

DecaturImp+0004

Decatur Industries, Inc

Slap Fingerprint Segmentation Evaluation III

Last Updated: 24 January 2022

Contents

1 Participation Information	2
1.1 Names and Dates	2
1.2 Libraries	2
2 Tenprint Cards (“TwoInch” Data)	3
2.1 Segmentation Timing	3
2.2 Segmentation Centers and Dimensions	4
2.3 Detailed Segmentation Statistics	10
2.4 Handling Troublesome Images	13
3 Identification Flats (“ThreeInch” Data)	15
3.1 Segmentation Timing	15
3.2 Segmentation Centers and Dimensions	16
3.3 Detailed Segmentation Statistics	24
3.4 Handling Troublesome Images	27
4 Upper Palm (“FiveInch” Data)	29
4.1 Segmentation Timing	29
4.2 Segmentation Centers and Dimensions	30
4.3 Detailed Segmentation Statistics	36
4.4 Handling Troublesome Images	39
5 Full Palm (“EightInch” Data)	41
5.1 Segmentation Timing	41
5.2 Segmentation Centers and Dimensions	42
5.3 Detailed Segmentation Statistics	48
5.4 Handling Troublesome Images	51
A Tenprint Cards (“TwoInch” Data)	53
A.1 Bootstrap Confidence for Segmentation Statistics	53
A.2 Jaccard Index	56
B Identification Flats (“ThreeInch” Data)	60
B.1 Bootstrap Confidence for Segmentation Statistics	60
B.2 Jaccard Index	63
C Upper Palm (“FiveInch” Data)	67
C.1 Bootstrap Confidence for Segmentation Statistics	67
C.2 Jaccard Index	70
D Full Palm (“EightInch” Data)	74
D.1 Bootstrap Confidence for Segmentation Statistics	74
D.2 Jaccard Index	77

1 Participation Information

1.1 Names and Dates

- **Organization Name:** Decatur Industries, Inc
- **SlapSeg III Identifier:** DecaturImp+0004
- **Provided Marketing Name:** “Decatur SlapSegIII Stub Implementation (version 0.0.4)”
- **Application Date:** 12 January 2022
- **First Submission Date:** 18 January 2022 (as version 0001)
- **Validation Date:** 21 January 2022
- **Completion Date:** 24 January 2022

1.2 Libraries

Filename	MD5 Checksum	Size
libslapsegiii_DecaturImp_0004.so	c3cf033c846eb00bf207771ccb7acb5e	108.4 Kb

2 Tenprint Cards (“TwoInch” Data)

2.1 Segmentation Timing

All algorithms are run over a small fixed corpus of TwoInch images to estimate the total runtime of the evaluation. To be evaluated under SlapSeg III, algorithms **must** segment the timing corpus, on average, in under 1 500 milliseconds. This maximum reference time is documented in the SlapSeg III test plan, and is subject to change.

Box plots of segmentation times are separated by slap orientation and capture technology in Figure 1. Tabular representations are enumerated in Table 1. Results are reported in milliseconds.




Figure 1: Box plots of elapsed time in milliseconds when segmenting the TwoInch timing test corpus, separated by slap orientation and capture technology.

Table 1: Elapsed time in milliseconds when segmenting the TwoInch timing test corpus, separated by slap orientation and capture technology.

	Right	Left	Live Scan	Ink	Combined
Minimum	29	33	29	33	29
25%	63	63	64	63	63
Median	75	73	75	73	74
75%	97	88	94	87	91
Maximum	148	191	191	160	191

2.2 Segmentation Centers and Dimensions

2.2.1 Segmentation Centers


The plots in this section show the distribution of segmentation position centers (x, y) for TwoInch data. At the top of each figure is a combined plot for all finger positions of a given slap orientation. These figures are isolated in plots faceted at the bottom of the figure.

Plots of segmentation centers for the right hand TwoInch data are shown in Figure 2 and plots of segmentation centers for the left hand are shown in Figure 3. Blank lines that may appear in the plots are **not** rendering artifacts. Rather, they are indicative of image downsampling. Centers have been normalized to 500 pixels per inch.

Points in each plot are plotted with a semi-transparent opacity. This results in points of particular color appearing “darker” to indicate a higher frequency of the observed value, while “lighter” points indicate a lower observed frequency.

Segmentation Position Centers

Participant: DecaturImp/0004, FRGPs: 2, 3, 4, 5, Image Kind: Two Inch




- Right Index • Right Middle • Right Ring • Right Little

24 January 2022, 06:11:50 AM EST

Figure 2: Segmentation centers for right hand TwoInch data.

Segmentation Position Centers

Participant: DecaturImp/0004, FRGPs: 7, 8, 9, 10, Image Kind: Two Inch



- Left Index • Left Middle • Left Ring • Left Little

24 January 2022, 06:11:45 AM EST

Figure 3: Segmentation centers for left hand TwoInch data.


2.2.2 Segmentation Dimensions

The plots in this section show the distribution of segmentation position widths and heights for TwoInch data. At the top of each figure is a combined plot for all finger positions of a given slap orientation. These figures are isolated in plots faceted at the bottom of the figure.

Plots of segmentation position dimensions for the right hand TwoInch data are shown in Figure 4 and the left hand in Figure 5. Blank lines that may appear in the plots are **not** rendering artifacts. Rather, they are indicative of image downsampling. Dimensions have been normalized to 500 pixels per inch.

Segmentation Position Dimensions

Participant: DecaturImp/0004, FRGPs: 2, 3, 4, 5, Image Kind: Two Inch




24 January 2022, 06:12:18 AM EST

Figure 4: Segmentation position dimensions for right hand TwoInch data.

Segmentation Position Dimensions

Participant: DecaturImp/0004, FRGPs: 7, 8, 9, 10, Image Kind: Two Inch



24 January 2022, 06:12:14 AM EST

Figure 5: Segmentation position dimensions for left hand TwoInch data.

2.3 Detailed Segmentation Statistics

This section shows detailed results of segmentation of TwoInch data. Values in each table are the percentage that the variable in the left-most column was correctly segmented.

Each table has three columns of percentages. The *Standard Scoring* column shows the percentage of correctly-segmented positions based on the scoring metrics defined in the SlapSeg III scoring document. The *Ignoring Bottom Y* column shows how the percentage would change if the threshold for the *bottom Y* coordinate of the segmentation position was ignored. Similarly, the *Ignoring Bottom X and Y* columns shows how the percentage would change if only the top, left, and right sides of the segmentation position were considered. These two supplemental columns are included because it has traditionally been difficult to determine the exact location of the distal interphalangeal joint.

Table 2 shows how successful DecaturImp+0004 segmented fingers for each subject in the test corpus. Table 3 shows success for specific finger positions over the entire test corpus. Similarly, Table 4 shows success for segmenting the same finger position from both hands.

The remainder of the tables show success per subject when considering combinations of subsets of the fingers on each slap image. Table 5 shows success for combinations of all fingers, Table 6 for just the index and middle fingers, and Table 7 for all except the little finger.

Table 2: For each subject, the percentage that at least *Number of Fingers* fingers were correctly segmented, regardless of hand, for a maximum of eight correctly-segmented fingers. In *Standard Scoring*, scoring rules are followed exactly. In *Ignoring Bottom Y*, the bottom left and bottom right Y coordinates are ignored. *Ignoring Bottom X and Y* only checks the locations of the top left and top right coordinates.

Number of Fingers	Standard Scoring	Ignoring Bottom Y	Ignoring Bottom X and Y
1	51.1	51.5	59.5
2	45.8	46.4	52.1
3	40.9	42.4	46.8
4	34.3	37.3	41.2
5	19.8	21.0	25.1
6	16.6	18.2	21.1
7	13.3	15.6	17.6
8	8.3	11.4	12.8

Table 3: For all subjects, percentage that a particular friction ridge generalized position was correctly segmented. In *Ignoring Bottom Y*, the bottom left and bottom right Y coordinates are ignored. *Ignoring Bottom X and Y* only checks the locations of the top left and top right coordinates.

Finger	Standard Scoring	Ignoring Bottom Y	Ignoring Bottom X and Y
Right			
Index	22.3	23.1	25.4
Middle	24.3	25.6	28.7
Ring	23.8	24.8	29.9
Little	27.0	27.2	35.1
Left			
Index	33.1	35.2	37.5
Middle	34.0	37.0	38.9
Ring	34.0	36.6	40.9
Little	39.7	40.3	47.1

Table 4: Percentage that a particular type of fingerprint was correctly segmented on *Either* or *Both* hands. In *Ignoring Bottom Y*, the bottom left and bottom right Y coordinates are ignored. *Ignoring Bottom X and Y* only checks the locations of the top left and top right coordinates.

Fingers	Standard Scoring	Ignoring Bottom Y	Ignoring Bottom X and Y
Index			
Either	39.6	41.4	44.2
Both	13.6	15.3	16.8
Middle			
Either	41.3	43.9	47.2
Both	14.6	17.3	19.0
Ring			
Either	41.4	43.5	49.6
Both	14.4	16.4	19.4
Little			
Either	46.8	47.2	55.8
Both	18.3	18.7	24.1

Table 5: Percentage of segmentation success by hand for combinations of all eight fingers of a TwoInch slap. In *Ignoring Bottom Y*, the bottom left and bottom right Y coordinates are ignored. *Ignoring Bottom X and Y* only checks the locations of the top left and top right coordinates.

Fingers	Standard Scoring	Ignoring Bottom Y	Ignoring Bottom X and Y
Right			
Any	30.6	30.9	38.6
At Least Two	26.6	27.0	32.0
At Least Three	23.1	23.9	27.4
All Four	17.0	18.9	21.2
Left			
Any	44.2	44.6	50.8
At Least Two	39.0	39.8	43.8
At Least Three	33.4	35.7	38.6
All Four	24.3	28.9	31.3

Table 6: Percentage of segmentation success by hand when only considering combinations of index and middle fingers. In *Ignoring Bottom Y*, the bottom left and bottom right Y coordinates are ignored. *Ignoring Bottom X and Y* only checks the locations of the top left and top right coordinates.

