	"5-14 years", "15-24 years", "25-34 years", "35-54 years", "55-74 years", "75+ years"), ordered = TRUE) #unique(reworked.df\$generation) reworked.df\$generation <- factor(reworked.df\$generation, level=c("G.I. Generation", "Silent", "Boomers", "Generation X", "Millenials", "Generation Z")) head(reworked.df) A data.frame: 6 × 12 country year sex age suicides.no population suicides.100k.pop country.year hdi.for.year gdp.for.year gdp.per.capita generation <chr> <hr/> <hr/> <hr/> <hr/> <int><fct>< ord><int>< fct>< ord><int>< int>< dbl>< <chr>< ddbl><ddl>< int>< fct> 1 Albania 1987 male 15-24 years 21 312900 6.71 Albania1987 NA 2156624900 796 Generation X</ddl></chr></int></int></fct></int></chr>
[3]: 26]:	2 Albania 1987 male 35-54 years 16 308000 5.19 Albania1987 NA 2156624900 796 Silent 3 Albania 1987 female 15-24 years 14 289700 4.83 Albania1987 NA 2156624900 796 Generation X 4 Albania 1987 male 75+ years 1 21800 4.59 Albania1987 NA 2156624900 796 G.I. Generation 5 Albania 1987 male 25-34 years 9 274300 3.28 Albania1987 NA 2156624900 796 Boomers 6 Albania 1987 female 75+ years 1 35600 2.81 Albania1987 NA 2156624900 796 G.I. Generation apply(reworked.df, 2, function(x) sum(is.na(x))) country: 0 year: 0 sex: 0 age: 0 suicides.no: 0 population: 0 suicides.100k.pop: 0 country.year: 0 hdi.for.year: 19456 gdp.for.year: 0 gdp.per.capita: 0 generation: 0
	country.year <- aggregate(reworked.df["year"], list(country = reworked.df\$country), unique) A data.frame: 6 × 2 country chr> A Albania 1987, 1988, 1989, 1992, 1993, 1994, 1995, 1996, 1997, 1998, 1999, 2000, 2001, 2002, 2003, 2004, 2005, 2006, 2007, 2008, 2009, 2010 A Argentina 1985, 1986, 1987, 1988, 1989, 1991, 1991, 1992, 1993, 1994, 1995, 1998, 1999, 2000, 2001, 2002, 2003, 2004, 2005, 2006, 2007, 2008, 2009, 2014, 2015 A Armenia 1990, 1991, 1992, 1993, 1994, 1995, 1996, 1997, 1998, 1999, 2000, 2001, 2002, 2003, 2004, 2005, 2006, 2007, 2008, 2009, 2014, 2015 A Armenia 1990, 1991, 1992, 1993, 1994, 1995, 1996, 1997, 1998, 1999, 2000, 2001, 2002, 2003, 2004, 2005, 2006, 2007, 2008, 2009, 2014, 2015, 2016 A Armenia 1990, 1991, 1992, 1993, 1994, 1995, 1996, 1997, 1998, 1999, 2000, 2001, 2002, 2003, 2006, 2007, 2008, 2009, 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016
	for Aruba 1995, 1999, 2000, 2001, 2002, 2003, 2004, 2005, 2006, 2007, 2008, 2009, 2010, 2011 6 Australia 1985, 1986, 1987, 1988, 1989, 1990, 1991, 1992, 1993, 1994, 1995, 1996, 1997, 1998, 1999, 2000, 2001, 2002, 2003, 2004, 2006, 2007, 2008, 2009, 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013, 2014, 2015 Bitno je istaći da ovaj dataset ima dosta nedostajućih vrednosti za varijablu hdi.for.year. Nema svaka država merenja za svaku godinu već npr. Argentina ima merenja za 1985. dok Albanija ima merenja tek od 1987. Takođe postoji ponavljanje podataka kao što su hdi.for.year, gdp.for.year i gdp.per.capita koji se ponavljaju čak 12 puta (6 starosnih grupa za muški pol i 6 starosnih grupa za ženski pol za datu godinu). *Varijabla suicides.100k.pop predstavlja količnik varijabli suicides.no i population **Istraživačka pitanja: 1. Koje države imaju najveću stopu samoubistava? 2. Koje starosne grupe su nasklonije suicidu?
