

Veriopt

August 31, 2022

Abstract

The Veriopt project aims to prove the optimization pass of the GraalVM compiler. The GraalVM compiler includes a sophisticated Intermediate Representation (IR) in the form of a sea-of-nodes based graph structure. We first define the IR graph structure in the Isabelle/HOL interactive theorem prover. We subsequently give the evaluation of the structure a semantics based on the current understanding of the purpose of each IR graph node. Optimization phases are then encoded including the static analysis passes required for an optimization. Each optimization phase is proved to be correct by proving that a bisimulation exists between the unoptimized and optimized graphs. The following document has been automatically generated from the Isabelle/HOL source to provide a very comprehensive definition of the semantics and optimizations introduced by the Veriopt project.

Contents

1	Optimizations for Abs Nodes	3
2	Optimizations for Add Nodes	8
3	Optimizations for And Nodes	11
3.1	Conditional Expression	14
4	Optimizations for Mul Nodes	17
5	Optimizations for Negate Nodes	21
6	Optimizations for Not Nodes	22
7	Optimizations for Or Nodes	23
8	Optimizations for SignedDiv Nodes	25
9	Optimizations for Sub Nodes	25
10	Optimizations for Xor Nodes	29

```

theory AbsPhase
  imports
    Common

```

```

begin

```

1 Optimizations for Abs Nodes

```

phase AbsPhase
  terminating size
begin

```

```

lemma abs-pos:
  fixes  $v :: ('a :: \text{len word})$ 
  assumes  $0 \leq_s v$ 
  shows  $(\text{if } v <_s 0 \text{ then } -v \text{ else } v) = v$ 
  by (simp add: assms signed.leD)

```

```

lemma abs-neg:
  fixes  $v :: ('a :: \text{len word})$ 
  assumes  $v <_s 0$ 
  assumes  $-(2^{\wedge}(\text{Nat.size } v - 1)) <_s v$ 
  shows  $(\text{if } v <_s 0 \text{ then } -v \text{ else } v) = -v \wedge 0 <_s -v$ 
  by (smt (verit, ccfv-SIG) assms(1) assms(2) signed-take-bit-int-greater-eq-minus-exp

    signed-take-bit-int-greater-eq-self-iff sint-0 sint-word-ariths(4) word-sless-alt)

```

```

lemma abs-max-neg:
  fixes  $v :: ('a :: \text{len word})$ 
  assumes  $v <_s 0$ 
  assumes  $-(2^{\wedge}(\text{Nat.size } v - 1)) = v$ 
  shows  $-v = v$ 
  using assms
  by (metis One-nat-def add.inverse-neutral double-eq-zero-iff mult-minus-right
    size-word.rep-eq)

```

```

lemma final-abs:
  fixes  $v :: ('a :: \text{len word})$ 
  assumes take-bit  $(\text{Nat.size } v) \ v = v$ 
  assumes  $-(2^{\wedge}(\text{Nat.size } v - 1)) \neq v$ 
  shows  $0 \leq_s (\text{if } v <_s 0 \text{ then } -v \text{ else } v)$ 

```

```

proof (cases v <_s 0)
  case True
  then show ?thesis
  proof (cases v = -(2^{\wedge}(\text{Nat.size } v - 1)))

```

```

    case True
    then show ?thesis using abs-max-neg
        using assms by presburger
next
    case False
    then have  $-(2 \wedge (\text{Nat.size } v - 1)) < s \ v$ 
        unfolding word-sless-def using signed-take-bit-int-greater-self-iff
        by (smt (verit, best) One-nat-def diff-less double-eq-zero-iff len-gt-0 lessI
less-irrefl mult-minus-right neg-equal-0-iff-equal signed.rep-eq signed-of-int signed-take-bit-int-greater-eq-self-iff
signed-word-eqI sint-0 sint-range-size sint-sbintrunc' sint-word-ariths(4) size-word.rep-eq
unsigned-0 word-2p-lem word-sless.rep-eq word-sless-def)
        then show ?thesis
            using abs-neg abs-pos signed.nless-le by auto
    qed
next
    case False
    then show ?thesis using abs-pos by auto
qed

```

```

lemma wf-abs: is-IntVal x  $\implies$  intval-abs x  $\neq$  UndefVal
  using intval-abs.simps unfolding new-int.simps
  using is-IntVal-def by force

```

```

fun bin-abs :: 'a :: len word  $\Rightarrow$  'a :: len word where
  bin-abs v = (if (v < s 0) then (- v) else v)

```

```

lemma val-abs-zero:
  intval-abs (new-int b 0) = new-int b 0
  by simp

```

```

lemma less-eq-zero:
  assumes val-to-bool (val[(IntVal b 0) < (IntVal b v)])
  shows int-signed-value b v > 0
  using assms unfolding intval-less-than.simps(1) apply simp
  by (metis bool-to-val.elims val-to-bool.simps(1))

```

```

lemma val-abs-pos:
  assumes val-to-bool(val[(new-int b 0) < (new-int b v)])
  shows intval-abs (new-int b v) = (new-int b v)
  using assms using less-eq-zero unfolding intval-abs.simps new-int.simps
  by force

```

```

lemma val-abs-neg:
  assumes val-to-bool(val[(new-int b v) < (new-int b 0)])

```

```

shows intval-abs (new-int b v) = intval-negate (new-int b v)
using assms using less-eq-zero unfolding intval-abs.simps new-int.simps
by force

lemma val-bool-unwrap:
  val-to-bool (bool-to-val v) = v
by (metis bool-to-val.elims one-neq-zero val-to-bool.simps(1))

lemma take-bit-unwrap:
  b = 64  $\implies$  take-bit b (v1::64 word) = v1
by (metis size64 size-word.rep-eq take-bit-length-eq)

lemma bit-less-eq-def:
  fixes v1 v2 :: 64 word
  assumes b  $\leq$  64
  shows sint (signed-take-bit (b - Suc (0::nat)) (take-bit b v1))
    < sint (signed-take-bit (b - Suc (0::nat)) (take-bit b v2))  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
    signed-take-bit (63::nat) (Word.rep v1) < signed-take-bit (63::nat) (Word.rep
v2)
  using assms sorry

lemma less-eq-def:

  shows val-to-bool(val[(new-int b v1) < (new-int b v2)])  $\longleftrightarrow$  v1 < s v2
  unfolding new-int.simps intval-less-than.simps bool-to-val-bin.simps bool-to-val.simps
int-signed-value.simps apply (simp add: val-bool-unwrap)
  apply auto unfolding word-sless-def apply auto
  unfolding signed-def apply auto using bit-less-eq-def
  apply (metis bot-nat-0.extremum take-bit-0)
  by (metis bit-less-eq-def bot-nat-0.extremum take-bit-0)

lemma val-abs-always-pos:
  assumes intval-abs (new-int b v) = (new-int b v')
  shows 0  $\leq$  s v'
  using assms
proof (cases v = 0)
  case True
  then have v' = 0
  using val-abs-zero assms
  by (smt (verit, ccfv-threshold) Suc-diff-1 bit-less-eq-def bot-nat-0.extremum
diff-is-0-eq len-gt-0 len-of-numeral-defs(2) order-le-less signed-eq-0-iff take-bit-0 take-bit-signed-take-bit
take-bit-unwrap)
  then show ?thesis by simp
next
  case neq0: False
  then show ?thesis
proof (cases val-to-bool(val[(new-int b 0) < (new-int b v)]))
  case True
  then show ?thesis using less-eq-def