Fingers	Standard Scoring	Ignoring Bottom Y	Ignoring Bottom X and Y
Right			
Either Index or Middle	26.9	27.4	30.8
Both Index and Middle	19.7	21.3	23.3
Left			
Either Index or Middle	38.7	39.9	42.4
Both Index and Middle	28.5	32.3	34.0

Table 7: Percentage of segmentation success by hand when only considering combinations of index, middle, and ring fingers. In *Ignoring Bottom Y*, the bottom left and bottom right Y coordinates are ignored. *Ignoring Bottom X and Y* only checks the locations of the top left and top right coordinates.

Fingers	Standard Scoring	Ignoring Bottom Y	Ignoring Bottom X and Y
Right			
Any	28.3	28.7	34.1
At Least Two	24.2	25.0	28.1
All Three	17.9	19.8	21.9
Left			
Any	40.9	41.7	46.0
At Least Two	34.7	36.9	39.4
All Three	25.5	30.2	31.9

2.4 Handling Troublesome Images

2.4.1 Capture Failures

Segmentation algorithms may refuse to process an image. This may happen for a technical reason (e.g., the algorithm cannot parse the image data), or for a practical reason (e.g., the hand in the image is placed incorrectly). These failure scenarios are the result of capturing improper image data. In these types of scenarios, it is important to examine the cause of the failure. With many live scan capture setups, segmentation is performed immediately after capture. If an algorithm can detect that it won't be able to segment an image due to a technical or practical issue, it can alert the operator to perform a recapture before the subject leaves.

The SlapSeg III API encourages algorithms to identify these failure reasons by specifying pre-defined *deficiencies* in the image. Algorithms should attempt segmentation even if an image deficiency is encountered if at all possible. Note that SlapSeg III *guarantees* well-formed image data, so failures to parse are **not** an indicator of the data provided.

DecaturImp+0004 did **not** report any capture failures.

2.4.1.1 Recovery

When encountering a segmentation failure, SlapSeg III algorithms are encouraged to provide a *best-effort* segmentation when possible. In some cases, that best-effort may be correct, which reduces the amount of images that need to be manually adjudicated by an operator.

DecaturImp+0004 did not attempt any recovery segmentations.

2.4.2 Segmentation Failures

Even if an algorithm accepts an image for processing, it can still fail to process one or more fingers from the image, regardless of if the algorithm requested a recapture and provided best-effort segmentation.

The SlapSeg III API allows algorithms to communicate reasons for failure to process these fingers. In some cases, the distal phalanx in question might not be present in the image due to amputation or being placed outside the platen's capture area. It is imperative that the segmentation algorithm correctly report this as failing to segment the correct friction ridge generalized position without disrupting the sequence of valid positions present in the image. This can help prompt an operator to recapture or record additional information about the subject.

In SlapSeg III, a number of images are missing fingers or otherwise have fingers that will not be able to be segmented. Reasons for segmentation failures reported by DecaturImp+0004 are enumerated in Table 8.

Table 8: Count of self-reported segmentation failure reasoning.

Failure Reason	Fingers
Finger Not Found	203
Finger Found, but Can't Segment	0
Vendor Defined	0

2.4.3 Identifying Missing Fingers

A small portion of the test corpus in SlapSeg III are missing fingers. Table 9 shows how successful DecaturImp+0004 was in correctly determining if a finger was missing. The *Missed* row shows when a segmentation position was returned for a missing finger. All possible failure reasons are enumerated, but are

not considered *Correctly Identified* because the algorithm specified failure for a reason other than the finger not being found.

Table 9: Performance of DecaturImp+0004 at detecting fingers missing from an image.

Result	Percentage
Missed	50.0
Correctly Identified	50.0
Other Failure: Finger Found, but Can't Segment	0.0
Other Failure: Vendor Defined	0.0
Other Failure: Segmentation Not Attempted	0.0

2.4.4 Sequence Error

Sequence error occurs when a fingerprint is segmented from an image but assigned an incorrect finger position (e.g., segmenting a right middle finger but labeling it a right index finger). Table 10 shows cases in which a segmentation position was returned that matched a ground truth segmentation position for a different finger in the same image.

Table 10: Percentage of images in the dataset where one or more segmentation positions correctly matched an incorrect finger position within the same image, indicating sequence error.

Hand	Standard Scoring	Ignoring Bottom Y	Ignoring Bottom X and Y
Left	0.06	0.06	0.07
Right	0.02	0.03	0.03
Combined	0.04	0.04	0.05

3 Identification Flats (“ThreeInch” Data)

3.1 Segmentation Timing

All algorithms are run over a small fixed corpus of ThreeInch images to estimate the total runtime of the evaluation. To be evaluated under SlapSeg III, algorithms **must** segment the timing corpus, on average, in under 1 500 milliseconds. This maximum reference time is documented in the SlapSeg III test plan, and is subject to change.

Box plots of segmentation times are separated by hand in Figure 6, with tabular representations are enumerated in Table 11. Results are reported in milliseconds




Figure 6: Box plots of elapsed time in milliseconds when segmenting the ThreeInch timing test corpus, separated by slap orientation.

Table 11: Elapsed time in milliseconds when segmenting the ThreeInch timing test corpus, separated by slap orientation.

	Right	Left	Thumbs	Combined
Minimum	62	64	15	15
25%	96	93	126	97
Median	105	103	132	108
75%	114	113	136	124
Maximum	187	176	175	187

3.2 Segmentation Centers and Dimensions

3.2.1 Segmentation Centers


The plots in this section show the distribution of segmentation position centers (x, y) for ThreeInch data. At the top of each figure is a combined plot for all finger positions of a given hand orientation. These figures are isolated in plots faceted at the bottom of the figure.

Plots of segmentation centers for the right hand ThreeInch data are shown in Figure 7, for the left hand in Figure 8, and for thumbs in Figure 9. Blank lines that may appear in the plots are **not** rendering artifacts. Rather, they are indicative of image downsampling. Centers have been normalized to 500 pixels per inch.

Points in each plot are plotted with a semi-transparent opacity. This results in points of particular color appearing “darker” to indicate a higher frequency of the observed value, while “lighter” points indicate a lower observed frequency.

Segmentation Position Centers

Participant: DecaturImp/0004, FRGPs: 2, 3, 4, 5, Image Kind: Three Inch




- Right Index • Right Middle • Right Ring • Right Little

24 January 2022, 06:12:00 AM EST

Figure 7: Segmentation centers for right hand ThreeInch data.

Segmentation Position Centers


Participant: DecaturImp/0004, FRGPs: 7, 8, 9, 10, Image Kind: Three Inch



- Left Index • Left Middle • Left Ring • Left Little

24 January 2022, 06:11:55 AM EST

Figure 8: Segmentation centers for left hand ThreeInch data.



24 January 2022, 06:12:05 AM EST

Figure 9: Segmentation centers for thumb ThreeInch data.


3.2.2 Segmentation Dimensions

The plots in this section show the distribution of segmentation position widths and heights for ThreeInch data. At the top of each figure is a combined plot for all finger positions of a given hand orientation. These figures are isolated in plots faceted at the bottom of the figure.

Plots of segmentation position dimensions for the right hand ThreeInch data are shown in Figure 11, for the left hand in Figure 10, and for thumbs in Figure 12. Blank lines that may appear in the plots are **not** rendering artifacts. Rather, they are indicative of image downsampling. Dimensions have been normalized to 500 pixels per inch.

Segmentation Position Dimensions

Participant: DecaturImp/0004, FRGPs: 7, 8, 9, 10, Image Kind: Three Inch




24 January 2022, 06:12:23 AM EST

Figure 10: Segmentation position dimensions for left hand ThreeInch data.

Segmentation Position Dimensions

Participant: DecaturImp/0004, FRGPs: 2, 3, 4, 5, Image Kind: Three Inch




24 January 2022, 06:12:28 AM EST

Figure 11: Segmentation position dimensions for right hand ThreeInch data.

Segmentation Position Dimensions

Participant: DecaturImp/0004, FRGPs: 1, 6, Image Kind: Three Inch



24 January 2022, 06:12:33 AM EST

Figure 12: Segmentation position dimensions for thumb ThreeInch data.

3.3 Detailed Segmentation Statistics

This section shows detailed results of segmentation of ThreeInch data. Values in each table are the percentage that the variable in the left-most column was correctly segmented.

Each table has three columns of percentages. The *Standard Scoring* column shows the percentage of correctly-segmented positions based on the scoring metrics defined in the SlapSeg III scoring document. The *Ignoring Bottom Y* column shows how the percentage would change if the threshold for the *bottom Y* coordinate of the segmentation position was ignored. Similarly, the *Ignoring Bottom X and Y* columns shows how the percentage would change if only the top, left, and right sides of the segmentation position were considered. These two supplemental columns are included because it has traditionally been difficult to determine the exact location of the distal interphalangeal joint.

Table 12 shows how successful DecaturImp+0004 segmented fingers for each subject in the test corpus. Table 13 shows success for specific finger positions over the entire test corpus. Similarly, Table 14 shows success for segmenting the same finger position from both hands.

The remainder of the tables show success per subject when considering combinations of subsets of the fingers on each slap image. Table 15 shows success for combinations of all fingers, Table 16 for just the index and middle fingers, and Table 17 for all except the little finger.

Table 12: For each subject, the percentage that at least *Number of Fingers* fingers were correctly segmented, regardless of hand, for a maximum of eight correctly-segmented fingers. In *Standard Scoring*, scoring rules are followed exactly. In *Ignoring Bottom Y*, the bottom left and bottom right Y coordinates are ignored. *Ignoring Bottom X and Y* only checks the locations of the top left and top right coordinates.

