CalSim II Model Updates CalSim Model Maintenance (CM3)

CalSim II is a water operation planning model developed by the U.S. Bureau of Reclamation (Reclamation) and the California Department of Water Resources (DWR). CalSim II is used to simulate the coordinated operation of the Central Valley Project (CVP) and State Water Project (SWP) over a range of hydrologic conditions. CalSim II is a generalized reservoir-river basin simulation model that allows for specification and achievement of user-specified allocation targets, or goals.

This document outlines the updates to the CalSim II model since the 2017 DCR to prepare the CalSim Model Maintenance (CM3) study using CalSim II. The attached appendix includes (A-1) CM3 modeling assumptions table; (A-2) list of files that change between the 2017 DCR and the CM3; and (A-3) list of model inputs that change between the 2017 DCR and the CM3.

COA Addendum 2018

In December 2018 Reclamation and DWR signed an Addendum to the Coordinated Operations Agreement about how to share obligations and exports between the federal Central Valley Project (CVP) and the State Water Project (SWP). The CalSim modeling was developed jointly by Reclamation and DWR staff. The updated code reflects the following changes:

 Article 6(c): Sharing of responsibility for meeting in-basin uses with storage withdrawals under balanced conditions:

Water Year Type	CVP	SWP
Wet	80%	20%
Above Normal	80%	20%
Below Normal	75%	25%
Dry	65%	35%
Critical	60%	40%

- Article 10(i): Sharing Exports when Exports are constrained
 - o Balanced: 65% CVP/35% SWP
 - o Excess: 60% CVP/40% SWP

COA Addendum 10(b) is not represented in this model.

Reclamation Updates

Friant Delivery to Exchange Contractors

In extremely dry conditions, Exchange Contractors cannot get their full delivery from the Delta Mendota Canal (DMC). When this happens, the Exchange Contractors can call on Friant to supplement their deliveries. This operation has been added to the CalSim code.

Sacramento River Navigation Control Point (NCP)

To limit releases when Shasta storage is low, off-ramps were introduced to modify the flow requirement at the NCP based on Shasta storage.

CVP South of Delta (SOD) Allocation

The allocation logic for CVP Allocation SOD has been updated to better reflect reality.

 Exports are estimated based on the Sacramento River Index instead of a single seasonal estimate.

- Modified the San Luis Rule Curve to encourage more efficient timing of North to South conveyance.
- Allowed a higher allocation in May if CVP San Luis is higher.
- CVP delivery allocation logic was revised to allow allocations adjustments to occur throughout the CVP contract year during exceptionally high Shasta storage conditions; previously these adjustments were limited to May only.

Trinity

A 50 TAF Trinity River Fall Flow release was added in August/September of Above Normal, Below Normal, Dry, and Critical water years based on the Trinity Index. This is carried over into a similar reduction of imports from Trinity to the CVP.

B2 Actions

The model no longer uses an old, preprocessed timeseries based on the 2008 OCAP to implement CVPIA B2 flows at Keswick or Nimbus.

Update CVP Settlement Contractor Demands

CVP Settlement Contractor Demands were updated to match 2000 to 2016 CVO historical annual volumes and monthly distributions.

Disaggregate Folsom Diversions

Separated El Dorado ID and El Dorado County from the aggregated Folsom diversions. These are now distinct deliveries with their own demands and return flows.

Power Releases

- Keswick capacity through the power plant was updated to 16,000 cfs
- Nimbus capacity through the power plant was updated to 5,500 cfs

Other minor changes

There were a few minor changes since the 2017 DCR.

- Minor Changes
 - New weight to encourage C6_Rem (units 2, 4, and 6 instead of the river valve)
 - Use Folsom Storage Level instead of a hard number in FMStandard
 - Implementation of water transfers between CCWD and in-Delta water users is turned back on in the model
 - export_ops1.wresl no longer calls the BDCP code jul_sep_prefer_td_exp.wresl
 - Implemented stricter code to implement how flood flows in the Upper San Joaquin River are split between Mendota Pool and the Chowchilla Bypass
 - o Define D418 for Cycles 1-5
 - B2Action3 was updated to include an integer check and move a parenthesis
 - o Reopcontinuity.wresl now uses a float (penalty 999999) to balance at Hood
 - Friant_rain_fld_est.wresl removed the initial condition for the goal computerainrel
- Merged Files
 - CVPcut_sys and CVPcut_s were merged into CVPcut
 - CVPSDeliveryLogicCycle1 and CVPSysDeliveryLogicCycle1 were merged into
 - CVPDeliveryLogicCycle1
- Name Changes
 - Collins_data.wresl changed some case names and comments to be more accurate
 - sum_cvp_reduced_dem.wresl uses perdel_cvpex_s instead of perdel_cvpex_sys

- o American_PRJ_WR.table updated PCWASac to PCWAFol
- o NDO_NDS is now NDO_MOD, and X2_prv_NDS is now X2_prv_MOD

DWR Updates

Oroville carryover storage target

Update the Oroville minimum carryover target to 1.3 MAF instead of 1.0 MAF to make it consistent with the recent SWP operations.

FRSA Winter Water Allocation

Replace the tiered winter water allocation logic with a continuum allocation based on the previous month storage at Oroville, inflow into Oroville, minimum in-stream flow requirements on Feather River met with released from Oroville/ Thermalito Complex, and winter water demand. Under the revised logic, allocations are scaled continuously between 0% and 100% and are updated monthly between October and January.

Banks Permitted Pumping Capacity

Replace an outdated assumption. Under the South Delta Improvement Program (SDIP), US Army Corps performed a hydrodynamics analysis of Clifton Court Forebay and concluded that sustained pumping at 10,300 cfs for an entire month at Banks would result in scouring. However, recent operations in 2017 confirm that Banks pumping plant can sustain continuous monthly pumping at 10,300 cfs, so the Banks Permitted Pumping Capacity was set to this value to reflect recent operations and consistency with permitted diversion.

Oroville RVOS

Replace an outdated assumption. The Oroville River Valve Outlet System (RVOS) has since been fixed and can now utilize its full capacity of 4,000 cfs instead of the assumed 1,500 cfs capacity.

While reviewing the results, the staff noticed that water was released from the RVOS before utilizing the full capacity of units 2, 4, and 6 which sit at a higher elevation than RVOS. Updated the code to ensure proper order of release operations: (1) Units 2, 4 and 6; then, (2) Units 1, 3, and 5; and finally, RVOS.

Head of Old River Barrier (HORB)

HORB provides additional juvenile Chinook salmon protection by preventing them from entering Old River because survival appears to be lower in Old River than it is in the mainstem San Joaquin River. HORB is assumed to be installed from September 16 to November 30. Extended the HORB gate operations to remain closed during Spring months (April-May) when the San Joaquin River flow is less than 5,000 cfs.

Appendix A-1: CM3 modeling assumptions table

CalSim II Modeling Assumptions for CalSim Model Maintenance (CM3)

This table represents the modeling assumptions of the CalSim Model Maintenance (CM3) August 2019.

	Existing Condition ¹			
Planning Horizon ¹	Year 2035			
Period of Simulation	82 years (1922-2003)			
HYDROLOGY				
Level of Development (land use)	2030 Level ²			
Inflows/Supplies	Inflows based on Historical Hydrology ^{23, 25}			
DEMANDS				
North of Delta (excluding the Ar	merican River)			
CVP ³	Land-use based, full build-out of contract amounts, except for Settlement Contractors represented with historical diversions			
SWP (FRSA)	Land-use based, limited by contract amounts ^{4, 7}			
Non-project	Land-use based, limited by water rights and SWRCB Decisions for Existing Facilities			
Antioch Water Works	Pre-1914 water right			
Federal refuges	Firm Level 2 water needs ⁵			
American River Basin				
Water rights	Year 2025, full water rights ⁶			
CVP	Year 2025, full contracts including Freeport Regional Water Project ²³ , except for Settlement Contractors at historical diversions ⁶			
San Joaquin River Basin [§]				
Friant Unit	Limited by contract amounts, based on current allocation policy26			
Lower basin	Land-use based, based on district level operations and constraints ²⁴			
Stanislaus River basin ^{9, 17}	Land-use based, Revised Operations Plan (2008 model assumptions) and NMFS BO (Jun 2009) Actions III.1.2 and III.1.3			
South of Delta				
CVP	Demand based on contract amounts ³			
Federal refuges	Firm Level 2 water needs ⁵			
CCWD	195 TAF/yr CVP contract supply and water rights ¹⁰			
	Modified the hydrology in the Los Vaqueros watershed as well as CCWD's operations to reflect the most recent studies and operational agreements			