```

```

    using assms val-abs-pos
    by (smt (verit, ccfv-SIG) One-nat-def Suc-leI bit.compl-one bit-less-eq-def
cancel-comm-monoid-add-class.diff-cancel diff-zero len-gt-0 len-of-numeral-defs(2)
mask-0 mask-1 one-le-numeral one-neq-zero signed-word-eqI take-bit-dist-subL take-bit-minus-one-eq-mask
take-bit-not-eq-mask-diff take-bit-signed-take-bit zero-le-numeral)
next
case False
then have val-to-bool(val[(new-int b v) < (new-int b 0)])
using neq0 less-eq-def
by (metis new-int.simps signed.less-irrefl signed.neqE take-bit-0 zero-le)
then show ?thesis using val-abs-neg less-eq-def unfolding new-int.simps
intval-negate.simps
by (metis signed.nless-le signed.not-less take-bit-0 zero-le-numeral)
qed

```

qed

lemma *intval-abs-elim:*

```

  assumes intval-abs x ≠ UndefVal
  shows ∃ t v . x = IntVal t v ∧ intval-abs x = new-int t (if int-signed-value t v <
0 then - v else v)
  using assms
  by (meson intval-abs.elims)

```

lemma *wf-abs-new-int:*

```

  assumes intval-abs (IntVal t v) ≠ UndefVal
  shows intval-abs (IntVal t v) = new-int t v ∨ intval-abs (IntVal t v) = new-int t
(-v)
  using assms
  using intval-abs.simps(1) by presburger

```

lemma *mono-undef-abs:*

```

  assumes intval-abs (intval-abs x) ≠ UndefVal
  shows intval-abs x ≠ UndefVal
  using assms
  by force

```

lemma *val-abs-idem:*

```

  assumes intval-abs(intval-abs(x)) ≠ UndefVal
  shows intval-abs(intval-abs(x)) = intval-abs x
  using assms

```

proof –

```

  obtain b v where in-def: intval-abs x = new-int b v
  using assms intval-abs-elim mono-undef-abs by blast
  then show ?thesis
  proof (cases val-to-bool(val[(new-int b v) < (new-int b 0)]))
    case True

```

```

    then have nested: (intval-abs (intval-abs x)) = new-int b (-v)
      using val-abs-neg intval-negate.simps in-def
      by simp
    then have x = new-int b (-v)
      using in-def True unfolding new-int.simps
      by (smt (verit, best) intval-abs.simps(1) less-eq-def less-eq-zero less-numeral-extra(1)
mask-1 mask-eq-take-bit-minus-one neg-one.elims neg-one-signed new-int.simps one-le-numeral
one-neq-zero signed.neqE signed.not-less take-bit-of-0 val-abs-always-pos)
    then show ?thesis using val-abs-always-pos
      using True in-def less-eq-def signed.leD
      using signed.nless-le by blast
  next
  case False
  then show ?thesis
    using in-def by force
qed
qed

```

```

lemma val-abs-negate:
  assumes  $x \neq \text{UndefVal} \wedge \text{intval-negate } x \neq \text{UndefVal} \wedge \text{intval-abs}(\text{intval-negate } x) \neq \text{UndefVal}$ 
  shows  $\text{intval-abs}(\text{intval-negate } x) = \text{intval-abs } x$ 
  using assms apply (cases x; auto)
  apply (metis less-eq-def new-int.simps signed.dual-order.strict-iff-not signed.less-linear
take-bit-0 zero-le)
  by (smt (verit, ccfv-threshold) add.inverse-neutral intval-abs.simps(1) less-eq-def
less-eq-zero less-numeral-extra(1) mask-1 mask-eq-take-bit-minus-one neg-one.elims
neg-one-signed new-int.simps one-le-numeral one-neq-zero signed.order.order-iff-strict
take-bit-of-0 val-abs-always-pos)

```

```

optimization abs-idempotence:  $\text{abs}(\text{abs}(x)) \mapsto \text{abs}(x)$ 
  apply auto
  by (metis UnaryExpr unary-eval.simps(1) val-abs-idem)

```

```

optimization abs-negate:  $\text{abs}(-x) \mapsto \text{abs}(x)$ 
  apply auto using val-abs-negate
  by (metis evaltree-not-undef unary-eval.simps(1) unfold-unary)

```

end

end

theory AddPhase

imports

Common

begin

2 Optimizations for Add Nodes

phase *SnipPhase*
terminating *size*
begin

optimization *BinaryFoldConstant*: $\text{BinaryExpr } op \text{ (const } v1) \text{ (const } v2) \mapsto \text{ConstantExpr (bin-eval } op \text{ } v1 \text{ } v2)$
apply (*cases op; simp*)
unfolding *le-expr-def*
apply (*rule allI impI*) +
subgoal premises *bin* **for** *m p v*
print-facts
apply (*rule BinaryExprE[OF bin]*)
subgoal premises *prems* **for** *x y*
print-facts

proof –
have *x*: $x = v1$ **using** *prems* **by** *auto*
have *y*: $y = v2$ **using** *prems* **by** *auto*
have *xy*: $v = \text{bin-eval } op \text{ } x \text{ } y$ **using** *prems x y* **by** *simp*
have *int*: $\exists b \text{ } vv . v = \text{new-int } b \text{ } vv$ **using** *bin-eval-new-int prems* **by** *fast*
show *?thesis*
unfolding *prems x y xy*
apply (*rule ConstantExpr*)
apply (*rule validDefIntConst*)
using *prems x y xy int* **sorry**
qed
done
done

print-facts

lemma *binadd-commute*:
assumes $\text{bin-eval BinAdd } x \text{ } y \neq \text{UndefVal}$
shows $\text{bin-eval BinAdd } x \text{ } y = \text{bin-eval BinAdd } y \text{ } x$
using *assms intval-add-sym* **by** *simp*

optimization *AddShiftConstantRight*: $((\text{const } v) + y) \mapsto y + (\text{const } v)$ *when*
 $\neg(\text{is-ConstantExpr } y)$
using *size-non-const* **apply** *fastforce*
unfolding *le-expr-def*
apply (*rule impI*)
subgoal premises *1*
apply (*rule allI impI*) +


```

subgoal premises 2 for m p va
  apply (rule BinaryExprE[OF 2])
subgoal premises 3 for x ya
  apply (rule BinaryExpr)
  using 3 apply simp
  using 3 apply simp
  using 3 binadd-commute apply auto
done
done
done
done

```

optimization *AddShiftConstantRight2*: $((\text{const } v) + y) \mapsto y + (\text{const } v)$ when $\neg(\text{is-ConstantExpr } y)$

```

unfolding le-expr-def
  apply (auto simp: intval-add-sym)

using size-non-const by fastforce

