

ESE 524: Detection and Estimation Theory

Recitation 2

Washington University in St. Louis

Topics for Today

- Exponential family of distributions
- Cramér-Rao bound
- Mean value parameterization

Useful Formulas

- Multi-parameter exponential family of distributions:

$$p(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\theta}) = h(\mathbf{x}) \exp \left[\sum_{i=1}^k \eta_i(\boldsymbol{\theta}) T_i(\mathbf{x}) - B(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \right]$$

- One-parameter exponential family of distributions:

$$p(\mathbf{x}|\theta) = h(\mathbf{x}) \exp [\eta T(\mathbf{x}) - A(\eta)]$$

$$\mathbb{E}(T(\mathbf{x})) = \frac{dA(\eta)}{d\eta}, \quad \text{var}(T(\mathbf{x})) = \frac{d^2 A(\eta)}{d\eta^2}$$

Useful Formulas (Cont.)

- Fisher information number:

$$\mathcal{I}(\theta) = \mathbb{E}_X \left[\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log p(\mathbf{x}, \theta) \right)^2 \right] = -\mathbb{E} \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} \log p(\mathbf{x}, \theta) \right)$$

- Cramér-Rao bound for a single parameter:

$$\frac{1}{\mathcal{I}(\theta)}$$

- Multi-parameter CRB:

$$\text{cov}(\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{x})) \geq \frac{\partial \psi(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}^T} \mathcal{I}(\boldsymbol{\theta})^{-1} \frac{\partial \psi(\boldsymbol{\theta})^T}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}}$$

- Where $\psi(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \mathbb{E}(\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{x}))$

Holding out for a Hero

- Some probability distributions do not look like they are “exponential”, but looks can be deceiving.
- Let $x[n] \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$, for $n = 0, \dots, N - 1$ be integer-valued samples from the discrete distribution:

$$p(x[n]; \theta) = \frac{1}{1 + \theta} \left(\frac{\theta}{1 + \theta} \right)^{x[n]-1}$$

- **Goal:** Find a sufficient statistic for θ , and unbiased estimator for θ , and the Cramér-Rao bound on estimators of θ .
- Source: Problem 4.18 in *Statistical Methods for Signal Processing* by Alfred Hero.

Step 1: Find a Sufficient Statistic

- The joint distribution is given as the product of the independent distributions:

$$p(\mathbf{x}; \theta) = \prod_{n=0}^{N-1} \frac{1}{1+\theta} \left(\frac{\theta}{1+\theta} \right)^{x[n]-1} = \left(\frac{1}{1+\theta} \right)^N \left(\frac{\theta}{1+\theta} \right)^{\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} (x[n]-1)}$$

- First, check that the distribution is in the exponential family, we need to find $h(\mathbf{x})$, $\eta(\theta)$, $T(\mathbf{x})$, $B(\theta)$:

- ▶ $h(\mathbf{x}) = 1, \forall \mathbf{x}$

- ▶ $\eta(\theta) = \ln \left(\frac{\theta}{1+\theta} \right)$

- ▶ $T(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} (x[n] - 1)$

- ▶ $B(\theta) = \ln(\theta + 1)$

- By using the fact that $a = \exp(\ln(a))$, we have shown that this distribution is in the exponential family - this is a good trick for distributions involving exponents.
- Since this is an exponential family distribution, $T(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} (x[n] - 1)$ is the natural sufficient statistic to use here.

Step 2: Find an Unbiased estimator

- Oftentimes, we can use the sufficient statistic from slide no. 2 to easily build an estimator.
- Calculate the expected value of T (see board for details):

$$E(T(\mathbf{x})) = E\left(\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} (x[n] - 1)\right) = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} E(x[n] - 1) =$$

$$\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (j - 1)p(x[n] = j; \theta) = N \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (j - 1)p(x[0] = j; \theta)$$

- The sufficient statistic is a “natural” estimator for $N\theta$, so $\hat{\theta}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{T(\mathbf{x})}{N}$ will be an unbiased estimator of θ !

Step 3: Find the Cramér-Rao Bound

- Since the samples are i.i.d., the fisher information number is $NI_1(\theta)$, where $I_1(\theta)$ is the fisher information number provided by a single sample.

- First compute the score function:

$$\begin{aligned}\ln(p(x[n]; \theta)) &= \ln\left(\frac{1}{1+\theta}\right) + (x[n] - 1) \ln\left(\frac{\theta}{1+\theta}\right) = \\ &= -\ln(1 + \theta) + (x[n] - 1)(\ln(\theta) - \ln(1 + \theta)) = \\ &= -x[n] \ln(1 + \theta) + (x[n] - 1) \ln(\theta)\end{aligned}$$

- Then take the derivative:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}(-x[n] \ln(1 + \theta) + (x[n] - 1) \ln(\theta)) = \frac{x[n] - 1 - \theta}{(1 + \theta)\theta}$$

- Then take the expectation: $I_1(\theta) = E\left[\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}(\ln(p(x[n]; \theta)))\right)^2\right] =$
 $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{j-1-\theta}{(1+\theta)\theta}\right)^2 \frac{1}{1+\theta} \left(\frac{\theta}{1+\theta}\right)^{j-1} = \frac{1}{\theta(\theta+1)}$

Step 3: Find the Cramér-Rao Bound - Cont.

- Now that we know $I_1(\theta)$, $I(\theta) = \frac{N}{\theta(1+\theta)}$.
- Then the Cramér-Rao Bound is:

$$C(\theta) = \frac{\theta(1+\theta)}{N}$$

- Note that as the number of samples N increases, the Cramér-Rao Bound gets lower and theoretically estimators will perform better.
- Does $\hat{\theta} = \frac{T(x)}{N}$ reach the CRB?

