

# Comparing Proportions for Two Independent Samples: An Example

Brady T. West



#### Example: Comparing Proportions in Two Groups

#### **Research Question:**

Considering elderly Hispanic adults (age 80+) living in the U.S. in 2015-2016, did the proportions of males and females who smoked vary significantly?

#### **Inference Approaches:**

- Form a confidence interval for the difference in the two proportions
- Perform a chi-square test to test the significance of the difference in the two population proportions
- Be sure to check assumptions!



- Males: Proportion = 0.565, n = 16 (small sample!)
- **Females**: Proportion = 0.250, n = 32



**Males:** Proportion = 0.565, n = 16 (small sample!) **Females:** Proportion = 0.250, n = 32

- **Best Point Estimate:** Difference in sample proportions is 0.565 0.250 = 0.315
- Interpretation: In 2015-2016, we estimate that the percentage of all male elderly Hispanics who smoked was 31.5 percentage points *higher* than for all **female** elderly Hispanics



Males: Proportion = 0.565, n = 16 (small sample!) Females: Proportion = 0.250, n = 32

#### **Assumptions:**

- I. Is sampling distribution of difference in sample proportions normal? Unlikely...
- 2. Do we have at least 10 outcomes (smoker/non-smoker) in each group? No...
  - 3. Are the two samples independent? Yes
  - 4. Are the observations independent? Yes, assumed for now...



- Calculate estimated standard error of the difference:

Estimated SE = 
$$\sqrt{\frac{0.565(1-0.565)}{16} + \frac{0.250(1-0.250)}{32}} = 0.146$$

- Determine the "few": a critical value for a 95% CI: z = 1.96
- Add and subtract margin of error from best estimate of the difference:

$$0.315 \pm 1.96 \times 0.146$$

- 95% CI for difference in population proportion is (0.027, 0.598)
- Interval doesn't include 0 → Significant difference!



## Approach 1: Check Robustness

Given concerns about some assumptions due to small sample sizes, can compute an **exact 95% confidence interval**for the difference in population proportions

(more computationally intensive)

Resulting exact 95% confidence interval: (0.015, 0.574) Similar result, but evidence is not as overwhelming!



# Approach 2: Chi-square Test

- Null: <u>Equal</u> population proportions
   of elderly male and female Hispanics who smoke
- Alternative: Males and Females have different population proportions who smoke

Significance Level = 5%



# Approach 2: Chi-square Test

#### **Assumptions:**

- Are all **expected counts** for each cell of the 2 x 2 table under the null hypothesis **greater than 5**?
- **Yes**: if overall sample rate of smokers,  $\frac{17}{48} = 0.354$ , is applied to each sample size of 16 (males) and 32 (females)
  - > expect about 6 males and about 11 females to be smokers!
- Note: we assume independent observations for now!



# Approach 2: Chi-square Test

Resulting test statistic and p-value:

 $\chi^2$  = 4.554, df = 1, p-value = 0.033

Reject null hypothesis >

support the population proportions of smokers are different!

Note: if had initially selected 1% significance level (Type I error rate = 0.01), we would have **failed to reject** the null hypothesis! Evidence is not overwhelming.



## Chi-square Test: Check Robustness

Consider Fisher's Exact Z Test given small sample sizes...

p-value is  $0.054 \rightarrow$ 

do not have overwhelming evidence against the null hypothesis



#### Overall Conclusion

Conclusion: We have weak evidence of a significant difference in the population proportions of smokers for elderly male and female Hispanics living in the U.S. in 2015-2016 (small sample sizes and limited statistical power)

#### **Notes:**

- I. If same difference in proportions (0.315) were to emerge with larger sample sizes in each group, would likely find it significant, regardless of significance level  $\rightarrow$  big difference in reality!
- 2. Need to allow for larger degree of uncertainty in our analysis due to small samples sizes.