[5]: [6]:	3. Da li postoji značajno veća stopa kod odrećenog pola? 4. Da li je u nekoj generaciji suicid zastupljeniji? 5. Od čega zavisi ta stopa? #1. Koje države imaju najveću stopu suicida #Za odgovor na ovo pitanje koristiću godinu sa najviše opservacija which.max(table(reworked.df\$year)) 2009: 25 year2009 <- as.data.frame(reworked.df[reworked.df\$year == 2009,]) year2009.suicides.agg <- aggregate(year2009[c("suicides.no", "population")], list(country = year2009\$country), sum)
	year2009.suicides.agg\$suicides.100k.pop <- year2009.suicides.agg\$suicides.no / year2009.suicides.agg\$population year2009.suicides.agg <- year2009.suicides.agg[
[7]:	11 Belarus 2743 9169969 0.0002991286 67 Russian Federation 37408 134085433 0.0002789863 77 Suriname 131 470448 0.0002784580 44 Kazakhstan 3838 14370635 0.0002670724 #2. Koje starosne grupe su sklonije suicidu? #Računaće se za sve države. Za razliku od prošlog primera neću računati nove vrednosti suicides.100k.pop, #već ću sabrati postojeće. Takva sumirana vrednost nije ista kao i ona #koja se dobija suicides.no/population ali smatram da oslikava istu pojavu year2009.age.agg <- aggregate(year2009["suicides.100k.pop"], list(age=year2009\$age), sum) #install.packages("RColorBrewer")
	<pre>library(ggplot2) library(RColorBrewer) ggplot(year2009.age.agg, aes(x=age, y=suicides.100k.pop, fill=age)) + geom_bar(stat="identity", color="black") + labs(x="starosne grupe", y="Sumirana stopa suicida") + scale_fill_brewer(palette="Blues")</pre>
	age 5-14 years 15-24 years 25-34 years 35-54 years 55-74 years 75+
[8]:	#3. Koji pol je skloniji suicidu? year2009.sex.agg <- aggregate(year2009["suicides.100k.pop"], list(sex = year2009\$sex), sum) ggplot(year2009.sex.agg, aes(x=sex, y=suicides.100k.pop, fill=sex)) + geom_bar(stat="identity", color="black") + labs(x="pol", y="Sumirana stopa suicida") + scale_fill_brewer(palette="Blues")
	7500 -
[9]:	sex.age.agg <- aggregate(year2009["suicides.100k.pop"], list(sex = year2009\$sex, age = year2009\$age), sum) ggplot(sex.age.agg, aes(x = age, y = suicides.100k.pop, fill = sex)) + geom_bar(stat="identity", color="black", position = "dodge") + scale_fill_brewer(palette="Paired") + labs(x = "Starosne grupe", y= "Summirana stopa suicida", title="Stopa suicida po starosnim grupama i polu") Stopa suicida po starosnim grupama i polu
	Sex female male
10]:	#4. U kojoj generaciji je suicid zastupljeniji? generation <- aggregate(year2009["suicides.100k.pop"], list(generation=year2009\$generation), sum) A data.frame: 5 × 2 generation suicides.100k.pop
11]:	Silent 6177.07 Boomers 2506.18 Generation X 1957.17 Millenials 1429.18 Generation Z 106.44 #5. Od čega zavisi stopa suicida? #Za odgovor na ovo pitanje moram koristiti i vairjablu sa HDI vrednostim. #Početna hipoteza je da stopa zavisi od HDI-ja i GDP-ja hdi.df <- as.data.frame(reworked.df[is.na(reworked.df\$hdi.for.year) == FALSE,])
