## Project 2: Parallel Fluid Dynamics Simulation

John Bradley

April 14, 2024

## 1 Introduction

This report aims to document the implementation and analysis of a program that utilizes OpenMP to implement a computational fluid dynamics model suitable for modeling behavior of turbulent flows. This report will cover the methods and techniques utilized for implementing the fluid dynamics model using OpenMP, analyze and estimate the expected performance of the implementation, present the results from experimentation, compare and contrast the expected performance to the observed results, and conclude with insights and possible changes that could be made to the implementation

## 2 Methods and Techniques

Many of the functions in the code provided deal with sequential sections of memory, and allowing a single thread to access these sequential memory areas would be beneficial, as it would prevent cache contention between threads. Additionally, there are many nested loops, and the Ptolemy system does not have OMP\_NESTED set by default, thus the use of nested #pragma omp for declarations should be avoided, as enabling nested parallel sections may not be beneficial. Careful partitioning of parallel sections will be needed.

For example, in the setInitialCOnditions function, the inner-most nested loop contains:

```
for(int k=0; k<nk; ++k) {
  int indx = offset + k;
  float dz = (1./nk)*L;
  float z = 0.5*dz+k*dz - 0.5*L;

u[indx] = 1.*coef*sin(x/l)*cos(y/l)*cos(z/l);
  v[indx] = -1.*coef*cos(x/l)*sin(y/l)*cos(z/l);
  p[indx] = (1./16.)*coef*coef*(cos(2.*x/l)+cos(2.*y/l))*(
     cos(2.*z/l)+2.);
  w[indx] = 0;
}</pre>
```

For each iteration of the loop, indx is the sum of offset, defined in the outer loop, and the value of k, which is incremented each iteration of the loop. If the loop was parallelized, each thread may access non-sequential sections of the u, v, p, and w arrays. If we, instead, parallelize the loop that contains the "k" loop, then we can exploit this memory access pattern. This effect is more pronounced the further out this parallelization is declared. Furthermore, this prevents threads from trying to access memory locations that may be in the same cache line.

This pattern of access is present across many of the functions in the program, and can be exploited in the same way. However, this naïve approach isn't applicable to all situations. For example, in computeResidual, the residual flux vectors (e.g. presid, uresid, vresid, and wresid) are calculated using loops that may have overlapping read/write access.

Creating a critical, or locked section, can ensure that more than one thread does not update the variables at the same time, thus avoiding a race condition. However, this will likely result in a performance impact as the entire block is prevented from being entered by another loop. In fact, early testing during development of the code showed that this does create a significant performance impact.

Looking closer at the offset value and the two indexes for each component of the vector, the index of each vector's array is dependent on k and the skip direction (i \* iskip, j \* jskip, k \* kskip). This is true for the three loops calculating the vectors in each direction. Furthermore, the section of each loop where the vectors are accessed includes one of the three skip directions.

```
presid[indx-iskip] -= pflux;
presid[indx] += pflux;
uresid[indx-iskip] -= uflux;
uresid[indx] += uflux;
vresid[indx-iskip] -= vflux;
vresid[indx] += vflux;
wresid[indx-iskip] -= wflux;
wresid[indx] += wflux;
```

Ensuring that the outer most loop isn't incrementing the direction that is being callculated should be sufficient to prevent a race condition.

Simply swapping the i and j loops in the first vector calculation kept the integrity of the data while eliminating the need for variable locks. The second and third calculation in computeResidual do not need to have the loops reordered as the direction of the skip variable is the same as the inner two loops.

Finally, some functions, such as computeStableTimestep, requires that a minimum be reduced from the parallelization portion. In C++, if this was a mathematical operation, such as summation or subtraction (such as in integrateKineticEnergy), this would be a trivial task using a built-in reduction clause. However, it is not. To prevent a race condition, a minimum local to the thread must be calculated, and once that is done, a critical section is added so that only one thread does a global (or team) comparison to set the final minimum value.

```
float minDt = 1e30;
#pragma omp parallel
  float local_minDt = 1e30;
  #pragma omp for nowait
  for(int i=0; i<ni; ++i) {</pre>
    for(int j=0; j < nj; ++j) {
      int offset = kstart+i*iskip+j*jskip;
      for(int k=0; k < nk; ++k) {
        const int indx = k+offset;
        // inviscid timestep
        const float maxu2 = max(u[indx]*u[indx], max(v[indx]*
            v[indx],w[indx]*w[indx]));
        const float af = sqrt(maxu2+eta);
        const float maxev = sqrt(maxu2)+af;
        const float sum = maxev*(1./dx+1./dy+1./dz);
        local_minDt=min(local_minDt,cfl/sum);
        // viscous stable timestep
        const float dist = min(dx,min(dy,dz));
        local_minDt = min < float > (local_minDt , 0.2 * cfl * dist * dist
            /nu);
    }
  }
  #pragma omp critical
    minDT=min(minDT,local_minDt);
}
```

The nowait clause was used so that the threads do not wait for other threads to reach the critical section before performing it.

Further parallelization could be done, with each parallelized function being further parallelized using MPI, however this is outside of the scope of the undergraduate assignment.

The final code as written will be ran a total of 10 times each over a varying number of OpenMP threads. The results will be collected, and the parallel runs compared against the single-threaded runs to ensure race conditions did not occur (i.e. reproduceability).