

Question 1.1

Let $w^* = (1, 0)$, $w = (0, 1)$, $\epsilon = \sqrt{2}$, $x = (1, -1)$, $S = \{(x, 1)\}$. For any w' s.t. $\|w - w'\| \leq \epsilon$, it is clear that we have $L_S[w] = L_S[w'] = 1$. Hence, it holds that $L_S[w] \leq L_S[w']$. Moreover, $L_S[w^*] = 0$ as it classifies x correctly:

$$\begin{aligned} f_{w^*}(x) &= \langle x, w^* \rangle = (1 \cdot 1) + (-1 \cdot 0) = 1 \Rightarrow y f_{w^*}(x) = 1 \\ &\Rightarrow l(f_{w^*}(x), y) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, w is a local minima but not a global minima, as required. \square

Question 1.2

It holds that:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial l}{\partial w^T} &= \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-y \cdot f_w(x))} \cdot \exp(-y \cdot f_w(x)) \cdot (-y \cdot x) \\ &= \frac{-y \cdot x \cdot \exp(-y \cdot f_w(x))}{1 + \exp(-y \cdot f_w(x))} \\ &= -y \cdot x \cdot e^{-y \cdot w^T x} \cdot \left(1 + e^{-y \cdot w^T x}\right)^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

Let us define $\rho = B \cdot e^{B^2}$ and we claim that l is ρ -Lipschitz with respect to w . In order to show that, it suffices to show that $\forall w. \left\| \frac{\partial l}{\partial w^T} \right\| \leq \rho$. Using Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, we get $f_w(x) = \langle x, w \rangle \leq |\langle x, w \rangle| \leq \|x\| \|w\| \leq B^2$. Thus, using the fact that $\forall z. e^z \geq 0$:

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{\partial l}{\partial w^T} \right\| &= \left\| \frac{-y \cdot x \cdot \exp(-y \cdot f_w(x))}{1 + \exp(-y \cdot f_w(x))} \right\| \\ &= \left| \frac{\exp(-y \cdot f_w(x))}{1 + \exp(-y \cdot f_w(x))} \right| \|x\| \\ &= \left| \frac{e^{-y \cdot w^T x}}{1 + e^{-y \cdot w^T x}} \right| \|x\| \\ &= \frac{e^{-y \cdot w^T x}}{1 + e^{-y \cdot w^T x}} \|x\| \\ &\leq \frac{e^{w^T x}}{1 + 0} \|x\| \\ &\leq e^{B^2} \cdot B \end{aligned}$$

And we conclude that l is indeed ρ -Lipschitz with respect to w . Now, Let us

inspect the hessian matrix:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial^2 l}{\partial w^T \partial w} &= -y \cdot x \cdot \left(\left(-e^{-y \cdot w^T x} \cdot y \cdot x^T \cdot \left(1 + e^{-y \cdot w^T x} \right)^{-1} \right) + \left(e^{-y \cdot w^T x} \left(1 + e^{-y \cdot w^T x} \right)^{-2} \cdot y \cdot x^T \right) \right) \\ &= -y^2 x x^T \left(e^{-y \cdot w^T x} \right) \left(\left(1 + e^{-y \cdot w^T x} \right)^{-2} - \left(1 + e^{-y \cdot w^T x} \right)^{-1} \right)\end{aligned}$$

We denote the hessian matrix as H . Let $u \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Using the fact that $\forall w. \left(1 + e^{-y \cdot w^T x} \right)^{-2} - \left(1 + e^{-y \cdot w^T x} \right)^{-1} \leq 0$, it is obvious that $u^T H u \geq 0$. Therefore, the hessian is positive semidefinite, thus l is convex with respect to w .
□

Question 1.3

let's set activation function to be ReLU and the layer size as 2, then $w_i \in M_{2,2}^{(d)}$ $i \in \{1, \dots, d-1\}$ and $w_d \in \mathbb{R}_2$.

Now we will define the empirical loss as a function of $E(w)$.