12]:	#Uzeta je gdoina 2010. zbog najvećeg broja HDI vrednosti year2010 <- as.data.frame(hdi.df[hdi.df\$year == 2010,]) head(year2010) A data.frame: 6 × 12 country year sex age suicides.no population suicides.100k.pop country.year hdi.for.year gdp.for.year gdp.per.capita generation <chr <int="" <int<="" td=""></chr>
13]:	254 Albania 2010 male 35-54 years 20 371611 5.38 Albania2010 0.722 11926953259 4359 Generation X 255 Albania 2010 male 25-34 years 9 179720 5.01 Albania2010 0.722 11926953259 4359 Generation X 256 Albania 2010 male 75+ years 2 50767 3.94 Albania2010 0.722 11926953259 4359 Silent 257 Albania 2010 male 15-24 years 10 279508 3.58 Albania2010 0.722 11926953259 4359 Millenials 258 Albania 2010 female 25-34 years 6 183579 3.27 Albania2010 0.722 11926953259 4359 Generation X year 2010. agg <- aggregate(year 2010 in agg <- aggregate(year 2010 in agg <- aggregate(year 2010 in agg <- year 2010
	Country Ndi.for.year Sqb.for.year Sqb.for.y
	<pre>shapiro.test(year2010.agg\$suicides.100k.pop) shapiro.test(year2010.agg\$hdi.for.year) ggplot(year2010.agg, aes(hdi.for.year, suicides.100k.pop)) + geom_point() cor.test(year2010.agg\$hdi.for.year, year2010.agg\$suicides.100k.pop, method="spearman") Shapiro-Wilk normality test data: year2010.agg\$suicides.100k.pop W = 0.93155, p-value = 0.0002438</pre>
	"Cannot compute exact p-value with ties" Spearman's rank correlation rho data: year2010.agg\$hdi.for.year and year2010.agg\$suicides.100k.pop S = 59529, p-value = 0.0001826 alternative hypothesis: true rho is not equal to 0 sample estimates: rho 0.3972966
	1e-04- 0e+00-
15]:	Varijabla suicides.100k.pop nije normalno raspoređena. Varijabla hdi.for.year nije normalno raspoređena. Korelacija između ove dve varijable statistički značajna ali je slaba. shapiro.test(year2010.agg\$gdp.per.capita) cor.test(year2010.agg\$gdp.per.capita, year2010.agg\$suicides.100k.pop, method="spearman") ggplot(year2010.agg, aes(gdp.per.capita, suicides.100k.pop)) + geom_point() Shapiro-Wilk normality test data: year2010.agg\$gdp.per.capita w = 0.83498, p-value = 2.966e-08 Spearman's rank correlation rho
	data: year2010.agg\$gdp.per.capita and year2010.agg\$suicides.100k.pop S = 74408, p-value = 0.02394 alternative hypothesis: true rho is not equal to 0 sample estimates: rho 0.2466538
	The Out of
16]:	<pre>#Za desicion tree ću uzezi isti ovaj df jer sadrži najviše hdi vrednosti #install.packages(c("rpart", "rpart.plot")) library(rpart) library(rpart.plot) train.data <- as.data.frame(year2010.agg) third.q <- quantile(train.data\$suicides.100k.pop, 0.75) train.data\$high.rate <- ifelse(train.data\$suicides.100k.pop > third.q, "Yes", "No") train.data\$high.rate <- as.factor(train.data\$high.rate) train.data[c("country", "suicides.100k.pop")] <- NULL head(train.data)</pre>
17]:	hdi.for.year gdp.for.year gdp.per.capita suicides.no population high.rate cdb cdb cint cint cint cfct 1 0.722 1.192695e+10 4359 96 2736025 No 2 0.811 4.236274e+11 11273 2943 37578454 No 3 0.721 9.260285e+09 3460 73 2676225 No 4 0.927 1.144261e+12 54887 2420 20847547 No 5 0.879 3.918927e+11 49181 1264 7968421 Yes 6 0.774 1.009576e+10 30239 10 333869 No tree1 <- rpart(high.rate ~. , train.data, method = "class")