Number of Fingers	Standard Scoring	Ignoring Bottom Y	Ignoring Bottom X and Y
1	99.6	99.7	99.7
2	98.7	99.0	99.0
3	97.4	98.4	98.4
4	95.8	98.0	98.1
5	92.3	95.9	95.9
6	88.4	95.8	95.8
7	82.0	95.6	95.7
8	72.5	94.8	95.0
9	56.8	89.1	90.0
10	32.7	65.9	68.0

Table 13: For all subjects, percentage that a particular friction ridge generalized position was correctly segmented. In *Ignoring Bottom Y*, the bottom left and bottom right Y coordinates are ignored. *Ignoring Bottom X and Y* only checks the locations of the top left and top right coordinates.

Finger	Standard Scoring	Ignoring Bottom Y	Ignoring Bottom X and Y
Right			
Thumb	79.7	85.7	86.6
Index	93.6	99.2	99.3
Middle	78.8	99.2	99.4
Ring	79.6	98.1	98.3
Little	92.2	97.1	97.4
Left			
Thumb	76.9	84.2	85.1
Index	91.3	98.0	98.2
Middle	75.3	99.1	99.3
Ring	79.0	99.0	99.2
Little	91.4	98.3	98.6

Table 14: Percentage that a particular type of fingerprint was correctly segmented on *Either* or *Both* hands. In *Ignoring Bottom Y*, the bottom left and bottom right Y coordinates are ignored. *Ignoring Bottom X and Y* only checks the locations of the top left and top right coordinates.

Fingers	Standard Scoring	Ignoring Bottom Y	Ignoring Bottom X and Y
Thumb			
Either	91.6	95.9	96.2
Both	65.0	74.1	75.6
Index			
Either	97.6	99.8	99.8
Both	85.0	94.8	95.0
Middle			
Either	87.6	99.7	99.8
Both	64.8	95.9	96.3
Ring			
Either	89.6	99.7	99.8
Both	67.1	94.7	95.1
Little			
Either	97.5	99.5	99.5
Both	83.7	93.3	93.9

Table 15: Percentage of segmentation success by hand for combinations of all ten fingers of a ThreeInch slap. In *Ignoring Bottom Y*, the bottom left and bottom right Y coordinates are ignored. *Ignoring Bottom X and Y* only checks the locations of the top left and top right coordinates.

Fingers	Standard Scoring	Ignoring Bottom Y	Ignoring Bottom X and Y
Right			
Any	99.1	99.3	99.3
At Least Two	96.8	98.5	98.5
At Least Three	91.5	98.2	98.3
At Least Four	78.5	96.7	97.0
All Five	50.2	77.9	79.4
Left			
Any	99.0	99.4	99.4
At Least Two	96.2	98.4	98.4
At Least Three	89.4	98.2	98.3
At Least Four	75.4	96.8	97.1
All Five	46.3	77.1	78.5

Table 16: Percentage of segmentation success by hand when only considering combinations of index and middle fingers. In *Ignoring Bottom Y*, the bottom left and bottom right Y coordinates are ignored. *Ignoring Bottom X and Y* only checks the locations of the top left and top right coordinates.

Fingers	Standard Scoring	Ignoring Bottom Y	Ignoring Bottom X and Y
Right			
Either	96.4	99.9	99.9
Both	76.0	98.5	98.8
Left			
Either	94.8	99.8	99.8
Both	71.8	97.3	97.6

Table 17: Percentage of segmentation success by hand when only considering combinations of index, middle, and ring fingers. In *Ignoring Bottom Y*, the bottom left and right Y coordinates are ignored. *Ignoring Bottom X and Y* only checks the locations of the top left and right coordinates.

Fingers	Standard Scoring	Ignoring Bottom Y	Ignoring Bottom X and Y
Right			
Any	97.7	99.9	99.9
At Least Two	87.2	99.7	99.7
All Three	67.1	96.9	97.4
Left			
Any	96.7	99.9	99.9
At Least Two	85.0	99.6	99.7
All Three	63.9	96.6	97.1

3.4 Handling Troublesome Images

3.4.1 Capture Failures

Segmentation algorithms may refuse to process an image. This may happen for a technical reason (e.g., the algorithm cannot parse the image data), or for a practical reason (e.g., the hand in the image is placed incorrectly). These failure scenarios are the result of capturing improper image data. In these types of scenarios, it is important to examine the cause of the failure. With many live scan capture setups, segmentation is performed immediately after capture. If an algorithm can detect that it won't be able to segment an image due to a technical or practical issue, it can alert the operator to perform a recapture before the subject leaves.

The SlapSeg III API encourages algorithms to identify these failure reasons by specifying pre-defined *deficiencies* in the image. Algorithms should attempt segmentation even if an image deficiency is encountered if at all possible. Note that SlapSeg III *guarantees* well-formed image data, so failures to parse are **not** an indicator of the data provided.

DecaturImp+0004 did **not** report any capture failures.

3.4.1.1 Recovery

When encountering a segmentation failure, SlapSeg III algorithms are encouraged to provide a *best-effort* segmentation when possible. In some cases, that best-effort may be correct, which reduces the amount of images that need to be manually adjudicated by an operator.

DecaturImp+0004 did not attempt any recovery segmentations.

3.4.2 Segmentation Failures

Even if an algorithm accepts an image for processing, it can still fail to process one or more fingers from the image, regardless of if the algorithm requested a recapture and provided best-effort segmentation.

The SlapSeg III API allows algorithms to communicate reasons for failure to process these fingers. In some cases, the distal phalanx in question might not be present in the image due to amputation or being placed outside the platen's capture area. It is imperative that the segmentation algorithm correctly report this as failing to segment the correct friction ridge generalized position without disrupting the sequence of valid positions present in the image. This can help prompt an operator to recapture or record additional information about the subject.

In SlapSeg III, a number of images are missing fingers or otherwise have fingers that will not be able to be segmented. Reasons for segmentation failures reported by DecaturImp+0004 are enumerated in Table 18.

Table 18: Count of self-reported segmentation failure reasoning.

Failure Reason	Fingers
Finger Not Found	166
Finger Found, but Can't Segment	0
Vendor Defined	0

3.4.3 Identifying Missing Fingers

A small portion of the test corpus in SlapSeg III are missing fingers. Table 19 shows how successful DecaturImp+0004 was in correctly determining if a finger was missing. The *Missed* row shows when a segmentation position was returned for a missing finger. All possible failure reasons are enumerated, but are

not considered *Correctly Identified* because the algorithm specified failure for a reason other than the finger not being found.

Table 19: Performance of DecaturImp+0004 at detecting fingers missing from an image.

Result	Percentage
Missed	43.8
Correctly Identified	56.2
Other Failure: Finger Found, but Can't Segment	0.0
Other Failure: Vendor Defined	0.0
Other Failure: Segmentation Not Attempted	0.0

3.4.4 Sequence Error

Sequence error occurs when a fingerprint is segmented from an image but assigned an incorrect finger position (e.g., segmenting a right middle finger but labeling it a right index finger). Table 20 shows cases in which a segmentation position was returned that matched a ground truth segmentation position for a different finger in the same image.

Table 20: Percentage of images in the dataset where one or more segmentation positions correctly matched an incorrect finger position within the same image, indicating sequence error.

Hand	Standard Scoring	Ignoring Bottom Y	Ignoring Bottom X and Y
Left	0.07	0.07	0.07
Right	0.06	0.06	0.06
Thumbs	0.01	0.01	0.01
Combined	0.05	0.05	0.05

4 Upper Palm (“FiveInch” Data)

4.1 Segmentation Timing

All algorithms are run over a small fixed corpus of FiveInch images to estimate the total runtime of the evaluation. To be evaluated under SlapSeg III, algorithms **must** segment the timing corpus, on average, in under 1 500 milliseconds. This maximum reference time is documented in the SlapSeg III test plan, and is subject to change.

Box plots of segmentation times are separated by slap orientation in Figure 13. Tabular representations are enumerated in Table 21. Results are reported in milliseconds.




Figure 13: Box plots of elapsed time in milliseconds when segmenting the FiveInch timing test corpus, separated by slap orientation.

Table 21: Elapsed time in milliseconds when segmenting the FiveInch timing test corpus, separated by slap orientation.

	Right	Left	Combined
Minimum	59	161	59
25%	227	209	217
Median	245	243	245
75%	320	296	306
Maximum	455	395	455

4.2 Segmentation Centers and Dimensions

4.2.1 Segmentation Centers


The plots in this section show the distribution of segmentation position centers (x, y) for FiveInch data. At the top of each figure is a combined plot for all finger positions of a given slap orientation. These figures are isolated in plots faceted at the bottom of the figure.

Plots of segmentation centers for the right hand FiveInch data are shown in Figure 14 and plots of segmentation centers for the left hand are shown in Figure 15. Blank lines that may appear in the plots are **not** rendering artifacts. Rather, they are indicative of image downsampling. Centers have been normalized to 500 pixels per inch.

Points in each plot are plotted with a semi-transparent opacity. This results in points of particular color appearing “darker” to indicate a higher frequency of the observed value, while “lighter” points indicate a lower observed frequency.

Segmentation Position Centers

Participant: DecaturImp/0004, FRGPs: 2, 3, 4, 5, Image Kind: Upper Palm




- Right Index • Right Middle • Right Ring • Right Little

24 January 2022, 06:12:10 AM EST

Figure 14: Segmentation centers for right hand FiveInch data.

Segmentation Position Centers

Participant: DecaturImp/0004, FRGPs: 7, 8, 9, 10, Image Kind: Upper Palm



- Left Index • Left Middle • Left Ring • Left Little

24 January 2022, 06:12:08 AM EST

Figure 15: Segmentation centers for left hand FiveInch data.


4.2.2 Segmentation Dimensions

The plots in this section show the distribution of segmentation position widths and heights for FiveInch data. At the top of each figure is a combined plot for all finger positions of a given slap orientation. These figures are isolated in plots faceted at the bottom of the figure.

Plots of segmentation position dimensions for the right hand FiveInch data are shown in Figure 16 and the left hand in Figure 17. Blank lines that may appear in the plots are **not** rendering artifacts. Rather, they are indicative of image downsampling. Dimensions have been normalized to 500 pixels per inch.