If we find w_1, w_2 with $E(w_1) = E(w_2) = 0$ (no loss) then we have $tE(w_1) + (1-t)E(w_2) = 0$ and if for some t the loss $E(tw_1 + (1-t)w_2) \neq 0$ then we are done.

Notice that if we set the first $d-2$ layers to be the identity transformation:

$$w_{i,j} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad i \in \{1, 2\} \quad j \in \{1, \dots, d-1\}$$

then after applying ReLU on $w_{i,j}x$ and x is positive we still have the identity function.

from the above claim we get that as long as $x > 0$ we can choose $d \geq 2$ as we like and generalize the claim to every $d' > d$ by setting the first $d' - d$ layers to be the identity (if $d = 1$ the claim is incorrect as $f_w(x)$ is just a linear transformation of x and the logistic loss is convex in w) transformation.

Set $d = 2$

Now let's look at the following counter example, we choose the dataset and the classifiers

w_1 and w_2 and show that the loss is not convex for these examples:

$$S = \left\{ \left(x = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, y = -1 \right) \right\}, \quad w_1 = \left(\begin{pmatrix} -5 & -5 \\ 5 & 5 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 5 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) \quad w_2 = \left(\begin{pmatrix} 5 & -5 \\ -5 & -10 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} -10 \\ 5 \end{pmatrix} \right)$$

we have $m = 1$ so $E(w) = l(f_w(x), y)$

Output from classifier:

$$w_{1,2} \max(w_{1,1}x, 0) = w_{1,2} \max \left(\begin{pmatrix} -10 \\ 10 \end{pmatrix}, \mathbf{0} \right) = w_{1,2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 10 \end{pmatrix} = 0$$

$$w_{2,2} \max(w_{2,1}x, 0) = w_{2,2} \max \left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -15 \end{pmatrix}, \mathbf{0} \right) = w_{1,2} \mathbf{0} = 0$$

Loss:

$$\log(1 + e^{w_{1,2} \max(w_{1,1}x, 0)}) = \log(1 + e^{w_{2,2} \max(w_{2,1}x, 0)}) = \log(2)$$

Now we define a new classifier like this - $w' = tw_1 + (1-t)w_2$ and choose $t = \frac{4}{5}$

$$w' = tw_1 + (1-t)w_2 = \frac{4}{5}w_1 + \frac{1}{5}w_2 = \left(\begin{pmatrix} -3 & -5 \\ 3 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right)$$

the output for the classifier is:

$$w'_2 \max(w'_{1,1}x, 0) = w'_2 \max \left(\begin{pmatrix} -8 \\ 5 \end{pmatrix}, \mathbf{0} \right) = w'_2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 5 \end{pmatrix} = 5$$

And the loss is:

$$\begin{aligned} E\left(\frac{4}{5}w_1 + \frac{1}{5}w_2\right) &= E(w') = \log(1 + e^{w'_2 \max(w'_{1,1}x, 0)}) = \log(1 + e^5) > \log(2) \\ &= \frac{4}{5}E(w_1) + \frac{1}{5}E(w_2) \end{aligned}$$

Hence the empirical loss is non convex with respect to w

Question 2

we will compute the gradient of $\left\| W_3 \left(\sigma \left(W_2 \left(\sigma(W_1 x) \right) \right) \right) - y \right\|_2^2$ step by step.

mark the dimensions:

$$d(x) = n_x \quad d(W_1) = (n_1, n_x) \quad d(W_2) = (n_2, n_1) \quad d(W_3) = (n_y, n_2) \quad d(y) = n_y$$

first let's define $L_i(x) = W_i x$ and we get:

$$\left\| L_3 \left(\sigma \left(L_2 \left(\sigma(L_1(x)) \right) \right) \right) - y \right\|_2^2$$

Let's write the analytical derivatives we will use:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \|x - y\|^2 = 2x$$

$$\frac{\partial L_i(x)}{\partial x} = W_i$$

We'll mark $w_{i,r}$ as the r -th row of matrix W_i and compute the gradient row wise

$$\frac{\partial L_i(x)}{\partial w_{i,r}} = r \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ x_0 & x_1 & \dots & x_n \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

