Nodes	31
	5.2	IR Graph Node Hierarchy	39
	5.3	IR Graph Type	46
		5.3.1 Example Graphs	50
	5.4	Structural Graph Comparison	51
	5.5	Control-flow Graph Traversal	52
6	Dat	ca-flow Semantics	<b>54</b>
	6.1	Data-flow Tree Representation	55
	6.2	Functions for re-calculating stamps	56
	6.3	Data-flow Tree Evaluation	57
	6.4	Data-flow Tree Refinement	59
	6.5	Stamp Masks	60
	6.6	Data-flow Tree Theorems	62
		6.6.1 Deterministic Data-flow Evaluation	62

		V1	62
			63
		1	65
	C 7	v I	65
	6.7	1 1	66
	6.8	Lemmas about <i>new_int</i> and integer eval results	66
7		•	8
	7.1	8 1	68
	7.2	9 1	72
	7.3		76
	7.4	1	76
	7.5	0	76
	7.6	1	76
	7.7	Dynamic Frames	78
	7.8	Tree to Graph Theorems	82
		7.8.1 Extraction and Evaluation of Expression Trees is De-	
		terministic.	82
		7.8.2 Monotonicity of Graph Refinement	87
		7.8.3 Lift Data-flow Tree Refinement to Graph Refinement .	89
		7.8.4 Term Graph Reconstruction	90
		7.8.5 Data-flow Tree to Subgraph Preserves Maximal Sharing	93
0			
8	Cor		97
8	8.1		9 <b>7</b> 97
8		Object Heap	
8	8.1	Object Heap	97 97
8	8.1 8.2	Object Heap	97 97 00
8	8.1 8.2 8.3	Object Heap	97 97 00 01
8	8.1 8.2 8.3	Object Heap	97 97 00 01 02
8	8.1 8.2 8.3 8.4	Object Heap	97 97 00 01 02 03
9	8.1 8.2 8.3 8.4 8.5	Object HeapIntraprocedural SemanticsInterprocedural SemanticsInterprocedural Semantics16Big-step Execution168.4.1 Heap Testing16Control-flow Semantics Theorems168.5.1 Control-flow Step is Deterministic16	97 97 00 01 02 03
	8.1 8.2 8.3 8.4 8.5	Object HeapIntraprocedural SemanticsInterprocedural SemanticsInterprocedural Semantics16Big-step Execution168.4.1 Heap Testing16Control-flow Semantics Theorems168.5.1 Control-flow Step is Deterministic16	97 97 00 01 02 03 03
	8.1 8.2 8.3 8.4 8.5	Object Heap	97 97 00 01 02 03 03
	8.1 8.2 8.3 8.4 8.5 <b>Pro</b> 9.1	Object Heap	97 97 00 01 02 03 03 04 04
	8.1 8.2 8.3 8.4 8.5 <b>Pro</b> 9.1 9.2	Object Heap Intraprocedural Semantics Interprocedural Semantics Interp	97 97 00 01 02 03 03 04 04 05 07
	8.1 8.2 8.3 8.4 8.5 <b>Pro</b> 9.1 9.2 9.3	Object Heap Intraprocedural Semantics Interprocedural Semantics Interp	97 97 00 01 02 03 03 04 04 05 07
	8.1 8.2 8.3 8.4 8.5 <b>Pro</b> 9.1 9.2 9.3	Object Heap Intraprocedural Semantics Interprocedural Semantics Interp	97 97 97 00 01 02 03 03 04 04 05 07 08
	8.1 8.2 8.3 8.4 8.5 <b>Pro</b> 9.1 9.2 9.3	Object Heap Intraprocedural Semantics Interprocedural Semantics Interp	97 97 00 01 02 03 03 04 04 05 07 08
	8.1 8.2 8.3 8.4 8.5 <b>Pro</b> 9.1 9.2 9.3	Object Heap Intraprocedural Semantics Interprocedural Semantics Interp	97 97 97 00 01 02 03 03 04 04 05 07 08
	8.1 8.2 8.3 8.4 8.5 <b>Pro</b> 9.1 9.2 9.3	Object Heap Intraprocedural Semantics Interprocedural Semantics Interp	97 97 97 00 01 02 03 03 04 04 05 07 08
	8.1 8.2 8.3 8.4 8.5 <b>Pro</b> 9.1 9.2 9.3	Object Heap Intraprocedural Semantics Interprocedural Semantics Interp	97 97 00 01 02 03 03 04 04 05 07 08 08

10 Optization DSL	114
10.1 Markup	114
10.1.1 Expression Markup	114
10.1.2 Value Markup	
10.1.3 Word Markup	
10.2 Optimization Phases	
10.3 Canonicalization DSL	117
10.3.1 Semantic Preservation Obligation	120
10.3.2 Termination Obligation	120
10.3.3 Standard Termination Measure	
10.3.4 Automated Tactics	121
11 Canonicalization Optimizations	122
11.1 AbsNode Phase	
11.2 AddNode Phase	
11.3 AndNode Phase	
11.4 BinaryNode Phase	
11.5 ConditionalNode Phase	
11.6 MulNode Phase	
11.7 Experimental AndNode Phase	
11.8 NotNode Phase	145
11.9 OrNode Phase	146
11.10ShiftNode Phase	
11.11SignedDivNode Phase	149
11.12SignedRemNode Phase	
11.13SubNode Phase	150
11.14XorNode Phase	153
19 Can Bitanal Elimination Disease	1
12 Conditional Elimination Phase 12.1 Individual Elimination Rules	155
12.1 Individual Elimination Kules	

## 1 Additional Theorems about Computer Words

```
theory JavaWords
 imports
   HOL-Library.Word
   HOL-Library. Signed-Division
   HOL-Library.Float
   HOL-Library.LaTeX sugar
begin
Java supports 64, 32, 16, 8 signed ints, plus 1 bit (boolean) ints, and char
is 16-bit unsigned. E.g. an 8-bit stamp has a default range of -128..+127.
And a 1-bit stamp has a default range of -1..0, surprisingly.
During calculations the smaller sizes are sign-extended to 32 bits.
type-synonym int64 = 64 \ word - long
type-synonym int32 = 32 \ word — int
type-synonym int16 = 16 word — short
type-synonym int8 = 8 word — char
type-synonym int1 = 1 word - boolean
abbreviation valid-int-widths :: nat set where
 valid\text{-}int\text{-}widths \equiv \{1, 8, 16, 32, 64\}
type-synonym iwidth = nat
fun bit-bounds :: nat \Rightarrow (int \times int) where
 bit-bounds bits = (((2 \hat{bits}) div 2) * -1, ((2 \hat{bits}) div 2) - 1)
definition logic-negate :: ('a::len) word <math>\Rightarrow 'a word where
 logic-negate x = (if x = 0 then 1 else 0)
fun int-signed-value :: iwidth \Rightarrow int64 \Rightarrow int where
 int-signed-value b v = sint (signed-take-bit (b - 1) v)
fun int-unsigned-value :: iwidth \Rightarrow int64 \Rightarrow int where
 int-unsigned-value b v = uint v
A convenience function for directly constructing -1 values of a given bit size.
fun neg\text{-}one :: iwidth \Rightarrow int64 where
 neg\text{-}one\ b=mask\ b
      Bit-Shifting Operators
definition shiftl (infix <<75) where
 shiftl \ w \ n = (push-bit \ n) \ w
lemma shiftl-power[simp]: (x::('a::len) \ word) * (2 \ \hat{} j) = x << j
 \langle proof \rangle
```

```
lemma (x::('a::len) word) * ((2 ^j) + 1) = x << j + x
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma (x::('a::len) word) * ((2 ^j) - 1) = x << j - x
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma (x::('a::len) \ word) * ((2\hat{j}) + (2\hat{k})) = x << j + x << k
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma (x::('a::len) \ word) * ((2\hat{j}) - (2\hat{k})) = x << j - x << k
  \langle proof \rangle
Unsigned shift right.
definition shiftr (infix >>> 75) where
  shiftr \ w \ n = drop-bit \ n \ w
corollary (255 :: 8 word) >>> (2 :: nat) = 63 \langle proof \rangle
Signed shift right.
definition sshiftr :: 'a :: len word \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 'a :: len word (infix >> 75) where
  sshiftr \ w \ n = word-of-int \ ((sint \ w) \ div \ (2 \ \widehat{\ } n))
corollary (128 :: 8 word) >> 2 = 0xE0 \langle proof \rangle
      Fixed-width Word Theories
1.2
1.2.1 Support Lemmas for Upper/Lower Bounds
lemma size32: size v = 32 for v :: 32 word
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma size64: size v = 64 for v :: 64 word
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma lower-bounds-equiv:
  assumes 0 < N
  shows -(((2::int) \ \widehat{\ } (N-1))) = (2::int) \ \widehat{\ } N \ div \ 2 * - 1
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma upper-bounds-equiv:
  assumes \theta < N
 shows (2::int) \hat{\ } (N-1) = (2::int) \hat{\ } N \ div \ 2
```

lemma bit-bounds-min64:  $((fst\ (bit-bounds\ 64))) \le (sint\ (v::int64))$ 

 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

Some min/max bounds for 64-bit words

```
\langle proof \rangle
lemma bit-bounds-max64: ((snd\ (bit-bounds\ 64))) \ge (sint\ (v::int64))
Extend these min/max bounds to extracting smaller signed words using
signed\_take\_bit.
Note: we could use signed to convert between bit-widths, instead of signed_take_bit.
But that would have to be done separately for each bit-width type.
corollary sint(signed-take-bit\ 7\ (128::int8)) = -128\ \langle proof \rangle
ML-val <@{thm signed-take-bit-decr-length-iff}>
declare [[show-types=true]]
ML-val \langle @\{thm\ signed-take-bit-int-less-exp\} \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ signed-take-bit-int-less-exp-word:
 fixes ival :: 'a :: len word
 assumes n < LENGTH('a)
 shows sint(signed-take-bit\ n\ ival) < (2::int) ^n
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma signed-take-bit-int-greater-eq-minus-exp-word:
 fixes ival :: 'a :: len word
 assumes n < LENGTH('a)
 shows - (2 \hat{n}) \leq sint(signed-take-bit \ n \ ival)
  \langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ signed\mbox{-}take\mbox{-}bit\mbox{-}range:
  fixes ival :: 'a :: len word
 assumes n < LENGTH('a)
 assumes val = sint(signed-take-bit \ n \ ival)
 \mathbf{shows} - (2 \hat{\ } n) \le val \land val < 2 \hat{\ } n
  \langle proof \rangle
A bit bounds version of the above lemma.
lemma signed-take-bit-bounds:
 fixes ival :: 'a :: len word
 assumes n \leq LENGTH('a)
 assumes 0 < n
 assumes val = sint(signed-take-bit (n - 1) ival)
 shows fst (bit\text{-}bounds\ n) \leq val \wedge val \leq snd\ (bit\text{-}bounds\ n)
  \langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ signed\text{-}take\text{-}bit\text{-}bounds 64:
 fixes ival :: int64
```

```
assumes n \leq 64
 assumes \theta < n
 assumes val = sint(signed-take-bit (n - 1) ival)
 shows fst (bit\text{-}bounds\ n) \leq val \wedge val \leq snd\ (bit\text{-}bounds\ n)
 \langle proof \rangle
{\bf lemma}\ int\text{-}signed\text{-}value\text{-}bounds:
 assumes b1 \le 64
 assumes 0 < b1
 shows fst (bit-bounds b1) \leq int-signed-value b1 v2 \wedge 
        int-signed-value b1 v2 \le snd (bit-bounds b1)
  \langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ int\text{-}signed\text{-}value\text{-}range:
 fixes ival :: int64
 assumes val = int-signed-value n ival
 \mathbf{shows} - (2 \widehat{\ } (n-1)) \le val \wedge val < 2 \widehat{\ } (n-1)
  \langle proof \rangle
Some lemmas to relate (int) bit bounds to bit-shifting values.
lemma bit-bounds-lower:
 assumes \theta < bits
 shows word-of-int (fst (bit-bounds bits)) = ((-1) << (bits - 1))
lemma two-exp-div:
 assumes \theta < bits
 shows ((2::int) \cap bits \ div \ (2::int)) = (2::int) \cap (bits - Suc \ 0)
 \langle proof \rangle
declare [[show-types]]
Some lemmas about unsigned words smaller than 64-bit, for zero-extend
operators.
lemma take-bit-smaller-range:
 fixes ival :: 'a :: len word
 assumes n < LENGTH('a)
 assumes val = sint(take-bit \ n \ ival)
 shows 0 \le val \land val < (2::int) \cap n
 \langle proof \rangle
lemma take-bit-same-size-nochange:
 fixes ival :: 'a :: len word
 assumes n = LENGTH('a)
 shows ival = take-bit n ival
 \langle proof \rangle
A simplification lemma for new_int, showing that upper bits can be ignored.
```

**lemma** take-bit-redundant[simp]:

```
fixes ival :: 'a :: len word
 assumes 0 < n
 assumes n < LENGTH('a)
 shows signed-take-bit (n-1) (take-bit n ival) = signed-take-bit (n-1) ival
\langle proof \rangle
lemma take-bit-same-size-range:
 fixes ival :: 'a :: len word
 assumes n = LENGTH('a)
 assumes ival2 = take-bit \ n \ ival
 shows -(2 \hat{n} \text{ div } 2) \leq \text{sint ival } 2 \wedge \text{sint ival } 2 < 2 \hat{n} \text{ div } 2
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma take-bit-same-bounds:
 fixes ival :: 'a :: len word
 assumes n = LENGTH('a)
 assumes ival2 = take-bit \ n \ ival
 shows fst (bit\text{-}bounds\ n) \leq sint\ ival2 \wedge sint\ ival2 \leq snd\ (bit\text{-}bounds\ n)
Next we show that casting a word to a wider word preserves any upper/lower
bounds. (These lemmas may not be needed any more, since we are not using
scast now?)
lemma scast-max-bound:
 assumes sint (v :: 'a :: len word) < M
 assumes LENGTH('a) < LENGTH('b)
 shows sint((scast\ v)::'b::len\ word) < M
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma scast-min-bound:
  assumes M \leq sint (v :: 'a :: len word)
 assumes LENGTH('a) < LENGTH('b)
 shows M \leq sint ((scast \ v) :: 'b :: len \ word)
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma scast-bigger-max-bound:
 assumes (result :: 'b :: len word) = scast (v :: 'a :: len word)
 shows sint \ result < 2 \ ^LENGTH('a) \ div \ 2
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma scast-bigger-min-bound:
 assumes (result :: 'b :: len word) = scast (v :: 'a :: len word)
 shows -(2 \cap LENGTH('a) \ div \ 2) \le sint \ result
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma scast-bigger-bit-bounds:
 assumes (result :: 'b :: len word) = scast (v :: 'a :: len word)
 shows fst (bit-bounds (LENGTH('a))) \leq sint \ result \wedge sint \ result \leq snd (bit-bounds
(LENGTH('a))
```

 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

### 1.2.2 Support lemmas for take bit and signed take bit.

```
Lemmas for removing redundant take_bit wrappers.
```

```
lemma take-bit-dist-addL[simp]:
 fixes x :: 'a :: len word
 shows take-bit\ b\ (take-bit\ b\ x+y)=take-bit\ b\ (x+y)
lemma take-bit-dist-addR[simp]:
 fixes x :: 'a :: len word
 shows take-bit\ b\ (x+take-bit\ b\ y)=take-bit\ b\ (x+y)
 \langle proof \rangle
lemma take-bit-dist-subL[simp]:
 fixes x :: 'a :: len word
 shows take-bit b (take-bit b x - y) = take-bit b (x - y)
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma take-bit-dist-subR[simp]:
 fixes x :: 'a :: len word
 shows take-bit\ b\ (x-take-bit\ b\ y)=take-bit\ b\ (x-y)
 \langle proof \rangle
lemma take-bit-dist-neg[simp]:
 fixes ix :: 'a :: len word
 shows take-bit b (-take-bit b (ix)) = take-bit b (-ix)
 \langle proof \rangle
lemma signed-take-take-bit[simp]:
 \mathbf{fixes}\ x::\ 'a::\ len\ word
 assumes \theta < b
 shows signed-take-bit (b-1) (take-bit b x) = signed-take-bit (b-1) x
 \langle proof \rangle
lemma mod-larger-ignore:
 fixes a :: int
 fixes m n :: nat
 assumes n < m
 shows (a \mod 2 \widehat{n}) \mod 2 \widehat{n} = a \mod 2 \widehat{n}
 \langle proof \rangle
```

 $\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{mod-dist-over-add}\colon$ 

```
fixes a b c :: int64 fixes n :: nat assumes 1: 0 < n assumes 2: n < 64 shows (a \mod 2 \hat{\ } n + b) \mod 2 \hat{\ } n = (a + b) \mod 2 \hat{\ } n \langle proof \rangle end
```

## 2 java.lang.Long

Utility functions from the Java Long class that Graal occasionally makes use of.

```
theory JavaLong imports JavaWords HOL-Library.FSet begin

lemma negative\text{-}all\text{-}set\text{-}32: n < 32 \Longrightarrow bit \ (-1::int32) \ n \ \langle proof \rangle

definition MaxOrNeg::nat\ set \Longrightarrow int\ \mathbf{where} MaxOrNeg\ s = (if\ s = \{\}\ then\ -1\ else\ Max\ s)

definition MinOrHighest::nat\ set \Longrightarrow nat \Longrightarrow nat\ \mathbf{where} MinOrHighest\ s\ m = (if\ s = \{\}\ then\ m\ else\ Min\ s)

lemma MaxOrNegEmpty: MaxOrNegEmpty: MaxOrNeg\ s = -1 \longleftrightarrow s = \{\} \langle proof \rangle
```

## 2.1 Long.highestOneBit

```
definition highestOneBit :: ('a::len) \ word \Rightarrow int \ \mathbf{where}  highestOneBit \ v = MaxOrNeg \ \{n. \ bit \ v \ n\}
\mathbf{lemma} \ highestOneBitInvar:  highestOneBit \ v = j \Longrightarrow (\forall i::nat. \ (int \ i > j \longrightarrow \neg \ (bit \ v \ i))) \langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma} \ highestOneBitNeg:  highestOneBit \ v = -1 \longleftrightarrow v = 0 \langle proof \rangle
```

 $\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{higherBitsFalse} :$ 

```
fixes v :: 'a :: len word
  shows i > size \ v \Longrightarrow \neg \ (bit \ v \ i)
  \langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{highestOneBitN} :
  assumes bit v n
  assumes \forall i :: nat. (int i > n \longrightarrow \neg (bit v i))
  shows highestOneBit \ v = n
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma highestOneBitSize:
  assumes bit v n
  assumes n = size v
  shows highestOneBit v = n
  \langle proof \rangle
{f lemma}\ highestOneBitMax:
  highestOneBit\ v < size\ v
  \langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{highestOneBitAtLeast} \colon
  assumes bit v n
  shows highestOneBit \ v \geq n
\langle proof \rangle
lemma highestOneBitElim:
  highestOneBit \ v = n
     \implies ((n = -1 \land v = 0) \lor (n \ge 0 \land bit \ v \ n))
  \langle proof \rangle
A recursive implementation of highestOneBit that is suitable for code gen-
eration.
fun highestOneBitRec :: nat \Rightarrow ('a::len) word \Rightarrow int where
  highestOneBitRec\ n\ v =
    (if bit v n then n
     else if n = 0 then -1
     else\ highestOneBitRec\ (n-1)\ v)
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{highestOneBitRecTrue} :
  highestOneBitRec\ n\ v=j \Longrightarrow j \geq 0 \Longrightarrow bit\ v\ j
\langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{highestOneBitRecN}\colon
  assumes bit v n
  shows highestOneBitRec n v = n
  \langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{highestOneBitRecMax} :
```

```
highestOneBitRec\ n\ v \leq n
  \langle proof \rangle
{f lemma}\ highestOneBitRecElim:
 assumes highestOneBitRec\ n\ v=j
 shows ((j = -1 \land v = 0) \lor (j \ge 0 \land bit \ v \ j))
  \langle proof \rangle
{f lemma}\ highestOneBitRecZero:
  v = 0 \Longrightarrow highestOneBitRec\ (size\ v)\ v = -1
  \langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{highestOneBitRecLess} :
 assumes \neg bit v n
 shows highestOneBitRec n v = highestOneBitRec (n - 1) v
Some lemmas that use masks to restrict highestOneBit and relate it to
highestOneBitRec.
lemma highestOneBitMask:
 assumes size \ v = n
 shows highestOneBit\ v = highestOneBit\ (and\ v\ (mask\ n))
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma maskSmaller:
 fixes v :: 'a :: len word
 assumes \neg bit \ v \ n
 shows and v (mask (Suc n)) = and v (mask n)
  \langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{highestOneBitSmaller} :
 assumes size \ v = Suc \ n
 assumes \neg bit \ v \ n
 shows highestOneBit\ v = highestOneBit\ (and\ v\ (mask\ n))
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{highestOneBitRecMask} :
 shows highestOneBit (and v (mask (Suc n))) = highestOneBitRec n v
Finally - we can use the mask lemmas to relate highestOneBitRec to its
spec.
lemma \ highestOneBitImpl[code]:
 highestOneBit\ v = highestOneBitRec\ (size\ v)\ v
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma highestOneBit (0x5 :: int8) = 2 \langle proof \rangle
```

#### 2.2 Long.lowestOneBit

**definition**  $lowestOneBit :: ('a::len) word <math>\Rightarrow nat$  where

```
lowestOneBit\ v = MinOrHighest\ \{n\ .\ bit\ v\ n\}\ (size\ v)
lemma max-bit: bit (v::('a::len) \ word) \ n \Longrightarrow n < size \ v
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma max-set-bit: MaxOrNeg \{n : bit (v::('a::len) word) n\} < Nat. size v
  \langle proof \rangle
2.3 Long.numberOfLeadingZeros
definition numberOfLeadingZeros :: ('a::len) word <math>\Rightarrow nat where
  numberOfLeadingZeros\ v = nat\ (Nat.size\ v - highestOneBit\ v - 1)
lemma MaxOrNeg-neg: MaxOrNeg \{\} = -1
 \langle proof \rangle
lemma MaxOrNeg\text{-}max: s \neq \{\} \Longrightarrow MaxOrNeg \ s = Max \ s
lemma zero-no-bits:
  \{n \ . \ bit \ 0 \ n\} = \{\}
lemma highestOneBit\ (0::64\ word) = -1
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma numberOfLeadingZeros (0::64 word) = 64
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma highestOneBit-top: Max \{highestOneBit (v::64 word)\} < 64
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma numberOfLeadingZeros-top: Max \{numberOfLeadingZeros (v::64 word)\} \le
64
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma numberOfLeadingZeros-range: 0 \le numberOfLeadingZeros a \land numberOfLead-
ingZeros \ a \leq Nat.size \ a
  \langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ leading Zeros Add Highest One:\ number Of Leading Zeros\ v\ +\ highest One Bit\ v
= Nat.size v - 1
```

#### 2.4 Long.numberOfTrailingZeros

 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**definition**  $numberOfTrailingZeros :: ('a::len) word <math>\Rightarrow nat$  where

```
numberOfTrailingZeros \ v = lowestOneBit \ v
lemma lowestOneBit-bot: lowestOneBit (0::64 word) = 64
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma bit-zero-set-in-top: bit (-1::'a::len word) 0
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma nat\text{-}bot\text{-}set: (0::nat) \in xs \longrightarrow (\forall x \in xs . 0 \le x)
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma numberOfTrailingZeros (0::64 word) = 64
2.5 Long.bitCount
definition bitCount :: ('a::len) word \Rightarrow nat where
  bitCount\ v = card\ \{n\ .\ bit\ v\ n\}
lemma bitCount \theta = \theta
  \langle proof \rangle
2.6 Long.zeroCount
definition zeroCount :: ('a::len) word <math>\Rightarrow nat where
  zeroCount \ v = card \ \{n. \ n < Nat. size \ v \land \neg(bit \ v \ n)\}
lemma zeroCount-finite: finite \{n. \ n < Nat.size \ v \land \neg(bit \ v \ n)\}
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma negone-set:
  bit (-1::('a::len) \ word) \ n \longleftrightarrow n < LENGTH('a)
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma negone-all-bits:
  \{n : bit (-1::('a::len) \ word) \ n\} = \{n : 0 \le n \land n < LENGTH('a)\}
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma bitCount-finite:
 finite \{n : bit (v::('a::len) word) n\}
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma card-of-range:
  x = card \{n : 0 \le n \land n < x\}
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma range-of-nat:
  \{(n::nat) : 0 \le n \land n < x\} = \{n : n < x\}
  \langle proof \rangle
```

```
lemma finite-range:
  finite \{n::nat : n < x\}
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma range-eq:
  fixes x y :: nat
  shows card \{y...< x\} = card \{y<...x\}
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma card-of-range-bound:
  fixes x y :: nat
  assumes x > y
  shows x - y = card \{n : y < n \land n \le x\}
lemma bitCount (-1::('a::len) word) = LENGTH('a)
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma bitCount-range:
  fixes n :: ('a::len) word
  shows 0 \le bitCount \ n \land bitCount \ n \le Nat.size \ n
  \langle proof \rangle
{f lemma}\ zeros Above Highest One:
  n > highestOneBit \ a \Longrightarrow \neg(bit \ a \ n)
  \langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ zerosBelowLowestOne:
  \mathbf{assumes}\ n < lowestOneBit\ a
  shows \neg(bit\ a\ n)
\langle proof \rangle
lemma union-bit-sets:
  fixes a :: ('a::len) word
  shows \{n : n < Nat.size \ a \land bit \ a \ n\} \cup \{n : n < Nat.size \ a \land \neg(bit \ a \ n)\} = \{n \}
n < Nat.size a
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma disjoint-bit-sets:
  fixes a :: ('a::len) word
  \mathbf{shows} \ \{n \ . \ n < \textit{Nat.size} \ a \ \land \ \textit{bit} \ a \ n\} \ \cap \ \{n \ . \ n < \textit{Nat.size} \ a \ \land \ \neg (\textit{bit} \ a \ n)\} \ = \ \{\}
  \langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma} \ \mathit{qualified-bitCount} :
  bitCount\ v = card\ \{n\ .\ n < Nat.size\ v \land bit\ v\ n\}
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma card-eq:
```

```
assumes finite x \land finite \ y \land finite \ z
  assumes x \cup y = z
  assumes y \cap x = \{\}
  shows card z - card y = card x
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma card-add:
  assumes finite x \land finite \ y \land finite \ z
  assumes x \cup y = z
  assumes y \cap x = \{\}
 shows card x + card y = card z
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma card-add-inverses:
  assumes finite \{n. Q n \land \neg (P n)\} \land finite \{n. Q n \land P n\} \land finite \{n. Q n\}
 shows card \{n. Q n \land P n\} + card \{n. Q n \land \neg (P n)\} = card \{n. Q n\}
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma ones-zero-sum-to-width:
  bitCount\ a + zeroCount\ a = Nat.size\ a
\langle proof \rangle
{f lemma}\ intersect	ext{-}bitCount	ext{-}helper:
  card \{n : n < Nat.size \ a\} - bitCount \ a = card \{n : n < Nat.size \ a \land \neg(bit \ a \ n)\}
\langle proof \rangle
lemma intersect-bitCount:
  Nat.size \ a - bitCount \ a = card \ \{n \ . \ n < Nat.size \ a \land \neg(bit \ a \ n)\}
hide-fact intersect-bitCount-helper
```

## 3 Operator Semantics

```
theory Values
imports
JavaWords
begin
```

end

In order to properly implement the IR semantics we first introduce a type that represents runtime values. These runtime values represent the full range of primitive types currently allowed by our semantics, ranging from basic integer types to object references and arrays.

Note that Java supports 64, 32, 16, 8 signed ints, plus 1 bit (boolean) ints, and char is 16-bit unsigned. E.g. an 8-bit stamp has a default range of

-128..+127. And a 1-bit stamp has a default range of -1..0, surprisingly.

During calculations the smaller sizes are sign-extended to 32 bits, but explicit widening nodes will do that, so most binary calculations should see equal input sizes.

An object reference is an option type where the *None* object reference points to the static fields. This is examined more closely in our definition of the heap.

```
datatype (discs-sels) Value = UndefVal |

IntVal iwidth int64 |

ObjRef objref |

ObjStr string

fun intval-bits :: Value \Rightarrow nat where intval-bits (IntVal b v) = b

fun intval-word :: Value \Rightarrow int64 where intval-word (IntVal b v) = v

Converts an integer word into a Java value.

fun new-int :: iwidth \Rightarrow int64 \Rightarrow Value where new-int b w = IntVal b (take-bit b w)

Converts an integer word into a Java value, iff the two types are equal.

fun new-int-bin :: iwidth \Rightarrow iwidth \Rightarrow int64 \Rightarrow Value where
```

```
fun wf-bool :: Value \Rightarrow bool where wf-bool (IntVal\ b\ w) = (b = 1)\ | wf-bool - = False fun val-to-bool :: Value \Rightarrow bool where val-to-bool (IntVal\ b\ val) = (if\ val = 0\ then\ False\ else\ True)\ |
```

 $new-int-bin\ b1\ b2\ w=(if\ b1=b2\ then\ new-int\ b1\ w\ else\ UndefVal)$ 

fun bool-to- $val :: bool \Rightarrow Value$  where

val-to-bool val = False

 $type-synonym \ objref = nat \ option$ 

```
bool-to-val True = (IntVal \ 32 \ 1) \mid bool-to-val \ False = (IntVal \ 32 \ 0)
```

Converts an Isabelle bool into a Java value, iff the two types are equal.

```
fun bool-to-val-bin :: iwidth \Rightarrow iwidth \Rightarrow bool \Rightarrow Value where bool-to-val-bin t1 t2 b = (if t1 = t2 then bool-to-val b else UndefVal)
```

```
fun is\text{-}int\text{-}val :: Value \Rightarrow bool \text{ where}
is\text{-}int\text{-}val \ v = is\text{-}IntVal \ v
\mathbf{lemma} \ neg\text{-}one\text{-}value[simp] : new\text{-}int \ b \ (neg\text{-}one \ b) = IntVal \ b \ (mask \ b)
\langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma} \ neg\text{-}one\text{-}signed[simp] :
\mathbf{assumes} \ 0 < b
\mathbf{shows} \ int\text{-}signed\text{-}value \ b \ (neg\text{-}one \ b) = -1
\langle proof \rangle
```

#### 3.1 Arithmetic Operators

We need to introduce arithmetic operations which agree with the JVM.

Within the JVM, bytecode arithmetic operations are performed on 32 or 64 bit integers, unboxing where appropriate.

The following collection of intval functions correspond to the JVM arithmetic operations. We merge the 32 and 64 bit operations into a single function, even though the stamp of each IRNode tells us exactly what the bit widths will be. These merged functions make it easier to do the instantiation of Value as 'plus', etc. It might be worse for reasoning, because it could cause more case analysis, but this does not seem to be a problem in practice.

```
fun intval\text{-}add :: Value \Rightarrow Value \Rightarrow Value \text{ where}
intval\text{-}add (IntVal b1 v1) (IntVal b2 v2) =
(if b1 = b2 then IntVal b1 (take\text{-}bit b1 (v1+v2)) else UndefVal) |
intval\text{-}add - - = UndefVal

fun intval\text{-}sub :: Value \Rightarrow Value \Rightarrow Value \text{ where}
intval\text{-}sub (IntVal b1 v1) (IntVal b2 v2) = new\text{-}int\text{-}bin b1 b2 (v1-v2) |
intval\text{-}sub - - = UndefVal

fun intval\text{-}mul :: Value \Rightarrow Value \Rightarrow Value \text{ where}
intval\text{-}mul (IntVal b1 v1) (IntVal b2 v2) = new\text{-}int\text{-}bin b1 b2 (v1*v2) |
intval\text{-}mul (IntVal b1 v1) (IntVal b2 v2) = new\text{-}int\text{-}bin b1 b2 (v1*v2) |
intval\text{-}mul - - = UndefVal
```

```
fun intval-div :: Value \Rightarrow Value \Rightarrow Value where
  intval-div (IntVal b1 v1) (IntVal b2 v2) =
       new-int-bin b1 b2 (word-of-int
          ((int\text{-}signed\text{-}value\ b1\ v1)\ sdiv\ (int\text{-}signed\text{-}value\ b2\ v2)))\ |
  intval-div - - = UndefVal
fun intval-mod :: Value \Rightarrow Value \Rightarrow Value where
  intval-mod (IntVal b1 v1) (IntVal b2 v2) =
       new-int-bin b1 b2 (word-of-int
          ((int-signed-value b1 v1) smod (int-signed-value b2 v2))) |
  intval	ext{-}mod - - = UndefVal
fun intval-negate :: Value \Rightarrow Value where
  intval-negate (IntVal\ t\ v) = new-int\ t\ (-\ v)
  intval-negate - = UndefVal
fun intval-abs :: Value \Rightarrow Value where
  intval-abs\ (IntVal\ t\ v) = new-int\ t\ (if\ int-signed-value\ t\ v < 0\ then\ -\ v\ else\ v)\ |
  intval-abs - = UndefVal
TODO: clarify which widths this should work on: just 1-bit or all?
fun intval-logic-negation :: Value \Rightarrow Value where
  intval-logic-negation (IntVal \ b \ v) = new-int \ b \ (logic-negate \ v) \ |
  intval-logic-negation - = UndefVal
      Bitwise Operators
fun intval-and :: Value \Rightarrow Value \Rightarrow Value where
  intval-and (IntVal\ b1\ v1)\ (IntVal\ b2\ v2) = new-int-bin b1 b2 (and\ v1\ v2)
  intval-and - - = UndefVal
fun intval\text{-}or :: Value \Rightarrow Value \Rightarrow Value  where
  intval-or (IntVal b1 v1) (IntVal b2 v2) = new-int-bin b1 b2 (or v1 v2)
  intval-or - - = UndefVal
fun intval-xor :: Value \Rightarrow Value \Rightarrow Value where
  intval-xor (IntVal b1 v1) (IntVal b2 v2) = new-int-bin b1 b2 (xor v1 v2)
  intval-xor - - = UndefVal
fun intval-not :: Value \Rightarrow Value where
  intval-not (IntVal\ t\ v) = new-int t\ (not\ v)
  intval-not - = UndefVal
```

## 3.3 Comparison Operators

fun intval-short-circuit-or ::  $Value \Rightarrow Value \Rightarrow Value$  where

```
intval\text{-}short\text{-}circuit\text{-}or\ (IntVal\ b1\ v1)\ (IntVal\ b2\ v2) = bool\text{-}to\text{-}val\text{-}bin\ b1\ b2\ (((v1 \neq 0) \lor (v2 \neq 0)))\ |}
intval\text{-}short\text{-}circuit\text{-}or\ -\ -\ =\ UndefVal
\mathbf{fun\ }intval\text{-}equals\ ::\ Value\ \Rightarrow\ Value\ \Rightarrow\ Value\ \mathbf{where}
intval\text{-}equals\ (IntVal\ b1\ v1)\ (IntVal\ b2\ v2) = bool\text{-}to\text{-}val\text{-}bin\ b1\ b2\ (v1 = v2)\ |}
intval\text{-}equals\ -\ -\ =\ UndefVal
\mathbf{fun\ }intval\text{-}less\text{-}than\ ::\ Value\ \Rightarrow\ Value\ \Rightarrow\ Value\ \mathbf{where}
intval\text{-}less\text{-}than\ (IntVal\ b1\ v1)\ (IntVal\ b2\ v2) = bool\text{-}to\text{-}val\text{-}bin\ b1\ b2\ (int\text{-}signed\text{-}value\ b1\ v1 < int\text{-}signed\text{-}value\ b2\ v2)\ |}
intval\text{-}less\text{-}than\ -\ -\ =\ UndefVal
\mathbf{fun\ }intval\text{-}below\ ::\ Value\ \Rightarrow\ Value\ \Rightarrow\ Value\ \mathbf{where}
intval\text{-}below\ (IntVal\ b1\ v1)\ (IntVal\ b2\ v2) = bool\text{-}to\text{-}val\text{-}bin\ b1\ b2\ (v1 < v2)\ |}
intval\text{-}below\ -\ -\ =\ UndefVal
\mathbf{fun\ }intval\text{-}below\ -\ -\ =\ UndefVal
\mathbf{fun\ }intval\text{-}conditional\ ::\ Value\ \Rightarrow\ Value\ \Rightarrow\ Value\ \Rightarrow\ Value\ \Rightarrow\ Value\ \mathbf{where}
intval\text{-}conditional\ cond\ }tv\ fv\ =\ (if\ (val\text{-}to\text{-}bool\ cond)\ then\ tv\ else\ fv)
```

#### 3.4 Narrowing and Widening Operators

Note: we allow these operators to have inBits=outBits, because the Graal compiler also seems to allow that case, even though it should rarely / never arise in practice.

Some sanity checks that  $take\_bitN$  and  $signed\_take\_bit(N-1)$  match up as expected.

```
corollary sint (signed-take-bit 0 (1 :: int32)) = -1 \langle proof \rangle
corollary sint (signed-take-bit 7 ((256 + 128) :: int64)) = -128 \langle proof \rangle
corollary sint (take-bit\ 7\ ((256+128+64)::int64))=64\ \langle proof\rangle
corollary sint (take-bit\ 8\ ((256+128+64)::int64)) = 128+64\ \langle proof \rangle
fun intval-narrow :: nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow Value \Rightarrow Value where
  intval-narrow inBits outBits (IntVal b v) =
    (if\ inBits = b \land 0 < outBits \land outBits \leq inBits \land inBits \leq 64
     then new-int outBits v
      else UndefVal) |
  intval-narrow - - - = UndefVal
fun intval-sign-extend :: nat <math>\Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow Value \Rightarrow Value where
  intval-sign-extend inBits outBits (IntVal b v) =
    (if\ inBits = b \land 0 < inBits \land inBits \leq outBits \land outBits \leq 64
     then new-int outBits (signed-take-bit (inBits -1) v)
     else UndefVal) |
  intval-sign-extend - - - = UndefVal
```

```
fun intval-zero-extend :: nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow Value \Rightarrow Value where intval-zero-extend inBits outBits (IntVal b v) = (if inBits = b \land 0 < inBits \land inBits \leq outBits \land outBits \leq 64 then new-int outBits (take-bit inBits v) else UndefVal) | intval-zero-extend - - - = UndefVal
```

Some well-formedness results to help reasoning about narrowing and widening operators

```
lemma intval-narrow-ok:
  assumes intval-narrow inBits outBits val \neq UndefVal
 shows 0 < outBits \land outBits \leq inBits \land inBits \leq 64 \land outBits \leq 64 \land
       is-IntVal val \land
       intval-bits val = inBits
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma intval-sign-extend-ok:
  assumes intval-sign-extend in Bits out Bits val \neq Undef Val
  shows 0 < inBits \land
       inBits < outBits \land outBits < 64 \land
       is-IntVal val \wedge
       intval-bits val = inBits
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma intval-zero-extend-ok:
  assumes intval-zero-extend in Bits out Bits val \neq Undef Val
 shows 0 < inBits \land
       inBits \leq outBits \land outBits \leq 64 \land
       is-IntVal val \wedge
       intval	ext{-}bits\ val=inBits
```

#### 3.5 Bit-Shifting Operators

 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

Note that Java shift operators use unary numeric promotion, unlike other binary operators, which use binary numeric promotion (see the Java language reference manual). This means that the left-hand input determines the output size, while the right-hand input can be any size.

```
fun shift-amount :: iwidth \Rightarrow int64 \Rightarrow nat where shift-amount b val = unat (and val (if b = 64 then 0x3F else 0x1f))

fun intval-left-shift :: Value \Rightarrow Value \Rightarrow Value where intval-left-shift (IntVal b1 v1) (IntVal b2 v2) = new-int b1 (v1 << shift-amount b1 v2) |
intval-left-shift - - = UndefVal
```

Signed shift is more complex, because we sometimes have to insert 1 bits at the correct point, which is at b1 bits.

```
fun intval-right-shift :: Value \Rightarrow Value \Rightarrow Value where
  intval-right-shift (IntVal b1 v1) (IntVal b2 v2) =
    (let \ shift = shift-amount \ b1 \ v2 \ in
     let ones = and (mask b1) (not (mask (b1 - shift) :: int64)) in
     (if int-signed-value b1 v1 < 0
      then new-int b1 (or ones (v1 >>> shift))
      else new-int b1 (v1 >>> shift)))
  intval-right-shift - - = UndefVal
fun intval-uright-shift :: Value <math>\Rightarrow Value \Rightarrow Value where
 intval-uright-shift (IntVal\ b1\ v1) (IntVal\ b2\ v2) = new-int\ b1\ (v1>>> shift-amount
  intval-uright-shift - - = UndefVal
3.5.1 Examples of Narrowing / Widening Functions
experiment begin
corollary intval-narrow 32 8 (IntVal 32 (256 + 128)) = IntVal 8 128 \langle proof \rangle
corollary intval-narrow 32 8 (IntVal 32 (-2)) = IntVal 8 254 \langle proof \rangle
corollary intval-narrow 32 1 (IntVal 32 (-2)) = IntVal 1 0
corollary intval-narrow 32 1 (IntVal\ 32\ (-3)) = IntVal\ 1\ 1\ \langle proof \rangle
corollary intval-narrow 32 8 (IntVal 64 (-2)) = UndefVal \langle proof \rangle
corollary intval-narrow 64 8 (IntVal\ 32\ (-2)) = UndefVal\ \langle proof \rangle
corollary intval-narrow 64 8 (IntVal 64 254) = IntVal 8 254 (proof)
corollary intval-narrow 64 8 (IntVal 64 (256+127)) = IntVal 8 127 \langle proof \rangle
corollary intval-narrow 64 64 (IntVal 64 (-2)) = IntVal 64 (-2) \langle proof \rangle
end
experiment begin
corollary intval-sign-extend 8 32 (IntVal 8 (256 + 128)) = IntVal 32 (2^32 -
128) \langle proof \rangle
corollary intval-sign-extend 8 32 (IntVal 8 (-2)) = IntVal 32 (2^32 - 2) (proof)
corollary intval-sign-extend 1 32 (IntVal 1 (-2)) = IntVal 32 0 \langle proof \rangle
corollary intval-sign-extend 1 32 (IntVal 1 (-3)) = IntVal 32 (mask 32) \langle proof \rangle
corollary intval-sign-extend 8 32 (IntVal 64 254) = UndefVal \langle proof \rangle
corollary intval-sign-extend 8 64 (IntVal 32 254) = UndefVal \langle proof \rangle
corollary intval-sign-extend 8 64 (IntVal 8 254) = IntVal 64 (-2) \langle proof \rangle
corollary intval-sign-extend 32 64 (IntVal 32 (2^32 - 2)) = IntVal 64 (-2) (2^32 - 2)
corollary intval-sign-extend 64 64 (IntVal 64 (-2)) = IntVal 64 (-2) \langle proof \rangle
end
experiment begin
corollary intval-zero-extend 8 32 (IntVal 8 (256 + 128)) = IntVal 32 128 \langle proof \rangle
corollary intval-zero-extend 8 32 (IntVal 8 (-2)) = IntVal 32 254 \langle proof \rangle
```

```
corollary intval-zero-extend 1 32 (IntVal 1 (-1)) = IntVal 32 1 \langle proof \rangle
corollary intval-zero-extend 1 32 (IntVal 1 (-2)) = IntVal 32 0 \langle proof \rangle
corollary intval-zero-extend 8 32 (IntVal 64 (-2)) = UndefVal \langle proof \rangle
corollary intval-zero-extend 8 64 (IntVal 64 (-2)) = UndefVal \langle proof \rangle
corollary intval-zero-extend 8 64 (IntVal 8 254) = IntVal 64 254 (proof)
corollary intval-zero-extend 32 64 (IntVal 32 (2^32 - 2)) = IntVal 64 (2^32 -
2) \langle proof \rangle
corollary intval-zero-extend 64 64 (IntVal 64 (-2)) = IntVal 64 (-2) \langle proof \rangle
experiment begin
corollary intval-right-shift (IntVal 8 128) (IntVal 8 0) = IntVal 8 128 (proof)
corollary intval-right-shift (IntVal 8 128) (IntVal 8 1) = IntVal 8 192 (proof)
corollary intval-right-shift (IntVal 8 128) (IntVal 8 2) = IntVal 8 224 (proof)
corollary intval-right-shift (IntVal 8 128) (IntVal 8 8) = IntVal 8 255 (proof)
corollary intval-right-shift (IntVal 8 128) (IntVal 8 31) = IntVal 8 255 (proof)
end
lemma intval-add-sym:
 shows intval-add a b = intval-add b a
 \langle proof \rangle
lemma intval-add (IntVal 32 (2^31-1)) (IntVal 32 (2^31-1)) = IntVal 32 (2^32
-2
 \langle proof \rangle
lemma intval-add (IntVal 64 (2^31-1)) (IntVal 64 (2^31-1)) = IntVal 64 4294967294
end
      Fixed-width Word Theories
3.6
theory ValueThms
 imports Values
begin
3.6.1 Support Lemmas for Upper/Lower Bounds
lemma size32: size v = 32 for v :: 32 word
 \langle proof \rangle
```

```
lemma size64: size v = 64 for v :: 64 word
 \langle proof \rangle
lemma lower-bounds-equiv:
 assumes \theta < N
 shows -(((2::int) \ \widehat{\ } (N-1))) = (2::int) \ \widehat{\ } N \ div \ 2*-1
 \langle proof \rangle
lemma upper-bounds-equiv:
 assumes 0 < N
 shows (2::int) \ \hat{\ } (N-1) = (2::int) \ \hat{\ } N \ div \ 2
  \langle proof \rangle
Some min/max bounds for 64-bit words
lemma bit-bounds-min64: ((fst\ (bit-bounds\ 64))) \le (sint\ (v::int64))
 \langle proof \rangle
lemma bit-bounds-max64: ((snd\ (bit-bounds\ 64))) \ge (sint\ (v::int64))
Extend these min/max bounds to extracting smaller signed words using
signed\_take\_bit.
Note: we could use signed to convert between bit-widths, instead of signed_take_bit.
But that would have to be done separately for each bit-width type.
value sint(signed-take-bit\ 7\ (128::int8))
ML-val \langle @\{thm\ signed-take-bit-decr-length-iff\} \rangle
declare [[show-types=true]]
ML-val \langle @\{thm\ signed-take-bit-int-less-exp\} \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ signed-take-bit-int-less-exp-word:
 fixes ival :: 'a :: len word
 assumes n < LENGTH('a)
 shows sint(signed-take-bit\ n\ ival) < (2::int) ^ n
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma signed-take-bit-int-greater-eq-minus-exp-word:
 fixes ival :: 'a :: len word
 assumes n < LENGTH('a)
 shows - (2 \ \hat{} \ n) \le sint(signed-take-bit \ n \ ival)
  \langle proof \rangle
```