	Existing Condition ¹
SWP 4, 11	Demand based on full Table A amounts
Article 56	Based on 2001-2008 contractor requests
Article 21	MWD demand up to 200 TAF/month (December-March) subject to conveyance capacity, KCWA demand up to 180 TAF/month, and other contractor demands up to 34 TAF/month, subject to conveyance capacity
North Bay Aqueduct	77 TAF/yr demand under SWP contracts. Up to 2.635 TAF/mon of excess flow (i.e. when Standard Water Right Term 91 is not in effect, UWFE used as surrogate) under Fairfield, Vacaville and Benicia Settlement Agreement. NOD Allocation Settlement Agreement terms for Napa and Solano ¹⁵
FACILITIES	
System-wide	Existing facilities
Sacramento Valley	
Red Bluff Diversion Dam	Diversion dam gates out all year, Pumping Plant operated to deliver CVP water
Fremont Weir	Existing Weir
Colusa Basin	Existing conveyance and storage facilities
Lower American River	Hodge criteria for diversion at Fairbairn
Upper American River 6,22	PCWA American River pump station
Lower Sacramento River	Freeport Regional Water Project ¹²
Delta Export Conveyance	
SWP Banks Pumping Plant (South Delta)	Physical capacity is 10,300 cfs, permitted capacity is 6,680 cfs in all months and up to 10,300 cfs during Dec 15 th - Mar 15 th depending on Vernalis flow conditions ¹⁸ ; additional capacity of 500 cfs (up to 7,180 cfs) allowed Jul–Sep for reducing impact of NMFS BO (Jun 2009) Action IV.2.1 ¹⁷ on SWP ¹⁹
CVP C.W. "Bill" Jones Pumping Plant (formerly Tracy PP)	Permit capacity is 4,600 cfs in all months (allowed for by the Delta- Mendota Canal-California Aqueduct Intertie)
Upper Delta-Mendota Canal Capacity	Existing plus 400 cfs Delta-Mendota Canal–California Aqueduct Intertie
CCWD Intakes	Los Vaqueros existing storage capacity, 160 TAF, existing pump locations, Alternative Intake Project (AIP) included ¹³
San Joaquin River	
Lower San Joaquin River	City of Stockton Delta Water Supply Project, 30 mgd capacity
South of Delta (CVP/SWP project	ct facilities)

	Existing Condition ¹
South Bay Aqueduct (SBA)	SBA rehabilitation, 430 cfs capacity from junction with California Aqueduct to Alameda County FC&WSD Zone 7 point
California Aqueduct East Branch	Existing capacity
Head of Old River Barrier (HORB)	Temporary Barrier Project operated based on San Joaquin River flow time series from CalSim II output
	HORB installed in Fall Sep 16 – Nov 30
	HORB also installed in Spring April 01 – May 31 when SJR flow is less than 5,000 cfs
REGULATORY STANDARDS	
Trinity River	
Minimum Flow below Lewiston Dam	Trinity EIS Preferred Alternative (369-815 TAF/yr, dependent on water year type)
Trinity Reservoir end-of- September minimum storage	Trinity EIS Preferred Alternative (600 TAF/yr as able)
Trinity River Fall Augmentation Flows	420 cfs August 1 through September 30 in all but very wet years
Clear Creek	
Minimum flow below Whiskeytown Dam	Downstream water rights, 1963 Reclamation proposal to USFWS and NPS, predetermined Central Valley Protection Improvement Act 3406(b)(2) flows ²⁰ , and NMFS BO (Jun 2009) Action I.1.1 ¹⁷
Upper Sacramento River	
Shasta Lake end-of-September minimum storage	NMFS 2004 Winter-run Biological Opinion (1,900 TAF in non-critical dry years), and NMFS BO (Jun 2009) Action I.2.1 ¹⁷ (NMFS BiOp storage objectives not explicitly modeled; only achievable when hydrologically possible)
Minimum flow below Keswick Dam	Flows for the SWRCB Water Rights Order 90-5, NMFS BO (Jun 2009) Action I.2.2 achieved as possible through other modeled actions 17
Feather River	
Minimum flow below Thermalito Diversion Dam	2006 Settlement Agreement (700 / 800 cfs)
Minimum flow below Thermalito Afterbay outlet	1983 DWR, CDFW agreement (750 – 1,700 cfs)
Yuba River	
Minimum flow below Daguerre Point Dam	D-1644 Operations (Lower Yuba River Accord) ¹⁴

	Existing Condition ¹
American River	
Minimum flow below Nimbus Dam	American River Flow Management as required by NMFS BO (Jun 2009) Action II.117
Minimum flow at H Street Bridge	SWRCB D-893
Lower Sacramento River	
Minimum flow near Rio Vista	SWRCB D-1641
Mokelumne River	
Minimum flow below Camanche Dam	Federal Energy Regulatory Commission 2916-029 ¹² , 1996 (Joint Settlement Agreement) (100 – 325 cfs)
Minimum flow below Woodbridge Diversion Dam	Federal Energy Regulatory Commission 2916-029 ¹² , 1996 (Joint Settlement Agreement) (25 – 300 cfs)
Stanislaus River	
Minimum flow below Goodwin Dam	1987 Reclamation, CDFW agreement, and flows required for NMFS BO (Jun 2009) Action III.1.2 and III.1.3 ¹⁷
Minimum dissolved oxygen	SWRCB D-1422
Merced River	
Minimum flow below Crocker- Huffman Diversion Dam	Davis-Grunsky (180 – 220 cfs, Nov – Mar), and Cowell Agreement
Minimum flow at Shaffer Bridge	Federal Energy Regulatory Commission 2179 (25 – 100 cfs)
Tuolumne River	
Minimum flow at Lagrange Bridge	Federal Energy Regulatory Commission 2299-024, 1995 (Settlement Agreement) (94 – 301 TAF/yr)
San Joaquin River	
San Joaquin River below Friant Dam/Mendota Pool	San Joaquin River Restoration flows not included 26
Maximum salinity near Vernalis	SWRCB D-1641
Minimum flow near Vernalis	SWRCB D1641. VAMP is turned off since the San Joaquin River Agreement has expired 16. NMFS BO (Jun 2009) Action IV.2.117 Phase II flows not provided due to lack of agreement for purchasing water
Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta	
Delta Outflow Index (flow and salinity)	SWRCB D-1641 and FWS BO (Dec 2008) Action 4 ¹⁷
Delta Cross Channel gate operation	SWRCB D-1641 with additional days closed from Oct 1-Jan 31 based on NMFS BO (Jun 2009) Action IV.1.2 ¹⁷ (closed during flushing flows from Oct 1-Dec 14 unless adverse water quality conditions)

	Existing Condition ¹
South Delta exports (Jones PP and Banks PP)	SWRCB D-1641, Vernalis flow-based export limits Apr 1 – May 31 as required by NMFS BO (Jun 2009) Action IV.2.1 ¹⁷ (additional 500 cfs allowed for Jul-Sep for reducing impact on SWP) ¹⁹
Combined Flow in Old and Middle River (OMR)	FWS BO (Dec 2008) Actions 1 through 3 and NMFS BO (Jun 2009) Action IV.2.3
OPERATIONS CRITERIA: RIVE	R-SPECIFIC
Upper Sacramento River	
Flow objective for navigation (Wilkins Slough)	Revised flow objective for Wilkins Slough. Flow objective for Wilkins Slough based on month, CVP allocation, and Shasta storage condition to reflect CVP operations for local delivery
American River	
Folsom Dam flood control	Variable 400/600 flood control diagram
Feather River	
Flow at mouth of Feather River (above Verona)	Maintain the CDFW /DWR flow target of 2,800 cfs for Apr - Sep dependent on Oroville inflow and FRSA allocation
Stanislaus River	
Flow below Goodwin Dam	1987 USBR, CDFW agreement, and flows required for NMFS BO (Jun 2009) Action III.1.2 and III.1.3 ¹⁷
San Joaquin River	
Salinity at Vernalis	Grasslands Bypass Project (full implementation)
OPERATIONS CRITERIA: SYST	EMWIDE
CVP Water Allocation	
CVP settlement and exchange	100% (75% in Shasta critical years)
CVP refuges	100% (75% in Shasta critical years)
CVP agriculture	100% - 0% based on supply. South-of-Delta allocations are additionally limited due to D-1641, FWS BO (Dec 2008), and NMFS BO (Jun 2009) export restrictions ¹⁷
CVP municipal & industrial	100% - 50% based on supply. South-of-Delta allocations are additionally limited due to D-1641, FWS BO (Dec 2008), and NMFS BO (Jun 2009) export restrictions ¹⁷
SWP Water Allocation	
North of Delta (FRSA)	Contract-specific NOD Allocation Settlement Agreement terms for Napa and Solano 15
South of Delta (including North Bay Aqueduct)	Based on supply; equal prioritization between Ag and M&I based on Monterey Agreement; allocations are limited due to D-1641, FWS BO (Dec 2008), and NMFS BO (Jun 2009) export restrictions ^{27,17} NOD Allocation Settlement Agreement terms for Napa and Solano ¹⁵

