Give me please a Popularity list (or table) of programming languages between 1960 and 2020.

ChatGPT

Creating a popularity list of programming languages from 1960 to 2020 involves understanding the historical context and evolution of programming languages over six decades. The list below summarizes some of the most popular programming languages for each decade, highlighting their rise in popularity and significance during those periods.

1960s:

- 1. FORTRAN (1957) Dominant in scientific and engineering applications.
- 2. **COBOL** (1959) Widely used in business, finance, and administrative systems.
- 3. Lisp (1958) Important in academic research, particularly in artificial intelligence.

1970s:

- 1. **C** (1972) Became extremely popular due to its efficiency and control, leading to its use in system/software development.
- 2. **Pascal** (1970) Used extensively in teaching and academia.
- 3. **BASIC** (1964) Popular among beginners and in educational contexts.

1980s:

- 1. **C** Continued dominance, particularly in systems programming and application development.
- 2. C++ (1985) Gained popularity for its object-oriented features, extending C.
- 3. Ada (1980) Used by the Department of Defense and in safety-critical applications.
- 4. Smalltalk (1980) Pioneered object-oriented programming.
- 5. **Perl** (1987) Gained traction for scripting and text manipulation.

1990s:

- 1. **Java** (1995) Became widely popular for its portability across platforms (Write Once, Run Anywhere).
- 2. Python (1991) Started to gain popularity for its simplicity and readability.
- 3. JavaScript (1995) Essential for web development.
- 4. **PHP** (1995) Popular for server-side web development.
- 5. **Visual Basic** (1991) Used for rapid application development in Windows environments.

2000s:

- 1. Java Continued strong presence in enterprise environments.
- 2. **C**# (2000) Emerged as a powerful language for Windows development with the .NET framework.
- 3. Python Gained significant popularity in web development, data science, and scripting.
- 4. **JavaScript** Became crucial with the rise of dynamic web applications.
- 5. Ruby (1995) Gained attention with the Ruby on Rails framework for web development.

2010s:

- 1. **JavaScript** Dominated due to the rise of frameworks and libraries like React, Angular, and Node.js.
- 2. Python Exploded in popularity for data science, machine learning, and general scripting.
- 3. Java Maintained strong presence, particularly in Android development.
- 4. **C**# Continued to be significant in enterprise environments and game development (with Unity).
- 5. **Swift** (2014) Became popular for iOS and macOS app development.
- 6. **Go** (2009) Gained traction for its efficiency and simplicity in backend development.
- 7. **Rust** (2010) Recognized for its safety and performance, particularly in systems programming.

2020:

- 1. **Python** Recognized as the most popular language due to its versatility in various domains.
- 2. **JavaScript** Continued to be indispensable for web development.
- 3. Java Remained crucial for enterprise applications.
- 4. **C#** Continued relevance in enterprise and game development.
- 5. **Go** Gaining further adoption for cloud and backend services.
- 6. Rust Increasingly recognized for systems programming and safety features.

Popularity Trends

- **1960s-1970s**: Dominance of languages like FORTRAN, COBOL, and C.
- **1980s**: Rise of C, C++, and specialized languages like Ada and Perl.
- **1990s**: Shift towards web development with Java, JavaScript, PHP, and Visual Basic.
- **2000s**: Consolidation of Java, C#, and the emergence of Python and JavaScript as key players.
- **2010s**: Explosion of JavaScript and Python due to their roles in web development and data science, with newer languages like Swift, Go, and Rust making significant impacts.

These trends reflect the evolving needs and advancements in technology, from early scientific computing to modern web development, data science, and systems programming.

Give me please the development time duration list (or table) of individual programming languages between 1960 and 2020.

