

Veriopt Theories

September 11, 2022

Contents

1	Verifying term graph optimizations using Isabelle/HOL	1
1.1	Markup syntax for common operations	1
1.2	Representing canonicalization optimizations	2
1.3	Representing terms	3
1.4	Term semantics	4

1 Verifying term graph optimizations using Isabelle/HOL

```
theory TreeSnippets
imports
  Canonicalizations.ConditionalPhase
  Optimizations.CanonicalizationSyntax
  Semantics.TreeToGraphThms
  Snippets.Snipping
  HOL-Library.OptionalSugar
begin

— First, we disable undesirable markup.
declare [[show-types=false,show-sorts=false]]
no-notation ConditionalExpr (- ? - : -)
translations
  n <= CONST Rep-intexp n
  n <= CONST Rep-i32exp n
```

1.1 Markup syntax for common operations

```
notation (latex)
  kind (-⟨-⟩)

notation (latex)
  valid-value (- ∈ -)

notation (latex)
  val-to-bool (bool-of -)
```

notation (*latex*)
constantAsStamp (*stamp-from-value* -)

notation (*latex*)
size (*trm*(-))

1.2 Representing canonicalization optimizations

We wish to provide an example of the semantics layers at which optimizations can be expressed.

thm *diff-self*
thm *diff-diff-cancel*

algebraic-laws

$$\begin{aligned} x - x &= (0 :: 'a1) \\ y \sqsubseteq x &\implies x - (x - y) = y \end{aligned}$$

lemma *diff-self-value*: $\forall v::\text{int64}. v - v = 0$

by *simp*

lemma *diff-diff-cancel-value*:

$$\forall (v_1::\text{int64}) (v_2::\text{int64}). v_1 - (v_1 - v_2) = v_2$$

by *simp*

algebraic-laws-values

$$\begin{aligned} \forall v :: 64 \text{ word}. v - v &= (0 :: 64 \text{ word}) \\ \forall (v_1::64 \text{ word}) v_2 :: 64 \text{ word}. v_1 - (v_1 - v_2) &= v_2 \end{aligned}$$

translations

$$n \leq \text{CONST ConstantExpr} (\text{CONST IntVal } b \ n)$$

$$x - y \leq \text{CONST BinaryExpr} (\text{CONST BinSub}) \ x \ y$$

notation (*ExprRule* **output**)

Refines ($- \mapsto -$)

lemma *diff-self-expr*:

assumes $\forall m \ p \ v. [m, p] \vdash \text{exp}[e - e] \mapsto \text{IntVal } b \ v$

shows $\text{exp}[e - e] \geq \text{exp}[\text{const} (\text{IntVal } b \ 0)]$

using *assms* **apply** *simp*

by (*metis*(*full-types*) *evalDet* *val-to-bool.simps*(1) *zero-neq-one*)

lemma *diff-diff-cancel-expr*:

shows $\text{exp}[e_1 - (e_1 - e_2)] \geq \text{exp}[e_2]$

apply *simp* **sorry**

algebraic-laws-expressions

$$e - e \mapsto 0$$

$$e_1 - (e_1 - e_2) \mapsto e_2$$

no-translations

$n \leq \text{CONST ConstantExpr } (\text{CONST IntVal } b \ n)$
 $x - y \leq \text{CONST BinaryExpr } (\text{CONST BinSub}) \ x \ y$

definition *wf-stamp* :: *IRExpr* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**

wf-stamp $e = (\forall m \ p \ v. ([m, p] \vdash e \mapsto v) \longrightarrow \text{valid-value } v \ (\text{stamp-expr } e))$

lemma *wf-stamp-eval*:

assumes *wf-stamp* e
assumes *stamp-expr* $e = \text{IntegerStamp } b \ lo \ hi$
shows $\forall m \ p \ v. ([m, p] \vdash e \mapsto v) \longrightarrow (\exists vv. v = \text{IntVal } b \ vv)$
using *assms* **unfolding** *wf-stamp-def*
using *valid-int-same-bits* *valid-int*
by *metis*

phase *SnipPhase*

terminating *size*

begin

lemma *sub-same-val*:

assumes $\text{val}[e - e] = \text{IntVal } b \ v$
shows $\text{val}[e - e] = \text{val}[\text{IntVal } b \ 0]$
using *assms* **by** (*cases* e ; *auto*)

sub-same-32

optimization *sub-same-32*:

$(e - e) \mapsto \text{const } (\text{IntVal } b \ 0)$
when $((\text{stamp-expr } \text{exp}[e - e] = \text{IntegerStamp } b \ lo \ hi) \wedge \text{wf-stamp } \text{exp}[e - e])$

apply *simp*

apply (*metis* *Suc-lessI* *add-is-1* *add-pos-pos* *size-gt-0*)

apply (*rule impI*) **apply** *simp*

proof –

assume *assms*: *stamp-binary* *BinSub* (*stamp-expr* e) (*stamp-expr* e) = *IntegerStamp* $b \ lo \ hi \wedge \text{wf-stamp } \text{exp}[e - e]$

have $\forall m \ p \ v. ([m, p] \vdash \text{exp}[e - e] \mapsto v) \longrightarrow (\exists vv. v = \text{IntVal } b \ vv)$

using *assms* *wf-stamp-eval*

by (*metis* *stamp-expr.simps*(2))

then show $\forall m \ p \ v. ([m, p] \vdash \text{BinaryExpr } \text{BinSub } e \ e \mapsto v) \longrightarrow ([m, p] \vdash \text{ConstantExpr } (\text{IntVal } b \ 0) \mapsto v)$

by (*smt* (*verit*, *best*) *BinaryExprE* *TreeSnippets.wf-stamp-def* *assms* *bin-eval.simps*(3) *constantAsStamp.simps*(1) *evalDet* *stamp-expr.simps*(2) *sub-same-val* *unfold-const*)

```

valid-stamp.simps(1) valid-value.simps(1))
qed
thm-oracles sub-same-32
end

```

1.3 Representing terms

We wish to show a simple example of expressions represented as terms.

ast-example

```

BinaryExpr BinAdd
  (BinaryExpr BinMul x x)
  (BinaryExpr BinMul x x)

```

Then we need to show the datatypes that compose the example expression.

abstract-syntax-tree

```

datatype IExpr =
  UnaryExpr IRUnaryOp IExpr
| BinaryExpr IRBinaryOp IExpr IExpr
| ConditionalExpr IExpr IExpr IExpr
| ParameterExpr nat Stamp
| LeafExpr nat Stamp
| ConstantExpr Value
| ConstantVar (char list)
| VariableExpr (char list) Stamp

```

value

```

datatype Value = UndefVal
| IntVal nat (64 word)
| ObjRef (nat option)
| ObjStr (char list)

```

1.4 Term semantics

The core expression evaluation functions need to be introduced.

eval

unary-eval :: *IRUnaryOp* \Rightarrow *Value* \Rightarrow *Value*

bin-eval :: *IRBinaryOp* \Rightarrow *Value* \Rightarrow *Value* \Rightarrow *Value*

We then provide the full semantics of IR expressions.

no-translations

$(prop) P \wedge Q \Longrightarrow R \leq (prop) P \Longrightarrow Q \Longrightarrow R$

translations

$(prop) P \Longrightarrow Q \Longrightarrow R \leq (prop) P \wedge Q \Longrightarrow R$

tree-semantics

semantics:unary semantics:binary semantics:conditional semantics:constant
semantics:parameter semantics:leaf

no-translations

$(prop) P \Longrightarrow Q \Longrightarrow R \leq (prop) P \wedge Q \Longrightarrow R$

translations

$(prop) P \wedge Q \Longrightarrow R \leq (prop) P \Longrightarrow Q \Longrightarrow R$

And show that expression evaluation is deterministic.

tree-evaluation-deterministic

$[m,p] \vdash e \mapsto v_1 \wedge [m,p] \vdash e \mapsto v_2 \Longrightarrow v_1 = v_2$

We then want to start demonstrating the obligations for optimizations. For this we define refinement over terms.

expression-refinement

$e_1 \sqsupseteq e_2 = (\forall m \ p \ v. [m,p] \vdash e_1 \mapsto v \longrightarrow [m,p] \vdash e_2 \mapsto v)$

To motivate this definition we show the obligations generated by optimization definitions.

phase *SnipPhase*

terminating *size*

begin

InverseLeftSub

optimization *InverseLeftSub*:

$((e_1::intexp) - (e_2::intexp)) + e_2 \mapsto e_1$

InverseLeftSubObligation

1. $trm(e_1) < trm(BinaryExpr\ BinAdd\ (BinaryExpr\ BinSub\ e_1\ e_2)\ e_2)$
2. $BinaryExpr\ BinAdd\ (BinaryExpr\ BinSub\ e_1\ e_2)\ e_2 \sqsupseteq e_1$

using *neutral-left-add-sub* **by** *auto*

InverseRightSub

optimization *InverseRightSub*: $(e_2::intexp) + ((e_1::intexp) - e_2) \mapsto e_1$