When x is a scalar we can use the following identity:

$$\frac{\partial \sigma(x)}{\partial x} = \sigma(x)(1 - \sigma(x)) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-x}} \left(\frac{e^{-x}}{1 + e^{-x}} \right) = \frac{e^{-x}}{1 + 2e^{-x} + e^{-2x}}$$

and when x is a vector of length n we get:

$$\frac{\partial \sigma(x)}{\partial x} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial \sigma(x_0)}{\partial x_0} & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & \frac{\partial \sigma(x_n)}{\partial x_n} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{e^{-x_0}}{1 + 2e^{-x_0} + e^{-2x_0}} & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & \frac{e^{-x_n}}{1 + 2e^{-x_n} + e^{-2x_n}} \end{bmatrix}$$

All of the above involves no computation.

Now we start computing the gradients, we make a forward pass and save the intermediate results of the form $\frac{\partial \sigma(x)}{\partial x}$. (no need to save $\frac{\partial L_i(x)}{\partial x}$ as we saw earlier that $\frac{\partial L_i(x)}{\partial x} = W_i$ and we have that from the net state).

This takes $O(n_x n_1 + n_1 n_2 + n_2 n_y)$ time.

saving the intermediate results will take $O(n_1 + n_2 + n_y)$ space

for comfort we will mark the output of the t -th sigmoid layer as z_t

Now we will compute the gradients backward using the chain rule and save intermediate matrix multiplication that we will use in the future from each calculation

Gradients w.r.t W_3 :

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial w_{3,r}} \|L_3(z_2) - y\|_2^2 = \frac{\partial \|L_3(z_2) - y\|_2^2}{\partial L_3(z_2)} \frac{\partial L_3(z_2)}{\partial w_{3,r}}$$

For every $\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{w}_{3,r}} ||L_3(\mathbf{z}_2) - \mathbf{y}||_2^2$ calculation we multiply a vector by a sparse matrix where only the r -th row is non zero, basically we multiply the r -th row by the r -th index of the vector this takes, $O(n_2)$ time

We will do this n_y time so overall $O(n_y^2 n_2)$ time

Gradients w.r.t W_2 :

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{w}_{2,r}} ||L_3(\mathbf{z}_2) - \mathbf{y}||_2^2 = \frac{\partial ||L_3(\mathbf{z}_2) - \mathbf{y}||_2^2}{\partial L_3(\mathbf{z}_2)} \frac{\partial L_3(\mathbf{z}_2)}{\partial \mathbf{z}_2} \frac{\partial \sigma(L_2(\mathbf{z}_1))}{\partial L_2(\mathbf{z}_1)} \frac{\partial L(\mathbf{z}_1)}{\partial \mathbf{w}_{2,r}}$$

We need to compute $\left(\frac{\partial ||L_3(\mathbf{z}_2) - \mathbf{y}||_2^2}{\partial L_3(\mathbf{z}_2)} \frac{\partial L_3(\mathbf{z}_2)}{\partial \mathbf{z}_2} \right) \frac{\partial \sigma(L_2(\mathbf{z}_1))}{\partial L_2(\mathbf{z}_1)}$ once and save it ($O(n_2)$ space) for later use, this is done in $O(n_y n_2)$ as the last multiplication is vector by a diagonal matrix.

Then we multiply the result by the final part for every r (n_2 times) in

$O(n_2 n_1)$ as $\frac{\partial L(\mathbf{z}_1)}{\partial \mathbf{w}_{2,r}}$ is mostly zeros except row r , overall we have $O(n_2 n_1 + n_y n_2)$ for this part

Gradients w.r.t W_1 :

