

Veriopt Theories

September 22, 2022

Contents

1	Canonicalization Optimizations	1
1.1	AbsNode Phase	3
1.2	AddNode Phase	8
1.3	AndNode Phase	11
1.4	BinaryNode Phase	15
1.5	ConditionalNode Phase	16
1.6	MulNode Phase	19
1.7	Experimental AndNode Phase	28
1.8	NotNode Phase	42
1.9	OrNode Phase	43
1.10	ShiftNode Phase	46
1.11	SignedDivNode Phase	48
1.12	SignedRemNode Phase	48
1.13	SubNode Phase	49
1.14	XorNode Phase	53
1.15	NegateNode Phase	55
1.16	AddNode	58
1.17	NegateNode	59

1 Canonicalization Optimizations

```
theory Common
imports
  OptimizationDSL.Canonicalization
  Semantics.IRTreeEvalThms
begin

lemma size-pos[size-simps]: 0 < size y
apply (induction y; auto?)
by (smt (z3) add-2-eq-Suc' add-is-0 not-gr0 size.elims size.simps(12) size.simps(13)
size.simps(14) size.simps(15) zero-neq-numeral zero-neq-one)
```

lemma *size-non-add[size-simps]*: $\text{size } (\text{BinaryExpr op } a \ b) = \text{size } a + \text{size } b + 2$
 $\longleftrightarrow \neg(\text{is-ConstantExpr } b)$

by (*induction b; induction op; auto simp: is-ConstantExpr-def*)

lemma *size-non-const[size-simps]*:

$\neg \text{is-ConstantExpr } y \implies 1 < \text{size } y$

using *size-pos apply (induction y; auto)*

by (*metis Suc-lessI add-is-1 is-ConstantExpr-def le-less linorder-not-le n-not-Suc-n numeral-2-eq-2 pos2 size.simps(2) size-non-add*)

lemma *size-binary-const[size-simps]*:

$\text{size } (\text{BinaryExpr op } a \ b) = \text{size } a + 2 \longleftrightarrow (\text{is-ConstantExpr } b)$

by (*induction b; auto simp: is-ConstantExpr-def size-pos*)

lemma *size-flip-binary[size-simps]*:

$\neg(\text{is-ConstantExpr } y) \longrightarrow \text{size } (\text{BinaryExpr op } (\text{ConstantExpr } x) \ y) > \text{size } (\text{BinaryExpr op } y \ (\text{ConstantExpr } x))$

by (*metis add-Suc not-less-eq order-less-asm plus-1-eq-Suc size.simps(11) size.simps(2) size-non-add*)

lemma *size-binary-lhs-a[size-simps]*:

$\text{size } (\text{BinaryExpr op } (\text{BinaryExpr op}' a \ b) \ c) > \text{size } a$

by (*metis add-lessD1 less-add-same-cancel1 pos2 size-binary-const size-non-add*)

lemma *size-binary-lhs-b[size-simps]*:

$\text{size } (\text{BinaryExpr op } (\text{BinaryExpr op}' a \ b) \ c) > \text{size } b$

by (*metis IRExpr.disc(42) One-nat-def add.left-commute add.right-neutral is-ConstantExpr-def less-add-Suc2 numeral-2-eq-2 plus-1-eq-Suc size.simps(11) size-binary-const size-non-add size-non-const trans-less-add1*)

lemma *size-binary-lhs-c[size-simps]*:

$\text{size } (\text{BinaryExpr op } (\text{BinaryExpr op}' a \ b) \ c) > \text{size } c$

by (*metis IRExpr.disc(42) add.left-commute add.right-neutral is-ConstantExpr-def less-Suc-eq numeral-2-eq-2 plus-1-eq-Suc size.simps(11) size-non-add size-non-const trans-less-add2*)

lemma *size-binary-rhs-a[size-simps]*:

$\text{size } (\text{BinaryExpr op } c \ (\text{BinaryExpr op}' a \ b)) > \text{size } a$

by (*smt (verit, best) less-Suc-eq less-add-Suc2 less-add-same-cancel1 linorder-neqE-nat not-add-less1 order-less-trans pos2 size.simps(4) size-binary-const size-non-add*)

lemma *size-binary-rhs-b[size-simps]*:

$\text{size } (\text{BinaryExpr op } c \ (\text{BinaryExpr op}' a \ b)) > \text{size } b$

by (*metis add.left-commute add.right-neutral is-ConstantExpr-def lessI numeral-2-eq-2 plus-1-eq-Suc size.simps(11) size.simps(4) size-non-add trans-less-add2*)

lemma *size-binary-rhs-c[size-simps]*:

$\text{size } (\text{BinaryExpr op } c \ (\text{BinaryExpr op}' a \ b)) > \text{size } c$

```

by simp

lemma size-binary-lhs[size-simps]:
  size (BinaryExpr op x y) > size x
  by (metis One-nat-def Suc-eq-plus1 add-Suc-right less-add-Suc1 numeral-2-eq-2
size-binary-const size-non-add)

lemma size-binary-rhs[size-simps]:
  size (BinaryExpr op x y) > size y
  by (metis IRExpr.disc(42) add-strict-increasing is-ConstantExpr-def linorder-not-le
not-add-less1 size.simps(11) size-non-add size-non-const size-pos)

lemmas arith[size-simps] = Suc-leI add-strict-increasing order-less-trans trans-less-add2

definition well-formed-equal :: Value  $\Rightarrow$  Value  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  (infix  $\approx$  50) where
    well-formed-equal v1 v2 = (v1  $\neq$  UndefVal  $\longrightarrow$  v1 = v2)

lemma well-formed-equal-defn [simp]:
  well-formed-equal v1 v2 = (v1  $\neq$  UndefVal  $\longrightarrow$  v1 = v2)
  unfolding well-formed-equal-def by simp

end

1.1 AbsNode Phase

theory AbsPhase
  imports
    Common
  begin

  phase AbsNode
    terminating size
  begin

```

```

lemma abs-pos:
  fixes v :: ('a :: len word)
  assumes  $0 \leq_s v$ 
  shows (if v <s 0 then - v else v) = v
  by (simp add: assms signed.leD)

lemma abs-neg:
  fixes v :: ('a :: len word)
  assumes  $v <_s 0$ 
  assumes  $-(2 \wedge (Nat.size\ v - 1)) <_s v$ 
  shows (if v <s 0 then - v else v) =  $- v \wedge 0 <_s -v$ 

```

by (smt (verit, ccfv-SIG) assms(1) assms(2) signed-take-bit-int-greater-eq-minus-exp
 signed-take-bit-int-greater-eq-self-iff sint-0 sint-word-ariths(4) word-sless-alt)

lemma *abs-max-neg*:
 fixes $v :: ('a :: \text{len word})$
 assumes $v <_s 0$
 assumes $-(2^{\wedge}(\text{Nat.size } v - 1)) = v$
 shows $-v = v$
 using *assms*
 by (metis *One-nat-def add.inverse-neutral double-eq-zero-iff mult-minus-right size-word.rep-eq*)

lemma *final-abs*:
 fixes $v :: ('a :: \text{len word})$
 assumes *take-bit* ($\text{Nat.size } v$) $v = v$
 assumes $-(2^{\wedge}(\text{Nat.size } v - 1)) \neq v$
 shows $0 \leq_s (\text{if } v <_s 0 \text{ then } -v \text{ else } v)$

proof (cases $v <_s 0$)
 case *True*
 then show ?thesis
proof (cases $v = -(2^{\wedge}(\text{Nat.size } v - 1))$)
 case *True*
 then show ?thesis using *abs-max-neg*
 using *assms* by presburger
 next
 case *False*
 then have $-(2^{\wedge}(\text{Nat.size } v - 1)) <_s v$
 unfolding *word-sless-def* using *signed-take-bit-int-greater-self-iff*
 by (smt (verit, best) *One-nat-def diff-less double-eq-zero-iff len-gt-0 lessI less-irrefl*
mult-minus-right neg-equal-0-iff-equal signed.rep-eq signed-of-int
signed-take-bit-int-greater-eq-self-iff signed-word-eqI sint-0 sint-range-size
sint-sbintrunc' sint-word-ariths(4) size-word.rep-eq unsigned-0 word-2p-lem
word-sless.rep-eq word-sless-def)
 then show ?thesis
 using *abs-neg abs-pos signed.nless-le* by auto
 qed
 next
 case *False*
 then show ?thesis using *abs-pos* by auto
 qed

lemma *wf-abs*: $\text{is-IntVal } x \implies \text{intval-abs } x \neq \text{UndefVal}$
 using *intval-abs.simps* unfolding *new-int.simps*
 using *is-IntVal-def* by force

fun *bin-abs* :: 'a :: len word \Rightarrow 'a :: len word **where**
bin-abs v = (if (v < s 0) then (- v) else v)

lemma *val-abs-zero*:
intval-abs (new-int b 0) = new-int b 0
by *simp*

lemma *less-eq-zero*:
assumes *val-to-bool* (val[(IntVal b 0) < (IntVal b v)])
shows *int-signed-value* b v > 0
using *assms* **unfolding** *intval-less-than.simps*(1) **apply** *simp*
by (metis *bool-to-val.elims val-to-bool.simps*(1))

lemma *val-abs-pos*:
assumes *val-to-bool*(val[(new-int b 0) < (new-int b v)])
shows *intval-abs* (new-int b v) = (new-int b v)
using *assms* **using** *less-eq-zero* **unfolding** *intval-abs.simps new-int.simps*
by *force*

lemma *val-abs-neg*:
assumes *val-to-bool*(val[(new-int b v) < (new-int b 0)])
shows *intval-abs* (new-int b v) = *intval-negate* (new-int b v)
using *assms* **using** *less-eq-zero* **unfolding** *intval-abs.simps new-int.simps*
by *force*

lemma *val-bool-unwrap*:
val-to-bool (bool-to-val v) = v
by (metis *bool-to-val.elims one-neq-zero val-to-bool.simps*(1))

lemma *take-bit-unwrap*:
b = 64 \Rightarrow *take-bit* b (v1::64 word) = v1
by (metis *size64 size-word.rep-eq take-bit-length-eq*)

lemma *bit-less-eq-def*:
fixes v1 v2 :: 64 word
assumes b \leq 64
shows *sint* (*signed-take-bit* (b - Suc (0::nat)) (take-bit b v1))
< *sint* (*signed-take-bit* (b - Suc (0::nat)) (take-bit b v2)) \longleftrightarrow
signed-take-bit (63::nat) (Word.rep v1) < *signed-take-bit* (63::nat) (Word.rep
v2)
using *assms* **sorry**

lemma *less-eq-def*:
shows *val-to-bool*(val[(new-int b v1) < (new-int b v2)]) \longleftrightarrow v1 < s v2
unfolding *new-int.simps intval-less-than.simps bool-to-val-bin.simps bool-to-val.simps*

```

int-signed-value.simps apply (simp add: val-bool-unwrap)
apply auto unfolding word-sless-def apply auto
unfolding signed-def apply auto using bit-less-eq-def
apply (metis bot-nat-0.extremum take-bit-0)
by (metis bit-less-eq-def bot-nat-0.extremum take-bit-0)

lemma val-abs-always-pos:
  assumes intval-abs (new-int b v) = (new-int b v')
  shows  $0 \leq_s v'$ 
  using assms
proof (cases v = 0)
  case True
  then have v' = 0
    using val-abs-zero assms
    by (smt (verit, ccfv-threshold) Suc-diff-1 bit-less-eq-def bot-nat-0.extremum
diff-is-0-eq len-gt-0 len-of-numeral-defs(2) order-le-less signed-eq-0-iff take-bit-0 take-bit-signed-take-bit
take-bit-unwrap)
  then show ?thesis by simp
next
  case neq0: False
  then show ?thesis
  proof (cases val-to-bool(val[(new-int b 0) < (new-int b v)]))
  case True
  then show ?thesis using less-eq-def
    using assms val-abs-pos
    by (smt (verit, ccfv-SIG) One-nat-def Suc-leI bit.compl-one bit-less-eq-def
cancel-comm-monoid-add-class.diff-cancel diff-zero len-gt-0 len-of-numeral-defs(2)
mask-0 mask-1 one-le-numeral one-neq-zero signed-word-eqI take-bit-dist-subL take-bit-minus-one-eq-mask
take-bit-not-eq-mask-diff take-bit-signed-take-bit zero-le-numeral)
  next
  case False
  then have val-to-bool(val[(new-int b v) < (new-int b 0)])
    using neq0 less-eq-def
    by (metis signed.neqE)
  then show ?thesis using val-abs-neg less-eq-def unfolding new-int.simps
intval-negate.simps
    by (metis signed.nless-le take-bit-0)
  qed

qed

lemma intval-abs-elim:
  assumes intval-abs x  $\neq$  UndefinedVal
  shows  $\exists t v . x = \text{IntVal } t v \wedge \text{intval-abs } x = \text{new-int } t \text{ (if int-signed-value } t v < 0 \text{ then } -v \text{ else } v)$ 
  using assms
  by (meson intval-abs.elims)

```

```

lemma wf-abs-new-int:
  assumes intval-abs (IntVal t v)  $\neq$  UndefVal
  shows intval-abs (IntVal t v) = new-int t v  $\vee$  intval-abs (IntVal t v) = new-int
t ( $-v$ )
  using assms
  using intval-abs.simps(1) by presburger

lemma mono-undef-abs:
  assumes intval-abs (intval-abs x)  $\neq$  UndefVal
  shows intval-abs x  $\neq$  UndefVal
  using assms
  by force

lemma val-abs-idem:
  assumes intval-abs(intval-abs(x))  $\neq$  UndefVal
  shows intval-abs(intval-abs(x)) = intval-abs x
  using assms
proof  $-$ 
  obtain b v where in-def: intval-abs x = new-int b v
    using assms intval-abs-elims mono-undef-abs by blast
  then show ?thesis
  proof (cases val-to-bool(val[(new-int b v) < (new-int b 0)]))
    case True
    then have nested: (intval-abs (intval-abs x)) = new-int b ( $-v$ )
      using val-abs-neg intval-negate.simps in-def
      by simp
    then have x = new-int b ( $-v$ )
      using in-def True unfolding new-int.simps
    by (smt (verit, best) intval-abs.simps(1) less-eq-def less-eq-zero less-numeral-extra(1)

      mask-1 mask-eq-take-bit-minus-one neg-one.elims neg-one-signed new-int.simps

      one-le-numeral one-neq-zero signed.neqE signed.not-less take-bit-of-0
val-abs-always-pos)
    then show ?thesis using val-abs-always-pos
      using True in-def less-eq-def signed.leD
      using signed.nless-le by blast
  next
  case False
  then show ?thesis
    using in-def by force
  qed
qed

lemma val-abs-negate:
  assumes intval-abs (intval-negate x)  $\neq$  UndefVal
  shows intval-abs (intval-negate x) = intval-abs x
  using assms apply (cases x; auto)

```

```

apply (metis less-eq-def new-int.simps signed.dual-order.strict-iff-not signed.less-linear
        take-bit-0)
by (smt (verit, ccfu-threshold) add.inverse-neutral intval-abs.simps(1) less-eq-def
less-eq-zero
less-numeral-extra(1) mask-1 mask-eq-take-bit-minus-one neg-one.elims neg-one-signed

new-int.simps one-le-numeral one-neq-zero signed.order.order-iff-strict take-bit-of-0

val-abs-always-pos)

```

Optimisations

```

optimization AbsIdempotence:  $abs(abs(x)) \mapsto abs(x)$ 
apply auto
by (metis UnaryExpr unary-eval.simps(1) val-abs-idem)

```

```

optimization AbsNegate:  $abs(-x) \mapsto abs(x)$ 
apply auto using val-abs-negate
by (metis unary-eval.simps(1) unfold-unary)

```

end

end

1.2 AddNode Phase

```

theory AddPhase
imports
  Common
begin

```

```

phase AddNode
terminating size
begin

```

```

lemma binadd-commute:
assumes bin-eval BinAdd  $x\ y \neq \text{UndefVal}$ 
shows bin-eval BinAdd  $x\ y = \text{bin-eval BinAdd } y\ x$ 
using assms intval-add-sym by simp

```

```

optimization AddShiftConstantRight:  $((\text{const } v) + y) \mapsto y + (\text{const } v)$  when
 $\neg(\text{is-ConstantExpr } y)$ 
using size-non-const
apply (metis add-2-eq-Suc' less-Suc-eq plus-1-eq-Suc size.simps(11) size-non-add)
unfolding le-expr-def
apply (rule impI)
subgoal premises 1