InverseRightSubObligation

1. $trm(e_1) < trm(BinaryExpr\ BinAdd\ e_2\ (BinaryExpr\ BinSub\ e_1\ e_2))$
2. $BinaryExpr\ BinAdd\ e_2\ (BinaryExpr\ BinSub\ e_1\ e_2) \sqsupseteq e_1$

using *neutral-right-add-sub* **by** *auto*

end

expression-refinement-monotone

$$\begin{array}{ll}
 e \sqsupseteq e' & \implies UnaryExpr\ op\ e \sqsupseteq UnaryExpr\ op\ e' \\
 x \sqsupseteq x' \wedge y \sqsupseteq y' & \implies BinaryExpr\ op\ x\ y \sqsupseteq BinaryExpr\ op\ x'\ y' \\
 ce \sqsupseteq ce' \wedge te \sqsupseteq te' \wedge fe \sqsupseteq fe' & \implies ConditionalExpr\ ce\ te\ fe \sqsupseteq ConditionalExpr\ ce'\ te'\ fe'
 \end{array}$$

phase *SnipPhase*

terminating *size*

begin

BinaryFoldConstant

optimization *BinaryFoldConstant*: $BinaryExpr\ op\ (const\ v1)\ (const\ v2) \mapsto ConstantExpr\ (bin-eval\ op\ v1\ v2)$ when *int-and-equal-bits* $v1\ v2$

BinaryFoldConstantObligation

1. $int-and-equal-bits\ v1\ v2 \implies$
 $trm(ConstantExpr\ (bin-eval\ op\ v1\ v2)) < trm(BinaryExpr\ op\ (ConstantExpr\ v1)\ (ConstantExpr\ v2))$
2. $int-and-equal-bits\ v1\ v2 \implies$
 $BinaryExpr\ op\ (ConstantExpr\ v1)\ (ConstantExpr\ v2) \sqsupseteq ConstantExpr\ (bin-eval\ op\ v1\ v2)$

using *BinaryFoldConstant* **by** *auto*

AddCommuteConstantRight

optimization *AddCommuteConstantRight*:

$((\text{const } v) + y) \mapsto y + (\text{const } v) \text{ when } \neg(\text{is-ConstantExpr } y)$

AddCommuteConstantRightObligation

1. $\neg \text{is-ConstantExpr } y \longrightarrow$
 $\text{trm}(\text{BinaryExpr BinAdd } y (\text{ConstantExpr } v))$
 $< \text{trm}(\text{BinaryExpr BinAdd } (\text{ConstantExpr } v) y)$
2. $\neg \text{is-ConstantExpr } y \longrightarrow$
 $\text{BinaryExpr BinAdd } (\text{ConstantExpr } v) y \sqsubseteq$
 $\text{BinaryExpr BinAdd } y (\text{ConstantExpr } v)$

using *AddShiftConstantRight* **by** *auto*

AddNeutral

optimization *AddNeutral*: $((e::i32\text{exp}) + (\text{const } (\text{IntVal } 32 \ 0))) \mapsto e$

AddNeutralObligation

1. $\text{trm}(e) < \text{trm}(\text{BinaryExpr BinAdd } e (\text{ConstantExpr } (\text{IntVal } 32 \ 0)))$
2. $\text{BinaryExpr BinAdd } e (\text{ConstantExpr } (\text{IntVal } 32 \ 0)) \sqsubseteq e$

apply (rule *conjE*, *simp*, *simp del: le-expr-def*)

using *neutral-zero(1)* *rewrite-preservation.simps(1)* **by** *blast*

AddToSub

optimization *AddToSub*: $-e + y \mapsto y - e$

AddToSubObligation

1. $\text{trm}(\text{BinaryExpr BinSub } y e) < \text{trm}(\text{BinaryExpr BinAdd } (\text{UnaryExpr UnaryNeg } e) y)$
2. $\text{BinaryExpr BinAdd } (\text{UnaryExpr UnaryNeg } e) y \sqsubseteq \text{BinaryExpr BinSub } y e$

using *AddLeftNegateToSub* **by** *auto*

end

definition *trm* **where** $\text{trm} = \text{size}$

phase

phase *AddCanonicalizations*
 terminating *trm*
 begin...**end**

hide-const (**open**) *Form.wf-stamp*

phase-example

phase *Conditional*
 terminating *trm*
 begin

phase-example-1

optimization *negate-condition*: $((!e) \text{ ? } x : y) \mapsto (e \text{ ? } y : x)$