Segmentation Position Dimensions

Participant: DecaturImp/0004, FRGPs: 2, 3, 4, 5, Image Kind: Upper Palm




24 January 2022, 06:12:38 AM EST

Figure 16: Segmentation position dimensions for right hand FiveInch data.

Segmentation Position Dimensions

Participant: DecaturImp/0004, FRGPs: 7, 8, 9, 10, Image Kind: Upper Palm



24 January 2022, 06:12:36 AM EST

Figure 17: Segmentation position dimensions for left hand FiveInch data.

4.3 Detailed Segmentation Statistics

This section shows detailed results of segmentation of FiveInch data. Values in each table are the percentage that the variable in the left-most column was correctly segmented.

Each table has three columns of percentages. The *Standard Scoring* column shows the percentage of correctly-segmented positions based on the scoring metrics defined in the SlapSeg III scoring document. The *Ignoring Bottom Y* column shows how the percentage would change if the threshold for the *bottom Y* coordinate of the segmentation position was ignored. Similarly, the *Ignoring Bottom X and Y* columns shows how the percentage would change if only the top, left, and right sides of the segmentation position were considered. These two supplemental columns are included because it has traditionally been difficult to determine the exact location of the distal interphalangeal joint.

Table 22 shows how successful DecaturImp+0004 segmented fingers for each subject in the test corpus. Table 23 shows success for specific finger positions over the entire test corpus. Similarly, Table 24 shows success for segmenting the same finger position from both hands.

The remainder of the tables show success per subject when considering combinations of subsets of the fingers on each slap image. Table 25 shows success for combinations of all fingers, Table 26 for just the index and middle fingers, and Table 27 for all except the little finger.

Table 22: For each subject, the percentage that at least *Number of Fingers* fingers were correctly segmented, regardless of hand, for a maximum of eight correctly-segmented fingers. In *Standard Scoring*, scoring rules are followed exactly. In *Ignoring Bottom Y*, the bottom left and bottom right Y coordinates are ignored. *Ignoring Bottom X and Y* only checks the locations of the top left and top right coordinates.

Number of Fingers	Standard Scoring	Ignoring Bottom Y	Ignoring Bottom X and Y
1	90.8	91.6	92.9
2	84.5	86.3	87.6
3	75.1	80.2	83.8
4	63.2	71.8	76.0
5	50.1	58.9	62.5
6	37.9	47.4	51.8
7	26.2	36.4	41.2
8	13.3	23.2	28.2

Table 23: For all subjects, percentage that a particular friction ridge generalized position was correctly segmented. In *Ignoring Bottom Y*, the bottom left and bottom right Y coordinates are ignored. *Ignoring Bottom X and Y* only checks the locations of the top left and top right coordinates.

Finger	Standard Scoring	Ignoring Bottom Y	Ignoring Bottom X and Y
Right			
Index	62.2	68.6	70.6
Middle	54.8	61.2	63.8
Ring	55.7	61.0	68.2
Little	53.3	58.8	65.8
Left			
Index	60.2	65.6	68.0
Middle	53.3	61.7	63.1
Ring	56.0	63.9	65.5
Little	49.1	58.9	63.0

Table 24: Percentage that a particular type of fingerprint was correctly segmented on *Either* or *Both* hands. In *Ignoring Bottom Y*, the bottom left and bottom right Y coordinates are ignored. *Ignoring Bottom X and Y* only checks the locations of the top left and top right coordinates.

Fingers	Standard Scoring	Ignoring Bottom Y	Ignoring Bottom X and Y
Index			
Either	78.7	82.9	85.1
Both	42.8	50.3	52.4
Middle			
Either	72.3	78.8	80.2
Both	34.9	43.1	45.7
Ring			
Either	74.4	79.6	83.0
Both	36.4	44.4	49.7
Little			
Either	71.0	76.7	81.0
Both	30.6	40.1	46.8

Table 25: Percentage of segmentation success by hand for combinations of all eight fingers of a FiveInch slap. In *Ignoring Bottom Y*, the bottom left and bottom right Y coordinates are ignored. *Ignoring Bottom X and Y* only checks the locations of the top left and top right coordinates.

Fingers	Standard Scoring	Ignoring Bottom Y	Ignoring Bottom X and Y
Right			
Any	79.4	80.5	83.4
At Least Two	65.3	69.9	73.7
At Least Three	50.3	58.8	63.7
All Four	31.1	40.4	47.7
Left			
Any	78.2	80.8	83.0
At Least Two	63.8	69.4	71.1
At Least Three	48.3	58.2	60.7
All Four	28.2	41.8	44.8

Table 26: Percentage of segmentation success by hand when only considering combinations of index and middle fingers. In *Ignoring Bottom Y*, the bottom left and bottom right Y coordinates are ignored. *Ignoring Bottom X and Y* only checks the locations of the top left and top right coordinates.

Fingers	Standard Scoring	Ignoring Bottom Y	Ignoring Bottom X and Y
Right			
Either Index or Middle	71.1	74.7	76.3
Both Index and Middle	45.9	55.1	58.1
Left			
Either Index or Middle	69.1	73.5	74.9
Both Index and Middle	44.4	53.8	56.2

Table 27: Percentage of segmentation success by hand when only considering combinations of index, middle, and ring fingers. In *Ignoring Bottom Y*, the bottom left and bottom right Y coordinates are ignored. *Ignoring Bottom X and Y* only checks the locations of the top left and top right coordinates.

Fingers	Standard Scoring	Ignoring Bottom Y	Ignoring Bottom X and Y
Right			
Any	76.3	78.2	81.1
At Least Two	58.1	65.0	68.5
All Three	38.3	47.6	53.1
Left			
Any	74.7	77.3	78.9
At Least Two	57.3	65.4	67.1
All Three	37.5	48.5	50.7

4.4 Handling Troublesome Images

4.4.1 Capture Failures

Segmentation algorithms may refuse to process an image. This may happen for a technical reason (e.g., the algorithm cannot parse the image data), or for a practical reason (e.g., the hand in the image is placed incorrectly). These failure scenarios are the result of capturing improper image data. In these types of scenarios, it is important to examine the cause of the failure. With many live scan capture setups, segmentation is performed immediately after capture. If an algorithm can detect that it won't be able to segment an image due to a technical or practical issue, it can alert the operator to perform a recapture before the subject leaves.

The SlapSeg III API encourages algorithms to identify these failure reasons by specifying pre-defined *deficiencies* in the image. Algorithms should attempt segmentation even if an image deficiency is encountered if at all possible. Note that SlapSeg III *guarantees* well-formed image data, so failures to parse are **not** an indicator of the data provided.

DecaturImp+0004 did **not** report any capture failures.

4.4.1.1 Recovery

When encountering a segmentation failure, SlapSeg III algorithms are encouraged to provide a *best-effort* segmentation when possible. In some cases, that best-effort may be correct, which reduces the amount of images that need to be manually adjudicated by an operator.

DecaturImp+0004 did not attempt any recovery segmentations.

4.4.2 Segmentation Failures

Even if an algorithm accepts an image for processing, it can still fail to process one or more fingers from the image, regardless of if the algorithm requested a recapture and provided best-effort segmentation.

The SlapSeg III API allows algorithms to communicate reasons for failure to process these fingers. In some cases, the distal phalanx in question might not be present in the image due to amputation or being placed outside the platen's capture area. It is imperative that the segmentation algorithm correctly report this as failing to segment the correct friction ridge generalized position without disrupting the sequence of valid positions present in the image. This can help prompt an operator to recapture or record additional information about the subject.

In SlapSeg III, a number of images are missing fingers or otherwise have fingers that will not be able to be segmented. Reasons for segmentation failures reported by DecaturImp+0004 are enumerated in Table 28.

Table 28: Count of self-reported segmentation failure reasoning.

Failure Reason	Fingers
Finger Not Found	355
Finger Found, but Can't Segment	0
Vendor Defined	0

4.4.3 Identifying Missing Fingers

A small portion of the test corpus in SlapSeg III are missing fingers. Table 29 shows how successful DecaturImp+0004 was in correctly determining if a finger was missing. The *Missed* row shows when a segmentation position was returned for a missing finger. All possible failure reasons are enumerated, but are

not considered *Correctly Identified* because the algorithm specified failure for a reason other than the finger not being found.

Table 29: Performance of DecaturImp+0004 at detecting fingers missing from an image.

Result	Percentage
Missed	76.2
Correctly Identified	23.8
Other Failure: Finger Found, but Can't Segment	0.0
Other Failure: Vendor Defined	0.0
Other Failure: Segmentation Not Attempted	0.0

4.4.4 Sequence Error

Sequence error occurs when a fingerprint is segmented from an image but assigned an incorrect finger position (e.g., segmenting a right middle finger but labeling it a right index finger). Table 30 shows cases in which a segmentation position was returned that matched a ground truth segmentation position for a different finger in the same image.

Table 30: Percentage of images in the dataset where one or more segmentation positions correctly matched an incorrect finger position within the same image, indicating sequence error.

Hand	Standard Scoring	Ignoring Bottom Y	Ignoring Bottom X and Y
Left	4.91	5.31	5.70
Right	3.59	3.72	3.86
Combined	4.25	4.52	4.78

5 Full Palm (“EightInch” Data)

5.1 Segmentation Timing

All algorithms are run over a small fixed corpus of EightInch images to estimate the total runtime of the evaluation. To be evaluated under SlapSeg III, algorithms **must** segment the timing corpus, on average, in under 1 500 milliseconds. This maximum reference time is documented in the SlapSeg III test plan, and is subject to change.

Box plots of segmentation times are separated by slap orientation in Figure 18. Tabular representations are enumerated in Table 31. Results are reported in milliseconds.




Figure 18: Box plots of elapsed time in milliseconds when segmenting the EightInch timing test corpus, separated by slap orientation.

Table 31: Elapsed time in milliseconds when segmenting the EightInch timing test corpus, separated by slap orientation and capture technology.