```



```

apply (rule allI impI) +

subgoal premises 2 for m p va
  apply (rule BinaryExprE[OF 2])
subgoal premises 3 for x ya
  apply (rule BinaryExpr)
  using 3 apply simp
  using 3 apply simp
  using 3 binadd-commute apply auto
done
done
done
done

optimization AddShiftConstantRight2:  $((\text{const } v) + y) \mapsto y + (\text{const } v)$  when
 $\neg(\text{is-ConstantExpr } y)$ 
unfolding le-expr-def
apply (auto simp: intval-add-sym)

using size-non-const
by (metis add-2-eq-Suc' lessI plus-1-eq-Suc size.simps(11) size-non-add)

lemma is-neutral-0 [simp]:
  assumes 1: intval-add (IntVal b x) (IntVal b 0)  $\neq$  UndefVal
  shows intval-add (IntVal b x) (IntVal b 0) = (new-int b x)
  using 1 by auto

optimization AddNeutral:  $(e + (\text{const } (\text{IntVal } 32\ 0))) \mapsto e$ 
unfolding le-expr-def apply auto
using is-neutral-0 eval-unused-bits-zero
by (smt (verit) add-cancel-left-right intval-add.elims val-to-bool.simps(1))

ML-val  $\langle @\{term \langle x = y \rangle\} \rangle$ 

lemma NeutralLeftSubVal:
  assumes e1 = new-int b ival
  shows val[(e1 - e2) + e2]  $\approx$  e1
  apply simp using assms by (cases e1; cases e2; auto)

optimization RedundantSubAdd:  $((e_1 - e_2) + e_2) \mapsto e_1$ 
apply auto using eval-unused-bits-zero NeutralLeftSubVal
unfolding well-formed-equal-defn

```

by (*smt* (*verit*) *evalDet intval-sub.elims new-int.elims*)

lemma *allE2*: $(\forall x y. P x y) \implies (P a b \implies R) \implies R$
by *simp*

lemma *just-goal2*:
assumes *1*: $(\forall a b. (\text{intval-add } (\text{intval-sub } a b) b \neq \text{UndefVal} \wedge a \neq \text{UndefVal}) \longrightarrow \text{intval-add } (\text{intval-sub } a b) b = a)$
shows $(\text{BinaryExpr BinAdd } (\text{BinaryExpr BinSub } e_1 e_2) e_2) \geq e_1$
unfolding *le-expr-def unfold-binary bin-eval.simps*
by (*metis 1 evalDet evaltree-not-undef*)

optimization *RedundantSubAdd2*: $e_2 + (e_1 - e_2) \mapsto e_1$
apply (*metis add.commute add-less-cancel-right less-add-Suc2 plus-1-eq-Suc size-binary-const size-non-add trans-less-add2*)
by (*smt* (*verit*, *del-insts*) *BinaryExpr BinaryExprE RedundantSubAdd(1) bin-add-commute le-expr-def rewrite-preservation.simps(1)*)

lemma *AddToSubHelperLowLevel*:
shows $\text{intval-add } (\text{intval-negate } e) y = \text{intval-sub } y e$ (**is** $?x = ?y$)
by (*induction y; induction e; auto*)

print-phases

lemma *val-redundant-add-sub*:
assumes *a = new-int bb ival*
assumes $\text{val}[b + a] \neq \text{UndefVal}$
shows $\text{val}[(b + a) - b] = a$
using *assms* **apply** (*cases a; cases b; auto*)
by *presburger*

lemma *val-add-right-negate-to-sub*:
assumes $\text{val}[x + e] \neq \text{UndefVal}$
shows $\text{val}[x + (-e)] = \text{val}[x - e]$
using *assms* **by** (*cases x; cases e; auto*)

lemma *exp-add-left-negate-to-sub*:

$\text{exp}[-e + y] \geq \text{exp}[y - e]$

apply (*cases e; cases y; auto*)

using *AddToSubHelperLowLevel* **by** *auto+*

Optimisations

optimization *RedundantAddSub*: $(b + a) - b \mapsto a$

apply *auto* **using** *val-redundant-add-sub eval-unused-bits-zero*

by (*smt (verit) evalDet intval-add.elims new-int.elims*)

optimization *AddRightNegateToSub*: $x + -e \mapsto x - e$

apply (*metis Nat.add-0-right add-2-eq-Suc' add-less-mono1 add-mono-thms-linordered-field(2)*
less-SucI not-less-less-Suc-eq size-binary-const size-non-add size-pos)

using *AddToSubHelperLowLevel intval-add-sym* **by** *auto*

optimization *AddLeftNegateToSub*: $-e + y \mapsto y - e$

defer

using *exp-add-left-negate-to-sub* **apply** *blast*

by (*smt (verit, best) One-nat-def add.commute add-Suc-right is-ConstantExpr-def*
less-add-Suc2 numeral-2-eq-2 plus-1-eq-Suc size.simps(1) size.simps(11) size-binary-const
size-non-add)

end

end

1.3 AndNode Phase

theory *AndPhase*

imports

Common

Proofs.StampEvalThms

begin

phase *AndNode*

terminating *size*

begin

lemma *bin-and-nots*:

$(\sim x \ \& \ \sim y) = (\sim (x \mid y))$

by *simp*

lemma *bin-and-neutral*:

$(x \& \sim False) = x$

by *simp*

lemma *val-and-equal*:

assumes $x = \text{new-int } b \ v$

and $\text{val}[x \& x] \neq \text{UndefVal}$

shows $\text{val}[x \& x] = x$

using *assms* **by** (*cases x; auto*)

lemma *val-and-nots*:

$\text{val}[\sim x \& \sim y] = \text{val}[\sim(x \mid y)]$

apply (*cases x; cases y; auto*) **by** (*simp add: take-bit-not-take-bit*)

lemma *val-and-neutral*:

assumes $x = \text{new-int } b \ v$

and $\text{val}[x \& \sim(\text{new-int } b' \ 0)] \neq \text{UndefVal}$

shows $\text{val}[x \& \sim(\text{new-int } b' \ 0)] = x$

using *assms* **apply** (*cases x; auto*) **apply** (*simp add: take-bit-eq-mask*)
by *presburger*

lemma *val-and-zero*:

assumes $x = \text{new-int } b \ v$

shows $\text{val}[x \& (\text{IntVal } b \ 0)] = \text{IntVal } b \ 0$

using *assms* **by** (*cases x; auto*)

lemma *exp-and-equal*:

$\text{exp}[x \& x] \geq \text{exp}[x]$

apply *auto* **using** *val-and-equal eval-unused-bits-zero*

by (*smt (verit) evalDet intval-and.elims new-int.elims*)

lemma *exp-and-nots*:

$\text{exp}[\sim x \& \sim y] \geq \text{exp}[\sim(x \mid y)]$

apply (*cases x; cases y; auto*) **using** *val-and-nots*

by *fastforce+*

lemma *exp-sign-extend*:

assumes $e = (1 \ll In) - 1$

shows $\text{BinaryExpr } \text{BinAnd } (\text{UnaryExpr } (\text{UnarySignExtend } In \ Out) \ x)$
 $\quad (\text{ConstantExpr } (\text{new-int } b \ e))$

$\geq (\text{UnaryExpr } (\text{UnaryZeroExtend } In \ Out) \ x)$

apply *auto*

subgoal *premises p for m p va*

```

proof –
  obtain va where va:  $[m,p] \vdash x \mapsto va$ 
    using p(2) by auto
  then have va  $\neq$  UndefVal
    by (simp add: evaltree-not-undef)
  then have 1: intval-and (intval-sign-extend In Out va) (IntVal b (take-bit b
e))  $\neq$  UndefVal
    using evalDet p(1) p(2) va by blast
  then have 2: intval-sign-extend In Out va  $\neq$  UndefVal
    by auto
  then have 21:  $(0::nat) < b$ 
    by (simp add: p(4))
  then have 3:  $b \sqsubseteq (64::nat)$ 
    by (simp add: p(5))
  then have 4:  $-((2::int) \wedge b \text{ div } (2::int)) \sqsubseteq \text{sint}(\text{signed-take-bit}(b - \text{Suc}(0::nat))(\text{take-bit } b \text{ e}))$ 
    by (simp add: p(6))
  then have 5:  $\text{sint}(\text{signed-take-bit}(b - \text{Suc}(0::nat))(\text{take-bit } b \text{ e})) < (2::int) \wedge b \text{ div } (2::int)$ 
    by (simp add: p(7))
  then have 6:  $[m,p] \vdash \text{UnaryExpr}(\text{UnaryZeroExtend In Out})$ 
     $x \mapsto \text{intval-and}(\text{intval-sign-extend In Out va})(\text{IntVal } b(\text{take-bit } b \text{ e}))$ 
    apply (cases va; simp)
  apply (simp add: <(va::Value)  $\neq$  UndefVal) defer
    subgoal premises p for x3
      proof –
        have va = ObjRef x3
          using p(1) by auto
        then have  $\text{sint}(\text{signed-take-bit}(b - \text{Suc}(0::nat))(\text{take-bit } b \text{ e})) < (2::int) \wedge b \text{ div } (2::int)$ 
          by (simp add: 5)
        then show ?thesis
          using 2 intval-sign-extend.simps(3) p(1) by blast
        qed

    subgoal premises p for x4
      proof –
        have sg1: va = ObjStr x4
          using 2 p(1) by auto
        then have  $\text{sint}(\text{signed-take-bit}(b - \text{Suc}(0::nat))(\text{take-bit } b \text{ e})) < (2::int) \wedge b \text{ div } (2::int)$ 
          by (simp add: 5)
        then show ?thesis
          using 1 sg1 by auto
        qed

    subgoal premises p for x21 x22
      proof –

```

```

      have sgg1: va = IntVal x21 x22
      by (simp add: p(1))
    then have sgg2: sint (signed-take-bit (b - Suc (0::nat)) (take-bit b e))
    < (2::int) ^ b div (2::int)
      by (simp add: 5)
    then show ?thesis
      sorry
    qed
  done
  then show ?thesis
    by (metis evalDet p(2) va)
  qed
done

```

```

lemma val-and-commute[simp]:
  val[x & y] = val[y & x]
  apply (cases x; cases y; auto)
  by (simp add: word-bw-comms(1))

```

Optimisations

```

optimization AndEqual: x & x ⟶ x
  using exp-and-equal by blast

```

```

optimization AndShiftConstantRight: ((const x) & y) ⟶ y & (const x)
  when ¬(is-ConstantExpr y)
  using size-flip-binary by auto

```

```

optimization AndNots: (~x) & (~y) ⟶ ~(x | y)
  defer using exp-and-nots
  apply presburger
  by (metis add-2-eq-Suc' less-SucI less-add-Suc1 not-less-eq size-binary-const size-non-add)

```

```

optimization AndSignExtend: BinaryExpr BinAnd (UnaryExpr (UnarySignExtend
In Out) x)

```

```

  (const (new-int b e))
  ⟶ (UnaryExpr (UnaryZeroExtend In Out) x)
  when (e = (1 << In) - 1)

```

```

  using exp-sign-extend by simp

```

```

optimization AndNeutral: (x & ~(const (IntVal b 0))) ⟶ x
  when (wf-stamp x ∧ stamp-expr x = IntegerStamp b lo hi)
  apply auto using val-and-neutral

```

```

by (smt (verit) Value.sel(1) eval-unused-bits-zero intval-and.elims intval-word.simps
      new-int.simps new-int-bin.simps take-bit-eq-mask)

end

context stamp-mask
begin

lemma AndRightFallthrough: (((and (not (↓ x)) (↑ y)) = 0)) → exp[x & y] ≥
exp[y]
  apply simp apply (rule impI; (rule allI)+)
  apply (rule impI)
  subgoal premises p for m p v
  proof –
    obtain xv where xv: [m, p] ⊢ x ↦ xv
    using p(2) by blast
    obtain yv where yv: [m, p] ⊢ y ↦ yv
    using p(2) by blast
    have v = val[xv & yv]
    using p(2) xv yv
    by (metis BinaryExprE bin-eval.simps(4) evalDet)
    then have v = yv
    using p(1) not-down-up-mask-and-zero-implies-zero
    by (smt (verit) eval-unused-bits-zero intval-and.elims new-int.elims new-int-bin.elims
p(2) unfold-binary xv yv)
    then show ?thesis using yv by simp
  qed
done

lemma AndLeftFallthrough: (((and (not (↓ y)) (↑ x)) = 0)) → exp[x & y] ≥
exp[x]
  apply simp apply (rule impI; (rule allI)+)
  apply (rule impI)
  subgoal premises p for m p v
  proof –
    obtain xv where xv: [m, p] ⊢ x ↦ xv
    using p(2) by blast
    obtain yv where yv: [m, p] ⊢ y ↦ yv
    using p(2) by blast
    have v = val[xv & yv]
    using p(2) xv yv
    by (metis BinaryExprE bin-eval.simps(4) evalDet)
    then have v = xv
    using p(1) not-down-up-mask-and-zero-implies-zero
    by (smt (verit) and.commute eval-unused-bits-zero intval-and.elims new-int.simps
new-int-bin.simps p(2) unfold-binary xv yv)

```

```

    then show ?thesis using xv by simp
  qed
done

```

```
end
```

```
end
```

1.4 BinaryNode Phase

```

theory BinaryNode
  imports
    Common
begin

```

```

phase BinaryNode
  terminating size
begin

```

```

optimization BinaryFoldConstant: BinaryExpr op (const v1) (const v2)  $\mapsto$  ConstantExpr (bin-eval op v1 v2)

```

```

  unfolding le-expr-def
  apply (rule allI impI)+
  subgoal premises bin for m p v
  print-facts
  apply (rule BinaryExprE[OF bin])
  subgoal premises prems for x y
  print-facts

```

```

proof –

```

```

  have x: x = v1 using prems by auto
  have y: y = v2 using prems by auto
  have xy: v = bin-eval op x y using prems x y by simp
  have int:  $\exists b vv . v = \text{new-int } b \text{ } vv$  using bin-eval-new-int prems by fast
  show ?thesis
    unfolding prems x y xy
    apply (rule ConstantExpr)
    apply (rule validDefIntConst)
    using prems x y xy int sorry

```

```

  qed

```

```

done

```

```

done

```

```

print-facts

```

```

end

```


end

1.5 ConditionalNode Phase

theory *ConditionalPhase*
imports
 Common
 Proofs.StampEvalThms
begin

phase *ConditionalNode*
 terminating *size*
begin

lemma *negates*: $\exists v\ b.\ e = \text{IntVal } b\ v \wedge b > 0 \implies \text{val-to-bool } (\text{val}[e]) \longleftrightarrow \neg(\text{val-to-bool } (\text{val}[\neg e]))$
 unfolding *intval-logic-negation.simps*
 by (*metis* (*mono-tags*, *lifting*) *intval-logic-negation.simps(1)* *logic-negate-def new-int.simps of-bool-eq(2)* *one-neq-zero take-bit-of-0 take-bit-of-1 val-to-bool.simps(1)*)

lemma *negation-condition-intval*:
 assumes $e = \text{IntVal } b\ ie$
 assumes $0 < b$
 shows $\text{val}[(\neg e) ? x : y] = \text{val}[e ? y : x]$
 using *assms* **by** (*cases* *e*; *auto simp: negates logic-negate-def*)

lemma *negation-preserve-eval*:
 assumes $[m, p] \vdash \text{exp}[\neg e] \mapsto v$
 shows $\exists v'. ([m, p] \vdash \text{exp}[e] \mapsto v') \wedge v = \text{val}[\neg v']$
 using *assms* **by** *auto*

lemma *negation-preserve-eval-intval*:
 assumes $[m, p] \vdash \text{exp}[\neg e] \mapsto v$
 shows $\exists v'\ b\ vv. ([m, p] \vdash \text{exp}[e] \mapsto v') \wedge v' = \text{IntVal } b\ vv \wedge b > 0$
 using *assms*
 by (*metis eval-bits-1-64 intval-logic-negation.elims negation-preserve-eval unfold-unary*)

optimization *NegateConditionFlipBranches*: $((\neg e) ? x : y) \mapsto (e ? y : x)$
 apply *simp* **using** *negation-condition-intval negation-preserve-eval-intval*
 by (*smt (z3) ConditionalExpr ConditionalExprE evalDet negates negation-preserve-eval*)

optimization *DefaultTrueBranch*: $(\text{true} ? x : y) \mapsto x$.

optimization *DefaultFalseBranch*: $(\text{false} ? x : y) \mapsto y$.

optimization *ConditionalEqualBranches*: $(e ? x : x) \mapsto x$.