using *ConditionalPhase.NegateConditionFlipBranches*
by (*auto simp: trm-def*)

phase-example-2

optimization *const-true*: $(\text{true} \text{ ? } x : y) \mapsto x$

by (*auto simp: trm-def*)

phase-example-3

optimization *const-false*: $(\text{false} \text{ ? } x : y) \mapsto y$

by (*auto simp: trm-def*)

phase-example-4

optimization *equal-branches*: $(e \text{ ? } x : x) \mapsto x$

by (*auto simp: trm-def*)

phase-example-7

end

termination

$$\begin{aligned} \text{trm}(\text{UnaryExpr } op \ e) &= \text{trm}(e) + 1 \\ \text{trm}(\text{BinaryExpr } \text{BinAdd } x \ y) &= \text{trm}(x) + 2 * \text{trm}(y) \\ \text{trm}(\text{BinaryExpr } \text{BinXor } x \ y) &= \text{trm}(x) + \text{trm}(y) \\ \text{trm}(\text{ConditionalExpr } \text{cond } t \ f) &= \text{trm}(\text{cond}) + \text{trm}(t) + \text{trm}(f) + 2 \\ \text{trm}(\text{ConstantExpr } c) &= 1 \\ \text{trm}(\text{ParameterExpr } \text{ind } s) &= 2 \end{aligned}$$

graph-representation

typedef IRGraph =
 $\{g :: ID \rightarrow (IRNode \times Stamp) . \text{finite } (\text{dom } g)\}$

no-translations

$(prop) \ P \wedge Q \implies R \leq (prop) \ P \implies Q \implies R$

translations

$(prop) \ P \implies Q \implies R \leq (prop) \ P \wedge Q \implies R$

graph2tree

rep:constant rep:parameter rep:conditional rep:unary rep:convert
 rep:binary rep:leaf rep:ref

no-translations

$(prop) \ P \implies Q \implies R \leq (prop) \ P \wedge Q \implies R$

translations

$(prop) \ P \wedge Q \implies R \leq (prop) \ P \implies Q \implies R$

preeval

is-preevaluated (*InvokeNode* *n uu uv uw ux uy*) = *True*
is-preevaluated (*InvokeWithExceptionNode* *n uz va vb vc vd ve*) = *True*
is-preevaluated (*NewInstanceNode* *n vf vg vh*) = *True*
is-preevaluated (*LoadFieldNode* *n vi vj vk*) = *True*
is-preevaluated (*SignedDivNode* *n vl vm vn vo vp*) = *True*
is-preevaluated (*SignedRemNode* *n vq vr vs vt vu*) = *True*
is-preevaluated (*ValuePhiNode* *n vv vw*) = *True*
is-preevaluated (*AbsNode* *v*) = *False*
is-preevaluated (*AddNode* *v va*) = *False*
is-preevaluated (*AndNode* *v va*) = *False*
is-preevaluated (*BeginNode* *v*) = *False*
is-preevaluated (*BytecodeExceptionNode* *v va vb*) = *False*
is-preevaluated (*ConditionalNode* *v va vb*) = *False*
is-preevaluated (*ConstantNode* *v*) = *False*
is-preevaluated (*DynamicNewArrayNode* *v va vb vc vd*) = *False*
is-preevaluated *EndNode* = *False*
is-preevaluated (*ExceptionObjectNode* *v va*) = *False*
is-preevaluated (*FrameState* *v va vb vc*) = *False*
is-preevaluated (*IfNode* *v va vb*) = *False*
is-preevaluated (*IntegerBelowNode* *v va*) = *False*
is-preevaluated (*IntegerEqualsNode* *v va*) = *False*
is-preevaluated (*IntegerLessThanNode* *v va*) = *False*
is-preevaluated (*IsNullNode* *v*) = *False*
is-preevaluated (*KillingBeginNode* *v*) = *False*
is-preevaluated (*LeftShiftNode* *v va*) = *False*
is-preevaluated (*LogicNegationNode* *v*) = *False*
is-preevaluated (*LoopBeginNode* *v va vb vc*) = *False*
is-preevaluated (*LoopEndNode* *v*) = *False*
is-preevaluated (*LoopExitNode* *v va vb*) = *False*
is-preevaluated (*MergeNode* *v va vb*) = *False*
is-preevaluated (*MethodCallTargetNode* *v va*) = *False*
is-preevaluated (*MulNode* *v va*) = *False*
is-preevaluated (*NarrowNode* *v va vb*) = *False*
is-preevaluated (*NegateNode* *v*) = *False*
is-preevaluated (*NewArrayNode* *v va vb*) = *False*
is-preevaluated (*NotNode* *v*) = *False*
is-preevaluated (*OrNode* *v va*) = *False*
is-preevaluated (*ParameterNode* *v*) = *False*
is-preevaluated (*PiNode* *v va*) = *False*
is-preevaluated (*ReturnNode* *v va*) = *False*
is-preevaluated (*RightShiftNode* *v va*) = *False*
is-preevaluated (*ShortCircuitOrNode* *v va*) = *False*
is-preevaluated (*SignExtendNode* *v va vb*) = *False*