	Existing Condition ¹
CVP/SWP Coordinated Operat	ions
Sharing of responsibility for inbasin use	According to Coordinated Operations Agreement (2018), sharing responsibility for meeting Sacramento Valley In-basin use during balance condition with water year type in percentage for CVP and SWP, respectively are: 80/20 in AN and W 75/25 in BN 65/35 in D 60/40 in C As per NAPA agreement, FRWP and EBMUD 2/3 of the North Bay Aqueduct diversions are considered as Delta export, 1/3 of the North Bay Aqueduct diversion is considered as in-basin use
Sharing of surplus flows	According to Coordinated Operations Agreement (2018), CVP and SWP sharing responsibility during Unstored Water for Export (UWFE) during balanced condition for all year type is 55% and 45%, respectively.
Sharing of restricted export capacity for project-specific priority pumping	The percentage sharing of export capacity under export limits due to (1) SWRCB D-1641 (export/inflow ratio, Vernalis 1:1), (2) 2008 USFWS and 2009 NMFS biological opinions Old and Middle River flow requirements, or (3) 2009 NMFS biological opinion San Joaquin River i:e ratio ^{27,17} 60/40 CVP/SWP during excess conditions 65/35 CVP/SWP during balanced conditions No restrictions on Inter-tie use to meet these shares
Water transfers	Acquisitions by SWP contractors are wheeled at priority in Banks Pumping Plant over non-SWP users; LYRA included for SWP contractors ¹⁹
Sharing of export capacity for lesser priority and wheeling-related pumping	Cross Valley Canal wheeling (max of 128 TAF/yr), CALFED ROD defined Joint Point of Diversion (JPOD)
San Luis Reservoir	San Luis Reservoir is allowed to operate to a minimum storage of 100 TAF
CVPIA 3406(b)(2)	
Policy decision	Per May 2003 Department of Interior decision
Allocation	800 TAF, 700 TAF in 40-30-30 dry years, and 600 TAF in 40-30-30 critical years as a function of Ag allocation
Actions	Pre-determined upstream fish flow objectives below Whiskeytown Dams, non-discretionary NMFS BO (Jun 2009) actions for the American and Stanislaus Rivers, and NMFS BO (Jun 2009) and FWS BO (Dec 2008) actions leading to export restrictions ¹⁷
Accounting adjustments	Releases for non-discretionary FWS BO (Dec 2008) and NMFS BO (Jun 2009) ¹⁷ actions may or may not always be deemed (b)(2) actions; in general, it is anticipated, that accounting of these actions using (b)(2) metrics, the sum would exceed the (b)(2) allocation in many

	Existing Condition ¹				
	years; therefore no additional actions are considered and no accounting logic is included in the model				
WATER MANAGEMENT ACTION	vs				
Water Transfer Supplies (long t	erm programs)				
Lower Yuba River Accord ^{19, 25} Yuba River acquisitions for reducing impact of NMFS BO export restrictions ¹⁷ on SWP					
Phase 8	None				

Notes:

- ¹ These assumptions have been developed under the direction of CM3 baseline development purpose.
- The Sacramento Valley hydrology used in the Future Conditions CALSIM II model reflects 2020 land-use assumptions associated with Bulletin 160-98. The San Joaquin Valley hydrology reflects draft 2030 land-use assumptions developed by Reclamation. Development of Future-level projected land-use are being coordinated with the California Water Plan Update for future models.
- ³ CVP contract amounts have been reviewed and updated according to existing and amended contracts, as appropriate. Assumptions regarding CVP agricultural and M&I service contracts and Settlement Contract amounts are listed in <u>table 1</u>, <u>table 2</u> and <u>table 3</u> in respect of NOD, American River and SOD accordingly. Summary of CVP contract amounts are tabulated below.

Project	North-of-the-Delta	South-of-the-Delta
Contractor Type	(TAF)	(TAF)
CVP Contractors	•	•
Settlement/Exchanges	2291	840
Water Service Contractor		•
Agriculture	358	1937
M&I	360	164
Refuges	191	281

WP contract amounts have been updated as appropriate based on recent Table A transfers/agreements. The contractors' table A entitlement is obtained from Bulletin 132. Assumptions regarding SWP agricultural and M&I contract amounts are listed in <u>table 4</u>, <u>table 5</u> and <u>table 6</u> in respect of NOD, Delta and SOD accordingly. Summary of SWP contract amounts are tabulated below.

Project	North-of-the-Delta	South-of-the-Delta	
Contractor Type	(TAF)	(TAF)	
SWP Contractors			
Feather River Area + Delta	1087	0	
Table A	114	4056	
Agriculture	0	1012	
M&I	114	3044	

- Water needs for Federal refuges have been reviewed and updated, as appropriate. Assumptions regarding firm Level 2 refuge water are listed in <u>table 1</u> and <u>table 3</u>. Refuge Level 4 (and incremental Level 4) water is not included.
- ⁶ Assumptions regarding American River water rights and CVP contracts with the Sacramento River Water Reliability Project are listed in <u>table 2</u>. The Sacramento Area Water Forum agreement, its dry year diversion reductions, Middle Fork Project operations and water is not included.
- Demand for rice straw decomposition water from Thermalito Afterbay was added to the model and updated to reflect historical diversion from Thermalito in the October through January period.
- The new CalSim-II representation of the San Joaquin River has been included in this model package (CalSim-II San Joaquin River Model, Reclamation, 2005). Updates to the San Joaquin River have been included since the preliminary model release in August 2005. The model reflects the difficulties of on-going groundwater overdraft problems. The 2030 level of development representation of the San Joaquin River Basin does not make any attempt to offer solutions to groundwater overdraft problems. In addition, a dynamic groundwater simulation is not yet developed for the San Joaquin River Valley. Groundwater extraction/ recharge and stream-groundwater interaction are static assumptions and may not accurately reflect a response to simulated actions. These limitations should be considered in the analysis of result

- The CALSIM II model representation for the Stanislaus River does not necessarily represent Reclamation's current or future operational policies. A suitable plan for supporting flows has not been developed for NMFS BO (Jun 2009) Action III.1.3.
- ¹⁰ A portion of East Contra Costa Irrigation District's (ECCID's) service area overlaps with a portion of CCWD's service area, and ECCID, CCWD, and DWR have a 1991 agreement to allow CCWD to divert water under ECCID's water right at CCWD's intakes. Additionally, the City of Brentwood is within ECCID's service area and has a long-term agreement with ECCID to serve Brentwood's residents water diverted under ECCID's water right; a portion of this supply may be wheeled through CCWD's intakes. CCWD's and Brentwood's use of ECCID water is not included in the VA CalSim model, but the water transfers between CCWD and in-Delta water users that are simulated in the VA CalSim model serve a similar role.
- ¹¹ It is assumed that SWP Contractors can take delivery of all Table A allocations and Article 21 supplies. Article 56 provisions are assumed and allow for SWP Contractors to manage storage and delivery conditions such that full Table A allocations can be delivered. Detailed analysis of the South Coast and Tulare regions support these assumptions. NBA Article 21 deliveries are dependent on excess conditions only, all other Article 21 deliveries also require that San Luis Reservoir be at capacity and that Banks PP and the California Aqueduct has available capacity to divert from the Delta for direct delivery.
- ¹² Mokelumne River flows are modified to reflect modified operations associated with EBMUD supplies from the Freeport Regional Water Project.
- ¹³ The CCWD Alternate Intake Project, an intake at Victoria Canal, which operates as an alternate Delta diversion for Los Vaqueros Reservoir.
- ¹⁴ D-1644 and the Lower Yuba River Accord is assumed to be implemented. The Yuba River is not dynamically modeled in CALSIM II. Yuba River hydrology and availability of water acquisitions under the Lower Yuba River Accord are based on modeling performed and the Lower Yuba River Accord EIS/EIR study team.
- ¹⁵ This includes draft logic for the updated Allocation Settlement Agreement for four NOD contractors: Butte, Yuba, Napa and Solano.
- ¹⁶ It is assumed that D-1641 requirements will be in place in 2030, and VAMP is turned off.
- ¹⁷ In cooperation with Reclamation, National Marine Fisheries Service, Fish and Wildlife Service, and CA Department of Fish and Game, the CA Department of Water Resources has developed assumptions for implementation of the FWS BO (Dec 15th, 2008) and NMFS BO (June 4th, 2009) in CALSIM II. The FWS BO and NMFS BO assumptions are documented in the Appendix 5A of the LTO EIS (Reclamation 2015b).
- ¹⁸ Current ACOE permit for Banks PP allows for an average diversion rate of 6,680 cfs in all months. Diversion rate can increase up to 1/3 of the rate of San Joaquin River flow at Vernalis during Dec 15th Mar 15th up to a maximum diversion of 10,300 cfs, if Vernalis flow exceeds 1,000 cfs.
- Acquisitions of Component 1 water under the Lower Yuba River Accord and use of 500 cfs dedicated capacity at Banks PP during Jul – Sep, are assumed to be used to reduce as much of the impact of the Apr-May Delta export actions on SWP contractors as possible.
- ²⁰ Delta actions, under USFWS discretionary use of CVPIA 3406(b)(2) allocations, are no longer dynamically operated and accounted for in the CALSIM II model. The Combined Old and Middle River Flow and Delta Export restrictions under the FWS BO (Dec 15th, 2008) and the NMFS BO (June 4th, 2009) severely limit any discretion that would have been otherwise assumed in selecting Delta actions under the CVPIA 3406(b)(2) accounting criteria. Therefore, it is anticipated that CVPIA 3406(b)(2) account availability for upstream river flows below Whiskeytown, Keswick and Nimbus Dams would be very limited. It appears the integration of BO RPA actions will likely exceed the 3406(b)(2) allocation in all water year types. For these baseline simulations, upstream flows on the Clear Creek and Sacramento River are pre-determined based on CVPIA 3406(b)(2) based operations