optimization *condition-bounds-x*: $((u < v) ? x : y) \mapsto x$
 when (*stamp-under (stamp-expr u) (stamp-expr v) \wedge wf-stamp u \wedge wf-stamp v*)

using *stamp-under-defn* **by** *auto*

optimization *condition-bounds-y*: $((u < v) ? x : y) \mapsto y$
when (*stamp-under* (*stamp-expr* *v*) (*stamp-expr* *u*) \wedge *wf-stamp* *u* \wedge *wf-stamp* *v*)
using *stamp-under-defn-inverse* **by** *auto*

lemma *val-optimise-integer-test*:
assumes $\exists v. x = \text{IntVal } 32 \ v$
shows $\text{val}[(x \ \& \ (\text{IntVal } 32 \ 1)) \ \text{eq} \ (\text{IntVal } 32 \ 0)) \ ? \ (\text{IntVal } 32 \ 0) : (\text{IntVal } 32 \ 1)] =$
 $\text{val}[x \ \& \ \text{IntVal } 32 \ 1]$
using *assms* **apply** *auto*
apply (*metis* (*full-types*) *bool-to-val.simps*(2) *val-to-bool.simps*(1))
by (*metis* (*mono-tags*, *lifting*) *and-one-eq* *bool-to-val.simps*(1) *even-iff-mod-2-eq-zero* *odd-iff-mod-2-eq-one* *val-to-bool.simps*(1))

optimization *ConditionalEliminateKnownLess*: $((x < y) ? x : y) \mapsto x$
when (*stamp-under* (*stamp-expr* *x*) (*stamp-expr* *y*)
 \wedge *wf-stamp* *x* \wedge *wf-stamp* *y*)
using *stamp-under-defn* **by** *auto*

optimization *ConditionalEqualIsRHS*: $((x \text{ eq } y) ? x : y) \mapsto y$
apply *auto*
by (*smt* (*verit*) *Value.inject*(1) *bool-to-val.simps*(2) *bool-to-val-bin.simps* *evalDet* *intval-equals.elims* *val-to-bool.elims*(1))

optimization *normalizeX*: $((x \text{ eq } \text{const } (\text{IntVal } 32 \ 0)) ?$
 $(\text{const } (\text{IntVal } 32 \ 0)) : (\text{const } (\text{IntVal } 32 \ 1))) \mapsto x$
when ($x = \text{ConstantExpr } (\text{IntVal } 32 \ 0) \mid (x = \text{ConstantExpr}$
 $(\text{IntVal } 32 \ 1)))$.

optimization *normalizeX2*: $((x \text{ eq } (\text{const } (\text{IntVal } 32 \ 1))) ?$
 $(\text{const } (\text{IntVal } 32 \ 1)) : (\text{const } (\text{IntVal } 32 \ 0))) \mapsto x$
when ($x = \text{ConstantExpr } (\text{IntVal } 32 \ 0) \mid (x =$
 $\text{ConstantExpr } (\text{IntVal } 32 \ 1)))$.

optimization *flipX*: $((x \text{ eq } (\text{const } (\text{IntVal } 32 \ 0))) ?$
 $(\text{const } (\text{IntVal } 32 \ 1)) : (\text{const } (\text{IntVal } 32 \ 0))) \mapsto$
 $x \oplus (\text{const } (\text{IntVal } 32 \ 1))$
when ($x = \text{ConstantExpr } (\text{IntVal } 32 \ 0) \mid (x = \text{ConstantExpr}$
 $(\text{IntVal } 32 \ 1)))$.

optimization *flipX2*: $((x \text{ eq } (\text{const } (\text{IntVal } 32 \ 1)))) \ ?$
 $(\text{const } (\text{IntVal } 32 \ 0)) : (\text{const } (\text{IntVal } 32 \ 1))) \mapsto$
 $x \oplus (\text{const } (\text{IntVal } 32 \ 1))$
 $\text{when } (x = \text{ConstantExpr } (\text{IntVal } 32 \ 0) \mid (x = \text{ConstantExpr } (\text{IntVal } 32 \ 1))) .$

lemma *stamp-of-default*:
assumes *stamp-expr* $x = \text{default-stamp}$
assumes *wf-stamp* x
shows $([m, p] \vdash x \mapsto v) \longrightarrow (\exists vv. v = \text{IntVal } 32 \ vv)$
using *assms*
by (*metis default-stamp valid-value-elim3 wf-stamp-def*)

optimization *OptimiseIntegerTest*:
 $((x \ \& \ (\text{const } (\text{IntVal } 32 \ 1))) \text{ eq } (\text{const } (\text{IntVal } 32 \ 0))) \ ?$
 $(\text{const } (\text{IntVal } 32 \ 0)) : (\text{const } (\text{IntVal } 32 \ 1))) \mapsto$
 $x \ \& \ (\text{const } (\text{IntVal } 32 \ 1))$
 $\text{when } (\text{stamp-expr } x = \text{default-stamp} \wedge \text{wf-stamp } x)$
apply *simp* **apply** (*rule impI*; (*rule allI*) $+$; *rule impI*)
subgoal **premises** *eval* **for** $m \ p \ v$
proof –
obtain xv **where** $xv: [m, p] \vdash x \mapsto xv$
using *eval* **by** *fast*
then **have** $x32: \exists v. xv = \text{IntVal } 32 \ v$
using *stamp-of-default eval* **by** *auto*
obtain lhs **where** $lhs: [m, p] \vdash \text{exp}[(((x \ \& \ (\text{const } (\text{IntVal } 32 \ 1))) \text{ eq } (\text{const } (\text{IntVal } 32 \ 0)))) \ ?$
 $(\text{const } (\text{IntVal } 32 \ 0)) : (\text{const } (\text{IntVal } 32 \ 1)))] \mapsto lhs$
using *eval(2)* **by** *auto*
then **have** $lhsV: lhs = \text{val}[((xv \ \& \ (\text{IntVal } 32 \ 1)) \text{ eq } (\text{IntVal } 32 \ 0)) \ ? (\text{IntVal } 32 \ 0)) : (\text{IntVal } 32 \ 1)]$
using $xv \text{ evaltree.BinaryExpr evaltree.ConstantExpr evaltree.ConditionalExpr}$
by (*smt (verit) ConditionalExprE ConstantExprE bin-eval.simps(11) bin-eval.simps(4) evalDet intval-conditional.simps unfold-binary*)
obtain rhs **where** $rhs: [m, p] \vdash \text{exp}[x \ \& \ (\text{const } (\text{IntVal } 32 \ 1))] \mapsto rhs$
using *eval(2)* **by** *blast*
then **have** $rhsV: rhs = \text{val}[xv \ \& \ \text{IntVal } 32 \ 1]$
by (*metis BinaryExprE ConstantExprE bin-eval.simps(4) evalDet xv*)
have $lhs = rhs$ **using** *val-optimize-integer-test x32*
using $lhsV \ rhsV$ **by** *presburger*
then **show** *?thesis*
by (*metis eval(2) evalDet lhs rhs*)
qed
done

optimization *opt-optimize-integer-test-2*:
 $((x \ \& \ (\text{const } (\text{IntVal } 32 \ 1))) \text{ eq } (\text{const } (\text{IntVal } 32 \ 0))) \ ?$

$(\text{const } (\text{IntVal } 32 \ 0)) : (\text{const } (\text{IntVal } 32 \ 1))) \mapsto$
 $\quad \quad \quad x$
 $\text{when } (x = \text{ConstantExpr } (\text{IntVal } 32 \ 0) \mid (x = \text{ConstantExpr } (\text{IntVal } 32 \ 1))) .$

end

end

1.6 MulNode Phase

theory *MulPhase*

imports

Common

Proofs.StampEvalThms

begin

phase *MulNode*

terminating *size*

begin

lemma *bin-eliminate-redundant-negative:*

$\text{uminus } (x :: 'a::\text{len word}) * \text{uminus } (y :: 'a::\text{len word}) = x * y$

by *simp*

lemma *bin-multiply-identity:*

$(x :: 'a::\text{len word}) * 1 = x$

by *simp*

lemma *bin-multiply-eliminate:*

$(x :: 'a::\text{len word}) * 0 = 0$

by *simp*

lemma *bin-multiply-negative:*

$(x :: 'a::\text{len word}) * \text{uminus } 1 = \text{uminus } x$

by *simp*

lemma *bin-multiply-power-2:*

$(x :: 'a::\text{len word}) * (2^{\hat{j}}) = x << j$

by *simp*

```

lemma take-bit64 [simp]:
  fixes w :: int64
  shows take-bit 64 w = w
proof -
  have Nat.size w = 64
  by (simp add: size64)
  then show ?thesis
  by (metis lt2p-lem mask-eq-iff take-bit-eq-mask verit-comp-simplify1 (2) wsst-TYs(3))
qed

lemma testt:
  fixes a :: nat
  fixes b c :: 64 word
  shows take-bit a (take-bit a (b) * take-bit a (c)) =
    take-bit a (b * c)
by (smt (verit, ccfv-SIG) take-bit-mult take-bit-of-int unsigned-take-bit-eq word-mult-def)

lemma val-eliminate-redundant-negative:
  assumes val[-x * -y] ≠ UndefVal
  shows val[-x * -y] = val[x * y]
  using assms apply (cases x; cases y; auto)
  using testt by auto

lemma val-multiply-neutral:
  assumes x = new-int b v
  shows val[x * (IntVal b 1)] = val[x]
  using assms by force

lemma val-multiply-zero:
  assumes x = new-int b v
  shows val[x * (IntVal b 0)] = IntVal b 0
  using assms by simp

lemma val-multiply-negative:
  assumes x = new-int b v
  shows val[x * intval-negate (IntVal b 1)] = intval-negate x
  using assms
  by (smt (verit) Value.disc(1) Value.inject(1) add.inverse-neutral intval-negate.simps(1)

    is-IntVal-def mask-0 mask-eq-take-bit-minus-one new-int.elims of-bool-eq(2)
take-bit-dist-neg
take-bit-of-1 val-eliminate-redundant-negative val-multiply-neutral val-multiply-zero

verit-minus-simplify(4) zero-neq-one)

```

```

lemma val-MulPower2:
  fixes  $i :: 64 \text{ word}$ 
  assumes  $y = \text{IntVal } 64 \ (2 \wedge \text{unat}(i))$ 
  and  $0 < i$ 
  and  $i < 64$ 
  and  $\text{val}[x * y] \neq \text{UndefVal}$ 
  shows  $\text{val}[x * y] = \text{val}[x << \text{IntVal } 64 \ i]$ 
  using assms apply (cases x; cases y; auto)
  subgoal premises  $p$  for  $x2$ 
  proof –
    have  $63 :: \text{int64} = \text{mask } 6$ 
    by eval
    then have  $(2 :: \text{int}) \wedge 6 = 64$ 
    by eval
    then have  $\text{uint } i < (2 :: \text{int}) \wedge 6$ 
    by (metis linorder-not-less lt2p-lem of-int-numeral p(4) size64 word-2p-lem
word-of-int-2p wsst-TYs(3))
    then have  $\text{and } i (\text{mask } 6) = i$ 
    using mask-eq-iff by blast
    then show  $x2 << \text{unat } i = x2 << \text{unat } (\text{and } i (63 :: 64 \text{ word}))$ 
    unfolding  $63$ 
    by force
  qed
by presburger

```

```

lemma val-MulPower2Add1:
  fixes  $i :: 64 \text{ word}$ 
  assumes  $y = \text{IntVal } 64 \ ((2 \wedge \text{unat}(i)) + 1)$ 
  and  $0 < i$ 
  and  $i < 64$ 
  and  $\text{val-to-bool}(\text{val}[\text{IntVal } 64 \ 0 < x])$ 
  and  $\text{val-to-bool}(\text{val}[\text{IntVal } 64 \ 0 < y])$ 
  shows  $\text{val}[x * y] = \text{val}[(x << \text{IntVal } 64 \ i) + x]$ 
  using assms apply (cases x; cases y; auto)
  subgoal premises  $p$  for  $x2$ 
  proof –
    have  $63 :: \text{int64} = \text{mask } 6$ 
    by eval
    then have  $(2 :: \text{int}) \wedge 6 = 64$ 
    by eval
    then have  $\text{and } i (\text{mask } 6) = i$ 
    using mask-eq-iff by (simp add: less-mask-eq p(6))
    then have  $x2 * ((2 :: 64 \text{ word}) \wedge \text{unat } i + (1 :: 64 \text{ word})) = (x2 * ((2 :: 64 \text{ word})$ 
     $\wedge \text{unat } i)) + x2$ 
    by (simp add: distrib-left)
    then show  $x2 * ((2 :: 64 \text{ word}) \wedge \text{unat } i + (1 :: 64 \text{ word})) = x2 << \text{unat } (\text{and } i$ 
     $(63 :: 64 \text{ word})) + x2$ 

```

```

    by (simp add: 63 ‹and (i::64 word) (mask (6::nat)) = i›)
qed
using val-to-bool.simps(2) by presburger

```

```

lemma val-MulPower2Sub1:
  fixes i :: 64 word
  assumes y = IntVal 64 ((2 ^ unat(i)) - 1)
  and 0 < i
  and i < 64
  and val-to-bool(val[IntVal 64 0 < x])
  and val-to-bool(val[IntVal 64 0 < y])
  shows val[x * y] = val[(x << IntVal 64 i) - x]
  using assms apply (cases x; cases y; auto)
  subgoal premises p for x2
  proof -
    have 63: (63 :: int64) = mask 6
    by eval
    then have (2::int) ^ 6 = 64
    by eval
    then have and i (mask 6) = i
    using mask-eq-iff by (simp add: less-mask-eq p(6))
    then have x2 * ((2::64 word) ^ unat i - (1::64 word)) = (x2 * ((2::64 word)
    ^ unat i)) - x2
    by (simp add: right-diff-distrib')
    then show x2 * ((2::64 word) ^ unat i - (1::64 word)) = x2 << unat (and i
    (63::64 word)) - x2
    by (simp add: 63 ‹and (i::64 word) (mask (6::nat)) = i›)
  qed
  using val-to-bool.simps(2) by presburger

```

```

lemma val-distribute-multiplication:
  assumes x = new-int 64 xx ∧ q = new-int 64 qq ∧ a = new-int 64 aa
  shows val[x * (q + a)] = val[(x * q) + (x * a)]
  apply (cases x; cases q; cases a; auto) using distrib-left assms by auto

```

```

lemma val-MulPower2AddPower2:
  fixes i j :: 64 word
  assumes y = IntVal 64 ((2 ^ unat(i)) + (2 ^ unat(j)))
  and 0 < i
  and 0 < j
  and i < 64
  and j < 64
  and x = new-int 64 xx
  shows val[x * y] = val[(x << IntVal 64 i) + (x << IntVal 64 j)]
  using assms

```

```

proof –
  have 63: (63 :: int64) = mask 6
    by eval
  then have (2::int) ^ 6 = 64
    by eval
  then have n: IntVal 64 ((2 ^ unat(i)) + (2 ^ unat(j))) =
    val[(IntVal 64 (2 ^ unat(i))) + (IntVal 64 (2 ^ unat(j)))]

  using assms by (cases i; cases j; auto)
  then have 1: val[x * ((IntVal 64 (2 ^ unat(i))) + (IntVal 64 (2 ^ unat(j))))]
=
  val[(x * IntVal 64 (2 ^ unat(i))) + (x * IntVal 64 (2 ^ unat(j)))]

  using assms val-distribute-multiplication val-MulPower2 by simp
  then have 2: val[(x * IntVal 64 (2 ^ unat(i)))] = val[x << IntVal 64 i]
  using assms val-MulPower2
  using Value.distinct(1) intval-mul.simps(1) new-int.simps new-int-bin.simps
  by (smt (verit))
  then show ?thesis
  using 1 Value.distinct(1) assms(1) assms(3) assms(5) assms(6) intval-mul.simps(1)
n
    new-int.simps new-int-bin.elims val-MulPower2
  by (smt (verit, del-insts))
qed

thm-oracles val-MulPower2AddPower2

lemma exp-multiply-zero-64:
  exp[x * (const (IntVal 64 0))] ≥ ConstantExpr (IntVal 64 0)
  using val-multiply-zero apply auto
  using Value.inject(1) constantAsStamp.simps(1) int-signed-value-bounds intval-mul.elims

    mult-zero-right new-int.simps new-int-bin.simps nle-le numeral-eq-Suc take-bit-of-0

    unfold-const valid-stamp.simps(1) valid-value.simps(1) zero-less-Suc
  by (smt (verit))

lemma exp-multiply-neutral:
  exp[x * (const (IntVal b 1))] ≥ x
  using val-multiply-neutral apply auto
  by (smt (verit) Value.inject(1) eval-unused-bits-zero intval-mul.elims mult.right-neutral

    new-int.elims new-int-bin.elims)

thm-oracles exp-multiply-neutral

lemma exp-MulPower2:
  fixes i :: 64 word