**lemma** *signed-take-bit-range*:

```
fixes ival :: 'a :: len word
 assumes n < LENGTH('a)
 assumes val = sint(signed-take-bit \ n \ ival)
 shows -(2 \hat{n}) \leq val \wedge val < 2 \hat{n}
  \langle proof \rangle
A bit bounds version of the above lemma.
lemma signed-take-bit-bounds:
 fixes ival :: 'a :: len word
 assumes n \leq LENGTH('a)
 assumes 0 < n
 assumes val = sint(signed-take-bit (n - 1) ival)
 shows fst (bit\text{-}bounds\ n) \leq val \wedge val \leq snd\ (bit\text{-}bounds\ n)
  \langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma} \ signed-take-bit-bounds 64:
 fixes ival :: int64
 assumes n \leq 64
 assumes 0 < n
 assumes val = sint(signed-take-bit (n - 1) ival)
 shows fst (bit\text{-}bounds\ n) \leq val \wedge val \leq snd\ (bit\text{-}bounds\ n)
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma int-signed-value-bounds:
 assumes b1 \le 64
 assumes \theta < b1
 shows fst (bit-bounds b1) \leq int-signed-value b1 v2 \wedge 
        int-signed-value b1 v2 \le snd (bit-bounds b1)
  \langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ int\text{-}signed\text{-}value\text{-}range:
 fixes ival :: int64
 assumes val = int-signed-value n ival
 shows -(2 \hat{n}(n-1)) \leq val \wedge val < 2 \hat{n}(n-1)
 \langle proof \rangle
Some lemmas about unsigned words smaller than 64-bit, for zero-extend
operators.
lemma take-bit-smaller-range:
 \mathbf{fixes}\ ival::'a::len\ word
 assumes n < LENGTH('a)
 assumes val = sint(take-bit \ n \ ival)
 shows 0 \le val \land val < (2::int) \cap n
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma take-bit-same-size-nochange:
 fixes ival :: 'a :: len word
 assumes n = LENGTH('a)
```

```
shows ival = take-bit \ n \ ival
  \langle proof \rangle
A simplification lemma for new_int, showing that upper bits can be ignored.
lemma take-bit-redundant[simp]:
 fixes ival :: 'a :: len word
 assumes \theta < n
 assumes n < LENGTH('a)
 shows signed-take-bit (n-1) (take-bit n ival) = signed-take-bit (n-1) ival
\langle proof \rangle
lemma take-bit-same-size-range:
 fixes ival :: 'a :: len word
 assumes n = LENGTH('a)
 assumes ival2 = take-bit \ n \ ival
 shows -(2 \hat{n} \text{ div } 2) \leq \text{sint ival } 2 \wedge \text{sint ival } 2 < 2 \hat{n} \text{ div } 2
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma take-bit-same-bounds:
 fixes ival :: 'a :: len word
 assumes n = LENGTH('a)
 assumes ival2 = take-bit \ n \ ival
 shows fst (bit\text{-}bounds\ n) \leq sint\ ival2 \wedge sint\ ival2 \leq snd\ (bit\text{-}bounds\ n)
  \langle proof \rangle
Next we show that casting a word to a wider word preserves any upper/lower
bounds. (These lemmas may not be needed any more, since we are not using
scast now?)
lemma scast-max-bound:
 assumes sint (v :: 'a :: len word) < M
 assumes LENGTH('a) < LENGTH('b)
 shows sint((scast\ v)::'b::len\ word) < M
 \langle proof \rangle
lemma scast-min-bound:
 assumes M \leq sint (v :: 'a :: len word)
 assumes LENGTH('a) < LENGTH('b)
 shows M \leq sint ((scast \ v) :: 'b :: len \ word)
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma scast-bigger-max-bound:
  assumes (result :: 'b :: len word) = scast (v :: 'a :: len word)
 shows sint result < 2 \cap LENGTH('a) div 2
  \langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ scast-bigger-min-bound:
 assumes (result :: 'b :: len word) = scast (v :: 'a :: len word)
 shows -(2 \cap LENGTH('a) \ div \ 2) \le sint \ result
```

```
\langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ scast-bigger-bit-bounds:
 assumes (result :: 'b :: len word) = scast (v :: 'a :: len word)
 shows fst (bit-bounds (LENGTH('a))) \leq sint \ result \wedge sint \ result \leq snd (bit-bounds
(LENGTH('a))
 \langle proof \rangle
Results about new int.
lemma new-int-take-bits:
 assumes IntVal\ b\ val = new\text{-}int\ b\ ival
 \mathbf{shows} \ take\text{-}bit \ b \ val = val
  \langle proof \rangle
3.6.2 Support lemmas for take bit and signed take bit.
Lemmas for removing redundant take_bit wrappers.
lemma take-bit-dist-addL[simp]:
 fixes x :: 'a :: len word
 shows take-bit\ b\ (take-bit\ b\ x+y)=take-bit\ b\ (x+y)
\langle proof \rangle
lemma take-bit-dist-addR[simp]:
 fixes x :: 'a :: len word
 shows take-bit\ b\ (x+take-bit\ b\ y)=take-bit\ b\ (x+y)
 \langle proof \rangle
lemma take-bit-dist-subL[simp]:
 fixes x :: 'a :: len word
 shows take-bit b (take-bit\ b\ x-y)=take-bit\ b\ (x-y)
  \langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ take\text{-}bit\text{-}dist\text{-}subR[simp]\text{:}
 fixes x :: 'a :: len word
 shows take-bit b (x - take-bit b y) = take-bit b (x - y)
 \langle proof \rangle
lemma take-bit-dist-neg[simp]:
  fixes ix :: 'a :: len word
 shows take-bit\ b\ (-take-bit\ b\ (ix)) = take-bit\ b\ (-ix)
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma signed-take-take-bit[simp]:
 fixes x :: 'a :: len word
 assumes \theta < b
 shows signed-take-bit (b-1) (take-bit\ b\ x) = signed-take-bit\ (b-1)\ x
```

```
\langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma} \ mod\text{-}larger\text{-}ignore\text{:}
\mathbf{fixes} \ a :: int
\mathbf{fixes} \ m \ n :: nat
\mathbf{assumes} \ n < m
\mathbf{shows} \ (a \ mod \ 2 \ ^n) \ mod \ 2 \ ^n = a \ mod \ 2 \ ^n
\langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma} \ mod\text{-}dist\text{-}over\text{-}add\text{:}
\mathbf{fixes} \ a \ b \ c :: int64
\mathbf{fixes} \ n :: nat
\mathbf{assumes} \ 1: \ 0 < n
\mathbf{assumes} \ 1: \ 0 < n
\mathbf{assumes} \ 2: \ n < 64
\mathbf{shows} \ (a \ mod \ 2 \ ^n + b) \ mod \ 2 \ ^n = (a + b) \ mod \ 2 \ ^n
\langle proof \rangle
```

## 4 Stamp Typing

theory Stamp imports Values begin

end

The GraalVM compiler uses the Stamp class to store range and type information for a given node in the IR graph. We model the Stamp class as a datatype, Stamp, and provide a number of functions on the datatype which correspond to the class methods within the compiler.

Stamp information is used in a variety of ways in optimizations, and so, we additionally provide a number of lemmas which help to prove future optimizations.

```
datatype Stamp =
   VoidStamp
   | IntegerStamp (stp-bits: nat) (stpi-lower: int) (stpi-upper: int)

   | KlassPointerStamp (stp-nonNull: bool) (stp-alwaysNull: bool)
   | MethodCountersPointerStamp (stp-nonNull: bool) (stp-alwaysNull: bool)
   | MethodPointersStamp (stp-nonNull: bool) (stp-alwaysNull: bool)
   | ObjectStamp (stp-type: string) (stp-exactType: bool) (stp-nonNull: bool) (stp-alwaysNull: bool)
   | RawPointerStamp (stp-nonNull: bool) (stp-alwaysNull: bool)
   | IllegalStamp
```

**fun** is-stamp-empty ::  $Stamp \Rightarrow bool$  where

```
is-stamp-empty (IntegerStamp b lower upper) = (upper < lower) | is-stamp-empty x = False
```

Just like the IntegerStamp class, we need to know that our lo/hi bounds fit into the given number of bits (either signed or unsigned). Our integer stamps have infinite lo/hi bounds, so if the lower bound is non-negative, we can assume that all values are positive, and the integer bits of a related value can be interpreted as unsigned. This is similar (but slightly more general) to what IntegerStamp.java does with its test: if (sameSignBounds()) in the unsignedUpperBound() method.

Note that this is a bit different and more accurate than what StampFactory.forUnsignedInteger does (it widens large unsigned ranges to the max signed range to allow all bit patterns) because its lo/hi values are only 64-bit.

```
fun valid\text{-}stamp :: Stamp \Rightarrow bool \text{ where}
valid\text{-}stamp \ (IntegerStamp \ bits \ lo \ hi) =
(0 < bits \land bits \leq 64 \land
fst \ (bit\text{-}bounds \ bits) \leq lo \land lo \leq snd \ (bit\text{-}bounds \ bits) \land
fst \ (bit\text{-}bounds \ bits) \leq hi \land hi \leq snd \ (bit\text{-}bounds \ bits)) \mid
valid\text{-}stamp \ s = True
experiment begin
corollary \ bit\text{-}bounds \ 1 = (-1, \ 0) \ \langle proof \rangle
end
```

```
— A stamp which includes the full range of the type fun unrestricted-stamp :: Stamp \Rightarrow Stamp where unrestricted-stamp VoidStamp = VoidStamp | unrestricted-stamp (IntegerStamp bits lower upper) = (IntegerStamp bits (fst (bit-bounds bits)) (snd (bit-bounds bits))) | unrestricted-stamp (KlassPointerStamp nonNull alwaysNull) = (KlassPointerStamp False False) | unrestricted-stamp (MethodCountersPointerStamp nonNull alwaysNull) = (MethodCountersPointerStamp False False) | unrestricted-stamp (MethodPointersStamp nonNull alwaysNull) = (MethodPointersStamp False False) | unrestricted-stamp (ObjectStamp type exactType nonNull alwaysNull) = (ObjectStamp """ False False False) | unrestricted-stamp - = IllegalStamp
```

```
fun is-stamp-unrestricted :: Stamp \Rightarrow bool where
    is-stamp-unrestricted s = (s = unrestricted-stamp s)
— A stamp which provides type information but has an empty range of values
fun empty-stamp :: Stamp \Rightarrow Stamp where
    empty-stamp \ VoidStamp = VoidStamp |
   empty-stamp (IntegerStamp bits lower upper) = (IntegerStamp bits (snd (bit-bounds)
bits)) (fst (bit-bounds bits))) |
     empty-stamp \; (KlassPointerStamp \; nonNull \; alwaysNull) = (KlassPointerStamp \; nonNull \; alwaysNull \; nonNull \; alwaysNull \; nonNull \; alwaysNull \; nonNull \; nonNull \; alwaysNull \; nonNull \; no
nonNull \ alwaysNull)
   empty-stamp \ (MethodCountersPointerStamp \ nonNull \ alwaysNull) = (MethodCountersPointerStamp \ nonNull \ alwaysNull)
nonNull \ alwaysNull)
   empty-stamp (MethodPointersStamp nonNull alwaysNull) = (MethodPointersStamp nonNull alwaysNull)
nonNull \ alwaysNull)
    empty-stamp (ObjectStamp type exactType \ nonNull \ alwaysNull) = (ObjectStamp
"" True True False) |
    empty-stamp stamp = IllegalStamp
— Calculate the meet stamp of two stamps
fun meet :: Stamp \Rightarrow Stamp \Rightarrow Stamp where
    meet\ VoidStamp\ VoidStamp\ =\ VoidStamp\ |
    meet (IntegerStamp b1 l1 u1) (IntegerStamp b2 l2 u2) = (
        if b1 \neq b2 then IllegalStamp else
       (IntegerStamp\ b1\ (min\ l1\ l2)\ (max\ u1\ u2))
    meet \ (KlassPointerStamp \ nn1 \ an1) \ (KlassPointerStamp \ nn2 \ an2) = (
        KlassPointerStamp\ (nn1 \land nn2)\ (an1 \land an2)
     meet (MethodCountersPointerStamp nn1 an1) (MethodCountersPointerStamp
nn2 \ an2) = (
       MethodCountersPointerStamp\ (nn1 \land nn2)\ (an1 \land an2)
    meet \ (MethodPointersStamp \ nn1 \ an1) \ (MethodPointersStamp \ nn2 \ an2) = (
       MethodPointersStamp\ (nn1 \land nn2)\ (an1 \land an2)
    meet \ s1 \ s2 = IllegalStamp
— Calculate the join stamp of two stamps
fun join :: Stamp \Rightarrow Stamp \Rightarrow Stamp where
   join\ VoidStamp\ VoidStamp\ =\ VoidStamp\ |
   join (IntegerStamp b1 l1 u1) (IntegerStamp b2 l2 u2) = (
       if b1 \neq b2 then IllegalStamp else
       (IntegerStamp b1 (max l1 l2) (min u1 u2))
   ) |
```

```
join (KlassPointerStamp nn1 an1) (KlassPointerStamp nn2 an2) = (
   if ((nn1 \lor nn2) \land (an1 \lor an2))
   then (empty-stamp (KlassPointerStamp nn1 an1))
    else (KlassPointerStamp (nn1 \lor nn2) (an1 \lor an2))
 join (MethodCountersPointerStamp nn1 an1) (MethodCountersPointerStamp nn2
an2) = (
   if ((nn1 \vee nn2) \wedge (an1 \vee an2))
   then (empty-stamp (MethodCountersPointerStamp nn1 an1))
   else (MethodCountersPointerStamp (nn1 \lor nn2) (an1 \lor an2))
  ) |
 join (MethodPointersStamp nn1 an1) (MethodPointersStamp nn2 an2) = (
   if ((nn1 \vee nn2) \wedge (an1 \vee an2))
   then\ (empty\mbox{-}stamp\ (MethodPointersStamp\ nn1\ an1))
   else (MethodPointersStamp (nn1 \lor nn2) (an1 \lor an2))
 join \ s1 \ s2 = IllegalStamp
— In certain circumstances a stamp provides enough information to evaluate a
value as a stamp, the asConstant function converts the stamp to a value where one
can be inferred.
fun asConstant :: Stamp \Rightarrow Value where
  asConstant \ (IntegerStamp \ b \ l \ h) = (if \ l = h \ then \ IntVal \ b \ (word-of-int \ l) \ else
UndefVal)
  asConstant -= UndefVal
— Determine if two stamps never have value overlaps i.e. their join is empty
fun alwaysDistinct :: Stamp <math>\Rightarrow Stamp \Rightarrow bool where
  alwaysDistinct\ stamp1\ stamp2 = is\text{-}stamp\text{-}empty\ (join\ stamp1\ stamp2)
 — Determine if two stamps must always be the same value i.e. two equal constants
fun neverDistinct :: Stamp \Rightarrow Stamp \Rightarrow bool where
  never Distinct \ stamp1 \ stamp2 = (as Constant \ stamp1 = as Constant \ stamp2 \ \land
asConstant\ stamp1 \neq UndefVal)
\mathbf{fun} \ \mathit{constantAsStamp} :: \ \mathit{Value} \Rightarrow \mathit{Stamp} \ \mathbf{where}
 constant As Stamp \ (Int Val \ b \ v) = (Integer Stamp \ b \ (int-signed-value \ b \ v) \ (int-signed-value \ b \ v)
(b \ v)) \mid
  constantAsStamp -= IllegalStamp
— Define when a runtime value is valid for a stamp. The stamp bounds must be
valid, and val must be zero-extended.
fun valid-value :: Value <math>\Rightarrow Stamp \Rightarrow bool where
  valid-value (IntVal b1 val) (IntegerStamp b l h) =
    (if b1 = b then
      valid-stamp (IntegerStamp b l h) <math>\land
      take-bit b val = val \land
```

```
valid-value (ObjRef ref) (ObjectStamp klass exact nonNull alwaysNull) =
            ((alwaysNull \longrightarrow ref = None) \land (ref=None \longrightarrow \neg nonNull))
     valid-value stamp val = False
definition wf-value :: Value \Rightarrow bool where
     wf-value v = valid-value v (constantAsStamp v)
lemma unfold-wf-value[simp]:
     wf-value v \Longrightarrow valid-value v (constantAsStamp v)
     \langle proof \rangle
fun compatible :: Stamp \Rightarrow Stamp \Rightarrow bool where
     compatible (IntegerStamp b1 lo1 hi1) (IntegerStamp b2 lo2 hi2) =
           (b1 = b2 \land valid\text{-}stamp \ (IntegerStamp \ b1 \ lo1 \ hi1) \land valid\text{-}stamp \ (IntegerStamp \ b1 \ lo1 \ hi1) \land valid\text{-}stamp \ (IntegerStamp \ b1 \ lo1 \ hi1) \land valid\text{-}stamp \ (IntegerStamp \ b1 \ lo1 \ hi1) \land valid\text{-}stamp \ (IntegerStamp \ b1 \ lo1 \ hi1) \land valid\text{-}stamp \ (IntegerStamp \ b1 \ lo1 \ hi1) \land valid\text{-}stamp \ (IntegerStamp \ b1 \ lo1 \ hi1) \land valid\text{-}stamp \ (IntegerStamp \ b1 \ lo1 \ hi1) \land valid\text{-}stamp \ (IntegerStamp \ b1 \ lo1 \ hi1) \land valid\text{-}stamp \ (IntegerStamp \ b1 \ lo1 \ hi1) \land valid\text{-}stamp \ (IntegerStamp \ b1 \ lo1 \ hi1) \land valid\text{-}stamp \ (IntegerStamp \ b1 \ lo1 \ hi1) \land valid\text{-}stamp \ (IntegerStamp \ b1 \ lo1 \ hi1) \land valid\text{-}stamp \ (IntegerStamp \ b1 \ lo1 \ hi1) \land valid\text{-}stamp \ (IntegerStamp \ b1 \ lo1 \ hi1) \land valid\text{-}stamp \ (IntegerStamp \ b1 \ lo1 \ hi1) \land valid\text{-}stamp \ (IntegerStamp \ b1 \ lo1 \ hi1) \land valid\text{-}stamp \ (IntegerStamp \ b1 \ lo1 \ hi1) \land valid\text{-}stamp \ (IntegerStamp \ b1 \ lo1 \ hi1) \land valid\text{-}stamp \ (IntegerStamp \ b1 \ lo1 \ hi1) \land valid\text{-}stamp \ (IntegerStamp \ b1 \ lo1 \ hi1) \land valid\text{-}stamp \ (IntegerStamp \ b1 \ lo1 \ hi1) \land valid\text{-}stamp \ (IntegerStamp \ b1 \ lo1 \ hi1) \land valid\text{-}stamp \ (IntegerStamp \ b1 \ lo1 \ hi1) \land valid\text{-}stamp \ (IntegerStamp \ b1 \ lo1 \ hi1) \land valid\text{-}stamp \ (IntegerStamp \ b1 \ lo1 \ hi1) \land valid\text{-}stamp \ (IntegerStamp \ b1 \ lo1 \ hi1) \land valid\text{-}stamp \ (IntegerStamp \ b1 \ lo1 \ hi1) \land valid\text{-}stamp \ (IntegerStamp \ b1 \ lo1 \ hi1) \land valid\text{-}stamp \ (IntegerStamp \ b1 \ lo1 \ hi1) \land valid\text{-}stamp \ (IntegerStamp \ b1 \ lo1 \ hi1) \land valid\text{-}stamp \ (IntegerStamp \ b1 \ lo1 \ hi1) \land valid\text{-}stamp \ (IntegerStamp \ b1 \ lo1 \ hi1) \land valid\text{-}stamp \ (IntegerStamp \ hi1) \land valid\text{-}stamp \ (IntegerStamp
b2 lo2 hi2)) |
     compatible (VoidStamp) (VoidStamp) = True
     compatible - - = False
fun stamp-under :: Stamp <math>\Rightarrow Stamp \Rightarrow bool where
     stamp-under (IntegerStamp b1 lo1 hi1) (IntegerStamp b2 lo2 hi2) = (hi1 < lo2)
     stamp-under - - = False
— The most common type of stamp within the compiler (apart from the Void-
Stamp) is a 32 bit integer stamp with an unrestricted range. We use default-stamp
as it is a frequently used stamp.
definition default-stamp :: Stamp where
     default-stamp = (unrestricted-stamp (IntegerStamp 32 0 0))
value valid-value (IntVal 8 (255)) (IntegerStamp 8 (-128) 127)
end
```

 $l \leq int$ -signed-value b  $val \wedge int$ -signed-value b  $val \leq h$ 

else False) |

# 5 Graph Representation

#### 5.1 IR Graph Nodes

```
theory IRNodes
imports
Values
begin
```

The GraalVM IR is represented using a graph data structure. Here we define the nodes that are contained within the graph. Each node represents a Node subclass in the GraalVM compiler, the node classes have annotated fields to indicate input and successor edges.

We represent these classes with each IRNode constructor explicitly labelling a reference to the node IDs that it stores as inputs and successors.

The inputs\_of and successors\_of functions partition those labelled references into input edges and successor edges of a node.

To identify each Node, we use a simple natural number index. Zero is always the start node in a graph. For human readability, within nodes we write INPUT (or special case thereof) instead of ID for input edges, and SUCC instead of ID for control-flow successor edges. Optional edges are handled as "INPUT option" etc.

```
type-synonym ID = nat
type-synonym INPUT = ID
\mathbf{type\text{-}synonym}\ \mathit{INPUT\text{-}ASSOC} = \mathit{ID}
type-synonym INPUT-STATE = ID
type-synonym INPUT-GUARD = ID
type-synonym INPUT-COND = ID
type-synonym INPUT-EXT = ID
type-synonym SUCC = ID
datatype (discs-sels) IRNode =
 AbsNode (ir-value: INPUT)
  AddNode\ (ir-x:INPUT)\ (ir-y:INPUT)
  AndNode (ir-x: INPUT) (ir-y: INPUT)
 | BeginNode (ir-next: SUCC)
| BytecodeExceptionNode (ir-arguments: INPUT list) (ir-stateAfter-opt: INPUT-STATE
option) (ir-next: SUCC)
  ConditionalNode (ir-condition: INPUT-COND) (ir-trueValue: INPUT) (ir-falseValue:
INPUT
 | ConstantNode (ir-const: Value)
 | DynamicNewArrayNode (ir-elementType: INPUT) (ir-length: INPUT) (ir-voidClass-opt:
INPUT option) (ir-stateBefore-opt: INPUT-STATE option) (ir-next: SUCC)
 \mid EndNode
 | ExceptionObjectNode (ir-stateAfter-opt: INPUT-STATE option) (ir-next: SUCC)
 | FrameState (ir-monitorIds: INPUT-ASSOC list) (ir-outerFrameState-opt: IN-
PUT-STATE option) (ir-values-opt: INPUT list option) (ir-virtualObjectMappings-opt:
INPUT-STATE list option)
| IfNode (ir-condition: INPUT-COND) (ir-trueSuccessor: SUCC) (ir-falseSuccessor:
SUCC
   IntegerBelowNode (ir-x: INPUT) (ir-y: INPUT)
   IntegerEqualsNode (ir-x: INPUT) (ir-y: INPUT)
 | IntegerLessThanNode (ir-x: INPUT) (ir-y: INPUT)
  | InvokeNode (ir-nid: ID) (ir-callTarget: INPUT-EXT) (ir-classInit-opt: IN-
PUT option) (ir-stateDuring-opt: INPUT-STATE option) (ir-stateAfter-opt: IN-
PUT-STATE option) (ir-next: SUCC)
```

```
| InvokeWithExceptionNode (ir-nid: ID) (ir-callTarget: INPUT-EXT) (ir-classInit-opt:
INPUT option) (ir-stateDuring-opt: INPUT-STATE option) (ir-stateAfter-opt: IN-
PUT-STATE option) (ir-next: SUCC) (ir-exceptionEdge: SUCC)
    IsNullNode (ir-value: INPUT)
     KillingBeginNode (ir-next: SUCC)
  | LeftShiftNode (ir-x: INPUT) (ir-y: INPUT)
    | LoadFieldNode (ir-nid: ID) (ir-field: string) (ir-object-opt: INPUT option)
(ir-next: SUCC)
   | LogicNegationNode (ir-value: INPUT-COND)
 | LoopBeqinNode (ir-ends: INPUT-ASSOC list) (ir-overflowGuard-opt: INPUT-GUARD
option) (ir-stateAfter-opt: INPUT-STATE option) (ir-next: SUCC)
   | LoopEndNode (ir-loopBegin: INPUT-ASSOC)|
 | LoopExitNode\ (ir-loopBegin: INPUT-ASSOC)\ (ir-stateAfter-opt: INPUT-STATE) | LoopExitNode\ (ir-loopBegin: INPUT-ASSOC)\ (ir-stateAfter-opt: INPUT-ASSOC)\ (ir-stateAfter-opt: INPUT-ASSOC) | LoopExitNode\ (ir-loopBegin: INPUT-ASSOC)\ (ir-stateAfter-opt: INPUT-ASSOC)\ (ir-stateAfter-opt:
option) (ir-next: SUCC)
     MergeNode (ir-ends: INPUT-ASSOC list) (ir-stateAfter-opt: INPUT-STATE
option) (ir-next: SUCC)
     MethodCallTargetNode (ir-targetMethod: string) (ir-arguments: INPUT list)
     MulNode (ir-x: INPUT) (ir-y: INPUT)
     NarrowNode (ir-inputBits: nat) (ir-resultBits: nat) (ir-value: INPUT)
     NegateNode (ir-value: INPUT)
    NewArrayNode (ir-length: INPUT) (ir-stateBefore-opt: INPUT-STATE option)
(ir-next: SUCC)
    NewInstanceNode (ir-nid: ID) (ir-instanceClass: string) (ir-stateBefore-opt: IN-
PUT-STATE option) (ir-next: SUCC)
     NotNode (ir-value: INPUT)
     OrNode (ir-x: INPUT) (ir-y: INPUT)
     ParameterNode (ir-index: nat)
    PiNode (ir-object: INPUT) (ir-guard-opt: INPUT-GUARD option)
   | ReturnNode (ir-result-opt: INPUT option) (ir-memoryMap-opt: INPUT-EXT
option)
     RightShiftNode (ir-x: INPUT) (ir-y: INPUT)
     ShortCircuitOrNode (ir-x: INPUT-COND) (ir-y: INPUT-COND)
    SignExtendNode (ir-inputBits: nat) (ir-resultBits: nat) (ir-value: INPUT)
  | SignedDivNode (ir-nid: ID) (ir-x: INPUT) (ir-y: INPUT) (ir-zeroCheck-opt: IN-
PUT-GUARD option) (ir-stateBefore-opt: INPUT-STATE option) (ir-next: SUCC)
   | SignedRemNode (ir-nid: ID) (ir-x: INPUT) (ir-y: INPUT) (ir-zeroCheck-opt:
INPUT-GUARD option) (ir-stateBefore-opt: INPUT-STATE option) (ir-next: SUCC)
  | StartNode (ir-stateAfter-opt: INPUT-STATE option) (ir-next: SUCC)
 | StoreFieldNode (ir-nid: ID) (ir-field: string) (ir-value: INPUT) (ir-stateAfter-opt:
INPUT-STATE option) (ir-object-opt: INPUT option) (ir-next: SUCC)
     SubNode (ir-x: INPUT) (ir-y: INPUT)
     UnsignedRightShiftNode (ir-x: INPUT) (ir-y: INPUT)
     UnwindNode (ir-exception: INPUT)
     ValuePhiNode (ir-nid: ID) (ir-values: INPUT list) (ir-merge: INPUT-ASSOC)
     ValueProxyNode (ir-value: INPUT) (ir-loopExit: INPUT-ASSOC)
     XorNode (ir-x: INPUT) (ir-y: INPUT)
     ZeroExtendNode (ir-inputBits: nat) (ir-resultBits: nat) (ir-value: INPUT)
```

```
| NoNode | RefNode (ir-ref:ID) | fun opt-to-list :: 'a option \Rightarrow 'a list where opt-to-list None = [] | opt-to-list (Some v) = [v] | fun opt-list-to-list :: 'a list option \Rightarrow 'a list where opt-list-to-list None = [] | opt-list-to-list (Some x) = x
```

The following functions, inputs\_of and successors\_of, are automatically generated from the GraalVM compiler. Their purpose is to partition the node edges into input or successor edges.

```
fun inputs-of :: IRNode \Rightarrow ID \ list \ \mathbf{where}
     inputs-of-AbsNode:
     inputs-of (AbsNode value) = [value]
     inputs-of-AddNode:
     inputs-of (AddNode\ x\ y) = [x,\ y]
     inputs-of-AndNode:
     inputs-of (AndNode \ x \ y) = [x, \ y] \mid
     inputs-of-BeginNode:
     inputs-of (BeginNode next) = [] |
     inputs-of-BytecodeExceptionNode:
      inputs-of (BytecodeExceptionNode arguments stateAfter next) = arguments @
(opt-to-list stateAfter)
     inputs-of-Conditional Node:
      inputs-of (ConditionalNode condition trueValue falseValue) = [condition, true-option = falseValue]
 Value, falseValue] |
     inputs-of\hbox{-} Constant Node:
     inputs-of (ConstantNode \ const) = []
     inputs-of-DynamicNewArrayNode:
       inputs-of\ (DynamicNewArrayNode\ elementType\ length0\ voidClass\ stateBefore
next) = [elementType, length0] @ (opt-to-list voidClass) @ (opt-to-list stateBefore)
     inputs-of-EndNode:
     inputs-of\ (EndNode) = [] |
     inputs-of	ext{-}ExceptionObjectNode:
     inputs-of\ (ExceptionObjectNode\ stateAfter\ next) = (opt-to-list\ stateAfter)
     inputs-of-FrameState:
   inputs-of\ (Frame State\ monitor Ids\ outer Frame State\ values\ virtual Object Mappings)
= monitor Ids @ (opt-to-list outer Frame State) @ (opt-list-to-list values) @ (opt-l
virtualObjectMappings)
     inputs-of	ext{-}IfNode:
```

```
inputs-of (IfNode condition trueSuccessor falseSuccessor) = [condition]
 inputs-of-IntegerBelowNode:
 inputs-of\ (IntegerBelowNode\ x\ y) = [x,\ y]\ |
 inputs-of-Integer Equals Node:
 inputs-of\ (IntegerEqualsNode\ x\ y) = [x,\ y]\ |
 inputs-of-IntegerLessThanNode:
 inputs-of\ (IntegerLessThanNode\ x\ y) = [x,\ y]\ |
 inputs-of-InvokeNode:
  inputs-of (InvokeNode nid0 callTarget classInit stateDuring stateAfter next)
= callTarget # (opt-to-list classInit) @ (opt-to-list stateDuring) @ (opt-to-list
stateAfter)
 inputs-of-Invoke With Exception Node:
 inputs-of\ (InvokeWithExceptionNode\ nid0\ callTarget\ classInit\ stateDuring\ stateAfter
next\ exceptionEdge) = callTarget\ \#\ (opt\text{-}to\text{-}list\ classInit)\ @\ (opt\text{-}to\text{-}list\ stateDur-
ing) @ (opt-to-list stateAfter) |
 inputs-of-IsNullNode:
 inputs-of (IsNullNode value) = [value]
 inputs-of-KillingBeginNode:
 inputs-of (KillingBeginNode next) = []
 inputs-of-LeftShiftNode:
 inputs-of (LeftShiftNode \ x \ y) = [x, \ y] \mid
 inputs-of\-LoadFieldNode:
 inputs-of (LoadFieldNode \ nid0 \ field \ object \ next) = (opt-to-list \ object) \mid
 inputs-of-LogicNegationNode:
 inputs-of (LogicNegationNode value) = [value]
 inputs-of-LoopBeginNode:
 inputs-of\ (LoopBeginNode\ ends\ overflowGuard\ stateAfter\ next) = ends\ @\ (opt-to-list
overflowGuard) @ (opt-to-list stateAfter) |
 inputs-of-LoopEndNode:
 inputs-of (LoopEndNode\ loopBegin) = [loopBegin]
 inputs-of-LoopExitNode:
  inputs-of (LoopExitNode\ loopBegin\ stateAfter\ next) = loopBegin\ \#\ (opt-to-list
stateAfter) |
 inputs-of-MergeNode:
 inputs-of (MergeNode\ ends\ stateAfter\ next) = ends\ @\ (opt-to-list\ stateAfter)\ |
 inputs-of-MethodCallTargetNode:
 inputs-of (MethodCallTargetNode targetMethod arguments) = arguments
 inputs-of-MulNode:
 inputs-of (MulNode x y) = [x, y]
 inputs-of-NarrowNode:
 inputs-of\ (NarrowNode\ inputBits\ resultBits\ value) = [value]
 inputs-of-NegateNode:
 inputs-of (NegateNode value) = [value]
 inputs-of-NewArrayNode:
 Before) \mid
 inputs-of-NewInstanceNode:
  inputs-of (NewInstanceNode nid0 instanceClass stateBefore next) = (opt-to-list
stateBefore) |
```

```
inputs-of-NotNode:
 inputs-of (NotNode value) = [value]
 inputs-of-OrNode:
 inputs-of (OrNode \ x \ y) = [x, \ y]
 inputs-of-ParameterNode:
 inputs-of (ParameterNode index) = []
 inputs-of-PiNode:
 inputs-of\ (PiNode\ object\ guard) = object\ \#\ (opt-to-list\ guard)
 inputs-of-ReturnNode:
  inputs-of (ReturnNode result memoryMap) = (opt-to-list result) @ (opt-to-list
memoryMap) \mid
 inputs-of-RightShiftNode:
 inputs-of (RightShiftNode \ x \ y) = [x, \ y] \mid
 inputs-of-ShortCircuitOrNode:
 inputs-of\ (ShortCircuitOrNode\ x\ y) = [x,\ y]\ |
 inputs-of-SignExtendNode:
 inputs-of\ (SignExtendNode\ inputBits\ resultBits\ value) = [value]
 inputs-of-SignedDivNode:
  inputs-of (SignedDivNode nid0 \ x \ y \ zeroCheck \ stateBefore \ next) = [x, y] @
(opt-to-list zeroCheck) @ (opt-to-list stateBefore) |
 inputs-of-SignedRemNode:
  inputs-of (SignedRemNode nid0 x y zeroCheck stateBefore next) = [x, y] @
(opt-to-list zeroCheck) @ (opt-to-list stateBefore) |
 inputs-of-StartNode:
 inputs-of\ (StartNode\ stateAfter\ next) = (opt-to-list\ stateAfter)
 inputs-of	ext{-}StoreFieldNode:
  inputs-of (StoreFieldNode nid0 field value stateAfter object next) = value #
(opt-to-list stateAfter) @ (opt-to-list object) |
 inputs-of	ext{-}SubNode:
 inputs-of\ (SubNode\ x\ y) = [x,\ y]\ |
 inputs-of-Unsigned Right Shift Node:
 inputs-of\ (UnsignedRightShiftNode\ x\ y) = [x,\ y]\ |
 inputs-of-UnwindNode:
 inputs-of (UnwindNode exception) = [exception]
 inputs-of-ValuePhiNode:
 inputs-of (ValuePhiNode nid0 values merge) = merge # values |
 inputs-of-ValueProxyNode:
 inputs-of\ (ValueProxyNode\ value\ loopExit) = [value,\ loopExit]\ |
 inputs-of-XorNode:
 inputs-of\ (XorNode\ x\ y) = [x,\ y]\ |
 inputs-of-ZeroExtendNode:
 inputs-of\ (ZeroExtendNode\ inputBits\ resultBits\ value) = [value]
 inputs-of-NoNode: inputs-of (NoNode) = []
 inputs-of-RefNode: inputs-of (RefNode ref) = [ref]
```

fun successors-of ::  $IRNode \Rightarrow ID$  list where

```
successors-of-AbsNode:
 successors-of (AbsNode value) = [] |
 successors-of-AddNode:
 successors-of (AddNode\ x\ y) = []
 successors-of-AndNode:
 successors-of (AndNode x y) = [] |
 successors-of-BeginNode:
 successors-of (BeginNode\ next) = [next]
 successors-of-BytecodeExceptionNode:
 successors-of (BytecodeExceptionNode\ arguments\ stateAfter\ next) = [next]
 successors-of-ConditionalNode:
 successors-of (ConditionalNode condition trueValue\ falseValue) = []
 successors-of-ConstantNode:
 successors-of (ConstantNode const) = [] |
 successors-of-DynamicNewArrayNode:
 successors-of (DynamicNewArrayNode elementType length0 voidClass stateBefore
next) = [next]
 successors-of-EndNode:
 successors-of (EndNode) = []
 successors-of-ExceptionObjectNode:
 successors-of (ExceptionObjectNode\ stateAfter\ next) = [next]
 successors-of-FrameState:
 successors-of (FrameState monitorIds outerFrameState values virtualObjectMap-
pings) = [] |
 successors-of-IfNode:
 successors-of (IfNode condition trueSuccessor falseSuccessor) = [trueSuccessor,
falseSuccessor
 successors-of-IntegerBelowNode:
 successors-of (IntegerBelowNode \ x \ y) = [] |
 successors-of-IntegerEqualsNode:
 successors-of (IntegerEqualsNode \ x \ y) = []
 successors-of-IntegerLessThanNode:
 successors-of (IntegerLessThanNode\ x\ y) = []
 successors-of-InvokeNode:
 successors-of (InvokeNode nid0 callTarget classInit stateDuring stateAfter next)
= [next]
 successors-of-Invoke\,With Exception Node:
  successors-of (InvokeWithExceptionNode nid0 callTarget classInit stateDuring
stateAfter\ next\ exceptionEdge) = [next,\ exceptionEdge]
 successors-of-IsNullNode:
 successors-of (IsNullNode value) = [] |
 successors-of-KillingBeginNode:
 successors-of (KillingBeginNode\ next) = [next]
 successors-of-LeftShiftNode:
 successors-of (LeftShiftNode x y) = [] |
 successors-of-LoadFieldNode:
 successors-of (LoadFieldNode nid0 field object next) = [next]
 successors-of-LogicNegationNode:
 successors-of (LogicNegationNode\ value) = []
```

```
successors-of-LoopBeginNode:
successors-of (LoopBeginNode\ ends\ overflowGuard\ stateAfter\ next) = [next]
successors-of-LoopEndNode:
successors-of (LoopEndNode\ loopBegin) = []
successors-of-LoopExitNode:
successors-of (LoopExitNode\ loopBegin\ stateAfter\ next) = [next]
successors-of-MergeNode:
successors-of (MergeNode\ ends\ stateAfter\ next) = [next]
successors-of-MethodCallTargetNode:
successors-of (MethodCallTargetNode\ targetMethod\ arguments) = []
successors-of-MulNode:
successors-of (MulNode\ x\ y) = []
successors-of-NarrowNode:
successors-of (NarrowNode\ inputBits\ resultBits\ value) = []
successors-of-NegateNode:
successors-of (NegateNode\ value) = []
successors-of-NewArrayNode:
successors-of (NewArrayNode\ length0\ stateBefore\ next) = [next]
successors-of-NewInstanceNode:
successors-of (NewInstanceNode\ nid0\ instanceClass\ stateBefore\ next) = \lceil next \rceil
successors-of-NotNode:
successors-of (NotNode value) = [] |
successors-of-OrNode:
successors-of (OrNode \ x \ y) = [] |
successors-of-ParameterNode:
successors-of\ (ParameterNode\ index) = [] |
successors-of-PiNode:
successors-of (PiNode object guard) = [] |
successors-of-ReturnNode:
successors-of (ReturnNode\ result\ memoryMap) = []
successors-of-RightShiftNode:
successors-of (RightShiftNode x y) = [] |
successors-of-ShortCircuitOrNode:
successors-of (ShortCircuitOrNode\ x\ y) = []
successors-of-SignExtendNode:
successors-of (SignExtendNode\ inputBits\ resultBits\ value) = []
successors-of-SignedDivNode:
successors-of (SignedDivNode nid0 x y zeroCheck stateBefore next) = [next]
successors-of-SignedRemNode:
successors-of (SignedRemNode nid0 x y zeroCheck stateBefore next) = [next]
successors-of-StartNode:
successors-of (StartNode\ stateAfter\ next) = [next]
successors-of-StoreFieldNode:
successors-of (StoreFieldNode nid0 field value stateAfter\ object\ next) = [next]
successors-of-SubNode:
successors-of (SubNode x y) = [] |
successors-of-UnsignedRightShiftNode:
successors-of (UnsignedRightShiftNode\ x\ y) = []
successors-of-UnwindNode:
```

```
successors-of (UnwindNode exception) = [] |
 successors-of-ValuePhiNode:
 successors-of (ValuePhiNode nid0 values merge) = []
 successors-of-ValueProxyNode:
 successors-of (ValueProxyNode\ value\ loopExit) = []
 successors-of-XorNode:
 successors-of (XorNode \ x \ y) = [] \mid
 successors-of-ZeroExtendNode:
 successors-of (ZeroExtendNode\ inputBits\ resultBits\ value) = []
 successors-of-NoNode: successors-of (NoNode) = []
 successors-of-RefNode: successors-of (RefNode ref) = [ref]
lemma inputs-of (FrameState x (Some y) (Some z) None) = x @ [y] @ z
 \langle proof \rangle
lemma successors-of (FrameState x (Some y) (Some z) None) = []
 \langle proof \rangle
lemma inputs-of (IfNode c\ t\ f) = [c]
lemma successors-of (IfNode c\ t\ f) = [t, f]
 \langle proof \rangle
lemma inputs-of (EndNode) = [] \land successors-of (EndNode) = []
end
```

# 5.2 IR Graph Node Hierarchy

theory IRNodeHierarchy imports IRNodes begin

It is helpful to introduce a node hierarchy into our formalization. Often the GraalVM compiler relies on explicit type checks to determine which operations to perform on a given node, we try to mimic the same functionality by using a suite of predicate functions over the IRNode class to determine inheritance.

As one would expect, the function is < ClassName > Type will be true if the node parameter is a subclass of the ClassName within the GraalVM compiler.