	print(tree1) rpart.plot(tree1) n= 84 node), split, n, loss, yval, (yprob) * denotes terminal node 1) root 84 21 No (0.75000000 0.25000000) 2) suicides.no< 124 22 1 No (0.95454545 0.04545455) * 3) suicides.no>=124 62 20 No (0.67741935 0.32258065) 6) population>=3200861 54 13 No (0.75925926 0.24074074) 12) suicides.no< 703.5 17 0 No (1.00000000 0.00000000) * 13) suicides.no>=703.5 37 13 No (0.64864865 0.35135135) 26) population>=1.046851e+07 25 5 No (0.800000000 0.200000000) 52) suicides.no>=5607.5 18 1 No (0.944444444 0.055555556) * 53) suicides.no>=5607.5 7 3 Yes (0.42857143 0.57142857) *
	27) population< 1.046851e+07 12 4 Yes (0.33333333 0.66666667) * 7) population< 3200861 8 1 Yes (0.12500000 0.87500000) * No
18]:	#Probaću još jedan model kojem ću proslediti ceo dataset train.data2 <- as.data.frame(year2010) q3 <- quantile(train.data2\$suicides.100k.pop, 0.75)
	train.data2\$high.rate <- ifelse(train.data2\$suicides.100k.pop > q3, "Yes", "No") train.data2\$high.rate <- as.factor(train.data2\$high.rate) train.data2[c("country", "year", "suicides.100k.pop", "country.year")] <- NULL head(train.data2) A data.frame: 6 × 9 sex
19]:	256 male 75+ years 2 50767 0.722 11926953259 4359 Silent No 257 male 15-24 years 10 279508 0.722 11926953259 4359 Millenials No 258 female 25-34 years 6 183579 0.722 11926953259 4359 Generation X No tree2 <- rpart(high.rate ~. , train.data2, method = "class") print(tree2) rpart.plot(tree2) rpart.plot(tree2) n= 1008 node), split, n, loss, yval, (yprob) * denotes terminal node
	1) root 1008 252 No (0.750000000 0.250000000) 2) sex=female 504 26 No (0.94841270 0.05158730) * 3) sex=male 504 226 No (0.55158730 0.44841270) 6) suicides.no< 58.5 272 61 No (0.77573529 0.22426471) 12) population>=190637.5 124 4 No (0.96774194 0.03225806) * 13) population< 190637.5 148 57 No (0.61486486 0.38513514) 26) suicides.no< 3.5 79 7 No (0.91139241 0.08860759) * 27) suicides.no>=3.5 69 19 Yes (0.27536232 0.72463768) 54) suicides.no>=17.5 41 19 Yes (0.46341463 0.53658537) 108) population>=45716 24 5 No (0.79166667 0.20833333) * 109) population>=45716 17 0 Yes (0.000000000 1.00000000) * 55) suicides.no>=17.5 28 0 Yes (0.000000000 1.00000000) * 7) suicides.no>=58.5 232 67 Yes (0.28879310 0.71120690) 14) hdi.for.year< 0.748 58 16 No (0.72413793 0.27586207) 28) population>=822889 14 5 Yes (0.35714286 0.64285714) * 15) hdi.for.year>=0.748 174 25 Yes (0.14367816 0.85632184) 30) age=5-14 years_15-24 years_30 13 Yes (0.43367816 0.85632184) 60) gdp.for.year>=4.859629e+11 12 3 No (0.75000000 0.25000000) *
	61) gdp. for. year < 4.859629e+11 18
20]:	#Napraviću stablo na osnovu celog data seta a ne samo jedne godine #install.packages(caret) #Install.packages(caret)