	Right	Left	Combined
Minimum	253	269	253
25%	393	407	402
Median	454	453	454
75%	494	477	486
Maximum	610	594	610

5.2 Segmentation Centers and Dimensions

5.2.1 Segmentation Centers


The plots in this section show the distribution of segmentation position centers (x, y) for EightInch data. At the top of each figure is a combined plot for all finger positions of a given slap orientation. These figures are isolated in plots faceted at the bottom of the figure.

Plots of segmentation centers for the right hand EightInch data are shown in Figure 19 and plots of segmentation centers for the left hand are shown in Figure 20. Blank lines that may appear in the plots are **not** rendering artifacts. Rather, they are indicative of image downsampling. Centers have been normalized to 500 pixels per inch.

Points in each plot are plotted with a semi-transparent opacity. This results in points of particular color appearing “darker” to indicate a higher frequency of the observed value, while “lighter” points indicate a lower observed frequency.

Segmentation Position Centers

Participant: DecaturImp/0004, FRGPs: 2, 3, 4, 5, Image Kind: Full Palm




- Right Index • Right Middle • Right Ring • Right Little

24 January 2022, 06:12:13 AM EST

Figure 19: Segmentation centers for right hand EightInch data.

Segmentation Position Centers

Participant: DecaturImp/0004, FRGPs: 7, 8, 9, 10, Image Kind: Full Palm



- Left Index • Left Middle • Left Ring • Left Little

24 January 2022, 06:12:11 AM EST

Figure 20: Segmentation centers for left hand EightInch data.


5.2.2 Segmentation Dimensions

The plots in this section show the distribution of segmentation position widths and heights for EightInch data. At the top of each figure is a combined plot for all finger positions of a given slap orientation. These figures are isolated in plots faceted at the bottom of the figure.

Plots of segmentation position dimensions for the right hand EightInch data are shown in Figure 21 and the left hand in Figure 22. Blank lines that may appear in the plots are **not** rendering artifacts. Rather, they are indicative of image downsampling. Dimensions have been normalized to 500 pixels per inch.

Segmentation Position Dimensions

Participant: DecaturImp/0004, FRGPs: 2, 3, 4, 5, Image Kind: Full Palm




24 January 2022, 06:12:41 AM EST

Figure 21: Segmentation position dimensions for right hand EightInch data.

Segmentation Position Dimensions

Participant: DecaturImp/0004, FRGPs: 7, 8, 9, 10, Image Kind: Full Palm



24 January 2022, 06:12:39 AM EST

Figure 22: Segmentation position dimensions for left hand EightInch data.

5.3 Detailed Segmentation Statistics

NOTE: The following segmentation statistics are based on a limited subset (approximately 15%) of the anticipated Full Palm dataset. This analysis will be updated as soon as NIST can obtain the remainder of the dataset.

This section shows detailed results of segmentation of EightInch data. Values in each table are the percentage that the variable in the left-most column was correctly segmented.

Each table has three columns of percentages. The *Standard Scoring* column shows the percentage of correctly-segmented positions based on the scoring metrics defined in the SlapSeg III scoring document. The *Ignoring Bottom Y* column shows how the percentage would change if the threshold for the *bottom Y* coordinate of the segmentation position was ignored. Similarly, the *Ignoring Bottom X and Y* columns shows how the percentage would change if only the top, left, and right sides of the segmentation position were considered. These two supplemental columns are included because it has traditionally been difficult to determine the exact location of the distal interphalangeal joint.

Table 32 shows how successful DecaturImp+0004 segmented fingers for each subject in the test corpus. Table 33 shows success for specific finger positions over the entire test corpus. Similarly, Table 34 shows success for segmenting the same finger position from both hands.

The remainder of the tables show success per subject when considering combinations of subsets of the fingers on each slap image. Table 35 shows success for combinations of all fingers, Table 36 for just the index and middle fingers, and Table 37 for all except the little finger.

Table 32: For each subject, the percentage that at least *Number of Fingers* fingers were correctly segmented, regardless of hand, for a maximum of eight correctly-segmented fingers. In *Standard Scoring*, scoring rules are followed exactly. In *Ignoring Bottom Y*, the bottom left and bottom right Y coordinates are ignored. *Ignoring Bottom X and Y* only checks the locations of the top left and top right coordinates.

Number of Fingers	Standard Scoring	Ignoring Bottom Y	Ignoring Bottom X and Y
1	94.3	99.8	99.8
2	85.7	99.4	99.5
3	74.6	98.5	99.1
4	63.9	97.0	97.5
5	48.5	92.5	94.1
6	35.7	87.9	90.0
7	23.0	76.4	81.1
8	12.3	51.8	58.7

Table 33: For all subjects, percentage that a particular friction ridge generalized position was correctly segmented. In *Ignoring Bottom Y*, the bottom left and bottom right Y coordinates are ignored. *Ignoring Bottom X and Y* only checks the locations of the top left and top right coordinates.

Finger	Standard Scoring	Ignoring Bottom Y	Ignoring Bottom X and Y
Right			
Index	50.0	86.2	88.2
Middle	61.1	86.7	89.2
Ring	59.4	87.4	90.7
Little	58.0	86.0	90.6
Left			
Index	49.3	87.6	89.7
Middle	56.1	89.5	90.2
Ring	49.1	90.5	91.3
Little	54.9	89.7	90.1

Table 34: Percentage that a particular type of fingerprint was correctly segmented on *Either* or *Both* hands. In *Ignoring Bottom Y*, the bottom left and bottom right Y coordinates are ignored. *Ignoring Bottom X and Y* only checks the locations of the top left and top right coordinates.

Fingers	Standard Scoring	Ignoring Bottom Y	Ignoring Bottom X and Y
Index			
Either	67.1	97.0	97.7
Both	32.2	76.8	80.1
Middle			
Either	76.6	97.8	98.4
Both	40.7	78.4	81.0
Ring			
Either	73.1	97.9	98.4
Both	35.4	79.9	83.6
Little			
Either	74.1	97.2	98.2
Both	38.9	78.4	82.5

Table 35: Percentage of segmentation success by hand for combinations of all eight fingers of a EightInch slap. In *Ignoring Bottom Y*, the bottom left and bottom right Y coordinates are ignored. *Ignoring Bottom X and Y* only checks the locations of the top left and top right coordinates.

Fingers	Standard Scoring	Ignoring Bottom Y	Ignoring Bottom X and Y
Right			
Any	86.9	97.9	98.5
At Least Two	69.8	94.8	96.6
At Least Three	47.7	87.5	91.1
All Four	24.3	66.0	72.4
Left			
Any	82.2	97.2	97.2
At Least Two	63.0	95.3	95.4
At Least Three	41.4	89.9	90.8
All Four	22.9	74.8	77.8

Table 36: Percentage of segmentation success by hand when only considering combinations of index and middle fingers. In *Ignoring Bottom Y*, the bottom left and bottom right Y coordinates are ignored. *Ignoring Bottom X and Y* only checks the locations of the top left and top right coordinates.

Fingers	Standard Scoring	Ignoring Bottom Y	Ignoring Bottom X and Y
Right			
Either Index or Middle	71.6	93.3	95.3
Both Index and Middle	39.5	79.5	82.1
Left			
Either Index or Middle	68.3	94.7	94.9
Both Index and Middle	37.1	82.4	84.9

Table 37: Percentage of segmentation success by hand when only considering combinations of index, middle, and ring fingers. In *Ignoring Bottom Y*, the bottom left and bottom right Y coordinates are ignored. *Ignoring Bottom X and Y* only checks the locations of the top left and top right coordinates.

Fingers	Standard Scoring	Ignoring Bottom Y	Ignoring Bottom X and Y
Right			
Any	80.9	95.9	97.2
At Least Two	58.4	90.3	92.5
All Three	31.3	74.0	78.3
Left			
Any	75.6	96.7	96.7
At Least Two	50.1	91.7	92.2
All Three	28.7	79.2	82.3

5.4 Handling Troublesome Images

5.4.1 Capture Failures

Segmentation algorithms may refuse to process an image. This may happen for a technical reason (e.g., the algorithm cannot parse the image data), or for a practical reason (e.g., the hand in the image is placed incorrectly). These failure scenarios are the result of capturing improper image data. In these types of scenarios, it is important to examine the cause of the failure. With many live scan capture setups, segmentation is performed immediately after capture. If an algorithm can detect that it won't be able to segment an image due to a technical or practical issue, it can alert the operator to perform a recapture before the subject leaves.

The SlapSeg III API encourages algorithms to identify these failure reasons by specifying pre-defined *deficiencies* in the image. Algorithms should attempt segmentation even if an image deficiency is encountered if at all possible. Note that SlapSeg III *guarantees* well-formed image data, so failures to parse are **not** an indicator of the data provided.

DecaturImp+0004 did **not** report any capture failures.

5.4.1.1 Recovery

When encountering a segmentation failure, SlapSeg III algorithms are encouraged to provide a *best-effort* segmentation when possible. In some cases, that best-effort may be correct, which reduces the amount of images that need to be manually adjudicated by an operator.

DecaturImp+0004 did not attempt any recovery segmentations.

5.4.2 Segmentation Failures

Even if an algorithm accepts an image for processing, it can still fail to process one or more fingers from the image, regardless of if the algorithm requested a recapture and provided best-effort segmentation.

The SlapSeg III API allows algorithms to communicate reasons for failure to process these fingers. In some cases, the distal phalanx in question might not be present in the image due to amputation or being placed outside the platen's capture area. It is imperative that the segmentation algorithm correctly report this as failing to segment the correct friction ridge generalized position without disrupting the sequence of valid positions present in the image. This can help prompt an operator to recapture or record additional information about the subject.

In SlapSeg III, a number of images are missing fingers or otherwise have fingers that will not be able to be segmented. Reasons for segmentation failures reported by DecaturImp+0004 are enumerated in Table 38.

Table 38: Count of self-reported segmentation failure reasoning.

