

# The SPD correction factor for the Newton solver

Yimin Jin

June 3, 2024

In Newton iterations, the top-left block of the system Jacobian is given by

$$J_{IJ}^{uu} := \int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_I^u) : [2\eta\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_J^u) + 2\mathbb{E} : \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_J^u)] \, d\Omega, \quad (1)$$

where  $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\cdot)$  is the symmetric gradient operator,  $\boldsymbol{\varphi}_I^u$  is the shape function of velocity, and the fourth-order tensor  $\mathbb{E}$  is defined as

$$\mathbb{E} := \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \otimes \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}. \quad (2)$$

To inverse the top-left block with the CG solver, we must guarantee the symmetry and positive definiteness of (1). As suggested by [Fraters et al. \[2019\]](#), we can modify the top-left block by

$$\tilde{J}_{IJ}^{uu} := \int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_I^u) : [2\eta\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_J^u) + 2\alpha\mathbb{E}^{\text{sym}} : \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_J^u)] \, d\Omega, \quad (3)$$

where

$$\mathbb{E}^{\text{sym}} := \frac{1}{2} \left( \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \otimes \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} + \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \otimes \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \right), \quad (4)$$

and  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$  is a scaling factor which ensures that the eigenvalues of  $\eta\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}^u) + \mathbb{E} : \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}^u)$  are positive.

To determine the value of  $\alpha$ , we first define  $\mathbf{n}$  and  $\hat{\mathbf{n}}$  as unit tensors “parallel” to  $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$  and  $\partial\eta/\partial\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ , respectively, i.e.

$$\mathbf{n} := \frac{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}{\|\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\|}, \quad \hat{\mathbf{n}} := \frac{\partial \eta / \partial \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}{\left\| \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \right\|}. \quad (5)$$

In general cases,  $\mathbf{n}$  and  $\hat{\mathbf{n}}$  are not identical. Thus, we can decompose  $\hat{\mathbf{n}}$  into two vectors  $\mathbf{n}^{\parallel}$  and  $\mathbf{n}^{\perp}$ , the former parallel with  $\mathbf{n}$  and the latter perpendicular to  $\mathbf{n}$ . Assume that  $\mathbf{n} : \hat{\mathbf{n}} = \cos \psi$  ( $\psi \in [0, \pi]$ ), then we have

$$\mathbf{n}^{\perp} = \hat{\mathbf{n}} - (\hat{\mathbf{n}} : \mathbf{n})\mathbf{n} = \hat{\mathbf{n}} - \mathbf{n} \cos \psi. \quad (6)$$

The norm of  $\mathbf{n}^{\perp}$  can be calculated by

$$\|\mathbf{n}^{\perp}\| = \sqrt{\mathbf{n}^{\perp} : \mathbf{n}^{\perp}} = \sqrt{1 - \cos^2 \psi} = \sin \psi. \quad (7)$$

Thus, we obtain a unit tensor  $(\hat{\mathbf{n}} - \mathbf{n} \cos \psi) / \sin \psi$  which is perpendicular to  $\mathbf{n}$ , and any unit symmetric tensor can be expressed as

$$\mathbf{n} \cos \theta + \frac{\hat{\mathbf{n}} - \mathbf{n} \cos \psi}{\sin \psi} \sin \theta, \quad (8)$$

where  $\theta$  is an arbitrary angle.

Following the idea of Fraters et al. [2019], we calculate the Rayleigh quotient of  $\mathbb{E}^{\text{sym}}$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
R(\theta) &= \left( \mathbf{n} \cos \theta + \frac{\hat{\mathbf{n}} - \mathbf{n} \cos \psi}{\sin \psi} \sin \theta \right) : \frac{1}{2} \left( \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \otimes \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} + \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \otimes \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \right) : \left( \mathbf{n} \cos \theta + \frac{\hat{\mathbf{n}} - \mathbf{n} \cos \psi}{\sin \psi} \sin \theta \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \|\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\| \left\| \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \right\| \left( \mathbf{n} \cos \theta + \frac{\hat{\mathbf{n}} - \mathbf{n} \cos \psi}{\sin \psi} \sin \theta \right) : (\mathbf{n} \otimes \hat{\mathbf{n}} + \hat{\mathbf{n}} \otimes \mathbf{n}) : \left( \mathbf{n} \cos \theta + \frac{\hat{\mathbf{n}} - \mathbf{n} \cos \psi}{\sin \psi} \sin \theta \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \|\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\| \left\| \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \right\| [(\hat{\mathbf{n}} + \mathbf{n} \cos \psi) \cos \theta + \mathbf{n} \sin \psi \sin \theta] : \left( \mathbf{n} \cos \theta + \frac{\hat{\mathbf{n}} - \mathbf{n} \cos \psi}{\sin \psi} \sin \theta \right) \\
&= \|\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\| \left\| \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \right\| (\cos \psi \cos^2 \theta + \sin \psi \cos \theta \sin \theta) \\
&= \|\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\| \left\| \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \right\| \cos \theta \cos(\theta - \psi) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \|\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\| \left\| \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \right\| [\cos(2\theta - \psi) + \cos \psi].
\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

Since  $\theta$  is arbitrary, the minimum value of (9) is

$$R_{\min} = \frac{1}{2} \|\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\| \left\| \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \right\| (-1 + \cos \psi), \tag{10}$$

which is the lower bound of the eigenvalues of  $\mathbb{E}^{\text{sym}}$ . Therefore, the scaling factor can be defined as

$$\alpha = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \|\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\| \left\| \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \right\| (-1 + \mathbf{n} : \hat{\mathbf{n}}) \geq -2c_{\text{safety}}\eta, \\ c_{\text{safety}} \frac{2\eta}{\|\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\| \left\| \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \right\| (1 - \mathbf{n} : \hat{\mathbf{n}})} & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \tag{11}$$

where  $c_{\text{safety}}$  is a safety factor determined by the user.

It is worth noting that the expression of  $\alpha$  is very similar to that in Fraters et al. [2019] (Eq. [18]). If we define

$$\mathbf{a} := \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}, \quad \mathbf{b} = \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}, \tag{12}$$

then (11) can be rewritten as

$$\alpha = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \left( 1 - \frac{\mathbf{a} : \mathbf{b}}{\|\mathbf{a}\| \|\mathbf{b}\|} \right) \|\mathbf{a}\| \|\mathbf{b}\| < 2c_{\text{safety}}\eta, \\ c_{\text{safety}} \frac{2\eta}{\left( 1 - \frac{\mathbf{a} : \mathbf{b}}{\|\mathbf{a}\| \|\mathbf{b}\|} \right) \|\mathbf{a}\| \|\mathbf{b}\|} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{13}$$

It can be found that the only difference between Eq. (13) and Eq. [18] in Fraters et al. [2019] is the power of  $\left( 1 - \frac{\mathbf{a} : \mathbf{b}}{\|\mathbf{a}\| \|\mathbf{b}\|} \right)$ .

## References

M R T Fraters, W Bangerth, C Thieulot, A C Glerum, and W Spakman. Efficient and practical Newton solvers for non-linear Stokes systems in geodynamic problems. *Geophysical Journal International*, 218(2): 873–894, August 2019. ISSN 0956-540X. doi: 10.1093/gji/ggz183.