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{w}_{1,r}} ||L_3(\mathbf{z}_2) - \mathbf{y}||_2^2 = \frac{\partial ||L_3(\mathbf{z}_2) - \mathbf{y}||_2^2}{\partial L_3(\mathbf{z}_2)} \frac{\partial L_3(\mathbf{z}_2)}{\partial \mathbf{z}_2} \frac{\partial \sigma(L_2(\mathbf{z}_1))}{\partial L_2(\mathbf{z}_1)} \frac{\partial L(\mathbf{z}_1)}{\partial \mathbf{z}_1} \frac{\partial \sigma(L_1(\mathbf{x}))}{\partial L_1(\mathbf{x})} \frac{\partial L_1(\mathbf{x})}{\partial \mathbf{w}_{1,r}}$$

We already calculated $\frac{\partial ||L_3(\mathbf{z}_2) - \mathbf{y}||_2^2}{\partial L_3(\mathbf{z}_2)} \frac{\partial L_3(\mathbf{z}_2)}{\partial \mathbf{z}_2} \frac{\partial \sigma(L_2(\mathbf{z}_1))}{\partial L_2(\mathbf{z}_1)}$ so in order to calculate

$\frac{\partial ||L_3(\mathbf{z}_2) - \mathbf{y}||_2^2}{\partial L_3(\mathbf{z}_2)} \frac{\partial L_3(\mathbf{z}_2)}{\partial \mathbf{z}_2} \frac{\partial \sigma(L_2(\mathbf{z}_1))}{\partial L_2(\mathbf{z}_1)} \frac{\partial L(\mathbf{z}_1)}{\partial \mathbf{z}_1} \frac{\partial \sigma(L_1(\mathbf{x}))}{\partial L_1(\mathbf{x})}$ we only need 2 more matrix multiplications where one is diagonal. So similarly to last step (with different dimensions) we need to perform $O(n_2 n_1)$ calculations and then $O(n_1 n_x)$ for a total of $O(n_2 n_1 + n_1 n_x)$. we saved one vector of length n_1 so $O(n_1)$ space.

Let's sum it all up: $O(n_1 + n_2 + n_x + n_y)$ space, $O(n_1 n_2 + n_1 n_x + n_y n_2)$

For every $\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{w}_{3,r}} ||L_3(\mathbf{z}_2) - \mathbf{y}||_2^2$ calculation we multiply a vector by a sparse matrix where only the r -th row is non zero, basically we multiply the r -th row by the r -th index of the vector this takes, $O(n_2)$ time

We will do this n_y time so overall $O(n_y^2 n_2)$ time

Gradients w.r.t W_2 :

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{w}_{2,r}} ||L_3(\mathbf{z}_2) - \mathbf{y}||_2^2 = \frac{\partial ||L_3(\mathbf{z}_2) - \mathbf{y}||_2^2}{\partial L_3(\mathbf{z}_2)} \frac{\partial L_3(\mathbf{z}_2)}{\partial \mathbf{z}_2} \frac{\partial \sigma(L_2(\mathbf{z}_1))}{\partial L_2(\mathbf{z}_1)} \frac{\partial L(\mathbf{z}_1)}{\partial \mathbf{w}_{2,r}}$$

We need to compute $\left(\frac{\partial ||L_3(\mathbf{z}_2) - \mathbf{y}||_2^2}{\partial L_3(\mathbf{z}_2)} \frac{\partial L_3(\mathbf{z}_2)}{\partial \mathbf{z}_2} \right) \frac{\partial \sigma(L_2(\mathbf{z}_1))}{\partial L_2(\mathbf{z}_1)}$ once and save it ($O(n_2)$ space) for later use, this is done in $O(n_y n_2)$ as the last multiplication is vector by a diagonal matrix.