Failure Reason	Fingers
Finger Not Found	22
Finger Found, but Can't Segment	0
Vendor Defined	0

5.4.3 Identifying Missing Fingers

A small portion of the test corpus in SlapSeg III are missing fingers. Table 39 shows how successful DecaturImp+0004 was in correctly determining if a finger was missing. The *Missed* row shows when a segmentation position was returned for a missing finger. All possible failure reasons are enumerated, but are

not considered *Correctly Identified* because the algorithm specified failure for a reason other than the finger not being found.

Table 39: Performance of DecaturImp+0004 at detecting fingers missing from an image.

Result	Percentage
Missed	0.0
Correctly Identified	100.0
Other Failure: Finger Found, but Can't Segment	0.0
Other Failure: Vendor Defined	0.0
Other Failure: Segmentation Not Attempted	0.0

5.4.4 Sequence Error

Sequence error occurs when a fingerprint is segmented from an image but assigned an incorrect finger position (e.g., segmenting a right middle finger but labeling it a right index finger). Table 40 shows cases in which a segmentation position was returned that matched a ground truth segmentation position for a different finger in the same image.

Table 40: Percentage of images in the dataset where one or more segmentation positions correctly matched an incorrect finger position within the same image, indicating sequence error.

Hand	Standard Scoring	Ignoring Bottom Y	Ignoring Bottom X and Y
Left	0.34	0.80	0.80
Right	0.34	0.57	0.57
Combined	0.34	0.69	0.69

A Tenprint Cards (“TwoInch” Data)

A.1 Bootstrap Confidence for Segmentation Statistics

This section shows the same detailed results of segmentation of TwoInch data from Section 2.3, but with an added bootstrap confidence interval. For each observation, a bootstrap routine with 1 000 replicates was run, and a 95 % confidence interval extracted. The lower and upper confidence from that confidence interval are printed in each column within square brackets.

In Table 41, results are shown of how successful DecaturImp+0004 segmented fingers for each subject in the test corpus. Table 42 shows success for specific finger positions over the entire test corpus. Similarly, Table 43 shows success for segmenting the same finger position from both hands.

The remainder of the tables show success per subject when considering combinations of subsets of the fingers in each slap image. Table 44 shows success for combinations of all fingers, Table 46 for the all except the little finger, and Table 45 for just the index and middle fingers.

Table 41: For each subject, the percentage that at least *Number of Fingers* fingers were correctly segmented, regardless of hand, for a maximum of eight correctly-segmented fingers. In *Standard Scoring*, scoring rules are followed exactly. In *Ignoring Bottom Y*, the bottom left and bottom right Y coordinates are ignored. *Ignoring Bottom X and Y* only checks the locations of the top left and top right coordinates. Values in square brackets represent a 95 % confidence interval after bootstrapping with 1 000 replicates.

Number of Fingers	Standard Scoring	Ignoring Bottom Y	Ignoring Bottom X and Y
1	51.1 [50.3, 51.9]	51.5 [50.6, 52.3]	59.5 [58.7, 60.3]
2	45.8 [44.9, 46.6]	46.4 [45.6, 47.2]	52.1 [51.3, 52.9]
3	40.9 [40.0, 41.7]	42.4 [41.6, 43.3]	46.8 [46.0, 47.6]
4	34.3 [33.5, 35.1]	37.3 [36.5, 38.1]	41.2 [40.3, 42.1]
5	19.8 [19.1, 20.5]	21.0 [20.3, 21.7]	25.1 [24.4, 25.8]
6	16.6 [16.0, 17.3]	18.2 [17.5, 18.8]	21.1 [20.4, 21.8]
7	13.3 [12.7, 13.8]	15.6 [14.9, 16.2]	17.6 [16.9, 18.2]
8	8.3 [7.8, 8.8]	11.4 [10.8, 11.9]	12.8 [12.2, 13.3]

Table 42: For all subjects, Percentage that a particular friction ridge generalized position was correctly segmented. In *Ignoring Bottom Y*, the bottom left and bottom right Y coordinates are ignored. *Ignoring Bottom X and Y* only checks the locations of the top left and top right coordinates. Values in square brackets represent a 95 % confidence interval after bootstrapping with 1 000 replicates.

Finger	Standard Scoring	Ignoring Bottom Y	Ignoring Bottom X and Y
Right			
Index	22.3 [21.7, 22.8]	23.1 [22.5, 23.7]	25.4 [24.8, 25.9]
Middle	24.3 [23.8, 24.9]	25.6 [25.0, 26.2]	28.7 [28.1, 29.3]
Ring	23.8 [23.3, 24.4]	24.8 [24.2, 25.3]	29.9 [29.3, 30.6]
Little	27.0 [26.4, 27.5]	27.2 [26.6, 27.8]	35.1 [34.5, 35.8]
Left			
Index	33.1 [32.5, 33.9]	35.2 [34.6, 35.9]	37.5 [36.8, 38.2]
Middle	34.0 [33.4, 34.7]	37.0 [36.3, 37.6]	38.9 [38.2, 39.6]
Ring	34.0 [33.3, 34.6]	36.6 [35.9, 37.3]	40.9 [40.3, 41.6]
Little	39.7 [39.1, 40.4]	40.3 [39.6, 40.9]	47.1 [46.4, 47.8]

Table 43: Percentage that a particular type of fingerprint was correctly segmented on *Either* or *Both* hands. In *Ignoring Bottom Y*, the bottom left and bottom right Y coordinates are ignored. *Ignoring Bottom X and Y* only checks the locations of the top left and top right coordinates. Values in square brackets represent a 95 % confidence interval after bootstrapping with 1 000 replicates.

Fingers	Standard Scoring	Ignoring Bottom Y	Ignoring Bottom X and Y
Index			
Either	39.6 [38.8, 40.5]	41.4 [40.6, 42.2]	44.2 [43.3, 45.0]
Both	13.6 [13.0, 14.2]	15.3 [14.7, 15.8]	16.8 [16.2, 17.5]
Middle			
Either	41.3 [40.5, 42.2]	43.9 [43.1, 44.8]	47.2 [46.3, 48.1]
Both	14.6 [14.1, 15.3]	17.3 [16.6, 17.9]	19.0 [18.3, 19.7]
Ring			
Either	41.4 [40.6, 42.2]	43.5 [42.7, 44.4]	49.6 [48.7, 50.5]
Both	14.4 [13.8, 15.0]	16.4 [15.8, 17.0]	19.4 [18.7, 20.0]
Little			
Either	46.8 [45.9, 47.6]	47.2 [46.4, 48.0]	55.8 [55.0, 56.8]
Both	18.3 [17.6, 19.0]	18.7 [18.0, 19.3]	24.1 [23.4, 24.8]

Table 44: Percentage of segmentation success by hand for combinations of all eight fingers of a TwoInch slap. In *Ignoring Bottom Y*, the bottom left and bottom right Y coordinates are ignored. *Ignoring Bottom X and Y* only checks the locations of the top left and top right coordinates. Values in square brackets represent a 95 % confidence interval after bootstrapping with 1 000 replicates.

Fingers	Standard Scoring	Ignoring Bottom Y	Ignoring Bottom X and Y
Right			
Any	30.6 [36.5, 37.4]	30.9 [36.8, 37.7]	38.6 [43.8, 44.7]
At Least Two	26.6 [31.9, 32.8]	27.0 [32.5, 33.4]	32.0 [37.0, 38.0]
At Least Three	23.1 [27.5, 28.3]	23.9 [29.0, 29.9]	27.4 [32.2, 33.1]
All Four	17.0 [20.0, 20.7]	18.9 [23.1, 23.9]	21.2 [25.5, 26.3]
Left			
Any	44.2 [36.5, 37.4]	44.6 [36.8, 37.7]	50.8 [43.8, 44.7]
At Least Two	39.0 [31.9, 32.8]	39.8 [32.5, 33.4]	43.8 [37.0, 38.0]
At Least Three	33.4 [27.5, 28.3]	35.7 [29.0, 29.9]	38.6 [32.2, 33.1]
All Four	24.3 [20.0, 20.7]	28.9 [23.1, 23.9]	31.3 [25.5, 26.3]

Table 45: Percentage of segmentation success by hand when only considering combinations of index and middle fingers. In *Ignoring Bottom Y*, the bottom left and bottom right Y coordinates are ignored. *Ignoring Bottom X and Y* only checks the locations of the top left and top right coordinates. Values in square brackets represent a 95 % confidence interval after bootstrapping with 1 000 replicates.

Fingers	Standard Scoring	Ignoring Bottom Y	Ignoring Bottom X and Y
Right			
Either Index or Middle	26.9 [31.9, 32.8]	27.4 [32.8, 33.7]	30.8 [35.8, 36.7]
Both Index and Middle	19.7 [23.4, 24.2]	21.3 [26.0, 26.8]	23.3 [27.9, 28.7]
Left			
Either Index or Middle	38.7 [31.9, 32.8]	39.9 [32.8, 33.7]	42.4 [35.8, 36.7]
Both Index and Middle	28.5 [23.4, 24.2]	32.3 [26.0, 26.8]	34.0 [27.9, 28.7]

Table 46: Percentage of segmentation success by hand when only considering combinations of index, middle, and ring fingers. In *Ignoring Bottom Y*, the bottom left and bottom right Y coordinates are ignored. *Ignoring Bottom X and Y* only checks the locations of the top left and top right coordinates. Values in square brackets represent a 95 % confidence interval after bootstrapping with 1 000 replicates.

Fingers	Standard Scoring	Ignoring Bottom Y	Ignoring Bottom X and Y
Right			
Any	28.3 [33.8, 34.6]	28.7 [34.3, 35.2]	34.1 [39.1, 40.1]
At Least Two	24.2 [28.7, 29.5]	25.0 [30.1, 31.0]	28.1 [32.9, 33.8]
All Three	17.9 [21.1, 21.9]	19.8 [24.2, 25.0]	21.9 [26.1, 27.0]
Left			
Any	40.9 [33.8, 34.6]	41.7 [34.3, 35.2]	46.0 [39.1, 40.1]
At Least Two	34.7 [28.7, 29.5]	36.9 [30.1, 31.0]	39.4 [32.9, 33.8]
All Three	25.5 [21.1, 21.9]	30.2 [24.2, 25.0]	31.9 [26.1, 27.0]

A.2 Jaccard Index

Table 47: For each subject, the percentage that at least *Number of Fingers* fingers were segmented with a Jaccard index in the indicated range.

