Muslim Diversity Study: Quantitative protocol and practical insights on engaging New Zealand's Muslim communities

M. Usman Afzali^{1,2}, Jamila S. Badis², Parus Khoso³, Farah Shawkat¹, Fatima A. Junaid⁴, Ayca Arkilic⁵, Mazharuddin Syed Ahmed⁶, Hussain Raissi⁷, Hala Burhoum², Tuba Azeem⁸, Iman Husain², Zarqa Shaheen Ali⁹, Zahra Haidary², Mashal Khan², Mai Tamimi¹⁰, Nasratullah Hamid¹¹, Gul e Aqsa¹², Zahra Emamzadeh¹³, Rizwan Sulehry¹⁴, Somia Tasneem¹⁵, Aamina Ali¹⁶, Adepate Mustapha-Koiki¹⁷, Afrah Ali¹⁰, Sandila Tanveer¹¹, Kumar Yogeeswaran², Chris G. Sibley¹⁸, Joseph A. Bulbulia^{19,20}, and Aarif Rasheed²¹

¹Religion Programme, University of Otago

²School of Psychology, Speech and Hearing, University of Canterbury ³College of Education, University of Canterbury

⁴School of Management, Massey University

⁵School of History, Philosophy, Political Science and International Relations, Victoria University of Wellington

⁶Engineering and Architectural Studies, Ara Institute of Canterbury

⁷The National Centre for Peace and Conflict Studies, University of Otago

⁸Faculty of Law, Victoria University of Wellington

⁹ICL Business School, New Zealand Skills and Education College

¹⁰Independent Researcher

¹¹Department of Psychological Medicine, University of Otago Christchurch ¹²School of Health Sciences, University of Canterbury ¹³School of Language, Social & Political Sciences, University of Canterbury
 ¹⁴School of Management, Victoria University of Wellington
 ¹⁵Department of History, Government College University, Faisalabad, Pakistan
 ¹⁶PsychologyNZ

¹⁷Department of Politics and International Relations, University of Auckland
 ¹⁸School of Psychology, University of Auckland
 ¹⁹School of Psychology, Victoria University of Wellington
 ²⁰Department of Linguistic and Cultural Evolution, Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary
 Anthropology

²¹Just Community

Author Note

M. Usman Afzali https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5119-9388

Jamila S. Badis (b) https://orcid.org/0009-0005-2866-5033

Parus Khoso https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6384-038X

Farah Shawkat https://orcid.org/0009-0006-0319-9117

Fatima A. Junaid https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6656-8120

Ayea Arkilic https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1775-3311

Mazharuddin Syed Ahmed https://orcid.org/0000-0000-0000-0001

Hussain Raissi https://orcid.org/0009-0000-7985-1622

Hala Burhoum (b) https://orcid.org/0000-0000-0000-0001

Tuba Azeem (b) https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0611-8726

Iman Husain https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4032-4387

Zarqa Shaheen Ali https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7145-5788

Zahra Haidary (b) https://orcid.org/0009-0000-5259-622X

Mashal Khan https://orcid.org/0009-0004-5903-3306

Mai Tamimi https://orcid.org/0000-0000-0000-0000

Nasratullah Hamid https://orcid.org/0009-0002-0120-7428

Gul e Aqsa https://orcid.org/0009-0003-0928-8039

Zahra Emamzadeh (b) https://orcid.org/0009-0007-3065-2199

Rizwan Sulehry https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1209-0635

Somia Tasneem (b) https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5471-6934

Aamina Ali https://orcid.org/0009-0000-8153-8432

Adepate Mustapha-Koiki https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4731-1781

Afrah Ali https://orcid.org/0009-0004-5856-4025

Sandila Tanveer https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0648-5382

Kumar Yogeeswaran https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1978-5077

Chris G. Sibley https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4064-8800

Joseph A. Bulbulia https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5861-2056