from the Aug 2008 BA Study 7.0 and Study 8.0 for Existing and Future No Action baselines respectively. The procedures for dynamic operation and accounting of CVPIA 3406(b)(2) are not included in the CALSIM II model.

- ²¹ Only acquisitions of Lower Yuba River Accord Component 1 water are included.
- ²² PCWA American River pumping facility upstream of Folsom Lake is included.
- ²³ Since the release of DCR 2017, EBMUD has replaced their monthly timestep planning model with a physically based, daily timestep model. To be consistent with EBMUD's planning model, the CalSim II inputs related to the EBMUD operations Mokelumne River inflow into Delta and allocations from the Freeport Regional Water Project are updated to match the outputs from Model Run #8079. Key modeling assumptions include: projected 2040 level of development; average demand of 230 MGD; and FWRP operations based on the 2016 Drought Management Program Guidelines.
- ²⁴ For consistency, the CalSim II Tuolumne River operations New Don Pedro storage along with diversions and channel flows downstream of the New Don Pedro dam are fixed to the Tuolumne operations modeled in the Water Supply Effect (WSE) spreadsheet model of the State Water Resource Control Board (SWRCB). The model inputs to the WSE model were developed from DCR 2017existing conditions CalSim II model run.
- ²⁵ Yuba Water Agency (YWA) has recently converted their operations model from a monthly timestep to daily timestep as part of their FERC Relicensing process for a more accurate representation of Yuba River Development Project (YRDP) operations. To be consistent with YWA's planning model, Yuba River Development Project Model (YRDPM), the CalSim II inputs related to the Yuba River operations have been updated, including Yuba River flow above Daguerre Point Dam and Daguerre Point Dam diversion, and the Yuba River transfer operations.
- ²⁶ The SJRR flows represented in the CalSim II model so far reflected the long-term flow schedule. A timeseries that reflects the near-term flows is being developed. The near-term SJRR flows can be recaptured using the current facilities before reaching the Delta, which is closer to a CalSim II model run without SJRR flows in terms of the Delta flow and salinity conditions as well as the Delta outflow. As a result, San Joaquin River Restoration flows are turned off.
- ²⁷ Fall X2 is considered in-basin-use (IBU) even the Delta outflow requirement under X2 condition is met though export restriction.

Table 1. CVP North of Delta Contract Amounts as modeled in CSII

CVP	Geographic	CALSIM II Representation			er Service s (TAF/yr)	Settlement / Exchange	Water Rights/Non- CVP	Level 2 Refuges
CONTRACTOR	Location	Diversion Arc	Region	AG	M&I	Contractor (TAF/yr)	(TAF/yr)	(TAF/yr)
Anderson Cottonwood ID		D104A	DSA 58			128.0		
Clear Creek CSD	-	D104B	DSA 58	13.8	1.5			
Bella Vista WD		D104C	DSA 58	22.1	2.4			
Shasta CSD	1	D104D	DSA 58		1.0			
Sac R. Misc. Users	0	D104F	DSA 58			3.4		
Redding, City of	Sacramento River	D104G	DSA 58			21.0		
City of Shasta Lake	Redding	D104H	DSA 58	2.5	0.3			
Mountain Gate CSD	Subbasin	D104I	DSA 58		0.4			
Shasta County Water Agency		D104J	DSA 58	0.5	0.5			
Redding, City of/Buckeye	-	D104K	DSA 58		6.1			
Total		D104		38.9	12.2	152.4		0.0
Corning WD		D171	WBA 4	23.0				
Proberta WD	Corning	D171	WBA 4	3.5				
Thomes Creek WD	Canal	D171	WBA 4	6.4				
Total				32.9	0.0	0.0		0.0
Kirkwood WD		D172	WBA 4	2.1				
Glide WD		D174	WBA 7N	10.5				
Kanawha WD		D174	WBA 7N	45.0				
Orland-Artois WD		D174	WBA 7N	53.0				
Colusa, County of	T-1	D178	WBA 7S	20.0				
Colusa County WD	Tehama- Colusa Canal	D178	WBA 7S	62.2				
Davis WD	Soldod Garlar	D178	WBA 7S	4.0				
Dunnigan WD		D178	WBA 7S	19.0				
La Grande WD		D178	WBA 7S	5.0				<u>-</u>
Westside WD		D178	WBA 7S	65.0				
Total				285.8	0.0	0.0		0.0
Sac. River Misc. Users	Sacramento River	D113A	WBA 4			1.2		

Table 1. CVP North of Delta Contract Amounts as modeled in CSII (cont.)

	Geographic	CALSI Represer	M II	CVP Wate	er Service s (TAF/yr)	Settlement / Exchange	Water Rights/Non- CVP	Level 2
CONTRACTOR	Location	Diversion Arc	Region	AG	M&I	Contractor (TAF/yr)	(TAF/yr)	Refuges(TAF/yr)
Glenn Colusa		D143A	WBA 8NN			441.5		
ID		D145A	WBA 8NS			383.5		
Sacramento NWR	Glenn-Colusa Canal	D143B	WBA 8NN					54.5
Delevan NWR		D145B	WBA 8NS					24.6
Colusa NWR		D182B	WBA 8NS					29.3
Colusa Drain	Colusa Basin	D180	WBA 8NN			0.0		
M.W.C.	Drain	D182A/ D18302	WBA 8NS			Average ~41.2		
Total				0.0	0.0	866.2		108.4
Princeton- Cordova-Glenn ID / Provident ID / Maxwell ID / Sac R. Misc. Users		D122A	WBA 8NS			149.9		
Maxwell ID / Sycamore Family Trust / Roberts Ditch IC / Reclamation District 108 / Sac R. Misc. Users	Sacramento River	D122B	WBA 8NS			149.8		0.0
Total						255.7		
Reclamation District 108 / River Garden Farms / Sac R. Misc. Users		D129A	WBA 8S			261.2		
Meridian Farms WC / Pelger Mutual WC / Reclamation District 1004 / Carter MWC / Sutter MWC / Tisdale Irrigation & Drainage Co.	Sacramento River	D128	DSA 15			479.31		
Feather River WD export		D128	DSA 15	0.0				
Total				0.0	0.0	740.5		0.0

Table 1. CVP North of Delta Contract Amounts as modeled in CSII (cont.)

