ArgMining 2024

The 11th Workshop on Argument Mining

Proceedings of the Workshop

August 15, 2024

©2024 Association for Computational Linguistics

Order copies of this and other ACL proceedings from:

Association for Computational Linguistics (ACL) 317 Sidney Baker St. S Suite 400 - 134 Kerrville, TX 78028 USA Tel: +1-855-225-1962 acl@aclweb.org

ISBN 979-8-89176-133-9

Introduction

Argument Mining ("argumentation mining") is an emerging research area within computational linguistics. It initially focused on automatically identifying and classifying argument elements across various text genres. In the recent years, the field has expanded to explore argument quality and synthesis on multiple levels. This growth has led to the development of practical applications such as argument-focused search and debating technologies, exemplified by IBM Project Debater. The increasing interest in computational argumentation has resulted in the organization of several tutorials at major NLP conferences, providing a wealth of knowledge and insights to the community.

While basic tasks such as argument element segmentation and classification are maturing, many current and emerging tasks in diverse genres and topics still need to be solved amidst global polarization and the emergence of large language models.

The ArgMining community is constantly growing, as demonstrated by the increasing number of submissions on argument mining being accepted at top-level international conferences in NLP and AI. This year's 11th edition of the workshop allowed the submission of long, short, and demo papers for the main workshop track. Also, ArgMining2024 hosted two shared tasks as part of the workshop: The Perspective Argument Retrieval Shared Task and DialAM-2024: The First Shared Task on Dialogical Argument Mining. We had 28 valid paper submissions; 16 were for the main workshop (13 long, 3 short), and 12 were for the two shared tasks (5 short and 1 overview long paper for each). The submissions came from institutions in 11 countries. For the main workshop, we accepted 6 long papers and 1 short paper (44% acceptance rate compared to 41% for last year's ArgMining 2023). All accepted papers are included in the proceedings.

The one-day workshop had a hybrid format. Yufang Hou from IBM Research Europe presented a keynote on "Reconstructing Fallacies in Misrepresented Science and Argument Mining in the Wild". Also, following the steps of the previous ArgMining workshops, we hosted a panel of distinguished researchers: Joonsuk Park (University of Richmond), Iryna Gurevych (Technical University of Darmstadt), Daniel Hershcovich (University of Copenhagen), Lucie Flek (University of Bonn), and Johannes Kiesel (Bauhaus-Universität, Weimar). The panel was moderated by Henning Wachsmuth (Leibniz Universität Hannover) on the topic "The Human in Computational Argumentation", covering personalization, subjectivity, and perspectivism.

We thank our Program Committee members for their continuous support and helpful input. Also, we thank IBM for sponsoring the Best Paper award and the members of our Best Paper Selection Committee: Benno Stein (Bauhaus-Universität, Weimar), Gabriella Lapesa (GESIS and Heinrich-Heine University Dusseldorf), and Eduardo Blanco (University of Arizona). The awards are announced on the official workshop website: https://argmining-org.github.io/2024/index.html.

We would like to also thank everyone who showed interest and submitted a paper this year, all of the authors for their contributions, and all the attendees of the workshop for their support and participation.

Yamen Ajjour, Roy Bar-Haim, Roxanne El Baff, Zhexiong Liu, and Gabriella Skitalinskaya (*ArgMining 2024 Co-Chairs*)

Organizing Committee

Organizing Committee

Yamen Ajjour, Leibniz Universität Hannover Roy Bar-Haim, IBM Research AI Roxanne El Baff, German Aerospace Center and Bauhaus-Universität, Weimar Zhexiong Liu, University of Pittsburgh Gabriella Skitalinskaya, Leibniz Universität Hannover

Program Committee

Program Committee

Rodrigo Agerri, University of the Basque Country Tariq Alhindi, Noetica AI Emily Allaway, Columbia University Laura Alonso Alemany, Universidad Nacional de Córdoba Milad Alshomary, Columbia University Özkan Aslan, Afyon Kocatepe University Marie Bexte, Fernuniversität Gesamthochschule Hagen Miriam Butt, Universität Konstanz Elena Cabrio, Université Côte d'Azur Chung-Chi Chen, AIST, National Institute of Advanced Industrial Science and Technology Elena Chistova, Federal Research Center Computer Science and Control, RAS Greta Damo, Université Côte d'Azur Johannes Daxenberger, summetix GmbH Neele Falk, University of Stuttgart Jia Guo, National University of Singapore Shohreh Haddadan, Moffitt Cancer Research center Annette Hautli-Janisz, Universität Passau Philipp Heinisch, Universität Bielefeld Daniel Hershcovich, University of Copenhagen Andrea Horbach, Universität Hildesheim Xinyu Hua, Bloomberg Omid Kashefi, Educational Testing Service Khalid Al Khatib, University of Groningen Christopher Klamm, Universität Mannheim John Lawrence, University of Dundee Boyang Liu, University of Manchester Zigian Luo, Oracle Joonsuk Park, University of Richmond Simon Parsons, University of Lincoln Olesya Razuvayevskaya, University of Sheffield Chris Reed, University of Dundee Myrthe Reuver, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam Julia Romberg, GESIS Leibniz Institute for the Social Sciences Allen G Roush, Oracle Ramon Ruiz-Dolz, University of Dundee Florian Ruosch, University of Zurich Sougata Saha, Mohamed bin Zayed University of Artificial Intelligence Patrick Saint-Dizier, CNRS Robin Schaefer, Universität Potsdam Jodi Schneider, University of Illinois, Urbana Champaign Lutz Schröder, Friedrich-Alexander Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg Arushi Sharma, University of Pittsburgh Manfred Stede, Universität Potsdam Aswathy Velutharambath, University of Stuttgart Henning Wachsmuth, Leibniz Universität Hannover Vern R. Walker, Hofstra University