```



```

assumes  $y = \text{ConstantExpr } (\text{IntVal } 64 \ (2 \wedge \text{unat}(i)))$ 
and  $0 < i$ 
and  $i < 64$ 
and  $\text{exp}[x > (\text{const IntVal } b \ 0)]$ 
and  $\text{exp}[y > (\text{const IntVal } b \ 0)]$ 
shows  $\text{exp}[x * y] \geq \text{exp}[x << \text{ConstantExpr } (\text{IntVal } 64 \ i)]$ 
using assms apply simp using val-MulPower2
by (metis ConstantExprE equiv-exprs-def unfold-binary)

lemma exp-MulPower2Add1:
  fixes  $i :: 64 \text{ word}$ 
  assumes  $y = \text{ConstantExpr } (\text{IntVal } 64 \ ((2 \wedge \text{unat}(i)) + 1))$ 
  and  $0 < i$ 
  and  $i < 64$ 
  and  $\text{exp}[x > (\text{const IntVal } b \ 0)]$ 
  and  $\text{exp}[y > (\text{const IntVal } b \ 0)]$ 
shows  $\text{exp}[x * y] = \text{exp}[(x << \text{ConstantExpr } (\text{IntVal } 64 \ i)) + x]$ 
sorry

```

```

lemma greaterConstant:
  assumes  $a > b$ 
  and  $y = \text{ConstantExpr } (\text{IntVal } 64 \ a)$ 
  and  $x = \text{ConstantExpr } (\text{IntVal } 64 \ b)$ 
  shows  $y > x$ 
  apply auto
  sorry

```

Optimisations

```

optimization EliminateRedundantNegative:  $-x * -y \mapsto x * y$ 
  apply (metis One-nat-def Suc-eq-plus1 add-Suc-shift add-less-imp-less-right less-Suc-eq
not-add-less1 not-less-eq numeral-2-eq-2 size-binary-const size-non-add)
  apply auto using val-eliminate-redundant-negative bin-eval.simps(2)
  by (metis BinaryExpr)

```

```

optimization MulNeutral:  $x * \text{ConstantExpr } (\text{IntVal } b \ 1) \mapsto x$ 
  using exp-multiply-neutral by blast

```

```

optimization MulEliminator:  $x * \text{ConstantExpr } (\text{IntVal } b \ 0) \mapsto \text{const } (\text{IntVal } b \ 0)$ 
  apply auto using val-multiply-zero
  using Value.inject(1) constantAsStamp.simps(1) int-signed-value-bounds intval-mul.elims
mult-zero-right new-int.simps new-int-bin.simps take-bit-of-0 unfold-const
valid-stamp.simps(1) valid-value.simps(1)
  by (smt (verit))

```

optimization *MulNegate*: $x * -(const (IntVal b 1)) \mapsto -x$
apply *auto* **using** *val-multiply-negative*
by (*smt* (*verit*) *Value.distinct*(1) *Value.sel*(1) *add.inverse-inverse intval-mul.elims*

intval-negate.simps(1) *mask-eq-take-bit-minus-one new-int.simps new-int-bin.simps*

take-bit-dist-neg unary-eval.simps(2) *unfold-unary*
val-eliminate-redundant-negative)

fun *isNonZero* :: *Stamp* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**
isNonZero (*IntegerStamp* *b lo hi*) = (*lo* > 0) |
isNonZero - = *False*

lemma *isNonZero-defn*:
assumes *isNonZero* (*stamp-expr* *x*)
assumes *wf-stamp* *x*
shows ($[m, p] \vdash x \mapsto v$) \longrightarrow ($\exists vv\ b. (v = IntVal\ b\ vv \wedge val\text{-to-bool}\ val[(IntVal\ b\ 0) < v])$)
apply (*rule impI*) **subgoal premises** *eval*
proof -
obtain *b lo hi* **where** *xstamp*: *stamp-expr* *x* = *IntegerStamp* *b lo hi*
using *assms*
by (*meson isNonZero.elims*(2))
then obtain *vv* **where** *vdef*: *v* = *IntVal* *b vv*
by (*metis assms*(2) *eval valid-int wf-stamp-def*)
have *lo* > 0
using *assms*(1) *xstamp* **by** *force*
then have *signed-above*: *int-signed-value* *b vv* > 0
using *assms* **unfolding** *wf-stamp-def*
using *eval vdef xstamp* **by** *fastforce*
have *take-bit* *b vv* = *vv*
using *eval eval-unused-bits-zero vdef* **by** *auto*
then have *vv* > 0
using *signed-above*
by (*metis bit-take-bit-iff int-signed-value.simps not-less-zero signed-eq-0-iff signed-take-bit-eq-if-positive take-bit-0 take-bit-of-0 verit-comp-simplify1*(1) *word-gt-0*)
then show *?thesis*
using *vdef* **using** *signed-above*
by *simp*
qed
done

optimization *MulPower2*: $x * y \mapsto x << const (IntVal\ 64\ i)$
 $when\ (i > 0 \wedge$
 $64 > i \wedge$
 $y = exp[const (IntVal\ 64\ (2 \wedge unat(i))])]$
defer
apply *simp* **apply** (*rule impI*; (*rule allI*)⁺; *rule impI*)

```

    subgoal premises eval for m p v
  proof -
    obtain xv where xv:  $[m, p] \vdash x \mapsto xv$ 
    using eval(2) by blast
    then obtain xvv where xvv:  $xv = \text{IntVal } 64 \ xvv$ 
    using eval
    using ConstantExprE bin-eval.simps(2) evalDet intval-bits.simps intval-mul.elims
new-int-bin.simps unfold-binary
    by (smt (verit))
    obtain yv where yv:  $[m, p] \vdash y \mapsto yv$ 
    using eval(1) eval(2) by blast
    then have lhs:  $[m, p] \vdash \text{exp}[x * y] \mapsto \text{val}[xv * yv]$ 
    by (metis bin-eval.simps(2) eval(1) eval(2) evalDet unfold-binary xv)
    have  $[m, p] \vdash \text{exp}[\text{const } (\text{IntVal } 64 \ i)] \mapsto \text{val}[(\text{IntVal } 64 \ i)]$ 
    by (smt (verit, ccfv-SIG) ConstantExpr constantAsStamp.simps(1) eval-bits-1-64
take-bit64 validStampIntConst valid-value.simps(1) xv xvv)
    then have rhs:  $[m, p] \vdash \text{exp}[x << \text{const } (\text{IntVal } 64 \ i)] \mapsto \text{val}[xv << (\text{IntVal } 64 \ i)]$ 
    using xv xvv using evaltree.BinaryExpr
    by (metis Value.simps(5) bin-eval.simps(8) intval-left-shift.simps(1) new-int.simps)
    have  $\text{val}[xv * yv] = \text{val}[xv << (\text{IntVal } 64 \ i)]$ 
    using val-MulPower2
    by (metis ConstantExprE eval(1) evaltree-not-undef lhs yv)
    then show ?thesis
    by (metis eval(1) eval(2) evalDet lhs rhs)
  qed
  sorry

```

```

optimization MulPower2Add1:  $x * y \mapsto (x << \text{const } (\text{IntVal } 64 \ i)) + x$ 
    when  $(i > 0 \wedge$ 
       $64 > i \wedge$ 
       $y = \text{ConstantExpr } (\text{IntVal } 64 \ ((2 \wedge \text{unat}(i)) + 1))$ )

```

```

  defer
  apply simp apply (rule impI; (rule allI)+; rule impI)
  subgoal premises p for m p v
  proof -
    obtain xv where xv:  $[m, p] \vdash x \mapsto xv$ 
    using p by fast
    then obtain xvv where xvv:  $xv = \text{IntVal } 64 \ xvv$ 
    by (smt (verit) p ConstantExprE bin-eval.simps(2) evalDet intval-bits.simps
intval-mul.elims
      new-int-bin.simps unfold-binary)
    obtain yv where yv:  $[m, p] \vdash y \mapsto yv$ 
    using p by blast
    have ygezero:  $y > \text{ConstantExpr } (\text{IntVal } 64 \ 0)$ 
    using greaterConstant p by fastforce
    then have 1:  $0 < i \wedge$ 

```

```

      i < 64 ∧
      y = ConstantExpr (IntVal 64 ((2 ^ unat(i)) + 1))
    using p by blast
  then have lhs: [m, p] ⊢ exp[x * y] ↦ val[xv * yv]
    by (metis bin-eval.simps(2) evalDet p(1) p(2) xv yv unfold-binary)
  then have [m, p] ⊢ exp[const (IntVal 64 i)] ↦ val[(IntVal 64 i)]
    by (metis verit-comp-simplify1(2) zero-less-numeral ConstantExpr constantAsStamp.simps(1)
        take-bit64 validStampIntConst valid-value.simps(1))
  then have rhs2: [m, p] ⊢ exp[x << const (IntVal 64 i)] ↦ val[xv << (IntVal 64 i)]
    by (metis Value.simps(5) bin-eval.simps(8) intval-left-shift.simps(1) new-int.simps
        xv xvv
        evaltree.BinaryExpr)
  then have rhs: [m, p] ⊢ exp[(x << const (IntVal 64 i)) + x] ↦ val[(xv << (IntVal 64 i)) + xv]
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) intval-add.simps(1) rhs2 bin-eval.simps(1) Value.simps(5)
        evaltree.BinaryExpr intval-left-shift.simps(1) new-int.simps xv xvv)
  then have val[xv * yv] = val[(xv << (IntVal 64 i)) + xv]
    using 1 exp-MulPower2Add1 ygezero by auto
  then show ?thesis
    by (metis evalDet lhs p(1) p(2) rhs)
qed
sorry

```

end

end

1.7 Experimental AndNode Phase

theory NewAnd

imports

Common

Graph.Long

begin

lemma bin-distribute-and-over-or:

$\text{bin}[z \ \& \ (x \ | \ y)] = \text{bin}[(z \ \& \ x) \ | \ (z \ \& \ y)]$

by (smt (verit, best) bit-and-iff bit-eqI bit-or-iff)

lemma intval-distribute-and-over-or:

$\text{val}[z \ \& \ (x \ | \ y)] = \text{val}[(z \ \& \ x) \ | \ (z \ \& \ y)]$

apply (cases x; cases y; cases z; auto)

using bin-distribute-and-over-or **by** blast+

lemma exp-distribute-and-over-or:

```

exp[z & (x | y)] ≥ exp[(z & x) | (z & y)]
apply simp using intval-distribute-and-over-or
using BinaryExpr bin-eval.simps(4,5)
using intval-or.simps(1) unfolding new-int-bin.simps new-int.simps apply auto
by (metis bin-eval.simps(4) bin-eval.simps(5) intval-or.simps(2) intval-or.simps(5))

```

```

lemma intval-and-commute:
  val[x & y] = val[y & x]
  by (cases x; cases y; auto simp: and.commute)

```

```

lemma intval-or-commute:
  val[x | y] = val[y | x]
  by (cases x; cases y; auto simp: or.commute)

```

```

lemma intval-xor-commute:
  val[x ⊕ y] = val[y ⊕ x]
  by (cases x; cases y; auto simp: xor.commute)

```

```

lemma exp-and-commute:
  exp[x & z] ≥ exp[z & x]
  apply simp using intval-and-commute by auto

```

```

lemma exp-or-commute:
  exp[x | y] ≥ exp[y | x]
  apply simp using intval-or-commute by auto

```

```

lemma exp-xor-commute:
  exp[x ⊕ y] ≥ exp[y ⊕ x]
  apply simp using intval-xor-commute by auto

```

```

lemma bin-eliminate-y:
  assumes bin[y & z] = 0
  shows bin[(x | y) & z] = bin[x & z]
  using assms
  by (simp add: and.commute bin-distribute-and-over-or)

```

```

lemma intval-eliminate-y:
  assumes val[y & z] = IntVal b 0
  shows val[(x | y) & z] = val[x & z]
  using assms bin-eliminate-y by (cases x; cases y; cases z; auto)

```

```

lemma intval-and-associative:
  val[(x & y) & z] = val[x & (y & z)]
  apply (cases x; cases y; cases z; auto)
  by (simp add: and.assoc)+

```

```

lemma intval-or-associative:

```

```

    val[(x | y) | z] = val[x | (y | z)]
apply (cases x; cases y; cases z; auto)
by (simp add: or.assoc)+

lemma intval-xor-associative:
    val[(x ⊕ y) ⊕ z] = val[x ⊕ (y ⊕ z)]
apply (cases x; cases y; cases z; auto)
by (simp add: xor.assoc)+

lemma exp-and-associative:
    exp[(x & y) & z] ≥ exp[x & (y & z)]
apply simp using intval-and-associative by fastforce

lemma exp-or-associative:
    exp[(x | y) | z] ≥ exp[x | (y | z)]
apply simp using intval-or-associative by fastforce

lemma exp-xor-associative:
    exp[(x ⊕ y) ⊕ z] ≥ exp[x ⊕ (y ⊕ z)]
apply simp using intval-xor-associative by fastforce

lemma intval-and-absorb-or:
    assumes ∃ b v . x = new-int b v
    assumes val[x & (x | y)] ≠ UndefVal
    shows val[x & (x | y)] = val[x]
    using assms apply (cases x; cases y; auto)
    by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) intval-and.simps(5))

lemma intval-or-absorb-and:
    assumes ∃ b v . x = new-int b v
    assumes val[x | (x & y)] ≠ UndefVal
    shows val[x | (x & y)] = val[x]
    using assms apply (cases x; cases y; auto)
    by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) intval-or.simps(5))

lemma exp-and-absorb-or:
    exp[x & (x | y)] ≥ exp[x]
    apply auto using intval-and-absorb-or eval-unused-bits-zero
    by (smt (verit) evalDet intval-or.elims new-int.elims)

lemma exp-or-absorb-and:
    exp[x | (x & y)] ≥ exp[x]
    apply auto using intval-or-absorb-and eval-unused-bits-zero
    by (smt (verit) evalDet intval-or.elims new-int.elims)

definition IRExp-up :: IRExp ⇒ int64 where
    IRExp-up e = not 0

```

definition *IRExpr-down* :: *IRExpr* \Rightarrow *int64* **where**
IRExpr-down *e* = 0

lemma
assumes *y* = 0
shows *x* + *y* = *or x y*
using *assms*
by *simp*

lemma *no-overlap-or*:
assumes *and x y* = 0
shows *x* + *y* = *or x y*
using *assms*
by (*metis bit-and-iff bit-xor-iff disjunctive-add xor-self-eq*)

context *stamp-mask*
begin

lemma *intval-up-and-zero-implies-zero*:
assumes *and* ($\uparrow x$) ($\uparrow y$) = 0
assumes [*m*, *p*] $\vdash x \mapsto xv$
assumes [*m*, *p*] $\vdash y \mapsto yv$
assumes *val*[*xv* & *yv*] \neq *UndefVal*
shows $\exists b . \text{val}[xv \ \& \ yv] = \text{new-int } b \ 0$
using *assms* **apply** (*cases xv*; *cases yv*; *auto*)
using *up-mask-and-zero-implies-zero*
apply (*smt* (*verit*, *best*) *take-bit-and take-bit-of-0*)
by *presburger*

lemma *exp-eliminate-y*:
and ($\uparrow y$) ($\uparrow z$) = 0 \longrightarrow *BinaryExpr BinAnd* (*BinaryExpr BinOr* *x y*) *z* \geq *BinaryExpr BinAnd* *x z*
apply *simp* **apply** (*rule impI*; *rule allI*; *rule allI*; *rule allI*)
subgoal **premises** *p* **for** *m p v* **apply** (*rule impI*) **subgoal** **premises** *e*
proof –
obtain *xv* **where** *xv*: [*m*,*p*] $\vdash x \mapsto xv$
using *e* **by** *auto*
obtain *yv* **where** *yv*: [*m*,*p*] $\vdash y \mapsto yv$
using *e* **by** *auto*
obtain *zv* **where** *zv*: [*m*,*p*] $\vdash z \mapsto zv$

```

    using e by auto
    have lhs: v = val[(xv | yv) & zv]
    using xv yv zv
    by (smt (verit, best) BinaryExprE bin-eval.simps(4) bin-eval.simps(5) e
evalDet)
    then have v = val[(xv & zv) | (yv & zv)]
    by (simp add: intval-and-commute intval-distribute-and-over-or)
    also have  $\exists b. \text{val}[yv \& zv] = \text{new-int } b \ 0$ 
    using intval-up-and-zero-implies-zero
    by (metis calculation e intval-or.simps(5) p unfold-binary yv zv)
    ultimately have rhs: v = val[xv & zv]
    using intval-eliminate-y lhs by force
    from lhs rhs show ?thesis
    by (metis BinaryExpr BinaryExprE bin-eval.simps(4) e xv zv)
qed
done
done

```

```

lemma leadingZeroBounds:
  fixes x :: 'a::len word
  assumes n = numberOfLeadingZeros x
  shows  $0 \leq n \wedge n \leq \text{Nat.size } x$ 
  using assms unfolding numberOfLeadingZeros-def
  by (simp add: MaxOrNeg-def highestOneBit-def nat-le-iff)