ChatGPT

Here is a list of some prominent programming languages developed between 1960 and 2020, along with their initial development and release years. This table includes the approximate time duration for their development based on available historical records.

| Programming Language | Initial Development Year | Release year | Duration |
|----------------------|--------------------------|--------------|----------|
| FORTRAN | 1954 | 1957 | 3 years |
| Lisp | 1956 | 1958 | 2 years |
| COBOL | 1959 | 1960 | 1 year |
| ALGOL 60 | 1958 | 1960 | 2 years |
| BASIC | 1963 | 1964 | 1 year |
| | | | |

Programming Language Initial Development Year Release Year Duration

| Programming Language | Initial Development Year | Release Year | Duration |
|----------------------|--------------------------|--------------|----------|
| PL/I | 1964 | 1966 | 2 years |
| Pascal | 1968 | 1970 | 2 years |
| С | 1969 | 1972 | 3 years |
| Prolog | 1972 | 1972 | ~0 years |
| ML | 1973 | 1973 | ~0 years |
| Ada | 1977 | 1980 | 3 years |
| Smalltalk | 1972 | 1980 | 8 years |
| C++ | 1979 | 1985 | 6 years |
| Objective-C | Early 1980s | 1984 | ~4 years |
| Perl | 1987 | 1987 | ~0 years |
| Python | 1989 | 1991 | 2 years |
| Haskell | 1987 | 1990 | 3 years |
| Visual Basic | 1990 | 1991 | 1 year |
| Ruby | 1993 | 1995 | 2 years |
| Java | 1991 | 1995 | 4 years |
| PHP | 1994 | 1995 | 1 year |
| JavaScript | 1995 | 1995 | ~0 years |
| Lua | 1993 | 1994 | 1 year |
| Delphi | 1993 | 1995 | 2 years |
| R | 1992 | 1995 | 3 years |
| C # | 1999 | 2000 | 1 year |
| Scala | 2001 | 2003 | 2 years |
| F# | 2002 | 2005 | 3 years |
| Go | 2007 | 2009 | 2 years |
| Rust | 2006 | 2010 | 4 years |
| Kotlin | 2010 | 2011 | 1 year |
| Swift | 2010 | 2014 | 4 years |
| Julia | 2009 | 2012 | 3 years |

Notes:

- The **initial development year** marks when the language was first conceptualized or when serious development began.
- The **release year** indicates when the language was publicly released or reached a stable version.
- **Duration** is the time between the initial development and the public release.

These durations reflect the complexity and scope of the languages, as well as the resources available to their developers. Some languages were developed rapidly to meet specific needs, while others underwent extensive design and iteration before release.

Thanks. Now I would like to have the definition of "Duration" the lifetime of language between first and last public release.

ChatGPT

To define the "duration" of a programming language as the lifetime between its first and last public release, we need to consider the span of time from the initial release of the language to its latest stable or significant release version. Here's a list of some prominent programming languages developed between 1960 and 2020, along with their first release year, last significant release year (up to 2020), and their duration.

| Programming | First Release | Last Significant Release | , |
|--------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Language | Year | Year | Duration (Years) |
| FORTRAN | 1957 | 2020 | 63 |
| Lisp | 1958 | 2020 | 62 |
| COBOL | 1960 | 2020 | 60 |
| ALGOL 60 | 1960 | 1973 | 13 |
| BASIC | 1964 | 2020 (various dialects) | 56 |
| PL/I | 1966 | 2020 (IBM Enterprise PL/I) | 54 |
| Pascal | 1970 | 2020 (Free Pascal) | 50 |
| С | 1972 | 2018 (C18 standard) | 46 |
| Prolog | 1972 | 2020 | 48 |
| ML | 1973 | 2005 (Standard ML 1997 revised) | 32 |
| Ada | 1980 | 2012 (Ada 2012 standard) | 32 |
| Smalltalk | 1980 | 2020 | 40 |
| C++ | + 1985 2020 (C++20 standard) | | 35 |
| Objective-C | 1984 | 2016 (Last major updates) | 32 |
| Perl | 1987 | 2020 (Perl 5/6) | 33 |
| Python | 1991 | 2020 (Python 3.9) | 29 |
| Haskell | 1990 | 2020 | 30 |
| Visual Basic | 1991 | 2008 (VB 6) / 2020 (VB .NET) | 29 (VB 6) / 29 (VB .NET) |
| Ruby | 1995 | 2020 (Ruby 2.7) | 25 |
| Java | 1995 | 2020 (Java 15) | 25 |
| PHP | 1995 | 2020 (PHP 7.4) | 25 |
| JavaScript | 1995 | 2020 (ECMAScript 2020) | 25 |
| Lua | 1994 | 2020 (Lua 5.4) | 26 |
| Delphi | 1995 | 2020 (Delphi 10.4 Sydney) | 25 |
| R | 1995 | 2020 (R 4.0.3) | 25 |
| C # | 2000 | 2020 (C# 9.0) | 20 |
| Scala | 2003 | 2020 (Scala 2.13) | 17 |
| F# | 2005 | 2020 (F# 4.7) | 15 |
| Go | 2009 | 2020 (Go 1.15) | 11 |
| Rust | 2010 | 2020 (Rust 1.47) | 10 |
| Kotlin | 2011 | 2020 (Kotlin 1.4) | 9 |
| Swift | 2014 | 2020 (Swift 5.3) | 6 |
| Julia | 2012 | 2020 (Julia 1.5) | 8 |

