

## Motivation

- Finding/fixing code defects: \$600+ B;
- Need for automated bug identification, vulnerability detection, and analysis

## Objective

Accelerate AI and Formal Methods (FM) Cybersecurity R&D to **secure** critical infrastructure

## Methodology

- Utilize Bugs Framework (BF) – multi-dimensional vulnerability formalism
- Develop BF-based AI agents and AI-powered systems for generation of:
  - ✓ Formal vulnerability specifications
  - ✓ Dynamic **formal** multi-dimensional vulnerability classifications
- Develop BF-based FM-powered systems to prove code correctness or existence of hardware or software bugs/weaknesses and vulnerabilities

## NVD by BF Type

NVD labels **200,000+** CVEs with CWEs

- 60% map to two BF Class Types
  - ✓ 68,000+ → BF Input Check (\_INP)
  - ✓ 46,000+ → BF Memory (\_MEM)
- Most relate to
  - ✓ Injection ← **SQL Injection**
  - ✓ Memory Corruption/ Disclosure ← **Buffer Overflow**

BF Type	Count	Percentage
_INP	73517	38%
_MEM	68513	36%
_DAT	46231	24%
Other	3631	2%

BF, I. Bojanova

## BF-Based AI Agents

- Analyze ← BF definitions, taxonomies
  - ✓ Narrative descriptions ← NVD, CVE, CWE, KEV, CPE, EPSS
  - ✓ Hardware bug/weakness ← firmware (incl. microcode), circuit logic
  - ✓ Software bug/weaknesses ← source code (e.g., on GitHub)
- Generate
  - ✓ BFVUL Specifications
  - ✓ BF Secure Coding Principles
- Validate/Verify
  - ✓ Toward BF Formal Language

## BF-Based FM Proves

- Security Rules
- Code correctness
- Existence of bugs/weaknesses

## NVD<sup>BF</sup> Heartbleed

NATIONAL VULNERABILITY DATABASE

**CVE-2014-0160 Detail**

**Description**

The (1) TLS and (2) DTLS implementations in OpenSSL 1.0.1 before 1.0.1g do not properly handle Heartbeat Extension packets, which allows remote attackers to obtain sensitive information from process memory via crafted packets that trigger a buffer over-read, as demonstrated by reading private keys, related to d1\_both.c and t1\_llb.c, aka the Heartbleed bug.

**Metrics**

	CVSS Version 4.0	CVSS Version 3.x	CVSS Version 2.0
NIST: NVD	Base Score: 7.5 HIGH	Vector: CVSS:3.1/AV:N/AC:L/PR:N/Ui:N/S:U/C:H/I:H	
ADP: CISA-ADP	Base Score: 7.5 HIGH	Vector: CVSS:3.1/AV:N/AC:L/PR:N/Ui:N/S:U/C:H/I:H	

**Weakness Enumeration**

CWE-ID	CWE Name	Source
CWE-125	Out-of-bounds Read	NIST CISA-ADP

## Vulnerability Classifications

NVD Vulnerability Classification Model

**CWE**, **CVE**, **CVSS**, **CPE**

**NVD**

**BFCWE**, **BFCVE**, **BFCVEpre**, **BFVUL**

**Github**, **Code Analysis**, **EPSS**

**CISA Known Exploited Vulnerabilities Catalog**

**BF Vulnerability Classification**

BF Taxonomy, Descriptions Examples, CWE Assignments, Severity Score, Other Vulnerability Repositories, Commit URLs, Codes with Fix, Code with Diffs, Exploited Vulnerabilities, Exploit Probability

## Augment NVD

- NVD description ← BF specification
- CVSS scores ← BF attributes analytics
- CWE assignments ← BF security rules

BF Specification of CVE-2014-0160 - Heartbleed in OpenSSL v1.0.1 before v1.0.1g

**\_INP Weakness Data Verification (DVR)**

**Code Defect Bug**

Mechanism: Missing Code in 'dtls1\_process\_heartbeat(SSL \*)'

Source Code: Third-Party ssl/d1\_both.c:1462 ssl/t1\_llb.c:2591

Operation: Verify length

Execution Space: Local

Data Error: Inconsistent Value 'payload'

**\_MEM Weakness Memory Addressing (MAD)**

Mechanism: Sequential

Source Code: Third-Party ssl/d1\_both.c:1487 ssl/t1\_llb.c:2620

Operation: Reposition pointer

Execution Space: Userland

Address State: Heap

Address Error: Over Bound Pointer 'pl'

Size Kind Used: for s->s3->rrec.data[0]

**\_MEM Weakness Memory Use (MUS)**

Mechanism: Sequential

Source Code: Third-Party ssl/d1\_both.c:1487 ssl/t1\_llb.c:2620

Operation: Read object

Execution Space: Userland

Address State: Heap

Address Error: Buffer Over-Read 'pl'

Size Kind Used: up to 64kb per exploit

**\_MEM Weakness Memory Use (MUS)**

Mechanism: Sequential

Source Code: Codebase

Operation: Clear object

Execution Space: Userland

Address State: Heap

Address Error: Not Cleared Object

Size Kind Actual: up to 64kb per exploit

**Failure**: IEX, BF Tool, I. Bojanova

## Multi-dimensional vulnerability classifications

- By properties – e.g.,
  - ✓ **Root cause** – BF code bug or hardware induced BF data fault
  - ✓ Final error – BF exploit vector
- By similarities – e.g.,
  - ✓ Number of underlying weaknesses
  - ✓ Identical chains of weaknesses
  - ✓ Severity – BF attributes

## Potential Impact

- Unprecedented cybersecurity analytics capabilities
- Highly informed cybersecurity R&D innovations
- Solidifying NIST NVD as authoritative reference
- Establish NIST BF as the standard for specifying cybersecurity vulnerabilities

Bojanova I (2024) Bugs Framework (BF): Formalizing Cybersecurity Weaknesses and Vulnerabilities. (National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD). NIST Special Publication (SP), NIST SP 800-231. <https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.SP.800-231>

U.S. Patent Application No. PCT/US2025/038662, Bugs Framework (BF) – A System for Formal Specification of Cybersecurity Weaknesses and Vulnerabilities, Definition of Secure Coding Principles, and Generation of Weakness and Vulnerability Datasets and Vulnerability Classifications. Inventor: Irena Bojanova, NIST.

02/08/2026