

```
In [1]: import numpy as np
        from numpy.typing import ArrayLike
```

```
In [2]: def dot(A:ArrayLike, B:ArrayLike)->ArrayLike:
        '''
        Parameters
        -----
        A: numpy.typing.ArrayLike
            Numpy Array for multiplication

        B: numpy.typing.ArrayLike
            Numpy Array for multiplication

        Returns
        -----
        res: numpy.typing.ArrayLike
            Numpy Array representing the dot product of A and B
        '''

        # extracting the rows and columns from the matrices
        r1, c1 = A.shape
        r2, c2 = B.shape

        # validating the operation
        assert c1 == r2, \
            f"Invalid operation: Num cols of A and Num rows of B are not equal for {A.shape}, {B.s

        # creating an array of zeros
        res = np.zeros((r1,c2), dtype=A.dtype)

        # populating res
        for i in range(len(A)):
            for j in range(len(B[0])):
                for k in range(len(B)):
                    res[i][j] += int(A[i][k]) * int(B[k][j])
        return res
```

```
In [3]: # a fun little list comprehension solution

def dot_comprehension(A:ArrayLike, B:ArrayLike)->ArrayLike:
    '''
    Parameters
    -----
    A: numpy.typing.ArrayLike
        Numpy Array for multiplication

    B: numpy.typing.ArrayLike
        Numpy Array for multiplication

    Returns
    -----
    res: numpy.typing.ArrayLike
        Numpy Array representing the dot product of A and B
    '''

    # extracting the rows and columns from the matrices
    r1, c1 = A.shape
    r2, c2 = B.shape

    assert c1 == r2, \
        f"Invalid operation: Num cols of A and Num rows of B are not equal for {A.shape}, {B.s
```

```
res = np.array([[sum(a*b for a,b in zip(A_row,B_col)) for B_col in zip(*B)] for A_row  
return res
```

In [4]:

```
A = np.array([[ -4, -3, -2], [6,0,-1], [2,1,3]])  
B = np.array([[5,4], [6,7], [-4,-3]])  
  
X = np.array([[1,0],[0,1]])  
Y = np.array([[1,2,3,4],[5,6,7,8]])
```

In [5]:

```
print(f"Dot:\n{dot(A,B)}\n\nNumpy Dot:\n{np.dot(A,B)}")
```

```
Dot:  
[[-30 -31]  
 [ 34  27]  
 [  4   6]]
```

```
Numpy Dot:  
[[-30 -31]  
 [ 34  27]  
 [  4   6]]
```

In [6]:

```
print(f"Dot:\n{dot(X,Y)}\n\nNumpy Dot:\n{np.dot(X,Y)}")
```

```
Dot:  
[[1 2 3 4]  
 [5 6 7 8]]
```

```
Numpy Dot:  
[[1 2 3 4]  
 [5 6 7 8]]
```

In []:

DSCC 265
Assignment 1
Uzair Tahamid Siam

1. Attached .ipynb file

2. (a) i. Non-negativity: By definition, the absolute value is always non-negative. Since, $|x_i - y_i| \geq 0 \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, the sum over all i must be non-negative as well.
- ii. Definiteness: If, $x_i = y_i$, $|x_i - y_i| = |x_i - x_i| = 0$ and by symmetry this is true for $y_i = x_i$. Since, this is true for any general x_i and y_i this must be true for the sum over all i.
If $L_1(x, y) = 0 \Rightarrow 0 = \sum_{i=1}^d |x_i - y_i| \Rightarrow |x_i - y_i| = 0 \Rightarrow |x_i| - |y_i| = 0 \Rightarrow x_i = y_i$. As a result, $\sum_{i=1}^d |x_i - y_i| = 0$ iff $x_i = y_i$.
- iii. Homogeneity:

$$L_1(tx, ty) = \sum_{i=1}^d |tx_i - ty_i| = \sum_{i=1}^d |tx_i - ty_i| = \sum_{i=1}^d |t||x_i - y_i| = |t| \sum_{i=1}^d |x_i - y_i| = |t|L_1(x, y)$$

iv. Triangle Inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} d(x, y) &= \sum_{i=1}^d |x_i - y_i| \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^d |(x_i - z_i) + (z_i - y_i)| \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^d |x_i - z_i| + \sum_{i=1}^d |z_i - y_i| \\ &= d(x, z) + d(z, y) \forall x, y, z \in \mathbb{R} \end{aligned}$$