```

lemma *is-neutral-0* [simp]:

```

assumes 1: intval-add (IntVal b x) (IntVal b 0)  $\neq$  UndefVal
shows intval-add (IntVal b x) (IntVal b 0) = (new-int b x)
using 1 by auto

```

optimization *AddNeutral*: $(e + (\text{const } (\text{IntVal } 32 \ 0))) \mapsto e$

```

unfolding le-expr-def apply auto
using is-neutral-0 eval-unused-bits-zero
  by (smt (verit) add-cancel-left-right intval-add.elims val-to-bool.simps(1))

```

ML-val $\langle @\{term \ \langle x = y \rangle\} \rangle$

lemma *NeutralLeftSubVal*:

```

assumes e1 = new-int b ival
shows val[(e1 - e2) + e2]  $\approx$  e1
apply simp using assms by (cases e1; cases e2; auto)

```

optimization *NeutralLeftSub*: $((e_1 - e_2) + e_2) \mapsto e_1$

```

apply auto using eval-unused-bits-zero NeutralLeftSubVal
unfolding well-formed-equal-defn
  by (smt (verit) evalDet intval-sub.elims new-int.elims)

```

lemma *allE2*: $(\forall x y. P x y) \implies (P a b \implies R) \implies R$
by *simp*

lemma *just-goal2*:
assumes *I*: $(\forall a b. (\text{intval-add } (\text{intval-sub } a b) b \neq \text{UndefVal} \wedge a \neq \text{UndefVal}) \implies$
 $\text{intval-add } (\text{intval-sub } a b) b = a)$
shows $(\text{BinaryExpr BinAdd } (\text{BinaryExpr BinSub } e_1 e_2) e_2) \geq e_1$
unfolding *le-expr-def* *unfold-binary* *bin-eval.simps*
by (*metis 1 evalDet evaltree-not-undef*)

optimization *NeutralRightSub*: $e_2 + (e_1 - e_2) \mapsto e_1$
by (*smt (verit, del-ists) BinaryExpr BinaryExprE NeutralLeftSub(1) binadd-commute*
le-expr-def rewrite-preservation.simps(1))

lemma *AddToSubHelperLowLevel*:
shows $\text{intval-add } (\text{intval-negate } e) y = \text{intval-sub } y e$ (**is** $?x = ?y$)
by (*induction y; induction e; auto*)

optimization *AddToSub*: $-e + y \mapsto y - e$
using *AddToSubHelperLowLevel* **by** *auto*

print-phases

lemma *val-redundant-add-sub*:
assumes $a = \text{new-int } bb \text{ ival}$
assumes $\text{val}[b + a] \neq \text{UndefVal}$
shows $\text{val}[(b + a) - b] = a$
using *assms* **apply** (*cases a; cases b; auto*)
by *presburger*

lemma *val-add-right-negate-to-sub*:
assumes $\text{val}[x + e] \neq \text{UndefVal}$
shows $\text{val}[x + (-e)] = \text{val}[x - e]$
using *assms* **by** (*cases x; cases e; auto*)

```

lemma exp-add-left-negate-to-sub:
   $\text{exp}[-e + y] \geq \text{exp}[y - e]$ 
  apply (cases e; cases y; auto)
  using AddToSubHelperLowLevel by auto+

optimization opt-redundant-sub-add:  $(b + a) - b \mapsto a$ 
  apply auto using val-redundant-add-sub eval-unused-bits-zero
  by (smt (verit) evalDet intval-add.elims new-int.elims)

optimization opt-add-right-negate-to-sub:  $(x + (-e)) \mapsto x - e$ 
  using AddToSubHelperLowLevel intval-add-sym by auto

optimization opt-add-left-negate-to-sub:  $-x + y \mapsto y - x$ 
  using exp-add-left-negate-to-sub by blast

```

end

```

end
theory AndPhase
  imports
    Common
    NewAnd
begin

```

3 Optimizations for And Nodes

```

phase AndPhase
  terminating size
begin

```

```

lemma bin-and-nots:
   $(\sim x \ \& \ \sim y) = (\sim (x \mid y))$ 
  by simp

```

```

lemma bin-and-neutral:
   $(x \ \& \ \sim \text{False}) = x$ 
  by simp

```

```

lemma val-and-equal:
  assumes  $x = \text{new-int } b \ v$ 

```

```

assumes  $val[x \ \& \ x] \neq \text{UndefVal}$ 
shows  $val[x \ \& \ x] = x$ 
using assms
by (cases x; auto)

```

```

lemma val-and-nots:
 $val[\sim x \ \& \ \sim y] = val[\sim(x \mid y)]$ 
apply (cases x; cases y; auto)
by (simp add: take-bit-not-take-bit)

```

```

lemma val-and-neutral:
assumes  $x = \text{new-int } b \ v$ 
assumes  $val[x \ \& \ \sim(\text{new-int } b' \ 0)] \neq \text{UndefVal}$ 
shows  $val[x \ \& \ \sim(\text{new-int } b' \ 0)] = x$ 
using assms
apply (cases x; auto)
apply (simp add: take-bit-eq-mask)
by presburger

```

```

lemma val-and-sign-extend:
assumes  $e = (1 << \text{In}) - 1$ 
shows  $val[(\text{intval-sign-extend } \text{In } \text{Out } x) \ \& \ (\text{IntVal } 32 \ e)] = \text{intval-zero-extend } \text{In}$ 
 $\text{Out } x$ 
using assms apply (cases x; auto)
sorry

```

```

lemma val-and-sign-extend-2:
assumes  $e = (1 << \text{In}) - 1 \wedge \text{intval-and } (\text{intval-sign-extend } \text{In } \text{Out } x) \ (\text{IntVal } 32 \ e) \neq \text{UndefVal}$ 
shows  $val[(\text{intval-sign-extend } \text{In } \text{Out } x) \ \& \ (\text{IntVal } 32 \ e)] = \text{intval-zero-extend } \text{In}$ 
 $\text{Out } x$ 
using assms apply (cases x; auto)
sorry

```

```

lemma val-and-zero:
assumes  $x = \text{new-int } b \ v$ 
shows  $val[x \ \& \ (\text{IntVal } b \ 0)] = \text{IntVal } b \ 0$ 
using assms
by (cases x; auto)

```

```

lemma exp-and-equal:
 $\text{exp}[x \ \& \ x] \geq \text{exp}[x]$ 
apply auto using val-and-equal eval-unused-bits-zero
by (smt (verit) evalDet intval-and.elims new-int.elims)

```

lemma *exp-and-nots*:

$\text{exp}[\sim x \ \& \ \sim y] \geq \text{exp}[\sim(x \mid y)]$

apply (*cases x; cases y; auto*) **using** *val-and-nots*

by *fastforce+*

lemma *exp-and-neutral*:

$\text{exp}[x \ \& \ \sim(\text{const } (\text{new-int } b \ 0))] \geq x$

apply *auto* **using** *val-and-neutral eval-unused-bits-zero* **sorry**

optimization *opt-and-equal*: $x \ \& \ x \mapsto x$

using *exp-and-equal* **by** *blast*

optimization *opt-AndShiftConstantRight*: $((\text{const } x) \ \& \ y) \mapsto y \ \& \ (\text{const } x)$
when $\neg(\text{is-ConstantExpr } y)$

using *intval-and-commute bin-eval.simps(4)* **apply** *auto*

sorry

optimization *opt-and-right-fall-through*: $(x \ \& \ y) \mapsto y$

when $((\text{and } (\text{not } (\text{IRExpr-down } x)) (\text{IRExpr-up } y)) = 0)$

by (*simp add: IRExpr-down-def IRExpr-up-def*)

optimization *opt-and-left-fall-through*: $(x \ \& \ y) \mapsto x$

when $((\text{and } (\text{not } (\text{IRExpr-down } y)) (\text{IRExpr-up } x)) = 0)$

by (*simp add: IRExpr-down-def IRExpr-up-def*)

optimization *opt-and-nots*: $(\sim x) \ \& \ (\sim y) \mapsto \sim(x \mid y)$

using *exp-and-nots*

by *auto*

optimization *opt-and-sign-extend*: $\text{BinaryExpr } \text{BinAnd } (\text{UnaryExpr } (\text{UnarySignExtend } \text{In } \text{Out}) \ x)$

$\mapsto (\text{ConstantExpr } (\text{IntVal } 32 \ e))$
 $\mapsto (\text{UnaryExpr } (\text{UnaryZeroExtend } \text{In } \text{Out}) \ x)$
when $(e = (1 \ll \text{In}) - 1)$

apply *simp-all*

apply *auto*

sorry

definition *wf-stamp* :: $\text{IRExpr} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**

wf-stamp $e = (\forall m \ p \ v. ([m, p] \vdash e \mapsto v) \longrightarrow \text{valid-value } v \ (\text{stamp-expr } e))$

optimization *opt-and-neutral-32*: $(x \ \& \ \sim(\text{const } (\text{IntVal } 32 \ 0))) \mapsto x$

when $(\text{wf-stamp } x \wedge \text{stamp-expr } x = \text{default-stamp})$

apply *auto*

apply (*cases x; simp*) **using** *unary-eval.simps unfold-const val-and-neutral*

sorry

end

end

3.1 Conditional Expression

theory *ConditionalPhase*

imports

Common

begin

phase *Conditional*

terminating *size*

begin

lemma *negates*: $is_IntVal\ e \implies val_to_bool\ (val[e]) \equiv \neg(val_to_bool\ (val[!e]))$

using *intval-logic-negation.simps* **unfolding** *logic-negate-def*

sorry

lemma *negation-condition-intval*:

assumes $e = IntVal\ b\ ie$

assumes $0 < b$

shows $val[(!e)\ ?\ x : y] = val[e\ ?\ y : x]$

using *assms* **by** (*cases e*; *auto simp: negates logic-negate-def*)

optimization *negate-condition*: $((!e)\ ?\ x : y) \mapsto (e\ ?\ y : x)$

apply *simp* **using** *negation-condition-intval*

by (*smt (verit, ccfv-SIG) ConditionalExpr ConditionalExprE Value.collapse Value.exhaust-disc*
evaltree-not-undef intval-logic-negation.simps(4) intval-logic-negation.simps negates
unary-eval.simps(4) unfold-unary)

definition *wff-stamps* :: *bool* **where**

$wff_stamps = (\forall m\ p\ expr\ val . ([m,p] \vdash expr \mapsto val) \longrightarrow valid_value\ val\ (stamp_expr\ expr))$

definition *wf-stamp* :: *IRExpr* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**

$wf_stamp\ e = (\forall m\ p\ v . ([m, p] \vdash e \mapsto v) \longrightarrow valid_value\ v\ (stamp_expr\ e))$

optimization *b[intval]: ((x eq y) ? x : y) \mapsto y*
sorry

lemma *val-optimise-integer-test:*
assumes *is-IntVal32 x*
shows *intval-conditional (intval-equals val[(x & (IntVal32 1))] (IntVal32 0))*
(IntVal32 0) (IntVal32 1) =
val[x & IntVal32 1]
apply *simp-all*
apply *auto*
using *bool-to-val.elims intval-equals.elims val-to-bool.simps(1) val-to-bool.simps(3)*
sorry

optimization *val-conditional-eliminate-known-less: ((x < y) ? x : y) \mapsto x*
when (stamp-under (stamp-expr x) (stamp-expr y)
 \wedge wf-stamp x \wedge wf-stamp y)
apply *auto*
using *stamp-under.simps wf-stamp-def val-to-bool.simps*
sorry

optimization *opt-conditional-eq-is-RHS: ((BinaryExpr BinIntegerEquals x y) ? x*
: y) \mapsto y
apply *simp-all* **apply** *auto* **using** *b Canonicalization.intval.simps(1) evalDet*
intval-conditional.simps
by *(metis (mono-tags, lifting) evaltree-not-undef)*

optimization *opt-normalize-x: ((x eq const (IntVal 32 0)) ?*
(const (IntVal 32 0)) : (const (IntVal 32 1))) \mapsto x
when (x = ConstantExpr (IntVal 32 0) | (x = ConstantExpr
(IntVal 32 1)))
done

optimization *opt-normalize-x2: ((x eq (const (IntVal 32 1))) ?*
(const (IntVal 32 1)) : (const (IntVal 32 0))) \mapsto x
when (x = ConstantExpr (IntVal 32 0) | (x = ConstantExpr
(IntVal 32 1)))

done

optimization *opt-flip-x*: $((x \text{ eq } (\text{const } (\text{IntVal } 32 \ 0))) \ ?$
 $(\text{const } (\text{IntVal } 32 \ 1)) : (\text{const } (\text{IntVal } 32 \ 0))) \mapsto$
 $x \oplus (\text{const } (\text{IntVal } 32 \ 1))$
 $\text{when } (x = \text{ConstantExpr } (\text{IntVal } 32 \ 0) \mid (x = \text{ConstantExpr}$
 $(\text{IntVal } 32 \ 1)))$
done

optimization *opt-flip-x2*: $((x \text{ eq } (\text{const } (\text{IntVal } 32 \ 1))) \ ?$
 $(\text{const } (\text{IntVal } 32 \ 0)) : (\text{const } (\text{IntVal } 32 \ 1))) \mapsto$
 $x \oplus (\text{const } (\text{IntVal } 32 \ 1))$
 $\text{when } (x = \text{ConstantExpr } (\text{IntVal } 32 \ 0) \mid (x = \text{ConstantExpr}$
 $(\text{IntVal } 32 \ 1)))$
done

optimization *opt-optimise-integer-test*:
 $((x \ \& \ (\text{const } (\text{IntVal } 32 \ 1))) \text{ eq } (\text{const } (\text{IntVal } 32 \ 0))) \ ?$
 $(\text{const } (\text{IntVal } 32 \ 0)) : (\text{const } (\text{IntVal } 32 \ 1))) \mapsto$
 $x \ \& \ (\text{const } (\text{IntVal } 32 \ 1))$
 $\text{when } (\text{stamp-expr } x = \text{default-stamp})$
apply *simp-all*
apply *auto*
using *val-optimise-integer-test* **sorry**