deterministic-representation

$$g \vdash n \simeq e_1 \wedge g \vdash n \simeq e_2 \implies e_1 = e_2$$

thm-oracles *repDet*

well-formed-term-graph

$$\exists e. g \vdash n \simeq e \wedge (\exists v. [m,p] \vdash e \mapsto v)$$

graph-semantics

$$([g,m,p] \vdash n \mapsto v) = (\exists e. g \vdash n \simeq e \wedge [m,p] \vdash e \mapsto v)$$

graph-semantics-deterministic

$$[g,m,p] \vdash n \mapsto v_1 \wedge [g,m,p] \vdash n \mapsto v_2 \implies v_1 = v_2$$

thm-oracles *graphDet*

notation (*latex*)

graph-refinement (*term-graph-refinement -*)

graph-refinement

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{term-graph-refinement } g_1 \ g_2 = \\ & (\text{ids } g_1 \subseteq \text{ids } g_2 \wedge \\ & (\forall n. n \in \text{ids } g_1 \longrightarrow (\forall e. g_1 \vdash n \simeq e \longrightarrow g_2 \vdash n \sqsubseteq e))) \end{aligned}$$

translations

$n \leq \text{CONST}$ as-set n

graph-semantics-preservation

$$\begin{aligned} & e_1' \sqsupseteq e_2' \wedge \\ & \{n\} \triangleleft g_1 \subseteq g_2 \wedge \\ & g_1 \vdash n \simeq e_1' \wedge g_2 \vdash n \simeq e_2' \implies \\ & \text{term-graph-refinement } g_1 \ g_2 \end{aligned}$$

thm-oracles *graph-semantics-preservation-subscript*

maximal-sharing

$\text{maximal-sharing } g =$
 $(\forall n_1 n_2.$
 $n_1 \in \text{true-ids } g \wedge n_2 \in \text{true-ids } g \longrightarrow$
 $(\forall e. g \vdash n_1 \simeq e \wedge$
 $g \vdash n_2 \simeq e \wedge \text{stamp } g \ n_1 = \text{stamp } g \ n_2 \longrightarrow$
 $n_1 = n_2))$

tree-to-graph-rewriting

$e_1 \sqsupseteq e_2 \wedge$
 $g_1 \vdash n \simeq e_1 \wedge$
 $\text{maximal-sharing } g_1 \wedge$
 $\{n\} \triangleleft g_1 \subseteq g_2 \wedge$
 $g_2 \vdash n \simeq e_2 \wedge$
 $\text{maximal-sharing } g_2 \implies$
 $\text{term-graph-refinement } g_1 \ g_2$

thm-oracles *tree-to-graph-rewriting*

term-graph-refines-term

$(g \vdash n \sqsubseteq e) = (\exists e'. g \vdash n \simeq e' \wedge e \sqsupseteq e')$

term-graph-evaluation

$g \vdash n \sqsubseteq e \implies \forall m \ p \ v. [m, p] \vdash e \mapsto v \longrightarrow [g, m, p] \vdash n \mapsto v$

graph-construction

$e_1 \sqsupseteq e_2 \wedge g_1 \subseteq g_2 \wedge g_2 \vdash n \simeq e_2 \implies$
 $g_2 \vdash n \sqsubseteq e_1 \wedge \text{term-graph-refinement } g_1 \ g_2$

thm-oracles *graph-construction*

term-graph-reconstruction

$g \oplus e \rightsquigarrow (g', n) \implies g' \vdash n \simeq e \wedge g \subseteq g'$

refined-insert

$$e_1 \sqsupseteq e_2 \wedge g_1 \oplus e_2 \rightsquigarrow (g_2, n') \implies \\ g_2 \vdash n' \sqsubseteq e_1 \wedge \text{term-graph-refinement } g_1 \ g_2$$

end

theory *SlideSnippets*

imports

Semantics.TreeToGraphThms

Snippets.Snipping

begin

notation (*latex*)

kind ($-\langle\!\langle\!-\!\rangle\!\rangle$)

notation (*latex*)