Then we multiply the result by the final part for every r (n_2 times) in

$O(n_2 n_1)$ as $\frac{\partial L(\mathbf{z}_1)}{\partial \mathbf{w}_{2,r}}$ is mostly zeros except row r , overall we have $O(n_2 n_1 + n_y n_2)$ for this part

Gradients w.r.t W_1 :

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{w}_{1,r}} ||L_3(\mathbf{z}_2) - \mathbf{y}||_2^2 = \frac{\partial ||L_3(\mathbf{z}_2) - \mathbf{y}||_2^2}{\partial L_3(\mathbf{z}_2)} \frac{\partial L_3(\mathbf{z}_2)}{\partial \mathbf{z}_2} \frac{\partial \sigma(L_2(\mathbf{z}_1))}{\partial L_2(\mathbf{z}_1)} \frac{\partial L(\mathbf{z}_1)}{\partial \mathbf{z}_1} \frac{\partial \sigma(L_1(\mathbf{x}))}{\partial L_1(\mathbf{x})} \frac{\partial L_1(\mathbf{x})}{\partial \mathbf{w}_{1,r}}$$

We already calculated $\frac{\partial ||L_3(\mathbf{z}_2) - \mathbf{y}||_2^2}{\partial L_3(\mathbf{z}_2)} \frac{\partial L_3(\mathbf{z}_2)}{\partial \mathbf{z}_2} \frac{\partial \sigma(L_2(\mathbf{z}_1))}{\partial L_2(\mathbf{z}_1)}$ so in order to calculate

$\frac{\partial ||L_3(\mathbf{z}_2) - \mathbf{y}||_2^2}{\partial L_3(\mathbf{z}_2)} \frac{\partial L_3(\mathbf{z}_2)}{\partial \mathbf{z}_2} \frac{\partial \sigma(L_2(\mathbf{z}_1))}{\partial L_2(\mathbf{z}_1)} \frac{\partial L(\mathbf{z}_1)}{\partial \mathbf{z}_1} \frac{\partial \sigma(L_1(\mathbf{x}))}{\partial L_1(\mathbf{x})}$ we only need 2 more matrix multiplications where one is diagonal. So similarly to last step (with different dimensions) we need to perform $O(n_2 n_1)$ calculations and then $O(n_1 n_x)$ for a total of $O(n_2 n_1 + n_1 n_x)$. we saved one vector of length n_1 so $O(n_1)$ space.

Let's sum it all up: $O(n_1 + n_2 + n_x + n_y)$ space, $O(n_1 n_2 + n_1 n_x + n_y n_2)$

SGD proof of lemma 1

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{t=1}^T \langle w^{(t)} - w^*, v_t \rangle &= \sum_{t=1}^T \frac{1}{\mu} \langle w^{(t)} - w^*, \mu v_t \rangle \\&= \sum_{t=1}^T \frac{1}{2\mu} \left(-\|w^{(t)} - w^* - \mu v_t\|^2 + \|w^{(t)} - w^*\|^2 + \mu^2 \|v_t\|^2 \right) \\&= \sum_{t=1}^T \frac{1}{2\mu} \left(-\|w^{(t)} - w^* - (w^{(t)} - w^{(t+1)})\|^2 + \|w^{(t)} - w^*\|^2 + \mu^2 \|v_t\|^2 \right) \\&= \frac{1}{2\mu} \sum_{t=1}^T \left(-\|w^{(t+1)} - w^*\|^2 + \|w^{(t)} - w^*\|^2 \right) + \frac{\mu}{2} \sum_{t=1}^T \|v_t\|^2 \\&= \frac{1}{2\mu} \left(-\|w^{(t+1)} - w^*\|^2 + \|w^{(1)} - w^*\|^2 \right) + \frac{\mu}{2} \sum_{t=1}^T \|v_t\|^2 \\&= \frac{1}{2\mu} \left(-\|w^{(t+1)} - w^*\|^2 + \|0 - w^*\|^2 \right) + \frac{\mu}{2} \sum_{t=1}^T \|v_t\|^2 \\&\leq \frac{1}{2\mu} \|w^*\|^2 + \frac{\mu}{2} \sum_{t=1}^T \|v_t\|^2\end{aligned}$$

SGD proof of lemma 2 (using lemma 1)