CVP	Geographic	CALS Represe			er Service s (TAF/yr)	Settlement / Exchange	Water Rights/Non- CVP	Level 2
CONTRACTOR	Location	Diversion Arc	Region	AG	M&I	Contractor (TAF/yr)	(TAF/yr)	Refuges(TAF/yr)
Sutter NWR	Sutter bypass water for Sutter NWR	C136B	DSA 69					25.7
Gray Lodge WMA		C216B	DSA 69					41.3
Butte Sink Duck Clubs	Feather River	C221	DSA 69					15.6
Total				0.0	0.0	0.0		82.6
Sac R. Misc. Users		D163	DSA 65			56.8		
City of West Sacramento		D165	DSA 65			23.6		
Davis- Woodland Water Supply Project	Sacramento River	D165	DSA 65					
Total				0.0	0.0	80.4		0.0
Sac R. Misc. Users		D162A	DSA 70			4.8		
Natomas Central MWC		D162B	DSA 70			120.2		
Pleasant Grove-Verona MWC	Lower	D162C	DSA 70			26.3		
City of Sacramento	Sacramento River	D162D	DSA 70		0.0		0.0	
Placer County Water Agency (Sac Suburban, Roseville and others)		D162E	DSA 70		0.0		0.0	
Total					0.0	151.3	0.0	
Total CVP North-of-Delta				357.6	12.2	2291.7	0.0	191.1

Table 2. CVP Contract and Water Right Amounts for American River as modeled in CSII

CVP CONTRACTOR	Geographic	CALSIM II Diversion		ter Service ts (TAF/yr)	Settlement/ Exchange	Water Rights/	Diversion Limit
	Location	Arc	AG	M&I1	Contractor (TAF/yr)	Non-CVP (TAF/yr)	Capacity) (TAF/Yr)
Placer County Water Agency	Auburn Dam Site	D300		0.0		65.0	65.0
Sacramento Suburban Water District2		D8A				0.0	0.0
City of Folsom (includes P.L. 101-514)		D8B		7.0		27.0	34.0
Folsom Prison		D8C				5.0	5.0
San Juan Water District (Placer County)		D8D				25.0	25.0
San Juan Water District (Sac County) (includes P.L. 101-514)	Folsom Reservoir	D8E		24.2		33.0	57.2
El Dorado Irrigation District		D8F		7.55		17.0	7.55
City of Roseville	1	D8G		32.0		30.0	62.0
Placer County Water Agency		D8H		35.0			35
El Dorado County (P.L. 101-514)		D8I		15.0			0.0
Total			0.0	120.8	0.0	137.0	225.8
So. Cal WC/ Arden Cordova WC		D9AA				5.0	5.0
California Parks and Recreation	Folsom South	D9AB		5.0			5.0
SMUD (export)	Canal	D9B		30.0		15.0	45.0
Canal Losses		D9A				1.0	1.0
Total			0.0	35.0	0.0	21.0	56.0
City of Sacramento Carmichael Water	Lower	D302A				230.0	230.0
District	American	D302C				12.0	12.0
Total	River		0.0	0.0	0.0	240	240
City of Sacramento		D167A		0.0		81.8	311.8
Sacramento County	-	D167B		10.0			10
Water Agency (includes SMUD transfer)		D168C		35.0			35.
Sacramento County Water Agency (P.L. 101- 514)	Lower Sacramento	D168C		15.0			15
Sacramento County Water Agency - assumed Appropriated Water	River	D168C				Varies Average ~17 TAF	18
EBMUD (export)		D168B		133.0			
Total			0.0	193.0	0.0	98.8	522.8
Total (American R)			0.0	348.8	0.00	551.8	1109.6

Table 3. CVP South of Delta Contract Amounts as modeled in CSII

Table 6. 6V1 Godin	Geographic	CALSIM	CVP Wat	er Service s (TAF/yr)	Settlement /	Water Rights	Level 2	Losses
CVP CONTRACTOR	Location	Diversion Arc	AG	M&I	Exchange Contractor (TAF/yr)	/ Non- CVP (TAF/yr)	Refugesa (TAF/yr)	(TAF/yr)
Byron-Bethany ID		D700	20.6					
		D700		10.0				
Tracy, City of	Upper DMC	D700		5.0				
	Оррег Біліс	D700		5.0				
Banta Carbona ID		D700	20.0					
Total		D700	40.6	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Del Puerto WD		D701	12.1					
Davis WD		D701	5.4					
Foothill WD		D701	10.8					
Hospital WD		D701	34.1					
Kern Canon WD]	D701	7.7					
Mustang WD		D701	14.7					
Orestimba WD	Hamar DMC	D701	15.9					
Quinto WD	Upper DMC	D701	8.6					
Romero WD		D701	5.2					
Salado WD		D701	9.1					
Sunflower WD		D701	16.6					
West Stanislaus WD	1	D701	50.0					
Patterson WD	1	D701	16.5			6.0		
Total		D701	206.7	0.0	0.0	6.0	0.0	212.7
Upper DMC Loss	Upper DMC	D702						18.5
Panoche WD		D706	6.6					
San Luis WD		D706	65.0					
Laguna WD		D706	0.8					
Eagle Field WD	Lower DMC	D706	4.6					
Mercy Springs WD	Volta	D706	2.8					
Oro Loma WD	1	D706	4.6					
Total		D706	84.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Upper DMC Exchange Contractors	Lower DMC	D707						
Central California ID	Volta	D707			140.0			

Table 3. CVP South of Delta Contract Amounts as modeled in CSII (cont.)

	Geographic	CALSIM		er Service s (TAF/yr)	Settlement /	Water Rights	Level 2	Losses
CVP CONTRACTOR	Location	Diversion Arc	AG	M&I	Exchange Contractor (TAF/yr)	/ Non- CVP (TAF/yr)	Refuges (TAF/yr)	(TAF/yr)
Grasslands via CCID	Lower DMC	D708					81.8	
Los Banos WMA	Volta	D708					11.2	
Kesterson NWR		D708					10.5	
Freitas - SJBAP		D708					6.3	
Salt Slough - SJBAP		D708					8.6	
China Island - SJBAP	Lower DMC	D708					7.0	
Volta WMA	Volta	D708					13.0	
Grassland via Volta Wasteway		D708					23.2	
Total		D708	0.0	0.0	140.0	0.0	161.5	0.0
Fresno Slough WD		D607A	4.0			0.9		
James ID		D607A	35.3			9.7		
Coelho Family Trust		D607A	2.1			1.3		
Tranquility ID		D607A	13.8			20.2		
Tranquility PUD		D607A	0.1			0.1		
Reclamation District 1606		D607A	0.2			0.3		
Exchange Contractors	San Joaquin	D607B						
Central California ID	River at	D607B			392.4			
Columbia Canal Co.	Mendota Pool	D607B			59.0			
Firebaugh Canal Co.		D607B			85.0			
San Luis Canal Co.		D607B			23.6			
M.L. Dudley Company		D607B				2.3		
Grasslands WD		D607C					29.0	
Mendota WMA		D607C					27.6	
Losses		D607D						101.5
Total		D607	55.5	0.0	560.0	34.8	56.6	101.5
Exchange Contractors		D608B						
San Luis Canal Co.		D608B			140.0			
Grasslands WD	San Joaquin	D608C					2.3	
Los Banos WMA	River at Sack	D608C					12.4	
San Luis NWR	Dam	D608C					19.5	
West Bear Creek NWR		D608C					7.5	
East Bear Creek NWR		D608C					8.9	
Total		D608	0.0	0.0	140.0	0.0	50.6	0.0

Table 3. CVP South of Delta Contract Amounts as modeled in CSII (cont.)

	Geographic	CALSIM		er Service s (TAF/yr)	Settlement /	Water Rights	Level 2	Losses
CVP CONTRACTOR	Location	Diversion Arc	AG	M&I	Exchange Contractor (TAF/yr)	/ Non- CVP (TAF/yr)	Refuges (TAF/yr)	(TAF/yr)
San Benito County WD (Ag)		D710	35.6					
Santa Clara Valley WD (Ag)		D710	33.1					
Pajaro Valley WD	San Felipe	D710	6.3					
San Benito County WD (M&I)	San Felipe	D711		8.3				
Santa Clara Valley WD (M&I)		D711		119.4				
Total		D710/D711	74.9	127.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
San Luis WD		D833	60.1					
CA, State Parks and		D833	2.3					
Rec	CA reach 3	Doss	2.3					
Affonso/Los Banos	OA TOUGHT 5	D833	0.3					
Gravel Co.								
Total		D833	62.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		_						
Panoche WD	CVP Dos	D835	87.4					
Pacheco WD	Amigos PP/ CA reach 4	D835	10.1					
Total	CA Teach 4	D835	97.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Westlands WD (Centinella WD)		D836	2.5					
Westlands WD (Broadview WD)		D836	27.0					
Westlands WD (Mercy Springs WD)	CA reach 4	D836	4.2					
Westlands WD (Widern WD)		D836	3.0					
Total		D836	36.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
2.550								
Westlands WD: CA Joint Reach 4	CA reach 4	D837	219.0					
Westlands WD: CA Joint Reach 5	CA reach 5	D839	570.0					
Westlands WD: CA Joint Reach 6	CA reach 6	D841	219.0					
Westlands WD: CA Joint Reach 7	CA reach 7	D843	142.0					
Total			1150.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Avenal, City of		D844		3.5		3.5		
Coalinga, City of		D844		10.0				
Huron, City of	CA reach 7	D844		3.0				
Total		D844	0.0	16.5	0.0	3.5	0.0	0.0
lotai		שלי	0.0	10.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

Table 3. CVP South of Delta Contract Amounts as modeled in CSII (cont.)