IRTreeEval.ord-IRExpr-inst.less-eq-IRExpr ($-\mapsto -$)

abstract-syntax-tree

datatype *IRExpr* =

UnaryExpr IRUnaryOp IRExpr
 $|$ *BinaryExpr IRBinaryOp IRExpr IRExpr*
 $|$ *ConditionalExpr IRExpr IRExpr IRExpr*
 $|$ *ParameterExpr nat Stamp*
 $|$ *LeafExpr nat Stamp*
 $|$ *ConstantExpr Value*
 $|$ *ConstantVar (char list)*
 $|$ *VariableExpr (char list) Stamp*

tree-semantics

semantics:constant semantics:parameter semantics:unary semantics:binary semantics:leaf

expression-refinement

$$(e_1::IRExpr) \sqsupseteq (e_2::IRExpr) = (\forall (m::nat \Rightarrow Value) (p::Value\ list) \\ v::Value. [m,p] \vdash e_1 \mapsto v \longrightarrow [m,p] \vdash e_2 \mapsto v)$$

graph2tree

semantics:constant semantics:unary semantics:binary

graph-semantics

$$([g::IRGraph, m::nat \Rightarrow Value, p::Value\ list] \vdash n::nat \mapsto v::Value) = (\exists e::IRExpr. g \vdash n \simeq e \wedge [m, p] \vdash e \mapsto v)$$

graph-refinement

$$\begin{aligned} \text{graph-refinement } (g_1::IRGraph) (g_2::IRGraph) = \\ (ids\ g_1 \subseteq ids\ g_2 \wedge \\ (\forall n::nat. \\ n \in ids\ g_1 \longrightarrow (\forall e::IRExpr. g_1 \vdash n \simeq e \longrightarrow g_2 \vdash n \preceq e))) \end{aligned}$$

translations

$$n \leq CONST\ as\text{-}set\ n$$

graph-semantics-preservation

$$\begin{aligned} \llbracket (e1'::IRExpr) \sqsupseteq \\ (e2'::IRExpr); \\ \{n'::nat\} \triangleleft g1::IRGraph \\ \subseteq (g2::IRGraph); \\ g1 \vdash n' \simeq e1'; g2 \vdash n' \simeq e2' \rrbracket \\ \implies \text{graph-refinement } g1\ g2 \end{aligned}$$

maximal-sharing

$$\begin{aligned} \text{maximal-sharing } (g::IRGraph) = \\ (\forall (n_1::nat) n_2::nat. \\ n_1 \in \text{true-ids } g \wedge n_2 \in \text{true-ids } g \longrightarrow \\ (\forall e::IRExpr. \\ g \vdash n_1 \simeq e \wedge \\ g \vdash n_2 \simeq e \wedge \text{stamp } g\ n_1 = \text{stamp } g\ n_2 \longrightarrow \\ n_1 = n_2)) \end{aligned}$$

tree-to-graph-rewriting

$$\begin{aligned} & (e_1::IRExpr) \sqsupseteq (e_2::IRExpr) \wedge \\ & g_1::IRGraph \vdash n::nat \simeq e_1 \wedge \\ & \text{maximal-sharing } g_1 \wedge \\ & \{n\} \triangleleft g_1 \subseteq (g_2::IRGraph) \wedge \\ & g_2 \vdash n \simeq e_2 \wedge \text{maximal-sharing } g_2 \implies \\ & \text{graph-refinement } g_1 \ g_2 \end{aligned}$$

graph-represents-expression

$$(g::IRGraph \vdash n::nat \leq e::IRExpr) = (\exists e'::IRExpr. g \vdash n \simeq e' \wedge e \sqsupseteq e')$$

graph-construction

$$\begin{aligned} & (e_1::IRExpr) \sqsupseteq (e_2::IRExpr) \wedge \\ & (g_1::IRGraph) \subseteq (g_2::IRGraph) \wedge \\ & g_2 \vdash n::nat \simeq e_2 \implies \\ & g_2 \vdash n \leq e_1 \wedge \text{graph-refinement } g_1 \ g_2 \end{aligned}$$

end