Performance of $\hat{\theta}$

- When $N = 1$, the estimator is given by $\hat{\theta} = x[0] - 1$.
- the variance of $\hat{\theta}$ is: $E[(\hat{\theta} - \theta)^2] = E[((x[0] - 1) - \theta)^2] = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (j - 1 - \theta)^2 * \frac{1}{1+\theta} \left(\frac{\theta}{1+\theta}\right)^{j-1} = \theta(1 + \theta)$
- So our estimator hit the CRB!
- if $p(x; \theta)$ belongs to the exponential family, and if $E[T(\mathbf{x})] = \theta$, then and only then $T(\mathbf{x})$ will be the MVU Estimator for θ .
- This leads to the mean value parameterization for exponential family distributions (source - 04_sufficiency.pdf on Canvas)

Efficient Estimators

- Recall from L2 that **Efficient Estimators** are estimators whose variance is the CRB.
- **Theorem 2** from L2 states that if you can construct an efficient estimator of $\psi(\theta)$ for some function $\psi(\cdot)$, then we **must** be dealing with an exponential family distribution, and vice versa.
- In the proof of this statement, Kay provides the condition that $T(\mathbf{x})$ is an efficient estimator of θ when:

$$\frac{\partial \ln(p(\mathbf{x}; \theta))}{\partial \theta} = \mathcal{I}(\theta)(T(\mathbf{x}) - \theta)$$

Continuing the Previous Example

- Using the exponential family representation:

$$\ln(p(\mathbf{x}; \theta)) = -N \ln(1 + \theta) + \ln\left(\frac{\theta}{1 + \theta}\right) \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} (x[n] - 1)$$

- Taking the derivative yields:

$$\frac{\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} (x[n] - 1) - N\theta}{\theta(1 + \theta)} = \frac{NT(\mathbf{x}) - N\theta}{\theta(1 + \theta)} = \mathcal{I}(\theta)(T(\mathbf{x}) - \theta)$$

- We satisfy the theorem! This confirms the claim made last week that $T(\mathbf{x})$ is the MVU for θ .
- But what if $E(T(\mathbf{x}))$ is not θ ?

Finding Efficient Estimators for Exponential Distributions

- To build an efficient estimator based off a sufficient statistic $T(\mathbf{x})$ for exponential family variables:
 1. change variables to the canonical parameterization $\theta \rightarrow \eta$.
 2. Find $\psi = E(T(\mathbf{x})) = \frac{\partial B(\theta(\eta))}{\partial \eta}$ and variance
$$\text{var}(T) = \frac{\partial^2 B(\theta(\eta))}{\partial \eta^2}.$$
 3. Change variables again from $\eta \rightarrow \psi$. $T(\mathbf{x})$ is now your MVU estimator.
- To check that $T(\mathbf{x})$ is efficient, again use the factorization condition (see board for proof).

Mean Value Parameterization

- To find an MVU estimator for exponential family distributions, we usually have to change variables.
- Let $\mathbf{x} = [x[0], \dots, x[N-1]]$ be samples from an exponential family distribution with functions $h(\mathbf{x}), \eta(\theta), T(\mathbf{x}), B(\theta)$.
- Then the **canonical form** is the result of a change of variables from θ to η .
- To do this, solve $\eta(\theta)$ for θ , with our probability distribution:

$$\eta(\theta) = \ln\left(\frac{1}{1 + \theta}\right), \quad \theta(\eta) = \frac{\exp(\eta)}{1 - \exp(\eta)}$$

- Then the probability distribution becomes:
$$p(x[n]; \eta) = h(\mathbf{x}) \exp(\eta T(\mathbf{x}) - B(\theta(\eta))) =$$
$$\exp(\eta(\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} (x[n] - 1)) - \ln(\frac{\exp(\eta)}{1 - \exp(\eta)} + 1)) =$$
$$\exp(\eta(\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} (x[n] - 1)) - (\ln(\exp(\eta) - 1)))$$

Mean Value Parameterization Cont.

- To find the **mean value parameterization**, make a second change of variables.
- We know that $E[T(\mathbf{x})] = \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} B(\theta(\eta))$ (here $A(\eta)$ from the notes is $B(\theta(\eta))$).
- Let $\psi = \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} B(\theta(\eta))$ and rewrite the probability distribution in terms of ψ .
- In our examples $\psi = \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} - \ln(\exp(\eta) - 1) = \frac{-\exp(\eta)}{\exp(\eta)-1} = \frac{\exp(\eta)}{1-\exp(\eta)}$, so $\eta(\psi) = \ln\left(\frac{\psi}{1+\psi}\right)$.
- Then the probability distribution becomes: $p(\mathbf{x}; \psi) = h(\mathbf{x}) \exp(\eta(\psi)T(\mathbf{x}) - B(\theta(\eta(\psi)))) = \exp(\ln\left(\frac{\psi}{1+\psi}\right) T(\mathbf{x}) - \ln(\psi + 1))$
- Notice that this is the same as the original probability distribution

Comments of Mean Value Parameterization

- This problem was carefully chosen so that the original distribution was already in the MVP, the changes of variables will rarely reproduce the original distribution.
- $T(\mathbf{x})$ will be the MVU Estimator for ψ , not θ . This is **very important**. Transforming from ψ to θ will change the variance of $T(\mathbf{x})$, so it might not be MVU anymore.
- This is our first “complete” problem in the class, where we used sufficient statistics to find an estimator, and then figured out the performance of that estimator.
- Using $-\mathbb{E}[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} \ln(p(\mathbf{x}; \theta))]$ to find the Fisher information is usually easier than using the original definition.
- Fisher Information is ubiquitous in statistics, because it represents a metric of how much information the data carries. E.g. if you have two sets of samples, and one has higher fisher information, that set of samples is better for estimating θ .