Aarif Rasheed https://orcid.org/0000-0000-0000-0001

The hypotheses and data analysis plan for this study were preregistered at OSF https://doi.org/10.17605/OSF.IO/B39XT. The data described in this study are part of the Muslim Diversity Study, which is conducted under the New Zealand Attitudes and Values Study. The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose. The Muslim Diversity Study - officially known as "A National Longitudinal Study of Muslim Diversity and Flourishing" is supported by a grant from the Templeton Religion Trust (TRT-2022-30579). The funders had no role in preparing the manuscript or the decision to publish it. The authors are grateful to W. Joel Schneider for the Quarto template. Author roles were classified using the Contributor Role Taxonomy (CRediT; https://credit.niso.org/) as follows: M. Usman Afzali: conceptualisation, data curation, formal analysis, funding acquisition, investigation, methodology, project administration, resources, supervision, visualisation, writing - original draft, writing - review & editing; Jamila S. Badis: data curation, project administration, writing - original draft, writing - review & editing; Parus Khoso: data curation, formal analysis, writing - original draft (pilot community consultation), writing - review & editing; Farah Shawkat: data curation, writing - original draft (method), writing - review & editing; Fatima A. Junaid: writing - original draft (introduction), writing review & editing; Ayca Arkilic: writing - original draft (introduction), writing - review & editing; Mazharuddin Syed Ahmed: data curation, writing - review & editing; Hussain Raissi: data curation, writing - original draft (method), writing - review & editing; Hala Burhoum: data curation, writing - original draft (method), writing - review & editing; Tuba Azeem: data curation, writing - review & editing; Iman Husain: data curation, writing - original draft (method), writing - review & editing; Zarqa Shaheen Ali: data curation, writing - original draft (method), writing review & editing; Zahra Haidary: data curation, writing - original draft (method), writing - review & editing; Mashal Khan: data curation, writing - original draft (method), writing - review & editing; Mai Tamimi: writing - original draft (method), writing - review & editing; Nasratullah

5

Hamid: data curation, writing - original draft (method), writing - review & editing; Gul e Agsa: data curation, writing - review & editing; Zahra Emamzadeh: writing - original draft (strengths & limitations), writing - review & editing; Rizwan Sulehry: data curation, writing - original draft (strengths & limitations), writing - review & editing; Somia Tasneem; writing - original draft (strengths & limitations), writing - review & editing; Aamina Ali: writing - original draft (applications & implications), writing - review & editing; Adepate Mustapha-Koiki: writing original draft (applications & implications), writing - review & editing; Afrah Ali: writing review & editing; Sandila Tanveer: writing - review & editing; Kumar Yogeeswaran: conceptualisation, funding acquisition, methodology, writing - original draft, writing - review & editing; Chris G. Sibley: conceptualisation, data curation, funding acquisition, methodology, project administration, resources, supervision, writing - review & editing, development and management of the New Zealand Attitudes and Values Study panel data collection from 2009 to the present; Joseph A. Bulbulia: conceptualisation, funding acquisition, methodology, project administration, resources, supervision, writing - original draft, writing - review & editing; Aarif Rasheed: conceptualisation, data curation, funding acquisition, resources, writing - review & editing

Correspondence concerning this article should be addressed to M. Usman Afzali, Religion Programme, University of Otago, 362 Leith Street, Dunedin, Otago 9016, New Zealand, Email: usman.afzali@otago.ac.nz

Abstract

The New Zealand Attitudes and Values Study (NZAVS) represents a substantial longitudinal research initiative aimed at comprehensively understanding the social values and attitudes prevalent among New Zealanders. The NZAVS is distinguished by its extensive examination of various social, political, and health-related dimensions of life in New Zealand, with a particular emphasis on investigating negative attitudes towards minority groups, including manifestations of discrimination and prejudice. In response to 2019 Christchurch attacks, our objective was to utilize data from the NZAVS to gain insights into Islamophobia and its repercussions for the Muslim community. Furthermore, we sought to explore the overall wellbeing and flourishing of Muslims in New Zealand, investigating how values, identity, religiosity, and meaning-making influence Muslims' self-perception and health outcomes. However, the limited sample size of Muslims within the NZAVS posed a challenge to making robust inferences. To address this limitation, the current project was conceived to recruit a larger cohort of Muslim participants within the NZAVS framework over a three-year quantitative longitudinal study. This article has two major parts. Firstly, it delineates the contextual motivations for this research, the pilot consultation with the Muslim community, and the decisions made and adjusted based on this consultation. It also outlines the data collection methods, research team functions, quantitative measures used, and timeline. Secondly, it provides practical guidelines in terms of data collection drawing on our understanding of enablers and challengers of data collection from a culturally distinct religious community in New Zealand. Hence, this article will serve as a reference text for researchers conducting work not only on Muslims in New Zealand but also on other ethnic and religious communities and minorities in the country. It will also allow researchers to apply the methods to other contexts and regions across the world to provide comparative insights and analyses.

Keywords: Muslims, diversity, New Zealand, Muslim Diversity Study (MDS), New Zealand Attitudes and Values Study (MDS)

Muslim Diversity Study: Quantitative protocol and practical insights on engaging New Zealand's Muslim communities