Ruifeng Xu, Harbin Institute of Technology Xiutian Zhao, University of Edinburgh Yang Zhong, University of Pittsburgh Timon Ziegenbein, Leibniz Universität Hannover

Shared Task Organizers

Ramon Ruiz-Dolz, University of Dundee John Lawrence, University of Dundee Ella Schad, University of Dundee Chris Reed, University of Dundee Neele Falk, University of Stuttgart Andreas Waldis, TU Darmstadt and Lucerne University of Applied Science and Arts Gabriella Lapesa, GESIS and Heinrich-Heine University Düsseldorf Iryna Gurevych, University of Darmstadt

Best Paper Committee

Benno Stein, Bauhaus-Universität, Weimar Gabriella Lapesa, GESIS and Heinrich-Heine University Düsseldorf Eduardo Blanco, University of Arizona

Keynote Talk Reconstructing Fallacies in Misrepresented Science and Argument Mining in the Wild

Yufang Hou

IBM Research Europe - Ireland

Abstract: In this talk, Yufang Hou will discuss their recent work on applying and investigating language model (LM)-based argument mining technologies in real-world scenarios, including fact-checking misinformation that misrepresents scientific publications and tackling traditional argument mining tasks in various out-of-distribution (OOD) scenarios. First, she will discuss their work on reconstructing and grounding fallacies in misrepresented science, in which health-related misinformation claims often falsely cite a credible biomedical publication as evidence. The speaker will present a new argumentation theoretical model for fallacious reasoning, together with a new dataset for real-world misinformation detection that misrepresents biomedical publications. In the second part of the talk, she will discuss their findings on LMs' capabilities for three OOD scenarios (topic shift, domain shift, and language shift) across eleven argument mining tasks.

Bio: Yufang Hou is a research scientist at IBM Research Ireland. She is also a visiting professor and cosupervisor at UKP Lab - TU Darmstadt. Her research interests include referential discourse modelling, argument mining, and scholarly document processing. Yufang received WoC Technical Innovation in Industry Award in 2020. She has served in numerous roles for ACL conferences, recently as a Senior Area Chair for EMNLP 22/23/24, and NAACL 24. She co-organized the 8th workshop on Argument Mining, the first workshop on Argumentation Knowledge Graphs, Key Point Analysis Shared Task 2021, and Dagstuhl Seminar 22432 on "Towards a Unified Model of Scholarly Argumentation".

Panel The Human in Computational Argumentation

Computational argumentation aims to develop methods for extracting, analyzing, and generating human arguments. This field holds significant promise for applications ranging from automated debate systems to advanced decision-support tools. Central to these advancements are language models, which are trained to simulate human language processing. However, a critical issue with language models is their tendency to inherit and propagate social and stereotypical biases present in their training data. Moreover, these models typically learn from aggregated absolute labels, which do not accurately reflect the nuanced spectrum or distribution of truth in argumentation. Additionally, computational argumentation tasks, such as assessing the persuasiveness of arguments, are inherently subjective and heavily influenced by the author and audience.

This panel session will discuss the role of the human in computational argumentation, exploring ways of creating more representative, fair, and effective computational models of argumentation that better capture the complexities of human discourse. The discussion will focus on two strategies of capturing human context, views, and preferences: perspectivism and personalization. While personalization aims at integrating information about the speaker and target audience (e.g., values and culture) in training or instructing language models, perspectivism aims at ensuring that the views captured by models are representative of the relevant social groups. The panel will look at the consequences, opportunities, and challenges of adapting perspectivism and personalization in computational argumentation.

