

(ORDER LIST 14 U.S.)

FRIDAY, MARCH 22, 2024

JUDICIAL COMPLAINT

13-01 IN RE: MARSHALLOPERATIONS

The Court, via a majority, hereby issues a public censure against Magistrate Judge MarshallOperations. The Court also orders Magistrate Judge MarshallOperations to issue a public statement acknowledging all of his wrongdoings in the judicial branch channel in the communications server of this group or, in case he doesn't have access to that channel, the District Court Communications Server. Chief Justice Renhquist, Justice Sotomayor and Justice Douglas joined in the decision. Justice Stevens did not take part in this decision.

14-01 IN RE: ISD_iNovai

The petition to review a judicial complaint is denied unanimously.

SOTOMAYOR, J., concurring

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

IN RE: ISD_iNOVAi

ON PETITION FOR A JUDICIAL COMPLAINT.

No. 14-01.

Decided March 22, 2024

The petition for the review of a judicial complaint is denied.

JUSTICE SOTOMAYOR, with whom JUSTICE DOUGLAS joins, concurring in the denial.

In *Ferri v. Ackerman*, the Supreme Court defined judicial immunity as the “the maximum ability to deal fearlessly and impartially with the public” *Ferri v. Ackerman* 444 U.S. 193 (1979). Furthermore the Court has asserted that “a judge is absolutely immune from liability for his judicial acts even if his exercise of authority is flawed by the commission of grave procedural errors.” *Stump v. Sparkman* 435 U.S. 349 (1978). This gives the understanding that federal judges are immune from any civil actions taken against them for decisions that were made as part of their duties. A magistrate judge is appointed by the judges of the District Court, as defined under U.S. Code § 631(a). With the definition set under the mentioned U.S. Code, it is clear to understand that the appointment and removal of a magistrate judge is part of the duties of a federal judge.

Even if the allegations made by the petitioner in the judicial complaint against the Chief Judge of the District Court can be seen to be improper, the precedent set by this Court in past cases protects the Chief Judge’s actions. The only body of government that can take action in this case, with the power vested in them by the United States Constitution and with the laws enacted by them, is the United States Congress.

* * *

Because of the reasons stated above, this Court believes this judicial complaint should not be review

