

Macroeconomics

Lecture 8 – New Keynesian Model

Ilya Eryzhenskiy

PSME Panthéon-Sorbonne Master in Economics

Fall 2022

Outline

1 Household

2 Firms

- Flexible prices

The basic New Keynesian model

- ▷ Also uses the **microfoundations** as in RBC framework
 - ▷ rational expectations
 - ▷ representative, infinitely lived agents
 - ▷ optimizing behaviour
- ▷ But **important differences**
 - ▷ a large number (continuum) of consumption goods
 - ⇒ not perfectly substitutable for HH ⇒ no perfect competition → **monopolistic competition**
 - ▷ prices for goods not flexible → **nominal rigidities**
- ▷ We will also make simplifications w.r.t. RBC: no capital accumulation → production with labor only
- ▷ Versions of this model widespread in central banks, commercial banks, public authorities, international organizations. . .

The basic New Keynesian model

▷ Households

- ▷ consume **a bundle of diversified goods**
- ▷ supply labour
- ▷ make saving in a **nominal bond** (zero in equilibrium)

▷ Firms

- ▷ a continuum of firms of measure one
- ▷ each **producing a single, imperfectly substitutable good**
- ▷ only using labour as factor input
- ▷ pricing the good
 - ▷ under monopolistic competition
 - ▷ given **nominal rigidities** (but we start with a **flexible price** version today)

Outline

1 Household

2 Firms

- Flexible prices

Household

Household utility has the form $E_0 \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t U(C_t, L_t)$, and we will work with *isoelastic* utility for both C and L :

$$U(C_t, L_t) = \frac{C_t^{1-\sigma}}{1-\sigma} - \frac{L_t^{1-\eta}}{1-\eta}$$

where C_t is a **consumption indicator** constructed with a large number of goods, each having index i .

C_t calculated with **aggregator function** proposed by Dixit and Stiglitz:

$$C_t \equiv \left(\int_0^1 C_t(i)^{\frac{\varepsilon-1}{\varepsilon}} di \right)^{\frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon-1}},$$

where $C_t(i)$ is the quantity of **good i** consumed by the household.

Each good has its own price $P_t(i)$ set by a firm producing the good.

Differentiated goods

- ▶ imperfectly-substitutable goods combined yield an aggregate good
 - ▶ Sometimes assumed that intermediary firms combine the goods for the household \Rightarrow the aggregator is their production function

$$C_t \equiv \left(\int_0^1 C_t(i)^{\frac{\varepsilon-1}{\varepsilon}} di \right)^{\frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon-1}}$$

- ▶ ε is the **constant elasticity of substitution (CES)** between any pair of differentiated goods
- ▶ **Properties of the aggregator**
 - ▶ (1) symmetric, (2) strictly increasing, (3) strictly concave in all arguments, (4) homogeneous of degree one

Household

Households maximize the consumption index C_t for any given level of expenditures $\zeta_t \equiv \int_0^1 P_t(i) C_t(i) di$. The solution yields a set of demand equations

$$C_t(i) = \left(\frac{P_t(i)}{P_t} \right)^{-\varepsilon} C_t \quad \text{for all } i \in [0, 1], \quad (1)$$

where $P_t \equiv [\int_0^1 P_t(i)^{1-\varepsilon} di]^{1/(1-\varepsilon)}$ is an aggregate price index. This allows to write total consumption expenditure as

$$\int_0^1 P_t(i) C_t(i) di = P_t C_t$$

Household budget constraint

The flow budget constraint is

$$\int_0^1 P_t(i) C_t(i) di + B_{t+1}^N \leq (1 + i_t) B_t^N + W_t^N L_t + \Pi_t^N$$

with $C_t(i)$ period t consumption of good i , $P_t(i)$ price of good i , L_t hours of work, W_t^N nominal (i.e. in units of currency) wage, B_t^N nominal value of bonds held at beginning of t , i_t the nominal interest rate, Π_t^N nominal profits.

