# **COMP36512 – May/June 2019**

# **MODEL ANSWERS to exam questions**

(3 pages)

## **QUESTION 1**

Clearly: 1-b; 2-h; 3-f; 4-g; 5-c; 6-a; 7-i; 8-d; 9-e.

1 mark for each correct answer.

**(9 marks)** 

### **QUESTION 2**

- (i) this would require a modification of the lexical analyser nothing else.
- (ii) this would require some modifications of the low-level code optimiser nothing to do with the frontend.

(4 marks, 2 marks each)

## **QUESTION 3**

This transformation is a combination of loop skewing (add i to the innermost loop of the original code) and loop interchange (new loop bounds have to be calculated). This is a typical wavefront computation. In the original version, none of the loops is parallelizable because of the loop-carried dependences. In the transformed version, different iterations of the i loop can be executed in parallel, as the optimization groups the dependences for different iterations of the j loop. Students have seen a range of transformations and/or optimisations and their impact was discussed in the lectures (including the impact on code parallelization), but the specific example (parallelization of a wavefront computation) was not shown to them.

(5 marks)

#### **QUESTION 4**

This language generates strings containing any number of a or b in any order, with the final symbol being always a. For example: a, aa, ba, aaa, aba, baa, bba, etc...

(2 marks)

#### **OUESTION 5**

Clearly, all such integers should end with one of 00, 25, 50, 75. Then, the regular expression needs to generate all 5-digit integers between 40000 (the lowest multiple of 25 which is greater than 39980) and 99999 that obey this rule. Hence:

RE  $\rightarrow$  (4|5|6|7|8|9) (digit) (digit) (00 | 25 | 50 | 75)

(3 marks)

#### **QUESTION 6**

i) The relevant steps taken are:

Stack	Input	Action taken
\$ 0	(())()	Shift 3
\$0(3	())()	Shift 6
\$0(3(6	))()	Shift 10
\$0(3(6)10	)()	Reduce 5
\$0(3P5	)()	Shift 8
\$0(3P5)8	( )	Reduce 4
\$ 0 P 2	( )	Reduce 3
\$ 0 L 1	( )	Shift 3
\$0L1(3	)	Shift 7
\$0L1(3)7	eof	Reduce 5
\$0L1P4	eof	Reduce 2
\$ 0 L 1	eof	accept

#### (4 marks)

(ii) In the case of the Goto table, there are as many columns as non-terminal symbols, so no changes are expected. In the case of the Action table, when we have 2 lookahead symbols, we need to consider all possible combinations: (), ((,)), ((eof,)) eof, eof, for a total of 7 columns. In the case of 3 lookahead symbols, we can easily calculate that we need a total of 15 combinations/columns. The key is to notice that some options are not possible, e.g., eof), etc.

## (4 marks)

### **QUESTION 7:**

As there are only 18278 different names, a possible approach is to compute a different value for each name and then perform a modulo 1024 operation. This would map about 18 different names to each symbol table entry, and assuming a good distribution for common names (e.g., single letter names) chances of collision would be minimized. Thus, a good hash function could be: [ (ascii(LETTER1)-(ascii('a')+1) + (ascii(LETTER2)-(ascii('a')+1)\*26 + (ascii(LETTER3)-(ascii('a')+1)\*26\*26 ] modulo 1024 (assuming a three letter name, LETTER1 LETTER2 LETTER3 – for shorter names, the corresponding components are removed). Any sensible answers that give a balanced distribution of names on the table will get full marks. (5 marks)

#### **QUESTION 8**

When the execution reaches the printf statement for the first time, it has called the following functions: A(1) (from main), A(0) (from A), B(0) (from A), C(0) (from B). So, including main(), there will be five activation records in the stack, each activation record corresponding to a function call.

# **(3 marks)**

## **QUESTION 9:**

Applying constant propagation first: the  $2^{nd}$  line becomes if (3>7)..., the  $3^{rd}$  line becomes ...my\_function(z\*0), the  $4^{th}$  line becomes for(i=10; i<=5; ... Applying dead-code elimination, the  $2^{nd}$  and  $4^{th}$  line disappear, applying constant folding the  $3^{rd}$  line becomes my\_function(0). This results in:

```
b=4; c=1; d=3; n=5; scanf("%d",&z); q=my_function(0); printf("final %d \n",q);
```

Assuming that the variables b, c, d, n, z are not needed anywhere else in the code, the first line can be eliminated too. As required, students should show in each case the resulting code.

### (6 marks)

# **QUESTION 10**

The live ranges are:

r1 [1,4] r2 [2,4] r3 [3,6] r1 [4,7] r2 [5,8] r3 [6,7] r4 [7,8] r5 [8,8]

(3 marks)

## **QUESTION 11:**

The graph colouring algorithm may be based on ranking nodes according to the number of edges and then assigning a colour in some order taking care so that a neighbour does not share the same colour.

Number of edges per node:

A: 5, B: 2, C: 3, D: 3, E: 4, F: 2, G: 4, H: 4, I: 4, J:3

Then using the colours: Red-Green-Blue-Black:

A: Red

E: Red

G: Green

H: Green

I: Blue

C: Red

D: Blue

J: Black

B: Green

F: Green

The minimum number of colours needed is 4.

**(4 marks)** 

## **QUESTION 12:**

First build the precedence graph: (precedence graph not drawn here)

8 depends on 3 and 7; 7 depends on 5 and 2; 6 depends on 1 and 4; 5 depends on 4 and 1

Then assign weights; as latency is always 1 this is the longest path to exit:

1,4 have a weight of 4; 2,5 have a weight of 3; 3,7 have a weight of 2; 6,8 have a weight of 1

We schedule an instruction that is available, starting with those with highest weight:

Cycle1	1	nop	4
Cycle2	2	6	5
Cycle3	3	7	nop
Cycle 4	nop	nop	8
On in 41-2 2222	~ £ 2 £	1	

Or, in the case of 2 functional units:

 Cycle1
 1
 4

 Cycle2
 2
 5

 Cycle3
 3
 7

 Cycle4
 8
 6

Comparing the two schedules: (i) the length is the same; (ii) in the first case, we use cheaper functional units but utilisation is low.

### (8 marks)