These functions have been automatically generated from the compiler.

```
fun is-EndNode :: IRNode \Rightarrow bool where is-EndNode EndNode = True
```

```
fun is-VirtualState :: IRNode \Rightarrow bool where
  is-VirtualState n = ((is-FrameState n))
fun is-BinaryArithmeticNode :: IRNode <math>\Rightarrow bool where
  is-BinaryArithmeticNode n = ((is-AddNode n) \lor (is-AndNode n) \lor (is-MulNode
n) \lor (is\text{-}OrNode\ n) \lor (is\text{-}SubNode\ n) \lor (is\text{-}XorNode\ n))
fun is-ShiftNode :: IRNode <math>\Rightarrow bool where
 is-ShiftNode n = ((is-LeftShiftNode n) \lor (is-RightShiftNode n) \lor (is-UnsignedRightShiftNode
n))
fun is-BinaryNode :: IRNode <math>\Rightarrow bool where
  is-BinaryNode n = ((is-BinaryArithmeticNode n) \lor (is-ShiftNode n))
fun is-AbstractLocalNode :: IRNode <math>\Rightarrow bool where
  is-AbstractLocalNode n = ((is-ParameterNode n))
fun is-IntegerConvertNode :: IRNode \Rightarrow bool where
   is-IntegerConvertNode n = ((is-NarrowNode n) \lor (is-SignExtendNode n) \lor
(is-ZeroExtendNode\ n))
fun is-UnaryArithmeticNode :: IRNode <math>\Rightarrow bool where
 is-UnaryArithmeticNode n = ((is-AbsNode n) \lor (is-NegateNode n) \lor (is-NotNode
n))
fun is-UnaryNode :: IRNode \Rightarrow bool where
  is-UnaryNode n = ((is-IntegerConvertNode n) \lor (is-UnaryArithmeticNode n))
fun is-PhiNode :: IRNode <math>\Rightarrow bool where
  is-PhiNode n = ((is-ValuePhiNode n))
fun is-FloatingGuardedNode :: IRNode <math>\Rightarrow bool where
  is-FloatingGuardedNode n = ((is-PiNode n))
fun is-UnaryOpLogicNode :: IRNode <math>\Rightarrow bool where
  is-UnaryOpLogicNode n = ((is-IsNullNode n))
\mathbf{fun} \ \mathit{is\text{-}IntegerLowerThanNode} :: \mathit{IRNode} \Rightarrow \mathit{bool} \ \mathbf{where}
 is-IntegerLowerThanNode n = ((is-IntegerBelowNode n) \lor (is-IntegerLessThanNode
n))
fun is-CompareNode :: IRNode \Rightarrow bool where
 is-CompareNode n = ((is-IntegerEqualsNode n) \lor (is-IntegerLowerThanNode n))
fun is-BinaryOpLogicNode :: IRNode <math>\Rightarrow bool where
```

is-EndNode - = False

is-BinaryOpLogicNode n = ((is-CompareNode n))

```
fun is-LogicNode :: IRNode \Rightarrow bool where
      is\text{-}LogicNode \ n = ((is\text{-}BinaryOpLogicNode \ n) \lor (is\text{-}LogicNegationNode \ n) \lor
(is	ext{-}ShortCircuitOrNode\ n) \lor (is	ext{-}UnaryOpLogicNode\ n))
fun is-ProxyNode :: IRNode <math>\Rightarrow bool where
    is-ProxyNode\ n = ((is-ValueProxyNode\ n))
fun is-FloatingNode :: IRNode <math>\Rightarrow bool where
  is-FloatingNode n = ((is-AbstractLocalNode n) \lor (is-BinaryNode n) \lor (is-ConditionalNode
n) \lor (is\text{-}ConstantNode\ n) \lor (is\text{-}FloatingGuardedNode\ n) \lor (is\text{-}LogicNode\ n) \lor
(is-PhiNode\ n) \lor (is-ProxyNode\ n) \lor (is-UnaryNode\ n))
\mathbf{fun} \ \mathit{is-AccessFieldNode} :: \mathit{IRNode} \Rightarrow \mathit{bool} \ \mathbf{where}
    is-AccessFieldNode n = ((is-LoadFieldNode n) \lor (is-StoreFieldNode n))
fun is-AbstractNewArrayNode :: IRNode <math>\Rightarrow bool where
  is-AbstractNewArrayNode \ n = ((is-DynamicNewArrayNode \ n) \lor (is-NewArrayNode \ n)
n))
fun is-AbstractNewObjectNode :: IRNode <math>\Rightarrow bool where
  is-AbstractNewObjectNode \ n = ((is-AbstractNewArrayNode \ n) \lor (is-NewInstanceNode \ n) \lor (is-NewInstanceNode \ n) \lor (is-NewInstanceNode \ n)
n))
fun is-IntegerDivRemNode :: IRNode \Rightarrow bool where
    is-IntegerDivRemNode n = ((is-SignedDivNode n) \lor (is-SignedRemNode n))
fun is-FixedBinaryNode :: IRNode <math>\Rightarrow bool where
    is-FixedBinaryNode n = ((is-IntegerDivRemNode n))
fun is-DeoptimizingFixedWithNextNode :: IRNode <math>\Rightarrow bool where
  is-Deoptimizing Fixed With Next Node \ n = ((is-Abstract New Object Node \ n) \lor (is-Fixed Binary Node
n))
fun is-AbstractMemoryCheckpoint :: IRNode <math>\Rightarrow bool where
  is-AbstractMemoryCheckpoint n = ((is-BytecodeExceptionNode n) \lor (is-InvokeNode n) 
n))
fun is-AbstractStateSplit :: IRNode \Rightarrow bool where
    is-AbstractStateSplit \ n = ((is-AbstractMemoryCheckpoint \ n))
fun is-AbstractMergeNode :: IRNode <math>\Rightarrow bool where
    is-AbstractMergeNode \ n = ((is-LoopBeginNode \ n) \lor (is-MergeNode \ n))
fun is-BeginStateSplitNode :: IRNode <math>\Rightarrow bool where
   is-BeginStateSplitNode n = ((is-AbstractMergeNode n) \lor (is-ExceptionObjectNode
n) \lor (is\text{-}LoopExitNode\ n) \lor (is\text{-}StartNode\ n))
fun is-AbstractBeginNode :: IRNode <math>\Rightarrow bool where
```

```
is-AbstractBeginNode n = ((is-BeginNode n) \lor (is-BeginStateSplitNode n) \lor
(is\text{-}KillingBeginNode\ n))
fun is-FixedWithNextNode :: IRNode <math>\Rightarrow bool where
 is-FixedWithNextNode n = ((is-AbstractBeqinNode n) \lor (is-AbstractStateSplit n)
\vee (is-AccessFieldNode n) \vee (is-DeoptimizingFixedWithNextNode n))
fun is-WithExceptionNode :: IRNode \Rightarrow bool where
  is-WithExceptionNode n = ((is-InvokeWithExceptionNode n))
fun is-ControlSplitNode :: IRNode <math>\Rightarrow bool where
  is-ControlSplitNode n = ((is-IfNode n) \lor (is-WithExceptionNode n))
\mathbf{fun} \ \mathit{is-ControlSinkNode} :: \mathit{IRNode} \Rightarrow \mathit{bool} \ \mathbf{where}
  is-ControlSinkNode n = ((is-ReturnNode n) \lor (is-UnwindNode n))
fun is-AbstractEndNode :: IRNode <math>\Rightarrow bool where
  is-AbstractEndNode n = ((is-EndNode n) \lor (is-LoopEndNode n))
fun is-FixedNode :: IRNode <math>\Rightarrow bool where
 is	ext{-}FixedNode\ n = ((is	ext{-}AbstractEndNode\ n) \lor (is	ext{-}ControlSinkNode\ n) \lor (is	ext{-}ControlSplitNode\ n)
n) \lor (is\text{-}FixedWithNextNode} n))
fun is-CallTargetNode :: IRNode <math>\Rightarrow bool where
  is-CallTargetNode n = ((is-MethodCallTargetNode n))
fun is-ValueNode :: IRNode \Rightarrow bool where
 is-ValueNode n = ((is-CallTargetNode n) \lor (is-FixedNode n) \lor (is-FloatinqNode
n))
fun is-Node :: IRNode \Rightarrow bool where
  is-Node n = ((is-ValueNode n) \lor (is-VirtualState n))
fun is-MemoryKill :: IRNode \Rightarrow bool where
  is-MemoryKill n = ((is-AbstractMemoryCheckpoint n))
fun is-NarrowableArithmeticNode :: IRNode \Rightarrow bool where
 is-NarrowableArithmeticNode n = ((is-AbsNode n) \lor (is-AddNode n) \lor (is-AndNode
n) \lor (is\text{-}NulNode\ n) \lor (is\text{-}NeqateNode\ n) \lor (is\text{-}NotNode\ n) \lor (is\text{-}OrNode\ n) \lor
(is\text{-}ShiftNode\ n) \lor (is\text{-}SubNode\ n) \lor (is\text{-}XorNode\ n))
fun is-AnchoringNode :: IRNode <math>\Rightarrow bool where
  is-AnchoringNode n = ((is-AbstractBeginNode n))
fun is-DeoptBefore :: IRNode \Rightarrow bool where
  is-DeoptBefore n = ((is-DeoptimizingFixedWithNextNode n))
fun is-IndirectCanonicalization :: IRNode \Rightarrow bool where
  is-IndirectCanonicalization n = ((is-LogicNode n))
```

```
fun is-IterableNodeType :: IRNode <math>\Rightarrow bool where
 is-IterableNodeType n = ((is-AbstractBeginNode n) \lor (is-AbstractMergeNode n) \lor
(is	ext{-}FrameState\ n) \lor (is	ext{-}IfNode\ n) \lor (is	ext{-}IntegerDivRemNode\ n) \lor (is	ext{-}InvokeWithExceptionNode\ n)
n) \lor (is\text{-}LoopBeqinNode\ n) \lor (is\text{-}LoopExitNode\ n) \lor (is\text{-}MethodCallTargetNode\ n)
\lor (is-ParameterNode n) \lor (is-ReturnNode n) \lor (is-ShortCircuitOrNode n))
fun is-Invoke :: IRNode \Rightarrow bool where
  is-Invoke n = ((is-InvokeNode n) \lor (is-InvokeWithExceptionNode n))
fun is-Proxy :: IRNode \Rightarrow bool where
  is-Proxy n = ((is-ProxyNode n))
fun is-ValueProxy :: IRNode \Rightarrow bool where
  is-ValueProxy n = ((is-PiNode n) \lor (is-ValueProxyNode n))
fun is-ValueNodeInterface :: IRNode \Rightarrow bool where
  is-ValueNodeInterface n = ((is-ValueNode n))
fun is-ArrayLengthProvider :: IRNode \Rightarrow bool where
  is-ArrayLengthProvider n = ((is-AbstractNewArrayNode n) \lor (is-ConstantNode
n))
fun is-StampInverter :: IRNode <math>\Rightarrow bool where
 is-StampInverter n = ((is-IntegerConvertNode n) \lor (is-NegateNode n) \lor (is-NotNode
n))
fun is-GuardingNode :: IRNode <math>\Rightarrow bool where
  is-GuardingNode n = ((is-AbstractBeginNode n))
fun is-SingleMemoryKill :: IRNode <math>\Rightarrow bool where
 is-SingleMemoryKill n = ((is-BytecodeExceptionNode n) \lor (is-ExceptionObjectNode
n) \lor (is\text{-}InvokeNode\ n) \lor (is\text{-}InvokeWithExceptionNode\ n) \lor (is\text{-}KillingBeginNode\ n)
n) \vee (is\text{-}StartNode\ n))
fun is-LIRLowerable :: IRNode \Rightarrow bool where
   is-LIRLowerable n = ((is-AbstractBeginNode n) \lor (is-AbstractEndNode n) \lor
(is-AbstractMergeNode\ n)\ \lor\ (is-BinaryOpLogicNode\ n)\ \lor\ (is-CallTargetNode\ n)\ \lor
(is\text{-}ConditionalNode\ n) \lor (is\text{-}ConstantNode\ n) \lor (is\text{-}IfNode\ n) \lor (is\text{-}InvokeNode\ n)
\lor (is\text{-}InvokeWithExceptionNode\ n) \lor (is\text{-}IsNullNode\ n) \lor (is\text{-}LoopBeqinNode\ n) \lor
(is\text{-}PiNode\ n) \lor (is\text{-}ReturnNode\ n) \lor (is\text{-}SignedDivNode\ n) \lor (is\text{-}SignedRemNode\ n)
n) \lor (is\text{-}UnaryOpLogicNode\ n) \lor (is\text{-}UnwindNode\ n))
fun is-GuardedNode :: IRNode <math>\Rightarrow bool where
  is-GuardedNode n = ((is-FloatingGuardedNode n))
fun is-ArithmeticLIRLowerable :: IRNode \Rightarrow bool where
 is-ArithmeticLIRLowerable n = ((is-AbsNode n) \lor (is-BinaryArithmeticNode n) \lor
(is\text{-}IntegerConvertNode\ n) \lor (is\text{-}NotNode\ n) \lor (is\text{-}ShiftNode\ n) \lor (is\text{-}UnaryArithmeticNode\ n)
```

```
n))
fun is-SwitchFoldable :: IRNode <math>\Rightarrow bool where
    is-SwitchFoldable n = ((is-IfNode n))
fun is-VirtualizableAllocation :: IRNode \Rightarrow bool where
    is-VirtualizableAllocation n = ((is-NewArrayNode n) \lor (is-NewInstanceNode n))
fun is-Unary :: IRNode \Rightarrow bool where
   is-Unary n = ((is-LoadFieldNode n) \lor (is-LogicNegationNode n) \lor (is-UnaryNode
n) \vee (is\text{-}UnaryOpLogicNode\ n))
fun is-FixedNodeInterface :: IRNode <math>\Rightarrow bool where
    is-FixedNodeInterface n = ((is-FixedNode n))
fun is-BinaryCommutative :: IRNode \Rightarrow bool where
  is-Binary Commutative n = ((is-AddNode n) \lor (is-AndNode n) \lor (is-IntegerEqualsNode
n) \lor (is\text{-}MulNode\ n) \lor (is\text{-}OrNode\ n) \lor (is\text{-}XorNode\ n))
fun is-Canonicalizable :: IRNode \Rightarrow bool where
  is-Canonicalizable n = ((is-BytecodeExceptionNode n) \lor (is-ConditionalNode n) \lor (is-Condition
(is-DynamicNewArrayNode\ n) \lor (is-PhiNode\ n) \lor (is-PiNode\ n) \lor (is-ProxyNode\ n)
n) \lor (is\text{-}StoreFieldNode\ n) \lor (is\text{-}ValueProxyNode\ n))
fun is-UncheckedInterfaceProvider :: IRNode \Rightarrow bool where
  is-UncheckedInterfaceProvider n = ((is-InvokeNode n) \lor (is-InvokeWithExceptionNode
n) \vee (is\text{-}LoadFieldNode\ n) \vee (is\text{-}ParameterNode\ n))
fun is-Binary :: IRNode \Rightarrow bool where
  is-Binary n = ((is-Binary Arithmetic Node n) \lor (is-Binary Node n) \lor (is-Binary OpLogic Node
n) \lor (is\text{-}CompareNode\ n) \lor (is\text{-}FixedBinaryNode\ n) \lor (is\text{-}ShortCircuitOrNode\ n))
fun is-ArithmeticOperation :: IRNode \Rightarrow bool where
  is-ArithmeticOperation n = ((is-BinaryArithmeticNode n) \lor (is-IntegerConvertNode
n) \lor (is\text{-}ShiftNode\ n) \lor (is\text{-}UnaryArithmeticNode\ n))
fun is-ValueNumberable :: IRNode \Rightarrow bool where
    is-ValueNumberable n = ((is-FloatingNode n) \lor (is-ProxyNode n))
fun is-Lowerable :: IRNode \Rightarrow bool where
     is-Lowerable n = ((is-AbstractNewObjectNode n) \lor (is-AccessFieldNode n) \lor
(is	ext{-}BytecodeExceptionNode\ n) \lor (is	ext{-}ExceptionObjectNode\ n) \lor (is	ext{-}IntegerDivRemNode\ n)
n) \vee (is\text{-}UnwindNode\ n))
fun is-Virtualizable :: IRNode <math>\Rightarrow bool where
    is-Virtualizable n = ((is-IsNullNode n) \lor (is-LoadFieldNode n) \lor (is-PiNode n)
\lor (is\text{-}StoreFieldNode\ n) \lor (is\text{-}ValueProxyNode\ n))
```

fun is-Simplifiable ::  $IRNode \Rightarrow bool$  where

```
is-Simplifiable n = ((is-AbstractMergeNode n) \lor (is-BeginNode n) \lor (is-IfNode
n) \lor (is\text{-}LoopExitNode\ n) \lor (is\text{-}MethodCallTargetNode\ n) \lor (is\text{-}NewArrayNode\ n))
fun is-StateSplit :: IRNode <math>\Rightarrow bool where
 is-StateSplit n = ((is-AbstractStateSplit n) \lor (is-BeginStateSplitNode n) \lor (is-StoreFieldNode
n))
fun is-ConvertNode :: IRNode <math>\Rightarrow bool where
  is-ConvertNode n = ((is-IntegerConvertNode n))
fun is-sequential-node :: IRNode \Rightarrow bool where
  is-sequential-node (StartNode - -) = True
  is-sequential-node (BeginNode -) = True |
  is-sequential-node (KillingBeginNode -) = True
  is-sequential-node (LoopBeqinNode - - - -) = True
  is-sequential-node (LoopExitNode - - -) = True
  is-sequential-node (MergeNode - - -) = True
  is-sequential-node (RefNode -) = True
  is-sequential-node - = False
```

The following convenience function is useful in determining if two IRNodes are of the same type irregardless of their edges. It will return true if both the node parameters are the same node class.

```
fun is-same-ir-node-type :: IRNode \Rightarrow IRNode \Rightarrow bool where
is-same-ir-node-type n1 n2 = (
  ((is-AbsNode \ n1) \land (is-AbsNode \ n2)) \lor
  ((is-AddNode \ n1) \land (is-AddNode \ n2)) \lor
  ((is-AndNode\ n1) \land (is-AndNode\ n2)) \lor
  ((is\text{-}BeginNode\ n1) \land (is\text{-}BeginNode\ n2)) \lor
  ((is-BytecodeExceptionNode\ n1) \land (is-BytecodeExceptionNode\ n2)) \lor
  ((is-ConditionalNode\ n1) \land (is-ConditionalNode\ n2)) \lor
  ((is\text{-}ConstantNode\ n1) \land (is\text{-}ConstantNode\ n2)) \lor
  ((is-DynamicNewArrayNode\ n1) \land (is-DynamicNewArrayNode\ n2)) \lor
  ((is\text{-}EndNode\ n1) \land (is\text{-}EndNode\ n2)) \lor
  ((is\text{-}ExceptionObjectNode\ n1) \land (is\text{-}ExceptionObjectNode\ n2)) \lor
  ((is\text{-}FrameState\ n1) \land (is\text{-}FrameState\ n2)) \lor
  ((is\text{-}IfNode\ n1) \land (is\text{-}IfNode\ n2)) \lor
  ((is-IntegerBelowNode\ n1) \land (is-IntegerBelowNode\ n2)) \lor
  ((is-IntegerEqualsNode\ n1) \land (is-IntegerEqualsNode\ n2)) \lor
  ((is-IntegerLessThanNode\ n1) \land (is-IntegerLessThanNode\ n2)) \lor
  ((is\text{-}InvokeNode\ n1) \land (is\text{-}InvokeNode\ n2)) \lor
  ((is-InvokeWithExceptionNode\ n1) \land (is-InvokeWithExceptionNode\ n2)) \lor
  ((is\text{-}IsNullNode\ n1) \land (is\text{-}IsNullNode\ n2)) \lor
  ((is\text{-}KillingBeginNode\ n1) \land (is\text{-}KillingBeginNode\ n2)) \lor
  ((is\text{-}LeftShiftNode\ n1) \land (is\text{-}LeftShiftNode\ n2)) \lor
  ((is\text{-}LoadFieldNode\ n1) \land (is\text{-}LoadFieldNode\ n2)) \lor
  ((is\text{-}LogicNegationNode\ n1) \land (is\text{-}LogicNegationNode\ n2)) \lor
  ((is\text{-}LoopBeginNode\ n1) \land (is\text{-}LoopBeginNode\ n2)) \lor
```

```
((is\text{-}LoopEndNode\ n1) \land (is\text{-}LoopEndNode\ n2)) \lor
((is\text{-}LoopExitNode\ n1) \land (is\text{-}LoopExitNode\ n2)) \lor
((is\text{-}MergeNode\ n1) \land (is\text{-}MergeNode\ n2)) \lor
((is-MethodCallTargetNode\ n1) \land (is-MethodCallTargetNode\ n2)) \lor
((is\text{-}MulNode\ n1) \land (is\text{-}MulNode\ n2)) \lor
((is\text{-}NarrowNode\ n1) \land (is\text{-}NarrowNode\ n2)) \lor
((is\text{-}NegateNode\ n1) \land (is\text{-}NegateNode\ n2)) \lor
((is-NewArrayNode\ n1) \land (is-NewArrayNode\ n2)) \lor
((is-NewInstanceNode\ n1) \land (is-NewInstanceNode\ n2)) \lor
((is\text{-}NotNode\ n1) \land (is\text{-}NotNode\ n2)) \lor
((is\text{-}OrNode\ n1) \land (is\text{-}OrNode\ n2)) \lor
((is-ParameterNode \ n1) \land (is-ParameterNode \ n2)) \lor
((is-PiNode \ n1) \land (is-PiNode \ n2)) \lor
((is\text{-}ReturnNode\ n1) \land (is\text{-}ReturnNode\ n2)) \lor
((is-RightShiftNode\ n1) \land (is-RightShiftNode\ n2)) \lor
((is-ShortCircuitOrNode\ n1) \land (is-ShortCircuitOrNode\ n2)) \lor
((is\text{-}SignedDivNode\ n1) \land (is\text{-}SignedDivNode\ n2)) \lor
((is\text{-}SignedRemNode\ n1) \land (is\text{-}SignedRemNode\ n2)) \lor
((is\text{-}SignExtendNode\ n1) \land (is\text{-}SignExtendNode\ n2)) \lor
((is\text{-}StartNode\ n1) \land (is\text{-}StartNode\ n2)) \lor
((is\text{-}StoreFieldNode\ n1) \land (is\text{-}StoreFieldNode\ n2)) \lor
((is\text{-}SubNode\ n1) \land (is\text{-}SubNode\ n2)) \lor
((is-UnsignedRightShiftNode\ n1) \land (is-UnsignedRightShiftNode\ n2)) \lor (is-UnsignedRightShiftNode\ n2)
((is\text{-}UnwindNode\ n1) \land (is\text{-}UnwindNode\ n2)) \lor
((is-ValuePhiNode\ n1) \land (is-ValuePhiNode\ n2)) \lor
((is-ValueProxyNode\ n1) \land (is-ValueProxyNode\ n2)) \lor
((is\text{-}XorNode\ n1) \land (is\text{-}XorNode\ n2)) \lor
((is\text{-}ZeroExtendNode\ n1) \land (is\text{-}ZeroExtendNode\ n2)))
```

#### end

## 5.3 IR Graph Type

```
theory IRGraph
imports
IRNodeHierarchy
Stamp
HOL-Library.FSet
HOL.Relation
begin
```

This theory defines the main Graal data structure - an entire IR Graph.

IRGraph is defined as a partial map with a finite domain. The finite domain is required to be able to generate code and produce an interpreter.

```
typedef IRGraph = \{g :: ID \rightarrow (IRNode \times Stamp) : finite (dom g)\} \langle proof \rangle
```

setup-lifting type-definition-IRGraph

```
lift-definition ids :: IRGraph \Rightarrow ID \ set
  is \lambda g. \{nid \in dom \ g \ . \ \nexists \ s. \ g \ nid = (Some \ (NoNode, \ s))\} \ \langle proof \rangle
fun with-default :: c \Rightarrow (b \Rightarrow c) \Rightarrow ((a \rightarrow b) \Rightarrow a \Rightarrow c) where
  with-default def conv = (\lambda m \ k.
    (case \ m \ k \ of \ None \Rightarrow def \mid Some \ v \Rightarrow conv \ v))
lift-definition kind :: IRGraph \Rightarrow (ID \Rightarrow IRNode)
  is with-default NoNode fst \langle proof \rangle
lift-definition stamp :: IRGraph \Rightarrow ID \Rightarrow Stamp
  is with-default IllegalStamp and \( \rho proof \)
lift-definition add\text{-}node :: ID \Rightarrow (IRNode \times Stamp) \Rightarrow IRGraph \Rightarrow IRGraph
  is \lambda nid \ k \ q, if fst \ k = NoNode \ then \ q \ else \ q(nid \mapsto k) \ \langle proof \rangle
lift-definition remove-node :: ID \Rightarrow IRGraph \Rightarrow IRGraph
  is \lambda nid\ g.\ g(nid := None)\ \langle proof \rangle
lift-definition replace-node :: ID \Rightarrow (IRNode \times Stamp) \Rightarrow IRGraph \Rightarrow IRGraph
  is \lambda nid \ k \ g. \ if \ fst \ k = NoNode \ then \ g \ else \ g(nid \mapsto k) \ \langle proof \rangle
lift-definition as-list :: IRGraph \Rightarrow (ID \times IRNode \times Stamp) list
  is \lambda g. map (\lambda k. (k, the (g k))) (sorted-list-of-set (dom g)) \langle proof \rangle
fun no-node :: (ID \times (IRNode \times Stamp)) list \Rightarrow (ID \times (IRNode \times Stamp)) list
where
  no\text{-}node\ g = filter\ (\lambda n.\ fst\ (snd\ n) \neq NoNode)\ g
lift-definition irgraph :: (ID \times (IRNode \times Stamp)) \ list \Rightarrow IRGraph
  \textbf{is} \ \textit{map-of} \, \circ \, \textit{no-node}
  \langle proof \rangle
definition as-set :: IRGraph \Rightarrow (ID \times (IRNode \times Stamp)) set where
  as-set g = \{(n, kind \ g \ n, stamp \ g \ n) \mid n \ . \ n \in ids \ g\}
definition true\text{-}ids :: IRGraph \Rightarrow ID \text{ set } \mathbf{where}
  true-ids g = ids \ g - \{n \in ids \ g. \ \exists \ n' \ . \ kind \ g \ n = RefNode \ n'\}
definition domain-subtraction :: 'a set \Rightarrow ('a \times 'b) set \Rightarrow ('a \times 'b) set
  (infix \leq 3\theta) where
  domain-subtraction s \ r = \{(x, y) \ . \ (x, y) \in r \land x \notin s\}
notation (latex)
  domain-subtraction (- \triangleleft -)
code-datatype irgraph
```

```
fun filter-none where
  filter-none g = \{ nid \in dom \ g : \nexists s. \ g \ nid = (Some \ (NoNode, s)) \}
lemma no-node-clears:
  res = no\text{-}node \ xs \longrightarrow (\forall \ x \in set \ res. \ fst \ (snd \ x) \neq NoNode)
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma dom-eq:
  assumes \forall x \in set \ xs. \ fst \ (snd \ x) \neq NoNode
  shows filter-none (map\text{-}of xs) = dom (map\text{-}of xs)
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma fil-eq:
  filter-none\ (map-of\ (no-node\ xs)) = set\ (map\ fst\ (no-node\ xs))
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma irgraph[code]: ids (irgraph m) = set (map fst (no-node m))
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma [code]: Rep-IRGraph (irgraph m) = map-of (no-node m)
  \langle proof \rangle
fun inputs :: IRGraph \Rightarrow ID \Rightarrow ID set where
  inputs \ g \ nid = set \ (inputs-of \ (kind \ g \ nid))
— Get the successor set of a given node ID
fun succ :: IRGraph \Rightarrow ID \Rightarrow ID set where
  succ\ g\ nid = set\ (successors-of\ (kind\ g\ nid))
   Gives a relation between node IDs - between a node and its input nodes
fun input\text{-}edges :: IRGraph \Rightarrow ID rel where
  input\text{-}edges\ g = (\bigcup i \in ids\ g.\ \{(i,j)|j.\ j \in (inputs\ g\ i)\})
 - Find all the nodes in the graph that have nid as an input - the usages of nid
fun usages :: IRGraph \Rightarrow ID \Rightarrow ID set where
  usages\ g\ nid = \{i.\ i \in ids\ g \land nid \in inputs\ g\ i\}
fun successor\text{-}edges :: IRGraph \Rightarrow ID rel where
  successor\text{-}edges\ g = (\bigcup i \in ids\ g.\ \{(i,j)|j\ .\ j \in (succ\ g\ i)\})
fun predecessors :: IRGraph \Rightarrow ID \Rightarrow ID set where
  predecessors \ g \ nid = \{i. \ i \in ids \ g \land nid \in succ \ g \ i\}
fun nodes-of :: IRGraph \Rightarrow (IRNode \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow ID set where
  nodes-of g \ sel = \{ nid \in ids \ g \ . \ sel \ (kind \ g \ nid) \}
fun edge :: (IRNode \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow ID \Rightarrow IRGraph \Rightarrow 'a where
  edge \ sel \ nid \ g = sel \ (kind \ g \ nid)
fun filtered-inputs :: IRGraph \Rightarrow ID \Rightarrow (IRNode \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow ID list where
  filtered-inputs g nid f = filter (f \circ (kind g)) (inputs-of (kind g nid))
fun filtered-successors :: IRGraph \Rightarrow ID \Rightarrow (IRNode \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow ID list where
  filtered-successors g nid f = filter (f \circ (kind g)) (successors-of (kind g nid))
fun filtered-usages :: IRGraph \Rightarrow ID \Rightarrow (IRNode \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow ID set where
  filtered-usages g nid f = \{n \in (usages \ g \ nid). \ f \ (kind \ g \ n)\}
```

```
fun is-empty :: IRGraph \Rightarrow bool where
  is\text{-}empty\ g = (ids\ g = \{\})
fun any-usage :: IRGraph \Rightarrow ID \Rightarrow ID where
  any-usage g nid = hd (sorted-list-of-set (usages g nid))
lemma ids-some[simp]: x \in ids \ g \longleftrightarrow kind \ g \ x \neq NoNode
\langle proof \rangle
lemma not-in-g:
  assumes nid \notin ids g
  shows kind \ g \ nid = NoNode
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma valid-creation[simp]:
  finite (dom\ q) \longleftrightarrow Rep-IRGraph\ (Abs-IRGraph\ q) = q
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma [simp]: finite (ids g)
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma [simp]: finite (ids (irgraph g))
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma [simp]: finite (dom\ g) \longrightarrow ids\ (Abs\text{-}IRGraph\ g) = \{nid \in dom\ g\ .\ \nexists\ s.\ g
nid = Some (NoNode, s)
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma [simp]: finite (dom g) \longrightarrow kind (Abs-IRGraph g) = (\lambda x . (case g x of None
\Rightarrow NoNode \mid Some \ n \Rightarrow fst \ n)
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma [simp]: finite (dom g) \longrightarrow stamp (Abs-IRGraph g) = (\lambda x . (case g x of
None \Rightarrow IllegalStamp \mid Some \ n \Rightarrow snd \ n)
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma [simp]: ids (irgraph g) = set (map fst (no-node g))
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma [simp]: kind (irgraph g) = (\lambdanid. (case (map-of (no-node g)) nid of None
\Rightarrow NoNode | Some n \Rightarrow fst n)
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma [simp]: stamp (irgraph g) = (\lambdanid. (case (map-of (no-node g)) nid of None
\Rightarrow IllegalStamp | Some n \Rightarrow snd n)
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma map-of-upd: (map\text{-}of\ g)(k\mapsto v)=(map\text{-}of\ ((k,\ v)\ \#\ g))
  \langle proof \rangle
```

```
lemma [code]: replace-node nid k (irgraph g) = (irgraph ( ((nid, k) \# g)))
\langle proof \rangle
lemma [code]: add-node nid k (irgraph g) = (irgraph (((nid, k) \# g)))
 \langle proof \rangle
lemma add-node-lookup:
 gup = add-node nid(k, s) g \longrightarrow
   (if k \neq NoNode then kind gup nid = k \wedge stamp gup nid = s else kind gup nid
= kind \ g \ nid)
\langle proof \rangle
lemma remove-node-lookup:
  gup = remove\text{-node nid } g \longrightarrow kind gup \ nid = NoNode \land stamp gup \ nid =
IllegalStamp
 \langle proof \rangle
lemma replace-node-lookup[simp]:
  gup = replace - node \ nid \ (k, s) \ g \land k \neq NoNode \longrightarrow kind \ gup \ nid = k \land stamp
gup \ nid = s
 \langle proof \rangle
lemma replace-node-unchanged:
 gup = replace - node \ nid \ (k, s) \ g \longrightarrow (\forall \ n \in (ids \ g - \{nid\}) \ . \ n \in ids \ g \land n \in ids
gup \wedge kind \ g \ n = kind \ gup \ n
  \langle proof \rangle
5.3.1 Example Graphs
Example 1: empty graph (just a start and end node)
definition start-end-graph:: IRGraph where
  None None, VoidStamp)]
Example 2: public static int sq(int x) return x * x;
[1 P(0)] / [0 Start] [4 *] | / V / [5 Return]
definition eg2-sq :: IRGraph where
  eg2-sq = irgraph
   (0, StartNode None 5, VoidStamp),
   (1, ParameterNode 0, default-stamp),
   (4, MulNode 1 1, default-stamp),
   (5, ReturnNode (Some 4) None, default-stamp)
```

```
 \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{value} \ input\text{-}edges \ eg2\text{-}sq \\ \mathbf{value} \ usages \ eg2\text{-}sq \ 1 \end{array}
```

is-same-ir-node- $type \ x \ y;$ 

end

## 5.4 Structural Graph Comparison

```
theory
Comparison
imports
IRGraph
begin
```

We introduce a form of structural graph comparison that is able to assert structural equivalence of graphs which differ in zero or more reference node chains for any given nodes.

```
fun find-ref-nodes :: IRGraph \Rightarrow (ID \rightarrow ID) where
find-ref-nodes g = map-of
 (map(\lambda n. (n, ir-ref(kind q n)))) (filter(\lambda id. is-RefNode(kind q id))) (sorted-list-of-set
(ids g))))
fun replace-ref-nodes :: IRGraph <math>\Rightarrow (ID \rightharpoonup ID) \Rightarrow ID list \Rightarrow ID list where
replace-ref-nodes g m xs = map (\lambda id. (case (m id) of Some other \Rightarrow other | None)
\Rightarrow id)) xs
fun find-next :: ID \ list \Rightarrow ID \ set \Rightarrow ID \ option \ \mathbf{where}
  find\text{-}next \ to\text{-}see \ seen = (let \ l = (filter \ (\lambda nid. \ nid \notin seen) \ to\text{-}see)
     in (case l of [] \Rightarrow None \mid xs \Rightarrow Some (hd xs)))
inductive reachables :: IRGraph \Rightarrow ID \ list \Rightarrow ID \ set \Rightarrow ID \ set \Rightarrow bool \ where
reachables g [] \{\} \} \}
[None = find\text{-}next \ to\text{-}see \ seen] \implies reachables \ g \ to\text{-}see \ seen \ |
[Some \ n = find\text{-}next \ to\text{-}see \ seen;]
  node = kind \ q \ n;
  new = (inputs-of\ node) @ (successors-of\ node);
   \textit{reachables g (to-see @ new) (\{n\} \cup \textit{seen}) \textit{ seen'} \, ]} \implies \textit{reachables g to-see seen}
seen'
\mathbf{code\text{-}pred}\ (modes: i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o \Rightarrow bool)\ [show\text{-}steps, show\text{-}mode\text{-}inference, show\text{-}intermediate\text{-}results]
reachables \langle proof \rangle
inductive nodeEq :: (ID \rightarrow ID) \Rightarrow IRGraph \Rightarrow ID \Rightarrow IRGraph \Rightarrow ID \Rightarrow bool
\llbracket kind\ g1\ n1 = RefNode\ ref;\ nodeEq\ m\ g1\ ref\ g2\ n2\ \rrbracket \Longrightarrow nodeEq\ m\ g1\ n1\ g2\ n2\ 
brace
[x = kind \ g1 \ n1;
  y = kind g2 n2;
```

```
replace-ref-nodes\ g1\ m\ (successors-of\ x) = successors-of\ y;
  replace-ref-nodes g1 m (inputs-of x) = inputs-of y
  \implies nodeEq \ m \ g1 \ n1 \ g2 \ n2
code-pred [show-modes] nodeEq \( \rho proof \)
fun diffNodesGraph :: IRGraph <math>\Rightarrow IRGraph \Rightarrow ID set where
diffNodesGraph \ g1 \ g2 = (let \ refNodes = find-ref-nodes \ g1 \ in
    \{ n : n \in Predicate.the (reachables-i-i-i-o g1 [0] \{\}) \land (case \ refNodes \ n \ of \ Some \} \} \}
- \Rightarrow False \mid - \Rightarrow True \land \neg (nodeEq \ refNodes \ g1 \ n \ g2 \ n) \})
fun diffNodesInfo :: IRGraph \Rightarrow IRGraph \Rightarrow (ID \times IRNode \times IRNode) set (infix
\cap_s 20
 where
diffNodesInfo\ g1\ g2 = \{(nid,\ kind\ g1\ nid,\ kind\ g2\ nid)\mid nid\ .\ nid\in diffNodesGraph
g1 g2
fun eqGraph :: IRGraph \Rightarrow IRGraph \Rightarrow bool (infix <math>\approx_s 20)
eqGraph is abelle-graph graal-graph = ((diffNodesGraph is abelle-graph graal-graph)
= \{\})
```

#### end

## 5.5 Control-flow Graph Traversal

```
theory
Traversal
imports
IRGraph
begin
```

type-synonym Seen = ID set

nextEdge helps determine which node to traverse next by returning the first successor edge that isn't in the set of already visited nodes. If there is not an appropriate successor, None is returned instead.

```
fun nextEdge :: Seen \Rightarrow ID \Rightarrow IRGraph \Rightarrow ID option where 
 <math>nextEdge \ seen \ nid \ g = 
 (let \ nids = (filter \ (\lambda nid'. \ nid' \notin seen) \ (successors-of \ (kind \ g \ nid))) \ in 
 (if \ length \ nids > 0 \ then \ Some \ (hd \ nids) \ else \ None))
```

pred determines which node, if any, acts as the predecessor of another.

Merge nodes represent a special case where-in the predecessor exists as an input edge of the merge node, to simplify the traversal we treat only the first input end node as the predecessor, ignoring that multiple nodes may act as a successor.

For all other nodes, the predecessor is the first element of the predecessors set. Note that in a well-formed graph there should only be one element in the predecessor set.

```
fun pred :: IRGraph ⇒ ID ⇒ ID option where

pred g nid = (case kind g nid of

(MergeNode ends - -) ⇒ Some (hd ends) |

- ⇒

(if IRGraph.predecessors g nid = {}

then None else

Some (hd (sorted-list-of-set (IRGraph.predecessors g nid)))

)
```

Here we try to implement a generic fork of the control-flow traversal algorithm that was initially implemented for the Conditional Elimination phase

```
type-synonym 'a TraversalState = (ID \times Seen \times 'a)
```

```
inductive Step
```

```
:: ('a\ TraversalState \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow IRGraph \Rightarrow 'a\ TraversalState \Rightarrow 'a\ TraversalState option \Rightarrow bool
```

### for $sa\ g$ where

— Hit a BeginNode with an IfNode predecessor which represents the start of a basic block for the IfNode. 1. nid' will be the successor of the begin node. 2. Find the first and only predecessor. 3. Extract condition from the preceding IfNode. 4. Negate condition if the begin node is second branch (we've taken the else branch of the condition) 5. Add the condition or the negated condition to stack 6. Perform any stamp updates based on the condition using the registerNewCondition function and place them on the top of the stack of stamp information

 $\llbracket kind \ g \ nid = BeginNode \ nid';$ 

```
nid \notin seen;
seen' = \{nid\} \cup seen;
Some if cond = pred g \ nid;
kind \ g \ if cond = If Node \ cond \ t \ f;
analysis' = sa \ (nid, seen, \ analysis)]
\implies Step \ sa \ g \ (nid, seen, \ analysis) \ (Some \ (nid', seen', \ analysis')) \ |
```

— Hit an EndNode 1. nid' will be the usage of EndNode 2. pop the conditions and stamp stack

```
nid \notin seen;

seen' = \{nid\} \cup seen;

nid' = any\text{-}usage \ g \ nid;

analysis' = sa \ (nid, seen, analysis)
```

 $\llbracket kind \ g \ nid = EndNode;$ 

```
\implies Step sa g (nid, seen, analysis) (Some (nid', seen', analysis'))
  — We can find a successor edge that is not in seen, go there
  [\neg(is\text{-}EndNode\ (kind\ g\ nid));
    \neg(is-BeginNode (kind g nid));
   nid \notin seen;
   seen' = \{nid\} \cup seen;
   Some nid' = nextEdge seen' nid g;
   analysis' = sa (nid, seen, analysis)
   \implies Step sa g (nid, seen, analysis) (Some (nid', seen', analysis')) |
  — We can cannot find a successor edge that is not in seen, give back None
  [\neg (is\text{-}EndNode\ (kind\ q\ nid));
    \neg (is\text{-}BeginNode\ (kind\ g\ nid));
   nid \notin seen;
   seen' = \{nid\} \cup seen;
   None = nextEdge seen' nid g
   \implies Step sa g (nid, seen, analysis) None |
  — We've already seen this node, give back None
  \llbracket nid \in seen \rrbracket \Longrightarrow Step \ sa \ g \ (nid, \ seen, \ analysis) \ None
code-pred (modes: i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o \Rightarrow bool) Step \langle proof \rangle
end
```

# 6 Data-flow Semantics

```
theory IRTreeEval
imports
Graph.Stamp
begin
```

We define a tree representation of data-flow nodes, as an abstraction of the graph view.

Data-flow trees are evaluated in the context of a method state (currently called MapState in the theories for historical reasons).

The method state consists of the values for each method parameter, references to method parameters use an index of the parameter within the parameter list, as such we store a list of parameter values which are looked up at parameter references.