optimization *opt-optimise-integer-test-2*:
 $((x \ \& \ (\text{const } (\text{IntVal } 32 \ 1))) \text{ eq } (\text{const } (\text{IntVal } 32 \ 0))) \ ?$
 $(\text{const } (\text{IntVal } 32 \ 0)) : (\text{const } (\text{IntVal } 32 \ 1))) \mapsto$
 x
 $\text{when } (x = \text{ConstantExpr } (\text{IntVal } 32 \ 0) \mid (x = \text{ConstantExpr } (\text{IntVal}$
 $32 \ 1)))$
done

optimization *opt-conditional-eliminate-known-less*: $((x < y) \ ? \ x : y) \mapsto x$
 $\text{when } (((\text{stamp-under } (\text{stamp-expr } x) (\text{stamp-expr } y)) \mid$
 $((\text{stpi-upper } (\text{stamp-expr } x)) = (\text{stpi-lower } (\text{stamp-expr}$
 $y))))$
 $\wedge \text{wf-stamp } x \wedge \text{wf-stamp } y)$
unfolding *le-expr-def* **apply** *auto*
using *stamp-under.simps* *wf-stamp-def* *val-conditional-eliminate-known-less*
sorry

end

end

theory *MulPhase*

imports

Common

begin

4 Optimizations for Mul Nodes

phase *MulPhase*

terminating *size*

begin

lemma *bin-eliminate-redundant-negative:*

$uminus\ (x :: 'a::len\ word) * uminus\ (y :: 'a::len\ word) = x * y$

by *simp*

lemma *bin-multiply-identity:*

$(x :: 'a::len\ word) * 1 = x$

by *simp*

lemma *bin-multiply-eliminate:*

$(x :: 'a::len\ word) * 0 = 0$

by *simp*

lemma *bin-multiply-negative:*

$(x :: 'a::len\ word) * uminus\ 1 = uminus\ x$

by *simp*

lemma *bin-multiply-power-2:*

$(x :: 'a::len\ word) * (2^j) = x << j$

by *simp*

lemma *val-eliminate-redundant-negative:*

assumes $val[-x * -y] \neq Undefined$

shows $val[-x * -y] = val[x * y]$

using *assms*

apply (*cases x; cases y; auto*) **sorry**

lemma *val-multiply-neutral:*

assumes $x = new_int\ b\ v$

shows $val[x] * (IntVal\ b\ 1) = val[x]$

using *assms times-Value-def* **by** *force*

```

lemma val-multiply-zero:
  assumes  $x = \text{new-int } b \ v$ 
  shows  $\text{val}[x] * (\text{IntVal } b \ 0) = \text{IntVal } b \ 0$ 
  using assms
  by (simp add: times-Value-def)

lemma val-multiply-negative:
  assumes  $x = \text{new-int } b \ v$ 
  shows  $x * \text{intval-negate } (\text{IntVal } b \ 1) = \text{intval-negate } x$ 
  using assms times-Value-def
  by (smt (verit) Value.disc(1) Value.inject(1) add.inverse-neutral intval-negate.simps(1)
is-IntVal-def mask-0 mask-eq-take-bit-minus-one new-int.elims of-bool-eq(2) take-bit-dist-neg
take-bit-of-1 val-eliminate-redundant-negative val-multiply-neutral val-multiply-zero
verit-minus-simplify(4) zero-neq-one)

fun intval-log2 :: Value  $\Rightarrow$  Value where
  intval-log2 (IntVal  $b \ v$ ) = IntVal  $b \ (\text{word-of-int } (\text{SOME } e. v = 2^e))$  |
  intval-log2 - = UndefVal

lemma largest-32:
  assumes  $y = \text{IntVal } 32 \ (4294967296) \wedge i = \text{intval-log2 } y$ 
  shows  $\text{val-to-bool}(\text{val}[i < \text{IntVal } 32 \ (32)])$ 
  using assms apply (cases y; auto)
  sorry

lemma log2-range:
  assumes  $y = \text{IntVal } 32 \ v \wedge \text{intval-log2 } y = i$ 
  shows  $\text{val-to-bool}(\text{val}[i < \text{IntVal } 32 \ (32)])$ 
  using assms apply (cases y; cases i; auto)
  sorry

lemma val-multiply-power-2-last-subgoal:
  assumes  $y = \text{IntVal } 32 \ yy$ 
  and  $x = \text{IntVal } 32 \ xx$ 
  and  $\text{val-to-bool}(\text{val}[\text{IntVal } 32 \ 0 < x])$ 
  and  $\text{val-to-bool}(\text{val}[\text{IntVal } 32 \ 0 < y])$ 

  shows  $x * y = \text{IntVal } 32 \ (xx << \text{unat } (\text{and } (\text{word-of-nat } (\text{SOME } e. yy = 2^e))$ 
   $31))$ 
  using intval-left-shift.simps(1) assms apply (cases x; cases y; auto)
  sorry

value IntVal  $32 \ x2 * \text{IntVal } 32 \ x2a$ 
value IntVal  $32 \ (x2 << \text{unat } (\text{and } (\text{word-of-nat } (\text{SOME } e. x2a = 2^e)) \ 31))$ 

```

```

value val[(IntVal 32 2) * (IntVal 32 4)]
value val[(IntVal 32 2) << (IntVal 32 2)]
value IntVal 32 (2 << unat (and (2::32 word) (31::32 word)))

```

```

lemma val-multiply-power-2-2:
  assumes y = IntVal 32 v
  and      intval-log2 y = i
  and      val-to-bool (val[IntVal 32 0 < i])
  and      val-to-bool (val[i < IntVal 32 32])
  and      val-to-bool (val[IntVal 32 0 < x])
  and      val-to-bool (val[IntVal 32 0 < y])

```

```

shows x * y = val[x << i]
  using assms apply (cases x; cases y; auto)
  apply (simp add: times-Value-def)
  using times-Value-def assms sorry

```

```

lemma val-multiply-power-2:
  fixes j :: 64 word
  assumes x = IntVal 32 v ∧ j ≥ 0 ∧ j-AsNat = (sint (intval-word (IntVal 32 j)))
  shows x * IntVal 32 (2 ^ j-AsNat) = intval-left-shift x (IntVal 32 j)
  using assms apply (cases x; cases j; cases j-AsNat; auto)
  sorry

```

```

lemma exp-multiply-zero-64:
  exp[x * (const (IntVal 64 0))] ≥ ConstantExpr (IntVal 64 0)
  using val-multiply-zero apply auto
  using Value.inject(1) constantAsStamp.simps(1) int-signed-value-bounds intval-mul.elims
  mult-zero-right new-int.simps new-int-bin.simps nle-le numeral-eq-Suc take-bit-of-0
  unfold-const valid-stamp.simps(1) valid-value.simps(1) zero-less-Suc
  by (smt (verit))

```

```

optimization opt-EliminateRedundantNegative: -x * -y ⟶ x * y
  apply auto using val-eliminate-redundant-negative bin-eval.simps(2)
  by (metis BinaryExpr)

```

```

optimization opt-MultiplyNeutral: x * ConstantExpr (IntVal b 1) ⟶ x
  apply auto using val-multiply-neutral bin-eval.simps(2) sorry

