4. Linked List:

) Issues with Arrays:

- (i) when you insert a element in array (in middle) or at index 1. Then all the elements have to copied by pasted one space ahead to make space. It we have large no of elements, it is not efficient way.
- 2) Also when dynamic away's space is totally finished, for the new element all the elements are copied to new place having more memory.

 (which is also not efficient.

In Linked Lists:

Head 298 Oxal 305 Oxes 320 0x07 301 0x00 292 42 42 Head Pointer Null

clement stored at random addresses (unlike aways where we have contigions memory locations). And those random addresses are linked together using a pointer.

(0xA1) that is address of second number then so on and so for.

(Head points to next element)

insertion at random space. (start) e.g If you want to insert 284 at index 1. 305 OXCS 320 OXD7 ----Head Y 298 OXCFD OFOXO 284 OXAI so simply what we did is we change 1st pointy value to "OXC70? that has 284 => Insert element at beginning = O(1) > Delete element at beginning = O(1) => Insert | Delete element at the end = O(n) · b/c you have to change all addresses. Benefits over Anays. 1) You will have just allocated that space which you need. 2) Insertion is easier. Linked list Traversal = O(n) Accessing element by = O(n)value Double Linked <u>List</u>s: OXCZ oxso mull 298 OXAI K > OXSO 305 OXCS K > OXAI 320 CXD7 K

4 so in double linked lists we have address of the next element and also the address of previous element.

The address of previous element.

So we can traverse in both directions.

→ One advantage away have over linked list is if you have index no list you can access element directly but in linked list you have haverse through list.

array → O(1)

linkedlists -> O(1)

Inserting / Deleting in end of surveys -> O(1)

() Inserting / Deleting in limit you have to copy.

O(n)