Number of Fingers	≥ 0.5	≥ 0.6	≥ 0.7	≥ 0.8	≥ 0.9	≥ 0.95	≥ 0.98
1	100.0	99.7	88.7	50.2	18.0	6.5	3.0
2	99.9	99.3	84.3	45.3	13.1	3.3	0.9
3	99.8	98.3	78.9	39.7	8.4	1.3	0.2
4	99.4	96.4	70.8	31.7	4.8	0.4	0.0
5	95.4	91.0	53.6	18.1	1.8	0.1	0
6	94.9	86.7	46.9	14.7	1.0	0.0	0
7	93.6	79.1	38.6	11.1	0.6	0	0
8	86.4	63.5	27.3	6.4	0.2	0	0

Table 48: For all subjects, percentage that a particular friction ridge generalized position was segmented with a Jaccard index in the indicated range.

Finger	0-0.5	0.5-0.6	0.6-0.7	0.7-0.8	0.8-0.9	0.9-1.0
Right						
Index	2.1	6.3	32.1	34.5	18.5	6.5
Middle	1.3	6.2	35.2	34.3	18.7	4.3
Ring	1.6	9.3	33.3	32.4	18.2	5.2
Little	1.5	3.6	30.9	37.9	20.5	5.6
Left						
Index	1.8	5.8	22.9	35.7	25.5	8.3
Middle	2.1	7.0	25.2	35.7	24.6	5.4
Ring	1.6	8.0	24.9	34.0	24.3	7.2
Little	1.1	3.3	21.6	39.9	26.2	7.9

Table 49: Percentage of segmentation obtaining a Jaccard index in the indicated ranges, by hand, for combinations of all eight fingers of a TwoInch slap.

Fingers	≥ 0.5	≥ 0.6	≥ 0.7	≥ 0.8	≥ 0.9	≥ 0.95	≥ 0.98
Right							
Any	99.8	98.8	70.9	31.2	9.5	3.2	1.4
At Least Two	99.7	96.7	64.3	27.6	6.6	1.4	0.3
At Least Three	99.2	92.2	57.0	23.2	3.9	0.4	0.0
All Four	95.0	80.5	44.4	15.6	1.6	0.1	0.0
Left							
Any	99.7	98.9	81.4	42.2	12.7	4.1	1.9
At Least Two	99.6	96.7	75.0	36.8	8.5	2.0	0.6
At Least Three	99.0	92.5	66.5	30.1	5.2	0.7	0.1
All Four	95.1	81.0	51.7	20.3	2.4	0.1	0.0





Figure 23: Boxplot of Jaccard similarity indices as compared to the traditional success metrics. Outliers have been removed for clarity.

Jaccard Similarity by Friction Ridge Generalized Position

Participant: DecaturImp/0004, Image Kind: Two Inch



24 January 2022, 06:07:59 AM EST

Figure 24: Boxplot of Jaccard similarity indices for each friction ridge generalized position. Outliers have been removed for clarity.

Table 50: Percentage of segmentation obtaining a Jaccard index in the indicated ranges, by hand, for combinations of index and middle fingers of a TwoInch slap.

Fingers	≥ 0.5	≥ 0.6	≥ 0.7	≥ 0.8	≥ 0.9	≥ 0.95	≥ 0.98
Right							
Either Index or Middle	99.6	96.7	65.6	28.4	7.7	2.3	0.7
Both Index and Middle	97.1	87.4	51.2	19.6	3.2	0.4	0.1
Left							
Either Index or Middle	99.5	96.6	76.2	38.0	10.0	2.6	1.0
Both Index and Middle	96.6	86.6	59.0	25.8	3.7	0.4	0.1

Table 51: Percentage of segmentation obtaining a Jaccard index in the indicated ranges, by hand, for combinations of index, middle, and ring fingers of a TwoInch slap.

Fingers	≥ 0.5	≥ 0.6	≥ 0.7	≥ 0.8	≥ 0.9	≥ 0.95	≥ 0.98
Right							
Any	99.7	97.6	67.4	29.7	8.8	2.8	1.0
At Least Two	99.3	93.3	59.0	24.7	5.2	0.8	0.1
All Three	96.1	82.4	46.3	17.1	2.1	0.1	0.0
Left							
Any	99.6	97.7	78.4	40.1	11.4	3.3	1.4
At Least Two	99.1	93.3	68.6	32.8	6.6	1.1	0.3
All Three	95.7	82.6	53.6	22.4	2.8	0.2	0.0

B Identification Flats (“ThreeInch” Data)

B.1 Bootstrap Confidence for Segmentation Statistics

This section shows the same detailed results of segmentation of ThreeInch data from Section 3.3, but with an added bootstrap confidence interval. For each observation, a bootstrap routine with 1 000 replicates was run, and a 95 % confidence interval extracted. The lower and upper confidence from that confidence interval are printed in each column within square brackets.

In Table 52, results are shown of how successful DecaturImp+0004 segmented fingers for each subject in the test corpus. Table 53 shows success for specific finger positions over the entire test corpus. Similarly, Table 54 shows success for segmenting the same finger position from both hands.

The remainder of the tables show success per subject when considering combinations of subsets of the fingers in each slap image. Table 55 shows success for combinations of all fingers, Table 57 for the all except the little finger, and Table 56 for just the index and middle fingers.

Table 52: For each subject, the percentage that at least *Number of Fingers* fingers were correctly segmented, regardless of hand, for a maximum of eight correctly-segmented fingers. In *Standard Scoring*, scoring rules are followed exactly. In *Ignoring Bottom Y*, the bottom left and bottom right Y coordinates are ignored. *Ignoring Bottom X and Y* only checks the locations of the top left and top right coordinates. Values in square brackets represent a 95 % confidence interval after bootstrapping with 1 000 replicates.

Number of Fingers	Standard Scoring	Ignoring Bottom Y	Ignoring Bottom X and Y
1	99.6 [99.5, 99.7]	99.7 [99.6, 99.7]	99.7 [99.6, 99.7]
2	98.7 [98.5, 98.8]	99.0 [98.9, 99.1]	99.0 [98.9, 99.1]
3	97.4 [97.3, 97.6]	98.4 [98.2, 98.6]	98.4 [98.3, 98.6]
4	95.8 [95.5, 96.0]	98.0 [97.8, 98.2]	98.1 [97.9, 98.3]
5	92.3 [92.0, 92.6]	95.9 [95.6, 96.1]	95.9 [95.6, 96.1]
6	88.4 [88.0, 88.8]	95.8 [95.6, 96.1]	95.8 [95.6, 96.1]
7	82.0 [81.6, 82.5]	95.6 [95.4, 95.9]	95.7 [95.4, 95.9]
8	72.5 [71.9, 73.0]	94.8 [94.6, 95.1]	95.0 [94.8, 95.3]
9	56.8 [56.2, 57.4]	89.1 [88.7, 89.5]	90.0 [89.6, 90.3]
10	32.7 [32.0, 33.2]	65.9 [65.2, 66.4]	68.0 [67.5, 68.6]

Table 53: For all subjects, Percentage that a particular friction ridge generalized position was correctly segmented. In *Ignoring Bottom Y*, the bottom left and bottom right Y coordinates are ignored. *Ignoring Bottom X and Y* only checks the locations of the top left and top right coordinates. Values in square brackets represent a 95 % confidence interval after bootstrapping with 1 000 replicates.

Finger	Standard Scoring	Ignoring Bottom Y	Ignoring Bottom X and Y
Right			
Thumb	79.7 [79.2, 80.2]	85.7 [85.3, 86.2]	86.6 [86.2, 87.1]
Index	93.6 [93.3, 93.9]	99.2 [99.0, 99.3]	99.3 [99.2, 99.4]
Middle	78.8 [78.3, 79.3]	99.2 [99.1, 99.3]	99.4 [99.3, 99.5]
Ring	79.6 [79.1, 80.1]	98.1 [98.0, 98.3]	98.3 [98.2, 98.5]
Little	92.2 [91.8, 92.5]	97.1 [96.9, 97.3]	97.4 [97.2, 97.6]
Left			
Thumb	76.9 [76.4, 77.4]	84.2 [83.8, 84.7]	85.1 [84.7, 85.6]
Index	91.3 [91.0, 91.7]	98.0 [97.9, 98.2]	98.2 [98.0, 98.3]
Middle	75.3 [74.8, 75.9]	99.1 [99.0, 99.2]	99.3 [99.2, 99.4]
Ring	79.0 [78.5, 79.5]	99.0 [98.9, 99.1]	99.2 [99.1, 99.3]
Little	91.4 [91.1, 91.7]	98.3 [98.1, 98.5]	98.6 [98.5, 98.8]

Table 54: Percentage that a particular type of fingerprint was correctly segmented on *Either* or *Both* hands. In *Ignoring Bottom Y*, the bottom left and bottom right Y coordinates are ignored. *Ignoring Bottom X and Y* only checks the locations of the top left and top right coordinates. Values in square brackets represent a 95 % confidence interval after bootstrapping with 1 000 replicates.