```

```

optimization opt-MultiplyZero: x * ConstantExpr (IntVal b 0) ⟶ const (IntVal
b 0)
  apply auto using val-multiply-zero
  using Value.inject(1) constantAsStamp.simps(1) int-signed-value-bounds intval-mul.elims
  mult-zero-right new-int.simps new-int-bin.simps take-bit-of-0 unfold-const valid-stamp.simps(1)

```

```

valid-value.simps(1)
  by (smt (verit))

```

```

optimization opt-MultiplyNegative:  $x * -(const (IntVal b 1)) \mapsto -x$ 
  apply auto using val-multiply-negative
  by (smt (verit) Value.distinct(1) Value.sel(1) add.inverse-inverse intval-mul.elims
    intval-negate.simps(1) mask-eq-take-bit-minus-one new-int.simps new-int-bin.simps
    take-bit-dist-neg times-Value-def unary-eval.simps(2) unfold-unary val-eliminate-redundant-negative)

```

end

```

lemma take-bit64[simp]:
  fixes w :: int64
  shows take-bit 64 w = w
proof -
  have Nat.size w = 64
  by (simp add: size64)
  then show ?thesis
  by (metis lt2p-lem mask-eq-iff take-bit-eq-mask verit-comp-simplify1(2) wsst-TYs(3))
qed

```

```

lemma jazmin:
  fixes i :: 64 word
  assumes y = IntVal 64 (2 ^ unat(i))
  and 0 < i
  and i < 64
  and (63 :: int64) = mask 6
  and val-to-bool(val[IntVal 64 0 < x])
  and val-to-bool(val[IntVal 64 0 < y])
  shows x*y = val[x << IntVal 64 i]
  using assms apply (cases x; cases y; auto)
  apply (simp add: times-Value-def)
  subgoal premises p for x2
  proof -
    have 63: (63 :: int64) = mask 6
    using assms(4) by blast
    then have (2::int) ^ 6 = 64
    by eval
    then have uint i < (2::int) ^ 6
    by (smt (verit, ccfv-SIG) numeral-Bit0 of-int-numeral one-eq-numeral-iff
      p(6) uint-2p word-less-def word-not-simps(1) word-of-int-2p)
    then have and i (mask 6) = i
    using mask-eq-iff by blast
    then show x2 << unat i = x2 << unat (and i (63::64 word))
    unfolding 63
    by force
  qed

```

```

    qed
done

```

```

end
theory NegatePhase
  imports
    Common
begin

```

5 Optimizations for Negate Nodes

```

phase NegatePhase
  terminating size
begin

```

```

lemma bin-negative-cancel:
   $-1 * (-1 * ((x::('a::len) word))) = x$ 
  by auto

```

```

value (2 :: 32 word) >>> (31 :: nat)
value -((2 :: 32 word) >> (31 :: nat))

```

```

lemma bin-negative-shift32:
  shows  $-((x :: 32 word) >> (31 :: nat)) = x >>> (31 :: nat)$ 
  sorry

```

```

lemma val-negative-cancel:
  assumes  $intval\_negate (new\_int\ b\ v) \neq UndefinedVal$ 
  shows  $val[-(-(new\_int\ b\ v))] = val[new\_int\ b\ v]$ 
  using assms by simp

```

```

lemma val-distribute-sub:
  assumes  $x \neq UndefinedVal \wedge y \neq UndefinedVal$ 
  shows  $val[-(x-y)] = val[y-x]$ 
  using assms by (cases x; cases y; auto)

```

```

lemma exp-distribute-sub:
  shows  $exp[-(x-y)] \geq exp[y-x]$ 
  using val-distribute-sub apply auto
  using evaltree-not-undef by auto

```

```

optimization negate-cancel:  $-(-(e)) \mapsto e$ 
  using val-negative-cancel apply auto sorry

```

```

optimization distribute-sub:  $-(x - y) \mapsto (y - x)$ 
  apply simp-all
  apply auto
  by (simp add: BinaryExpr evaltree-not-undef val-distribute-sub)

optimization negative-shift-32:  $-(BinaryExpr\ BinRightShift\ x\ (const\ (IntVal\ 32\ 31))) \mapsto$ 
 $BinaryExpr\ BinURightShift\ x\ (const\ (IntVal\ 32\ 31))$ 
  when (stamp-expr x = default-stamp)
  apply simp-all apply auto
  sorry

end

end
theory NotPhase
  imports
    Common
begin

```

6 Optimizations for Not Nodes

```

phase NotPhase
  terminating size
begin

lemma bin-not-cancel:
   $bin[\neg(\neg(e))] = bin[e]$ 
  by auto

lemma val-not-cancel:
  assumes  $val[\sim(new-int\ b\ v)] \neq UndefinedVal$ 
  shows  $val[\sim(\sim(new-int\ b\ v))] = (new-int\ b\ v)$ 
  using bin-not-cancel
  by (simp add: take-bit-not-take-bit)

lemma exp-not-cancel:
  shows  $exp[\sim(\sim a)] \geq exp[a]$ 
  apply simp using val-not-cancel sorry

```

optimization *not-cancel*: $\text{exp}[\sim(\sim a)] \mapsto a$
by (*metis exp-not-cancel*)

end

end

theory *OrPhase*

imports

Common

NewAnd

begin

7 Optimizations for Or Nodes

phase *OrPhase*

terminating *size*

begin

lemma *bin-or-equal*:

$\text{bin}[x \mid x] = \text{bin}[x]$

by *simp*

lemma *bin-shift-const-right-helper*:

$x \mid y = y \mid x$

by *simp*

lemma *bin-or-not-operands*:

$(\sim x \mid \sim y) = (\sim(x \ \& \ y))$

by *simp*

lemma *val-or-equal*:

assumes $x = \text{new-int } b \ v$

assumes $x \neq \text{UndefVal} \wedge ((\text{intval-or } x \ x) \neq \text{UndefVal})$

shows $\text{val}[x \mid x] = \text{val}[x]$

apply (*cases x; auto*) **using** *bin-or-equal assms*

by *auto+*

lemma *val-elim-redundant-false*:

assumes $x = \text{new-int } b \ v$

assumes $x \neq \text{UndefVal} \wedge (\text{intval-or } x \ (\text{bool-to-val False})) \neq \text{UndefVal}$

shows $\text{val}[x \mid \text{false}] = \text{val}[x]$

using *assms* **apply** (*cases x; auto*) **by** *presburger*

lemma *val-shift-const-right-helper*:

$\text{val}[x \mid y] = \text{val}[y \mid x]$

```

    apply (cases x; cases y; auto)
  by (simp add: or.commute)+

lemma val-or-not-operands:
  val[~x | ~y] = val[~(x & y)]
  apply (cases x; cases y; auto)
  by (simp add: take-bit-not-take-bit)

lemma exp-or-equal:
  exp[x | x] ≥ exp[x]
  apply simp using val-or-equal sorry

lemma exp-elim-redundant-false:
  exp[x | false] ≥ exp[x]
  apply simp using val-elim-redundant-false
  apply (cases x) sorry

optimization or-equal: x | x ⟶ x
  by (meson exp-or-equal le-expr-def)

optimization OrShiftConstantRight: ((const x) | y) ⟶ y | (const x) when ¬(is-ConstantExpr y)
  unfolding le-expr-def using val-shift-const-right-helper size-non-const
  apply simp apply auto
  sorry

optimization elim-redundant-false: x | false ⟶ x
  by (meson exp-elim-redundant-false le-expr-def)

optimization or-not-operands: (~x | ~y) ⟶ ~ (x & y)
  apply auto using val-or-not-operands
  by (metis BinaryExpr UnaryExpr bin-eval.simps(4) intval-not.simps(2) unary-eval.simps(3))

optimization or-left-fall-through: (x | y) ⟶ x
  when (((and (not (IExpr-down x)) (IExpr-up y)) = 0))
  by (simp add: IExpr-down-def IExpr-up-def)

optimization or-right-fall-through: (x | y) ⟶ y
  when (((and (not (IExpr-down y)) (IExpr-up x)) = 0))
  by (meson exp-or-commute or-left-fall-through(1) order.trans rewrite-preservation.simps(2))

end

end
theory SignedDivPhase

```



```

imports
  Common
begin

```

8 Optimizations for SignedDiv Nodes

```

phase SignedDivPhase
  terminating size
begin

```

```

lemma val-division-by-one-is-self-32:
  assumes  $x = \text{new-int } 32 \ v$ 
  shows  $\text{intval-div } x \ (\text{IntVal } 32 \ 1) = x$ 
  using assms apply (cases  $x$ ; auto)
  by (simp add: take-bit-signed-take-bit)

```

```

end

```

```

end
theory SubPhase
  imports
    Common
begin

```

9 Optimizations for Sub Nodes

```

phase SubPhase
  terminating size
begin

```

```

lemma bin-sub-after-right-add:
  shows  $((x::('a::\text{len}) \text{ word}) + (y::('a::\text{len}) \text{ word})) - y = x$ 
  by simp

```

```

lemma sub-self-is-zero:
  shows  $(x::('a::\text{len}) \text{ word}) - x = 0$ 
  by simp

```

```

lemma bin-sub-then-left-add:
  shows  $(x::('a::\text{len}) \text{ word}) - (x + (y::('a::\text{len}) \text{ word})) = -y$ 
  by simp

```

lemma *bin-sub-then-left-sub*:
 shows $(x :: ('a::len) \text{ word}) - (x - (y :: ('a::len) \text{ word})) = y$
 by *simp*

lemma *bin-subtract-zero*:
 shows $(x :: 'a::len \text{ word}) - (0 :: 'a::len \text{ word}) = x$
 by *simp*

lemma *bin-sub-negative-value*:
 shows $(x :: ('a::len) \text{ word}) - (-(y :: ('a::len) \text{ word})) = x + y$
 by *simp*

lemma *bin-sub-self-is-zero*:
 shows $(x :: ('a::len) \text{ word}) - x = 0$
 by *simp*

lemma *bin-sub-negative-const*:
 shows $(x :: 'a::len \text{ word}) - (-(y :: 'a::len \text{ word})) = x + y$
 by *simp*

lemma *val-sub-after-right-add-2*:
 assumes $x = \text{new-int } b \ v$
 assumes $\text{val}[(x + y) - y] \neq \text{UndefVal}$
 shows $\text{val}[(x + y) - (y)] = \text{val}[x]$
 using *bin-sub-after-right-add*
 using *assms* **apply** (*cases x; cases y; auto*)
 by (*metis (full-types) intval-sub.simps(2)*)

lemma *val-sub-after-left-sub*:
 assumes $\text{val}[(x - y) - x] \neq \text{UndefVal}$
 shows $\text{val}[(x - y) - x] = \text{val}[-y]$
 using *assms* **apply** (*cases x; cases y; auto*)
 by (*metis intval-sub.simps(2)*)

lemma *val-sub-then-left-sub*:
 assumes $y = \text{new-int } b \ v$
 assumes $\text{val}[x - (x - y)] \neq \text{UndefVal}$
 shows $\text{val}[x - (x - y)] = \text{val}[y]$
 using *assms* **apply** (*cases x; cases y; auto*)
 by (*metis (mono-tags) intval-sub.simps(5)*)

lemma *val-subtract-zero*:
 assumes $x = \text{new-int } b \ v$
 assumes $\text{intval-sub } x \ (\text{IntVal } 32 \ 0) \neq \text{UndefVal}$
 shows $\text{intval-sub } x \ (\text{IntVal } 32 \ 0) = \text{val}[x]$
 using *assms* **apply** (*induction x; simp*)
 by *presburger*

lemma *val-zero-subtract-value*:
assumes $x = \text{new-int } b \ v$
assumes $\text{intval-sub } (\text{IntVal } 32 \ 0) \ x \neq \text{UndefVal}$
shows $\text{intval-sub } (\text{IntVal } 32 \ 0) \ x = \text{val}[-x]$
using *assms* **apply** (*induction* x ; *simp*)
by *presburger*

lemma *val-zero-subtract-value-64*:
assumes $x = \text{new-int } b \ v$
assumes $\text{intval-sub } (\text{IntVal } 64 \ 0) \ x \neq \text{UndefVal}$
shows $\text{intval-sub } (\text{IntVal } 64 \ 0) \ x = \text{val}[-x]$
using *assms* **apply** (*induction* x ; *simp*)
by *presburger*

lemma *val-sub-then-left-add*:
assumes $\text{val}[x - (x + y)] \neq \text{UndefVal}$
shows $\text{val}[x - (x + y)] = \text{val}[-y]$
using *assms* **apply** (*cases* x ; *cases* y ; *auto*)
by (*metis* (*mono-tags*, *lifting*) *intval-sub.simps*(5))

lemma *val-sub-negative-value*:
assumes $\text{val}[x - (-y)] \neq \text{UndefVal}$
shows $\text{val}[x - (-y)] = \text{val}[x + y]$
using *assms* **by** (*cases* x ; *cases* y ; *auto*)

lemma *val-sub-self-is-zero*:
assumes $x = \text{new-int } 32 \ v \wedge x - x \neq \text{UndefVal}$
shows $\text{val}[x - x] = \text{IntVal } 32 \ 0$
using *assms* **by** (*cases* x ; *auto*)

lemma *val-sub-self-is-zero-2*:
assumes $x = \text{new-int } 64 \ v \wedge x - x \neq \text{UndefVal}$
shows $\text{val}[x - x] = \text{IntVal } 64 \ 0$
using *assms* **by** (*cases* x ; *auto*)

lemma *val-sub-negative-const*:
assumes $y = \text{new-int } b \ v \wedge \text{val}[x - (-y)] \neq \text{UndefVal}$
shows $\text{val}[x - (-y)] = \text{val}[x + y]$
using *assms* **by** (*cases* x ; *cases* y ; *auto*)

lemma *exp-sub-after-right-add*:
shows $\text{exp}[(x+y)-y] \geq \text{exp}[x]$
apply *auto* **using** *val-sub-after-right-add-2* **sorry**

lemma *exp-sub-negative-value*:

$\text{exp}[x - (-y)] \geq \text{exp}[x + y]$
apply *simp using val-sub-negative-value*
by (*smt (verit) bin-eval.simps(1) bin-eval.simps(3) evaltree-not-undef minus-Value-def*
unary-eval.simps(2) unfold-binary unfold-unary)

optimization *sub-after-right-add*: $((x + y) - y) \mapsto x$
using *exp-sub-after-right-add* **by** *blast*

optimization *sub-after-left-add*: $((x + y) - x) \mapsto y$
sorry

optimization *sub-after-left-sub*: $((x - y) - x) \mapsto -y$
apply *auto*
apply (*metis One-nat-def less-add-one less-numeral-extra(3) less-one linorder-neqE-nat*
pos-add-strict size-pos)
by (*metis evalDet unary-eval.simps(2) unfold-unary val-sub-after-left-sub*)

optimization *sub-then-left-add*: $(x - (x + y)) \mapsto -y$
apply *auto*
apply (*simp add: Suc-lessI one-is-add*)
by (*metis evalDet unary-eval.simps(2) unfold-unary*
val-sub-then-left-add)

optimization *sub-then-right-add*: $(y - (x + y)) \mapsto -x$
apply *auto*
apply (*metis less-1-mult less-one linorder-neqE-nat mult.commute mult-1 numeral-1-eq-Suc-0*
one-eq-numeral-iff one-less-numeral-iff semiring-norm(77) size-pos zero-less-iff-neq-zero)
by (*metis evalDet intval-add-sym unary-eval.simps(2) unfold-unary*
val-sub-then-left-add)

optimization *sub-then-left-sub*: $(x - (x - y)) \mapsto y$
sorry

optimization *subtract-zero*: $(x - (\text{const IntVal } 32 \ 0)) \mapsto x$
sorry

optimization *subtract-zero-64*: $(x - (\text{const IntVal } 64 \ 0)) \mapsto x$
sorry

optimization *sub-negative-value*: $(x - (-y)) \mapsto x + y$
using *exp-sub-negative-value*

defer **apply** *blast* **sorry**

optimization *zero-sub-value*: $((\text{const IntVal } 32\ 0) - x) \mapsto -x$
unfolding *size.simps*
apply *simp-all*
apply *auto* **defer**
apply (*smt* (*verit*) *UnaryExpr Value.inject(1) intval-negate.simps(1) intval-sub.elims*
new-int-bin.simps unary-eval.simps(2) verit-minus-simplify(3))
sorry

optimization *zero-sub-value-64*: $((\text{const IntVal } 64\ 0) - x) \mapsto -x$
unfolding *size.simps*
apply *simp-all*
apply *auto* **defer**
apply (*smt* (*verit*) *UnaryExpr Value.inject(1) intval-negate.simps(1) intval-sub.elims*
new-int-bin.simps unary-eval.simps(2) verit-minus-simplify(3))
sorry

definition *wf-stamp* :: *IRExpr* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**
wf-stamp *e* = $(\forall m\ p\ v. ([m, p] \vdash e \mapsto v) \longrightarrow \text{valid-value } v\ (\text{stamp-expr } e))$

optimization *opt-sub-self-is-zero32*: $(x - x) \mapsto \text{const IntVal32 } 0$ *when*
 $(\text{wf-stamp } x \wedge \text{stamp-expr } x = \text{default-stamp})$
apply *simp-all*
apply *auto* **sorry**

end

end

theory *XorPhase*

imports

Common

begin

10 Optimizations for Xor Nodes

phase *XorPhase*

terminating *size*

begin

lemma *bin-xor-self-is-false*:

$\text{bin}[x \oplus x] = 0$

by *simp*

lemma *bin-xor-commute*:

$\text{bin}[x \oplus y] = \text{bin}[y \oplus x]$

by (*simp add: xor.commute*)

lemma *bin-eliminate-redundant-false*:

$\text{bin}[x \oplus 0] = \text{bin}[x]$

by *simp*

lemma *val-xor-self-is-false*:

assumes $\text{val}[x \oplus x] \neq \text{UndefVal}$

shows $\text{val-to-bool } (\text{val}[x \oplus x]) = \text{False}$

using *assms* **by** (*cases x; auto*)

lemma *val-xor-self-is-false-2*:

assumes $(\text{val}[x \oplus x]) \neq \text{UndefVal} \wedge x = \text{IntVal } 32 \ v$

shows $\text{val}[x \oplus x] = \text{bool-to-val } \text{False}$

using *assms* **by** (*cases x; auto*)

lemma *val-xor-self-is-false-3*:

assumes $\text{val}[x \oplus x] \neq \text{UndefVal} \wedge x = \text{IntVal } 64 \ v$

shows $\text{val}[x \oplus x] = \text{IntVal } 64 \ 0$

using *assms* **by** (*cases x; auto*)

lemma *val-xor-commute*:

$\text{val}[x \oplus y] = \text{val}[y \oplus x]$

apply (*cases x; cases y; auto*)

by (*simp add: xor.commute*)**+**

lemma *val-eliminate-redundant-false*:

assumes $x = \text{new-int } b \ v$

assumes $\text{val}[x \oplus (\text{bool-to-val } \text{False})] \neq \text{UndefVal}$

shows $\text{val}[x \oplus (\text{bool-to-val } \text{False})] = x$

using *assms* **apply** (*cases x; auto*)

by *meson*

definition *wf-stamp* :: *IRExpr* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**

$\text{wf-stamp } e = (\forall m \ p \ v. ([m, p] \vdash e \mapsto v) \longrightarrow \text{valid-value } v \ (\text{stamp-expr } e))$

```

lemma exp-xor-self-is-false:
  assumes wf-stamp x  $\wedge$  stamp-expr x = default-stamp
  shows  $\text{exp}[x \oplus x] \geq \text{exp}[\text{false}]$ 
  using assms apply auto unfolding wf-stamp-def
  by (smt (verit) IntVal0 Value.inject(1) bool-to-val.simps(2) constantAsStamp.simps(1)
evalDet int-signed-value-bounds new-int.simps unfold-const val-xor-self-is-false-2 valid-int
valid-stamp.simps(1) valid-value.simps(1))

```

```

optimization xor-self-is-false:  $(x \oplus x) \mapsto \text{false}$  when
  (wf-stamp x  $\wedge$  stamp-expr x = default-stamp)
  apply auto[1]
  apply (simp add: Suc-lessI one-is-add) using exp-xor-self-is-false
  by auto

```

```

optimization XorShiftConstantRight:  $((\text{const } x) \oplus y) \mapsto y \oplus (\text{const } x)$  when
 $\neg(\text{is-ConstantExpr } y)$ 
  unfolding le-expr-def using val-xor-commute size-non-const
  apply simp apply auto
  sorry

```

```

optimization EliminateRedundantFalse:  $(x \oplus \text{false}) \mapsto x$ 
  using val-eliminate-redundant-false apply auto sorry

```

```

optimization opt-mask-out-rhs:  $(x \oplus \text{const } y) \mapsto \text{UnaryExpr } \text{UnaryNot } x$ 
  when  $((\text{stamp-expr } (x) = \text{IntegerStamp bits } l \ h))$ 

```

```

  unfolding le-expr-def apply auto
  sorry

```

```

end

```

```

end

```