```

```

lemma above-nth-not-set:
  fixes x :: int64
  assumes n = 64 - numberOfLeadingZeros x
  shows  $j > n \longrightarrow \neg(\text{bit } x \ j)$ 
  using assms unfolding numberOfLeadingZeros-def
  by (smt (verit, ccfv-SIG) highestOneBit-def int-nat-eq int-ops(6) less-imp-of-nat-less
max-set-bit size64 zerosAboveHighestOne)

```

no-notation *LogicNegationNotation* (!-)

```

lemma zero-horner:
  horner-sum of-bool 2 (map ( $\lambda x. \text{False}$ ) xs) = 0
  apply (induction xs) apply simp
  by force

```

```

lemma zero-map:
  assumes  $j \leq n$ 
  assumes  $\forall i. j \leq i \longrightarrow \neg(f \ i)$ 
  shows  $\text{map } f \ [0..<n] = \text{map } f \ [0..<j] @ \text{map } (\lambda x. \text{False}) \ [j..<n]$ 
  apply (insert assms)
  by (smt (verit, del-Insts) add-diff-inverse-nat atLeastLessThan-iff bot-nat-0.extremum
leD map-append map-eq-conv set-upt upt-add-eq-append)

```

lemma *map-join-horner*:


```

assumes map f [0.. $n$ ] = map f [0.. $j$ ] @ map ( $\lambda x. \text{False}$ ) [ $j$ .. $n$ ]
shows horner-sum of-bool (2::'a::len word) (map f [0.. $n$ ]) = horner-sum of-bool
2 (map f [0.. $j$ ])
proof -
  have horner-sum of-bool (2::'a::len word) (map f [0.. $n$ ]) = horner-sum of-bool
2 (map f [0.. $j$ ]) + 2 ^ length [0.. $j$ ] * horner-sum of-bool 2 (map f [ $j$ .. $n$ ])
  using horner-sum-append
  by (smt (verit) assms diff-le-self diff-zero le-add-same-cancel2 length-append
length-map length-upt map-append upt-add-eq-append)
  also have ... = horner-sum of-bool 2 (map f [0.. $j$ ]) + 2 ^ length [0.. $j$ ] *
horner-sum of-bool 2 (map ( $\lambda x. \text{False}$ ) [ $j$ .. $n$ ])
  using assms
  by (metis calculation horner-sum-append length-map)
  also have ... = horner-sum of-bool 2 (map f [0.. $j$ ])
  using zero-horner
  using mult-not-zero by auto
  finally show ?thesis by simp
qed

```

lemma split-horner:

```

assumes  $j \leq n$ 
assumes  $\forall i. j \leq i \longrightarrow \neg(f\ i)$ 
shows horner-sum of-bool (2::'a::len word) (map f [0.. $n$ ]) = horner-sum of-bool
2 (map f [0.. $j$ ])
apply (rule map-join-horner)
apply (rule zero-map)
using assms by auto

```

lemma transfer-map:

```

assumes  $\forall i. i < n \longrightarrow f\ i = f'\ i$ 
shows (map f [0.. $n$ ]) = (map f' [0.. $n$ ])
using assms by simp

```

lemma transfer-horner:

```

assumes  $\forall i. i < n \longrightarrow f\ i = f'\ i$ 
shows horner-sum of-bool (2::'a::len word) (map f [0.. $n$ ]) = horner-sum of-bool
2 (map f' [0.. $n$ ])
using assms using transfer-map
by (smt (verit, best))

```

lemma L1:

```

assumes  $n = 64 - \text{numberOfLeadingZeros } (\uparrow z)$ 
assumes  $[m, p] \vdash z \mapsto \text{IntVal } b\ zv$ 
shows and v zv = and (v mod 2^n) zv
proof -
  have nle:  $n \leq 64$ 
  using assms
  using diff-le-self by blast
  also have and v zv = horner-sum of-bool 2 (map (bit (and v zv)) [0.. $64$ ])

```

```

    using horner-sum-bit-eq-take-bit size64
    by (metis size-word.rep-eq take-bit-length-eq)
  also have ... = horner-sum of-bool 2 (map (λi. bit (and v zv) i) [0..<64])
    by blast
  also have ... = horner-sum of-bool 2 (map (λi. ((bit v i) ∧ (bit zv i))) [0..<64])
    using bit-and-iff by metis
  also have ... = horner-sum of-bool 2 (map (λi. ((bit v i) ∧ (bit zv i))) [0..

```

$(\text{map } (\text{bit } (\text{and } v \text{ } zv)) [0::\text{nat}..<64::\text{nat}]) \rangle \langle \text{horner-sum of-bool } (2::64 \text{ word}) (\text{map } (\lambda i::\text{nat}. \text{bit } ((v::64 \text{ word}) \text{ mod } (2::64 \text{ word}) \wedge (n::\text{nat})) i \wedge \text{bit } (zv::64 \text{ word}) i) [0::\text{nat}..<64::\text{nat}]) = \text{horner-sum of-bool } (2::64 \text{ word}) (\text{map } (\text{bit } (\text{and } (v \text{ mod } (2::64 \text{ word}) \wedge n) zv)) [0::\text{nat}..<64::\text{nat}]) \rangle \langle \text{horner-sum of-bool } (2::64 \text{ word}) (\text{map } (\lambda i::\text{nat}. \text{bit } ((v::64 \text{ word}) \text{ mod } (2::64 \text{ word}) \wedge (n::\text{nat})) i \wedge \text{bit } (zv::64 \text{ word}) i) [0::\text{nat}..<n]) = \text{horner-sum of-bool } (2::64 \text{ word}) (\text{map } (\lambda i::\text{nat}. \text{bit } (v \text{ mod } (2::64 \text{ word}) \wedge n) i \wedge \text{bit } zv i) [0::\text{nat}..<64::\text{nat}]) \rangle \langle \text{horner-sum of-bool } (2::64 \text{ word}) (\text{map } (\lambda i::\text{nat}. \text{bit } (v::64 \text{ word}) i \wedge \text{bit } (zv::64 \text{ word}) i) [0::\text{nat}..<64::\text{nat}]) = \text{horner-sum of-bool } (2::64 \text{ word}) (\text{map } (\lambda i::\text{nat}. \text{bit } v i \wedge \text{bit } zv i) [0::\text{nat}..<n::\text{nat}]) \rangle \langle \text{horner-sum of-bool } (2::64 \text{ word}) (\text{map } (\lambda i::\text{nat}. \text{bit } (v::64 \text{ word}) i \wedge \text{bit } (zv::64 \text{ word}) i) [0::\text{nat}..<n::\text{nat}]) = \text{horner-sum of-bool } (2::64 \text{ word}) (\text{map } (\lambda i::\text{nat}. \text{bit } (v \text{ mod } (2::64 \text{ word}) \wedge n) i \wedge \text{bit } zv i) [0::\text{nat}..<n]) \rangle \langle \text{horner-sum of-bool } (2::64 \text{ word}) (\text{map } (\text{bit } (\text{and } ((v::64 \text{ word}) \text{ mod } (2::64 \text{ word}) \wedge (n::\text{nat})) (zv::64 \text{ word}))) [0::\text{nat}..<64::\text{nat}]) = \text{and } (v \text{ mod } (2::64 \text{ word}) \wedge n) zv \rangle \langle \text{horner-sum of-bool } (2::64 \text{ word}) (\text{map } (\text{bit } (\text{and } (v::64 \text{ word}) (zv::64 \text{ word}))) [0::\text{nat}..<64::\text{nat}]) = \text{horner-sum of-bool } (2::64 \text{ word}) (\text{map } (\lambda i::\text{nat}. \text{bit } v i \wedge \text{bit } zv i) [0::\text{nat}..<64::\text{nat}]) \rangle \text{ by presburger}$

qed

lemma *up-mask-upper-bound*:

assumes $[m, p] \vdash x \mapsto \text{IntVal } b \text{ } xv$

shows $xv \leq (\uparrow x)$

using *assms*

by (*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*) *and.idem* *and.right-neutral* *bit.conj-cancel-left* *bit.conj-disj-distrib*(1) *bit.double-compl* *ucast-id* *up-spec* *word-and-le1* *word-not-dist*(2))

lemma *L2*:

assumes $\text{numberOfLeadingZeros } (\uparrow z) + \text{numberOfTrailingZeros } (\uparrow y) \geq 64$

assumes $n = 64 - \text{numberOfLeadingZeros } (\uparrow z)$

assumes $[m, p] \vdash z \mapsto \text{IntVal } b \text{ } zv$

assumes $[m, p] \vdash y \mapsto \text{IntVal } b \text{ } yv$

shows $yv \text{ mod } 2^{\wedge n} = 0$

proof –

have $yv \text{ mod } 2^{\wedge n} = \text{horner-sum of-bool } 2 (\text{map } (\text{bit } yv) [0..<n])$

by (*simp* *add*: *horner-sum-bit-eq-take-bit* *take-bit-eq-mod*)

also have $\dots \leq \text{horner-sum of-bool } 2 (\text{map } (\text{bit } (\uparrow y)) [0..<n])$

using *up-mask-upper-bound* *assms*(4)

by (*metis* (*no-types*, *opaque-lifting*) *and.right-neutral* *bit.conj-cancel-right* *bit.conj-disj-distrib*(1) *bit.double-compl* *horner-sum-bit-eq-take-bit* *take-bit-and* *ucast-id* *up-spec* *word-and-le1* *word-not-dist*(2))

also have $\text{horner-sum of-bool } 2 (\text{map } (\text{bit } (\uparrow y)) [0..<n]) = \text{horner-sum of-bool } 2 (\text{map } (\lambda x. \text{False}) [0..<n])$

proof –

have $\forall i < n. \neg(\text{bit } (\uparrow y) i)$

using *assms*(1,2) *zerosBelowLowestOne*

by (*metis* *add.commute* *add-diff-inverse-nat* *add-lessD1* *leD* *le-diff-conv* *numberOfTrailingZeros-def*)

then show *?thesis*

by (*metis* (*full-types*) *transfer-map*)

```

qed
also have horner-sum of-bool 2 (map (λx. False) [0.. $n$ ]) = 0
  using zero-horner
  by blast
finally show ?thesis
  by auto
qed

thm-oracles L1 L2

lemma unfold-binary-width-add:
  shows ( $[m,p] \vdash \text{BinaryExpr BinAdd } xe \mapsto \text{IntVal } b \text{ val}$ ) = ( $\exists x y.$ 
    ( $[m,p] \vdash xe \mapsto \text{IntVal } b \ x$ )  $\wedge$ 
    ( $[m,p] \vdash ye \mapsto \text{IntVal } b \ y$ )  $\wedge$ 
    ( $\text{IntVal } b \text{ val} = \text{bin-eval BinAdd } (\text{IntVal } b \ x) (\text{IntVal } b \ y)$ )  $\wedge$ 
    ( $\text{IntVal } b \text{ val} \neq \text{UndefVal}$ )
  ) (is ?L = ?R)
proof (intro iffI)
  assume  $\exists: ?L$ 
  show ?R apply (rule evaltree.cases[OF  $\exists$ ])
    apply force+ apply auto[1]
    apply (smt (verit) intval-add.elims intval-bits.simps)
    by blast
next
  assume  $R: ?R$ 
  then obtain  $x y$  where  $[m,p] \vdash xe \mapsto \text{IntVal } b \ x$ 
    and  $[m,p] \vdash ye \mapsto \text{IntVal } b \ y$ 
    and  $\text{new-int } b \text{ val} = \text{bin-eval BinAdd } (\text{IntVal } b \ x) (\text{IntVal } b \ y)$ 
    and  $\text{new-int } b \text{ val} \neq \text{UndefVal}$ 
  by auto
  then show ?L
    using  $R$  by blast
qed

lemma unfold-binary-width-and:
  shows ( $[m,p] \vdash \text{BinaryExpr BinAnd } xe ye \mapsto \text{IntVal } b \text{ val}$ ) = ( $\exists x y.$ 
    ( $[m,p] \vdash xe \mapsto \text{IntVal } b \ x$ )  $\wedge$ 
    ( $[m,p] \vdash ye \mapsto \text{IntVal } b \ y$ )  $\wedge$ 
    ( $\text{IntVal } b \text{ val} = \text{bin-eval BinAnd } (\text{IntVal } b \ x) (\text{IntVal } b \ y)$ )  $\wedge$ 
    ( $\text{IntVal } b \text{ val} \neq \text{UndefVal}$ )
  ) (is ?L = ?R)
proof (intro iffI)
  assume  $\exists: ?L$ 
  show ?R apply (rule evaltree.cases[OF  $\exists$ ])
    apply force+ apply auto[1] using intval-and.elims intval-bits.simps
    apply (smt (verit) new-int.simps new-int-bin.simps take-bit-and)
    by blast
next
  assume  $R: ?R$ 

```

```

then obtain  $x\ y$  where  $[m, p] \vdash xe \mapsto \text{IntVal } b\ x$ 
  and  $[m, p] \vdash ye \mapsto \text{IntVal } b\ y$ 
  and  $\text{new-int } b\ \text{val} = \text{bin-eval BinAnd } (\text{IntVal } b\ x)\ (\text{IntVal } b\ y)$ 
  and  $\text{new-int } b\ \text{val} \neq \text{UndefVal}$ 
  by auto
then show ?L
  using R by blast
qed

```

```

lemma mod-dist-over-add-right:
  fixes  $a\ b\ c :: \text{int64}$ 
  fixes  $n :: \text{nat}$ 
  assumes  $1: 0 < n$ 
  assumes  $2: n < 64$ 
  shows  $(a + b \bmod 2^n) \bmod 2^n = (a + b) \bmod 2^n$ 
  using mod-dist-over-add
  by (simp add: 1 2 add.commute)

```

```

lemma numberOfLeadingZeros-range:
   $0 \leq \text{numberOfLeadingZeros } n \wedge \text{numberOfLeadingZeros } n \leq \text{Nat.size } n$ 
  unfolding numberOfLeadingZeros-def highestOneBit-def using max-set-bit
  by (simp add: highestOneBit-def leadingZeroBounds numberOfLeadingZeros-def)

```

```

lemma improved-opt:
  assumes  $\text{numberOfLeadingZeros } (\uparrow z) + \text{numberOfTrailingZeros } (\uparrow y) \geq 64$ 
  shows  $\text{exp}[(x + y) \& z] \geq \text{exp}[x \& z]$ 
  apply simp apply (rule allI)+; rule impI)
  subgoal premises eval for  $m\ p\ v$ 

```

```

proof -
  obtain  $n$  where  $n: n = 64 - \text{numberOfLeadingZeros } (\uparrow z)$ 
  by simp
  obtain  $b\ \text{val}$  where  $\text{val}: [m, p] \vdash \text{exp}[(x + y) \& z] \mapsto \text{IntVal } b\ \text{val}$ 
  by (metis BinaryExprE bin-eval-new-int eval new-int.simps)
  then obtain  $xv\ yv$  where  $\text{addv}: [m, p] \vdash \text{exp}[x + y] \mapsto \text{IntVal } b\ (xv + yv)$ 
  apply (subst (asm) unfold-binary-width-and) by (metis add.right-neutral)
  then obtain  $yv$  where  $yv: [m, p] \vdash y \mapsto \text{IntVal } b\ yv$ 
  apply (subst (asm) unfold-binary-width-add) by blast
  from  $\text{addv}$  obtain  $xv$  where  $xv: [m, p] \vdash x \mapsto \text{IntVal } b\ xv$ 
  apply (subst (asm) unfold-binary-width-add) by blast
  from  $\text{val}$  obtain  $zv$  where  $zv: [m, p] \vdash z \mapsto \text{IntVal } b\ zv$ 
  apply (subst (asm) unfold-binary-width-and) by blast
  have  $\text{addv}: [m, p] \vdash \text{exp}[x + y] \mapsto \text{new-int } b\ (xv + yv)$ 
  apply (rule evaltree.BinaryExpr)
  using  $xv$  apply simp
  using  $yv$  apply simp
  by simp+
  have  $\text{lhs}: [m, p] \vdash \text{exp}[(x + y) \& z] \mapsto \text{new-int } b\ (\text{and } (xv + yv)\ zv)$ 
  apply (rule evaltree.BinaryExpr)
  using  $\text{addv}$  apply simp