Using consumption aggregator and price indicator, the constraint can be rewritten:

$$P_t C_t + B_{t+1}^n \leq (1 + i_t) B_t^n + W_t^n L_t + \Pi_t^n$$

Households' optimization

Using same approach as in the RBC, we obtain the FOCs:

$$\beta E_0 \left[\frac{C_{t+1}^{-\sigma}}{C_t^{-\sigma}} \frac{P_t}{P_{t+1}} \right] = \frac{1}{1 + i_{t+1}}$$
$$-\frac{L_t^\eta}{C_t^\sigma} = \frac{W_t^N}{P_t}$$

We will use lowercase letters for logs of variables:

$c_t = \ln C_t$, $l_t = \ln L_t$, etc.:

$$c_t = E_t[c_{t+1}] - \frac{1}{\sigma}(i_t - E_t[\pi_{t+1}] - \rho)$$

$$\sigma c_t + \eta l_t = w_t^N - p_t$$

with $\rho = -\ln \beta$ the discount **rate** (used in continuous time models)

Outline

1 Household

2 Firms

- Flexible prices

Firms

- ▶ Continuum of firms indexed by $i \in [0, 1]$ (1 firm – 1 good)
- ▶ Production with common exogenous productivity for all firms A_t and labor: $Y_t(i) = A_t L_t(i)^{1-\alpha} \Rightarrow$ labor demand trivial:
$$L_t(i) = \left(\frac{Y_t(i)}{A_t} \right)^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}$$
- ▶ Differentiated goods \Rightarrow monopoly power, setting price $P_t(i)$:
 - ▶ demand function given by
$$Y_t(i) = \left(\frac{P_t(i)}{P_t} \right)^{-\varepsilon} Y_t \quad (\text{from } C_t(i) = Y_t(i))$$
 - ▶ continuum of goods \Rightarrow firm i doesn't influence Y_t, C_t, P_t

We will look at firm optimization and model equilibrium under **flexible prices** and **sticky prices (Calvo pricing)** in turn.

Outline

1 Household

2 Firms

- Flexible prices

Firm optimization – flexible prices

Maximize profits:

$$\max_{P_t(i), Y_t(i)} P_t(i) Y_t(i) - TC^N(Y_t(i))$$

Where:

- ▶ TC^N is nominal cost function:

$$TC^N(Y_t(i)) = W_t^N L_t^d = W_t^N \left(\frac{Y_t(i)}{A_t} \right)^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}$$

- ▶ $Y_t(i)$ related to $P_t(i)$ via demand: $Y_t(i) = \left(\frac{P_t(i)}{P_t} \right)^{-\varepsilon} Y_t$.

Unusual notation, but a familiar problem of monopolistic pricing.

Solution:

$$P_t(i) = \frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon - 1} MC^N(Y_t(i))$$

Symmetric solution

All firms symmetric in flexible price equilibrium \Rightarrow drop the i index:

$$P_t = \frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon - 1} MC^N(Y_t)$$

and we can get the marginal cost as derivative of total cost:

$$MC^N(Y_t) = \frac{dTC^N(Y_t)}{dY_t} = \frac{d(W_t^N L^d(Y_t))}{dY_t} = \frac{1}{1 - \alpha} W_t^N A_t^{\frac{1}{\alpha-1}} Y_t^{\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}}$$

so we can use it in the optimal price equation:

$$P_t = \frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon - 1} \frac{1}{1 - \alpha} W_t^N A_t^{\frac{1}{\alpha-1}} Y_t^{\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}}$$

$$\text{or } p_t = \mu - \ln(1 - \alpha) + w_t^N + \left(\frac{1}{\alpha - 1} \right) a_t + \left(\frac{\alpha}{1 - \alpha} \right) y_t \text{ in logs}$$

where μ is log of the price markup: $\mu \equiv \ln\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon-1}\right)$

Flexible price equilibrium

A flexible price equilibrium is a sequence of variables $\{Y(i)_t, C(i)_t, P_t(i), L(i)_t, W_t^N, A_t\}_{t=0}^{\infty}$ and aggregates

$$C_t = \left(\int_0^1 C_t(i)^{\frac{\varepsilon-1}{\varepsilon}} di \right)^{\frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon-1}}, Y_t = \left(\int_0^1 Y_t(i)^{\frac{\varepsilon-1}{\varepsilon}} di \right)^{\frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon-1}},$$

$P_t = \left(\int_0^1 P_t(i)^{1-\varepsilon} di \right)^{1/(1-\varepsilon)}, L_t = \int_0^1 L_t(i) di$ such that, given an exogenous process for A_t :

1. The **Euler equation** holds: $\beta E_0 \left[\frac{C_{t+1}^{-\sigma}}{C_t^{-\sigma}} \frac{P_t}{P_{t+1}} \right] = \frac{1}{1+i_{t+1}}$