Duration of Programming Languages (First to Last Public Release):

Notes:

- The **first release year** marks when the language was first publicly available.
- The **last significant release year** indicates the most recent stable release or significant update up to 2020.
- **Duration** is the number of years between the first and last significant release.

This table provides a snapshot of the longevity and sustained development of various programming languages over time.

Can you extend the same table with a column that marks by whom the language was standardized, like: "None" / "de facto standard" / "formal standard" (by whom)

ChatGPT

Certainly! Below is the extended table including a column indicating whether the language was standardized, and if so, by whom.

| Programming Language | First Release Year | Last Significant Release Year | Duration (Years) | Standardization |
|-------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------|
| FORTRAN | 1957 | 2020 | 63 | Formal standard (ANSI, ISO) |
| Lisp | 1958 | 2020 | 62 | None |
| COBOL | 1960 | 2020 | 60 | Formal standard (ANSI, ISO) |
| ALGOL 60 | 1960 | 1973 | 13 | Formal standard (ISO) |
| BASIC | 1964 | 2020 (various dialects) | 56 | None / De facto standard |
| PL/I | 1966 | 2020 (IBM Enterprise PL/I) | 54 | Formal standard (ANSI) |
| Pascal | 1970 | 2020 (Free Pascal) | 50 | Formal standard (ISO) |
| С | 1972 | 2018 (C18 standard) | 46 | Formal standard (ANSI, ISO) |
| Prolog | 1972 | 2020 | 48 | Formal standard (ISO) |
| ML | 1973 | 2005 (Standard ML 1997 revised) | 32 | De facto standard |
| Ada | 1980 | 2012 (Ada 2012 standard) | 32 | Formal standard (ISO) |
| Smalltalk | 1980 | 2020 | 40 | None |
| C++ | 1985 | 2020 (C++20 standard) | 35 | Formal standard (ISO) |
| Objective-C | 1984 | 2016 (Last major updates) | 32 | None |
| Perl | 1987 | 2020 (Perl 5/6) | 33 | None |
| Python | 1991 | 2020 (Python 3.9) | 29 | De facto standard |
| Haskell | 1990 | 2020 | 30 | De facto standard |
| Visual Basic | 1991 | 2008 (VB 6) / 2020 | 29 (VB 6) / 29 | None / De facto |