Table of Contents

ARIES: A General Benchmark for Argument Relation Identification Debela Gemechu, Ramon Ruiz-Dolz and Chris Reed1
Detecting Scientific Fraud Using Argument Mining Gabriel Freedman and Francesca Toni 15
<i>DeepCT-enhanced Lexical Argument Retrieval</i> Alexander Bondarenko, Maik Fröbe, Danik Hollatz, Jan Heinrich Merker and Matthias Hagen 29
<i>Exploiting Dialogue Acts and Context to Identify Argumentative Relations in Online Debates</i> Stefano Mezza, Wayne Wobcke and Alan Blair
Multi-Task Learning Improves Performance in Deep Argument Mining Models Amirhossein Farzam, Shashank Shekhar, Isaac D. Mehlhaff and Marco Morucci
Computational Modelling of Undercuts in Real-world Arguments Yuxiao Ye and Simone Teufel
MAMKit: A Comprehensive Multimodal Argument Mining Toolkit Eleonora Mancini, Federico Ruggeri, Stefano Colamonaco, Andrea Zecca, Samuele Marro and Paolo Torroni 69
Overview of DialAM-2024: Argument Mining in Natural Language Dialogues Ramon Ruiz-Dolz, John Lawrence, Ella Schad and Chris Reed
<i>DFKI-MLST at DialAM-2024 Shared Task: System Description</i> Arne Binder, Tatiana Anikina, Leonhard Hennig and Simon Ostermann
KnowComp at DialAM-2024: Fine-tuning Pre-trained Language Models for Dialogical Argument Mi- ning with Inference Anchoring Theory Yuetong Wu, Yukai Zhou, Baixuan Xu, Weiqi Wang and Yangqiu Song
KNOWCOMP POKEMON Team at DialAM-2024: A Two-Stage Pipeline for Detecting Relations in Dialogue Argument Mining Zihao Zheng, Zhaowei Wang, Qing Zong and Yangqiu Song
Pungene at DialAM-2024: Identification of Propositional and Illocutionary Relations Sirawut Chaixanien, Eugene Choi, Shaden Shaar and Claire Cardie 119
Turiya at DialAM-2024: Inference Anchoring Theory Based LLM Parsers Sougata Saha and Rohini Srihari 124
Overview of PerpectiveArg2024 The First Shared Task on Perspective Argument Retrieval Neele Falk, Andreas Waldis and Iryna Gurevych
Sövereign at The Perspective Argument Retrieval Shared Task 2024: Using LLMs with Argument Mining Robert Günzler, Özge Sevgili, Steffen Remus, Chris Biemann and Irina Nikishina
Turiya at PerpectiveArg2024: A Multilingual Argument Retriever and Reranker Sougata Saha and Rohini Srihari 159
Twente-BMS-NLP at PerspectiveArg 2024: Combining Bi-Encoder and Cross-Encoder for Argument Retrieval Leixin Zhang and Daniel Braun 164

GESIS-DSM at PerpectiveArg2024: A Matter of Style? Socio-Cultural Differences in Argumentation
Maximilian Maurer, Julia Romberg, Myrthe Reuver, Negash Desalegn Weldekiros and Gabriella
Lapesa
XFACT Team0331 at PerspectiveArg2024: Sampling from Bounded Clusters for Diverse Relevant Ar- gument Retrieval
Wan Ju Kang, Jiyoung Han, Jaemin Jung and James Thorne 182

Program

Thursday, August 15, 2024

- 09:00 09:10 Opening Remarks
- 09:10 10:30 Session 1

Multi-Task Learning Improves Performance in Deep Argument Mining Models Amirhossein Farzam, Shashank Shekhar, Isaac D. Mehlhaff and Marco Morucci

Computational Modelling of Undercuts in Real-world Arguments Yuxiao Ye and Simone Teufel

Detecting Scientific Fraud Using Argument Mining Gabriel Freedman and Francesca Toni

Exploiting Dialogue Acts and Context to Identify Argumentative Relations in Online Debates Stefano Mezza, Wayne Wobcke and Alan Blair

ARIES: A General Benchmark for Argument Relation Identification Debela Gemechu, Ramon Ruiz-Dolz and Chris Reed

- 10:30 11:00 *Coffee Break*
- 11:00 12:30 Panel Session
- 12:30 14:00 Lunch Break
- 14:00 14:30 The Perspective Argument Retrieval Shared Task
- 14:30 15:00 DialAM-2024: The First Shared Task on Dialogical Argument Mining
- 15:00 15:30 Session 2

MAMKit: A Comprehensive Multimodal Argument Mining Toolkit Eleonora Mancini, Federico Ruggeri, Stefano Colamonaco, Andrea Zecca, Samuele Marro and Paolo Torroni

Thursday, August 15, 2024 (continued)

DeepCT-enhanced Lexical Argument Retrieval

Alexander Bondarenko, Maik Fröbe, Danik Hollatz, Jan Heinrich Merker and Matthias Hagen

- 15:30 16:00 *Coffee Break*
- 16:00 17:00 Keynote Speech
- 17:00 17:40 Poster Session (Shared Task Papers + Main Workshop Papers)
- 17:40 17:55 Closing Remarks + Best Paper Award