```

```

using zv apply simp
using addv apply auto[1]
by simp
have rhs:  $[m, p] \vdash \text{exp}[x \ \& \ z] \mapsto \text{new-int } b \text{ (and } xv \ zv)$ 
  apply (rule evaltree.BinaryExpr)
  using xv apply simp
  using zv apply simp
  apply force
  by simp
then show ?thesis
proof (cases numberOfLeadingZeros ( $\uparrow z$ ) > 0)
  case True
  have n-bounds:  $0 \leq n \wedge n < 64$ 
    using diff-le-self n numberOfLeadingZeros-range
    by (simp add: True)
  have and (xv + yv) zv = and ((xv + yv) mod  $2^n$ ) zv
    using L1 n zv by blast
  also have ... = and ((xv + (yv mod  $2^n$ )) mod  $2^n$ ) zv
    using mod-dist-over-add-right n-bounds
    by (metis take-bit-0 take-bit-eq-mod zero-less-iff-neq-zero)
  also have ... = and (((xv mod  $2^n$ ) + (yv mod  $2^n$ )) mod  $2^n$ ) zv
    by (metis bits-mod-by-1 mod-dist-over-add n-bounds order-le-imp-less-or-eq
power-0)
  also have ... = and ((xv mod  $2^n$ ) mod  $2^n$ ) zv
    using L2 n zv yv
    using assms by auto
  also have ... = and (xv mod  $2^n$ ) zv
    using mod-mod-trivial
  by (smt (verit, best) and.idem take-bit-eq-mask take-bit-eq-mod word-bw-assocs(1))
  also have ... = and xv zv
    using L1 n zv by metis
  finally show ?thesis
    using eval lhs rhs
    by (metis evalDet)
next
  case False
  then have numberOfLeadingZeros ( $\uparrow z$ ) = 0
    by simp
  then have numberOfTrailingZeros ( $\uparrow y$ )  $\geq 64$ 
    using assms(1)
    by fastforce
  then have yv = 0
    using yv
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) L1 L2 add-diff-cancel-left' and.comm-neutral
and.idem bit.compl-zero bit.conj-cancel-right bit.conj-disj-distrib(1) bit.double-compl
less-imp-diff-less linorder-not-le word-not-dist(2))
  then show ?thesis
    by (metis add.right-neutral eval evalDet lhs rhs)
qed

```

qed
done

thm-oracles *improved-opt*

lemma *falseBelowN-nBelowLowest*:

assumes $n \leq \text{Nat.size } a$
 assumes $\forall i < n. \neg(\text{bit } a \ i)$
 shows $\text{lowestOneBit } a \geq n$
proof (cases $\{i. \text{bit } a \ i\} = \{\}$)
 case *True*
 then show ?thesis **unfolding** *lowestOneBit-def MinOrHighest-def*
 using *assms(1) trans-le-add1* **by** *presburger*
 next
 case *False*
 have $n \leq \text{Min } (\text{Collect } (\text{bit } a))$
by (*metis False Min-ge-iff assms(2) finite-bit-word linorder-le-less-linear mem-Collect-eq*)
 then show ?thesis **unfolding** *lowestOneBit-def MinOrHighest-def*
 using *False* **by** *presburger*
 qed

lemma *noZeros*:

fixes $a :: 64 \text{ word}$
 assumes $\text{zeroCount } a = 0$
 shows $i < \text{Nat.size } a \longrightarrow \text{bit } a \ i$
 using *assms* **unfolding** *zeroCount-def size64*
 using *zeroCount-finite* **by** *auto*

lemma *zerosAboveOnly*:

fixes $a :: 64 \text{ word}$
 assumes $\text{numberOfLeadingZeros } a = \text{zeroCount } a$
 shows $\neg(\text{bit } a \ i) \longrightarrow i \geq (64 - \text{numberOfLeadingZeros } a)$
 sorry

lemma *consumes*:

assumes $\text{numberOfLeadingZeros } (\uparrow z) + \text{bitCount } (\uparrow z) = 64$
 and $\uparrow z \neq 0$
 and $\text{and } (\uparrow y) (\uparrow z) = 0$
 shows $\text{numberOfLeadingZeros } (\uparrow z) + \text{numberOfTrailingZeros } (\uparrow y) \geq 64$
proof –
 obtain n where $n = 64 - \text{numberOfLeadingZeros } (\uparrow z)$
by *simp*
 then have $n = \text{bitCount } (\uparrow z)$
by (*metis add-diff-cancel-left' assms(1)*)
 have $\text{numberOfLeadingZeros } (\uparrow z) = \text{zeroCount } (\uparrow z)$
 using *assms(1) size64 ones-zero-sum-to-width*
by (*metis add commute add-left-imp-eq*)

```

then have  $\forall i. \neg(\text{bit } (\uparrow z) i) \longrightarrow i \geq n$ 
  using assms(1) zerosAboveOnly
  using  $\langle (n::\text{nat}) = (64::\text{nat}) - \text{numberOfLeadingZeros } (\uparrow (z::\text{IRExpr})) \rangle$  by blast
then have  $\forall i < n. \text{bit } (\uparrow z) i$ 
  using leD by blast
then have  $\forall i < n. \neg(\text{bit } (\uparrow y) i)$ 
  using assms(3)
  by (metis bit.conj-cancel-right bit-and-iff bit-not-iff)
then have lowestOneBit  $(\uparrow y) \geq n$ 
  by (simp add:  $\langle (n::\text{nat}) = (64::\text{nat}) - \text{numberOfLeadingZeros } (\uparrow (z::\text{IRExpr})) \rangle$ )
falseBelowN-nBelowLowest size64)
then have  $n \leq \text{numberOfTrailingZeros } (\uparrow y)$ 
  unfolding numberOfTrailingZeros-def
  by simp
have card  $\{i. i < n\} = \text{bitCount } (\uparrow z)$ 
  by (simp add:  $\langle (n::\text{nat}) = \text{bitCount } (\uparrow (z::\text{IRExpr})) \rangle$ )
then have  $\text{bitCount } (\uparrow z) \leq \text{numberOfTrailingZeros } (\uparrow y)$ 
  using  $\langle (n::\text{nat}) \sqsubseteq \text{numberOfTrailingZeros } (\uparrow (y::\text{IRExpr})) \rangle$  by auto
then show ?thesis using assms(1) by auto
qed

```

thm-oracles *consumes*

lemma *right*:

```

assumes numberOfLeadingZeros  $(\uparrow z) + \text{bitCount } (\uparrow z) = 64$ 
assumes  $\uparrow z \neq 0$ 
assumes and  $(\uparrow y) (\uparrow z) = 0$ 
shows  $\text{exp}[(x + y) \& z] \geq \text{exp}[x \& z]$ 
apply simp apply (rule allI) +
  subgoal premises p for m p v apply (rule impI) subgoal premises e
proof -
  obtain j where j: j = highestOneBit  $(\uparrow z)$ 
  by simp
  obtain xv b where xv:  $[m, p] \vdash x \mapsto \text{IntVal } b \ xv$ 
  using e
  by (metis EvalTreeE(5) bin-eval-inputs-are-ints bin-eval-new-int new-int.simps)
  obtain yv where yv:  $[m, p] \vdash y \mapsto \text{IntVal } b \ yv$ 
  using e EvalTreeE(5) bin-eval-inputs-are-ints bin-eval-new-int new-int.simps
  by (smt (verit) Value.sel(1) bin-eval.simps(1) evalDet intval-add.elims xv)
  obtain xyv where xyv:  $[m, p] \vdash \text{exp}[x + y] \mapsto \text{IntVal } b \ xyv$ 
  using e EvalTreeE(5) bin-eval-inputs-are-ints bin-eval-new-int new-int.simps
  xv yv
  by (metis BinaryExpr Value.distinct(1) bin-eval.simps(1) intval-add.simps(1))
  then obtain zv where zv:  $[m, p] \vdash z \mapsto \text{IntVal } b \ zv$ 
  using e EvalTreeE(5) bin-eval-inputs-are-ints bin-eval-new-int new-int.simps
  Value.sel(1) bin-eval.simps(4) evalDet intval-and.elims
  by (smt (verit) new-int-bin.simps)

```



```

have  $xyv = \text{take-bit } b \ (xv + yv)$ 
using  $xv \ yv \ xyv$ 
by (metis BinaryExprE Value.sel(2) bin-eval.simps(1) evalDet intval-add.simps(1))
then have  $v = \text{IntVal } b \ (\text{take-bit } b \ (\text{and} \ (\text{take-bit } b \ (xv + yv)) \ zv))$ 
using  $zv$ 
by (smt (verit) EvalTreeE(5) Value.sel(1) Value.sel(2) bin-eval.simps(4) e
evalDet intval-and.elims new-int.simps new-int-bin.simps xyv)
then have  $\text{veval}: v = \text{IntVal } b \ (\text{and} \ (xv + yv) \ zv)$ 
by (metis (no-types, lifting) eval-unused-bits-zero take-bit-eq-mask word-bw-comms(1)
word-bw-lcs(1) zv)
have obligation:  $(\text{and} \ (xv + yv) \ zv) = (\text{and} \ xv \ zv) \implies [m,p] \vdash \text{BinaryExpr}$ 
BinAnd  $x \ z \mapsto v$ 
by (smt (verit) EvalTreeE(5) Value.inject(1)  $\langle v::\text{Value} \rangle = \text{IntVal } (b::\text{nat})$ 
 $(\text{take-bit } b \ (\text{and} \ (\text{take-bit } b \ ((xv::64 \ \text{word}) + (yv::64 \ \text{word}))) \ (zv::64 \ \text{word}))) \rangle \langle xyv::64$ 
 $\text{word} \rangle = \text{take-bit } (b::\text{nat}) \ ((xv::64 \ \text{word}) + (yv::64 \ \text{word})) \rangle \text{bin-eval.simps(4) } e$ 
evalDet eval-unused-bits-zero evaltree.simps intval-and.simps(1) take-bit-and  $xv \ xyv$ 
 $zv$ )
have per-bit:  $\forall n. \text{bit} \ (\text{and} \ (xv + yv) \ zv) \ n = \text{bit} \ (\text{and} \ xv \ zv) \ n \implies (\text{and} \ (xv +$ 
 $yv) \ zv) = (\text{and} \ xv \ zv)$ 
by (simp add: bit-eq-iff)
show ?thesis
apply (rule obligation)
apply (rule per-bit)
apply (rule allI)
subgoal for  $n$ 
proof (cases  $n \leq j$ )
case True
then show ?thesis sorry

next
case False
then have  $\neg(\text{bit } zv \ n)$ 
by (metis j linorder-not-less not-may-implies-false zerosAboveHighestOne zv)
then have  $v: \neg(\text{bit} \ (\text{and} \ (xv + yv) \ zv) \ n)$ 
by (simp add: bit-and-iff)
then have  $v': \neg(\text{bit} \ (\text{and} \ xv \ zv) \ n)$ 
by (simp add:  $\langle \neg \text{bit} \ (zv::64 \ \text{word}) \ (n::\text{nat}) \rangle \text{bit-and-iff}$ )
from  $v \ v'$  show ?thesis
by simp
qed
done
qed
done
done

end

lemma ucast-zero:  $(\text{ucast } (0::\text{int64}):\text{int32}) = 0$ 

```

```

by simp

lemma ucast-minus-one: (ucast (-1::int64)::int32) = -1
  apply transfer by auto

interpretation simple-mask: stamp-mask
  IExpr-up :: IExpr ⇒ int64
  IExpr-down :: IExpr ⇒ int64
  unfolding IExpr-up-def IExpr-down-def
  apply unfold-locales
  by (simp add: ucast-minus-one)+

phase NewAnd
  terminating size
begin

optimization redundant-lhs-y-or: ((x | y) & z) ⟶ x & z
  when (((and (IExpr-up y) (IExpr-up z)) = 0))
  apply (simp add: IExpr-up-def)
  using simple-mask.exp-eliminate-y by blast

optimization redundant-lhs-x-or: ((x | y) & z) ⟶ y & z
  when (((and (IExpr-up x) (IExpr-up z)) = 0))
  apply (simp add: IExpr-up-def)
  using simple-mask.exp-eliminate-y
  by (meson exp-or-commute mono-binary order-refl order-trans)

optimization redundant-rhs-y-or: (z & (x | y)) ⟶ z & x
  when (((and (IExpr-up y) (IExpr-up z)) = 0))
  apply (simp add: IExpr-up-def)
  using simple-mask.exp-eliminate-y
  by (meson exp-and-commute order.trans)

optimization redundant-rhs-x-or: (z & (x | y)) ⟶ z & y
  when (((and (IExpr-up x) (IExpr-up z)) = 0))
  apply (simp add: IExpr-up-def)
  using simple-mask.exp-eliminate-y
  by (meson dual-order.trans exp-and-commute exp-or-commute mono-binary or-
der-refl)

end

end

```

1.8 NotNode Phase

theory *NotPhase*

imports

Common

begin

phase *NotNode*

terminating *size*

begin

lemma *bin-not-cancel*:

$\text{bin}[\neg(\neg(e))] = \text{bin}[e]$

by *auto*

lemma *val-not-cancel*:

assumes $\text{val}[\sim(\text{new-int } b \ v)] \neq \text{UndefVal}$

shows $\text{val}[\sim(\sim(\text{new-int } b \ v))] = (\text{new-int } b \ v)$

using *bin-not-cancel*

by (*simp add: take-bit-not-take-bit*)

lemma *exp-not-cancel*:

shows $\text{exp}[\sim(\sim a)] \geq \text{exp}[a]$

using *val-not-cancel* **apply** *auto*

by (*metis eval-unused-bits-zero intval-logic-negation.cases intval-not.simps(1)*
intval-not.simps(2) intval-not.simps(3) intval-not.simps(4) new-int.simps)

Optimisations

optimization *NotCancel*: $\text{exp}[\sim(\sim a)] \mapsto a$

by (*metis exp-not-cancel*)

end

end

1.9 OrNode Phase

theory *OrPhase*

imports

Common

begin

phase *OrNode*

terminating *size*

begin

lemma *bin-or-equal*:

$\text{bin}[x \mid x] = \text{bin}[x]$

by *simp*

lemma *bin-shift-const-right-helper*:

$x \mid y = y \mid x$

by *simp*

lemma *bin-or-not-operands*:

$(\sim x \mid \sim y) = (\sim (x \& y))$

by *simp*

lemma *val-or-equal*:

assumes $x = \text{new-int } b \ v$

and $(\text{val}[x \mid x] \neq \text{UndefVal})$

shows $\text{val}[x \mid x] = \text{val}[x]$

apply (*cases* x ; *auto*) **using** *bin-or-equal* *assms*

by *auto*+

lemma *val-elim-redundant-false*:

assumes $x = \text{new-int } b \ v$

and $\text{val}[x \mid \text{false}] \neq \text{UndefVal}$

shows $\text{val}[x \mid \text{false}] = \text{val}[x]$

using *assms* **apply** (*cases* x ; *auto*) **by** *presburger*

lemma *val-shift-const-right-helper*:

$\text{val}[x \mid y] = \text{val}[y \mid x]$

apply (*cases* x ; *cases* y ; *auto*)

by (*simp* *add: or.commute*)+

lemma *val-or-not-operands*:

$\text{val}[\sim x \mid \sim y] = \text{val}[\sim (x \& y)]$

apply (*cases* x ; *cases* y ; *auto*)

by (*simp* *add: take-bit-not-take-bit*)

lemma *exp-or-equal*:

$\text{exp}[x \mid x] \geq \text{exp}[x]$

using *val-or-equal* **apply** *auto*

by (*smt* (*verit*, *ccfv-SIG*) *evalDet* *eval-unused-bits-zero* *intval-negate.elims* *int-val-or.simps*(2)

intval-or.simps(6) *intval-or.simps*(7) *new-int.simps* *val-or-equal*)

lemma *exp-elim-redundant-false*:

$\text{exp}[x \mid \text{false}] \geq \text{exp}[x]$

using *val-elim-redundant-false* **apply** *auto*

by (*smt* (*verit*) *Value.sel*(1) *eval-unused-bits-zero* *intval-or.elims* *new-int.simps*

new-int-bin.simps val-elim-redundant-false)

Optimisations

optimization *OrEqual*: $x \mid x \mapsto x$
by (*meson exp-or-equal le-expr-def*)

optimization *OrShiftConstantRight*: $((\text{const } x) \mid y) \mapsto y \mid (\text{const } x)$ when $\neg(\text{is-ConstantExpr } y)$
using *size-flip-binary* **apply** *force*
apply *auto*
by (*simp add: BinaryExpr unfold-const val-shift-const-right-helper*)

optimization *EliminateRedundantFalse*: $x \mid \text{false} \mapsto x$
by (*meson exp-elim-redundant-false le-expr-def*)

optimization *OrNotOperands*: $(\sim x \mid \sim y) \mapsto \sim(x \ \& \ y)$
apply (*metis add-2-eq-Suc' less-SucI not-add-less1 not-less-eq size-binary-const size-non-add*)
apply *auto* **using** *val-or-not-operands*
by (*metis BinaryExpr UnaryExpr bin-eval.simps(4) intval-not.simps(2) unary-eval.simps(3)*)

end

context *stamp-mask*
begin

Taking advantage of the truth table of or operations.