Duration and Standardization of Programming Languages

| Programming Language | First Release Year | Last Significant Release Year | Duration (Years) | Standardization |
|-------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------|
| | | (VB .NET) | (VB .NET) | standard |
| Ruby | 1995 | 2020 (Ruby 2.7) | 25 | De facto standard |
| Java | 1995 | 2020 (Java 15) | 25 | Formal standard (ISO, ECMA) |
| PHP | 1995 | 2020 (PHP 7.4) | 25 | De facto standard |
| JavaScript | 1995 | 2020 (ECMAScript 2020) | 25 | Formal standard (ECMA) |
| Lua | 1994 | 2020 (Lua 5.4) | 26 | De facto standard |
| Delphi | 1995 | 2020 (Delphi 10.4 Sydney) | 25 | None |
| R | 1995 | 2020 (R 4.0.3) | 25 | De facto standard |
| C # | 2000 | 2020 (C# 9.0) | 20 | Formal standard (ECMA, ISO) |
| Scala | 2003 | 2020 (Scala 2.13) | 17 | De facto standard |
| F# | 2005 | 2020 (F# 4.7) | 15 | De facto standard |
| Go | 2009 | 2020 (Go 1.15) | 11 | De facto standard |
| Rust | 2010 | 2020 (Rust 1.47) | 10 | De facto standard |
| Kotlin | 2011 | 2020 (Kotlin 1.4) | 9 | De facto standard |
| Swift | 2014 | 2020 (Swift 5.3) | 6 | None |
| Julia | 2012 | 2020 (Julia 1.5) | 8 | De facto standard |

Notes:

- **Formal standard** indicates the language has an official standard maintained by recognized bodies such as ANSI, ISO, ECMA, etc.
- **De facto standard** indicates that the language has widely accepted conventions and standards, but no official standardization body.
- **None** indicates that the language does not have an official or widely recognized standard.

4o

What are the reasons if a programming language is getting a standardization status "none", "Formal standard", "de facto Standard"

ChatGPT

The status of a programming language's standardization—whether "none," "formal standard," or "de facto standard"—depends on various factors, including the language's history, development process, community adoption, and use cases. Here are some reasons for each standardization status:

None

1. Niche or Specialized Use:

• Some languages are designed for very specific tasks or niches and do not gain wide enough adoption to warrant formal standardization.

2. **Proprietary Development**:

• Languages developed and controlled by a single company or organization (e.g., Objective-C by Apple) may not seek or achieve formal standardization.

3. Rapid Evolution:

• Languages that evolve rapidly or have frequent changes may not settle into a standardized form (e.g., Swift).

4. Experimental Nature:

• Some languages are experimental or research-oriented, focusing more on exploring new concepts rather than widespread industry adoption.

Formal Standard

1. Wide Adoption and Industry Demand:

• Languages that become widely adopted across different industries often seek formal standardization to ensure consistency and interoperability (e.g., C, C++).

2. Longevity and Stability:

• Mature languages that have been in use for a long time are more likely to be standardized formally (e.g., COBOL, FORTRAN).

3. Diverse Implementations:

• Languages that have multiple implementations benefit from a formal standard to ensure compatibility and avoid fragmentation (e.g., SQL, Ada).

4. Regulatory or Government Use:

• Languages used in regulated environments, such as government or safety-critical systems, often require formal standardization (e.g., Ada).

5. Organizational Backing:

• Standardization bodies (ANSI, ISO, ECMA) and industry consortiums may back the standardization process, providing resources and formal procedures (e.g., Java by ISO and ECMA).

De Facto Standard

1. Widespread Community Adoption:

• Some languages become de facto standards due to their widespread use and community-driven development, even without formal standardization (e.g., Python, JavaScript).

2. Dominant Implementation:

• When a single implementation of a language is so dominant that it sets the standard for others, it can become a de facto standard (e.g., Perl, Ruby).

3. Open Source Ecosystem:

- Open source languages often rely on community consensus rather than formal standardization, leading to de facto standards (e.g., Go, Rust).
- 4. Flexibility and Evolution:

• De facto standards can evolve more flexibly and quickly than formally standardized languages, adapting to new needs and technologies.

5. Vendor Neutrality:

• Languages that are developed and maintained by open communities rather than single vendors often become de facto standards due to their neutral, inclusive development process.

Summary

- **None**: Often niche, proprietary, rapidly evolving, or experimental languages without broad adoption or multiple implementations.
- **Formal Standard**: Widely adopted, stable, mature languages often used in regulated or critical systems, backed by formal standardization bodies.
- **De Facto Standard**: Languages widely adopted by communities and industry, usually open source or driven by a dominant implementation, with flexible and rapid evolution.

Each standardization status reflects the language's development history, community, and usage context, balancing the need for consistency with the benefits of flexibility.