The method state also stores a mapping of node ids to values. The contents

of this mapping is calculates during the traversal of the control flow graph. As a concrete example, as the SignedDivNode::'a can have side-effects (during division by zero), it is treated as part of the control-flow, since the data-flow phase is specified to be side-effect free. As a result, the control-flow semantics for SignedDivNode::'a calculates the value of a node and maps the node identifier to the value within the method state. The data-flow semantics then just reads the value stored in the method state for the node.

```
type-synonym ID = nat

type-synonym MapState = ID \Rightarrow Value

type-synonym Params = Value list

definition new-map-state :: MapState where

new-map-state = (\lambda x.\ UndefVal)

6.1 Data-flow Tree Representation
```

```
 \begin{array}{l} \textbf{datatype} \ IRUnaryOp = \\ UnaryAbs \\ | \ UnaryNeg \\ | \ UnaryNot \\ | \ UnaryLogicNegation \\ | \ UnarySignExtend \ (ir-inputBits: \ nat) \ (ir-resultBits: \ nat) \\ | \ UnarySignExtend \ (ir-inputBits: \ nat) \ (ir-resultBits: \ nat) \\ | \ UnaryZeroExtend \ (ir-inputBits: \ nat) \ (ir-resultBits: \ nat) \\ \hline    \textbf{datatype} \ IRBinaryOp = \\ BinAdd \\ | \ BinMul \\ | \ BinSub \\ | \ BinSub \\ | \ BinAnd \\ | \ BinOr \\ | \ BinYor \\ \end{array}
```

```
| BinXor
| BinShortCircuitOr
| BinLeftShift
| BinRightShift
| BinURightShift
| BinIntegerEquals
| BinIntegerLessThan
| BinIntegerBelow
```

```
 \begin{array}{l} \textbf{datatype} \ (\textit{discs-sels}) \ \textit{IRExpr} = \\ \textit{UnaryExpr} \ (\textit{ir-uop:} \ \textit{IRUnaryOp}) \ (\textit{ir-value:} \ \textit{IRExpr}) \\ | \ \textit{BinaryExpr} \ (\textit{ir-op:} \ \textit{IRBinaryOp}) \ (\textit{ir-x:} \ \textit{IRExpr}) \ (\textit{ir-y:} \ \textit{IRExpr}) \\ | \ \textit{ConditionalExpr} \ (\textit{ir-condition:} \ \textit{IRExpr}) \ (\textit{ir-trueValue:} \ \textit{IRExpr}) \ (\textit{ir-falseValue:} \ \textit{IRExpr}) \\ | \ \textit{IRExpr}) \end{array}
```

| ParameterExpr (ir-index: nat) (ir-stamp: Stamp)

```
| LeafExpr (ir-nid: ID) (ir-stamp: Stamp)
   ConstantExpr (ir-const: Value)
   Constant Var (ir-name: string)
  VariableExpr (ir-name: string) (ir-stamp: Stamp)
fun is-ground :: IRExpr \Rightarrow bool where
 is-ground (UnaryExpr\ op\ e) = is-ground e
 is-ground (BinaryExpr op e1 e2) = (is-ground e1 \land is-ground e2)
 is-ground (ConditionalExpr b e1 e2) = (is-ground b \wedge is-ground e1 \wedge is-ground
e2)
 is-ground (ParameterExpr i s) = True
 is-ground (LeafExpr \ n \ s) = True \mid
 is-ground (ConstantExpr\ v) = True
 is-ground (Constant Var name) = False
 is-ground (VariableExpr\ name\ s) = False
typedef GroundExpr = \{ e :: IRExpr . is-ground e \}
 \langle proof \rangle
```

## 6.2 Functions for re-calculating stamps

Note: in Java all integer calculations are done as 32 or 64 bit calculations. However, here we generalise the operators to allow any size calculations. Many operators have the same output bits as their inputs. However, the unary integer operators that are not  $normal\_unary$  are narrowing or widening operators, so the result bits is specified by the operator. The binary integer operators are divided into three groups: (1)  $binary\_fixed\_32$  operators always output 32 bits, (2)  $binary\_shift\_ops$  operators output size is determined by their left argument, and (3) other operators output the same number of bits as both their inputs.

```
abbreviation binary-fixed-32-ops :: IRBinaryOp set where binary-fixed-32-ops \equiv {BinShortCircuitOr, BinIntegerEquals, BinIntegerLessThan, BinIntegerBelow} abbreviation binary-shift-ops :: IRBinaryOp set where binary-shift-ops \equiv {BinLeftShift, BinRightShift, BinURightShift} abbreviation normal-unary :: IRUnaryOp set where normal-unary \equiv {UnaryAbs, UnaryNeg, UnaryNot, UnaryLogicNegation} fun stamp-unary :: IRUnaryOp \Rightarrow Stamp \Rightarrow Stamp where stamp-unary op (IntegerStamp b lo hi) = unrestricted-stamp (IntegerStamp (if op \in normal-unary then b else (ir-resultBits op)) lo hi) |
```

```
stamp	ext{-}unary\ op\ 	ext{-}=IllegalStamp
fun stamp-binary :: IRBinaryOp \Rightarrow Stamp \Rightarrow Stamp \Rightarrow Stamp where
 stamp-binary op (IntegerStamp b1 lo1 hi1) (IntegerStamp b2 lo2 hi2) =
   (if op ∈ binary-shift-ops then unrestricted-stamp (IntegerStamp b1 lo1 hi1)
    else if b1 \neq b2 then IllegalStamp else
     (if op \in binary-fixed-32-ops
      then unrestricted-stamp (IntegerStamp 32 lo1 hi1)
      else unrestricted-stamp (IntegerStamp b1 lo1 hi1))) |
 stamp-binary op - - = IllegalStamp
fun stamp-expr :: IRExpr \Rightarrow Stamp where
 stamp-expr (UnaryExpr op x) = stamp-unary op (stamp-expr x) \mid
 stamp-expr\ (BinaryExpr\ bop\ x\ y) = stamp-binary\ bop\ (stamp-expr\ x)\ (stamp-expr\ x)
y)
 stamp-expr (ConstantExpr val) = constantAsStamp val |
 stamp-expr(LeafExpr(i s) = s)
 stamp-expr (ParameterExpr i s) = s \mid
 stamp-expr (ConditionalExpr c t f) = meet (stamp-expr t) (stamp-expr f)
export-code stamp-unary stamp-binary stamp-expr
      Data-flow Tree Evaluation
6.3
fun unary-eval :: IRUnaryOp \Rightarrow Value \Rightarrow Value where
 unary-eval UnaryAbs\ v = intval-abs v \mid
 unary-eval \ UnaryNeg \ v = intval-negate \ v \mid
 unary-eval \ UnaryNot \ v = intval-not \ v \mid
 unary-eval\ UnaryLogicNegation\ v=intval-logic-negation\ v\mid
 unary-eval (UnaryNarrow inBits outBits) v = intval-narrow inBits outBits v
 unary-eval (UnarySignExtend inBits outBits) v = intval-sign-extend inBits outBits
 unary-eval (UnaryZeroExtend inBits outBits) v = intval-zero-extend inBits outBits
fun bin-eval :: IRBinaryOp \Rightarrow Value \Rightarrow Value \Rightarrow Value where
 bin-eval BinAdd\ v1\ v2 = intval-add v1\ v2
 bin-eval BinMul\ v1\ v2 = intval-mul\ v1\ v2\ |
 bin-eval\ BinSub\ v1\ v2 = intval-sub\ v1\ v2
 bin-eval BinAnd\ v1\ v2 = intval-and v1\ v2
 bin-eval\ BinOr\ v1\ v2=intval-or\ v1\ v2
 bin-eval BinXor\ v1\ v2 = intval-xor v1\ v2
 bin-eval\ BinShortCircuitOr\ v1\ v2=intval-short-circuit-or\ v1\ v2
 bin-eval\ BinLeftShift\ v1\ v2=intval-left-shift\ v1\ v2
 bin-eval\ BinRightShift\ v1\ v2=intval-right-shift\ v1\ v2
 bin-eval\ BinURightShift\ v1\ v2=intval-uright-shift\ v1\ v2
```

 $bin-eval\ BinIntegerEquals\ v1\ v2 = intval-equals\ v1\ v2$ 

```
lemmas eval-thms =
  intval-abs.simps\ intval-negate.simps\ intval-not.simps
  intval	ext{-}logic	ext{-}negation.simps intval	ext{-}narrow.simps
  intval-sign-extend.simps intval-zero-extend.simps
  intval-add.simps intval-mul.simps intval-sub.simps
  intval-and.simps intval-or.simps intval-xor.<math>simps
  intval-left-shift.simps intval-right-shift.simps
  intval-uright-shift.simps intval-equals.simps
  intval\mbox{-}less\mbox{-}than.simps\ intval\mbox{-}below.simps
inductive not-undef-or-fail :: Value \Rightarrow Value \Rightarrow bool where
  \llbracket value \neq UndefVal \rrbracket \implies not\text{-}undef\text{-}or\text{-}fail\ value\ value}
notation (latex output)
  not-undef-or-fail (- = -)
inductive
  evaltree :: MapState \Rightarrow Params \Rightarrow IRExpr \Rightarrow Value \Rightarrow bool ([-,-] \vdash - \mapsto -55)
  for m p where
  ConstantExpr:
  [wf-value c]
    \implies [m,p] \vdash (ConstantExpr\ c) \mapsto c
  ParameterExpr:
  [i < length p; valid-value (p!i) s]
    \implies [m,p] \vdash (ParameterExpr\ i\ s) \mapsto p!i
  Conditional Expr:
  \llbracket [m,p] \vdash ce \mapsto cond;
    cond \neq UndefVal;
    branch = (if \ val\ -to\ -bool \ cond \ then \ te \ else \ fe);
    [m,p] \vdash branch \mapsto result;
    result \neq UndefVal
    \implies [m,p] \vdash (ConditionalExpr\ ce\ te\ fe) \mapsto result
  UnaryExpr:
  \llbracket [m,p] \vdash xe \mapsto x;
    result = (unary-eval \ op \ x);
    result \neq UndefVal
    \implies [m,p] \vdash (UnaryExpr \ op \ xe) \mapsto result \mid
  BinaryExpr:
  \llbracket [m,p] \vdash xe \mapsto x;
```

bin-eval BinIntegerLessThan v1 v2 = intval-less-than v1 v2

bin-eval  $BinIntegerBelow\ v1\ v2 = intval$ -below\ v1\ v2

```
[m,p] \vdash ye \mapsto y;
    result = (bin-eval \ op \ x \ y);
    result \neq UndefVal
    \implies [m,p] \vdash (BinaryExpr \ op \ xe \ ye) \mapsto result \mid
  LeafExpr:
  \llbracket val = m \ n;
    valid-value \ val \ s
    \implies [m,p] \vdash LeafExpr \ n \ s \mapsto val
code-pred (modes: i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o \Rightarrow bool \ as \ evalT)
  [show\_steps, show\_mode\_inference, show\_intermediate\_results]
  evaltree \langle proof \rangle
inductive
  evaltrees :: MapState \Rightarrow Params \Rightarrow IRExpr\ list \Rightarrow Value\ list \Rightarrow bool\ ([-,-] \vdash - \mapsto_L
  for m p where
  EvalNil:
  [m,p] \vdash [] \mapsto_L [] \mid
  EvalCons:
  \llbracket [m,p] \vdash x \mapsto xval;
    [m,p] \vdash yy \mapsto_L yyval
    \Longrightarrow [m,p] \vdash (x\#yy) \mapsto_L (xval\#yyval)
code-pred (modes: i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o \Rightarrow bool \ as \ evalTs)
  evaltrees \langle proof \rangle
definition sq\text{-}param\theta :: IRExpr where
  sq\text{-}param0 = BinaryExpr\ BinMul
    (ParameterExpr 0 (IntegerStamp 32 (- 2147483648) 2147483647))
    (ParameterExpr 0 (IntegerStamp 32 (- 2147483648) 2147483647))
values {v. evaltree new-map-state [IntVal 32 5] sq-param0 v}
declare evaltree.intros [intro]
declare evaltrees.intros [intro]
```

### 6.4 Data-flow Tree Refinement

We define the induced semantic equivalence relation between expressions. Note that syntactic equality implies semantic equivalence, but not vice versa.

```
definition equiv-exprs :: IRExpr \Rightarrow IRExpr \Rightarrow bool \ (- \doteq -55) where (e1 \doteq e2) = (\forall m \ p \ v. \ (([m,p] \vdash e1 \mapsto v) \longleftrightarrow ([m,p] \vdash e2 \mapsto v)))
```

We also prove that this is a total equivalence relation (equivp equiv-exprs) (HOL.Equiv\_Relations), so that we can reuse standard results about equivalence relations.

```
lemma equivp equiv-exprs \langle proof \rangle
```

We define a refinement ordering over IRExpr and show that it is a preorder. Note that it is asymmetric because e2 may refer to fewer variables than e1.

instantiation IRExpr :: preorder begin

```
notation less-eq (infix \sqsubseteq 65)

definition
\begin{array}{l} le-expr-def \ [simp] \colon \\ (e_2 \leq e_1) \longleftrightarrow (\forall \ m \ p \ v. \ (([m,p] \vdash e_1 \mapsto v) \longrightarrow ([m,p] \vdash e_2 \mapsto v)))) \end{array}

definition
\begin{array}{l} lt-expr-def \ [simp] \colon \\ (e_1 < e_2) \longleftrightarrow (e_1 \leq e_2 \land \neg \ (e_1 \doteq e_2)) \end{array}
instance \langle proof \rangle

end

abbreviation (output) Refines :: IRExpr \Rightarrow IRExpr \Rightarrow bool \ (infix <math>\sqsubseteq 64)
where e_1 \supseteq e_2 \equiv (e_2 \leq e_1)
```

## 6.5 Stamp Masks

A stamp can contain additional range information in the form of masks. A stamp has an up mask and a down mask, corresponding to a the bits that may be set and the bits that must be set.

Examples: A stamp where no range information is known will have; an up mask of -1 as all bits may be set, and a down mask of 0 as no bits must be set.

A stamp known to be one should have; an up mask of 1 as only the first bit may be set, no others, and a down mask of 1 as the first bit must be set and no others.

We currently don't carry mask information in stamps, and instead assume correct masks to prove optimizations.

```
locale stamp\text{-}mask =  fixes up :: IRExpr \Rightarrow int64 \ (\uparrow) fixes down :: IRExpr \Rightarrow int64 \ (\downarrow) assumes up\text{-}spec: [m, p] \vdash e \mapsto IntVal \ b \ v \Longrightarrow (and \ v \ (not \ ((ucast \ (\uparrow e))))) = 0 and down\text{-}spec: [m, p] \vdash e \mapsto IntVal \ b \ v \Longrightarrow (and \ (not \ v) \ (ucast \ (\downarrow e))) = 0 begin
```

```
{f lemma}\ may\mbox{-}implies\mbox{-}either:
  [m, p] \vdash e \mapsto IntVal\ b\ v \Longrightarrow bit\ (\uparrow e)\ n \Longrightarrow bit\ v\ n = False \lor bit\ v\ n = True
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma not-may-implies-false:
  [m, p] \vdash e \mapsto IntVal\ b\ v \Longrightarrow \neg(bit\ (\uparrow e)\ n) \Longrightarrow bit\ v\ n = False
  \langle proof \rangle
{\bf lemma}\ must-implies-true:
  [m,\,p] \vdash e \mapsto \mathit{IntVal}\ b\ v \Longrightarrow \mathit{bit}\ (\mathop{\downarrow}\! e)\ n \Longrightarrow \mathit{bit}\ v\ n = \mathit{True}
  \langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ not\text{-}must\text{-}implies\text{-}either:
  [m, p] \vdash e \mapsto IntVal\ b\ v \Longrightarrow \neg(bit\ (\downarrow e)\ n) \Longrightarrow bit\ v\ n = False\ \lor\ bit\ v\ n = True
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma must-implies-may:
  [m, p] \vdash e \mapsto IntVal\ b\ v \Longrightarrow n < 32 \Longrightarrow bit\ (\downarrow e)\ n \Longrightarrow bit\ (\uparrow e)\ n
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma up-mask-and-zero-implies-zero:
  assumes and (\uparrow x) (\uparrow y) = 0
  assumes [m, p] \vdash x \mapsto IntVal\ b\ xv
  assumes [m, p] \vdash y \mapsto IntVal\ b\ yv
  shows and xv yv = 0
  \langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ not\text{-}down\text{-}up\text{-}mask\text{-}and\text{-}zero\text{-}implies\text{-}zero\text{:}
  assumes and (not (\downarrow x)) (\uparrow y) = 0
  assumes [m, p] \vdash x \mapsto IntVal\ b\ xv
  assumes [m, p] \vdash y \mapsto IntVal\ b\ yv
  shows and xv yv = yv
  \langle proof \rangle
end
definition IRExpr-up :: IRExpr \Rightarrow int64 where
  IRExpr-up \ e = not \ \theta
definition IRExpr-down :: IRExpr \Rightarrow int64 where
  IRExpr-down \ e = 0
lemma ucast-zero: (ucast (0::int64)::int32) = 0
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma ucast-minus-one: (ucast (-1::int64)::int32) = -1
```

 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```
interpretation simple-mask: stamp-mask IRExpr-up :: IRExpr \Rightarrow int64 IRExpr-down :: IRExpr \Rightarrow int64 \langle proof \rangle
```

 $\quad \text{end} \quad$ 

### 6.6 Data-flow Tree Theorems

```
theory IRTreeEvalThms
imports
Graph. ValueThms
IRTreeEval
begin
```

## 6.6.1 Deterministic Data-flow Evaluation

```
lemma evalDet:
```

```
 [m,p] \vdash e \mapsto v_1 \Longrightarrow \\ [m,p] \vdash e \mapsto v_2 \Longrightarrow \\ v_1 = v_2 \\ \langle proof \rangle
```

### $\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{evalAllDet} :$

```
 [m,p] \vdash e \mapsto_L v1 \Longrightarrow 
 [m,p] \vdash e \mapsto_L v2 \Longrightarrow 
 v1 = v2 
 \langle proof \rangle
```

## 6.6.2 Typing Properties for Integer Evaluation Functions

We use three simple typing properties on integer values:  $is_IntVal32$ ,  $is_IntVal64$  and the more general  $is_IntVal$ .

```
lemma unary-eval-not-obj-ref:

shows unary-eval op x \neq ObjRef\ v

\langle proof \rangle

lemma unary-eval-not-obj-str:

shows unary-eval op x \neq ObjStr\ v

\langle proof \rangle
```

lemma unary-eval-int:

```
assumes def: unary-eval op x \neq UndefVal
 shows is-IntVal (unary-eval op x)
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma bin-eval-int:
  assumes def: bin-eval of x y \neq UndefVal
 shows is-IntVal (bin-eval op x y)
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma Int Val \theta:
  (IntVal\ 32\ \theta) = (new-int\ 32\ \theta)
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma Int Val1:
  (Int Val \ 32 \ 1) = (new-int \ 32 \ 1)
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma bin-eval-new-int:
 assumes def: bin-eval op x y \neq UndefVal
 shows \exists b \ v. \ (bin\text{-}eval \ op \ x \ y) = new\text{-}int \ b \ v \ \land
               b = (if \ op \in binary-fixed-32-ops \ then \ 32 \ else \ intval-bits \ x)
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma int-stamp:
  assumes i: is-IntVal v
  shows is-IntegerStamp (constantAsStamp v)
  \langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ validStampIntConst:
 \mathbf{assumes}\ v = \mathit{IntVal}\ b\ \mathit{ival}
 assumes 0 < b \land b \le 64
 shows valid-stamp (constantAsStamp v)
\langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{validDefIntConst} \colon
  assumes v: v = IntVal \ b \ ival
 assumes 0 < b \land b \le 64
 assumes take-bit b ival = ival
  shows valid-value v (constantAsStamp v)
\langle proof \rangle
```

## 6.6.3 Evaluation Results are Valid

A valid value cannot be UndefVal.

lemma valid-not-undef: assumes a1: valid-value val s

```
assumes a2: s \neq VoidStamp
  shows val \neq UndefVal
  \langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ valid\text{-}VoidStamp[elim]:
  shows \ valid-value val \ VoidStamp \Longrightarrow
      val = UndefVal
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma valid-ObjStamp[elim]:
  shows valid-value val (ObjectStamp klass exact nonNull alwaysNull) \Longrightarrow
      (\exists v. val = ObjRef v)
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma valid-int[elim]:
  shows valid-value val (IntegerStamp b lo hi) \Longrightarrow
      (\exists v. val = IntVal b v)
  \langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemmas}\ valid\text{-}value\text{-}elims =
  valid\hbox{-} VoidStamp
  valid	ext{-}ObjStamp
  valid-int
lemma evaltree-not-undef:
  fixes m p e v
  shows ([m,p] \vdash e \mapsto v) \Longrightarrow v \neq UndefVal
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma leafint:
  assumes ev: [m,p] \vdash LeafExpr\ i\ (IntegerStamp\ b\ lo\ hi) \mapsto val
  shows \exists b \ v. \ val = (IntVal \ b \ v)
\langle proof \rangle
lemma default-stamp [simp]: default-stamp = IntegerStamp 32 (-2147483648)
2147483647
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma valid-value-signed-int-range [simp]:
  {\bf assumes}\ valid\text{-}value\ val\ (IntegerStamp\ b\ lo\ hi)
  assumes lo < \theta
  shows \exists v. (val = IntVal \ b \ v \land a)
             lo \leq int-signed-value b \ v \ \land
             int-signed-value b \ v \leq hi)
```

## 6.6.4 Example Data-flow Optimisations

## 6.6.5 Monotonicity of Expression Refinement

We prove that each subexpression position is monotonic. That is, optimizing a subexpression anywhere deep inside a top-level expression also optimizes that top-level expression.

Note that we might also be able to do this via reusing Isabelle's mono operator (HOL.Orderings theory), proving instantiations like mono(UnaryExprop), but it is not obvious how to do this for both arguments of the binary expressions.

```
lemma mono-unary:
  assumes x \geq x'
 shows (UnaryExpr\ op\ x) \ge (UnaryExpr\ op\ x')
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma mono-binary:
  assumes x \geq x'
 assumes y \geq y'
 shows (BinaryExpr\ op\ x\ y) \ge (BinaryExpr\ op\ x'\ y')
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma never-void:
  assumes [m, p] \vdash x \mapsto xv
  assumes valid-value xv (stamp-expr xe)
 shows stamp-expr \ xe \neq VoidStamp
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma compatible-trans:
  compatible x \ y \land compatible \ y \ z \Longrightarrow compatible \ x \ z
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma compatible-refl:
  compatible \ x \ y \Longrightarrow compatible \ y \ x
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma mono-conditional:
  assumes c \geq c'
 assumes t \geq t'
 assumes f \geq f'
```

```
shows (ConditionalExpr c t f) \geq (ConditionalExpr c' t' f') \langle proof \rangle
```

# 6.7 Unfolding rules for evaltree quadruples down to bin-eval level

These rewrite rules can be useful when proving optimizations. They support top-down rewriting of each level of the tree into the lower-level  $bin_eval / unary_eval$  level, simply by saying  $unfoldingunfold_evaltree$ .

```
lemma unfold-const:
  shows ([m,p] \vdash ConstantExpr \ c \mapsto v) = (wf\text{-}value \ v \land v = c)
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma unfold-binary:
  shows ([m,p] \vdash BinaryExpr \ op \ xe \ ye \mapsto val) = (\exists \ x \ y.
           (([m,p] \vdash xe \mapsto x) \land
            ([m,p] \vdash ye \mapsto y) \land
            (val = bin-eval \ op \ x \ y) \land
            (val \neq UndefVal)
        )) (is ?L = ?R)
\langle proof \rangle
lemma unfold-unary:
  shows ([m,p] \vdash UnaryExpr \ op \ xe \mapsto val)
         = (\exists x.
              (([m,p] \vdash xe \mapsto x) \land
               (val = unary-eval \ op \ x) \land
               (val \neq UndefVal)
              )) (is ?L = ?R)
  \langle proof \rangle
```

lemmas unfold-evaltree = unfold-binary unfold-unary

## 6.8 Lemmas about new\_int and integer eval results.

```
lemma unary-eval-new-int:
assumes def: unary-eval op x \neq UndefVal
shows \exists b \ v. \ unary-eval \ op \ x = new-int \ b \ v \ \land
b = (if \ op \in normal-unary \ then \ intval-bits \ x \ else \ ir-resultBits \ op)
\langle proof \rangle
```

 ${f lemma}$   $new\mbox{-}int\mbox{-}unused\mbox{-}bits\mbox{-}zero$ :

```
assumes IntVal\ b\ ival = new\text{-}int\ b\ ival 0
 shows take-bit b ival = ival
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma unary-eval-unused-bits-zero:
  assumes unary-eval op x = IntVal\ b\ ival
 shows take-bit b ival = ival
  \langle proof \rangle
{\bf lemma}\ bin-eval-unused-bits-zero:
  assumes bin-eval \ op \ x \ y = (IntVal \ b \ ival)
 shows take-bit b ival = ival
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma eval-unused-bits-zero:
  [m,p] \vdash xe \mapsto (Int Val\ b\ ix) \Longrightarrow take-bit\ b\ ix = ix
\langle proof \rangle
lemma unary-normal-bitsize:
  assumes unary-eval op x = IntVal\ b\ ival
 assumes op \in normal\text{-}unary
 shows \exists ix. x = IntVal b ix
  \langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ unary\text{-}not\text{-}normal\text{-}bit size:
  assumes unary-eval op x = IntVal\ b\ ival
  assumes op \notin normal\text{-}unary
 shows b = ir-resultBits op \land 0 < b \land b \le 64
  \langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ unary\text{-}eval\text{-}bitsize:
 assumes unary-eval op x = IntVal b ival
 assumes 2: x = IntVal \ bx \ ix
 assumes \theta < bx \land bx \leq 64
 shows 0 < b \land b \le 64
\langle proof \rangle
{f lemma}\ bin-eval-inputs-are-ints:
  assumes bin-eval op x y = IntVal b ix
 obtains xb\ yb\ xi\ yi where x=IntVal\ xb\ xi\ \land\ y=IntVal\ yb\ yi
\langle proof \rangle
lemma eval-bits-1-64:
 [m,p] \vdash xe \mapsto (IntVal\ b\ ix) \Longrightarrow 0 < b \land b \le 64
```

```
\langle proof \rangle
lemma unfold-binary-width:
 assumes op \notin binary\text{-}fixed\text{-}32\text{-}ops \land op \notin binary\text{-}shift\text{-}ops
 shows ([m,p] \vdash BinaryExpr \ op \ xe \ ye \mapsto IntVal \ b \ val) = (\exists \ x \ y.
         (([m,p] \vdash xe \mapsto IntVal\ b\ x) \land
          ([m,p] \vdash ye \mapsto IntVal\ b\ y) \land
          (IntVal\ b\ val = bin-eval\ op\ (IntVal\ b\ x)\ (IntVal\ b\ y))\ \land
          (IntVal\ b\ val \neq UndefVal)
       )) (is ?L = ?R)
\langle proof \rangle
end
      Tree to Graph
theory Tree To Graph
 imports
    Semantics.IRTreeEval
    Graph.IRGraph
begin
7.1 Subgraph to Data-flow Tree
fun find-node-and-stamp :: IRGraph <math>\Rightarrow (IRNode \times Stamp) \Rightarrow ID \ option \ \mathbf{where}
 find-node-and-stamp g(n,s) =
    find (\lambda i. kind g i = n \wedge stamp \ g i = s) (sorted-list-of-set(ids g))
export-code find-node-and-stamp
fun is-preevaluated :: IRNode \Rightarrow bool where
  is-preevaluated (InvokeNode\ n - - - -) = True
  is-preevaluated (InvokeWithExceptionNode\ n - - - - -) = True |
  is-preevaluated (NewInstanceNode n - - -) = True
  is-preevaluated (LoadFieldNode n - - -) = True
  is-preevaluated (SignedDivNode\ n - - - -) = True\ |
  is-preevaluated (SignedRemNode\ n - - - -) = True\ |
  is-preevaluated (ValuePhiNode n - -) = True |
  is-preevaluated - = False
inductive
  rep :: IRGraph \Rightarrow ID \Rightarrow IRExpr \Rightarrow bool (- \vdash - \simeq - 55)
  for g where
  ConstantNode:
  \llbracket kind\ g\ n = ConstantNode\ c 
Vert
```

```
\implies g \vdash n \simeq (ConstantExpr c)
Parameter Node: \\
\llbracket kind\ g\ n = ParameterNode\ i;
  stamp \ g \ n = s
  \implies g \vdash n \simeq (ParameterExpr \ i \ s) \mid
Conditional Node:\\
[kind\ g\ n = ConditionalNode\ c\ t\ f;]
 g \vdash c \simeq ce;
  g \vdash t \simeq te;
 g \vdash f \simeq fe
  \implies g \vdash n \simeq (ConditionalExpr \ ce \ te \ fe) \mid
AbsNode:
[kind\ g\ n = AbsNode\ x;
 g \vdash x \simeq xe
  \implies g \vdash n \simeq (UnaryExpr\ UnaryAbs\ xe) \mid
NotNode:
[kind\ g\ n = NotNode\ x;]
  g \vdash x \simeq xe
  \implies g \vdash n \simeq (\mathit{UnaryExpr}\ \mathit{UnaryNot}\ \mathit{xe}) \mid
NegateNode:
[kind\ g\ n = NegateNode\ x;]
  g \vdash x \simeq xe
  \implies g \vdash n \simeq (\mathit{UnaryExpr}\ \mathit{UnaryNeg}\ \mathit{xe}) \mid
LogicNegationNode:
[kind\ g\ n = LogicNegationNode\ x;]
  g \vdash x \simeq xe
  \implies g \vdash n \simeq (\textit{UnaryExpr UnaryLogicNegation xe}) \mid
AddNode:
\llbracket kind\ g\ n = AddNode\ x\ y;
  g \vdash x \simeq xe;
  g \vdash y \simeq ye
  \implies g \vdash n \simeq (\mathit{BinaryExpr\ BinAdd\ xe\ ye}) \mid
MulNode:
[kind\ g\ n=MulNode\ x\ y;
  g \vdash x \simeq xe;
  g \vdash y \simeq ye
  \implies g \vdash n \simeq (BinaryExpr\ BinMul\ xe\ ye) \mid
SubNode:
```

```
\llbracket kind\ g\ n = SubNode\ x\ y;
 g \vdash x \simeq xe;
 g \vdash y \simeq ye
 \implies g \vdash n \simeq (BinaryExpr\ BinSub\ xe\ ye) \mid
AndNode:
[kind\ g\ n = AndNode\ x\ y;
 g \vdash x \simeq xe;
 g \vdash y \simeq ye
 \implies g \vdash n \simeq (BinaryExpr\ BinAnd\ xe\ ye) \mid
OrNode:
[kind\ g\ n=OrNode\ x\ y;
 g \vdash x \simeq xe;
 g \vdash y \simeq ye
 \implies g \vdash n \simeq (BinaryExpr\ BinOr\ xe\ ye) \mid
XorNode:
\llbracket kind\ g\ n = XorNode\ x\ y;
 g \vdash x \simeq xe;
 g \vdash y \simeq ye
 \implies g \vdash n \simeq (BinaryExpr\ BinXor\ xe\ ye) \mid
ShortCircuitOrNode:
\llbracket kind\ g\ n = ShortCircuitOrNode\ x\ y;
 g \vdash x \simeq xe;
 g \vdash y \simeq ye
 \implies g \vdash n \simeq (BinaryExpr\ BinShortCircuitOr\ xe\ ye) \mid
LeftShiftNode:
\llbracket kind\ g\ n = LeftShiftNode\ x\ y;
 g \vdash x \simeq xe;
 g \vdash y \simeq ye
\implies g \vdash n \simeq (BinaryExpr\ BinLeftShift\ xe\ ye) \mid
RightShiftNode:
\llbracket kind\ g\ n = RightShiftNode\ x\ y;
 g \vdash x \simeq xe;
 g \vdash y \simeq ye
\implies g \vdash n \simeq (BinaryExpr\ BinRightShift\ xe\ ye) \mid
Unsigned Right Shift Node: \\
[kind\ g\ n = UnsignedRightShiftNode\ x\ y;]
 g \vdash x \simeq xe;
 g \vdash y \simeq ye
\implies g \vdash n \simeq (BinaryExpr\ BinURightShift\ xe\ ye) \mid
IntegerBelowNode:
\llbracket kind\ g\ n = IntegerBelowNode\ x\ y;
```

```
g \vdash x \simeq xe;
    g \vdash y \simeq ye
    \implies g \vdash n \simeq (BinaryExpr\ BinIntegerBelow\ xe\ ye) \mid
  IntegerEqualsNode:
  [kind\ g\ n = IntegerEqualsNode\ x\ y;]
    g \vdash x \simeq xe;
    g \vdash y \simeq ye
    \implies g \vdash n \simeq (BinaryExpr\ BinIntegerEquals\ xe\ ye) \mid
  IntegerLessThanNode:
  \llbracket kind\ g\ n = IntegerLessThanNode\ x\ y;
    g \vdash x \simeq xe;
    g \vdash y \simeq ye
    \implies g \vdash n \simeq (BinaryExpr\ BinIntegerLessThan\ xe\ ye) \mid
  NarrowNode:
  \llbracket kind\ g\ n = NarrowNode\ inputBits\ resultBits\ x;
    g \vdash x \simeq xe
    \implies g \vdash n \simeq (UnaryExpr\ (UnaryNarrow\ inputBits\ resultBits)\ xe)
  SignExtendNode:
  \llbracket kind\ g\ n = SignExtendNode\ inputBits\ resultBits\ x;
    g \vdash x \simeq xe
    \implies g \vdash n \simeq (\mathit{UnaryExpr}(\mathit{UnarySignExtend}\ \mathit{inputBits}\ \mathit{resultBits})\ \mathit{xe}) \mid
  ZeroExtendNode:
  \llbracket kind\ g\ n = ZeroExtendNode\ inputBits\ resultBits\ x;
    g \vdash x \simeq xe
    \implies g \vdash n \simeq (UnaryExpr\ (UnaryZeroExtend\ inputBits\ resultBits)\ xe) \mid
  LeafNode:
  [is-preevaluated (kind g n);
    stamp \ q \ n = s
    \implies g \vdash n \simeq (LeafExpr \ n \ s) \mid
  RefNode:
  [kind\ g\ n = RefNode\ n';
    g \vdash n' \simeq e
    \implies g \vdash n \simeq e
code-pred (modes: i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o \Rightarrow bool \ as \ exprE) rep \langle proof \rangle
inductive
  replist :: IRGraph \Rightarrow ID \ list \Rightarrow IRExpr \ list \Rightarrow bool \ (-\vdash -\simeq_L - 55)
```

# for g where RepNil: $g \vdash [] \simeq_L [] \mid$ RepCons: $\llbracket g \vdash x \simeq xe;$ $g \vdash xs \simeq_L xse$ $\implies g \vdash x \# xs \simeq_L xe \# xse$ **code-pred** (modes: $i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o \Rightarrow bool \ as \ exprListE$ ) replist $\langle proof \rangle$ **definition** wf-term-graph :: $MapState \Rightarrow Params \Rightarrow IRGraph \Rightarrow ID \Rightarrow bool$ where wf-term-graph m p g $n = (\exists e. (g \vdash n \simeq e) \land (\exists v. ([m, p] \vdash e \mapsto v)))$ values $\{t. \ eq2\text{-}sq \vdash 4 \simeq t\}$ Data-flow Tree to Subgraph **fun** $unary-node :: IRUnaryOp \Rightarrow ID \Rightarrow IRNode$ where unary-node $UnaryAbs\ v = AbsNode\ v$ unary-node $UnaryNot \ v = NotNode \ v$ $unary-node\ UnaryNeg\ v=NegateNode\ v\mid$ unary-node $UnaryLogicNegation \ v = LogicNegationNode \ v \mid$ unary-node ( $UnaryNarrow\ ib\ rb$ ) $v=NarrowNode\ ib\ rb\ v$ unary-node (UnarySignExtend ib rb) v = SignExtendNode ib rb vunary-node (UnaryZeroExtend ib rb) v = ZeroExtendNode ib rb v**fun** bin-node :: $IRBinaryOp \Rightarrow ID \Rightarrow ID \Rightarrow IRNode$ **where** bin-node $BinAdd \ x \ y = AddNode \ x \ y$ bin-node $BinMul\ x\ y = MulNode\ x\ y$ bin-node $BinSub \ x \ y = SubNode \ x \ y \mid$ bin-node $BinAnd\ x\ y = AndNode\ x\ y\ |$ $bin-node\ BinOr\ \ x\ y = OrNode\ x\ y\ |$

inductive fresh-id ::  $IRGraph \Rightarrow ID \Rightarrow bool$  where

 $bin-node\ BinShortCircuitOr\ x\ y = ShortCircuitOrNode\ x\ y\ |$ 

bin-node  $BinURightShift\ x\ y = UnsignedRightShiftNode\ x\ y\ |\ bin-node\ BinIntegerEquals\ x\ y = IntegerEqualsNode\ x\ y\ |\ bin-node\ BinIntegerLessThan\ x\ y = IntegerLessThanNode\ x\ y\ |\$ 

bin-node  $BinIntegerBelow \ x \ y = IntegerBelowNode \ x \ y$ 

 $bin-node\ BinXor\ x\ y = XorNode\ x\ y\ |$ 

bin-node  $BinLeftShift \ x \ y = LeftShiftNode \ x \ y \mid bin$ -node  $BinRightShift \ x \ y = RightShiftNode \ x \ y$ 

```
n \notin ids \ g \Longrightarrow fresh-id \ g \ n
code-pred fresh-id \langle proof \rangle
fun get-fresh-id :: IRGraph \Rightarrow ID where
  get-fresh-id g = last(sorted-list-of-set(ids g)) + 1
{\bf export\text{-}code}\ \textit{get-fresh-id}
value get-fresh-id eg2-sq
value get-fresh-id (add-node 6 (ParameterNode 2, default-stamp) eg2-sq)
inductive
  unrep :: IRGraph \Rightarrow IRExpr \Rightarrow (IRGraph \times ID) \Rightarrow bool (- \oplus - \leadsto - 55)
   where
  ConstantNodeSame: \\
  \llbracket find\text{-}node\text{-}and\text{-}stamp\ g\ (ConstantNode\ c,\ constantAsStamp\ c) = Some\ n 
Vert
    \implies g \oplus (\mathit{ConstantExpr}\ c) \leadsto (g,\ n) \mid
  ConstantNodeNew:\\
  \llbracket find\text{-}node\text{-}and\text{-}stamp\ g\ (ConstantNode\ c,\ constantAsStamp\ c) = None;
    n = get-fresh-id g;
    g' = add-node n (ConstantNode c, constantAsStamp c) g \parallel
    \implies g \oplus (ConstantExpr\ c) \leadsto (g',\ n)
  Parameter Node Same: \\
  \llbracket find\text{-}node\text{-}and\text{-}stamp\ g\ (ParameterNode\ i,\ s) = Some\ n \rrbracket
    \implies g \oplus (ParameterExpr \ i \ s) \rightsquigarrow (g, \ n) \mid
  ParameterNodeNew:
  \llbracket find\text{-}node\text{-}and\text{-}stamp\ g\ (ParameterNode\ i,\ s) = None;
    n = qet-fresh-id q;
    g' = add-node n (ParameterNode i, s) g
    \implies g \oplus (ParameterExpr \ i \ s) \rightsquigarrow (g', n) \mid
  Conditional Node Same: \\
  \llbracket find\text{-}node\text{-}and\text{-}stamp\ g4\ (ConditionalNode\ c\ t\ f,\ s') = Some\ n;
    g \oplus ce \leadsto (g2, c);
    g2 \oplus te \rightsquigarrow (g3, t);
    g3 \oplus fe \rightsquigarrow (g4, f);
    s' = meet (stamp \ g \not\downarrow \ t) (stamp \ g \not\downarrow \ f)
    \implies g \oplus (ConditionalExpr \ ce \ te \ fe) \leadsto (g4, \ n) \mid
  Conditional Node New:
  [find-node-and-stamp g4 (ConditionalNode c t f, s') = None;
```

```
g \oplus ce \leadsto (g2, c);
    g2 \oplus te \leadsto (g3, t);
    g3 \oplus fe \rightsquigarrow (g4, f);
    s' = meet (stamp \ g4 \ t) (stamp \ g4 \ f);
    n = get-fresh-id g4;
    g' = add-node n (ConditionalNode c \ t \ f, \ s') g4
    \implies g \oplus (ConditionalExpr \ ce \ te \ fe) \leadsto (g', n) \mid
  UnaryNodeSame:
  [find-node-and-stamp g2 (unary-node op x, s') = Some n;
    g \oplus xe \leadsto (g2, x);
    s' = stamp\text{-}unary\ op\ (stamp\ g2\ x)
    \implies g \oplus (UnaryExpr \ op \ xe) \leadsto (g2, \ n) \mid
  UnaryNodeNew:
  [find-node-and-stamp g2 (unary-node op x, s') = None;
    g \oplus xe \leadsto (g2, x);
    s' = stamp\text{-}unary op (stamp g2 x);
    n = get-fresh-id g2;
    g' = add-node n (unary-node op x, s') g2
    \implies g \oplus (UnaryExpr \ op \ xe) \leadsto (g', \ n) \mid
  BinaryNodeSame:
  [find-node-and-stamp g3 (bin-node op x y, s') = Some n;
    g \oplus xe \leadsto (g2, x);
    g2 \oplus ye \rightsquigarrow (g3, y);
    s' = stamp\text{-}binary\ op\ (stamp\ g3\ x)\ (stamp\ g3\ y)
    \implies g \oplus (BinaryExpr \ op \ xe \ ye) \leadsto (g3, n)
  BinaryNodeNew:
  [find-node-and-stamp g3 (bin-node op x y, s') = None;
    g \oplus xe \leadsto (g2, x);
    g2 \oplus ye \rightsquigarrow (g3, y);
   s' = stamp\text{-}binary\ op\ (stamp\ g3\ x)\ (stamp\ g3\ y);
    n = get-fresh-id g3;
    g' = add-node n (bin-node op x y, s') g3
    \implies g \oplus (BinaryExpr \ op \ xe \ ye) \leadsto (g', n) \mid
  AllLeafNodes:
  [stamp\ q\ n=s;
    is-preevaluated (kind \ g \ n)
    \implies g \oplus (LeafExpr \ n \ s) \leadsto (g, \ n)
code-pred (modes: i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o \Rightarrow bool \ as \ unrep E)
  unrep \langle proof \rangle
```

```
find-node-and-stamp (g::IRGraph) (ConstantNode (c::Value), constantAsStamp c) = Some (n::nat)
                                         q \oplus ConstantExpr \ c \leadsto (q, n)
find-node-and-stamp \ (g::IRGraph) \ (ConstantNode \ (c::Value), \ constantAsStamp \ c) = None
                                        (n::nat) = get\text{-}fresh\text{-}id g
             (g'::IRGraph) = add-node n (ConstantNode c, constantAsStamp c) g
                                   g \oplus ConstantExpr c \leadsto (g', n)
find-node-and-stamp \ (g::IRGraph) \ (ParameterNode \ (i::nat), \ s::Stamp) = Som \ (n::nat)
                                q \oplus ParameterExpr \ i \ s \leadsto (q, n)
find-node-and-stamp (g::IRGraph) (ParameterNode (i::nat), s::Stamp) = None
                                 (n::nat) = get\text{-}fresh\text{-}id\ g
                (g'::IRGraph) = add-node n (ParameterNode i, s) g
                           g \oplus ParameterExpr i s \leadsto (g', n)
find-node-and-stamp\ (g4::IRGraph)\ (ConditionalNode\ (c::nat)\ (t::nat)\ (f::nat)\ ,\ s'::Stamp) = Some\ (n::nat)
                                     g::IRGraph \oplus ce::IRExpr \leadsto (g2::IRGraph, c)
                                          g2 \oplus te::IRExpr \leadsto (g3::IRGraph, t)
                       g3 \oplus fe::IRExpr \leadsto (g4, f) s' = meet (stamp g4 t) (stamp g4 f)
                                        g \oplus ConditionalExpr \ ce \ te \ fe \leadsto (g4, n)
find-node-and-stamp\ (g4::IRGraph)\ (ConditionalNode\ (c::nat)\ (t::nat)\ (f::nat),\ s'::Stamp)=None
                                g::IRGraph \oplus ce::IRExpr \leadsto (g2::IRGraph, c)
                  g2 \oplus te::IRExpr \leadsto (g3::IRGraph, t) g3 \oplus fe::IRExpr \leadsto (g4, f) s' = meet \ (stamp \ g4 \ t) \ (stamp \ g4 \ f) (n::nat) = get\text{-}fresh\text{-}id \ g4
                        (g'::IRGraph) = add-node n (ConditionalNode c t f, s') g
                                   g \oplus ConditionalExpr \ ce \ te \ fe \leadsto (g', n)
find-node-and-stamp \ (g3::IRGraph) \ (bin-node \ (op::IRBinaryOp) \ (x::nat) \ (y::nat), \ s'::Stamp) = Some \ (n::nat) \ (y::nat) \ (y::nat)
                                      g::IRGraph \oplus xe::IRExpr \leadsto (g2::IRGraph, x)
                                                 g2 \oplus ye::IRExpr \leadsto (g3, y)
                                     s' = stamp-binary op (stamp g3 x) (stamp g3 y)
                                            g \oplus BinaryExpr \ op \ xe \ ye \leadsto (g3, n)
find-node-and-stamp (g3::IRGraph) (bin-node (op::IRBinaryOp) (x::nat) (y::nat), s'::Stamp) = None
                                 g::IRGraph \oplus xe::IRExpr \leadsto (g2::IRGraph, x)
                                            g2 \oplus ye::IRExpr \leadsto (g3, y)
                                s' = stamp\text{-}binary\ op\ (stamp\ g3\ x)\ (stamp\ g3\ y)
                                             (n::nat) = get\text{-}fresh\text{-}id g3
                             (g'::IRGraph) = add-node n (bin-node op x y, s') g3
                                       g \oplus BinaryExpr \ op \ xe \ ye \leadsto (g', n)
find-node-and-stamp\ (g2::IRGraph)\ (unary-node\ (op::IRUnaryOp)\ (x::nat),\ s':Stamp) = Some\ (n::nat)
                                        g::IRGraph \oplus xe::IRExpr \leadsto (g2, x)
                                         s' = stamp\text{-}unary \ op \ (stamp \ g2 \ x)
                                          g \oplus UnaryExpr \ op \ xe \leadsto (g2, n)
find-node-and-stamp\ (g2::IRGraph)\ (unary-node\ (op::IRUnaryOp)\ (x::nat),\ s':Stamp)=None
                                   g::IRG_{qq}ph \oplus xe::IRExpr \leadsto (g2, x)
                  s' = stamp\text{-}unary \ op \ (stamp \ g2 \ x) (n::nat) = get\text{-}fresh\text{-}id \ g2
                         (g'::IRGraph) = add-node n (unary-node op x, s') g2
                                     g \oplus UnaryExpr \ op \ xe \leadsto (g', n)
 stamp (g::IRGraph) (n::nat) = (s::Stamp)
                                                        is-preevaluated (kind g n)
                            g \oplus LeafExpr \ n \ s \leadsto (g, n)
```

unrepRules

```
values \{(n, g) : (eg2\text{-}sq \oplus sq\text{-}param0 \leadsto (g, n))\}
```

#### 7.3 Lift Data-flow Tree Semantics

```
definition encodeeval :: IRGraph \Rightarrow MapState \Rightarrow Params \Rightarrow ID \Rightarrow Value \Rightarrow bool ([-,-,-] \vdash - \mapsto - 50) where encodeeval\ g\ m\ p\ n\ v = (\exists\ e.\ (g \vdash n \simeq e) \land ([m,p] \vdash e \mapsto v))
```

#### 7.4 Graph Refinement

```
definition graph-represents-expression :: IRGraph \Rightarrow ID \Rightarrow IRExpr \Rightarrow bool (- \vdash - \trianglelefteq - 50) where (g \vdash n \trianglelefteq e) = (\exists e' . (g \vdash n \simeq e') \land (e' \leq e))
```

**definition** graph-refinement :: 
$$IRGraph \Rightarrow IRGraph \Rightarrow bool$$
 where graph-refinement  $g_1$   $g_2 = ((ids \ g_1 \subseteq ids \ g_2) \land (\forall \ n \ . \ n \in ids \ g_1 \longrightarrow (\forall \ e. \ (g_1 \vdash n \simeq e) \longrightarrow (g_2 \vdash n \trianglelefteq e))))$ 

**lemma** graph-refinement:

```
graph-refinement g1 g2 \Longrightarrow (\forall n \ m \ p \ v. \ n \in ids \ g1 \longrightarrow ([g1, \ m, \ p] \vdash n \mapsto v) \longrightarrow ([g2, \ m, \ p] \vdash n \mapsto v)) \langle proof \rangle
```

# 7.5 Maximal Sharing

```
{\bf definition}\ \textit{maximal-sharing}:
```

```
\begin{array}{l} \textit{maximal-sharing } g = (\forall \ n_1 \ n_2 \ . \ n_1 \in \textit{true-ids } g \land n_2 \in \textit{true-ids } g \longrightarrow \\ (\forall \ e. \ (g \vdash n_1 \simeq e) \land (g \vdash n_2 \simeq e) \land (\textit{stamp } g \ n_1 = \textit{stamp } g \ n_2) \longrightarrow n_1 = \\ n_2)) \end{array}
```

end

## 7.6 Formedness Properties

```
theory Form imports Semantics. Tree To Graph begin definition wf-start where wf-start g = (0 \in ids \ g \land is\text{-}StartNode\ (kind\ g\ 0)) definition wf-closed where wf-closed g = (\forall \ n \in ids\ g\ . inputs g\ n \subseteq ids\ g\ \land
```

```
succ\ g\ n\subseteq ids\ g\ \land
       kind \ g \ n \neq NoNode
definition wf-phis where
  wf-phis g =
    (\forall n \in ids \ g.
       is-PhiNode (kind g n) \longrightarrow
       length (ir-values (kind g n))
        = length (ir-ends)
             (kind\ g\ (ir\text{-}merge\ (kind\ g\ n)))))
definition wf-ends where
  wf-ends g =
    (\forall n \in ids g.
       is-AbstractEndNode (kind g n) \longrightarrow
       card (usages q n) > 0
fun wf-graph :: IRGraph \Rightarrow bool where
  wf-graph g = (wf-start g \wedge wf-closed g \wedge wf-phis g \wedge wf-ends g)
lemmas wf-folds =
  wf-graph.simps
  wf-start-def
  wf-closed-def
  wf-phis-def
  wf-ends-def
fun wf-stamps :: IRGraph \Rightarrow bool where
  wf-stamps g = (\forall n \in ids \ g).
    (\forall \ v \ m \ p \ e \ . \ (g \vdash n \simeq e) \land ([m, \, p] \vdash e \mapsto v) \longrightarrow \textit{valid-value} \ v \ (\textit{stamp-expr} \ e)))
fun wf-stamp :: IRGraph \Rightarrow (ID \Rightarrow Stamp) \Rightarrow bool where
  \textit{wf-stamp } g \ s = (\forall \ n \in \textit{ids } g \ .
    (\forall \ v \ m \ p \ e \ . \ (g \vdash n \simeq e) \land ([m, \ p] \vdash e \mapsto v) \longrightarrow valid\text{-}value \ v \ (s \ n)))
lemma wf-empty: wf-graph start-end-graph
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma wf-eg2-sq: wf-graph eg2-sq
  \langle proof \rangle
fun wf-logic-node-inputs :: IRGraph \Rightarrow ID \Rightarrow bool where
wf-logic-node-inputs g n =
 (\forall \ \textit{inp} \in \textit{set} \ (\textit{inputs-of} \ (\textit{kind} \ \textit{g} \ \textit{n})) \ . \ (\forall \ \textit{v} \ \textit{m} \ \textit{p} \ . \ ([\textit{g}, \ \textit{m}, \ \textit{p}] \vdash \textit{inp} \mapsto \textit{v}) \longrightarrow \textit{wf-bool}
v))
fun wf-values :: IRGraph \Rightarrow bool where
  wf-values g = (\forall n \in ids \ g.
    (\forall \ v \ m \ p \ . \ ([g, \ m, \ p] \vdash n \mapsto v) \longrightarrow
```

```
(is\text{-}LogicNode\ (kind\ g\ n)\longrightarrow \\ wf\text{-}bool\ v\wedge wf\text{-}logic\text{-}node\text{-}inputs\ g\ n)))
```

end

# 7.7 Dynamic Frames

This theory defines two operators, 'unchanged' and 'changeonly', that are useful for specifying which nodes in an IRGraph can change. The dynamic framing idea originates from 'Dynamic Frames' in software verification, started by Ioannis T. Kassios in "Dynamic frames: Support for framing, dependencies and sharing without restrictions", In FM 2006.