- (b) i. Non-negativity: $(x_i - y_i)^2 \geq 0 \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R} \Rightarrow \sqrt{(x_i - y_i)^2} \geq 0 \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}$. Therefore, the sum over all i must be non-negative as well.
- ii. Definiteness: If, $x_i = y_i$, $\sqrt{(x_i - y_i)^2} = \sqrt{(x_i - x_i)^2} = 0$ and by symmetry this is true for $x_i = y_i$. Since, this is true for any general x_i and y_i this must be true for the sum over all i.
If $L_2(x, y) = 0 \Rightarrow 0 = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^d (x_i - y_i)^2} \Rightarrow 0 = \sum_{i=1}^d (x_i - y_i)^2 \Rightarrow (x_i - y_i)^2 = 0 \Rightarrow x_i - y_i = 0 \Rightarrow x_i = y_i$. As a result, $\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^d (x_i - y_i)^2} = 0$ iff $x_i = y_i$.
- iii. Homogeneity:

$$\begin{aligned} L_2(tx, ty) &= \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^d (tx_i - ty_i)^2} = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^d (tx_i - ty_i)^2} = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^d t^2(x_i - y_i)^2} = \\ &= \sqrt{t^2} \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^d (x_i - y_i)^2} = |t|L_2(x, y) \end{aligned}$$

iv. Triangle Inequality:

$$\begin{aligned}
[L_2(x, y)]^2 &= \left(\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^d (x_i - y_i)^2} \right)^2 \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^d (x_i - y_i)^2 \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^d [(x_i - z_i) + (z_i - y_i)]^2 \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^d (x_i - z_i)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^d (z_i - y_i)^2 + 2 \sum_{i=1}^d (x_i - z_i)(z_i - y_i)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
[L_2(x, z) + L_2(z, y)]^2 &= \left(\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^d (x_i - z_i)^2} + \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^d (z_i - y_i)^2} \right)^2 \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^d (x_i - z_i)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^d (z_i - y_i)^2 + 2 \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^d (x_i - z_i)^2} \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^d (z_i - y_i)^2}
\end{aligned}$$

By the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality in n-dimensional Euclidean space,

$$\begin{aligned}
2 \sum_{i=1}^d (x_i - z_i)(z_i - y_i) &\leq 2 \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^d (x_i - z_i)^2} \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^d (z_i - y_i)^2} \\
\therefore [L_2(x, y)]^2 &\leq [L_2(x, z) + L_2(z, y)]^2 \\
\Rightarrow L_2(x, y) &\leq L_2(x, z) + L_2(z, y) \\
\Rightarrow d(x, y) &\leq d(x, z) + d(z, y)
\end{aligned}$$

(c) i. Homogeneity:

$$L_2^2(tx, ty) = \sum_{i=1}^d (tx_i - ty_i)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^d (tx_i - ty_i)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^d t^2 (x_i - y_i)^2 = (t)^2 \sum_{i=1}^d (x_i - y_i)^2 \neq |t| L_2^2(x, y)$$

\therefore NOT A METRIC

3.

$$A - \lambda I = \begin{bmatrix} 4 - \lambda & 4 & 4 \\ -2 & -3 - \lambda & -6 \\ 1 & 3 & 6 - \lambda \end{bmatrix}$$

Characteristic Polynomial:

$$\begin{aligned}
\det(A - \lambda I) &= (4 - \lambda)[(-3 - \lambda)(6 - \lambda) + 18] - 4[-2(6 - \lambda) + 6] + 4[-6 - (-3 - \lambda)] \\
&= (4 - \lambda)(\lambda^2 - 3\lambda) - 4(2\lambda - 6) = 4(\lambda - 3) \\
&= (\lambda - 3)(\lambda(4 - \lambda) - 8 + 4) \\
&= (\lambda - 3)(-\lambda^2 + 4\lambda - 4) \\
&= -(\lambda - 3)(\lambda^2 - 4\lambda + 4) \\
&= -(\lambda^3 - 7\lambda^2 + 16\lambda - 12) \\
&= -\lambda^3 + 7\lambda^2 - 16\lambda + 12
\end{aligned}$$

Eigenvalues:

$$\begin{aligned}
-\lambda^3 + 7\lambda^2 - 16\lambda + 12 &= 0 \\
(\lambda - 3)(\lambda^2 - 4\lambda + 4) &= 0 \\
(\lambda - 3)(\lambda - 2)^2 &= 0 \\
\therefore \lambda &= 2(\text{multiplicity} = 2), 3
\end{aligned}$$

Eigenvectors:

For, $\lambda = 3$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left[\begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & 4 & 4 & 0 \\ -2 & -6 & -6 & 0 \\ 1 & 3 & 3 & 0 \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{2r_1 + r_2 \rightarrow r_2} \left[\begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & 4 & 4 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 2 & 0 \\ 1 & 3 & 3 & 0 \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{r_3 - r_1 \rightarrow r_3} \left[\begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & 4 & 4 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & -1 & 0 \end{array} \right] \\
&\xrightarrow{r_2/2 \rightarrow r_2} \left[\begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & 4 & 4 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & -1 & 0 \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{r_3 + r_2 \rightarrow r_3} \left[\begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & 4 & 4 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{r_1 - 4r_2 \rightarrow r_1} \left[\begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right]
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\therefore x_1 &= 0 \\
x_2 + x_3 &= 0 \Rightarrow x_2 = -x_3 \\
\Rightarrow X &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -x_3 \\ x_3 \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow \left\{ x_3 \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

Let, $x_3 = 1$,

$$v_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Similarly, for $\lambda = 2$

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccc|c} 2 & 4 & 4 & 0 \\ -2 & -5 & -6 & 0 \\ 1 & 3 & 4 & 0 \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{r_1/2 \rightarrow r_1} \left[\begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & 2 & 2 & 0 \\ -2 & -5 & -6 & 0 \\ 1 & 3 & 4 & 0 \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{r_2 + 2r_1 \rightarrow r_2} \left[\begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & 2 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & -2 & 0 \\ 1 & 3 & 4 & 0 \end{array} \right]$$

$$r_3 \xrightarrow{-r_1 \rightarrow r_3} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 2 & | & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & -2 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & | & 0 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{-r_2 \rightarrow r_2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 2 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & | & 0 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{r_3 - r_2 \rightarrow r_3} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & | & 0 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{r_1 - 2r_2 \rightarrow r_1} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -2 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & | & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\therefore x_1 - 2x_3 = 0$$

$$x_2 + 2x_3 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow X = \begin{pmatrix} 2x_3 \\ -2x_3 \\ x_3 \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow \left\{ x_3 \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ -2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

Let, $x_3 = 1$,

$$v_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ -2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

4. (a)

$$\begin{aligned} Tr(ABC) &= \sum_{i=1} (ABC)_{ii} \\ &= \sum_{i=1} \sum_{j=1} A_{ij} (BC)_{ji} \\ &= \sum_{i=1} \sum_{j=1} \sum_{k=1} A_{ij} B_{jk} C_{ki} \end{aligned}$$

Since, A_{ij} , B_{jk} , C_{ki} are all scalars, they are commutative.

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore \sum_{i=1} \sum_{j=1} \sum_{k=1} A_{ij} B_{jk} C_{ki} &= \sum_{i=1} \sum_{j=1} \sum_{k=1} C_{ki} A_{ij} B_{jk} \\ &= \sum_{i=1} \sum_{k=1} C_{ki} (AB)_{ik} \\ &= \sum_{k=1} (CAB)_{kk} \\ &= Tr(CAB) \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore \sum_{i=1} \sum_{j=1} \sum_{k=1} A_{ij} B_{jk} C_{ki} &= \sum_{i=1} \sum_{j=1} \sum_{k=1} B_{jk} C_{ki} A_{ij} \\ &= \sum_{j=1} \sum_{k=1} B_{jk} (CA)_{kj} \\ &= \sum_{j=1} (BCA)_{jj} \\ &= Tr(BCA) \end{aligned}$$

So, we can conclude that,

$$Tr(ABC) = \sum_{i=1} \sum_{j=1} \sum_{k=1} A_{ij} B_{jk} C_{ki} = Tr(CAB) = Tr(BCA)$$

- (b) From our proof in (a) it is already obvious that $\text{Tr}(BAC)$ is not always equal to $\text{Tr}(ABC)$ due to the dimensions of the two matrices. Let, $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ $B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ $C = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$

$$ABC = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\therefore \text{Tr}(ABC) = 1$$

Now,

$$BAC = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\therefore \text{Tr}(BAC) = 0$$

So, $\text{Tr}(ABC) \neq \text{Tr}(BAC)$ for all A, B, C.

5. (a) Let, $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ $B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$

$$AB = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$BA = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

\therefore if $AB = 0$, $BA = 0$ is not always true

- (b) Not true. Counterexample is the same as (a). $AB = 0$ but neither $A = 0$ nor $B = 0$

- (c) A is invertible, since, $\det(A) \neq 0$. So,

$$AB = 0$$

$$A^{-1}AB = 0$$

$$\therefore B = 0$$

- (d) $\det(AB) = \det(A)\det(B) = \det(B)\det(A) = \det(BA) = 0$. Let, $BA = C$, so C is a singular matrix. $\therefore C\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$ which defines the nullspace of C, $\{\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n : C\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}\}$ can be nontrivial.