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}_{v_1, \dots, v_T} \left[\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \langle w^{(t)} - w^*, v_t \rangle \right] &= \frac{1}{T} \mathbb{E}_{v_1, \dots, v_T} \left[\sum_{t=1}^T \langle w^{(t)} - w^*, v_t \rangle \right] \\
&\leq \frac{1}{T} \mathbb{E}_{v_1, \dots, v_T} \left[\frac{1}{2\mu} \|w^*\|^2 + \frac{\mu}{2} \sum_{t=1}^T \|v_t\|^2 \right] \\
&= \frac{1}{T} \mathbb{E}_{v_1, \dots, v_T} \left[\frac{1}{2\mu} \|w^*\|^2 \right] + \frac{1}{T} \mathbb{E}_{v_1, \dots, v_T} \left[\frac{\mu}{2} \sum_{t=1}^T \|v_t\|^2 \right] \\
&\leq \frac{1}{T} \mathbb{E}_{v_1, \dots, v_T} \left[\frac{1}{2\mu} B^2 \right] + \frac{1}{T} \mathbb{E}_{v_1, \dots, v_T} \left[\frac{\mu}{2} \sum_{t=1}^T \rho^2 \right] \\
&= \frac{1}{T} \mathbb{E}_{v_1, \dots, v_T} \left[\frac{\rho\sqrt{T}}{2B} B^2 \right] + \frac{1}{T} \mathbb{E}_{v_1, \dots, v_T} \left[\frac{B}{2\rho\sqrt{T}} T \rho^2 \right] \\
&= \frac{1}{T} \mathbb{E}_{v_1, \dots, v_T} \left[\frac{\rho\sqrt{T}}{2} B \right] + \frac{1}{T} \mathbb{E}_{v_1, \dots, v_T} \left[\frac{B\sqrt{T}\rho}{2} \right] \\
&= \frac{1}{T} \frac{\rho\sqrt{T}}{2} B + \frac{1}{T} \frac{\rho\sqrt{T}}{2} B \\
&= \frac{B\rho}{\sqrt{T}}
\end{aligned}$$

SGD proof of lemma 3

Due to the convexity of g , it holds that

$$g(w^{(t)}) - g(w^*) \leq \langle w^{(t)} - w^*, \nabla g(w^{(t)}) \rangle = \langle w^{(t)} - w^*, v_t \rangle$$

Hence

$$\sum_{t=1}^T \mathbb{E}_{v_t} [g(w^{(t)}) - g(w^*)] \leq \sum_{t=1}^T \mathbb{E}_{v_t} [\langle w^{(t)} - w^*, \nabla g(w^{(t)}) \rangle]$$

Therefore, using the linearity of expected value:

$$\mathbb{E}_{v_1, \dots, v_T} \left[\sum_{t=1}^T (g(w^{(t)}) - g(w^*)) \right] \leq \mathbb{E}_{v_1, \dots, v_T} \left[\sum_{t=1}^T \langle w^{(t)} - w^*, \nabla g(w^{(t)}) \rangle \right]$$

Let's conclude

By Jensen's Inequality:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}_{v_1, \dots, v_T} [g(\bar{w})] - g(w^*) &= \mathbb{E}_{v_1, \dots, v_T} \left[g \left(\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T w^{(t)} \right) \right] - g(w^*) \\ &\leq \mathbb{E}_{v_1, \dots, v_T} \left[\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T g(w^{(t)}) \right] - g(w^*)\end{aligned}$$

w^* does not depend on v_1, \dots, v_T . Thus $g(w^*) = \mathbb{E}_{v_1, \dots, v_T} [g(w^*)]$. Plugging it in the above inequality while using lemmas 2 and 3, we get:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}_{v_1, \dots, v_T} [g(\bar{w})] - g(w^*) &\leq \mathbb{E}_{v_1, \dots, v_T} \left[\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T g(w^{(t)}) \right] - g(w^*) \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{v_1, \dots, v_T} \left[\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \left(g(w^{(t)}) - g(w^*) \right) \right] \\ &\leq \mathbb{E}_{v_1, \dots, v_T} \left[\sum_{t=1}^T \left\langle w^{(t)} - w^*, \nabla g(w^{(t)}) \right\rangle \right] \\ &\leq \frac{B\rho}{\sqrt{T}}\end{aligned}$$

□