```
{f theory}\ IRGraphFrames
 imports
    Form
begin
fun unchanged :: ID \ set \Rightarrow IRGraph \Rightarrow IRGraph \Rightarrow bool \ \mathbf{where}
  unchanged ns g1 g2 = (\forall n . n \in ns \longrightarrow
   (n \in ids \ g1 \land n \in ids \ g2 \land kind \ g1 \ n = kind \ g2 \ n \land stamp \ g1 \ n = stamp \ g2 \ n))
fun changeonly :: ID set \Rightarrow IRGraph \Rightarrow IRGraph \Rightarrow bool where
  changeonly ns g1 g2 = (\forall n . n \in ids g1 \land n \notin ns \longrightarrow
   (n \in ids \ g1 \land n \in ids \ g2 \land kind \ g1 \ n = kind \ g2 \ n \land stamp \ g1 \ n = stamp \ g2 \ n))
lemma node-unchanged:
  assumes unchanged ns g1 g2
  assumes nid \in ns
 shows kind \ g1 \ nid = kind \ g2 \ nid
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma other-node-unchanged:
  assumes changeonly ns g1 g2
  assumes nid \in ids \ q1
  assumes nid \notin ns
  shows kind \ g1 \ nid = kind \ g2 \ nid
Some notation for input nodes used
inductive eval-uses:: IRGraph \Rightarrow ID \Rightarrow ID \Rightarrow bool
  for g where
  use0: nid \in ids \ q
    \implies eval\text{-}uses \ g \ nid \ nid \ |
  use-inp: nid' \in inputs \ g \ n
    \implies eval\text{-}uses\ g\ nid\ nid'
```

```
use-trans: [eval-uses \ g \ nid \ nid';]
    eval-uses g nid' nid''
    \implies eval\text{-}uses \ g \ nid \ nid''
fun eval-usages :: IRGraph \Rightarrow ID \Rightarrow ID set where
  eval-usages g nid = \{n \in ids \ g : eval-uses g nid n\}
lemma eval-usages-self:
  assumes nid \in ids g
  shows nid \in eval\text{-}usages g nid
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma not-in-g-inputs:
  assumes nid \notin ids q
  shows inputs g nid = \{\}
\langle proof \rangle
lemma child-member:
  assumes n = kind \ g \ nid
  \mathbf{assumes}\ n \neq \mathit{NoNode}
  assumes List.member (inputs-of n) child
  shows child \in inputs g \ nid
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma child-member-in:
  assumes nid \in ids \ g
  assumes List.member (inputs-of (kind g nid)) child
  \mathbf{shows}\ \mathit{child} \in \mathit{inputs}\ \mathit{g}\ \mathit{nid}
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma inp-in-g:
  assumes n \in inputs \ q \ nid
  shows nid \in ids g
\langle proof \rangle
lemma inp-in-g-wf:
  assumes wf-graph g
  assumes n \in inputs \ g \ nid
  shows n \in ids g
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma kind-unchanged:
  assumes nid \in ids \ g1
  assumes unchanged (eval-usages g1 nid) g1 g2
```

```
shows kind \ g1 \ nid = kind \ g2 \ nid
\langle proof \rangle
lemma stamp-unchanged:
  assumes nid \in ids \ g1
  assumes unchanged (eval-usages g1 nid) g1 g2
  shows stamp \ g1 \ nid = stamp \ g2 \ nid
  \langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{child}\text{-}\mathit{unchanged}\text{:}
  assumes child \in inputs \ g1 \ nid
  assumes unchanged (eval-usages g1 nid) g1 g2
 shows unchanged (eval-usages g1 child) g1 g2
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma eval-usages:
 assumes us = eval\text{-}usages g \ nid
  assumes nid' \in ids g
 \mathbf{shows}\ eval\text{-}uses\ g\ nid\ nid'\longleftrightarrow nid'\in us\ (\mathbf{is}\ ?P\longleftrightarrow ?Q)
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma inputs-are-uses:
  assumes nid' \in inputs \ g \ nid
  shows eval-uses g nid nid'
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma inputs-are-usages:
  assumes nid' \in inputs \ g \ nid
 assumes nid' \in ids g
 shows nid' \in eval\text{-}usages g nid
  \langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma} \ \textit{inputs-of-are-usages} :
 assumes List.member (inputs-of (kind g nid)) nid'
  assumes nid' \in ids \ q
 shows nid' \in eval\text{-}usages g nid
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma usage-includes-inputs:
  assumes us = eval\text{-}usages g \ nid
  assumes ls = inputs \ g \ nid
  assumes ls \subseteq ids \ g
  shows ls \subseteq us
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma elim-inp-set:
  assumes k = kind \ g \ nid
  assumes k \neq NoNode
```

```
assumes child \in set (inputs-of k)
 shows child \in inputs g \ nid
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma encode-in-ids:
  assumes g \vdash nid \simeq e
 \mathbf{shows} \ \mathit{nid} \in \mathit{ids} \ \mathit{g}
  \langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{eval\text{-}in\text{-}ids} :
  assumes [g, m, p] \vdash nid \mapsto v
  shows nid \in ids \ g
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma transitive-kind-same:
  assumes unchanged (eval-usages q1 nid) q1 q2
 shows \forall nid' \in (eval\text{-}usages\ g1\ nid). kind\ g1\ nid' = kind\ g2\ nid'
  \langle proof \rangle
theorem stay-same-encoding:
  assumes nc: unchanged (eval-usages g1 nid) g1 g2
 assumes g1: g1 \vdash nid \simeq e
 assumes wf: wf-graph g1
  shows g2 \vdash nid \simeq e
\langle proof \rangle
theorem stay-same:
 assumes nc: unchanged (eval-usages g1 nid) g1 g2
 assumes g1: [g1, m, p] \vdash nid \mapsto v1
 assumes wf: wf-graph g1
  shows [g2, m, p] \vdash nid \mapsto v1
\langle proof \rangle
lemma add-changed:
  assumes gup = add-node new k g
 shows changeonly \{new\} g gup
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma disjoint-change:
  assumes changeonly change g gup
  assumes nochange = ids \ g - change
 \mathbf{shows}\ unchanged\ nochange\ g\ gup
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma add-node-unchanged:
 assumes new \notin ids g
```

```
assumes nid \in ids g
  \mathbf{assumes}\ gup = \mathit{add}\text{-}\mathit{node}\ \mathit{new}\ \mathit{k}\ \mathit{g}
  assumes wf-graph g
  shows unchanged (eval-usages g nid) g gup
\langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ eval\text{-}uses\text{-}imp:
  ((nid' \in ids \ g \land nid = nid')
    \vee nid' \in inputs g nid
    \vee (\exists nid'' . eval\text{-}uses g nid nid'' \wedge eval\text{-}uses g nid'' nid'))
    \longleftrightarrow eval\text{-}uses\ g\ nid\ nid'
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma wf-use-ids:
  assumes wf-graph g
  assumes nid \in ids \ q
  assumes eval-uses g nid nid'
  shows nid' \in ids \ g
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma no-external-use:
  assumes wf-graph g
  assumes nid' \notin ids g
  assumes nid \in ids g
  shows \neg(eval\text{-}uses\ g\ nid\ nid')
\langle proof \rangle
end
```

## 7.8 Tree to Graph Theorems

```
\begin{tabular}{l} \textbf{theory} & \textit{TreeToGraphThms} \\ \textbf{imports} \\ & \textit{IRTreeEvalThms} \\ & \textit{IRGraphFrames} \\ & \textit{HOL-Eisbach.Eisbach} \\ & \textit{HOL-Eisbach.Eisbach-Tools} \\ \textbf{begin} \\ \end{tabular}
```

# 7.8.1 Extraction and Evaluation of Expression Trees is Deterministic.

First, we prove some extra rules that relate each type of IRNode to the corresponding IRExpr type that 'rep' will produce. These are very helpful for proving that 'rep' is deterministic.

```
named-theorems rep
```

```
lemma rep-constant [rep]: g \vdash n \simeq e \Longrightarrow
```

```
kind\ g\ n = ConstantNode\ c \Longrightarrow
    e = ConstantExpr\ c
   \langle proof \rangle
lemma rep-parameter [rep]:
  g \vdash n \simeq e \Longrightarrow
   kind\ g\ n = ParameterNode\ i \Longrightarrow
   (\exists s. \ e = ParameterExpr \ i \ s)
   \langle proof \rangle
lemma rep-conditional [rep]:
  g \vdash n \simeq e \Longrightarrow
   kind\ g\ n = ConditionalNode\ c\ t\ f \Longrightarrow
   (\exists ce te fe. e = ConditionalExpr ce te fe)
   \langle proof \rangle
lemma rep-abs [rep]:
  g \vdash n \simeq e \Longrightarrow
   kind\ g\ n = AbsNode\ x \Longrightarrow
   (\exists xe. \ e = UnaryExpr\ UnaryAbs\ xe)
   \langle proof \rangle
lemma rep-not [rep]:
   g \vdash n \simeq e \Longrightarrow
   kind\ g\ n = NotNode\ x \Longrightarrow
   (\exists xe. \ e = UnaryExpr\ UnaryNot\ xe)
   \langle proof \rangle
lemma rep-negate [rep]:
  g \vdash n \simeq e \Longrightarrow
   kind\ g\ n = NegateNode\ x \Longrightarrow
   (\exists xe. \ e = UnaryExpr\ UnaryNeg\ xe)
   \langle proof \rangle
lemma rep-logicnegation [rep]:
  g \vdash n \simeq e \Longrightarrow
   kind\ g\ n = LogicNegationNode\ x \Longrightarrow
   (\exists xe. \ e = UnaryExpr\ UnaryLogicNegation\ xe)
   \langle proof \rangle
lemma rep-add [rep]:
  g \vdash n \simeq e \Longrightarrow
   kind\ g\ n = AddNode\ x\ y \Longrightarrow
   (\exists xe \ ye. \ e = BinaryExpr \ BinAdd \ xe \ ye)
   \langle proof \rangle
lemma rep-sub [rep]:
  g \vdash n \simeq e \Longrightarrow
   kind \ g \ n = SubNode \ x \ y \Longrightarrow
```

```
(\exists xe \ ye. \ e = BinaryExpr \ BinSub \ xe \ ye)
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma rep-mul [rep]:
  g \vdash n \simeq e \Longrightarrow
   kind \ g \ n = MulNode \ x \ y \Longrightarrow
   (\exists xe \ ye. \ e = BinaryExpr \ BinMul \ xe \ ye)
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma rep-and [rep]:
  g \vdash n \simeq e \Longrightarrow
   kind\ g\ n = AndNode\ x\ y \Longrightarrow
   (\exists xe \ ye. \ e = BinaryExpr \ BinAnd \ xe \ ye)
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma rep-or [rep]:
  g \vdash n \simeq e \Longrightarrow
   kind\ g\ n = OrNode\ x\ y \Longrightarrow
   (\exists xe \ ye. \ e = BinaryExpr \ BinOr \ xe \ ye)
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma rep-xor [rep]:
  g \vdash n \simeq e \Longrightarrow
   kind\ g\ n = XorNode\ x\ y \Longrightarrow
   (\exists xe \ ye. \ e = BinaryExpr \ BinXor \ xe \ ye)
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma rep-short-circuit-or [rep]:
  g \vdash n \simeq e \Longrightarrow
   kind\ g\ n = ShortCircuitOrNode\ x\ y \Longrightarrow
   (\exists xe \ ye. \ e = BinaryExpr \ BinShortCircuitOr \ xe \ ye)
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma rep-left-shift [rep]:
  g \vdash n \simeq e \Longrightarrow
   kind\ q\ n = LeftShiftNode\ x\ y \Longrightarrow
   (\exists xe \ ye. \ e = BinaryExpr \ BinLeftShift \ xe \ ye)
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma rep-right-shift [rep]:
  g \vdash n \simeq e \Longrightarrow
   kind\ g\ n = RightShiftNode\ x\ y \Longrightarrow
   (\exists xe \ ye. \ e = BinaryExpr \ BinRightShift \ xe \ ye)
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma rep-unsigned-right-shift [rep]:
  g \vdash n \simeq e \Longrightarrow
   kind\ g\ n = UnsignedRightShiftNode\ x\ y \Longrightarrow
   (\exists xe \ ye. \ e = BinaryExpr \ BinURightShift \ xe \ ye)
```

```
\langle proof \rangle
lemma rep-integer-below [rep]:
  g \vdash n \simeq e \Longrightarrow
   kind\ g\ n = IntegerBelowNode\ x\ y \Longrightarrow
   (\exists xe \ ye. \ e = BinaryExpr \ BinIntegerBelow \ xe \ ye)
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma rep-integer-equals [rep]:
  g \vdash n \simeq e \Longrightarrow
   kind\ g\ n = IntegerEqualsNode\ x\ y \Longrightarrow
   (\exists xe \ ye. \ e = BinaryExpr \ BinIntegerEquals \ xe \ ye)
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma rep-integer-less-than [rep]:
  q \vdash n \simeq e \Longrightarrow
   kind\ g\ n = IntegerLessThanNode\ x\ y \Longrightarrow
   (\exists xe \ ye. \ e = BinaryExpr \ BinIntegerLessThan \ xe \ ye)
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma rep-narrow [rep]:
  g \vdash n \simeq e \Longrightarrow
   kind\ g\ n = NarrowNode\ ib\ rb\ x \Longrightarrow
   (\exists x. \ e = UnaryExpr(UnaryNarrow ib \ rb) \ x)
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma rep-sign-extend [rep]:
  g \vdash n \simeq e \Longrightarrow
   \mathit{kind}\ g\ n = \mathit{SignExtendNode}\ \mathit{ib}\ \mathit{rb}\ x \Longrightarrow
   (\exists x. e = UnaryExpr (UnarySignExtend ib rb) x)
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma rep-zero-extend [rep]:
  g \vdash n \simeq e \Longrightarrow
   kind\ g\ n = ZeroExtendNode\ ib\ rb\ x \Longrightarrow
   (\exists x. \ e = UnaryExpr(UnaryZeroExtend\ ib\ rb)\ x)
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma rep-load-field [rep]:
  g \vdash n \simeq e \Longrightarrow
   is-preevaluated (kind \ g \ n) \Longrightarrow
   (\exists s. \ e = LeafExpr \ n \ s)
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma rep-ref [rep]:
  g \vdash n \simeq e \Longrightarrow
   kind \ g \ n = RefNode \ n' \Longrightarrow
   g \vdash n' \simeq e
  \langle proof \rangle
```

```
(match\ node\ \mathbf{in}\ kind\ -\ -\ =\ node\ -\ \mathbf{for}\ node\ \Rightarrow
      \langle match \ rep \ in \ r: - \Longrightarrow - = node - \Longrightarrow - \Longrightarrow
         \langle match\ IRNode.inject\ in\ i:\ (node\ -=\ node\ -)=-\Rightarrow
            \langle match \; RepE \; in \; e: - \Longrightarrow (\bigwedge x. \; - = node \; x \Longrightarrow -) \Longrightarrow - \Longrightarrow
               \langle match\ IRNode.distinct\ in\ d:\ node\ - \neq RefNode\ - \Rightarrow
                  \langle metis \ i \ e \ r \ d \rangle \rangle \rangle \rangle |
    match \ node \ \mathbf{in} \ kind \ \text{--} = node \ \text{--} \ \mathbf{for} \ node \Rightarrow
      \langle match \ rep \ in \ r: - \Longrightarrow - = node - - \Longrightarrow - \Longrightarrow
         \langle match\ IRNode.inject\ in\ i:\ (node --= node --) = - \Rightarrow
            \langle match \; RepE \; in \; e: - \Longrightarrow (\bigwedge x \; y. \; - = \; node \; x \; y \Longrightarrow -) \Longrightarrow - \Longrightarrow
               \langle match\ IRNode.distinct\ in\ d:\ node\ -\ - \neq RefNode\ - \Rightarrow
                  \langle metis \ i \ e \ r \ d \rangle \rangle \rangle \rangle \rangle
     match \ node \ \mathbf{in} \ kind - - = node - - - \mathbf{for} \ node \Rightarrow
      \langle match \ rep \ in \ r: - \Longrightarrow - = node - - - \Longrightarrow - \Rightarrow
         \langle match\ IRNode.inject\ in\ i:\ (node --- = node ---) = - \Rightarrow
            \langle match \; RepE \; in \; e: - \Longrightarrow (\bigwedge x \; y \; z. \; - = node \; x \; y \; z \Longrightarrow -) \Longrightarrow - \Longrightarrow
               \langle match\ IRNode.distinct\ in\ d:\ node\ -\ -\ \neq\ RefNode\ -\ \Rightarrow
                  \langle metis \ i \ e \ r \ d \rangle \rangle \rangle \rangle |
   match \ node \ \mathbf{in} \ kind - - = node - - - \ \mathbf{for} \ node \Rightarrow
      \langle match \ rep \ in \ r: - \Longrightarrow - = node - - - \Longrightarrow - \Longrightarrow
         \langle match\ IRNode.inject\ in\ i:\ (node --- = node ---) = - \Rightarrow
            \langle match \; RepE \; in \; e: - \Longrightarrow (\bigwedge x. \; - = node \; - \; x \Longrightarrow -) \Longrightarrow - \Longrightarrow
               \langle match\ IRNode.distinct\ in\ d:\ node\ -\ -\ - \neq RefNode\ - \Rightarrow
                  \langle metis \ i \ e \ r \ d \rangle \rangle \rangle \rangle
Now we can prove that 'rep' and 'eval', and their list versions, are determin-
istic.
lemma repDet:
   shows (g \vdash n \simeq e_1) \Longrightarrow (g \vdash n \simeq e_2) \Longrightarrow e_1 = e_2
\langle proof \rangle
lemma repAllDet:
   g \vdash xs \simeq_L e1 \Longrightarrow
    g \vdash xs \simeq_L e2 \Longrightarrow
    e1 = e2
\langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ encodeEvalDet:
  [g,m,p] \vdash e \mapsto v1 \Longrightarrow
    [g,m,p] \vdash e \mapsto v2 \Longrightarrow
    v1 = v2
   \langle proof \rangle
lemma graphDet: ([g,m,p] \vdash n \mapsto v_1) \land ([g,m,p] \vdash n \mapsto v_2) \Longrightarrow v_1 = v_2
   \langle proof \rangle
```

 ${\bf method}\ solve\text{-}det\ {\bf uses}\ node =$ 

#### 7.8.2 Monotonicity of Graph Refinement

Lift refinement monotonicity to graph level. Hopefully these shouldn't really be required.

```
lemma mono-abs:
 assumes kind\ g1\ n = AbsNode\ x \land kind\ g2\ n = AbsNode\ x
 assumes (g1 \vdash x \simeq xe1) \land (g2 \vdash x \simeq xe2)
 assumes xe1 \ge xe2
 assumes (g1 \vdash n \simeq e1) \land (g2 \vdash n \simeq e2)
 shows e1 \ge e2
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma mono-not:
  assumes kind\ g1\ n = NotNode\ x \land kind\ g2\ n = NotNode\ x
  assumes (g1 \vdash x \simeq xe1) \land (g2 \vdash x \simeq xe2)
  assumes xe1 \ge xe2
  assumes (g1 \vdash n \simeq e1) \land (g2 \vdash n \simeq e2)
 shows e1 \ge e2
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma mono-negate:
  assumes kind\ g1\ n = NegateNode\ x \land kind\ g2\ n = NegateNode\ x
 assumes (g1 \vdash x \simeq xe1) \land (g2 \vdash x \simeq xe2)
 assumes xe1 \ge xe2
 assumes (g1 \vdash n \simeq e1) \land (g2 \vdash n \simeq e2)
 shows e1 \ge e2
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma mono-logic-negation:
  assumes kind g1 n = LogicNegationNode x \land kind g2 n = LogicNegationNode x
  assumes (g1 \vdash x \simeq xe1) \land (g2 \vdash x \simeq xe2)
  assumes xe1 \ge xe2
  assumes (g1 \vdash n \simeq e1) \land (g2 \vdash n \simeq e2)
  shows e1 \ge e2
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma mono-narrow:
  assumes kind g1 n = NarrowNode ib rb x \land kind g2 n = NarrowNode ib rb x
 assumes (g1 \vdash x \simeq xe1) \land (g2 \vdash x \simeq xe2)
 assumes xe1 \ge xe2
 assumes (g1 \vdash n \simeq e1) \land (g2 \vdash n \simeq e2)
  shows e1 \ge e2
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma mono-sign-extend:
 assumes kind q1 n = SignExtendNode ib rb x \land kind g2 n = SignExtendNode ib
rb x
  assumes (g1 \vdash x \simeq xe1) \land (g2 \vdash x \simeq xe2)
 assumes xe1 \ge xe2
```

```
assumes (g1 \vdash n \simeq e1) \land (g2 \vdash n \simeq e2)
  shows e1 \ge e2
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma mono-zero-extend:
  assumes kind\ g1\ n=ZeroExtendNode\ ib\ rb\ x\wedge kind\ g2\ n=ZeroExtendNode\ ib
rb x
  assumes (g1 \vdash x \simeq xe1) \land (g2 \vdash x \simeq xe2)
  assumes xe1 \ge xe2
  assumes (g1 \vdash n \simeq e1) \land (g2 \vdash n \simeq e2)
  shows e1 \ge e2
  \langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ mono\text{-}conditional\text{-}graph:
  assumes kind g1 n = ConditionalNode\ c\ t\ f \land kind\ g2\ n = ConditionalNode\ c\ t\ f
  assumes (g1 \vdash c \simeq ce1) \land (g2 \vdash c \simeq ce2)
  assumes (g1 \vdash t \simeq te1) \land (g2 \vdash t \simeq te2)
  assumes (g1 \vdash f \simeq fe1) \land (g2 \vdash f \simeq fe2)
  assumes ce1 \ge ce2 \land te1 \ge te2 \land fe1 \ge fe2
  assumes (g1 \vdash n \simeq e1) \land (g2 \vdash n \simeq e2)
  shows e1 \ge e2
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma mono-add:
  assumes kind g1 n = AddNode \ x \ y \land kind \ g2 \ n = AddNode \ x \ y
  assumes (g1 \vdash x \simeq xe1) \land (g2 \vdash x \simeq xe2)
  assumes (g1 \vdash y \simeq ye1) \land (g2 \vdash y \simeq ye2)
  assumes xe1 \ge xe2 \land ye1 \ge ye2
  assumes (g1 \vdash n \simeq e1) \land (g2 \vdash n \simeq e2)
  shows e1 \ge e2
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma mono-mul:
  \mathbf{assumes}\ \mathit{kind}\ \mathit{g1}\ \mathit{n} = \mathit{MulNode}\ \mathit{x}\ \mathit{y}\ \land \mathit{kind}\ \mathit{g2}\ \mathit{n} = \mathit{MulNode}\ \mathit{x}\ \mathit{y}
  assumes (g1 \vdash x \simeq xe1) \land (g2 \vdash x \simeq xe2)
  assumes (g1 \vdash y \simeq ye1) \land (g2 \vdash y \simeq ye2)
  assumes xe1 \ge xe2 \land ye1 \ge ye2
  assumes (g1 \vdash n \simeq e1) \land (g2 \vdash n \simeq e2)
  shows e1 \ge e2
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma term-graph-evaluation:
  (g \vdash n \trianglelefteq e) \Longrightarrow (\forall m p v . ([m,p] \vdash e \mapsto v) \longrightarrow ([g,m,p] \vdash n \mapsto v))
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma encodes-contains:
  g \vdash n \simeq e \Longrightarrow
  kind\ g\ n \neq NoNode
```

```
\langle proof \rangle
lemma no-encoding:
  assumes n \notin ids \ q
  shows \neg (g \vdash n \simeq e)
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma not-excluded-keep-type:
  assumes n \in ids \ g1
  assumes n \notin excluded
  assumes (excluded \unlhd as-set g1) \subseteq as-set g2
  shows kind g1 n = kind g2 n \wedge stamp g1 n = stamp g2 n
  \langle proof \rangle
method metis-node-eq-unary for node :: 'a \Rightarrow IRNode =
  (match\ IRNode.inject\ \mathbf{in}\ i:\ (node\ -=\ node\ -)=-\Rightarrow
      \langle metis i \rangle
method metis-node-eq-binary for node :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow IRNode =
  (match\ IRNode.inject\ \mathbf{in}\ i:\ (node --- = node --) = - \Rightarrow
      \langle metis i \rangle
method metis-node-eq-ternary for node :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow IRNode =
  (match\ IRNode.inject\ \mathbf{in}\ i:\ (node --- = node ---) = - \Rightarrow
      \langle metis i \rangle
7.8.3 Lift Data-flow Tree Refinement to Graph Refinement
theorem graph-semantics-preservation:
  assumes a: e1' \ge e2'
  assumes b: (\{n'\} \subseteq as\text{-set } g1) \subseteq as\text{-set } g2
 assumes c: g1 \vdash n' \simeq e1'
  assumes d: g2 \vdash n' \simeq e2'
  shows graph-refinement g1 g2
  \langle proof \rangle
{\bf lemma}\ graph-semantics-preservation-subscript:
  assumes a: e_1' \geq e_2'
  assumes b: (\{n\} \subseteq as\text{-}set g_1) \subseteq as\text{-}set g_2
 assumes c: g_1 \vdash n \simeq e_1'
  assumes d: g_2 \vdash n \simeq e_2'
  shows graph-refinement g_1 g_2
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma tree-to-graph-rewriting:
```

 $\land (g_1 \vdash n \simeq e_1) \land maximal\text{-sharing } g_1$  $\land (\{n\} \leq as\text{-set } g_1) \subseteq as\text{-set } g_2$  $\land (g_2 \vdash n \simeq e_2) \land maximal\text{-sharing } g_2$ 

 $\implies$  graph-refinement  $g_1$   $g_2$ 

```
\langle proof \rangle
declare [[simp-trace]]
lemma equal-refines:
  fixes e1 \ e2 :: IRExpr
  assumes e1 = e2
  shows e1 \ge e2
  \langle proof \rangle
declare [[simp-trace=false]]
lemma eval-contains-id[simp]: g1 \vdash n \simeq e \Longrightarrow n \in ids \ g1
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma subset-kind[simp]: as-set g1 \subseteq as-set g2 \Longrightarrow g1 \vdash n \simeq e \Longrightarrow kind g1 n =
kind \ q2 \ n
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma subset-stamp[simp]: as-set g1 \subseteq as-set g2 \Longrightarrow g1 \vdash n \simeq e \Longrightarrow stamp \ g1 \ n
= stamp \ g2 \ n
  \langle proof \rangle
method solve-subset-eval uses as-set eval =
  (metis eval as-set subset-kind subset-stamp |
   metis eval as-set subset-kind)
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{subset-implies-evals}\text{:}
  \mathbf{assumes}\ \mathit{as\text{-}set}\ \mathit{g1} \subseteq \mathit{as\text{-}set}\ \mathit{g2}
  assumes (g1 \vdash n \simeq e)
  shows (g2 \vdash n \simeq e)
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma subset-refines:
  assumes as-set g1 \subseteq as-set g2
  shows graph-refinement g1 g2
\langle proof \rangle
lemma graph-construction:
  e_1 \geq e_2
  \land as\text{-}set g_1 \subseteq as\text{-}set g_2
  \wedge (g_2 \vdash n \simeq e_2)
  \implies (g_2 \vdash n \trianglelefteq e_1) \land graph\text{-refinement } g_1 \ g_2
  \langle proof \rangle
```

#### 7.8.4 Term Graph Reconstruction

**lemma** find-exists-kind:

```
assumes find-node-and-stamp g (node, s) = Some nid
 shows kind \ g \ nid = node
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma find-exists-stamp:
  assumes find-node-and-stamp g (node, s) = Some nid
 shows stamp \ g \ nid = s
  \langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ find\text{-}new\text{-}kind:
  assumes g' = add-node nid (node, s) g
  assumes node \neq NoNode
 shows kind g' nid = node
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma find-new-stamp:
  assumes g' = add-node nid (node, s) g
  assumes node \neq NoNode
 shows stamp \ g' \ nid = s
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma sorted-bottom:
  assumes finite xs
  assumes x \in xs
 shows x \leq last(sorted-list-of-set(xs::nat set))
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma fresh: finite xs \Longrightarrow last(sorted-list-of-set(xs::nat\ set)) + 1 \notin xs
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma fresh-ids:
  assumes n = get-fresh-id g
  shows n \notin ids g
\langle proof \rangle
lemma graph-unchanged-rep-unchanged:
 assumes \forall n \in ids \ g. \ kind \ g \ n = kind \ g' \ n
 assumes \forall n \in ids \ g. \ stamp \ g \ n = stamp \ g' \ n
 shows (g \vdash n \simeq e) \longrightarrow (g' \vdash n \simeq e)
  \langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{fresh-node-subset} \colon
  assumes n \notin ids \ q
  assumes g' = add-node n(k, s) g
 shows as-set g \subseteq as-set g'
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma unrep-subset:
 assumes (g \oplus e \leadsto (g', n))
```

```
shows as-set g \subseteq as-set g'
  \langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{fresh-node-preserves-other-nodes} :
  assumes n' = get-fresh-id g
  assumes g' = add-node n'(k, s) g
  shows \forall n \in ids \ g \ . \ (g \vdash n \simeq e) \longrightarrow (g' \vdash n \simeq e)
  \langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ found\text{-}node\text{-}preserves\text{-}other\text{-}nodes:
  assumes find-node-and-stamp g(k, s) = Some n
  shows \forall n \in ids \ g. \ (g \vdash n \simeq e) \longleftrightarrow (g \vdash n \simeq e)
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma unrep-ids-subset[simp]:
  assumes g \oplus e \leadsto (g', n)
  shows ids g \subseteq ids g'
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma unrep-unchanged:
  assumes g \oplus e \leadsto (g', n)
  shows \forall n \in ids \ g \ . \ \forall e \ (g \vdash n \simeq e) \longrightarrow (g' \vdash n \simeq e)
  \langle proof \rangle
{\bf theorem}\ \textit{term-graph-reconstruction}:
  g \oplus e \leadsto (g', n) \Longrightarrow (g' \vdash n \simeq e) \land as\text{-set } g \subseteq as\text{-set } g'
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma ref-refinement:
  assumes g \vdash n \simeq e_1
  assumes kind \ g \ n' = RefNode \ n
  shows g \vdash n' \unlhd e_1
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma unrep-refines:
  assumes g \oplus e \leadsto (g', n)
  shows graph-refinement g g'
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma add-new-node-refines:
  assumes n \notin ids g
  assumes g' = add-node n(k, s) g
  shows graph-refinement g g'
  \langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ add\text{-}node\text{-}as\text{-}set:
  assumes g' = add-node n(k, s) g
  shows (\{n\} \leq as\text{-}set\ g) \subseteq as\text{-}set\ g'
  \langle proof \rangle
```

```
theorem refined-insert:

assumes e_1 \geq e_2

assumes g_1 \oplus e_2 \leadsto (g_2, n')

shows (g_2 \vdash n' \leq e_1) \land graph\text{-refinement } g_1 \ g_2 \land proof \rangle

lemma ids-finite: finite (ids g)

\langle proof \rangle

lemma unwrap-sorted: set (sorted-list-of-set (ids g)) = ids g

\langle proof \rangle

lemma find-none:

assumes find-node-and-stamp g (k, s) = None

shows \forall n \in ids \ g. \ kind \ g \ n \neq k \ \lor \ stamp \ g \ n \neq s

\langle proof \rangle
```

```
 \begin{array}{l} \textbf{method} \ \textit{ref-represents} \ \textbf{uses} \ \textit{node} = \\ (\textit{metis} \ \textit{IRNode.distinct(2755)} \ \textit{RefNode dual-order.refl find-new-kind fresh-node-subset} \\ \textit{node} \ \textit{subset-implies-evals}) \end{array}
```

## 7.8.5 Data-flow Tree to Subgraph Preserves Maximal Sharing

```
lemma same-kind-stamp-encodes-equal:
    assumes kind g n = kind g n'
    assumes stamp g n = stamp g n'
    assumes \neg(is\text{-preevaluated }(kind g n))
    shows \forall e. (g \vdash n \simeq e) \longrightarrow (g \vdash n' \simeq e)
\langle proof \rangle

lemma new-node-not-present:
    assumes find-node-and-stamp g (node, s) = None
    assumes n = get-fresh-id g
    assumes g' = add-node n (node, s) g
    shows \forall n' \in true-ids g. (\forall e. ((g \vdash n \simeq e) \land (g \vdash n' \simeq e)) \longrightarrow n = n')
```

```
\langle proof \rangle
lemma true-ids-def:
  true-ids \ g = \{n \in ids \ g. \ \neg(is-RefNode \ (kind \ g \ n)) \land ((kind \ g \ n) \neq NoNode)\}
  \langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ add\text{-}node\text{-}some\text{-}node\text{-}def\text{:}
  assumes k \neq NoNode
  \mathbf{assumes}\ g'=\mathit{add}\mathit{-node}\ \mathit{nid}\ (\mathit{k},\,\mathit{s})\ g
  shows g' = Abs\text{-}IRGraph ((Rep\text{-}IRGraph g)(nid \mapsto (k, s)))
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma ids-add-update-v1:
  assumes g' = add-node nid(k, s) g
  assumes k \neq NoNode
  shows dom (Rep-IRGraph g') = dom (Rep-IRGraph g) \cup \{nid\}
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma ids-add-update-v2:
  assumes g' = add-node nid (k, s) g
  assumes k \neq NoNode
  shows nid \in ids \ g'
  \langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ add\text{-}node\text{-}ids\text{-}subset:
  assumes n \in ids \ g
  assumes g' = add-node n node g
  shows ids g' = ids g \cup \{n\}
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma convert-maximal:
  assumes \forall n \ n'. \ n \in true\text{-}ids \ g \land n' \in true\text{-}ids \ g \longrightarrow (\forall e \ e'. \ (g \vdash n \simeq e) \land (g \vdash n \simeq e))
n' \simeq e') \longrightarrow e \neq e'
  shows maximal-sharing g
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma add-node-set-eq:
  assumes k \neq NoNode
  assumes n \notin ids g
  shows as-set (add\text{-}node\ n\ (k,\ s)\ g) = as\text{-}set\ g \cup \{(n,\ (k,\ s))\}
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma add-node-as-set-eq:
  assumes g' = add-node n(k, s) g
  assumes n \notin ids g
  shows (\{n\} \leq as\text{-}set\ g') = as\text{-}set\ g
  \langle proof \rangle
```

lemma true-ids:

```
true-ids\ g = ids\ g - \{n \in ids\ g.\ is-RefNode\ (kind\ g\ n)\}
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma as-set-ids:
  assumes as-set g = as-set g'
  shows ids g = ids g'
  \langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ ids-add-update:
  \mathbf{assumes}\ k \neq NoNode
  assumes n \notin ids g
  assumes g' = add-node n(k, s) g
  shows ids g' = ids g \cup \{n\}
  \langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ true\text{-}ids\text{-}add\text{-}update:
  assumes k \neq NoNode
  assumes n \notin ids g
  assumes g' = add-node n(k, s) g
  assumes \neg(is\text{-}RefNode\ k)
  shows true\text{-}ids\ g'=true\text{-}ids\ g\cup\{n\}
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma new-def:
  assumes (new \le as\text{-}set g') = as\text{-}set g
  shows n \in ids \ g \longrightarrow n \notin new
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma add-preserves-rep:
  assumes unchanged: (new \le as\text{-}set \ g') = as\text{-}set \ g
  assumes closed: wf-closed g
  assumes existed: n \in ids g
  assumes g' \vdash n \simeq e
  shows g \vdash n \simeq e
\langle proof \rangle
lemma not-in-no-rep:
  n \notin ids \ g \Longrightarrow \forall \ e. \ \neg(g \vdash n \simeq e)
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma unary-inputs:
  assumes kind g n = unary-node op x
  shows inputs g n = \{x\}
  \langle proof \rangle
```

```
lemma unary-succ:
  \mathbf{assumes} \ \mathit{kind} \ \mathit{g} \ \mathit{n} = \mathit{unary}\text{-}\mathit{node} \ \mathit{op} \ \mathit{x}
  shows succ\ g\ n = \{\}
  \langle proof \rangle
{\bf lemma}\ \textit{binary-inputs}:
  assumes kind g n = bin-node op x y
  shows inputs g n = \{x, y\}
  \langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{binary}\text{-}\mathit{succ}\text{:}
  assumes kind g n = bin-node op x y
  shows succ\ g\ n = \{\}
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma unrep-contains:
  assumes g \oplus e \leadsto (g', n)
  \mathbf{shows}\ n\in\mathit{ids}\ g'
  \langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ unrep\text{-}preserves\text{-}contains:
  assumes n \in ids g
  assumes g \oplus e \leadsto (g', n')
  shows n \in ids g'
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma unrep-preserves-closure:
  assumes wf-closed g
  assumes g \oplus e \leadsto (g', n)
  shows wf-closed g'
  \langle proof \rangle
inductive-cases ConstUnrepE: g \oplus (ConstantExpr \ x) \leadsto (g', \ n)
definition constant-value where
  constant-value = (IntVal \ 32 \ 0)
definition bad-graph where
  bad-graph = irgraph
    (0, AbsNode 1, constantAsStamp constant-value),
    (1, RefNode 2, constantAsStamp constant-value),
    (2,\ ConstantNode\ constant-value,\ constantAsStamp\ constant-value)
```

end

## 8 Control-flow Semantics

```
theory IRStepObj
imports
TreeToGraph
begin
```

# 8.1 Object Heap

The heap model we introduce maps field references to object instances to runtime values. We use the H[f][p] heap representation. See  $\cite{heap-reps-2011}$ . We also introduce the DynamicHeap type which allocates new object references sequentially storing the next free object reference as 'Free'.

