Spatial Signatures
Dynamically building the built environment

Daniel Arribas-Bel[*†‡]
Martin Fleischmann[*†§]

Geographic Data Science Lab, University of Liverpool

September 2019

ABSTRACT: Blah blah blah

Key words: blah, blah, blah

[*]THANKS.

[†]Geographic Data Science Lab, Department of Geography and Planning, University of Liverpool, Roxby Building , 74 Bedford St S , Liverpool , L69 7ZT, United Kingdom

[‡]E-mail: D.Arribas-Bel@liverpool.ac.uk; phone: +44 (0)151 795 9727; website: http://darribas.org.

[§]E-mail: M.Fleischmann@liverpool.ac.uk@liverpool.ac.uk; website: https://martinfleischmann.net/.

1. Introduction

Fleischmann et al. (2020) is king.

2. (Urban) form and function

3. Spatial Signatures

3.1 Definition

3.2 Building blocks: the Enclosed Tesselation

This section proposes a novel and theoretically-informed delineation of space to support the development of spatial signatures. Since spatial signatures are conceptualised as highly granular in space, considering the ideal unit of analysis at which to measure them is of utmost importance.

An ideal unit of analysis for spatial signatures will partition space into consistent blocks of built *and* lived environment. In other words, a successful candidate will need to meet the following XXX characteristics:

3.3 Embedding form and funtion into Spatial Signatures

4. Illustration

5. Conclusions

References

Fleischmann, M., Feliciotti, A., Romice, O., and Porta, S. (2020). Morphological tessellation as a way of partitioning space: Improving consistency in urban morphology at the plot scale. *Computers, Environment and Urban Systems*, 80:101441.