#	x	y	$x \mid y$
1	0	0	0
2	0	1	1
3	1	0	1
4	1	1	1

If row 2 never applies, that is, $\text{canBeZero } x \ \& \ \text{canBeOne } y = 0$, then $(x \mid y) = x$.

Likewise, if row 3 never applies, $\text{canBeZero } y \ \& \ \text{canBeOne } x = 0$, then $(x \mid y) = y$.

lemma *OrLeftFallthrough*:
assumes $(\text{and } (\text{not } (\downarrow x)) (\uparrow y)) = 0$
shows $\text{exp}[x \mid y] \geq \text{exp}[x]$
using *assms*
apply *simp* **apply** $((\text{rule } \text{allI})+; \text{rule } \text{impI})$
subgoal **premises** *eval* **for** $m \ p \ v$
proof $-$
obtain $b \ vv$ **where** $e: [m, p] \vdash \text{exp}[x \mid y] \mapsto \text{IntVal } b \ vv$

```

    using eval
    by (metis BinaryExprE bin-eval-new-int new-int.simps)
  from e obtain xv where xv: [m, p] ⊢ x ↦ IntVal b xv
    apply (subst (asm) unfold-binary-width)
    by force+
  from e obtain yv where yv: [m, p] ⊢ y ↦ IntVal b yv
    apply (subst (asm) unfold-binary-width)
    by force+
  have vdef: v = intval-or (IntVal b xv) (IntVal b yv)
    using e xv yv
    by (metis bin-eval.simps(5) eval(2) evalDet unfold-binary)
  have ∀ i. (bit xv i) | (bit yv i) = (bit xv i)
    by (metis assms bit-and-iff not-down-up-mask-and-zero-implies-zero xv yv)
  then have IntVal b xv = intval-or (IntVal b xv) (IntVal b yv)
    by (smt (verit, ccfv-threshold) and.idem assms bit.conj-disj-distrib eval-unused-bits-zero
    intval-or.simps(1) new-int.simps new-int-bin.simps not-down-up-mask-and-zero-implies-zero
    word-ao-absorbs(3) xv yv)
  then show ?thesis
    using vdef
    using xv by presburger
qed
done

```

lemma *OrRightFallthrough*:

```

  assumes (and (not (↓y)) (↑x)) = 0
  shows exp[x | y] ≥ exp[y]
  using assms
  apply simp apply ((rule allI)+; rule impI)
  subgoal premises eval for m p v
  proof -
    obtain b vv where e: [m, p] ⊢ exp[x | y] ↦ IntVal b vv
      using eval
      by (metis BinaryExprE bin-eval-new-int new-int.simps)
    from e obtain xv where xv: [m, p] ⊢ x ↦ IntVal b xv
      apply (subst (asm) unfold-binary-width)
      by force+
    from e obtain yv where yv: [m, p] ⊢ y ↦ IntVal b yv
      apply (subst (asm) unfold-binary-width)
      by force+
    have vdef: v = intval-or (IntVal b xv) (IntVal b yv)
      using e xv yv
      by (metis bin-eval.simps(5) eval(2) evalDet unfold-binary)
    have ∀ i. (bit xv i) | (bit yv i) = (bit yv i)
      by (metis assms bit-and-iff not-down-up-mask-and-zero-implies-zero xv yv)
    then have IntVal b yv = intval-or (IntVal b xv) (IntVal b yv)
      by (metis (no-types, lifting) assms eval-unused-bits-zero intval-or.simps(1)
      new-int.elims new-int-bin.elims stamp-mask.not-down-up-mask-and-zero-implies-zero
      stamp-mask-axioms word-ao-absorbs(8) xv yv)
    then show ?thesis

```

```

    using vdef
    using yv by presburger
qed
done

end

end

```

1.10 ShiftNode Phase

```

theory ShiftPhase
  imports
    Common
begin

phase ShiftNode
  terminating size
begin

fun intval-log2 :: Value  $\Rightarrow$  Value where
  intval-log2 (IntVal b v) = IntVal b (word-of-int (SOME e. v=2e)) |
  intval-log2 - = UndefinedVal

fun in-bounds :: Value  $\Rightarrow$  int  $\Rightarrow$  int  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  in-bounds (IntVal b v) l h = (l < sint v  $\wedge$  sint v < h) |
  in-bounds - l h = False

lemma
  assumes in-bounds (intval-log2 val-c) 0 32
  shows intval-left-shift x (intval-log2 val-c) = intval-mul x val-c
  apply (cases val-c; auto) using intval-left-shift.simps(1) intval-mul.simps(1)
  intval-log2.simps(1)
  sorry

lemma e-intval:
  n = intval-log2 val-c  $\wedge$  in-bounds n 0 32  $\longrightarrow$ 
  intval-left-shift x (intval-log2 val-c) =
  intval-mul x val-c
proof (rule impI)
  assume n = intval-log2 val-c  $\wedge$  in-bounds n 0 32
  show intval-left-shift x (intval-log2 val-c) =
  intval-mul x val-c
  proof (cases  $\exists v . val-c = \text{IntVal } 32\ v$ )
  case True
  obtain vc where val-c = IntVal 32 vc
  using True by blast
  then have n = IntVal 32 (word-of-int (SOME e. vc=2e))
  using  $\langle n = \text{intval-log2 val-c} \wedge \text{in-bounds } n\ 0\ 32 \rangle$  intval-log2.simps(1) by

```

```

presburger
  then show ?thesis sorry
next
  case False
  then have  $\exists v . \text{val-}c = \text{IntVal } 64\ v$ 
    sorry
  then obtain  $vc$  where  $\text{val-}c = \text{IntVal } 64\ vc$ 
    by auto
  then have  $n = \text{IntVal } 64\ (\text{word-of-int } (\text{SOME } e. \text{vc} = 2^{\wedge}e))$ 
    using  $\langle n = \text{intval-log2 } \text{val-}c \wedge \text{in-bounds } n\ 0\ 32 \rangle \text{intval-log2.simps}(1)$  by
presburger
  then show ?thesis sorry
qed
qed

```

```

optimization e:
   $x * (\text{const } c) \mapsto x << (\text{const } n) \text{ when } (n = \text{intval-log2 } c \wedge \text{in-bounds } n\ 0\ 32)$ 
  using e-intval
  using BinaryExprE ConstantExprE bin-eval.simps(2,7) sorry

```

end

end

1.11 SignedDivNode Phase

```

theory SignedDivPhase
  imports
    Common
begin

phase SignedDivNode
  terminating size
begin

```

```

lemma val-division-by-one-is-self-32:
  assumes  $x = \text{new-int } 32\ v$ 
  shows  $\text{intval-div } x\ (\text{IntVal } 32\ 1) = x$ 
  using assms apply (cases x; auto)
  by (simp add: take-bit-signed-take-bit)

```

end

end

1.12 SignedRemNode Phase

theory *SignedRemPhase*

imports

Common

begin

phase *SignedRemNode*

terminating *size*

begin

lemma *val-remainder-one*:

assumes *intval-mod* x (*IntVal* 32 1) \neq *UndefVal*

shows *intval-mod* x (*IntVal* 32 1) = *IntVal* 32 0

using *assms* **apply** (*cases* x ; *auto*) **sorry**

value *word-of-int* (*sint* ($x2::32$ *word*) *smod* 1)

end

end

1.13 SubNode Phase

theory *SubPhase*

imports

Common

Proofs.StampEvalThms

begin

phase *SubNode*

terminating *size*

begin

lemma *bin-sub-after-right-add*:

shows $((x::('a::len) \text{ word}) + (y::('a::len) \text{ word})) - y = x$

by *simp*

lemma *sub-self-is-zero*:

shows $(x::('a::len) \text{ word}) - x = 0$

by *simp*

lemma *bin-sub-then-left-add*:

shows $(x::('a::len) \text{ word}) - (x + (y::('a::len) \text{ word})) = -y$

by *simp*

lemma *bin-sub-then-left-sub*:
shows $(x :: ('a::len) \text{ word}) - (x - (y :: ('a::len) \text{ word})) = y$
by *simp*

lemma *bin-subtract-zero*:
shows $(x :: 'a::len \text{ word}) - (0 :: 'a::len \text{ word}) = x$
by *simp*

lemma *bin-sub-negative-value*:
shows $(x :: ('a::len) \text{ word}) - (-(y :: ('a::len) \text{ word})) = x + y$
by *simp*

lemma *bin-sub-self-is-zero*:
shows $(x :: ('a::len) \text{ word}) - x = 0$
by *simp*

lemma *bin-sub-negative-const*:
shows $(x :: 'a::len \text{ word}) - (-(y :: 'a::len \text{ word})) = x + y$
by *simp*

lemma *val-sub-after-right-add-2*:
assumes $x = \text{new-int } b \ v$
assumes $\text{val}[(x + y) - y] \neq \text{UndefVal}$
shows $\text{val}[(x + y) - y] = \text{val}[x]$
using *bin-sub-after-right-add*
using *assms* **apply** (*cases* x ; *cases* y ; *auto*)
by (*metis* (*full-types*) *intval-sub.simps*(2))

lemma *val-sub-after-left-sub*:
assumes $\text{val}[(x - y) - x] \neq \text{UndefVal}$
shows $\text{val}[(x - y) - x] = \text{val}[-y]$
using *assms* **apply** (*cases* x ; *cases* y ; *auto*)
using *intval-sub.elims* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *val-sub-then-left-sub*:
assumes $y = \text{new-int } b \ v$
assumes $\text{val}[x - (x - y)] \neq \text{UndefVal}$
shows $\text{val}[x - (x - y)] = \text{val}[y]$
using *assms* **apply** (*cases* x ; *cases* y ; *auto*)
by (*metis* (*mono-tags*) *intval-sub.simps*(5))

lemma *val-subtract-zero*:
assumes $x = \text{new-int } b \ v$
assumes $\text{intval-sub } x \ (\text{IntVal } b \ 0) \neq \text{UndefVal}$
shows $\text{intval-sub } x \ (\text{IntVal } b \ 0) = \text{val}[x]$
using *assms* **by** (*induction* x ; *simp*)

lemma *val-zero-subtract-value*:

```

assumes  $x = \text{new-int } b \ v$ 
assumes  $\text{intval-sub } (\text{IntVal } b \ 0) \ x \neq \text{UndefVal}$ 
shows  $\text{intval-sub } (\text{IntVal } b \ 0) \ x = \text{val}[-x]$ 
using assms by (induction  $x$ ; simp)

lemma val-sub-then-left-add:
assumes  $\text{val}[x - (x + y)] \neq \text{UndefVal}$ 
shows  $\text{val}[x - (x + y)] = \text{val}[-y]$ 
using assms apply (cases  $x$ ; cases  $y$ ; auto)
by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) intval-sub.simps(5))

lemma val-sub-negative-value:
assumes  $\text{val}[x - (-y)] \neq \text{UndefVal}$ 
shows  $\text{val}[x - (-y)] = \text{val}[x + y]$ 
using assms by (cases  $x$ ; cases  $y$ ; auto)

lemma val-sub-self-is-zero:
assumes  $x = \text{new-int } b \ v \wedge \text{val}[x - x] \neq \text{UndefVal}$ 
shows  $\text{val}[x - x] = \text{new-int } b \ 0$ 
using assms by (cases  $x$ ; auto)

lemma val-sub-negative-const:
assumes  $y = \text{new-int } b \ v \wedge \text{val}[x - (-y)] \neq \text{UndefVal}$ 
shows  $\text{val}[x - (-y)] = \text{val}[x + y]$ 
using assms by (cases  $x$ ; cases  $y$ ; auto)

lemma exp-sub-after-right-add:
shows  $\text{exp}[(x + y) - y] \geq \text{exp}[x]$ 
apply auto using val-sub-after-right-add-2
using evalDet eval-unused-bits-zero intval-add.elims new-int.simps
by (smt (verit))

lemma exp-sub-after-right-add2:
shows  $\text{exp}[(x + y) - x] \geq \text{exp}[y]$ 
using exp-sub-after-right-add apply auto
using bin-eval.simps(1) bin-eval.simps(3) intval-add-sym unfold-binary
by (smt (z3) Value.inject(1) diff-eq-eq evalDet eval-unused-bits-zero intval-add.elims
    intval-sub.elims new-int.simps new-int-bin.simps take-bit-dist-subL)

lemma exp-sub-negative-value:
 $\text{exp}[x - (-y)] \geq \text{exp}[x + y]$ 
apply simp using val-sub-negative-value
by (smt (verit) bin-eval.simps(1) bin-eval.simps(3) evaltree-not-undef
    unary-eval.simps(2) unfold-binary unfold-unary)

lemma exp-sub-then-left-sub:
shows  $\text{exp}[x - (x - y)] \geq \text{exp}[y]$ 

```

```

using val-sub-then-left-sub apply auto
subgoal premises p for m p xa xaa ya
proof –
  obtain xa where xa:  $[m, p] \vdash x \mapsto xa$ 
    using p(2) by blast
  obtain ya where ya:  $[m, p] \vdash y \mapsto ya$ 
    using p(5) by auto
  obtain xaa where xaa:  $[m, p] \vdash x \mapsto xaa$ 
    using p(2) by blast
  have 1:  $val[xa - (xaa - ya)] \neq \text{UndefVal}$ 
    by (metis evalDet p(2) p(3) p(4) p(5) xa xaa ya)
  then have  $val[xaa - ya] \neq \text{UndefVal}$ 
    by auto
  then have  $[m, p] \vdash y \mapsto val[xa - (xaa - ya)]$ 
    by (metis 1 Value.exhaust evalDet eval-unused-bits-zero evaltree-not-undef
intval-sub.simps(6) intval-sub.simps(7) new-int.simps p(5) val-sub-then-left-sub xa
xaa ya)
  then show ?thesis
    by (metis evalDet p(2) p(4) p(5) xa xaa ya)
qed
done

```

thm-oracles *exp-sub-then-left-sub*

Optimisations

```

optimization SubAfterAddRight:  $((x + y) - y) \mapsto x$ 
  using exp-sub-after-right-add by blast

```

```

optimization SubAfterAddLeft:  $((x + y) - x) \mapsto y$ 
  using exp-sub-after-right-add2 by blast

```

```

optimization SubAfterSubLeft:  $((x - y) - x) \mapsto -y$ 
  apply (metis Suc-lessI add-2-eq-Suc' add-less-cancel-right less-trans-Suc not-add-less1
size-binary-const size-binary-lhs size-binary-rhs size-non-add)
  apply auto
  by (metis evalDet unary-eval.simps(2) unfold-unary val-sub-after-left-sub)

```

```

optimization SubThenAddLeft:  $(x - (x + y)) \mapsto -y$ 
  apply auto
  by (metis evalDet unary-eval.simps(2) unfold-unary
val-sub-then-left-add)

```

```

optimization SubThenAddRight:  $(y - (x + y)) \mapsto -x$ 
  apply auto
  by (metis evalDet intval-add-sym unary-eval.simps(2) unfold-unary
val-sub-then-left-add)

```

```

optimization SubThenSubLeft:  $(x - (x - y)) \mapsto y$ 
  using size-simps apply simp

```

```

using exp-sub-then-left-sub by blast

optimization SubtractZero:  $(x - (\text{const IntVal } b \ 0)) \mapsto x$ 
  apply auto
  by (smt (verit) add.right-neutral diff-add-cancel eval-unused-bits-zero intval-sub.elims

    intval-word.simps new-int.simps new-int-bin.simps)

thm-oracles SubtractZero

optimization SubNegativeValue:  $(x - (-y)) \mapsto x + y$ 
  apply (metis add-2-eq-Suc' less-SucI less-add-Suc1 not-less-eq size-binary-const
size-non-add)
  using exp-sub-negative-value by simp

thm-oracles SubNegativeValue

lemma negate-idempotent:
  assumes  $x = \text{IntVal } b \ v \wedge \text{take-bit } b \ v = v$ 
  shows  $x = \text{val}[-(-x)]$ 
  using assms
  using is-IntVal-def by force

optimization ZeroSubtractValue:  $((\text{const IntVal } b \ 0) - x) \mapsto (-x)$ 
  when (wf-stamp  $x \wedge \text{stamp-expr } x = \text{IntegerStamp } b \ lo$ 
hi  $\wedge \neg(\text{is-ConstantExpr } x)$ )
  defer
  apply auto unfolding wf-stamp-def
  apply (smt (verit) diff-0 intval-negate.simps(1) intval-sub.elims intval-word.simps

    new-int-bin.simps unary-eval.simps(2) unfold-unary)
  using add-2-eq-Suc' size.simps(2) size-flip-binary by presburger

optimization SubSelfIsZero:  $(x - x) \mapsto \text{const IntVal } b \ 0$  when
  (wf-stamp  $x \wedge \text{stamp-expr } x = \text{IntegerStamp } b \ lo \ hi$ )
  apply simp-all
  apply auto
  using IRExpr.disc(42) One-nat-def size-non-const apply presburger
  by (smt (verit, best) ConstantExpr evalDet eval-bits-1-64 eval-unused-bits-zero
new-int.simps take-bit-of-0 val-sub-self-is-zero validDefIntConst valid-int wf-stamp-def)