	Geographic	CALSIM	-	er Service s (TAF/yr)	Settlement /	Water Rights	Level 2	Losses
CVP CONTRACTOR	Location	Diversion Arc	AG	M&I	Exchange Contractor (TAF/yr)	/ Non- CVP (TAF/yr)	Refuges (TAF/yr)	(TAF/yr)
CA Joint Reach 3 - Loss	CVP Dos Amigos PP/CA reach 3	D834						2.5
CA Joint Reach 4 - Loss	CA reach 4	D838						10.1
CA Joint Reach 5 - Loss	CA reach 5	D840						30.1
CA Joint Reach 6 - Loss	CA reach 6	D842						12.5
CA Joint Reach 7 - Loss	CA reach 7	D845						8.5
Total			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	63.7
Cross Valley Canal - CVP								
Fresno, County of		D855	3.0					
Hills Valley ID- Amendatory		D855	3.3					
Kern-Tulare WD		D855	40.0					
Lower Tule River ID		D855	31.1					
Pixley ID	CA reach 14	D855	31.1					
Rag Gulch WD		D855	13.3					
Tri-Valley WD		D855	1.1					
Tulare, County of		D855	5.3					
Kern NWR		D856					11.0	
Pixley NWR		D856					1.3	
Total			128.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	12.3	0.0
Total CVP South-of- Delta			1937.1	164.2	840.0	44.3	281.1	183.7

Table 4. SWP North of Delta Contract Amounts as modeled in CSII

SWP	Geographic	CALSIM II	FRSA	Water		Amount AF)	Article 21	Other
CONTRACTOR	Location	Diversion Arc	Amount (TAF)	Right (TAF/yr)	Ag	M&I	Demand (TAF/mon)	(TAF/yr)
Feather River								
Palermo	FRSA	D6		17.6				
County of Butte	Feather River	D201				27.5		
Thermalito	FRSA	D202		8.0				
Western Canal	FRSA	D7A	150.0	145.0				
Joint Board	FRSA	D7B	550.0	5.0				
City of Yuba City	Feather River	D204				9.6		
Feather WD	FRSA	D206A	17.0					
Garden, Oswald, Joint Board	FRSA	D206B						
Garden	FRSA	D206BA	12.9	5.1				
Oswald	FRSA	D206BB	2.9					
Joint Board	FRSA	D206BC	50.0					
Plumas, Tudor	FRSA	D206C						
Plumas	FRSA	D206CA	8.0	6.0				
Tudor	FRSA	D206CB	5.1	0.2				
Rice Decom	FRSA	D7C	291.1					
Total Feather River Area			1086.9	186.9	0.0	37.1		
011								
Other Yuba County	Yuba River	D230						Variable
Water Agency Camp Far West								296.3
ID	Yuba River	D285						12.6
Bear River Exports	American R/DSA70	D283						Variable 95.2
Feather River Exports to American River	American R/DSA70	D223		11.0				

Table 5. SWP Delta Contract Amounts as modeled in CSII

SWP CONTRACTOR	Geographic Location	CALSIM II Diversion	Water Right	(TAF)		om made		
CONTRACTOR	Location	Location Arc (TAF/yr) Ag M&I		M&I	(TAF/mon)	AG	M&I	
North Delta								
City of Vallejo	City of Vallejo	D403A						16.0
CCWD	Contra Costa County	D420						195.0
Napa County FC&WCD	North Bay Aqueduct	D403B			29.02	2.0		
Solano County WA	North Bay Aqueduct	D403C			47.76			
Fairfield, Vacaville and Benicia Agreement	North Bay Aqueduct	D403D	31.60					
City of Antioch	City of Antioch	D406B	18.0					
Total North Delta			49.6	0.0	76.78	2.0	0.0	211.0
South Delta								
Delta Water Supply Project	City of Stockton	D514A	32.4					
Total South Delta			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total			82.0	0.0	76.78	2.0	0.0	211.0

Table 6. SWP South of Delta Contract Amounts as modeled in CSII

SWP CONTRACTOR	Geographic Location	CALSIM II Diversion Arc	Table A A Ag	mount (TAF) M&I	Article 21 Demand (TAF/mon)	Losses (TAF/yr)
Alexande On FORMOD Zero	SBA reaches 1-4	D810		80.62	1.00	
Alameda Co. FC&WCD, Zone	SBA reaches 5-6	D813		00.02	None	
,		Total		80.62	1.00	
Alameda County WD	SBA reaches 7-8	D814		42.00	1.00	
Santa Clara Valley WD	SBA reach 9	D815		100.00	4.00	
Oak Flat WD	CA reach 2A	D802	5.70		None	
County of Kings	CA reach 8C	D847	9.31		None	
Dudley Ridge WD	CA reach 8D	D849	45.35		1.00	
Empire West Side ID	CA reach 8C	D846	3.00		1.00	
	CA reaches 3, 9-13B	D851	566.03	134.60	None	
	CA reaches 14A-C	D859	66.25	104.00	180.00	
Kern County Water Agency	CA reaches 15A-16A	D863	133.52		None	
Rem County Water Agency	CA reach 31A	D867	82.33		None	
	O/ Todol O I/ C	Total	848.13	134.60	180.00	
		5010				
Tulare Lake Basin WSD	CA reaches 8C-8D	D848	87.47		15.00	
San Luis Obispo Co. FC&WCD	CA reaches 33A-35	D869		25.00	None	
Santa Barbara Co. FC&WCD	CA reach 35	D870		45.49	None	
Antelope Valley-East Kern WA	CA reaches 19-20B, 22A-B	D877		144.84	1.00	
	CA reach 31A	D868	12.70		1.00	
Castaic Lake WA	CA reach 30	D896	12.70	82.50	None	
Castalc Lake VVA	CA Teach 30	Total	12.70	82.50	1.00	
Coachella Valley WD	CA reach 26A	D883		138.35	2.00	
Crestline-Lake Arrowhead WA	CA reach 24	D25		5.80	None	
Desert WA	CA reach 26A	D884		55.57	5.00	
Littlerock Creek ID	CA reach 21	D879		2.30	None	
Mojave WA	CA reaches 19, 22B-23	D881		85.80	None	

Table 6. SWP South of Delta Contract Amounts as modeled in CSII (cont.)

SWP CONTRACTOR	Geographic Location	CALSIM II Diversion Arc	Table A An Ag	nount (TAF) M&I	Article 21 Demand (TAF/mon)	Losses (TAF/yr)
	CA reach 26A	D885			90.70	
	CA reach 30	D895		4044.50	74.80	
Metropolitan WDSC	CA reaches 28G-H	D899		1911.50	27.60	
	CA reach 28J	D27			6.90	
		Total		1911.50	200.00	
Palmdale WD	CA reaches 20A-B	D878		21.30	None	
San Bernardino Valley MWD	CA reach 26A	D886		102.60	None	
San Gabriel Valley MWD	CA reach 26A	D887		28.80	None	
San Gorgonio Pass WA	CA reach 26A	D888		17.30	None	
	CA reach 29H	D28		3.15	None	
Ventura County FCD	CA reach 30	D29		16.85	None	
		Total		20.00		
	CA reaches 1-2	D803				7.70
	SBA reaches 1-9	D816				0.60
	CA reach 3	D824				10.80
	CA reach 4	D826				2.60
	CA reach 5	D827				3.90
	CA reach 6	D828				1.20
	CA reach 7	D829				1.60
	CA reaches 8C-13B	D854				11.90
CIMPL	Wheeler Ridge PP and CA reaches 14A-C	D862				3.60
SWP Losses	Chrisman PP and CA reaches 15A-18A	D864				1.80
	Pearblossom PP and CA reaches 17-21	D880				5.10
	Mojave PP and CA reaches 22A-23	D882				4.00
	REC and CA reaches 24-28J	D889				1.40
	CA reaches 29A-29F	D891				1.90
	Castaic PWP and CA reach 29H	D893				3.10
	REC and CA reach 30	D894				2.40
Total						63.60
Total			1011.66	3044.37	412.00	63.6

Key:

ACOE = Army Corps of Engineers

Ag = agricultural

BDCP = Bay-Delta Conservation Plan

BO = Biological Opinion

CALFED = CALFED Bay-Delta Program

CCWD = Contra Costa Water District

cfs = cubic feet per second

CVP = Central Valley Project

CVPIA = Central Valley Project Improvement Act

D-xxxx = Water Right Decision

CDFW = California Department of Fish and Game

DMC = Delta-Mendota canal

DWR = California Department of Water Resources

EBMUD = East Bay Municipal Utility District

EIS = Environmental Impact Statement

FC&WSD = Flood Control and Water Service District

FERC = Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

FRSA = Feather River Service Area

FRWP = Freeport Regional Water Project

FWS = Fish and Wildlife Service

KCWA = Kern County Water Agency

LYRA = Lower Yuba River Accord

MAF/yr = million acre-feet per year

M&I = municipal and industrial

MWD = Metropolitan Water District

NAA = No Action Alternative

NEPA = National Environmental Policy Act

NMFS = National Marine Fisheries Service

NPS = National Park Service

PCWA = Placer County Water Agency

PP = Pumping Plant

Reclamation = United States Department of the Interior, Bureau of Reclamation

ROD = Record of Decision

SBA = South Bay Aqueduct

SWP = State Water Project

SWRCB = State Water Resources Control Board

TAF = thousand acre-feet

TAF/month = thousand acre-feet per month

TAF/vr = thousand acre-feet per year

USFWS = United States Fish and Wildlife Service

VAMP = Vernalis Adaptive Management Plan

WR = water right

yr = year

Appendix A-2: List of files that change between the 2017 DCR and the CM3

BASE STUDY: DCR 2017 Existing Conditions **ALTERNATIVE STUDY:** CM3 2019

Document Name	Туре	Folder Name	Status	Component
mainCONV_SA	wresl	.\CONV\Run	Modified	Added cycles Delta_Srpls and Delta to implement 2018 COA Addendum operations
				Updated files references, as needed, to implement the code updates
mainCONVWSI	wresl	.\CONV\Run	Modified	
				(CCWD Delta Island Water Transfers) Re-activated the Delta Island water transfers to CCWD
2020D09ESV	dss	.\common\DSS	Modified	See Changes in Model Inputs
2020D09EINIT	dss	.\common\DSS	Modified	
wsi_di_swp	table	.\CONV\Run\Lookup	Modified	Retrained WSI-DI
wsi_di_cvp_sys	table	.\CONV\Run\Lookup	Modified	
april_may_maxexport	wresl	.\common\Export_Ops	Modified	(COA Addemdum 2018) New sharing ratio for inbasin uses with storage withdrawals under
EXP_constraint	wresl	.\common\Export_Ops	Modified	balanced conditions and new sharing ration for exports when exports are constrained according
OMR_constraint	wresl	.\common\Export_Ops\OMR	Modified	to the December 2018 Coordinated Operation Agreement Addemdum.
coa_BO	wresl	.\CONV\Run\COA	Modified	1
coa	wresl	.\CONV\Run\COA	Modified	Activated OMR Flow Health and Safety (H&S) requirement
coa_PRESETUP	wresl		Modified	
april_may_maxexport_BO	wresl	.\common\Export_Ops	New	
SharingRatios_BO	wresl	.\CONV\Run\COA	New	
coa_DELTA_SRPLS	wresl	.\CONV\Run\COA	New	
EXP_constraint_DELTA_SRPLS	wresl	.\common\Export_Ops	New	
SharingRatios	wresl	.\CONV\Run\COA	New	
DELTA_RPA2_DELTA_SRPLS	wresl	.\common\Export_Ops	New	
OMR_constraint_DELTA_SRPLS	wresl	.\common\Export_Ops\OMR	New	
ShrngRatios	table	.\CONV\Run\Lookup	New	
delcar_cvp_s	wresl	.\CONV\Run\DeliveryLogic	Modified	(Reclamation Updates) Updated CVP SOD allocation
cvprule	wresl	.\common\Rulecurve	Modified	
ExportEstimate_CVP	table	.\CONV\Run\Lookup	Modified	
EstCvpExpSAdj	wresl	.\common\cvp_dellogic\cvp_dellogic_sys	Modified	
EstExpCVP	table	.\CONV\Run\Lookup	New	
SeasCVPSODdem	table	.\CONV\Run\Lookup	New	
NimbusPower	wresl	.\common\NorthOfDelta\American	Modified	(Reclamation Updates) Update the amount of water that can go through the powerplant to 5,500 cfs (prev 5,000 cfs)
KeswickPower	wresl	.\common\NorthOfDelta\Sacramento	Modified	(Reclamation Updates) Update the amount of water that can go through the powerplant to 16,000 cfs (prev 15,000 cfs)
wytypes	table	.\CONV\Run\Lookup	Modified	(Reclamation Updates) TUCP "DriestYrs" (All 0's, so TUCP logic is off)

Document Name	Туре	Folder Name	Status	Component
friant_rain_fld_est	wresl	.\common\SanJoaquin\Friant	Modified	(Reclamation Updates) removed the initial condition of compute_rain_rel
trinity_import	table	.\CONV\Run\Lookup	Modified	(Reclamation Updates) Reduce Trinity Import
export_ops1	wresl	.\common\Export_Ops	Modified	(Reclamation Updates) no longer call jul_sep_prefer_td_exp.wresl since this is not a CWF run
sum_cvp_reduced_dem	wresl	.\common\cvp_dellogic\cvp_dellogic_sys\demands_sys	Modified	(Reclamation Updates) Name change (perdel_cvpex_s instead of perdel_cvpex_sys)
lastX2_ANN	wresl	.\common\Delta\Mrdo\X2	Modified	(Reclamation Updates) Name change (_NDS updated to _MOD)
X2req_KM	wresl	.\common\Delta\Mrdo\X2	Modified	
NODOS_div_x2limit	wresl	.\common\NorthOfDelta\Sacramento\NODOS\WQ	Modified	
demands_69	wresl	.\common\hydrology\DEMANDS	Modified	(Reclamation Updates) Moved Feather River Service Area (FRSA) Winter Water Allocation to feather_special
FMStandard	wresl	.\common\NorthOfDelta\American	Modified	(Reclamation Updates) Minor change (using more accurate reservoir level instead of number)
SJR_restrict	wresl	.\common\SanJoaquin\Various\definitions	Modified	(Reclamation Updates) Minor change (split high flows between Mendota pool and Chowchilla bypass)
reopcontinuity	wresl	.\common\ReOperations	Modified	(Reclamation Updates) Minor change (goal zeroReopSurp_Bal should fix ReopSurp_Bal_Err not Reop_Rel_Bal_Err); adds some float to balance at Hood (999999 penalty for any float)
B2Action3	wresl	.\common\B2Actions	Modified	(Reclamation Updates) Minor change (adds an integer check and corrects the location of a parenthesis)
CvpSysDeliveryLogicCycle1	wresl	.\CONV\Run\DeliveryLogic	Deleted	(Reclamation Updates) Merged Files and correction to the CVP allocation logic during high
CvpSDeliveryLogicCycle1	wresl	.\CONV\Run\DeliveryLogic	Deleted	Shasta storage conditions
cvpcut_s	wresl	.\CONV\Run\DeliveryLogic	Deleted	
cvpcut	wresl	.\CONV\Run\DeliveryLogic	Modified	
CvpDeliveryLogicCycle1	wresl	.\CONV\Run\DeliveryLogic	New	
ShastaNCPlevel	table	.\CONV\Run\Lookup	New	(Reclamation Updates) Logic to allow flexibilities to Sacramento Navigation Control Point (NCP) flow based on Shasta storage
SouthernSJR_dems	wresl	.\common\SanJoaquin\SouthernSJR	Modified	(Reclamation Updates) Friant delivery to Exchange Contractors; Comments (new logic commented out in development)
Weight-table	wresl	.\CONV\Run\System\SystemTables_ALL	Modified	(Reclamation Updates) Friant delivery to Exchange Contractors
shortage_cvp_s	wresl	.\common\SHORTAGE	Modified	
friant_delivery	wresl	.\common\SanJoaquin\Friant	Modified	
friant_wsf	wresl	.\common\SanJoaquin\Friant	Modified	
SJR_ChannelSplits	wresl	.\common\SanJoaquin\Various\definitions	Modified	
Weight-table	wresl	.\common\System\SystemTables_SJR	Modified	
friant_operation	wresl	.\common\SanJoaquin\Friant	Modified	1
friant_allocation	wresl	.\common\SanJoaquin\Friant	Modified	1
Exchange_Monthly_Demand	table	.\CONV\Run\Lookup	New	1
Delivery-table	wresl	.\common\System\SystemTables_SJR	Modified	1
Connectivity-table	wresl	.\common\System\SystemTables_SJR	Modified	1
Weight-table	wresl	.\CONV\Run\System\SystemTables_ALL	Modified	(Reclamation Updates) Friant delivery to Exchange Contractors
				(Reclamation Updates) New weight to encourage C6_Rem (units 2, 4, and 6 instead of the river valve); New weight on D8_ed PRJ