```
type-synonym ('a, 'b) Heap = 'a \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow Value
type-synonym Free = nat
type-synonym ('a, 'b) DynamicHeap = ('a, 'b) Heap \times Free

fun h-load-field :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) DynamicHeap \Rightarrow Value where
h-load-field fr(h, n) = hfr

fun h-store-field :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow Value \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) DynamicHeap \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) DynamicHeap where
h-store-field fr(h, n) = (h(f) = ((hf)(r) = v)), n)

fun h-new-inst :: ('a, 'b) h-DynamicHeap h-new-inst (h, n) = ((h, n+1), (ObjRef (Some n)))

type-synonym h-FieldRefHeap = (string, objref) h-DynamicHeap
```

```
definition new-heap :: ('a, 'b) DynamicHeap where new-heap = ((\lambda f. \lambda p. \ UndefVal), \ 0)
```

## 8.2 Intraprocedural Semantics

```
fun find-index :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a list \Rightarrow nat where
find-index - [] = 0 |
find-index v (x # xs) = (if (x=v) then 0 else find-index v xs + 1)

fun phi-list :: IRGraph \Rightarrow ID \Rightarrow ID list where
phi-list g n =
(filter (\lambda x.(is-PhiNode (kind g x)))
(sorted-list-of-set (usages g n)))

fun input-index :: IRGraph \Rightarrow ID \Rightarrow nat where
input-index g n n' = find-index n' (inputs-of (kind g n))
```

```
fun phi-inputs :: IRGraph \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow ID \ list \Rightarrow ID \ list where
     phi-inputs g \ i \ nodes = (map \ (\lambda n. \ (inputs-of \ (kind \ g \ n))!(i+1)) \ nodes)
fun set-phis :: ID list \Rightarrow Value list \Rightarrow MapState \Rightarrow MapState where
      set-phis [] [] <math>m = m []
      set-phis (n \# xs) (v \# vs) m = (set-phis xs vs (m(n := v))) |
      set-phis [] (v # vs) m = m |
     set-phis (x \# xs) [] m = m
Intraprocedural semantics are given as a small-step semantics.
Within the context of a graph, the configuration triple, (ID, MethodState,
Heap), is related to the subsequent configuration.
inductive step :: IRGraph \Rightarrow Params \Rightarrow (ID \times MapState \times FieldRefHeap) \Rightarrow (ID \times MapState \times FieldRef
\times MapState \times FieldRefHeap) \Rightarrow bool
     (-, - \vdash - \rightarrow -55) for g p where
      SequentialNode:
      [is-sequential-node\ (kind\ g\ nid);
           nid' = (successors-of (kind \ g \ nid))!0
           \implies g, p \vdash (nid, m, h) \rightarrow (nid', m, h) \mid
      IfNode:
      [kind\ g\ nid = (IfNode\ cond\ tb\ fb);
           g \vdash cond \simeq condE;
           [m, p] \vdash condE \mapsto val;
           nid' = (if \ val\ to\ bool \ val \ then \ tb \ else \ fb)
           \implies g, p \vdash (nid, m, h) \rightarrow (nid', m, h) \mid
      EndNodes:
      [is-AbstractEndNode (kind q nid);
           merge = any-usage q nid;
           is-AbstractMergeNode (kind g merge);
           i = find\text{-}index \ nid \ (inputs\text{-}of \ (kind \ g \ merge));
           phis = (phi-list\ g\ merge);
           inps = (phi-inputs \ g \ i \ phis);
           g \vdash inps \simeq_L inpsE;
           [m, p] \vdash inpsE \mapsto_L vs;
           m' = set-phis phis vs m
           \implies g, p \vdash (nid, m, h) \rightarrow (merge, m', h) \mid
      NewInstanceNode:
           \llbracket kind\ g\ nid = (NewInstanceNode\ nid\ f\ obj\ nid');
                 (h', ref) = h-new-inst h;
                m' = m(nid := ref)
```

 $\implies g, p \vdash (nid, m, h) \rightarrow (nid', m', h') \mid$ 

```
LoadFieldNode:
 [kind\ g\ nid = (LoadFieldNode\ nid\ f\ (Some\ obj)\ nid');
    g \vdash obj \simeq objE;
    [m, p] \vdash objE \mapsto ObjRef ref;
   h-load-field f ref h = v;
    m' = m(nid := v)
 \implies g, p \vdash (nid, m, h) \rightarrow (nid', m', h) \mid
SignedDivNode:
  [kind\ g\ nid = (SignedDivNode\ nid\ x\ y\ zero\ sb\ nxt);
    g \vdash x \simeq xe;
    g \vdash y \simeq ye;
    [m, p] \vdash xe \mapsto v1;
    [m, p] \vdash ye \mapsto v2;
    v = (intval-div \ v1 \ v2);
   m' = m(nid := v)
 \implies g, p \vdash (nid, m, h) \rightarrow (nxt, m', h) \mid
SignedRemNode:
  \llbracket kind\ g\ nid = (SignedRemNode\ nid\ x\ y\ zero\ sb\ nxt);
    g \vdash x \simeq xe;
    g \vdash y \simeq ye;
    [m, p] \vdash xe \mapsto v1;
   [m, p] \vdash ye \mapsto v2;
    v = (intval - mod \ v1 \ v2);
   m' = m(nid := v)
 \implies g, p \vdash (nid, m, h) \rightarrow (nxt, m', h) \mid
StaticLoadFieldNode:
  \llbracket kind\ g\ nid = (LoadFieldNode\ nid\ f\ None\ nid');
    h-load-field f None h = v;
   m' = m(nid := v)
 \implies g, p \vdash (nid, m, h) \rightarrow (nid', m', h) \mid
StoreFieldNode:
  \llbracket kind\ g\ nid = (StoreFieldNode\ nid\ f\ newval\ -\ (Some\ obj)\ nid');
    g \vdash newval \simeq newvalE;
    g \vdash obj \simeq objE;
    [m, p] \vdash newvalE \mapsto val;
    [m, p] \vdash objE \mapsto ObjRef ref;
    h' = h-store-field f ref val h;
   m' = m(nid := val)
 \implies g, p \vdash (nid, m, h) \rightarrow (nid', m', h') \mid
StaticStoreFieldNode:
 \llbracket kind\ g\ nid = (StoreFieldNode\ nid\ f\ newval\ -\ None\ nid');
    g \vdash newval \simeq newvalE;
    [m, p] \vdash newvalE \mapsto val;
```

```
m' = m(nid := val)
    \implies g, p \vdash (nid, m, h) \rightarrow (nid', m', h')
code-pred (modes: i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i * i * i \Rightarrow o * o * o \Rightarrow bool) step (proof)
       Interprocedural Semantics
type-synonym Signature = string
type-synonym\ Program = Signature 
ightharpoonup IRGraph
inductive step-top :: Program \Rightarrow (IRGraph \times ID \times MapState \times Params) \ list \times
FieldRefHeap \Rightarrow (IRGraph \times ID \times MapState \times Params) \ list \times FieldRefHeap \Rightarrow
bool
  (-\vdash -\longrightarrow -55)
 for P where
  Lift:
  \llbracket g, p \vdash (nid, m, h) \rightarrow (nid', m', h') \rrbracket
    \implies P \vdash ((g,nid,m,p)\#stk, h) \longrightarrow ((g,nid',m',p)\#stk, h') \mid
  InvokeNodeStep:
  [is-Invoke\ (kind\ g\ nid);
    callTarget = ir\text{-}callTarget (kind g nid);
    kind\ g\ callTarget = (MethodCallTargetNode\ targetMethod\ arguments);
    Some \ targetGraph = P \ targetMethod;
    m' = new-map-state;
    g \vdash arguments \simeq_L argsE;
   [m, p] \vdash argsE \mapsto_L p'
    \implies P \vdash ((g,nid,m,p)\#stk, h) \longrightarrow ((targetGraph,0,m',p')\#(g,nid,m,p)\#stk, h)
  ReturnNode:
  [kind\ g\ nid = (ReturnNode\ (Some\ expr)\ -);
    g \vdash expr \simeq e;
    [m, p] \vdash e \mapsto v;
    cm' = cm(cnid := v);
    cnid' = (successors-of (kind cg cnid))!0
    \implies P \vdash ((g,nid,m,p)\#(cg,cnid,cm,cp)\#stk, h) \longrightarrow ((cg,cnid',cm',cp)\#stk, h) \mid
  ReturnNodeVoid:
  \llbracket kind \ g \ nid = (ReturnNode \ None \ -);
    cm' = cm(cnid := (ObjRef (Some (2048))));
    cnid' = (successors-of (kind cg cnid))!0
    \implies P \vdash ((g,nid,m,p)\#(cg,cnid,cm,cp)\#stk,h) \longrightarrow ((cg,cnid',cm',cp)\#stk,h) \mid
```

h' = h-store-field f None val h;

```
UnwindNode:
  [kind\ g\ nid = (UnwindNode\ exception);
    g \vdash exception \simeq exceptionE;
    [m, p] \vdash exceptionE \mapsto e;
    kind\ cg\ cnid = (InvokeWithExceptionNode - - - - exEdge);
    cm' = cm(cnid := e)
  \implies P \vdash ((g,nid,m,p)\#(cg,cnid,cm,cp)\#stk,\ h) \longrightarrow ((cg,exEdge,cm',cp)\#stk,\ h)
code-pred (modes: i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o \Rightarrow bool) step-top \langle proof \rangle
8.4 Big-step Execution
type-synonym Trace = (IRGraph \times ID \times MapState \times Params) list
fun has-return :: MapState \Rightarrow bool where
  has\text{-}return \ m = (m \ 0 \neq UndefVal)
inductive exec :: Program
      \Rightarrow (IRGraph \times ID \times MapState \times Params) \ list \times FieldRefHeap
      \Rightarrow (IRGraph \times ID \times MapState \times Params) \ list \times FieldRefHeap
      \Rightarrow Trace
      \Rightarrow bool
  (- ⊢ - | - →* - | -)
  for P
  where
  \llbracket P \vdash (((g,nid,m,p)\#xs),h) \longrightarrow (((g',nid',m',p')\#ys),h');
    \neg(has\text{-}return\ m');
    l' = (l @ [(g,nid,m,p)]);
    exec\ P\ (((g',nid',m',p')\#ys),h')\ l'\ next-state\ l'']
    \implies exec\ P\ (((g,nid,m,p)\#xs),h)\ l\ next-state\ l''
   P \vdash (((g,nid,m,p)\#xs),h) \longrightarrow (((g',nid',m',p')\#ys),h'); 
    has\text{-}return m';
    l' = (l @ [(g,nid,m,p)])
    \implies exec\ P\ (((g,nid,m,p)\#xs),h)\ l\ (((g',nid',m',p')\#ys),h')\ l'
code-pred (modes: i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o \Rightarrow o \Rightarrow bool \ as \ Exec) \ exec \ \langle proof \rangle
inductive \ exec-debug :: Program
```

 $\Rightarrow (IRGraph \times ID \times MapState \times Params) \ list \times FieldRefHeap$ 

```
\Rightarrow nat
    \Rightarrow (IRGraph \times ID \times MapState \times Params) \ list \times FieldRefHeap
    \Rightarrow bool
  (-⊢-→*-* -)
  where
  [n > 0]
   p \vdash s \longrightarrow s';
   exec\text{-}debug\ p\ s'\ (n-1)\ s''
   \implies exec\text{-}debug\ p\ s\ n\ s''
  [n = 0]
    \implies exec\text{-}debug\ p\ s\ n\ s
code-pred (modes: i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o \Rightarrow bool) exec-debug (proof)
8.4.1 Heap Testing
definition p3:: Params where
 p3 = [IntVal \ 32 \ 3]
\mathbf{values} \ \{(prod.fst(prod.snd\ (prod.snd\ (hd\ (prod.fst\ res)))))\ \theta
     | res. (\lambda x. Some \ eg2\text{-}sq) \vdash ([(eg2\text{-}sq,0,new\text{-}map\text{-}state,p3), (eg2\text{-}sq,0,new\text{-}map\text{-}state,p3)],
new-heap) \rightarrow *2* res
definition field-sq :: string where
 field-sq = "sq"
definition eg3-sq :: IRGraph where
  eg3-sq = irgraph
   (0, StartNode None 4, VoidStamp),
   (1, ParameterNode 0, default-stamp),
   (3, MulNode 1 1, default-stamp),
   (4, StoreFieldNode 4 field-sq 3 None None 5, VoidStamp),
   (5, ReturnNode (Some 3) None, default-stamp)
values {h-load-field field-sq None (prod.snd res)
          | res. (\lambda x. Some \ eg3-sq) \vdash ([(eg3-sq, 0, new-map-state, p3), (eg3-sq, 0, new-map-state, p3))
new-map-state, p3], new-heap) \rightarrow *3* res}
definition eg4-sq :: IRGraph where
  eg4-sq = irgraph [
   (0, StartNode None 4, VoidStamp),
   (1, ParameterNode 0, default-stamp),
   (3, MulNode 1 1, default-stamp),
   (4, NewInstanceNode 4 "obj-class" None 5, ObjectStamp "obj-class" True True
True),
   (5, StoreFieldNode 5 field-sq 3 None (Some 4) 6, VoidStamp),
```

```
(6, ReturnNode (Some 3) None, default-stamp)

values {h-load-field field-sq (Some 0) (prod.snd res) | res.

(\lambda x. Some eg4-sq) \vdash ([(eg4-sq, 0, new-map-state, p3), (eg4-sq, 0, new-map-state, p3)], new-heap) \rightarrow *3* res}
```

## 8.5 Control-flow Semantics Theorems

```
theory IRStepThms
imports
IRStepObj
TreeToGraphThms
begin
```

end

We prove that within the same graph, a configuration triple will always transition to the same subsequent configuration. Therefore, our step semantics is deterministic.

#### 8.5.1 Control-flow Step is Deterministic

```
theorem stepDet:
   (g, p \vdash (nid, m, h) \rightarrow next) \Longrightarrow
   (\forall next'. ((g, p \vdash (nid, m, h) \rightarrow next') \longrightarrow next = next'))
\langle proof \rangle
lemma stepRefNode:
  \llbracket kind \ g \ nid = RefNode \ nid' \rrbracket \Longrightarrow g, \ p \vdash (nid,m,h) \rightarrow (nid',m,h)
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma IfNodeStepCases:
  assumes kind \ g \ nid = IfNode \ cond \ tb \ fb
  assumes g \vdash cond \simeq condE
  assumes [m, p] \vdash condE \mapsto v
  assumes g, p \vdash (nid, m, h) \rightarrow (nid', m, h)
  shows nid' \in \{tb, fb\}
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma IfNodeSeq:
  shows kind g nid = IfNode cond to fb \longrightarrow \neg (is\text{-sequential-node (kind } g \text{ nid)})
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma IfNodeCond:
  assumes kind \ g \ nid = IfNode \ cond \ tb \ fb
  assumes g, p \vdash (nid, m, h) \rightarrow (nid', m, h)
  shows \exists condE \ v. ((g \vdash cond \simeq condE) \land ([m, p] \vdash condE \mapsto v))
```

```
\langle proof \rangle

lemma step\text{-}in\text{-}ids:

assumes g, p \vdash (nid, m, h) \rightarrow (nid', m', h')

shows nid \in ids \ g

\langle proof \rangle
```

end

## 9 Proof Infrastructure

#### 9.1 Bisimulation

theory Bisimulation imports Stuttering begin

```
inductive weak-bisimilar :: ID \Rightarrow IRGraph \Rightarrow IRGraph \Rightarrow bool

(- . - \sim -) for nid where

\llbracket \forall P'. (g \ m \ p \ h \vdash nid \leadsto P') \longrightarrow (\exists \ Q' \ . (g' \ m \ p \ h \vdash nid \leadsto Q') \land P' = Q');

\forall \ Q'. (g' \ m \ p \ h \vdash nid \leadsto Q') \longrightarrow (\exists \ P' \ . (g \ m \ p \ h \vdash nid \leadsto P') \land P' = Q') \rrbracket

\implies nid \ . \ g \sim g'
```

A strong bisimilation between no-op transitions

```
inductive strong-noop-bisimilar :: ID \Rightarrow IRGraph \Rightarrow IRGraph \Rightarrow bool

(- \mid - \sim -) for nid where

\llbracket \forall P'. (g, p \vdash (nid, m, h) \rightarrow P') \longrightarrow (\exists Q'. (g', p \vdash (nid, m, h) \rightarrow Q') \land P' = Q');

\forall Q'. (g', p \vdash (nid, m, h) \rightarrow Q') \longrightarrow (\exists P'. (g, p \vdash (nid, m, h) \rightarrow P') \land P' = Q') \rrbracket

\implies nid \mid g \sim g'
```

 ${\bf lemma}\ lock step\text{-}strong\text{-}bisimilulation:$ 

```
assumes g' = replace\text{-}node\ nid\ node\ g assumes g, p \vdash (nid, m, h) \rightarrow (nid', m, h) assumes g', p \vdash (nid, m, h) \rightarrow (nid', m, h) shows nid \mid g \sim g' \langle proof \rangle
```

 $\mathbf{lemma}\ no\text{-}step\text{-}bisimulation:$ 

```
assumes \forall m \ p \ h \ nid' \ m' \ h'. \ \neg(g, p \vdash (nid, m, h) \rightarrow (nid', m', h')) assumes \forall m \ p \ h \ nid' \ m' \ h'. \ \neg(g', p \vdash (nid, m, h) \rightarrow (nid', m', h')) shows nid \mid g \sim g' \ \langle proof \rangle
```

 $\mathbf{end}$ 

# 9.2 Graph Rewriting

```
theory
  Rewrites
imports
  Stuttering
begin
fun replace-usages :: ID \Rightarrow ID \Rightarrow IRGraph \Rightarrow IRGraph where
  replace-usages nid \ nid' \ g = replace-node nid \ (RefNode \ nid', \ stamp \ g \ nid') \ g
lemma replace-usages-effect:
  assumes g' = replace-usages nid \ nid' \ g
 shows kind g' nid = RefNode nid'
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma replace-usages-changeonly:
  assumes nid \in ids g
  assumes g' = replace-usages nid \ nid' \ g
  shows changeonly \{nid\} g g'
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma replace-usages-unchanged:
  assumes nid \in ids q
  assumes g' = replace-usages nid \ nid' \ g
 shows unchanged (ids g - \{nid\}) g g'
fun nextNid :: IRGraph \Rightarrow ID where
  nextNid\ g = (Max\ (ids\ g)) + 1
lemma max-plus-one:
  fixes c :: ID \ set
  shows [finite c; c \neq \{\}] \Longrightarrow (Max c) + 1 \notin c
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma ids-finite:
 finite\ (ids\ g)
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma nextNidNotIn:
  \mathit{ids}\ g \neq \{\} \ {\longrightarrow}\ \mathit{nextNid}\ g \not\in \mathit{ids}\ g
  \langle \mathit{proof} \, \rangle
fun bool-to-val-width1 :: bool <math>\Rightarrow Value where
  bool-to-val-width 1 True = (Int Val \ 1 \ 1)
  bool-to-val-width 1 False = (IntVal \ 1 \ 0)
```

```
fun constantCondition :: bool <math>\Rightarrow ID \Rightarrow IRNode \Rightarrow IRGraph \Rightarrow IRGraph where
  constantCondition\ val\ nid\ (IfNode\ cond\ t\ f)\ g =
   replace-node nid (IfNode (nextNid g) t f, stamp g nid)
       (add-node (nextNid g) ((ConstantNode (bool-to-val-width1 val)), constantA-
sStamp (bool-to-val-width1 val)) q)
  constantCondition\ cond\ nid\ -\ g=g
lemma constantConditionTrue:
  \mathbf{assumes} \ \mathit{kind} \ \mathit{g} \ \mathit{ifcond} = \mathit{IfNode} \ \mathit{cond} \ \mathit{t} \ \mathit{f}
  assumes g' = constantCondition True if cond (kind g if cond) g
  shows g', p \vdash (ifcond, m, h) \rightarrow (t, m, h)
\langle proof \rangle
{\bf lemma}\ constant Condition False:
  assumes kind \ g \ if cond = If Node \ cond \ t \ f
 assumes q' = constantCondition False if cond (kind q if cond) q
  shows g', p \vdash (ifcond, m, h) \rightarrow (f, m, h)
\langle proof \rangle
lemma diff-forall:
  assumes \forall n \in ids \ g - \{nid\}. \ cond \ n
 shows \forall n. n \in ids \ g \land n \notin \{nid\} \longrightarrow cond \ n
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma replace-node-changeonly:
  assumes g' = replace - node \ nid \ node \ g
  shows changeonly \{nid\} g g'
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma add-node-changeonly:
  assumes g' = add-node nid node g
 shows changeonly \{nid\} g g'
  \langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ constant Condition No Effect:
  assumes \neg (is\text{-}IfNode\ (kind\ q\ nid))
  shows g = constantCondition b nid (kind g nid) g
  \langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ constant Condition If Node:
  assumes kind\ g\ nid = IfNode\ cond\ t\ f
 shows constant Condition val nid (kind g nid) g =
    replace-node nid (IfNode (nextNid g) t f, stamp g nid)
      (add-node (nextNid g) ((ConstantNode (bool-to-val-width1 val)), constantA-
sStamp \ (bool-to-val-width1 \ val)) \ g)
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma constantCondition-changeonly:
 assumes nid \in ids g
```

```
assumes g' = constantCondition \ b \ nid \ (kind \ g \ nid) \ g
  shows changeonly \{nid\} g g'
\langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ constant Condition No If:
  assumes \forall cond \ t \ f. \ kind \ g \ if cond \neq If Node \ cond \ t \ f
  assumes g' = constantCondition \ val \ if cond \ (kind \ g \ if cond) \ g
  shows \exists nid' . (g \ m \ p \ h \vdash ifcond \leadsto nid') \longleftrightarrow (g' \ m \ p \ h \vdash ifcond \leadsto nid')
\langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ constant Condition Valid:
  \mathbf{assumes} \ \mathit{kind} \ \mathit{g} \ \mathit{ifcond} = \mathit{IfNode} \ \mathit{cond} \ \mathit{t} \ \mathit{f}
  assumes [g, m, p] \vdash cond \mapsto v
  assumes const = val\text{-}to\text{-}bool\ v
  assumes g' = constantCondition const if cond (kind g if cond) g
  shows \exists nid' . (g \ m \ p \ h \vdash ifcond \leadsto nid') \longleftrightarrow (g' \ m \ p \ h \vdash ifcond \leadsto nid')
\langle proof \rangle
end
9.3
         Stuttering
theory Stuttering
  imports
     Semantics. IRStep Thms
begin
inductive \ stutter:: IRGraph \Rightarrow MapState \Rightarrow Params \Rightarrow FieldRefHeap \Rightarrow ID \Rightarrow
ID \Rightarrow bool (------ \rightarrow -55)
  for g m p h where
  StutterStep:
  \llbracket g, p \vdash (nid, m, h) \rightarrow (nid', m, h) \rrbracket
   \implies g \ m \ p \ h \vdash nid \leadsto nid'
  Transitive:
  \llbracket g, p \vdash (nid, m, h) \rightarrow (nid'', m, h);
    g \ m \ p \ h \vdash nid'' \leadsto nid'
   \implies g \ m \ p \ h \vdash nid \leadsto nid'
lemma stuttering-successor:
  assumes (g, p \vdash (nid, m, h) \rightarrow (nid', m, h))
  shows \{P'. (g \ m \ p \ h \vdash nid \leadsto P')\} = \{nid'\} \cup \{nid''. (g \ m \ p \ h \vdash nid' \leadsto nid'')\}
\langle proof \rangle
```

end

### 9.4 Evaluation Stamp Theorems

```
theory StampEvalThms
 imports Graph. Value Thms
         Semantics.IRTreeEvalThms
begin
lemma
  assumes take-bit b v = v
 shows signed-take-bit b \ v = v
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma unwrap-signed-take-bit:
  fixes v :: int64
 assumes 0 < b \land b \le 64
  assumes signed-take-bit (b-1) v=v
  shows signed-take-bit 63 (Word.rep (signed-take-bit (b - Suc \ 0) \ v)) = sint \ v
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma unrestricted-new-int-always-valid [simp]:
  assumes 0 < b \land b \le 64
  shows valid-value (new-int b v) (unrestricted-stamp (IntegerStamp b lo hi))
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma \ unary-undef: \ val = \ UndefVal \Longrightarrow \ unary-eval \ op \ val = \ UndefVal
\mathbf{lemma} \ unary\text{-}obj : val = \textit{ObjRef} \ x \Longrightarrow \textit{unary-eval op val} = \textit{UndefVal}
  \langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ unrestricted\text{-}stamp\text{-}valid:
  assumes s = unrestricted-stamp (IntegerStamp b lo hi)
  assumes 0 < b \land b \le 64
 shows valid-stamp s
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma unrestricted-stamp-valid-value [simp]:
  assumes 1: result = IntVal \ b \ ival
 assumes take-bit b ival = ival
 assumes 0 < b \land b \le 64
  shows valid-value result (unrestricted-stamp (IntegerStamp b lo hi))
\langle proof \rangle
```

# 9.4.1 Support Lemmas for Integer Stamps and Associated IntVal values

Valid int implies some useful facts.

```
lemma valid-int-gives:
 assumes valid-value (IntVal b val) stamp
 obtains lo hi where stamp = IntegerStamp \ b \ lo \ hi \ \land
      valid-stamp (IntegerStamp \ b \ lo \ hi) \land
      take-bit b val = val \land
      lo \leq int-signed-value b val \wedge int-signed-value b val \leq hi
  \langle proof \rangle
And the corresponding lemma where we know the stamp rather than the
value.
lemma valid-int-stamp-gives:
 assumes valid-value val (IntegerStamp b lo hi)
 obtains ival where val = IntVal b ival \land
      valid-stamp (IntegerStamp\ b\ lo\ hi)\ \land
      take-bit b ival = ival \land
      lo \leq int-signed-value b ival \wedge int-signed-value b ival \leq hi
  \langle proof \rangle
A valid int must have the expected number of bits.
lemma valid-int-same-bits:
 assumes valid-value (IntVal b val) (IntegerStamp bits lo hi)
 shows b = bits
  \langle proof \rangle
A valid value means a valid stamp.
lemma valid-int-valid-stamp:
 assumes valid-value (IntVal b val) (IntegerStamp bits lo hi)
 shows valid-stamp (IntegerStamp bits lo hi)
A valid int means a valid non-empty stamp.
lemma valid-int-not-empty:
 assumes valid-value (IntVal b val) (IntegerStamp bits lo hi)
 shows lo < hi
  \langle proof \rangle
A valid int fits into the given number of bits (and other bits are zero).
lemma valid-int-fits:
 assumes valid-value (IntVal b val) (IntegerStamp bits lo hi)
 shows take-bit bits val = val
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma valid-int-is-zero-masked:
 assumes valid-value (IntVal b val) (IntegerStamp bits lo hi)
 shows and val (not (mask bits)) = 0
  \langle proof \rangle
```

Unsigned into have bounds 0 up to  $2^bits$ .

```
lemma valid-int-unsigned-bounds:
 assumes valid-value (IntVal b val) (IntegerStamp bits lo hi)
 shows uint val < 2 \hat{\phantom{a}} bits
  \langle proof \rangle
Signed into have the usual two-complement bounds.
lemma valid-int-signed-upper-bound:
 assumes valid-value (IntVal b val) (IntegerStamp bits lo hi)
 shows int-signed-value bits val < 2 \hat{\ } (bits - 1)
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma valid-int-signed-lower-bound:
 assumes valid-value (IntVal b val) (IntegerStamp bits lo hi)
 shows -(2 \cap (bits - 1)) \leq int\text{-}signed\text{-}value bits val
  \langle proof \rangle
and bit bounds versions of the above bounds.
lemma valid-int-signed-upper-bit-bound:
 assumes valid-value (IntVal b val) (IntegerStamp bits lo hi)
 shows int-signed-value bits val \leq snd (bit-bounds bits)
{\bf lemma}\ valid\text{-}int\text{-}signed\text{-}lower\text{-}bit\text{-}bound:
 assumes valid-value (IntVal b val) (IntegerStamp bits lo hi)
 shows fst (bit-bounds bits) \leq int-signed-value bits val
\langle proof \rangle
Valid values satisfy their stamp bounds.
lemma valid-int-signed-range:
 assumes valid-value (IntVal b val) (IntegerStamp bits lo hi)
 shows lo \leq int-signed-value bits val \wedge int-signed-value bits val \leq hi
  \langle proof \rangle
```

### 9.4.2 Validity of all Unary Operators

We split the validity proof for unary operators into two lemmas, one for normal unary operators whose output bits equals their input bits, and the other case for the widen and narrow operators.

```
lemma eval-normal-unary-implies-valid-value:

assumes [m,p] \vdash expr \mapsto val

assumes result = unary-eval op val

assumes op: op \in normal-unary

assumes result \neq UndefVal

assumes valid-value val (stamp-expr\ expr)

shows valid-value result\ (stamp-expr\ (UnaryExpr\ op\ expr))

\langle proof \rangle
```

```
lemma narrow-widen-output-bits:
  assumes unary-eval op val \neq UndefVal
 assumes op \notin normal\text{-}unary
 shows 0 < (ir\text{-}resultBits op) \land (ir\text{-}resultBits op) \leq 64
\langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ eval\text{-}widen\text{-}narrow\text{-}unary\text{-}implies\text{-}valid\text{-}value:}
  assumes [m,p] \vdash expr \mapsto val
  \mathbf{assumes}\ \mathit{result} = \mathit{unary\text{-}eval}\ \mathit{op}\ \mathit{val}
 assumes op: op \notin normal\text{-}unary
 assumes result \neq UndefVal
 assumes valid-value val (stamp-expr expr)
 shows valid-value result (stamp-expr (UnaryExpr op expr))
\langle proof \rangle
lemma eval-unary-implies-valid-value:
 assumes [m,p] \vdash expr \mapsto val
 assumes result = unary-eval \ op \ val
 assumes result \neq UndefVal
  assumes valid-value val (stamp-expr expr)
 shows valid-value result (stamp-expr (UnaryExpr op expr))
  \langle proof \rangle
9.4.3 Support Lemmas for Binary Operators
lemma binary-undef: v1 = UndefVal \lor v2 = UndefVal \Longrightarrow bin-eval op v1 v2 =
UndefVal
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma binary-obj: v1 = ObjRef \ x \lor v2 = ObjRef \ y \Longrightarrow bin-eval \ op \ v1 \ v2 =
UndefVal
  \langle proof \rangle
Some lemmas about the three different output sizes for binary operators.
lemma bin-eval-bits-binary-shift-ops:
  assumes result = bin-eval \ op \ (IntVal \ b1 \ v1) \ (IntVal \ b2 \ v2)
  assumes result \neq UndefVal
  assumes op \in binary\text{-}shift\text{-}ops
  shows \exists v. result = new-int b1 v
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma bin-eval-bits-fixed-32-ops:
  assumes result = bin-eval \ op \ (IntVal \ b1 \ v1) \ (IntVal \ b2 \ v2)
  assumes result \neq UndefVal
 assumes op \in binary-fixed-32-ops
 shows \exists v. result = new-int 32 v
  \langle proof \rangle
```

```
lemma bin-eval-bits-normal-ops:
  assumes result = bin-eval \ op \ (IntVal \ b1 \ v1) \ (IntVal \ b2 \ v2)
  assumes result \neq UndefVal
 assumes op \notin binary\text{-}shift\text{-}ops
  assumes op \notin binary-fixed-32-ops
  shows \exists v. result = new-int b1 v
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma bin-eval-input-bits-equal:
  assumes result = bin-eval \ op \ (IntVal \ b1 \ v1) \ (IntVal \ b2 \ v2)
  assumes result \neq UndefVal
  assumes op \notin binary\text{-}shift\text{-}ops
  shows b1 = b2
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma bin-eval-implies-valid-value:
  assumes [m,p] \vdash expr1 \mapsto val1
 assumes [m,p] \vdash expr2 \mapsto val2
 assumes result = bin-eval \ op \ val1 \ val2
 assumes result \neq UndefVal
 assumes valid-value val1 (stamp-expr expr1)
 assumes valid-value val2 (stamp-expr expr2)
  shows valid-value result (stamp-expr (BinaryExpr op expr1 expr2))
\langle proof \rangle
        Validity of Stamp Meet and Join Operators
9.4.4
\mathbf{lemma}\ stamp\text{-}meet\text{-}integer\text{-}is\text{-}valid\text{-}stamp:
  assumes valid-stamp stamp1
 assumes valid-stamp stamp2
 assumes is-IntegerStamp\ stamp\ 1
 assumes is-IntegerStamp stamp2
  shows valid-stamp (meet stamp1 stamp2)
  \langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ stamp\text{-}meet\text{-}is\text{-}valid\text{-}stamp\text{:}
  assumes 1: valid-stamp stamp1
  assumes 2: valid-stamp stamp2
  shows valid-stamp (meet stamp1 stamp2)
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma stamp-meet-commutes: meet <math>stamp1 stamp2 = meet stamp2 stamp1
  \langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ stamp\text{-}meet\text{-}is\text{-}valid\text{-}value1:
  assumes valid-value val stamp1
  assumes valid-stamp stamp2
```

```
assumes stamp1 = IntegerStamp \ b1 \ lo1 \ hi1
 assumes stamp2 = IntegerStamp \ b2 \ lo2 \ hi2
 assumes meet \ stamp1 \ stamp2 \neq IllegalStamp
 shows valid-value val (meet stamp1 stamp2)
\langle proof \rangle
```

and the symmetric lemma follows by the commutativity of meet.

```
\mathbf{lemma}\ stamp\text{-}meet\text{-}is\text{-}valid\text{-}value:
 assumes valid-value val stamp2
 assumes valid-stamp stamp1
 assumes stamp1 = IntegerStamp b1 lo1 hi1
 assumes stamp2 = IntegerStamp \ b2 \ lo2 \ hi2
 assumes meet \ stamp1 \ stamp2 \neq IllegalStamp
 shows valid-value val (meet stamp1 stamp2)
  \langle proof \rangle
```

### 9.4.5 Validity of conditional expressions

```
\mathbf{lemma}\ conditional\text{-}eval\text{-}implies\text{-}valid\text{-}value:}
  assumes [m,p] \vdash cond \mapsto condv
  assumes expr = (if \ val - to - bool \ condv \ then \ expr1 \ else \ expr2)
  \mathbf{assumes}\ [m,p] \vdash expr \mapsto val
  assumes val \neq UndefVal
  assumes valid-value condv (stamp-expr cond)
 assumes valid-value val (stamp-expr expr)
  assumes compatible (stamp-expr expr1) (stamp-expr expr2)
  shows valid-value val (stamp-expr (ConditionalExpr cond expr1 expr2))
\langle proof \rangle
```

### 9.4.6 Validity of Whole Expression Tree Evaluation

TODO: find a way to encode that conditional expressions must have compatible (and valid) stamps? One approach would be for all the stamp expr operators to require that all input stamps are valid.

```
definition wf-stamp :: IRExpr \Rightarrow bool where
  wf-stamp e = (\forall m \ p \ v. ([m, p] \vdash e \mapsto v) \longrightarrow valid-value \ v \ (stamp-expr \ e))
lemma stamp-under-defn:
  \mathbf{assumes}\ stamp\text{-}under\ (stamp\text{-}expr\ x)\ (stamp\text{-}expr\ y)
  assumes wf-stamp x \land wf-stamp y
  assumes ([m, p] \vdash x \mapsto xv) \land ([m, p] \vdash y \mapsto yv)
  shows val-to-bool (bin-eval BinIntegerLessThan xv yv) \lor (bin-eval BinIntegerLessThan xv yv)
gerLessThan \ xv \ yv) = UndefVal
\langle proof \rangle
lemma stamp-under-defn-inverse:
 assumes stamp-under (stamp-expr y) (stamp-expr x)
 assumes wf-stamp x \wedge wf-stamp y
```

```
assumes ([m, p] \vdash x \mapsto xv) \land ([m, p] \vdash y \mapsto yv)

shows \neg (val\text{-}to\text{-}bool\ (bin\text{-}eval\ BinIntegerLessThan\ }xv\ yv)) \lor (bin\text{-}eval\ BinIntegerLessThan\ }xv\ yv) = UndefVal

\langle proof \rangle
```

end

# 10 Optization DSL

### 10.1 Markup

```
theory Markup
 imports Semantics.IRTreeEval Snippets.Snipping
begin
datatype 'a Rewrite =
 Transform 'a 'a (- \longmapsto -10)
 Conditional 'a 'a bool (- \longmapsto - when - 11)
 Sequential 'a Rewrite 'a Rewrite |
 Transitive 'a Rewrite
datatype 'a ExtraNotation =
 ConditionalNotation 'a 'a 'a (- ? - : - 50)
 EqualsNotation 'a 'a (- eq -) |
 ConstantNotation 'a (const - 120) |
 TrueNotation (true)
 FalseNotation (false)
 ExclusiveOr 'a 'a (- \oplus -) \mid
 LogicNegationNotation 'a (!-) |
 ShortCircuitOr 'a 'a (- || -)
```

**definition**  $word :: ('a::len) \ word \Rightarrow 'a \ word \ \mathbf{where}$   $word \ x = x$ 

 $\mathbf{ML\text{-}file} \ \langle markup.ML \rangle$ 

### 10.1.1 Expression Markup

```
 \begin{array}{l} \mathbf{ML} & \\ structure \ IRExprTranslator : DSL-TRANSLATION = \\ struct \\ fun \ markup \ DSL-Tokens.Add = @\{term \ BinaryExpr\} \$ \ @\{term \ BinAdd\} \\ & | \ markup \ DSL-Tokens.Sub = @\{term \ BinaryExpr\} \$ \ @\{term \ BinSub\} \\ & | \ markup \ DSL-Tokens.Mul = @\{term \ BinaryExpr\} \$ \ @\{term \ BinMul\} \\ & | \ markup \ DSL-Tokens.And = @\{term \ BinaryExpr\} \$ \ @\{term \ BinAnd\} \\ & | \ markup \ DSL-Tokens.Or = @\{term \ BinaryExpr\} \$ \ @\{term \ BinOr\} \\ & | \ markup \ DSL-Tokens.Xor = @\{term \ BinaryExpr\} \$ \ @\{term \ BinXor\} \\ & | \ markup \ DSL-Tokens.ShortCircuitOr = \ @\{term \ BinaryExpr\} \$ \ @\{term \ BinAnd\} \\ & | \ markup \ DSL-Tokens.ShortCircuitOr = \ @\{term \ BinaryExpr\} \$ \ @\{term \ BinAnd\} \\ & | \ markup \ DSL-Tokens.ShortCircuitOr = \ @\{term \ BinaryExpr\} \$ \ @\{term \ BinAnd\} \\ & | \ markup \ DSL-Tokens.ShortCircuitOr = \ @\{term \ BinaryExpr\} \$ \ @\{term \ BinAnd\} \\ & | \ markup \ DSL-Tokens.ShortCircuitOr = \ @\{term \ BinaryExpr\} \$ \ @\{term \ BinAnd\} \\ & | \ markup \ DSL-Tokens.ShortCircuitOr = \ @\{term \ BinaryExpr\} \$ \ @\{term \ BinAnd\} \\ & | \ markup \ DSL-Tokens.ShortCircuitOr = \ @\{term \ BinaryExpr\} \$ \ @\{term \ BinAnd\} \\ & | \ markup \ DSL-Tokens.ShortCircuitOr = \ @\{term \ BinaryExpr\} \$ \ @\{term \ BinAnd\} \\ & | \ markup \ DSL-Tokens.ShortCircuitOr = \ @\{term \ BinaryExpr\} \} \\ & | \ markup \ DSL-Tokens.ShortCircuitOr = \ @\{term \ BinaryExpr\} \} \\ & | \ markup \ DSL-Tokens.ShortCircuitOr = \ @\{term \ BinaryExpr\} \} \\ & | \ markup \ DSL-Tokens.ShortCircuitOr = \ @\{term \ BinaryExpr\} \} \\ & | \ markup \ DSL-Tokens.ShortCircuitOr = \ markup \
```

```
| markup\ DSL\text{-}Tokens.Equals = @\{term\ BinaryExpr\} \$ @\{term\ BinIntegerEquals\}
   markup\ DSL\text{-}Tokens.Not = @\{term\ UnaryExpr\} \$ @\{term\ UnaryNot\}
   markup\ DSL\text{-}Tokens.Negate = @\{term\ UnaryExpr\} \$ @\{term\ UnaryNeg\}
   markup\ DSL\text{-}Tokens.LogicNegate = @\{term\ UnaryExpr\} \$ @\{term\ UnaryLog-
icNegation
   markup\ DSL\text{-}Tokens.LeftShift = @\{term\ BinaryExpr\} \$ @\{term\ BinLeftShift\}
 | markup\ DSL-Tokens. RightShift = @\{term\ BinaryExpr\}  $ @\{term\ BinRightShift\} 
  markup\ DSL\text{-}Tokens.\ Unsigned\ RightShift = @\{term\ BinaryExpr\}\ \$\ @\{term\ BinaryExpr\}\ 
URightShift
   markup\ DSL\text{-}Tokens.Conditional = @\{term\ ConditionalExpr\}
   markup\ DSL\text{-}Tokens.Constant = @\{term\ ConstantExpr\}
   markup\ DSL\text{-}Tokens.TrueConstant = @\{term\ ConstantExpr\ (IntVal\ 32\ 1)\}
   markup\ DSL\text{-}Tokens.FalseConstant = @\{term\ ConstantExpr\ (IntVal\ 32\ 0)\}
end
structure\ IRExprMarkup = DSL-Markup(IRExprTranslator);
   ir expression translation
   syntax - expandExpr :: term \Rightarrow term (exp[-])
   parse-translation ( [(
                                 @\{syntax\text{-}const
                                                    -expandExpr
                                                                          IREx-
   prMarkup.markup-expr [])] \rightarrow
   ir expression example
   value exp[(e_1 < e_2) ? e_1 : e_2]
   Conditional Expr (Binary Expr BinInteger Less Than (e_1::IRExpr)
   (e_2::IRExpr)) e_1 e_2
10.1.2
          Value Markup
ML \ \langle
structure\ IntValTranslator: DSL-TRANSLATION =
struct
fun \ markup \ DSL-Tokens.Add = @\{term \ intval-add\}
   markup\ DSL-Tokens.Sub = @\{term\ intval\text{-}sub\}
   markup\ DSL-Tokens.Mul = @\{term\ intval-mul\}
   markup\ DSL\text{-}Tokens.And = @\{term\ intval\text{-}and\}
   markup\ DSL-Tokens.Or = @\{term\ intval\text{-}or\}
   markup\ DSL\text{-}Tokens.ShortCircuitOr = @\{term\ intval\text{-}short\text{-}circuit\text{-}or\}
```

 $markup \ DSL\text{-}Tokens.Xor = @\{term \ intval\text{-}xor\} \\ markup \ DSL\text{-}Tokens.Abs = @\{term \ intval\text{-}abs\} \\ markup \ DSL\text{-}Tokens.Less = @\{term \ intval\text{-}less\text{-}than\} \\ markup \ DSL\text{-}Tokens.Equals = @\{term \ intval\text{-}equals\} \\ markup \ DSL\text{-}Tokens.Not = @\{term \ intval\text{-}not\} \\ \end{cases}$ 

```
markup\ DSL-Tokens.Negate = @\{term\ intval\text{-}negate\}
   markup\ DSL\text{-}Tokens.LogicNegate = @\{term\ intval\text{-}logic\text{-}negation\}
   markup\ DSL\text{-}Tokens.LeftShift = @\{term\ intval\text{-}left\text{-}shift\}
   markup\ DSL\text{-}Tokens.RightShift = @\{term\ intval\text{-}right\text{-}shift\}
   markup\ DSL\text{-}Tokens.UnsignedRightShift = @\{term\ intval\text{-}uright\text{-}shift\}
   markup\ DSL\text{-}Tokens.Conditional = @\{term\ intval\text{-}conditional\}
   markup\ DSL\text{-}Tokens.Constant = @\{term\ IntVal\ 32\}
   markup\ DSL-Tokens. TrueConstant = \emptyset \{term\ IntVal\ 32\ 1\}
   markup\ DSL-Tokens.FalseConstant = @\{term\ IntVal\ 32\ 0\}
end
structure\ IntValMarkup = DSL-Markup(IntValTranslator);
    value expression translation
    syntax - expandIntVal :: term \Rightarrow term (val[-])
    \textbf{parse-translation} \quad \leftarrow \ [( @\{syntax\text{-}const - expandIntVal\} \\
    Markup.markup-expr [])] \rightarrow
    value expression example
    value val[(e_1 < e_2) ? e_1 : e_2]
    intval-conditional (intval-less-than (e_1:: Value) (e_2:: Value)) e_1 e_2
10.1.3
           Word Markup
structure\ WordTranslator: DSL-TRANSLATION =
struct
fun \ markup \ DSL-Tokens.Add = @\{term \ plus\}
   markup\ DSL-Tokens.Sub = @\{term\ minus\}
   markup\ DSL\text{-}Tokens.Mul = @\{term\ times\}
 \mid markup\ DSL\text{-}Tokens.And = @\{term\ Bit\text{-}Operations.semiring-bit\text{-}operations-class.and}\}
   markup\ DSL\text{-}Tokens.Or = @\{term\ or\}
   markup\ DSL\text{-}Tokens.Xor = @\{term\ xor\}
   markup\ DSL\text{-}Tokens.Abs = @\{term\ abs\}
   markup\ DSL\text{-}Tokens.Less = @\{term\ less\}
   markup\ DSL\text{-}Tokens.Equals = @\{term\ HOL.eq\}
   markup\ DSL\text{-}Tokens.Not = @\{term\ not\}
   markup\ DSL\text{-}Tokens.Negate = @\{term\ uminus\}
   markup\ DSL\text{-}Tokens.LogicNegate = @\{term\ logic\text{-}negate\}
   markup\ DSL-Tokens.LeftShift = @\{term\ shiftl\}
```

 $markup\ DSL\text{-}Tokens.RightShift = @\{term\ signed\text{-}shiftr\} \\ markup\ DSL\text{-}Tokens.UnsignedRightShift = @\{term\ shiftr\} \\$ 

 $markup\ DSL\text{-}Tokens.Constant = @\{term\ word\}$   $markup\ DSL\text{-}Tokens.TrueConstant = @\{term\ 1\}$  $markup\ DSL\text{-}Tokens.FalseConstant = @\{term\ 0\}$ 

end