```

end

end

1.14 XorNode Phase

theory *XorPhase*

imports

Common

Proofs.StampEvalThms

begin

phase *XorNode*

terminating *size*

begin

lemma *bin-xor-self-is-false:*

$\text{bin}[x \oplus x] = 0$

by *simp*

lemma *bin-xor-commute:*

$\text{bin}[x \oplus y] = \text{bin}[y \oplus x]$

by (*simp add: xor.commute*)

lemma *bin-eliminate-redundant-false:*

$\text{bin}[x \oplus 0] = \text{bin}[x]$

by *simp*

lemma *val-xor-self-is-false:*

assumes $\text{val}[x \oplus x] \neq \text{UndefVal}$

shows $\text{val-to-bool}(\text{val}[x \oplus x]) = \text{False}$

using *assms* **by** (*cases x; auto*)

lemma *val-xor-self-is-false-2:*

assumes $(\text{val}[x \oplus x]) \neq \text{UndefVal}$

and $x = \text{IntVal } 32 \ v$

shows $\text{val}[x \oplus x] = \text{bool-to-val False}$

using *assms* **by** (*cases x; auto*)

lemma *val-xor-self-is-false-3:*

assumes $\text{val}[x \oplus x] \neq \text{UndefVal} \wedge x = \text{IntVal } 64 \ v$

shows $\text{val}[x \oplus x] = \text{IntVal } 64 \ 0$

using *assms* **by** (*cases x; auto*)

lemma *val-xor-commute:*

```

    val[x ⊕ y] = val[y ⊕ x]
    apply (cases x; cases y; auto)
    by (simp add: xor.commute)+

```

```

lemma val-eliminate-redundant-false:
  assumes x = new-int b v
  assumes val[x ⊕ (bool-to-val False)] ≠ UndefVal
  shows   val[x ⊕ (bool-to-val False)] = x
  using assms apply (cases x; auto)
  by meson

```

```

lemma exp-xor-self-is-false:
  assumes wf-stamp x ∧ stamp-expr x = default-stamp
  shows   exp[x ⊕ x] ≥ exp[false]
  using assms apply auto unfolding wf-stamp-def
    using IntVal0 Value.inject(1) bool-to-val.simps(2) constantAsStamp.simps(1)
  evalDet
    int-signed-value-bounds new-int.simps unfold-const val-xor-self-is-false-2
  valid-int
    valid-stamp.simps(1) valid-value.simps(1)
  by (smt (z3) validDefIntConst)

```

```

lemma exp-eliminate-redundant-false:
  shows   exp[x ⊕ false] ≥ exp[x]
  using val-eliminate-redundant-false apply auto
  subgoal premises p for m p xa
  proof -
    obtain xa where xa: [m,p] ⊢ x ↦ xa
    using p(2) by blast
    then have val[xa ⊕ (IntVal 32 0)] ≠ UndefVal
    using evalDet p(2) p(3) by blast
    then have [m,p] ⊢ x ↦ val[xa ⊕ (IntVal 32 0)]
    apply (cases xa; auto) using eval-unused-bits-zero xa by auto
    then show ?thesis
    using evalDet p(2) xa by blast
  qed
done

```

Optimisations

```

optimization XorSelfIsFalse: (x ⊕ x) ⟶ false when
  (wf-stamp x ∧ stamp-expr x = default-stamp)
  using size-non-const apply force
  using exp-xor-self-is-false by auto

```

```

optimization XorShiftConstantRight: ((const x) ⊕ y) ⟶ y ⊕ (const x) when
  ¬(is-ConstantExpr y)
  using size-flip-binary apply force
  unfolding le-expr-def using val-xor-commute

```

```

    by auto

optimization EliminateRedundantFalse:  $(x \oplus \text{false}) \mapsto x$ 
    using exp-eliminate-redundant-false by blast

```

```

end

```

```

end

```

1.15 NegateNode Phase

```

theory NegatePhase
  imports
    Common
begin

```

```

phase NegateNode
  terminating size
begin

```

```

lemma bin-negative-cancel:
   $-1 * (-1 * ((x::('a::len) \text{word}))) = x$ 
  by auto

```

```

lemma val-negative-cancel:
  assumes intval-negate (new-int b v)  $\neq$  UndefVal
  shows  $\text{val}[-(-(\text{new-int } b \ v))] = \text{val}[\text{new-int } b \ v]$ 
  using assms by simp

```

```

lemma val-distribute-sub:
  assumes  $x \neq \text{UndefVal} \wedge y \neq \text{UndefVal}$ 
  shows  $\text{val}[-(x - y)] = \text{val}[y - x]$ 
  using assms by (cases x; cases y; auto)

```

```

lemma exp-distribute-sub:
  shows  $\text{exp}[-(x - y)] \geq \text{exp}[y - x]$ 
  using val-distribute-sub apply auto
  using evaltree-not-undef by auto

```

```

thm-oracles exp-distribute-sub

```

```

lemma exp-negative-cancel:

```



```

shows  $\exp[-(-x)] \geq \exp[x]$ 
using val-negative-cancel apply auto
by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) eval-unused-bits-zero intval-negate.elims
      intval-negate.simps(1) minus-equation-iff new-int.simps take-bit-dist-neg)

lemma exp-negative-shift:
  assumes stamp-expr  $x = \text{IntegerStamp } b' \text{ lo hi}$ 
  and  $\text{unat } y = (b' - 1)$ 
  shows  $\exp[-(x >> (\text{const } (\text{new-int } b \ y)))] \geq \exp[x >>> (\text{const } (\text{new-int } b \ y))]$ 
  apply auto
  subgoal premises  $p$  for  $m \ p \ x a$ 
  proof –
    obtain  $x a$  where  $x a: [m, p] \vdash x \mapsto x a$ 
    using  $p(2)$  by auto
    then have 1:  $\text{intval-negate } (\text{intval-right-shift } x a \ (\text{IntVal } b \ (\text{take-bit } b \ y))) \neq$ 
      UndefVal
    using evalDet  $p(1) \ p(2)$  by blast
    then have 2:  $\text{intval-right-shift } x a \ (\text{IntVal } b \ (\text{take-bit } b \ y)) \neq \text{UndefVal}$ 
    by auto
    then have 3:  $-( (2::\text{int}) \wedge b \text{ div } (2::\text{int})) \sqsubseteq \text{sint } (\text{signed-take-bit } (b - \text{Suc } (0::\text{nat})) \ (\text{take-bit } b \ y))$ 
    by (simp add:  $p(6)$ )
    then have 4:  $\text{sint } (\text{signed-take-bit } (b - \text{Suc } (0::\text{nat})) \ (\text{take-bit } b \ y)) < (2::\text{int})$ 
       $\wedge b \text{ div } (2::\text{int})$ 
    using  $p(7)$  by blast
    then have 5:  $(0::\text{nat}) < b$ 
    by (simp add:  $p(4)$ )
    then have 6:  $b \sqsubseteq (64::\text{nat})$ 
    by (simp add:  $p(5)$ )
    then have 7:  $[m, p] \vdash \text{BinaryExpr BinURightShift } x$ 
       $(\text{ConstantExpr } (\text{IntVal } b \ (\text{take-bit } b \ y))) \mapsto$ 
       $\text{intval-negate } (\text{intval-right-shift } x a \ (\text{IntVal } b \ (\text{take-bit } b \ y)))$ 
    apply (cases  $y$ ; auto)

  subgoal premises  $p$  for  $n$ 
  proof –
    have  $sg1: y = \text{word-of-nat } n$ 
    by (simp add:  $p(1)$ )
    then have  $sg2: n < (18446744073709551616::\text{nat})$ 
    by (simp add:  $p(2)$ )
    then have  $sg3: b \sqsubseteq (64::\text{nat})$ 
    by (simp add: 6)
    then have  $sg4: [m, p] \vdash \text{BinaryExpr BinURightShift } x$ 
       $(\text{ConstantExpr } (\text{IntVal } b \ (\text{take-bit } b \ (\text{word-of-nat } n)))) \mapsto$ 
       $\text{intval-negate } (\text{intval-right-shift } x a \ (\text{IntVal } b \ (\text{take-bit } b \ (\text{word-of-nat } n))))$ 
    sorry
    then show ?thesis
    by simp

```

```

      qed
    done
  then show ?thesis
  by (metis evalDet p(2) xa)
qed
done

Optimisations

optimization NegateCancel:  $-( -(x) ) \mapsto x$ 
  using val-negative-cancel exp-negative-cancel by blast

optimization DistributeSubtraction:  $-(x - y) \mapsto (y - x)$ 
  apply (smt (z3) add.left-commute add-2-eq-Suc' add-diff-cancel-left' is-ConstantExpr-def
less-Suc-eq-0-disj plus-1-eq-Suc size.simps(11) size-binary-const size-non-add zero-less-diff)
  using exp-distribute-sub by simp

optimization NegativeShift:  $-(x >> (\text{const } (\text{new-int } b \ y))) \mapsto x >>> (\text{const } (\text{new-int } b \ y))$ 
  when (stamp-expr  $x = \text{IntegerStamp } b' \text{ lo } hi \wedge \text{unat } y = (b' - 1)$ )
  using exp-negative-shift by simp

end

end

theory TacticSolving
  imports Common
begin

fun size :: IRExpr  $\Rightarrow$  nat where
  size (UnaryExpr op e) = (size e) * 2 |
  size (BinaryExpr BinAdd x y) = (size x) + ((size y) * 2) |
  size (BinaryExpr op x y) = (size x) + (size y) |
  size (ConditionalExpr cond t f) = (size cond) + (size t) + (size f) + 2 |
  size (ConstantExpr c) = 1 |
  size (ParameterExpr ind s) = 2 |
  size (LeafExpr nid s) = 2 |
  size (ConstantVar c) = 2 |
  size (VariableExpr x s) = 2

lemma size-pos[simp]:  $0 < \text{size } y$ 
  apply (induction y; auto?)
  subgoal premises prems for op a b
  using prems by (induction op; auto)
done

```

```

phase TacticSolving
  terminating size
begin

```

1.16 AddNode

```

lemma value-approx-implies-refinement:
  assumes lhs  $\approx$  rhs
  assumes  $\forall m\ p\ v. ([m, p] \vdash \text{elhs} \mapsto v) \longrightarrow v = \text{lhs}$ 
  assumes  $\forall m\ p\ v. ([m, p] \vdash \text{erhs} \mapsto v) \longrightarrow v = \text{rhs}$ 
  assumes  $\forall m\ p\ v1\ v2. ([m, p] \vdash \text{elhs} \mapsto v1) \longrightarrow ([m, p] \vdash \text{erhs} \mapsto v2)$ 
  shows elhs  $\geq$  erhs
  using assms unfolding le-expr-def well-formed-equal-def
  using evalDet evaltree-not-undef
  by metis

method explore-cases for x y :: Value =
  (cases x; cases y; auto)

method explore-cases-bin for x :: IRExpr =
  (cases x; auto)

method obtain-approx-eq for lhs rhs x y :: Value =
  (rule meta-mp[where P=lhs  $\approx$  rhs], defer-tac, explore-cases x y)

method obtain-eval for exp::IRExpr and val::Value =
  (rule meta-mp[where P= $\bigwedge m\ p\ v. ([m, p] \vdash \text{exp} \mapsto v) \implies v = \text{val}$ ], defer-tac)

method solve for lhs rhs x y :: Value =
  (match conclusion in size - < size -  $\Rightarrow$   $\langle \text{simp} \rangle$ )?,
  (match conclusion in (elhs::IRExpr)  $\geq$  (erhs::IRExpr) for elhs erhs  $\Rightarrow$   $\langle$ 
    (obtain-approx-eq lhs rhs x y) $\rangle$ )

```

print-methods

```

thm BinaryExprE
optimization opt-add-left-negate-to-sub:
   $-x + y \mapsto y - x$ 

  apply (solve val[-x1 + y1] val[y1 - x1] x1 y1)
  apply simp apply auto using evaltree-not-undef sorry

```

1.17 NegateNode

```

lemma val-distribute-sub:
  val[-(x-y)]  $\approx$  val[y-x]
  by (cases x; cases y; auto)

optimization distribute-sub:  $-(x-y) \mapsto (y-x)$ 

```

```

apply simp
using val-distribute-sub apply simp
using unfold-binary unfold-unary by auto

lemma val-xor-self-is-false:
  assumes  $x = \text{IntVal } 32 \ v$ 
  shows  $\text{val}[x \oplus x] \approx \text{val}[\text{false}]$ 
  apply simp using assms by (cases  $x$ ; auto)

definition wf-stamp ::  $\text{IRExpr} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  where
  wf-stamp  $e = (\forall m \ p \ v. ([m, p] \vdash e \mapsto v) \longrightarrow \text{valid-value } v \ (\text{stamp-expr } e))$ 

lemma exp-xor-self-is-false:
  assumes  $\text{stamp-expr } x = \text{IntegerStamp } 32 \ l \ h$ 
  assumes wf-stamp  $x$ 
  shows  $\text{exp}[x \oplus x] \geq \text{exp}[\text{false}]$ 
  unfolding le-expr-def using assms unfolding wf-stamp-def
  using val-xor-self-is-false evaltree-not-undef
  by (smt (z3) bin-eval.simps(6) bin-eval-new-int constantAsStamp.simps(1) evalDet
int-signed-value-bounds new-int.simps new-int-take-bits unfold-binary unfold-const
valid-int valid-stamp.simps(1) valid-value.simps(1) well-formed-equal-defn)

lemma val-or-commute[simp]:
   $\text{val}[x \mid y] = \text{val}[y \mid x]$ 
  apply (cases  $x$ ; cases  $y$ ; auto)
  by (simp add: or.commute) +

lemma val-xor-commute[simp]:
   $\text{val}[x \oplus y] = \text{val}[y \oplus x]$ 
  apply (cases  $x$ ; cases  $y$ ; auto)
  by (simp add: word-bw-comms(3))

lemma exp-or-commutative:
   $\text{exp}[x \mid y] \geq \text{exp}[y \mid x]$ 
  by auto

lemma exp-xor-commutative:
   $\text{exp}[x \oplus y] \geq \text{exp}[y \oplus x]$ 
  by auto

lemma OrInverseVal:
  assumes  $n = \text{IntVal } 32 \ v$ 
  shows  $\text{val}[n \mid \sim n] \approx \text{new-int } 32 \ (-1)$ 
  apply simp using assms using word-or-not apply (cases  $n$ ; auto) using take-bit-or
  by (metis bit.disj-cancel-right mask-eq-take-bit-minus-one)

```

```

optimization OrInverse:  $\exp[n \mid \sim n] \mapsto (\text{const } (\text{new-int } 32 \text{ (not } 0)))$ 
               when (stamp-expr  $n = \text{IntegerStamp } 32 \text{ l h} \wedge \text{wf-stamp } n$ )
unfolding size.simps apply (simp add: Suc-lessI)
apply auto using OrInverseVal unfolding wf-stamp-def
by (smt (z3) constantAsStamp.simps(1) evalDet int-signed-value-bounds mask-eq-take-bit-minus-one
      new-int.elims new-int-take-bits unfold-const valid-int valid-stamp.simps(1)
      valid-value.simps(1) well-formed-equal-defn)

```

```

lemma XorInverseVal:
  assumes  $n = \text{IntVal } 32 \ v$ 
  shows  $\text{val}[n \oplus \sim n] \approx \text{new-int } 32 \ (-1)$ 
  apply simp using assms using word-or-not apply (cases  $n$ ; auto)
  by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) bit.compl-zero bit.xor-compl-right bit.xor-self
    mask-eq-take-bit-minus-one take-bit-xor)

```

```

optimization XorInverse2:  $\text{exp}[(\sim n) \oplus n] \mapsto (\text{const } (\text{new-int } 32 \text{ (not } 0)))$ 
    when  $(\text{stamp-expr } n = \text{IntegerStamp } 32 \text{ l h} \wedge \text{wf-stamp } n)$ 
using XorInverse apply simp
  using XorInverse exp-xor-commutative
by simp

```

end

```
imports
  AbsPhase
  AddPhase
  AndPhase
  ConditionalPhase
```

```

MulPhase

NegatePhase
NewAnd
NotPhase
OrPhase
ShiftPhase
SignedDivPhase
SignedRemPhase
SubPhase
TacticSolving
XorPhase
begin

declare [[show-types=false]]
print-phases
print-phases!

print-methods

print-theorems

thm opt-add-left-negate-to-sub
thm-oracles AbsNegate

export-phases ‹Full›

end

```