2. **Consumption-labor optimality** holds: $-\frac{L_t^{\eta}}{C_t^{\sigma}} = \frac{W_t^N}{P_t}$

3. **Optimal price** is set by each firm:

$$P_t(i) = \frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon-1} \frac{1}{1-\alpha} W_t^N A_t^{\frac{1}{\alpha-1}} Y_t(i)^{\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}}$$

4. Goods market clears: $Y_t(i) = C_t(i) \Rightarrow Y_t = C_t$, with
 $Y_t(i) = A_t L_t(i)^{1-\alpha}$

5. Bonds market clears: $B_t^N = 0$

Technically, we also need to impose a transversality condition in households' optimization: $\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} E_t[B_t^N] \geq 0$

Flexible price equilibrium: monetary neutrality

As in RBC, nothing depends on nominal variables P_t, W_t^N, i_t in equilibrium. Consider equilibrium conditions (2)-(4) in logs (written without goods index i):

$$\sigma c_t + \eta l_t = w_t^N - p_t$$

$$p_t = \mu - \ln(1 - \alpha) + w_t^N + \left(\frac{1}{\alpha - 1}\right) a_t + \left(\frac{\alpha}{1 - \alpha}\right) y_t$$

$$y_t = c_t$$

$$y_t = a_t + (1 - \alpha)l_t,$$

where the last equation is the production function in logs.

$w_t \equiv w_t^N - p_t$ can be introduced in the first two equations. We then have 4 equations, 4 unknowns y_t, c_t, l_t, w_t , that have a static solution each period that depends on a_t . Solution for log GDP is:

$$y_t = \frac{1 - \alpha}{(1 - \alpha)\sigma - \eta + \alpha} \left(-\mu + \ln(1 - \alpha) - \frac{1 + \eta}{1 - \alpha} a_t \right)$$

The real interest rate

Real interest rate is a real quantity that can also be obtained in equilibrium using the log Euler equation:

$$c_t = E_t[c_{t+1}] - \frac{1}{\sigma}(i_t - E_t[\pi_{t+1}] - \rho)$$

Then, recall the definition of the **real interest rate**, a.k.a. the **Fischer equation**:

$$r_t = i - E_t\pi_{t+1}$$

combine the two and $y_t = c_t$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} r_t &= \rho + \sigma E_t \Delta y_{t+1} \\ &= \rho + \sigma \frac{1 + \eta}{\sigma(1 - \alpha) + \eta + \alpha} E_t \Delta a_{t+1}, \quad (\text{using the solution for } y) \end{aligned}$$

So the real interest rate is, too, driven by productivity. In a steady state, $\Delta a_t = 0$, so $r_t = \rho$, the real interest rate is the discount factor.

Central Bank in a neutrality economy

Suppose you only know the flexible price model (the sticky price one is much harder!), but your employer **really** wants you to say something about prices, interest rates, central bank, etc.

A neutral central bank with an inflation targeting Taylor Rule can be introduced:

$$i_t = \rho + \phi_\pi \pi_t, \quad \text{with } \rho = \ln \beta, \text{ the discount factor}$$

and combine the two:

$$\phi_\pi \pi_t = E_t \pi_{t+1} + \hat{r}_t \quad \text{with } \hat{r}_t \equiv r_t - \rho$$

\hat{r}_t is the deviation of the real interest from its steady-state value ρ .

Inflation determinacy – the Taylor Principle

$$\phi_{\pi}\pi_t = E_t\pi_{t+1} + \hat{r}_t \quad \text{with} \quad \hat{r}_t \equiv r_t - \rho$$

If $\phi_{\pi} > 1$, the level of inflation is **determined** as a discounted sum of expected \hat{r}_t :

$$\pi_t = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \phi_{\pi}^{-(s+1)} E_t \hat{r}_{t+s}$$

Otherwise, we can write inflation dynamics as an AR(1)-type process:

$$\pi_{t+1} = \phi_{\pi}\pi_t - \hat{r}_t + \xi_{t+1}$$

Where ξ is a random variable with $E_t\xi_{t+1} = 0$ and no economic meaning. This is a **sunspot shock** – a random factor affecting economic outcomes such as inflation, but with no economic explanation.

Bottom line: an **active Taylor rule** ($\phi_{\pi} > 1$) allows to determine level of inflation, otherwise – uncontrollable **sunspot shocks**. Not specific to neutral flexible price economy, – also with nominal rigidity economy, where monetary variables have real effects.