Document Name	Туре	Folder Name	Status	Component
tot_del_CVP_n	wresl	.\common\wsi_di_gen\CVP	Modified	(Reclamation Updates) Folsom disaggregation
demands_70	wresl	.\common\hydrology\DEMANDS	Modified	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
shortage_cvp_n	wresl	.\common\SHORTAGE	Modified	
ncp_with_relax	table	.\CONV\Run\Lookup	Modified	(Reclamation Updates) Flexibilities to Sacramento Navigation Control Point (NCP) flow based on
ncp_relax	wresl	.\common\NorthOfDelta\Sacramento	Modified	Shasta storage
ReOpsVarDefine	wresl	.\common\ReOperations	Modified	(Reclamation Updates) defines the float variables used in reopcontinuity
Cycle1thru5SystemDefsLocal	wresl	.\common	Modified	(Reclamation Updates) Defines D418 for Cycles 1-5
wytypes	wresl	.\common\Wytypes	Modified	(Reclamation Updates) Defines a wyt_Driest to implement TUCPs (unused in COS)
American_PRJ_WR	table	.\CONV\Run\Lookup	Modified	(Reclamation Updates) Comment change; name change (PCWASac updated to PCWAFol)
BaseStudyResults	wresl	.\CONV\Run\BaseStudyResults	Modified	(Reclamation Updates) B2 preprocessed flows removed
Trinitymin	wresl	.\common\NorthOfDelta\Trinity	Modified	(Reclamation Updates) 50 TAF Trinity River Fall Flow
CalSimConnection PreSetup	wresl	.\common\CCWD	New	(Reclamation Updates) Re-activated the Delta Island water transfers to CCWD.
Kellogg Creek Inflow	table	.\CONV\Run\Lookup	New	
LV_evaporation	table	.\CONV\Run\Lookup	New	
LV_Precipitation	table	.\CONV\Run\Lookup	New	
CalSimConnection	wresl	.\common\CCWD	Modified	
CCWD flow disaggregation	wresl	.\common\CCWD\CCWD Ops	Modified	
IO Accounting	wresl	.\common\CCWD\LVE	Modified	
Inflow-table	wresl	.\common\CCWD\system	Modified	
Reservoir-table	wresl	.\common\CCWD\system	Modified	
CCWD ann demand	table	.\CONV\Run\Lookup	Modified	
delcar_swp	wresl	.\CONV\Run\DeliveryLogic	Modified	(DWR Updates) Update SWP carryover to 1.3 MAF; removed some aliases
delcar_swp	wresl	.\common\swp_dellogic\WSI_DI	Modified	
OroRuleCurv	wresl	.\common\NorthOfDelta\Feather	Modified	(DWR Updates) Update SWP carryover to 1.3 MAF
ExportEstimate1_B2	wresl	.\common\Delta\Ann	Modified	
ExportEstimate1	wresl	.\common\Delta\Ann	Modified	
feather_special	wresl	.\common\NorthOfDelta\Feather	Modified	(DWR Updates) Oroville Carryover to 1.3 MAF (prev 1.0 MAF); updates C6_valve to 4,000 cfs (from 1,500 cfs); moved Feather River Service Area (FRSA) Winter Water Allocation from Demands_69 and implements a hard cutoff of delivery based on storage
BanksLimits	table	.\CONV\Run\Lookup	Modified	(DWR Updates) Max monthly pumping capacity (Permit Cap 2) previously set 8,500 cfs. Recent 2017 operations confirmed sustained 10,300 cfs pumping over a month can occur
SoDeltaChannels	wresl	.\common\Delta\SoDeltaChannels	Modified	(Head of Old River Barrier) HORB operable gates activated in April – May when San Joaquin River flow is less than 10,000 CFS.
FRENotch_OnOff	table	.\CONV\Run\Lookup	Modified	(No Effect) - changes in the description
WIIN_wetness	table	.\CONV\Run\Lookup	New	(No Effect) - not used (deactivated the WIIN in the OMR_PA.wresl file)
wsi_di_cvp_sys.retrain1022	table	.\CONV\Run\Lookup	New	(No Effect) - WSI-DI retraining
returns_nod	wresl	.\common\hydrology\RETURNS	Modified	(No Effect) - White space/removed comments
RockSlough_data	wresl	.\common\Delta\Ann	Modified	(No Effect) - white space only
X2setup_ANN_FWS	wresl	.\common\Delta\Mrdo\X2	Modified	
FWS_SMELT_BO	wresl	.\common\Export_Ops\OMR	Modified	

Document Name	Type	Folder Name	Status	Component
delta-outflow	wresl	.\common\Delta\Mrdo\Delta-outflow	Modified	(No Effect) - TUCP logic (unused in COS since the Wytypes.table does not turn on "DriestYrs")
JerseyPoint_data	wresl	.\common\Delta\Ann	Modified	
riovista	wresl	.\common\Delta\Riovista	Modified	
Emmaton_data	wresl	.\common\Delta\Ann	Modified	
X2days_FWS	wresl	.\common\Delta\Mrdo\X2	Modified	
X2days_WQCP	wresl	.\common\Delta\Mrdo\X2	Modified	
TUCP_est	wresl	.\common	New	
demands	wresl	.\common\hydrology\DEMANDS	Modified	(No Effect) - Removed comments
X2setup_ANN	wresl	.\common\Delta\Mrdo\X2	Modified	
wq_defs_Disag	wresl	.\common\SanJoaquin\WaterQuality	Modified	
wytypeSJR_Rest	table	.\CONV\Run\Lookup	Deleted	(No Effect) - not used in DCR17 (excess file)
SJRR_Rest_BUFF	wresl	.\common\SanJoaquin\Friant	Deleted	
EBMUD_CVP	wresl	.\common\SanJoaquin\Friant	Deleted	
cvpcut_sys	wresl	.\common\cvp_dellogic\cvp_dellogic_sys\cvpcut_sys	Modified	(No Effect) - not used in COS (excess file)
OMR_RV_DXC_balance_calcs	wresl	.\common\Delta\Xchannel	New	
xc-gates-rv	wresl	.\common\Delta\Xchannel	New	
cvpcut_jmg	wresl	.\CONV\Run\DeliveryLogic	New	
cvpcut_1004	wresl	.\CONV\Run\DeliveryLogic	New	
TrinRuleCurvEWA	wresl	.\common\NorthOfDelta\Trinity	Deleted	(No Effect) - No longer operating to EWA (not used in DCR17)
ShaRuleCurvEWA	wresl	.\common\NorthOfDelta\Sacramento	Deleted	
FolRuleCurvEWA	wresl	.\common\NorthOfDelta\American	Deleted	
OroRuleCurvEWA	wresl	.\common\NorthOfDelta\American	Deleted	
EwaPurchase	table	.\CONV\Run\Lookup	Deleted	
EwaAllocPurch	table	.\CONV\Run\Lookup	Deleted	
NegCarriageOpsLimit	wresl	.\common\Delta\Ann	Modified	(No Effect) - Comment change (new logic commented out while in development)
WTS_Stage1_Targets	table	.\CONV\Run\Lookup\WSIDItraining	Modified	(No Effect) - Comment change
Collins_data	wresl	.\common\Delta\Ann	Modified	(No Effect) - Changed names to be more accurate
mrdo_ANN	wresl	.\common\Delta\Mrdo	Modified	(No Effect) - Capitalization
nod	wresl	.\common\NorthOfDelta	Modified	
SwpDeliveryLogic	wresl	.\CONV\Run\DeliveryLogic	Modified	

Appendix A-3: List of model inputs that change between the 2017 DCR and the CM3

BASE STUDY: DCR 2017 Existing Conditions

ALTERNATIVE STUDY: CM3 2019

Variable Name	Туре	DSS File Name	Status	Component
NDO_NDS	Timeseries	Init.dss	Deleted	(Reclamation Updates) Name Changed to NDO_MOD
X2_PRV_NDS	Timeseries	Init.dss	Deleted	(Reclamation Updates) Name Changed to X2_PRV_MOD
NDO_MOD	Timeseries	Init.dss	New	(Reclamation Updates) Name Changed
X2_PRV_MOD	Timeseries	Init.dss	New	
CON_114GCID	Timeseries	SV.dss	Modified	(Reclamation Updates) Updated Settlement CVP Contractor Demands
CON_131SC	Timeseries	SV.dss	Modified	
CON_14301SC	Timeseries	SV.dss	Modified	
CON_14501SC	Timeseries	SV.dss	Modified	
CON_18301SC	Timeseries	SV.dss	Modified	
CUAW_131SC	Timeseries	SV.dss	Modified	
CUAW_14301GCID	Timeseries	SV.dss	Modified	
CUAW_14301SC	Timeseries	SV.dss	Modified	
CUAW_14501GCID	Timeseries	SV.dss	Modified	
CUAW_14501SC	Timeseries	SV.dss	Modified	
CUAW_18301SC	Timeseries	SV.dss	Modified	
DEM_D167A_WR_ANN	Timeseries	SV.dss	Modified	(Reclamation Updates) Folsom disaggregation
DEM_D300_WR_ANN	Timeseries	SV.dss	Modified	
DEM_D8F_WR_ANN	Timeseries	SV.dss	Modified	
DEM_D8I_PMI_ANN	Timeseries	SV.dss	Modified	
DEM_DSA70_PMI	Timeseries	SV.dss	Modified	
PRJ_DR70_IMI	Timeseries	SV.dss	Modified	