```
structure\ WordMarkup = DSL-Markup(WordTranslator);
    word expression translation
    syntax - expandWord :: term \Rightarrow term (bin[-])
    \textbf{parse-translation} \quad \leftarrow \quad [( \quad @\{syntax\text{-}const
                                                         -expand Word}
                                                                                Word-
    Markup.markup-expr [])] \rightarrow
    word expression example
    value bin[x \& y \mid z]
    intval-conditional (intval-less-than (e_1:: Value) (e_2:: Value)) e_1 e_2
value bin[-x]
value val[-x]
value exp[-x]
value bin[!x]
value val[!x]
value exp[!x]
value bin[\neg x]
value val[\neg x]
value exp[\neg x]
value bin[^{\sim}x]
value val[^{\sim}x]
value exp[^{\sim}x]
value ^{\sim}x
\quad \mathbf{end} \quad
10.2
         Optimization Phases
theory Phase
 imports Main
begin
ML-file map.ML
ML-file phase.ML
end
         Canonicalization DSL
10.3
theory Canonicalization
```

imports

```
Markup
   Phase
   HOL-Eisbach.Eisbach
 keywords
   phase :: thy-decl and
   terminating:: quasi-command and
   print-phases :: diag and
   export-phases :: thy-decl and
   optimization::thy-goal-defn
begin
print-methods
\mathbf{ML} \langle
datatype \ 'a \ Rewrite =
  Transform of 'a * 'a \mid
  Conditional of 'a * 'a * term
  Sequential of 'a Rewrite * 'a Rewrite |
  Transitive of 'a Rewrite
type\ rewrite = \{
 name: binding,
 rewrite: term Rewrite,
 proofs: thm list,
 code: thm list,
 source: term
structure\ RewriteRule: Rule =
struct
type T = rewrite;
fun\ pretty-rewrite\ ctxt\ (Transform\ (from,\ to)) =
     Pretty.block [
       Syntax.pretty-term ctxt from,
       Pretty.str \mapsto,
       Syntax.pretty-term ctxt to
 | pretty-rewrite ctxt (Conditional (from, to, cond)) =
     Pretty.block [
       Syntax.pretty-term ctxt from,
       Pretty.str \mapsto,
       Syntax.pretty\text{-}term\ ctxt\ to,
       Pretty.str when,
       Syntax.pretty-term\ ctxt\ cond
 | pretty-rewrite - - = Pretty.str not implemented*)
```

```
fun pretty-thm ctxt thm =
 (Proof-Context.pretty-fact ctxt (, [thm]))
fun\ pretty\ ctxt\ obligations\ t=
   val is-skipped = Thm-Deps.has-skip-proof (\#proofs t);
   val \ warning = (if \ is - skipped)
     then [Pretty.str (proof skipped), Pretty.brk 0]
     else []);
   val\ obligations = (if\ obligations
     then [Pretty.big-list
            obligations:
            (map\ (pretty-thm\ ctxt)\ (\#proofs\ t)),
          Pretty.brk \ \theta
     else []);
   fun pretty-bind binding =
     Pretty.markup
      (Position.markup (Binding.pos-of binding) Markup.position)
      [Pretty.str\ (Binding.name-of\ binding)];
 in
 Pretty.block ([
   pretty-bind (#name t), Pretty.str:,
   Syntax.pretty-term ctxt (#source t), Pretty.fbrk
 @ obligations @ warning)
 end
end
structure\ RewritePhase = DSL-Phase(RewriteRule);
val - =
 Outer-Syntax.command command-keyword (phase) enter an optimization phase
  (Parse.binding -- | Parse.$$$ terminating -- Parse.const -- | Parse.begin
    >> (Toplevel.begin-main-target true o RewritePhase.setup));
fun\ print-phases\ print-obligations\ ctxt =
   val thy = Proof\text{-}Context.theory\text{-}of ctxt;
   fun\ print\ phase = RewritePhase.pretty\ print-obligations\ phase\ ctxt
   map print (RewritePhase.phases thy)
fun print-optimizations print-obligations thy =
 print-phases print-obligations thy |> Pretty.writeln-chunks
```

```
Outer-Syntax. \ command \ \textbf{\textit{command-keyword}} \ \langle \textit{print-phases} \rangle
   print debug information for optimizations
   (Parse.opt-bang >>
     (fn \ b = > Toplevel.keep ((print-optimizations \ b) \ o \ Toplevel.context-of)));
fun \ export\text{-}phases \ thy \ name =
  let
   val state = Toplevel.theory-toplevel thy;
   val\ ctxt = Toplevel.context-of\ state;
   val\ content = Pretty.string-of\ (Pretty.chunks\ (print-phases\ false\ ctxt));
   val\ cleaned = YXML.content-of\ content;
   val\ filename = Path.explode\ (name \hat{\ }.rules);
   val directory = Path.explode optimizations;
   val path = Path.binding (
              Path.append directory filename,
              Position.none);
   val\ thy' = thy \mid > Generated-Files.add-files (path, (Bytes.string content));
   val - = Export.export thy' path [YXML.parse cleaned];
   val - = writeln (Export.message thy' (Path.basic optimizations));
  in
   thy'
  end
val - =
  Outer	ext{-}Syntax.command \ command	ext{-}keyword \ \langle export	ext{-}phases 
angle
   export information about encoded optimizations
   (Parse.path >>
     (fn \ name => Toplevel.theory (fn \ state => export-phases \ state \ name)))
ML-file rewrites.ML
10.3.1 Semantic Preservation Obligation
fun rewrite-preservation :: IRExpr Rewrite <math>\Rightarrow bool where
  rewrite-preservation (Transform x y) = (y \le x)
 rewrite-preservation (Conditional x y cond) = (cond \longrightarrow (y \le x))
 rewrite-preservation (Sequential xy) = (rewrite-preservation x \land rewrite-preservation
```

# 10.3.2 Termination Obligation

 $y) \mid$ 

```
fun rewrite-termination :: IRExpr Rewrite \Rightarrow (IRExpr \Rightarrow nat) \Rightarrow bool where rewrite-termination (Transform x y) trm = (trm \ x > trm \ y)
```

rewrite-preservation (Transitive x) = rewrite-preservation x

```
rewrite-termination (Conditional x y cond) trm = (cond \longrightarrow (trm \ x > trm \ y)) \mid
rewrite-termination (Sequential x y) trm = (rewrite-termination <math>x trm \land rewrite-termination
y trm) \mid
rewrite-termination (Transitive x) trm = rewrite-termination <math>x trm

fun intval :: Value Rewrite \Rightarrow bool where
intval (Transform x y) = (x \neq UndefVal \land y \neq UndefVal \longrightarrow x = y) \mid
intval (Conditional x y cond) = (cond \longrightarrow (x = y)) \mid
intval (Sequential x y) = (intval \ x \land intval \ y) \mid
intval (Transitive x) = intval \ x

10.3.3 Standard Termination Measure
```

```
fun size :: IRExpr \Rightarrow nat where
 unary-size:
 size (UnaryExpr op x) = (size x) + 2
 bin-const-size:
 size (BinaryExpr \ op \ x \ (ConstantExpr \ cy)) = (size \ x) + 2
 bin-size:
 size (BinaryExpr op x y) = (size x) + (size y) + 2
 cond-size:
 size\ (ConditionalExpr\ c\ t\ f) = (size\ c) + (size\ t) + (size\ f) + 2
 const-size:
 size (ConstantExpr c) = 1
 param-size:
 size (ParameterExpr ind s) = 2
 leaf-size:
 size (LeafExpr \ nid \ s) = 2 \mid
 size (Constant Var c) = 2
 size (VariableExpr x s) = 2
```

### 10.3.4 Automated Tactics

named-theorems size-simps size simplication rules

```
method unfold-optimization =
  (unfold rewrite-preservation.simps, unfold rewrite-termination.simps,
    unfold intval.simps,
    rule conjE, simp, simp del: le-expr-def, force?)
  | (unfold rewrite-preservation.simps, unfold rewrite-termination.simps,
    rule conjE, simp, simp del: le-expr-def, force?)

method unfold-size =
  (((unfold size.simps, simp add: size-simps del: le-expr-def)?
  ; (simp add: size-simps)?
  ; (unfold size.simps)?)[1])
```

#### print-methods

end

```
ML (
structure System : RewriteSystem =
struct
val preservation = @{const rewrite-preservation};
val termination = @{const rewrite-termination};
val intval = @{const intval};
end

structure DSL = DSL-Rewrites(System);

val - =
Outer-Syntax.local-theory-to-proof command-keyword (optimization)
define an optimization and open proof obligation
(Parse-Spec.thm-name : -- Parse.term
>> DSL.rewrite-cmd);
}
```

## 11 Canonicalization Optimizations

```
theory Common
 imports
    Optimization DSL. \ Canonicalization
    Semantics.IRTreeEvalThms
begin
lemma size-pos[size-simps]: 0 < size y
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma size-non-add[size-simps]: size (BinaryExpr op a b) = size a + size b + 2
\longleftrightarrow \neg (is\text{-}ConstantExpr\ b)
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma size-non-const[size-simps]:
  \neg is\text{-}ConstantExpr y \Longrightarrow 1 < size y
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma size-binary-const[size-simps]:
  size\ (BinaryExpr\ op\ a\ b) = size\ a + 2 \longleftrightarrow (is-ConstantExpr\ b)
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma size-flip-binary[size-simps]:
   \neg (is\text{-}ConstantExpr\ y) \longrightarrow size\ (BinaryExpr\ op\ (ConstantExpr\ x)\ y) > size
(BinaryExpr\ op\ y\ (ConstantExpr\ x))
  \langle proof \rangle
```

```
lemma size-binary-lhs-a[size-simps]:
  size (BinaryExpr \ op \ (BinaryExpr \ op' \ a \ b) \ c) > size \ a
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma size-binary-lhs-b[size-simps]:
  size\ (BinaryExpr\ op\ (BinaryExpr\ op'\ a\ b)\ c) > size\ b
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma size-binary-lhs-c[size-simps]:
  size (BinaryExpr op (BinaryExpr op' a b) c) > size c
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma \ size-binary-rhs-a[size-simps]:
  size (BinaryExpr \ op \ c \ (BinaryExpr \ op' \ a \ b)) > size \ a
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma \ size-binary-rhs-b[size-simps]:
  size (BinaryExpr \ op \ c \ (BinaryExpr \ op' \ a \ b)) > size \ b
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma size-binary-rhs-c[size-simps]:
  size (BinaryExpr \ op \ c \ (BinaryExpr \ op' \ a \ b)) > size \ c
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma size-binary-lhs[size-simps]:
  size (BinaryExpr op x y) > size x
  \langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ size\text{-}binary\text{-}rhs[size\text{-}simps]:
  size (BinaryExpr op x y) > size y
  \langle proof \rangle
\textbf{lemmas} \ arith[size-simps] = Suc-leI \ add-strict-increasing \ order-less-trans \ trans-less-add2
definition well-formed-equal :: Value \Rightarrow Value \Rightarrow bool
  (infix \approx 50) where
  well-formed-equal v_1 v_2 = (v_1 \neq UndefVal \longrightarrow v_1 = v_2)
lemma well-formed-equal-defn [simp]:
  well-formed-equal v_1 v_2 = (v_1 \neq UndefVal \longrightarrow v_1 = v_2)
  \langle proof \rangle
end
```

### 11.1 AbsNode Phase

theory AbsPhase imports

```
phase AbsNode
  terminating size
begin
\mathbf{lemma}\ abs\text{-}pos\text{:}
  fixes v :: ('a :: len word)
  assumes 0 \le s v
  shows (if v < s \ \theta \ then - v \ else \ v) = v
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma abs-neg:
  fixes v :: ('a :: len word)
  assumes v < s \theta
  assumes -(2 \ \widehat{} \ (Nat.size \ v - 1)) < s \ v
  shows (if v < s \ \theta then -v else v) = -v \land \theta < s - v
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma abs-max-neg:
  fixes v :: ('a :: len word)
  assumes v < s \theta
  \mathbf{assumes} - (2 \ \widehat{} \ (Nat.size \ v - 1)) = v
  shows -v = v
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma final-abs:
  fixes v :: ('a :: len word)
  assumes take-bit (Nat.size v) v = v
  assumes -(2 \cap (Nat.size\ v-1)) \neq v
 shows 0 \le s (if v < s 0 then -v else v)
\langle proof \rangle
lemma wf-abs: is-IntVal x \Longrightarrow intval-abs x \ne UndefVal
  \langle proof \rangle
fun bin-abs :: 'a :: len word \Rightarrow 'a :: len word where
  bin-abs\ v = (if\ (v < s\ 0)\ then\ (-\ v)\ else\ v)
```

Common

lemma val-abs-zero:

begin

```
intval-abs (new-int b \ \theta) = new-int b \ \theta
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma less-eq-zero:
  assumes val-to-bool (val[(IntVal\ b\ \theta) < (IntVal\ b\ v)])
  shows int-signed-value b \ v > 0
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma val-abs-pos:
  assumes val-to-bool(val[(new\text{-}int\ b\ 0) < (new\text{-}int\ b\ v)])
 shows intval-abs (new-int b v) = (new-int b v)
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma val-abs-neg:
  assumes val-to-bool(val[(new\text{-}int\ b\ v) < (new\text{-}int\ b\ \theta)])
  shows intval-abs (new-int \ b \ v) = intval-negate (new-int \ b \ v)
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma val-bool-unwrap:
  val-to-bool (bool-to-val v) = v
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma take-bit-unwrap:
  b = 64 \Longrightarrow take-bit\ b\ (v1::64\ word) = v1
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma bit-less-eq-def:
  fixes v1 v2 :: 64 word
 assumes b \le 64
 shows sint (signed-take-bit (b - Suc (0::nat)) (take-bit b v1))
    < sint (signed-take-bit (b - Suc (0::nat)) (take-bit b v2)) \longleftrightarrow
    signed-take-bit (63::nat) (Word.rep v1) < signed-take-bit (63::nat) (Word.rep
v2)
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma less-eq-def:
  shows val-to-bool(val[(new\text{-}int\ b\ v1) < (new\text{-}int\ b\ v2)]) \longleftrightarrow v1 < s\ v2
  \langle proof \rangle
{f lemma}\ val-abs-always-pos:
  assumes intval-abs (new-int b v) = (new-int b v')
  shows 0 \le s v'
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma intval-abs-elims:
 assumes intval-abs x \neq UndefVal
 shows \exists t \ v \ . \ x = IntVal \ t \ v \land intval-abs \ x = new-int \ t \ (if int-signed-value \ t \ v <
```

```
0 then - v else v
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma wf-abs-new-int:
 assumes intval-abs (IntVal\ t\ v) \neq UndefVal
 shows intval-abs (IntVal\ t\ v) = new-int\ t\ v\ \lor\ intval-abs\ (IntVal\ t\ v) = new-int\ t
(-v)
  \langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{mono-undef-abs} :
  assumes intval-abs (intval-abs x) \neq UndefVal
 shows intval-abs x \neq UndefVal
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma val-abs-idem:
  assumes intval-abs(intval-abs(x)) \neq UndefVal
 shows intval-abs(intval-abs(x)) = intval-abs(x)
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma val-abs-negate:
  assumes intval-abs (intval-negate x) \neq UndefVal
 shows intval-abs (intval-negate x) = intval-abs x
  \langle proof \rangle
Optimisations
optimization AbsIdempotence: abs(abs(x)) \longmapsto abs(x)
   \langle proof \rangle
optimization AbsNegate: (abs(-x)) \longmapsto abs(x)
    \langle proof \rangle
end
end
         AddNode Phase
11.2
theory AddPhase
 imports
    Common
begin
phase AddNode
 terminating size
begin
lemma binadd-commute:
 \mathbf{assumes}\ \mathit{bin\text{-}eval}\ \mathit{BinAdd}\ \mathit{x}\ \mathit{y}\ \neq\ \mathit{UndefVal}
```

```
shows bin-eval\ BinAdd\ x\ y = bin-eval\ BinAdd\ y\ x
  \langle proof \rangle
optimization AddShiftConstantRight: ((const v) + y) \mapsto y + (const v) when
\neg (is\text{-}ConstantExpr\ y)
  \langle proof \rangle
optimization AddShiftConstantRight2: ((const\ v)\ +\ y) \longmapsto y + (const\ v) when
\neg (is\text{-}ConstantExpr\ y)
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma is-neutral-0 [simp]:
  assumes 1: intval-add (IntVal\ b\ x)\ (IntVal\ b\ 0) \neq UndefVal
  shows intval-add (IntVal b x) (IntVal b 0) = (new-int b x)
  \langle proof \rangle
optimization AddNeutral: (e + (const (IntVal 32 0))) \mapsto e
  \langle proof \rangle
ML-val \langle @\{term \langle x = y \rangle \} \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{NeutralLeftSubVal} :
  assumes e1 = new\text{-}int \ b \ ival
  shows val[(e1 - e2) + e2] \approx e1
  \langle proof \rangle
optimization RedundantSubAdd: ((e_1 - e_2) + e_2) \longmapsto e_1
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma allE2: (\forall x \ y. \ P \ x \ y) \Longrightarrow (P \ a \ b \Longrightarrow R) \Longrightarrow R
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma just-goal2:
  assumes 1: (\forall a \ b. \ (intval-add \ (intval-sub \ a \ b) \ b \neq UndefVal \ \land \ a \neq UndefVal
    intval-add (intval-sub a b) b = a))
  shows (BinaryExpr\ BinAdd\ (BinaryExpr\ BinSub\ e_1\ e_2)\ e_2) \geq e_1
  \langle proof \rangle
```

```
optimization RedundantSubAdd2: e_2 + (e_1 - e_2) \longmapsto e_1
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma AddToSubHelperLowLevel:
  shows intval-add (intval-negate e) y = intval-sub y \in (is ?x = ?y)
  \langle proof \rangle
print-phases
\mathbf{lemma}\ val\text{-}redundant\text{-}add\text{-}sub:
  \mathbf{assumes}\ a = \mathit{new-int}\ \mathit{bb}\ \mathit{ival}
  assumes val[b + a] \neq UndefVal
  shows val[(b+a)-b]=a
  \langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ val\text{-}add\text{-}right\text{-}negate\text{-}to\text{-}sub:
  assumes val[x + e] \neq UndefVal
  shows val[x + (-e)] = val[x - e]
  \langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ exp-add\text{-}left\text{-}negate\text{-}to\text{-}sub\text{:}
  \exp[-e \,+\, y] \,\geq\, \exp[y\,-\,e]
  \langle proof \rangle
Optimisations
optimization RedundantAddSub: (b + a) - b \mapsto a
   \langle proof \rangle
optimization AddRightNegateToSub: x + -e \longmapsto x - e
optimization AddLeftNegateToSub: -e + y \longmapsto y - e
  \langle proof \rangle
```

 $\mathbf{end}$ 

 $\quad \text{end} \quad$ 

### 11.3 AndNode Phase

theory AndPhase

```
imports
     Common
     Proofs. Stamp Eval Thms
begin
{f context}\ stamp{-}mask
begin
lemma AndRightFallthrough: (((and (not (\downarrow x)) (\uparrow y)) = 0)) \longrightarrow exp[x \& y] \ge
exp[y]
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma AndLeftFallthrough: (((and (not (\downarrow y)) (\uparrow x)) = 0)) \longrightarrow exp[x \& y] \ge
exp[x]
  \langle proof \rangle
\quad \text{end} \quad
\mathbf{phase}\ \mathit{AndNode}
  terminating size
begin
lemma bin-and-nots:
 (^{\sim}x \& ^{\sim}y) = (^{\sim}(x \mid y))
  \langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{bin-and-neutral} :
 (x \& ^{\sim}False) = x
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma val-and-equal:
  assumes x = new\text{-}int \ b \ v
              val[x \ \& \ x] \neq \textit{UndefVal}
  and
  \mathbf{shows} \quad val[x \ \& \ x] = x
    \langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{val-and-nots} :
  val[^{\sim}x \& ^{\sim}y] = val[^{\sim}(x \mid y)]
```

```
\langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{val-and-neutral} :
  assumes x = new\text{-}int \ b \ v
             val[x \& ^{\sim}(new\text{-}int \ b' \ 0)] \neq UndefVal
  shows val[x \& (new\text{-}int \ b' \ 0)] = x
   \langle proof \rangle
lemma val-and-zero:
  assumes x = new\text{-}int \ b \ v
  shows val[x \& (IntVal \ b \ \theta)] = IntVal \ b \ \theta
   \langle proof \rangle
lemma exp-and-equal:
  exp[x \& x] \ge exp[x]
   \langle proof \rangle
{f lemma} exp-and-nots:
  exp[^{\sim}x \& ^{\sim}y] \ge exp[^{\sim}(x \mid y)]
   \langle proof \rangle
lemma exp-sign-extend:
  assumes e = (1 << In) - 1
  {f shows} BinaryExpr BinAnd (UnaryExpr (UnarySignExtend In Out) x)
                               (ConstantExpr(new-int b e))
                              \geq (UnaryExpr (UnaryZeroExtend In Out) x)
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma \ val-and-commute[simp]:
   val[x \& y] = val[y \& x]
   \langle proof \rangle
Optimisations
optimization And Equal: x \& x \longmapsto x
  \langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{optimization}\ \mathit{AndShiftConstantRight}\colon ((\mathit{const}\ x)\ \&\ y) \longmapsto y\ \&\ (\mathit{const}\ x)
                                             when \neg (is\text{-}ConstantExpr\ y)
  \langle proof \rangle
```

```
optimization And Nots: (^{\sim}x) \& (^{\sim}y) \longmapsto ^{\sim}(x \mid y)
   \langle proof \rangle
{\bf optimization}\ And Sign Extend:\ Binary Expr\ Bin And\ (\ Unary Expr\ (\ Unary Sign Extend))
In Out)(x)
                                               (const\ (new\text{-}int\ b\ e))
                              \longmapsto (\mathit{UnaryExpr}\ (\mathit{UnaryZeroExtend}\ \mathit{In}\ \mathit{Out})\ (x))
                                  when (e = (1 << In) - 1)
   \langle proof \rangle
optimization And Neutral: (x \& {}^{\sim}(const (Int Val \ b \ \theta))) \longmapsto x
   when (wf\text{-}stamp\ x \land stamp\text{-}expr\ x = IntegerStamp\ b\ lo\ hi)
   \langle proof \rangle
optimization AndRightFallThrough: (x \& y) \longmapsto y
                             when (((and (not (IRExpr-down x)) (IRExpr-up y)) = 0))
  \langle proof \rangle
optimization AndLeftFallThrough: (x \& y) \longmapsto x
                             when (((and (not (IRExpr-down y)) (IRExpr-up x)) = 0))
   \langle proof \rangle
end
end
         BinaryNode Phase
theory BinaryNode
 imports
    Common
begin
phase BinaryNode
  terminating size
begin
optimization BinaryFoldConstant: BinaryExpr op (const v1) (const v2) \longmapsto Con-
stantExpr (bin-eval op v1 v2)
  \langle proof \rangle
print-facts
end
```

### 11.5 ConditionalNode Phase

```
theory ConditionalPhase
 imports
    Common
    Proofs. Stamp Eval Thms
begin
phase ConditionalNode
  terminating size
begin
lemma negates: \exists v \ b. \ e = IntVal \ b \ v \land b > 0 \implies val-to-bool \ (val[e]) \longleftrightarrow
\neg(val\text{-}to\text{-}bool\ (val[!e]))
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma negation-condition-intval:
 assumes e = IntVal b ie
 assumes \theta < b
 shows val[(!e) ? x : y] = val[e ? y : x]
  \langle proof \rangle
{\bf lemma}\ negation\text{-}preserve\text{-}eval\text{:}
  assumes [m, p] \vdash exp[!e] \mapsto v
  shows \exists v'. ([m, p] \vdash exp[e] \mapsto v') \land v = val[!v']
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma negation-preserve-eval-intval:
  \mathbf{assumes}\ [m,\ p] \vdash \mathit{exp}[!e] \mapsto \mathit{v}
 shows \exists v' \ b \ vv. \ ([m, p] \vdash exp[e] \mapsto v') \land v' = IntVal \ b \ vv \land b > 0
  \langle proof \rangle
optimization NegateConditionFlipBranches: ((!e) ? x : y) \mapsto (e ? y : x)
  \langle proof \rangle
optimization DefaultTrueBranch: (true ? x : y) \mapsto x \langle proof \rangle
optimization DefaultFalseBranch: (false ? x : y) \longmapsto y \langle proof \rangle
optimization ConditionalEqualBranches: (e ? x : x) \longmapsto x \langle proof \rangle
optimization condition-bounds-x: ((u < v) ? x : y) \mapsto x
    when (stamp-under\ (stamp-expr\ u)\ (stamp-expr\ v)\ \land\ wf-stamp\ u\ \land\ wf-stamp\ v)
  \langle proof \rangle
optimization condition-bounds-y: ((u < v) ? x : y) \mapsto y
    when (stamp-under\ (stamp-expr\ v)\ (stamp-expr\ u) \land wf-stamp\ u \land wf-stamp\ v)
```

```
\langle proof \rangle
```

```
{f lemma}\ val	ext{-}optimise	ext{-}integer	ext{-}test:
  assumes \exists v. \ x = IntVal \ 32 \ v
 shows val[((x \& (Int Val 32 1)) eq (Int Val 32 0)) ? (Int Val 32 0) : (Int Val 32 1)]
         val[x \& IntVal 32 1]
  \langle proof \rangle
optimization ConditionalEliminateKnownLess: ((x < y) ? x : y) \mapsto x
                                 when (stamp-under\ (stamp-expr\ x)\ (stamp-expr\ y)
                                      \land wf-stamp x \land wf-stamp y)
    \langle proof \rangle
optimization Conditional Equal IsRHS: ((x \ eq \ y) \ ? \ x : y) \longmapsto y
  \langle proof \rangle
optimization normalizeX: ((x \ eq \ const \ (IntVal \ 32 \ 0)) \ ?
                                (const\ (IntVal\ 32\ 0)): (const\ (IntVal\ 32\ 1))) \longmapsto x
                              when (IRExpr-up \ x = 1) \land stamp-expr \ x = IntegerStamp
b 0 1
  \langle proof \rangle
optimization normalizeX2: ((x eq (const (IntVal 32 1))) ?
                                 (const\ (IntVal\ 32\ 1)): (const\ (IntVal\ 32\ 0))) \longmapsto x
                           when (x = ConstantExpr (Int Val 32 0) | (x = ConstantExpr
(IntVal \ 32 \ 1))) \ \langle proof \rangle
optimization flip X: ((x \ eq \ (const \ (Int Val \ 32 \ 0))) \ ?
                           (const\ (IntVal\ 32\ 1)): (const\ (IntVal\ 32\ 0))) \longmapsto
                           x \oplus (const (IntVal 32 1))
                          when (x = ConstantExpr (IntVal 32 0) | (x = ConstantExpr
(IntVal \ 32 \ 1))) \ \langle proof \rangle
optimization flipX2: ((x eq (const (IntVal 32 1))) ?
                           (const\ (IntVal\ 32\ 0)): (const\ (IntVal\ 32\ 1))) \longmapsto
                           x \oplus (const (IntVal 32 1))
                          when (x = ConstantExpr (IntVal 32 0) | (x = ConstantExpr
(Int Val \ 32 \ 1))) \langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ stamp\text{-}of\text{-}default:
```

```
assumes stamp-expr \ x = default-stamp
     assumes wf-stamp x
     shows ([m, p] \vdash x \mapsto v) \longrightarrow (\exists vv. \ v = Int Val \ 32 \ vv)
optimization OptimiseIntegerTest:
            (((x \& (const (IntVal 32 1))) eq (const (IntVal 32 0))) ?
              (const\ (IntVal\ 32\ 0)): (const\ (IntVal\ 32\ 1))) \longmapsto
                x \& (const (IntVal 32 1))
                 when (stamp-expr \ x = default-stamp \land wf-stamp \ x)
     \langle proof \rangle
optimization opt-optimise-integer-test-2:
            (((x \& (const (IntVal 32 1))) eq (const (IntVal 32 0))) ?
                                            (const\ (IntVal\ 32\ 0)): (const\ (IntVal\ 32\ 1))) \longmapsto
                                        when (x = ConstantExpr (IntVal 32 0) | (x = ConstantExpr (IntVal 32 0)) | (x = ConstantExpr (IntVal 32 0) | (x = ConstantExpr (IntVal 32 0)) | (x = Consta
32 1))) ⟨proof⟩
end
end
                      MulNode Phase
theory MulPhase
    imports
          Common
          Proofs.StampEvalThms
begin
fun mul-size :: IRExpr \Rightarrow nat where
     mul-size (UnaryExpr\ op\ e) = (mul-size e) + 2
     mul\text{-}size\ (BinaryExpr\ BinMul\ x\ y) = ((mul\text{-}size\ x) + (mul\text{-}size\ y) + 2) * 2
     mul\text{-}size\ (BinaryExpr\ op\ x\ y) = (mul\text{-}size\ x) + (mul\text{-}size\ y) + 2
    mul-size (ConditionalExpr cond tf) = (mul-size cond) + (mul-size t) + (mul-size
f) + 2 |
     mul-size (ConstantExpr\ c) = 1
     mul-size (ParameterExpr\ ind\ s) = 2 |
     mul-size (LeafExpr\ nid\ s) = 2 |
     mul-size (ConstantVar\ c) = 2 |
```

mul-size (VariableExpr x s) = 2

```
{f phase} MulNode
  terminating mul-size
begin
{\bf lemma}\ bin-eliminate-redundant-negative:
  uminus\ (x:: 'a::len\ word)*uminus\ (y:: 'a::len\ word) = x*y
  \langle proof \rangle
{\bf lemma}\ bin\text{-}multiply\text{-}identity\text{:}
 (x :: 'a :: len word) * 1 = x
  \langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{bin-multiply-eliminate} :
 (x :: 'a :: len word) * \theta = \theta
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma bin-multiply-negative:
 (x :: 'a :: len word) * uminus 1 = uminus x
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma bin-multiply-power-2:
 (x:: 'a::len \ word) * (2\hat{j}) = x << j
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma take-bit64[simp]:
  fixes w :: int64
  \mathbf{shows} \ take\text{-}bit \ 64 \ w = w
\langle proof \rangle
lemma mergeTakeBit:
  fixes a :: nat
  \mathbf{fixes}\ b\ c:: \textit{64 word}
  shows take-bit a (take-bit a (b) * take-bit a (c)) =
          take-bit \ a \ (b * c)
 \langle proof \rangle
{\bf lemma}\ val\text{-}eliminate\text{-}redundant\text{-}negative\text{:}
  \mathbf{assumes}\ val[-x*-y] \neq \mathit{UndefVal}
  shows val[-x * -y] = val[x * y]
  \langle proof \rangle
```

 ${f lemma}\ val ext{-}multiply ext{-}neutral:$ 

```
assumes x = new\text{-}int \ b \ v
 \mathbf{shows} \ val[x * (IntVal \ b \ 1)] = val[x]
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma val-multiply-zero:
  assumes x = new\text{-}int \ b \ v
  shows val[x * (IntVal \ b \ \theta)] = IntVal \ b \ \theta
  \langle proof \rangle
{f lemma}\ val	ext{-}multiply	ext{-}negative:
  assumes x = new\text{-}int \ b \ v
  shows val[x * intval-negate (IntVal b 1)] = intval-negate x
  \langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{val-MulPower2} :
  fixes i :: 64 word
 assumes y = IntVal 64 (2 \cap unat(i))
            0 < i
 and
  and
            i < 64
  and
            val[x * y] \neq UndefVal
  \mathbf{shows} \quad val[x*y] = val[x << IntVal~64~i]
  \langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{val-MulPower2Add1}:
  fixes i :: 64 word
  assumes y = IntVal 64 ((2 \cap unat(i)) + 1)
 and
            0 < i
            i < 64
 and
            val-to-bool(val[IntVal\ 64\ 0 < x])
  and
  and
            val-to-bool(val[IntVal\ 64\ 0 < y])
 shows val[x * y] = val[(x \ll IntVal 64 i) + x]
  \langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{val-MulPower2Sub1} :
  fixes i :: 64 \ word
  assumes y = IntVal 64 ((2 \cap unat(i)) - 1)
 and
            \theta < i
 and
            i < 64
  and
            val-to-bool(val[IntVal\ 64\ 0 < x])
            val-to-bool(val[IntVal\ 64\ 0< y])
  and
  shows val[x * y] = val[(x << IntVal 64 i) - x]
  \langle proof \rangle
```

 ${\bf lemma}\ val\text{-} distribute\text{-}multiplication:$ 

```
assumes x = new\text{-}int \ 64 \ xx \land q = new\text{-}int \ 64 \ qq \land a = new\text{-}int \ 64 \ aa
 shows val[x * (q + a)] = val[(x * q) + (x * a)]
  \langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ val\text{-} MulPower2AddPower2:
 fixes i j :: 64 word
 assumes y = IntVal\ 64\ ((2 \cap unat(i)) + (2 \cap unat(j)))
 and
          0 < i
           0 < j
 and
 and
          i < 64
 and
          j < 64
          x = new-int 64 xx
 and
 shows val[x * y] = val[(x << IntVal 64 i) + (x << IntVal 64 j)]
  \langle proof \rangle
thm-oracles val-MulPower2AddPower2
lemma exp-multiply-zero-64:
exp[x * (const (IntVal 64 0))] \ge ConstantExpr (IntVal 64 0)
 \langle proof \rangle
{f lemma}\ exp	ext{-}multiply	ext{-}neutral:
exp[x * (const (IntVal \ b \ 1))] \ge x
 \langle proof \rangle
thm-oracles exp-multiply-neutral
\mathbf{lemma}\ exp\text{-}MulPower2:
 fixes i :: 64 \ word
 assumes y = ConstantExpr (IntVal 64 (2 ^unat(i)))
 and
           0 < i
 and
           i < 64
           exp[x > (const\ IntVal\ b\ \theta)]
 and
           exp[y > (const\ IntVal\ b\ \theta)]
 shows exp[x * y] \ge exp[x << ConstantExpr (IntVal 64 i)]
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma exp-MulPower2Add1:
 fixes i :: 64 word
 assumes y = ConstantExpr (IntVal 64 ((2 ^unat(i)) + 1))
 and
           0 < i
           i < 64
 and
 and
           exp[x > (const\ IntVal\ b\ \theta)]
           exp[y > (const\ IntVal\ b\ \theta)]
 and
shows exp[x * y] \ge exp[(x << ConstantExpr(IntVal 64 i)) + x]
  \langle proof \rangle
```

```
lemma exp-MulPower2Sub1:
    fixes i :: 64 word
    assumes y = ConstantExpr (IntVal 64 ((2 ^unat(i)) - 1))
                            0 < i
    and
    and
                            i < 64
    and
                            exp[x > (const\ Int Val\ b\ \theta)]
                            exp[y > (const\ IntVal\ b\ \theta)]
    and
shows exp[x * y] \ge exp[(x << ConstantExpr(IntVal 64 i)) - x]
       \langle proof \rangle
{\bf lemma}\ exp{-}MulPower2AddPower2:
    fixes i j :: 64 word
    assumes y = ConstantExpr (IntVal 64 ((2 \cap unat(i)) + (2 \cap unat(j))))
                            0 < i
    and
                            0 < j
    and
                           i < 64
    and
    and
                           j < 64
                            exp[x > (const\ Int Val\ b\ \theta)]
    and
    \mathbf{and}
                            exp[y > (const\ IntVal\ b\ 0)]
shows exp[x * y] \ge exp[(x << ConstantExpr(IntVal 64 i)) + (x << ConstantExpr(IntVa
(IntVal \ 64 \ j))]
       \langle proof \rangle
lemma greaterConstant:
    fixes a b :: 64 word
    assumes a > b
                            y = ConstantExpr (IntVal 64 a)
    and
                            x = ConstantExpr (IntVal 64 b)
    shows exp[y > x]
    \langle proof \rangle
{\bf lemma}\ exp-distribute-multiplication:
    shows exp[(x * q) + (x * a)] \ge exp[x * (q + a)]
     \langle proof \rangle
Optimisations
optimization EliminateRedundantNegative: -x * -y \longmapsto x * y
optimization MulNeutral: x * ConstantExpr (IntVal \ b \ 1) \longmapsto x
    \langle proof \rangle
optimization MulEliminator: x * ConstantExpr (IntVal b 0) <math>\longmapsto const (IntVal b 0)
       \langle proof \rangle
```

```
optimization MulNegate: x * -(const (IntVal \ b \ 1)) \longmapsto -x
  \langle proof \rangle
fun isNonZero :: Stamp \Rightarrow bool where
  isNonZero (IntegerStamp \ b \ lo \ hi) = (lo > 0)
  isNonZero - = False
lemma isNonZero-defn:
  assumes isNonZero (stamp-expr x)
  assumes wf-stamp x
  shows ([m, p] \vdash x \mapsto v) \longrightarrow (\exists vv \ b. \ (v = IntVal \ b \ vv \land val-to-bool \ val[(IntVal \ b
(0) < v(0)
  \langle proof \rangle
optimization MulPower2: x * y \longmapsto x << const (IntVal 64 i)
                            when (i > 0 \land
                                  64 > i \land
                                  y = exp[const (IntVal 64 (2 \cap unat(i)))])
   \langle proof \rangle
optimization MulPower2Add1: x * y \longmapsto (x << const (IntVal 64 i)) + x
                            when (i > 0 \land
                                  64 > i \land
                                  y = ConstantExpr (IntVal 64 ((2 \cap unat(i)) + 1)))
   \langle proof \rangle
optimization MulPower2Sub1: x * y \longmapsto (x << const (IntVal 64 i)) - x
                            when (i > 0 \land
                                  64 > i \land
                                  y = ConstantExpr (IntVal 64 ((2 \cap unat(i)) - 1)))
   \langle proof \rangle
end
end
         Experimental AndNode Phase
11.7
theory NewAnd
  imports
    Common
    Graph.JavaLong
begin
\mathbf{lemma}\ bin\text{-}distribute\text{-}and\text{-}over\text{-}or:
  bin[z \& (x | y)] = bin[(z \& x) | (z \& y)]
```

 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** intval-distribute-and-over-or:  $val[z \& (x | y)] = val[(z \& x) | (z \& y)] \land (proof)$ 

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{lemma} \ exp\text{-}distribute\text{-}and\text{-}over\text{-}or\text{:} \\ exp[z \& (x \mid y)] \geq exp[(z \& x) \mid (z \& y)] \\ \langle proof \rangle \end{array}$ 

lemma intval-and-commute:  $val[x \& y] = val[y \& x] \ \langle proof \rangle$ 

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{lemma} \ intval\text{-}or\text{-}commute: \\ val[x \mid y] = val[y \mid x] \\ \langle proof \rangle \end{array}$ 

**lemma** intval-xor-commute:  $val[x \oplus y] = val[y \oplus x]$   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{lemma} \ exp\text{-}and\text{-}commute: \\ exp[x \& z] \geq exp[z \& x] \\ \langle proof \rangle \end{array}$ 

lemma exp-or-commute:  $exp[x \mid y] \ge exp[y \mid x]$  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma exp-xor-commute:  $exp[x \oplus y] \ge exp[y \oplus x]$  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma bin-eliminate-y:
assumes bin[y & z] = 0shows  $bin[(x \mid y) \& z] = bin[x \& z]$   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma intval-eliminate-y:
assumes  $val[y \& z] = IntVal \ b \ 0$ shows  $val[(x \mid y) \& z] = val[x \& z]$   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{lemma} \ intval\text{-}and\text{-}associative:} \\ val[(x \ \& \ y) \ \& \ z] = val[x \ \& \ (y \ \& \ z)] \\ \langle proof \rangle \end{array}$ 

```
{f lemma}\ intval	ext{-}or	ext{-}associative:
  val[(x \mid y) \mid z] = val[x \mid (y \mid z)]
  \langle proof \rangle
{\bf lemma}\ intval\text{-}xor\text{-}associative:
   val[(x \oplus y) \oplus z] = val[x \oplus (y \oplus z)]
  \langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ \textit{exp-and-associative} :
   exp[(x \& y) \& z] \ge exp[x \& (y \& z)]
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma exp-or-associative:
   exp[(x \mid y) \mid z] \ge exp[x \mid (y \mid z)]
   \langle proof \rangle
{f lemma} exp-xor-associative:
  exp[(x \oplus y) \oplus z] \ge exp[x \oplus (y \oplus z)]
  \langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ intval\text{-} and\text{-} absorb\text{-} or:
  assumes \exists b \ v \ . \ x = new\text{-}int \ b \ v
  assumes val[x \& (x \mid y)] \neq UndefVal
  shows val[x \& (x \mid y)] = val[x]
  \langle proof \rangle
{f lemma}\ intval	ext{-}or	ext{-}absorb	ext{-}and:
  assumes \exists b \ v \ . \ x = new\text{-}int \ b \ v
  assumes val[x \mid (x \& y)] \neq UndefVal
  \mathbf{shows} \ val[x \mid (x \& y)] = val[x]
  \langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ exp-and-absorb-or:
  exp[x \& (x \mid y)] \ge exp[x]
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma exp-or-absorb-and:
   exp[x \mid (x \& y)] \ge exp[x]
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma
  assumes y = \theta
  \mathbf{shows}\ x + y = or\ x\ y
```

 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```
lemma no-overlap-or:
  assumes and x y = 0
  \mathbf{shows}\ x + y = or\ x\ y
  \langle proof \rangle
{f context}\ stamp{-}mask
begin
lemma intval-up-and-zero-implies-zero:
  assumes and (\uparrow x) (\uparrow y) = 0
  assumes [m, p] \vdash x \mapsto xv
  assumes [m, p] \vdash y \mapsto yv
  assumes val[xv \& yv] \neq UndefVal
  shows \exists b \cdot val[xv \& yv] = new\text{-}int b \theta
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma exp-eliminate-y:
  and (\uparrow y) \ (\uparrow z) = 0 \longrightarrow BinaryExpr BinAnd (BinaryExpr BinOr x y) z \ge Bina-
ryExpr\ BinAnd\ x\ z
  \langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ leading Zero Bounds:
  \mathbf{fixes}\ x::\ 'a{::}len\ word
  assumes n = numberOfLeadingZeros x
  shows 0 \le n \land n \le Nat.size x
  \langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ above\text{-}nth\text{-}not\text{-}set:
  fixes x :: int64
  assumes n = 64 - numberOfLeadingZeros x
  shows j > n \longrightarrow \neg(bit \ x \ j)
  \langle proof \rangle
no-notation LogicNegationNotation (!-)
lemma zero-horner:
  horner-sum of-bool 2 (map (\lambda x. False) xs) = 0
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma zero-map:
  assumes j \leq n
  assumes \forall i. j \leq i \longrightarrow \neg(f i)
```

```
shows map \ f \ [0..< n] = map \ f \ [0..< j] @ map \ (\lambda x. \ False) \ [j..< n]
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma map-join-horner:
 assumes map \ f \ [0..< n] = map \ f \ [0..< j] \ @ map \ (\lambda x. \ False) \ [j..< n]
 shows horner-sum of-bool (2::'a::len word) (map f [0..< n]) = horner-sum of-bool
2 \pmod{f [0..< j]}
\langle proof \rangle
lemma split-horner:
  assumes j \leq n
 assumes \forall i. j \leq i \longrightarrow \neg(f i)
 shows horner-sum of-bool (2::'a::len word) (map f[0..< n]) = horner-sum of-bool
2 \pmod{f [0..< j]}
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma transfer-map:
 assumes \forall i. i < n \longrightarrow f i = f' i
 shows (map \ f \ [0..< n]) = (map \ f' \ [0..< n])
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma transfer-horner:
  assumes \forall i. i < n \longrightarrow f i = f' i
 shows horner-sum of-bool (2::'a::len word) (map f [0..< n]) = horner-sum of-bool
2 (map \ f' \ [0..< n])
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma L1:
 assumes n = 64 - numberOfLeadingZeros (\uparrow z)
 assumes [m, p] \vdash z \mapsto IntVal\ b\ zv
 shows and v zv = and (v mod 2^n) zv
\langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ up\text{-}mask\text{-}upper\text{-}bound:
 assumes [m, p] \vdash x \mapsto IntVal\ b\ xv
 shows xv \leq (\uparrow x)
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma L2:
  assumes numberOfLeadingZeros\ (\uparrow z) + numberOfTrailingZeros\ (\uparrow y) \ge 64
  assumes n = 64 - numberOfLeadingZeros (\uparrow z)
 assumes [m, p] \vdash z \mapsto IntVal\ b\ zv
 assumes [m, p] \vdash y \mapsto IntVal\ b\ yv
 shows yv \mod 2 \hat{\ } n = 0
\langle proof \rangle
thm-oracles L1 L2
```

 $\mathbf{lemma}\ unfold\text{-}binary\text{-}width\text{-}add:$ 

```
shows ([m,p] \vdash BinaryExpr\ BinAdd\ xe\ ye \mapsto IntVal\ b\ val) = (\exists\ x\ y.
          (([m,p] \vdash xe \mapsto IntVal\ b\ x) \land
           ([m,p] \vdash ye \mapsto IntVal\ b\ y) \land
           (IntVal\ b\ val = bin-eval\ BinAdd\ (IntVal\ b\ x)\ (IntVal\ b\ y)) \land
           (IntVal\ b\ val \neq UndefVal)
        )) (is ?L = ?R)
\langle proof \rangle
lemma unfold-binary-width-and:
  shows ([m,p] \vdash BinaryExpr\ BinAnd\ xe\ ye \mapsto IntVal\ b\ val) = (\exists\ x\ y.
          (([m,p] \vdash xe \mapsto IntVal\ b\ x) \land
           ([m,p] \vdash ye \mapsto IntVal\ b\ y) \land
           (IntVal\ b\ val = bin-eval\ BinAnd\ (IntVal\ b\ x)\ (IntVal\ b\ y))\ \land
           (IntVal\ b\ val \neq UndefVal)
        )) (is ?L = ?R)
\langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ mod\text{-}dist\text{-}over\text{-}add\text{-}right:
 fixes a \ b \ c :: int64
 fixes n :: nat
 assumes 1: 0 < n
 assumes 2: n < 64
 shows (a + b \mod 2\widehat{\ n}) \mod 2\widehat{\ n} = (a + b) \mod 2\widehat{\ n}
  \langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ number Of Leading Zeros\text{-}range:
  0 \leq numberOfLeadingZeros \ n \land numberOfLeadingZeros \ n \leq Nat.size \ n
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma improved-opt:
  assumes number Of Leading Zeros (\uparrow z) + number Of Trailing Zeros (\uparrow y) \geq 64
 shows exp[(x + y) \& z] \ge exp[x \& z]
  \langle proof \rangle
thm-oracles improved-opt
end
phase NewAnd
 terminating size
begin
optimization redundant-lhs-y-or: ((x \mid y) \& z) \longmapsto x \& z
                                 when (((and (IRExpr-up y) (IRExpr-up z)) = 0))
```

```
\langle proof \rangle
optimization redundant-lhs-x-or: ((x \mid y) \& z) \longmapsto y \& z
                                when (((and (IRExpr-up x) (IRExpr-up z)) = 0))
  \langle proof \rangle
optimization redundant-rhs-y-or: (z \& (x \mid y)) \longmapsto z \& x
                                when (((and (IRExpr-up y) (IRExpr-up z)) = 0))
  \langle proof \rangle
optimization redundant-rhs-x-or: (z \& (x \mid y)) \longmapsto z \& y
                                when (((and (IRExpr-up x) (IRExpr-up z)) = 0))
  \langle proof \rangle
end
end
        NotNode Phase
11.8
theory NotPhase
  imports
    Common
begin
\mathbf{phase}\ \mathit{NotNode}
  terminating size
begin
lemma bin-not-cancel:
 bin[\neg(\neg(e))] = bin[e]
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma val-not-cancel:
  assumes val[^{\sim}(new\text{-}int\ b\ v)] \neq UndefVal
  shows val[^{\sim}(^{\sim}(new\text{-}int\ b\ v))] = (new\text{-}int\ b\ v)
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma exp-not-cancel:
   exp[^{\sim}(^{\sim}a)] \ge exp[a]
   \langle proof \rangle
Optimisations
optimization NotCancel: exp[^{\sim}(^{\sim}a)] \longmapsto a
```

```
\langle proof \rangle
```

 $\quad \mathbf{end} \quad$ 

end

# 11.9 OrNode Phase

theory OrPhase imports
Common begin

 $\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{context} \ \mathit{stamp\text{-}mask} \\ \mathbf{begin} \end{array}$ 

Taking advantage of the truth table of or operations.