	library(caret) quant3 <- quantile(hdi.df\$suicides.100k.pop, 0.75) hdi.df\$high.rate <- ifelse(hdi.df\$suicides.100k.pop > quant3, "Yes", "No") hdi.df\$high.rate <- factor(hdi.df\$high.rate) hdi.df[c("country", "year", "suicides.100k.pop", "country.year")] <- NULL head(hdi.df) Loading required package: lattice A data.frame: 6 × 9 sex age suicides.no population hdi.for.year gdp.for.year gdp.per.capita generation high.rate <fct> <ord> <ord> <ord> <int> <ord> <ord> <int> <ord> <o< td=""></o<></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></ord></int></ord></ord></int></ord></ord></ord></fct>
21]:	73 male 25-34 years 13 232900 0.619 2424499009 835 Generation X No 74 male 55-74 years 9 178000 0.619 2424499009 835 Silent No 75 female 75+ years 2 40800 0.619 2424499009 835 G.I. Generation No 76 female 15-24 years 13 283500 0.619 2424499009 835 Generation X No 77 male 15-24 years 11 241200 0.619 2424499009 835 Generation X No 78 male 75+ years 1 25100 0.619 2424499009 835 G.I. Generation No train.indices <- createDataPartition(hdi.df\$high.rate, p=0.8, list=FALSE) train.data3 <- hdi.df[train.indices,] test.data <- hdi.df[train.indices,] tree3 <-rpart(high.rate ~. , train.data3, method = "class")
	print(tree3) rpart.plot(tree3) n= 6692 node), split, n, loss, yval, (yprob) * denotes terminal node 1) root 6692 1673 No (0.75000000 0.25000000) 2) sex=female 3341 204 No (0.93894044 0.06105956) * 3) sex=male 3351 1469 No (0.56162340 0.43837660) 6) suicides.no< 48.5 1649 347 No (0.78956944 0.21043056) 12) population>=190676.5 725 10 No (0.98620690 0.01379310) * 13) population< 190676.5 924 337 No (0.63528139 0.36471861) 26) suicides.no< 3.5 508 47 No (0.99748031 0.09251966) * 27) suicides.no>=3.5 416 126 Yes (0.30288462 0.69711538) 54) suicides.no< 15.5 240 115 Yes (0.47916667 0.52083333)
	108) population>=45716 122
	population = 18 feet 3
22]: 23]:	#S obzirom da je stablo veliko te se plot ne vidi dobro, kod ispod pravi čitljivu sliku. #png("FinalClassTree1.png", width=1280, height=960) #rpart.plot(tree3) #dev.off() tree3.pred <- predict(tree3, test.data, type = "class")
23]: 24]:	<pre>tree3.cm <- table(true = test.data\$high.rate, predicted = tree3.pred) tree3.cm predicted true No Yes No 1191 63 Yes 87 331 compute.eval.metrics <- function(cmatrix) { TP <- cmatrix[2,2] TN <- cmatrix[1,1] FP <- cmatrix[1,2] FN <- cmatrix[2,1] acc <- sum(diag(cmatrix)) / sum(cmatrix) precision <- TP / (TP + FP)</pre>
	<pre>precision <- TP / (TP + FP) recall <- TP / (TP + FN) F1 <- 2*precision*recall / (precision + recall) c(accuracy = acc, precision = precision, recall = recall, F1 = F1)} tree3.eval <- compute.eval.metrics(tree3.cm) tree3.eval accuracy: 0.910287081339713 precision: 0.84010152284264 recall: 0.791866028708134 F1: 0.815270935960591 Zaključak</pre>
	Na osnovu ovih mera možemo zaključiti da ovaj model nije dovoljno precizan. Na osnovu Recall mere (339/339+79) vidimo da je model čak 79 pozitivnih vrednosti prediktovao kao negativne ili približno 19%. Druga mera, Precision (339/339+33) nam govori da je model 33 negativne vrednosti prediktovao kao pozitivne ili približno 9%. Zaključak je da je model sklon da realizovane pozitiv