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**Russell Reinsch (to Everyone)**: 12:59 PM: Is my audio not working?

**Russell Reinsch (to Everyone)**: 1:00 PM: Yes

**Russell Reinsch (to Organizer(s) Only)**: 1:05 PM: Meeting notes: R. Reyling interest in secure architecture / DBs. Attendees: Mark U., Frank F, Piyush M, Cavan C

**Russell Reinsch (to Organizer(s) Only)**: 1:06 PM: , R. Reinsch

**Mark Underwood (to Everyone)**: 1:26 PM: Wondering what the status of the FHIR stds work: see http://bit.ly/1Ub9scS

**Mark Underwood (to Everyone)**: 1:26 PM: I follow this - they are trying to address these issues. Did DHHS mention?

**Russell Reinsch (to Organizer(s) Only)**: 1:31 PM: Cavan: rewrite Hippa, too difficult for research transactions. Record linkage provies context.

**Ann Racuya-Robbins (to Everyone)**: 1:38 PM: A lot of good work here. Thank you Cavan!

**Ann Racuya-Robbins (to Everyone)**: 1:41 PM: Are you talking about Patient Privacy Rights Group Mark?

**Mark Underwood (to Everyone)**: 1:43 PM: no

**Russell Reinsch (to Organizer(s) Only)**: 1:53 PM: Additional attendees at 1:45: A. Robbins, D. Boyd, K. Harper, N. Grady, Q. Nguyen,

**Mark Underwood (to Everyone)**: 1:55 PM: Cavan, can you share your deck with the S+P listserv?

**Cavan Paul Capps (to Everyone)**: 1:57 PM: Mark, yes I can share it, I believe

**Cavan Paul Capps (to Everyone)**: 1:58 PM: Mark - thanks for the FHIR stds

**Cavan Paul Capps (to Everyone)**: 2:02 PM: Mark, this looks like individual record provenance associated with reliably transfering a patient record between organizations

**Cavan Paul Capps (to Everyone)**: 2:03 PM: am I correct?

**Mark Underwood (to Everyone)**: 2:04 PM: They claim to cover all the use cases. Whether they do or not requires a deeper dive. The distinction between single- and multi-record exchanges may not be significant in an engineering sense

**Cavan Paul Capps (to Everyone)**: 2:06 PM: I agree, but it might make sense in a privacy sense. It may be that each record would need the provenance of the issues - could do that by pointing to standard schema

**Cavan Paul Capps (to Everyone)**: 2:07 PM: I am looking for the elements and reserved vocabulary of the schema

**Cavan Paul Capps (Private)**: 2:08 PM: Wo - boy do I understand what you are going through with Sharepoint! Good luck

**Mark Underwood (to Everyone)**: 2:12 PM: There are > 7 working groups and associated wikis. Suggest we take up Wo's suggestion to get a personal tour from someone there

**Russell Reinsch (to Organizer(s) Only)**: 2:15 PM: V2 outlines or preliniary intro text on new sections, direction for V2, still aiming for Dec. workshop date. Co-chairs please help outline lines for contributors.

**Russell Reinsch (to Organizer(s) Only)**: 2:15 PM: Priority of quality over delivery-too-soon.

**REYLING, ROBERT A CTR USAF AFMC AFLCMC/HN (to Everyone)**: 2:16 PM: End of Meeting was at 2:16 PM EDT

**Cavan Paul Capps (to Everyone)**: 2:16 PM: I can try to see if I can get some consensus provenance data elements from the folks that I know in the privacy community. It might help if we talk to the group; they may cover the issue with other terminology