If row 2 never applies, that is, can BeZero x & can BeOne y = 0, then (x|y) = x

Likewise, if row 3 never applies, can BeZero y & can BeOne x = 0, then  $(x \vert y) = y.$ 

```
 \begin{array}{l} \textbf{lemma} \ \textit{OrLeftFallthrough:} \\ \textbf{assumes} \ (\textit{and} \ (\textit{not} \ (\downarrow x)) \ (\uparrow y)) = \theta \\ \textbf{shows} \ exp[x \mid y] \geq exp[x] \\ \langle \textit{proof} \, \rangle \end{array}
```

lemma OrRightFallthrough: assumes  $(and (not (\downarrow y)) (\uparrow x)) = 0$ shows  $exp[x \mid y] \ge exp[y]$  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

 $\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{phase} \ \ OrNode \\ \mathbf{terminating} \ \ size \\ \mathbf{begin} \end{array}$ 

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{lemma} \ bin\text{-}or\text{-}equal\text{:} \\ bin[x \mid x] = bin[x] \\ \langle proof \rangle \end{array}$ 

```
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{bin-shift-const-right-helper}\colon
 x \mid y = y \mid x
  \langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{bin-or-not-operands}\colon
 (^{\sim}x \mid ^{\sim}y) = (^{\sim}(x \& y))
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma val-or-equal:
  assumes x = new\text{-}int \ b \ v
            (val[x \mid x] \neq UndefVal)
  shows val[x \mid x] = val[x]
    \langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{val-elim-redundant-false} :
  assumes x = new\text{-}int \ b \ v
             val[x \mid false] \neq UndefVal
  shows val[x \mid false] = val[x]
   \langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{val-shift-const-right-helper}:
    val[x \mid y] = val[y \mid x]
    \langle proof \rangle
lemma val-or-not-operands:
 val[^{\sim}x \mid ^{\sim}y] = val[^{\sim}(x \& y)]
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma exp-or-equal:
  \exp[x \mid x] \, \geq \, \exp[x]
   \langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ exp\text{-}elim\text{-}redundant\text{-}false:
 exp[x \mid false] \ge exp[x]
    \langle proof \rangle
Optimisations
optimization OrEqual: x \mid x \longmapsto x
  \langle proof \rangle
optimization OrShiftConstantRight: ((const\ x)\ |\ y) \longmapsto y\ |\ (const\ x)\ when\ \neg (is-ConstantExpr
  \langle proof \rangle
optimization EliminateRedundantFalse: x | false \longmapsto x
  \langle proof \rangle
```

```
optimization OrNotOperands: (^{\sim}x \mid ^{\sim}y) \longmapsto ^{\sim}(x \& y)
   \langle proof \rangle
optimization OrLeftFallthrough:
  x \mid y \longmapsto x \text{ when } ((\text{and } (\text{not } (\text{IRExpr-down } x)) \mid (\text{IRExpr-up } y)) = 0)
  \langle proof \rangle
optimization OrRightFallthrough:
  x \mid y \longmapsto y \ when \ ((\textit{and} \ (\textit{not} \ (\textit{IRExpr-down} \ y)) \ (\textit{IRExpr-up} \ x)) = \theta)
  \langle proof \rangle
end
end
             ShiftNode Phase
11.10
{\bf theory} \,\, {\it ShiftPhase} \,\,
  imports
    Common
begin
{f phase} ShiftNode
  terminating size
begin
fun intval-log2 :: Value \Rightarrow Value where
  intval-log2 (IntVal b v) = IntVal b (word-of-int (SOME e. v=2^e))
  intval-log2 - = UndefVal
fun in-bounds :: Value \Rightarrow int \Rightarrow int \Rightarrow bool where
  in-bounds (IntVal b v) l h = (l < sint <math>v \land sint v < h)
  in\text{-}bounds - l h = False
lemma
  assumes in-bounds (intval-log2 val-c) 0 32
  \mathbf{shows}\ intval\text{-}left\text{-}shift\ x\ (intval\text{-}log2\ val\text{-}c) = intval\text{-}mul\ x\ val\text{-}c}
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma e-intval:
  n = intval{-}log2 \ val{-}c \land in{-}bounds \ n \ 0 \ 32 \longrightarrow
    intval-left-shift x (intval-log2 val-c) =
    intval-mul \ x \ val-c
\langle proof \rangle
```

```
optimization e:
 x * (const \ c) \longmapsto x << (const \ n) \ when \ (n = intval-log2 \ c \land in-bounds \ n \ 0 \ 32)
 \langle proof \rangle
end
\quad \text{end} \quad
           SignedDivNode Phase
11.11
{f theory} \ {\it SignedDivPhase}
 imports
    Common
begin
{\bf phase}\ {\it SignedDivNode}
 terminating size
begin
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{val-division-by-one-is-self-32}:
 assumes x = new\text{-}int 32 v
 shows intval-div x (IntVal 32 1) = x
  \langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{end}
end
           SignedRemNode Phase
{\bf theory} \ {\it SignedRemPhase}
 imports
    Common
begin
{\bf phase}\ Signed Rem Node
  terminating size
begin
lemma val-remainder-one:
  assumes intval-mod\ x\ (IntVal\ 32\ 1) \neq\ UndefVal
 shows intval-mod x (IntVal 32 1) = IntVal 32 0
  \langle proof \rangle
value word-of-int (sint (x2::32 word) smod 1)
```

```
\quad \text{end} \quad
```

 $\quad \mathbf{end} \quad$ 

### 11.13 SubNode Phase

```
{\bf theory} \,\, SubPhase
  imports
    Common
    Proofs. Stamp Eval Thms
begin
{\bf phase}\ SubNode
  terminating size
begin
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{bin-sub-after-right-add}\colon
  shows ((x::('a::len) \ word) + (y::('a::len) \ word)) - y = x
  \langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{sub-self-is-zero}:
  \mathbf{shows}\ (x::('a::len)\ word) - x = 0
  \langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{bin-sub-then-left-add}\colon
  shows (x::('a::len) \ word) - (x + (y::('a::len) \ word)) = -y
  \langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{bin-sub-then-left-sub} :
  shows (x::('a::len) \ word) - (x - (y::('a::len) \ word)) = y
  \langle proof \rangle
{f lemma}\ bin-subtract-zero:
  shows (x :: 'a :: len word) - (\theta :: 'a :: len word) = x
  \langle proof \rangle
{\bf lemma}\ bin\text{-}sub\text{-}negative\text{-}value:
 (x :: ('a::len) \ word) - (-(y :: ('a::len) \ word)) = x + y
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma bin-sub-self-is-zero:
 (x :: ('a::len) \ word) - x = 0
  \langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ \textit{bin-sub-negative-const}:
(x :: 'a :: len \ word) - (-(y :: 'a :: len \ word)) = x + y
  \langle proof \rangle
```

```
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{val\text{-}sub\text{-}after\text{-}right\text{-}add\text{-}2\text{:}}
  assumes x = new\text{-}int b v
   \begin{array}{ll} \textbf{assumes} \ val[(x+y)-y] \neq \textit{UndefVal} \\ \textbf{shows} \quad val[(x+y)-y] = val[x] \end{array}
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma \ val-sub-after-left-sub:
  assumes val[(x - y) - x] \neq UndefVal
  shows val[(x-y)-x] = val[-y]
  \langle proof \rangle
{f lemma}\ val	ext{-}sub	ext{-}then	ext{-}left	ext{-}sub:
  assumes y = new\text{-}int \ b \ v
  \mathbf{assumes}\ \mathit{val}[x-(x-y)] \neq \mathit{UndefVal}
  shows val[x - (x - y)] = val[y]
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma val-subtract-zero:
  assumes x = new\text{-}int \ b \ v
  assumes intval-sub x (IntVal\ b\ 0) \neq UndefVal
  shows intval-sub x (IntVal b 0) = val[x]
  \langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{val-zero-subtract-value} :
  assumes x = new\text{-}int \ b \ v
  assumes intval-sub (IntVal\ b\ 0)\ x \neq UndefVal
  \mathbf{shows}
               intval-sub (IntVal\ b\ \theta)\ x = val[-x]
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma \ val-sub-then-left-add:
  assumes val[x - (x + y)] \neq UndefVal
  shows val[x - (x + y)] = val[-y]
  \langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{val-sub-negative-value} :
   \begin{array}{ll} \textbf{assumes} \ val[x-(-y)] \neq \textit{UndefVal} \\ \textbf{shows} \quad val[x-(-y)] = val[x+y] \end{array}
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma val-sub-self-is-zero:
  \mathbf{assumes}\ x = \textit{new-int}\ \textit{b}\ \textit{v} \land \textit{val}[\textit{x} - \textit{x}] \neq \textit{UndefVal}
  shows val[x - x] = new\text{-}int \ b \ \theta
  \langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ val\text{-}sub\text{-}negative\text{-}const:
  assumes y = new\text{-}int \ b \ v \land val[x - (-y)] \neq UndefVal
  shows val[x - (-y)] = val[x + y]
```

 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma 
$$exp$$
- $sub$ - $after$ - $right$ - $add$ :  
 $shows  $exp[(x + y) - y] \ge exp[x]$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$$ 

lemma 
$$exp$$
- $sub$ - $after$ - $right$ - $add2$ :  
 $shows$   $exp[(x + y) - x] \ge exp[y]$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**lemma** 
$$exp$$
- $sub$ - $negative$ - $value$ :  $exp[x - (-y)] \ge exp[x + y]$   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

$$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{lemma} \ exp\text{-}sub\text{-}then\text{-}left\text{-}sub\text{:} \\ exp[x-(x-y)] \geq exp[y] \\ \langle proof \rangle \end{array}$$

 ${f thm ext{-}oracles}\ exp ext{-}sub ext{-}then ext{-}left ext{-}sub$ 

Optimisations

**optimization** SubAfterAddRight: 
$$((x + y) - y) \mapsto x \langle proof \rangle$$

**optimization** SubAfterSubLeft: 
$$((x - y) - x) \longmapsto -y \langle proof \rangle$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{optimization} \ \textit{SubThenAddLeft:} \ (x-(x+y)) \longmapsto -y \\ \langle \textit{proof} \, \rangle \end{array}$$

**optimization** SubThenAddRight: 
$$(y - (x + y)) \longmapsto -x \langle proof \rangle$$

**optimization** SubThenSubLeft: 
$$(x - (x - y)) \mapsto y$$
  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

**optimization** SubtractZero: 
$$(x - (const\ IntVal\ b\ \theta)) \longmapsto x \langle proof \rangle$$

thm-oracles SubtractZero

**optimization** SubNegativeValue:  $(x - (-y)) \longmapsto x + y$ 

```
\langle proof \rangle
{\bf thm\text{-}oracles}\ \textit{SubNegativeValue}
lemma negate-idempotent:
  assumes x = IntVal\ b\ v \land take-bit\ b\ v = v
  shows x = val[-(-x)]
  \langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{optimization}\ \mathit{ZeroSubtractValue} \colon ((\mathit{const}\ \mathit{IntVal}\ b\ \theta) - x) \longmapsto (-x)
                                      when (wf\text{-}stamp\ x \land stamp\text{-}expr\ x = IntegerStamp\ b\ lo
hi \wedge \neg (is\text{-}ConstantExpr x))
   \langle proof \rangle
optimization SubSelfIsZero: (x - x) \longmapsto const \ IntVal \ b \ 0 \ when
                         (wf\text{-}stamp\ x \land stamp\text{-}expr\ x = IntegerStamp\ b\ lo\ hi)
   \langle proof \rangle
\quad \text{end} \quad
\quad \text{end} \quad
11.14 XorNode Phase
{\bf theory}\ {\it XorPhase}
  imports
     Common
     Proofs. Stamp Eval Thms
begin
{f phase} \ {\it XorNode}
  terminating size
begin
lemma bin-xor-self-is-false:
 bin[x \oplus x] = 0
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma bin-xor-commute:
 bin[x \oplus y] = bin[y \oplus x]
  \langle proof \rangle
```

```
lemma bin-eliminate-redundant-false:
 bin[x \oplus \theta] = bin[x]
  \langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{val-xor-self-is-false} :
  assumes val[x \oplus x] \neq UndefVal
  shows val-to-bool (val[x \oplus x]) = False
  \langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{val-xor-self-is-false-2} :
  assumes (val[x \oplus x]) \neq UndefVal
             x = Int Val 32 v
  shows val[x \oplus x] = bool\text{-}to\text{-}val \ False
  \langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{val-xor-self-is-false-3}\colon
  assumes val[x \oplus x] \neq UndefVal \land x = IntVal 64 v
  shows val[x \oplus x] = IntVal \ 64 \ 0
  \langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{val-xor-commute} :
   val[x \oplus y] = val[y \oplus x]
   \langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{val-eliminate-redundant-false} :
  assumes x = new\text{-}int \ b \ v
  assumes val[x \oplus (bool\text{-}to\text{-}val\ False)] \neq UndefVal
  shows val[x \oplus (bool\text{-}to\text{-}val\ False)] = x
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma exp-xor-self-is-false:
 assumes wf-stamp \ x \land stamp-expr \ x = default-stamp
 shows exp[x \oplus x] \ge exp[false]
  \langle proof \rangle
{f lemma}\ exp	ext{-}eliminate-redundant	ext{-}false:
  shows exp[x \oplus false] \ge exp[x]
  \langle proof \rangle
Optimisations
optimization XorSelfIsFalse: (x \oplus x) \longmapsto false \ when
                         (wf\text{-}stamp\ x \land stamp\text{-}expr\ x = default\text{-}stamp)
  \langle proof \rangle
optimization XorShiftConstantRight: ((const \ x) \oplus y) \longmapsto y \oplus (const \ x) when
\neg (is\text{-}ConstantExpr\ y)
```

```
\langle proof \rangle optimization EliminateRedundantFalse: (x <math>\oplus false) \longmapsto x \langle proof \rangle
```

end

end

## 12 Conditional Elimination Phase

```
theory ConditionalElimination
imports
Semantics.IRTreeEvalThms
Proofs.Rewrites
Proofs.Bisimulation
begin
```

### 12.1 Individual Elimination Rules

The set of rules used for determining whether a condition q1::'a implies another condition q2::'a or its negation. These rules are used for conditional elimination.

```
inductive impliesx :: IRExpr \Rightarrow IRExpr \Rightarrow bool (- <math>\Rightarrow -) and
      implies not :: IRExpr \Rightarrow IRExpr \Rightarrow bool (- \Rightarrow \neg -) where
  q-imp-q:
  q \Rightarrow q
  eq\text{-}implies not\text{-}less:
  (BinaryExpr\ BinIntegerEquals\ x\ y) \Rrightarrow \neg (BinaryExpr\ BinIntegerLessThan\ x\ y) \mid
  eq-implies not-less-rev:
  (BinaryExpr\ BinIntegerEquals\ x\ y) \Rightarrow \neg\ (BinaryExpr\ BinIntegerLessThan\ y\ x) \mid
  less-implies not-rev-less:
  (BinaryExpr\ BinIntegerLessThan\ x\ y) \Rrightarrow \neg (BinaryExpr\ BinIntegerLessThan\ y\ x)
  less-implies not-eq:
  (BinaryExpr\ BinIntegerLessThan\ x\ y) \Rightarrow \neg\ (BinaryExpr\ BinIntegerEquals\ x\ y) \mid
  less-implies not-eq-rev:
  (BinaryExpr\ BinIntegerLessThan\ x\ y) \Rightarrow \neg\ (BinaryExpr\ BinIntegerEquals\ y\ x) \mid
  negate-true:
  \llbracket x \Rightarrow \neg y \rrbracket \implies x \Rightarrow (UnaryExpr\ UnaryLogicNegation\ y) \mid
  negate-false:
  [x \Rightarrow y] \Longrightarrow x \Rightarrow \neg (UnaryExpr\ UnaryLogicNegation\ y)
```

The relation  $q1::IRExpr \Rightarrow q2::IRExpr$  indicates that the implication (q1::bool)

```
\longrightarrow (q2::bool) is known true (i.e. universally valid), and the relation q1::IRExpr \Rightarrow \neg q2::IRExpr indicates that the implication (q1::bool) \longrightarrow (q2::bool) is known false (i.e. (q1::bool) \longrightarrow \neg (q2::bool) is universally valid. If neither q1::IRExpr \Rightarrow q2::IRExpr nor q1::IRExpr \Rightarrow \neg q2::IRExpr then the status is unknown. Only the known true and known false cases can be used for conditional elimination.

fun implies-valid :: IRExpr \Rightarrow IRExpr \Rightarrow bool (infix \mapsto 50) where implies-valid q1 = q2 = q1::IRExpr \Rightarrow a1::IRExpr \Rightarrow a1::IR
```

```
(\forall m \ p \ v1 \ v2. \ ([m, p] \vdash q1 \mapsto v1) \land ([m, p] \vdash q2 \mapsto v2) \longrightarrow
              (val\text{-}to\text{-}bool\ v1 \longrightarrow val\text{-}to\text{-}bool\ v2))
fun impliesnot-valid :: IRExpr \Rightarrow IRExpr \Rightarrow bool (infix \mapsto 50) where
  implies not-valid \ q1 \ q2 =
    (\forall m \ p \ v1 \ v2. \ ([m, p] \vdash q1 \mapsto v1) \land ([m, p] \vdash q2 \mapsto v2) \longrightarrow
              (val\text{-}to\text{-}bool\ v1 \longrightarrow \neg val\text{-}to\text{-}bool\ v2))
The relation (q1::IRExpr) \rightarrow (q2::IRExpr) means (q1::bool) \rightarrow (q2::bool)
is universally valid, and the relation (q1::IRExpr) \mapsto (q2::IRExpr) means
(q1::bool) \longrightarrow \neg (q2::bool) is universally valid.
{f lemma} eq	ext{-}implies not	ext{-}less	ext{-}helper:
  v1 = v2 \longrightarrow \neg (int\text{-}signed\text{-}value\ b\ v1 < int\text{-}signed\text{-}value\ b\ v2)
lemma eq-impliesnot-less-val:
  val-to-bool(intval-equals v1 v2) \longrightarrow \neg val-to-bool(intval-less-than v1 v2)
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma eq-impliesnot-less-rev-val:
  val-to-bool(intval-equals v1 v2) \longrightarrow \neg val-to-bool(intval-less-than v2 v1)
\langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{less-implies} \mathit{not-rev-less-val} :
  val-to-bool(intval-less-than v1 v2) \longrightarrow \neg val-to-bool(intval-less-than v2 v1)
  \langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{less-implies} \mathit{not-eq-val} :
  val-to-bool(intval-less-than v1 v2) \longrightarrow \neg val-to-bool(intval-equals v1 v2)
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma logic-negate-type:
  assumes [m, p] \vdash UnaryExpr\ UnaryLogicNegation\ x \mapsto v
  shows \exists b \ v2. \ [m, p] \vdash x \mapsto IntVal \ b \ v2
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma intval-logic-negation-inverse:
  assumes b > 0
  assumes x = IntVal b v
  shows val-to-bool (intval-logic-negation x) \longleftrightarrow \neg(val-to-bool x)
```

```
\langle proof \rangle
```

```
lemma logic-negation-relation-tree:

assumes [m, p] \vdash y \mapsto val

assumes [m, p] \vdash UnaryExpr\ UnaryLogicNegation\ y \mapsto invval

shows val-to-bool val \longleftrightarrow \neg(val-to-bool\ invval)

\langle proof \rangle
```

The following theorem shows that the known true/false rules are valid.

 ${\bf theorem}\ implies-implies not-valid:$ 

```
shows ((q1 \Rightarrow q2) \longrightarrow (q1 \mapsto q2)) \land ((q1 \Rightarrow \neg q2) \longrightarrow (q1 \mapsto q2))

(is (?imp \longrightarrow ?val) \land (?notimp \longrightarrow ?notval))

\langle proof \rangle
```

We introduce a type TriState::'a (as in the GraalVM compiler) to represent when static analysis can tell us information about the value of a Boolean expression. If Unknown::'a then no information can be inferred and if Known-True::'a/KnownFalse::'a one can infer the expression is always true/false.

```
{f datatype} \ \mathit{TriState} = \mathit{Unknown} \mid \mathit{KnownTrue} \mid \mathit{KnownFalse}
```

The implies relation corresponds to the LogicNode.implies method from the compiler which attempts to infer when one logic nodes value can be inferred from a known logic node.

```
inductive implies :: IRGraph \Rightarrow IRNode \Rightarrow IRNode \Rightarrow TriState \Rightarrow bool
  (-\vdash - \& - \hookrightarrow -) for g where
  eq-imp-less:
  g \vdash (IntegerEqualsNode \ x \ y) \ \& \ (IntegerLessThanNode \ x \ y) \hookrightarrow KnownFalse \mid
  eq-imp-less-rev:
  g \vdash (IntegerEqualsNode \ x \ y) \ \& \ (IntegerLessThanNode \ y \ x) \hookrightarrow KnownFalse \ |
  less-imp-rev-less:
  g \vdash (IntegerLessThanNode \ x \ y) \ \& \ (IntegerLessThanNode \ y \ x) \hookrightarrow KnownFalse \mid
  less-imp-not-eq:
  g \vdash (IntegerLessThanNode \ x \ y) \ \& \ (IntegerEqualsNode \ x \ y) \hookrightarrow KnownFalse \mid
  less-imp-not-eq-rev:
  g \vdash (IntegerLessThanNode \ x \ y) \ \& \ (IntegerEqualsNode \ y \ x) \hookrightarrow KnownFalse \ |
  x-imp-x:
  q \vdash x \& x \hookrightarrow KnownTrue
  negate-false:
   \llbracket g \vdash x \& (kind \ g \ y) \hookrightarrow KnownTrue \rrbracket \implies g \vdash x \& (LogicNegationNode \ y) \hookrightarrow
KnownFalse |
  negate-true:
   \llbracket g \vdash x \& (kind \ g \ y) \hookrightarrow KnownFalse \rrbracket \implies g \vdash x \& (LogicNegationNode \ y) \hookrightarrow
Known\,True
```

Total relation over partial implies relation

```
inductive condition-implies :: IRGraph \Rightarrow IRNode \Rightarrow IRNode \Rightarrow TriState \Rightarrow bool
  (-\vdash - \& - \rightharpoonup -) for g where
  \llbracket \neg (g \vdash a \ \& \ b \hookrightarrow imp) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow (g \vdash a \ \& \ b \rightharpoonup \textit{Unknown}) \mid
  \llbracket (g \vdash a \& b \hookrightarrow imp) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow (g \vdash a \& b \rightharpoonup imp)
inductive implies-tree :: IRExpr \Rightarrow IRExpr \Rightarrow bool \Rightarrow bool
  (-\&-\hookrightarrow-) where
  eq-imp-less:
  (BinaryExpr\ BinIntegerEquals\ x\ y)\ \&\ (BinaryExpr\ BinIntegerLessThan\ x\ y)\hookrightarrow
False |
  eq-imp-less-rev:
  (BinaryExpr\ BinIntegerEquals\ x\ y)\ \&\ (BinaryExpr\ BinIntegerLessThan\ y\ x)\hookrightarrow
False |
  less-imp-rev-less:
  (BinaryExpr BinIntegerLessThan x y) & (BinaryExpr BinIntegerLessThan y x)
\hookrightarrow False |
  less\hbox{-}imp\hbox{-}not\hbox{-}eq\colon
  (BinaryExpr\ BinIntegerLessThan\ x\ y)\ \&\ (BinaryExpr\ BinIntegerEquals\ x\ y)\hookrightarrow
False |
  less-imp-not-eq-rev:
  (BinaryExpr\ BinIntegerLessThan\ x\ y)\ \&\ (BinaryExpr\ BinIntegerEquals\ y\ x)\hookrightarrow
False \mid
  x-imp-x:
  x \& x \hookrightarrow True \mid
  negate-false:
  \llbracket x \& y \hookrightarrow True \rrbracket \Longrightarrow x \& (UnaryExpr\ UnaryLogicNegation\ y) \hookrightarrow False \rrbracket
  negate-true:
  \llbracket x \& y \hookrightarrow False \rrbracket \Longrightarrow x \& (UnaryExpr\ UnaryLogicNegation\ y) \hookrightarrow True
Proofs that the implies relation is correct with respect to the existing eval-
uation semantics.
\mathbf{lemma}\ logic\text{-}negation\text{-}relation:
  assumes [g, m, p] \vdash y \mapsto val
  assumes kind \ g \ neg = LogicNegationNode \ y
  assumes [g, m, p] \vdash neg \mapsto invval
  assumes invval \neq UndefVal
  shows val-to-bool val \longleftrightarrow \neg(val-to-bool invval)
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma implies-valid:
  assumes x \& y \hookrightarrow imp
  assumes [m, p] \vdash x \mapsto v1
  assumes [m, p] \vdash y \mapsto v2
  \mathbf{shows}\ (imp\ \longrightarrow\ (val\text{-}to\text{-}bool\ v1\ \longrightarrow\ val\text{-}to\text{-}bool\ v2))\ \land\\
           (\neg imp \longrightarrow (val\text{-}to\text{-}bool\ v1 \longrightarrow \neg(val\text{-}to\text{-}bool\ v2)))
    (is (?TP \longrightarrow ?TC) \land (?FP \longrightarrow ?FC))
  \langle proof \rangle
```

```
lemma implies-true-valid:
   assumes x \& y \hookrightarrow imp
   assumes imp
   assumes [m, p] \vdash x \mapsto v1
   assumes [m, p] \vdash y \mapsto v2
   shows val-to-bool <math>v1 \longrightarrow val-to-bool <math>v2
\langle proof \rangle

lemma implies-false-valid:
   assumes x \& y \hookrightarrow imp
   assumes \neg imp
   assumes [m, p] \vdash x \mapsto v1
   assumes [m, p] \vdash y \mapsto v2
   shows val-to-bool <math>v1 \longrightarrow \neg (val-to-bool <math>v2)
\langle proof \rangle
```

The following relation corresponds to the UnaryOpLogicNode.tryFold and BinaryOpLogicNode.tryFold methods and their associated concrete implementations.

The relation determines if a logic operation can be shown true or false through the stamp typing information.

```
inductive tryFold :: IRNode \Rightarrow (ID \Rightarrow Stamp) \Rightarrow bool \Rightarrow bool where

[alwaysDistinct \ (stamps \ x) \ (stamps \ y)]
\Rightarrow tryFold \ (IntegerEqualsNode \ x \ y) \ stamps \ False \ |
[neverDistinct \ (stamps \ x) \ (stamps \ y)]
\Rightarrow tryFold \ (IntegerEqualsNode \ x \ y) \ stamps \ True \ |
[is-IntegerStamp \ (stamps \ x);
is-IntegerStamp \ (stamps \ x) \ < stpi-lower \ (stamps \ y)]
\Rightarrow tryFold \ (IntegerLessThanNode \ x \ y) \ stamps \ True \ |
[is-IntegerStamp \ (stamps \ x);
is-IntegerStamp \ (stamps \ x);
is-IntegerStamp \ (stamps \ x);
stpi-lower \ (stamps \ x) \ \geq stpi-upper \ (stamps \ y)]
\Rightarrow tryFold \ (IntegerLessThanNode \ x \ y) \ stamps \ False
```

Proofs that show that when the stamp lookup function is well-formed, the tryFold relation correctly predicts the output value with respect to our evaluation semantics.

#### lemma

```
assumes kind\ g\ nid = IntegerEqualsNode\ x\ y assumes [g,\ m,\ p] \vdash nid \mapsto v assumes ([g,\ m,\ p] \vdash x \mapsto xval) \land ([g,\ m,\ p] \vdash y \mapsto yval) shows val-to-bool (intval-equals xval\ yval) \longleftrightarrow v = IntVal\ 32\ 1 \langle proof \rangle
```

 ${\bf lemma}\ try Fold Integer Equals Always Distinct:$ 

```
assumes wf-stamp g stamps
  assumes kind\ g\ nid = (IntegerEqualsNode\ x\ y)
  \mathbf{assumes}\ [g,\ m,\ p] \vdash \mathit{nid} \mapsto \mathit{v}
 assumes alwaysDistinct\ (stamps\ x)\ (stamps\ y)
  shows v = IntVal \ 32 \ 0
\langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma} \ tryFoldIntegerEqualsNeverDistinct:
  assumes wf-stamp g stamps
  assumes kind\ g\ nid = (IntegerEqualsNode\ x\ y)
  assumes [g, m, p] \vdash nid \mapsto v
  assumes neverDistinct (stamps x) (stamps y)
  shows v = IntVal \ 32 \ 1
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma tryFoldIntegerLessThanTrue:
  assumes wf-stamp q stamps
 assumes kind\ g\ nid = (IntegerLessThanNode\ x\ y)
 assumes [g, m, p] \vdash nid \mapsto v
 assumes stpi-upper\ (stamps\ x) < stpi-lower\ (stamps\ y)
  shows v = IntVal \ 32 \ 1
\langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ tryFoldIntegerLessThanFalse:
  assumes wf-stamp g stamps
  \mathbf{assumes} \ \mathit{kind} \ \mathit{g} \ \mathit{nid} = (\mathit{IntegerLessThanNode} \ \mathit{x} \ \mathit{y})
  assumes [q, m, p] \vdash nid \mapsto v
  assumes stpi-lower (stamps x) \geq stpi-upper (stamps y)
  shows v = IntVal \ 32 \ \theta
  \langle proof \rangle
theorem tryFoldProofTrue:
  assumes wf-stamp g stamps
 assumes tryFold (kind g nid) stamps True
 assumes [g, m, p] \vdash nid \mapsto v
 shows val-to-bool v
  \langle proof \rangle
theorem tryFoldProofFalse:
  assumes wf-stamp g stamps
  assumes tryFold (kind g nid) stamps False
  assumes [g, m, p] \vdash nid \mapsto v
  shows \neg(val\text{-}to\text{-}bool\ v)
\langle proof \rangle
inductive-cases Step E:
 g, p \vdash (nid, m, h) \rightarrow (nid', m', h)
```

Perform conditional elimination rewrites on the graph for a particular node. In order to determine conditional eliminations appropriately the rule needs two data structures produced by static analysis. The first parameter is the set of IRNodes that we know result in a true value when evaluated. The second parameter is a mapping from node identifiers to the flow-sensitive stamp.

The relation transforms the third parameter to the fifth parameter for a node identifier which represents the fourth parameter.

```
\mathbf{inductive} \ \mathit{ConditionalEliminationStep} ::
  IRExpr\ set \Rightarrow (ID \Rightarrow Stamp) \Rightarrow IRGraph \Rightarrow ID \Rightarrow IRGraph \Rightarrow bool\ \mathbf{where}
  implies True:
  [kind\ g\ ifcond = (IfNode\ cid\ t\ f);
    g \vdash cid \simeq cond;
    \exists ce \in conds . (ce \Rightarrow cond);
    g' = constantCondition True if cond (kind g if cond) g
    ] \implies Conditional Elimination Step conds stamps g if cond g' |
  impliesFalse:
  \llbracket kind \ g \ if cond = (If Node \ cid \ t \ f);
    g \vdash cid \simeq cond;
    \exists ce \in conds . (ce \Rightarrow \neg cond);
    g' = constantCondition False if cond (kind g if cond) g
    ] \implies Conditional Elimination Step conds stamps g if cond g' |
  tryFoldTrue:
  \llbracket kind \ g \ if cond = (If Node \ cid \ t \ f);
    cond = kind \ q \ cid;
    tryFold (kind g cid) stamps True;
    g' = constantCondition True if cond (kind g if cond) g
    ] \implies Conditional Elimination Step conds stamps g if cond g' |
  tryFoldFalse:
  \llbracket kind\ g\ ifcond = (IfNode\ cid\ t\ f);
    cond = kind \ g \ cid;
    tryFold (kind g cid) stamps False;
    q' = constantCondition False if cond (kind q if cond) q
    \rrbracket \Longrightarrow Conditional Elimination Step conds stamps q if cond q'
code-pred (modes: i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o \Rightarrow bool) ConditionalEliminationStep
\langle proof \rangle
```

 ${\bf thm}\ \ Conditional Elimination Step.\ equation$ 

# 12.2 Control-flow Graph Traversal

```
type-synonym Seen = ID set
type-synonym Condition = IRExpr
```

```
type-synonym Conditions = Condition \ list
type-synonym StampFlow = (ID \Rightarrow Stamp) \ list
```

nextEdge helps determine which node to traverse next by returning the first successor edge that isn't in the set of already visited nodes. If there is not an appropriate successor, None is returned instead.

```
fun nextEdge :: Seen \Rightarrow ID \Rightarrow IRGraph \Rightarrow ID option where 
 <math>nextEdge \ seen \ nid \ g = 
 (let \ nids = (filter \ (\lambda nid'. \ nid' \notin seen) \ (successors-of \ (kind \ g \ nid))) \ in 
 (if \ length \ nids > 0 \ then \ Some \ (hd \ nids) \ else \ None))
```

pred determines which node, if any, acts as the predecessor of another.

Merge nodes represent a special case where-in the predecessor exists as an input edge of the merge node, to simplify the traversal we treat only the first input end node as the predecessor, ignoring that multiple nodes may act as a successor.

For all other nodes, the predecessor is the first element of the predecessors set. Note that in a well-formed graph there should only be one element in the predecessor set.

```
fun pred :: IRGraph ⇒ ID ⇒ ID option where

pred g nid = (case kind g nid of

(MergeNode ends - -) ⇒ Some (hd ends) |

- ⇒

(if IRGraph.predecessors g nid = {}

then None else

Some (hd (sorted-list-of-set (IRGraph.predecessors g nid)))

)
```

When the basic block of an if statement is entered, we know that the condition of the preceding if statement must be true. As in the GraalVM compiler, we introduce the registerNewCondition function which roughly corresponds to the ConditionalEliminationPhase.registerNewCondition. This method updates the flow-sensitive stamp information based on the condition which we know must be true.

```
fun clip-upper :: Stamp \Rightarrow int \Rightarrow Stamp where clip-upper (IntegerStamp b l h) c = (IntegerStamp \ b \ l \ c) \mid clip-upper \ s \ c = s fun clip-lower :: Stamp \Rightarrow int \Rightarrow Stamp where clip-lower (IntegerStamp b l h) c = (IntegerStamp \ b \ c \ h) \mid clip-lower \ s \ c = s fun registerNewCondition :: IRGraph \Rightarrow IRNode \Rightarrow (ID \Rightarrow Stamp) \Rightarrow (ID \Rightarrow Stamp) where registerNewCondition g (IntegerEqualsNode x y) stamps =
```

```
 \begin{array}{l} (stamps \\ (x:=join\;(stamps\;x)\;(stamps\;y))) \\ (y:=join\;(stamps\;x)\;(stamps\;y)) \mid \\ \\ registerNewCondition\;g\;(IntegerLessThanNode\;x\;y)\;stamps = \\ (stamps \\ (x:=clip-upper\;(stamps\;x)\;(stpi-lower\;(stamps\;y)))) \\ (y:=clip-lower\;(stamps\;y)\;(stpi-upper\;(stamps\;x))) \mid \\ registerNewCondition\;g\;-\;stamps = stamps \\ \\ \mathbf{fun}\;hdOr::\;'a\;list\;\Rightarrow\;'a\;\Rightarrow\;'a\;\mathbf{where} \\ hdOr\;(x\;\#\;xs)\;de=x\;\mid \\ hdOr\;\mid\;de=de \end{array}
```

The Step relation is a small-step traversal of the graph which handles transitions between individual nodes of the graph.

It relates a pairs of tuple of the current node, the set of seen nodes, the always true stack of IfNode conditions, and the flow-sensitive stamp information.

#### inductive Step

```
:: IRGraph \Rightarrow (ID \times Seen \times Conditions \times StampFlow) \Rightarrow (ID \times Seen \times Conditions \times StampFlow) \ option \Rightarrow bool
```

#### for g where

— Hit a BeginNode with an IfNode predecessor which represents the start of a basic block for the IfNode. 1. nid' will be the successor of the begin node. 2. Find the first and only predecessor. 3. Extract condition from the preceding IfNode. 4. Negate condition if the begin node is second branch (we've taken the else branch of the condition) 5. Add the condition or the negated condition to stack 6. Perform any stamp updates based on the condition using the registerNewCondition function and place them on the top of the stack of stamp information

```
\llbracket kind \ g \ nid = BeginNode \ nid';
```

```
nid \notin seen;
seen' = \{nid\} \cup seen;
Some if cond = pred g \ nid;
kind \ g \ if cond = If Node \ cond \ t \ f;
i = find \ index \ nid \ (successors \ of \ (kind \ g \ if cond));
c = (if \ i = 0 \ then \ kind \ g \ cond \ else \ LogicNegationNode \ cond);
rep \ g \ cond \ ce;
ce' = (if \ i = 0 \ then \ ce \ else \ UnaryExpr \ UnaryLogicNegation \ ce);
conds' = ce' \# \ conds;
flow' = registerNewCondition \ g \ c \ (hdOr \ flow \ (stamp \ g))]
\implies Step \ g \ (nid, \ seen, \ conds, \ flow) \ (Some \ (nid', \ seen', \ conds', \ flow' \ \# \ flow)) \ |
```

— Hit an EndNode 1. nid' will be the usage of EndNode 2. pop the conditions and stamp stack

```
[kind\ g\ nid = EndNode;]
```

```
nid \notin seen;
   seen' = \{nid\} \cup seen;
   nid' = any-usage q nid;
   conds' = tl \ conds;
   flow' = tl flow
   \implies Step g (nid, seen, conds, flow) (Some (nid', seen', conds', flow'))
  — We can find a successor edge that is not in seen, go there
  [\neg (is\text{-}EndNode\ (kind\ g\ nid));
    \neg (is\text{-}BeginNode\ (kind\ g\ nid));
   nid \notin seen;
   seen' = \{nid\} \cup seen;
   Some nid' = nextEdge seen' nid g
   \implies Step g (nid, seen, conds, flow) (Some (nid', seen', conds, flow)) |
  — We can cannot find a successor edge that is not in seen, give back None
  [\neg (is\text{-}EndNode\ (kind\ g\ nid));
    \neg (is\text{-}BeginNode\ (kind\ g\ nid));
   nid \notin seen;
   seen' = \{nid\} \cup seen;
   None = nextEdge seen' nid g
    \implies Step g (nid, seen, conds, flow) None |
   — We've already seen this node, give back None
  [nid \in seen] \implies Step \ g \ (nid, seen, conds, flow) \ None
code-pred (modes: i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o \Rightarrow bool) Step \langle proof \rangle
```

The ConditionalEliminationPhase relation is responsible for combining the individual traversal steps from the Step relation and the optimizations from the ConditionalEliminationStep relation to perform a transformation of the whole graph.

```
inductive ConditionalEliminationPhase

:: IRGraph \Rightarrow (ID \times Seen \times Conditions \times StampFlow) \Rightarrow IRGraph \Rightarrow bool
where

— Can do a step and optimise for the current node
[Step g (nid, seen, conds, flow) (Some (nid', seen', conds', flow'));
ConditionalEliminationStep (set conds) (hdOr flow (stamp g)) g nid g';

ConditionalEliminationPhase g' (nid', seen', conds', flow') g'']

\Rightarrow ConditionalEliminationPhase g (nid, seen, conds, flow) g''
```

```
— Can do a step, matches whether optimised or not causing non-determinism We
need to find a way to negate Conditional Elimination Step
 [Step g (nid, seen, conds, flow) (Some (nid', seen', conds', flow'));
   Conditional Elimination Phase \ g \ (nid', seen', conds', flow') \ g'
   \implies Conditional Elimination Phase g (nid, seen, conds, flow) g'
  — Can't do a step but there is a predecessor we can backtrace to
  [Step\ g\ (nid,\ seen,\ conds,\ flow)\ None;
   Some nid' = pred \ g \ nid;
   seen' = \{nid\} \cup seen;
   Conditional Elimination Phase \ g\ (nid', seen', conds, flow) \ g'
   \implies Conditional Elimination Phase g (nid, seen, conds, flow) g'
  — Can't do a step and have no predecessors so terminate
 [Step\ g\ (nid,\ seen,\ conds,\ flow)\ None;
   None = pred \ g \ nid
   \implies Conditional Elimination Phase g (nid, seen, conds, flow) g
code-pred (modes: i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o \Rightarrow bool) ConditionalEliminationPhase \langle proof \rangle
definition runConditionalElimination :: IRGraph <math>\Rightarrow IRGraph where
  runConditionalElimination g =
   (Predicate.the\ (Conditional Elimination Phase-i-i-o\ g\ (0,\ \{\},\ ([],\ []))))
```

 $\mathbf{end}$