

Philosophy of Language and Logic

Wester, T.I.

Autumn 2023

Contents

1	Introduction to conditionals	2
1.1	Kinds of conditionals	2
I	Indicatives	4
2	The material analysis	5
3	Non-material analyses	6
II	Subjunctives	7
4	Similarity semantics	8
5	Non-similarity semantics	9

Chapter 1

Introduction to conditionals

Conditionals in the English language exist in various constructions and operate in equally various ways. Categorizing these constructions and unifying them under one theory is a seemingly transparent question, though it turns out more complicated than one might anticipate. Given a number of conditional statements, one might conclude the connecting factor to be the word “*if*”, or an equivalent term in other languages. This word will turn out to play a major part in the analysis of conditionals, though it is not a proper indicator, as it is neither necessary nor necessarily indicative.

While the topic of conditionals is not simple, it is definitely worthwhile studying, as conditionals are used in much of our thinking, argumentation, and by-extension: philosophising. Within philosophy, conditionals are used to express various concepts such as dispositions and causation as well as being used for basic philosophical Logic. Due to this wide use, it is nearly impossible to study philosophy of language without encountering the problem of conditionals.

1.1 Kinds of conditionals

We can divide conditionals broadly into at least two categories. There are those sentences that indicate a state of affairs and those that indicate a possibility. These are respectively called *indicative* and *subjunctive*¹.

Indicative conditionals relate to the material conditional (\supset) of classical logic. Whereas the subjunctive conditionals do not. In fact – they do not

¹These are also occasionally called *counterfactual*

relate to any concept in classical logic, and therefore lack a straightforward method of analysis, they are not truth-functional.

Despite the obvious connection between the indicative conditional and material conditional, they are not necessarily the same, and a large body of literature is written on the topic. For this reason, we cannot express the material conditional in English by using the typical construction “if ... then” as it would confuse the material conditional with the indicative. Therefore, we express the material conditional instead using a different operator, namely *or* (\vee). Thus, $A \supset C$ becomes $\neg A \vee C$.

Subjunctive conditionals can further be separated into *would subjunctives* and *might subjunctives*. These indicate the words used in the respective sentences which relate to whether they express a possible consequent or a definitive one, though in either case the antecedent is negated (thus the term “counterfactual”). This course will hardly ever mention the *might subjunctive*, and any reference to “the subjunctive”, unless otherwise noted, can be taken to refer to the *would subjunctive*.

These various conditionals will be formalized using the following symbols:

Conditional	symbol
Material	\supset
Indicative	\rightarrow
Subjunctive	$>$
Might	$>_m$

Part I

Indicatives

Chapter 2

The material analysis

Chapter 3

Non-material analyses

Part II

Subjunctives

Chapter 4

Similarity semantics

Chapter 5

Non-similarity semantics