Fingers	Standard Scoring	Ignoring Bottom Y	Ignoring Bottom X and Y
Thumb			
Either	91.6 [91.3, 91.9]	95.9 [95.6, 96.1]	96.2 [96.0, 96.5]
Both	65.0 [64.4, 65.6]	74.1 [73.6, 74.7]	75.6 [75.0, 76.1]
Index			
Either	97.6 [97.5, 97.8]	99.8 [99.7, 99.8]	99.8 [99.7, 99.8]
Both	85.0 [84.5, 85.4]	94.8 [94.5, 95.0]	95.0 [94.7, 95.3]
Middle			
Either	87.6 [87.1, 88.0]	99.7 [99.7, 99.8]	99.8 [99.7, 99.9]
Both	64.8 [64.2, 65.4]	95.9 [95.6, 96.1]	96.3 [96.0, 96.5]
Ring			
Either	89.6 [89.2, 89.9]	99.7 [99.7, 99.8]	99.8 [99.7, 99.8]
Both	67.1 [66.5, 67.6]	94.7 [94.4, 95.0]	95.1 [94.8, 95.4]
Little			
Either	97.5 [97.3, 97.7]	99.5 [99.4, 99.6]	99.5 [99.5, 99.6]
Both	83.7 [83.3, 84.2]	93.3 [93.0, 93.6]	93.9 [93.6, 94.2]

Table 55: Percentage of segmentation success by hand for combinations of all ten fingers of a ThreeInch slap. In *Ignoring Bottom Y*, the bottom left and bottom right Y coordinates are ignored. *Ignoring Bottom X and Y* only checks the locations of the top left and top right coordinates. Values in square brackets represent a 95 % confidence interval after bootstrapping with 1 000 replicates.

Fingers	Standard Scoring	Ignoring Bottom Y	Ignoring Bottom X and Y
Right			
Any	99.1 [99.0, 99.1]	99.3 [99.3, 99.4]	99.3 [99.3, 99.4]
At Least Two	96.8 [96.4, 96.7]	98.5 [98.3, 98.6]	98.5 [98.3, 98.6]
At Least Three	91.5 [90.2, 90.7]	98.2 [98.1, 98.4]	98.3 [98.2, 98.4]
At Least Four	78.5 [76.6, 77.3]	96.7 [96.6, 96.9]	97.0 [96.9, 97.2]
All Five	50.2 [47.8, 48.8]	77.9 [77.2, 77.9]	79.4 [78.6, 79.3]
Left			
Any	99.0 [99.0, 99.1]	99.4 [99.3, 99.4]	99.4 [99.3, 99.4]
At Least Two	96.2 [96.4, 96.7]	98.4 [98.3, 98.6]	98.4 [98.3, 98.6]
At Least Three	89.4 [90.2, 90.7]	98.2 [98.1, 98.4]	98.3 [98.2, 98.4]
At Least Four	75.4 [76.6, 77.3]	96.8 [96.6, 96.9]	97.1 [96.9, 97.2]
All Five	46.3 [47.8, 48.8]	77.1 [77.2, 77.9]	78.5 [78.6, 79.3]

Table 56: Percentage of segmentation success by hand when only considering combinations of index and middle fingers. In *Ignoring Bottom Y*, the bottom left and bottom right Y coordinates are ignored. *Ignoring Bottom X and Y* only checks the locations of the top left and top right coordinates. Values in square brackets represent a 95 % confidence interval after bootstrapping with 1 000 replicates.

Fingers	Standard Scoring	Ignoring Bottom Y	Ignoring Bottom X and Y
Right			
Either Index or Middle	96.4 [95.4, 95.8]	99.9 [99.8, 99.9]	99.9 [99.8, 99.9]
Both Index and Middle	76.0 [73.5, 74.3]	98.5 [97.8, 98.0]	98.8 [98.1, 98.4]
Left			
Either Index or Middle	94.8 [95.4, 95.8]	99.8 [99.8, 99.9]	99.8 [99.8, 99.9]
Both Index and Middle	71.8 [73.5, 74.3]	97.3 [97.8, 98.0]	97.6 [98.1, 98.4]

Table 57: Percentage of segmentation success by hand when only considering combinations of index, middle, and ring fingers. In *Ignoring Bottom Y*, the bottom left and bottom right Y coordinates are ignored. *Ignoring Bottom X and Y* only checks the locations of the top left and top right coordinates. Values in square brackets represent a 95 % confidence interval after bootstrapping with 1 000 replicates.

Fingers	Standard Scoring	Ignoring Bottom Y	Ignoring Bottom X and Y
Right			
Any	97.7 [97.0, 97.3]	99.9 [99.9, 99.9]	99.9 [99.9, 99.9]
At Least Two	87.2 [85.8, 86.4]	99.7 [99.6, 99.7]	99.7 [99.6, 99.7]
All Three	67.1 [65.1, 66.0]	96.9 [96.6, 96.9]	97.4 [97.1, 97.4]
Left			
Any	96.7 [97.0, 97.3]	99.9 [99.9, 99.9]	99.9 [99.9, 99.9]
At Least Two	85.0 [85.8, 86.4]	99.6 [99.6, 99.7]	99.7 [99.6, 99.7]
All Three	63.9 [65.1, 66.0]	96.6 [96.6, 96.9]	97.1 [97.1, 97.4]

B.2 Jaccard Index

Table 58: For each subject, the percentage that at least *Number of Fingers* fingers were segmented with a Jaccard index in the indicated range.

Number of Fingers	≥ 0.5	≥ 0.6	≥ 0.7	≥ 0.8	≥ 0.9	≥ 0.95	≥ 0.98
1	99.9	99.9	99.9	99.5	92.8	61.4	26.1
2	99.9	99.7	99.4	98.2	83.4	33.3	6.4
3	98.4	98.2	97.6	95.7	71.1	16.0	1.2
4	98.1	97.6	96.3	92.6	57.9	6.7	0.2
5	95.9	95.6	93.5	87.6	44.5	2.6	0.0
6	95.8	95.0	90.8	81.2	31.8	0.8	0.0
7	95.7	94.0	86.3	72.7	20.3	0.2	0
8	95.4	91.5	79.2	61.4	10.8	0.0	0
9	94.3	86.3	68.0	45.7	4.0	0	0
10	89.6	73.6	48.5	25.8	0.9	0	0

Table 59: For all subjects, percentage that a particular friction ridge generalized position was segmented with a Jaccard index in the indicated range.

Finger	0-0.5	0.5-0.6	0.6-0.7	0.7-0.8	0.8-0.9	0.9-1.0
Right						
Thumb	1.3	0.8	5.4	15.4	43.8	33.3
Index	0.5	1.6	3.6	4.6	27.5	62.2
Middle	0.9	4.9	11.6	10.1	22.5	50.0
Ring	0.9	5.1	11.5	11.5	31.8	39.2
Little	1.2	2.6	2.8	10.6	49.5	33.3
Left						
Thumb	1.3	1.1	7.7	16.1	39.7	34.1
Index	0.6	2.6	3.8	4.9	35.3	52.8
Middle	1.0	6.1	13.1	10.6	26.4	42.8
Ring	0.9	5.2	11.6	10.6	30.7	41.0
Little	1.6	3.3	3.1	7.9	45.9	38.2





Figure 25: Boxplot of Jaccard similarity indices as compared to the traditional success metrics. Outliers have been removed for clarity.

Jaccard Similarity by Friction Ridge Generalized Position

Participant: DecaturImp/0004, Image Kind: Three Inch



24 January 2022, 06:11:20 AM EST

Figure 26: Boxplot of Jaccard similarity indices for each friction ridge generalized position. Outliers have been removed for clarity.

Table 60: Percentage of segmentation obtaining a Jaccard index in the indicated ranges, by hand, for combinations of all ten fingers of a ThreeInch slap.

Fingers	≥ 0.5	≥ 0.6	≥ 0.7	≥ 0.8	≥ 0.9	≥ 0.95	≥ 0.98
Right							
Any	99.9	99.9	99.7	98.3	82.5	42.8	15.1
At Least Two	98.5	98.3	97.3	93.0	62.5	15.2	2.2
At Least Three	98.4	97.3	93.4	84.1	42.3	3.8	0.2
At Least Four	97.6	93.9	83.7	68.6	21.9	0.5	0.0
All Five	91.7	82.0	62.9	41.9	5.3	0.0	0.0
Left							
Any	99.9	99.8	99.6	98.5	81.3	40.0	14.5
At Least Two	98.4	98.1	96.8	93.3	59.4	14.2	1.9
At Least Three	98.2	96.7	91.8	83.1	38.9	4.0	0.2
At Least Four	97.5	92.7	81.3	66.2	20.1	0.7	0.0
All Five	91.1	79.8	59.2	38.7	5.4	0.0	0.0

Table 61: Percentage of segmentation obtaining a Jaccard index in the indicated ranges, by hand, for combinations of index and middle fingers of a ThreeInch slap.

Fingers	≥ 0.5	≥ 0.6	≥ 0.7	≥ 0.8	≥ 0.9	≥ 0.95	≥ 0.98
Right							
Either Index or Middle	99.8	99.2	96.7	93.1	72.4	34.2	11.3
Both Index and Middle	98.8	92.9	80.2	69.2	39.9	7.4	0.8
Left							
Either Index or Middle	99.8	98.6	95.7	92.0	64.4	26.0	9.2
Both Index and Middle	98.5	91.0	77.1	65.3	31.2	4.5	0.6

Table 62: Percentage of segmentation obtaining a Jaccard index in the indicated ranges, by hand, for combinations of index, middle, and ring fingers of a ThreeInch slap.

Fingers	≥ 0.5	≥ 0.6	≥ 0.7	≥ 0.8	≥ 0.9	≥ 0.95	≥ 0.98
Right							
Any	99.9	99.5	97.9	94.7	75.6	37.6	13.2
At Least Two	99.6	97.1	89.3	80.2	50.6	11.2	1.5
All Three	98.2	89.6	72.3	58.3	25.5	1.8	0.1
Left							
Any	99.9	99.2	97.2	94.5	70.6	32.0	11.7
At Least Two	99.6	96.3	87.7	78.7	44.8	9.1	1.2
All Three	97.9	87.9	70.0	55.9	21.2	1.6	0.1

C Upper Palm (“FiveInch” Data)

C.1 Bootstrap Confidence for Segmentation Statistics

This section shows the same detailed results of segmentation of FiveInch data from Section 4.3, but with an added bootstrap confidence interval. For each observation, a bootstrap routine with 1 000 replicates was run, and a 95 % confidence interval extracted. The lower and upper confidence from that confidence interval are printed in each column within square brackets.

In Table 63, results are shown of how successful DecaturImp+0004 segmented fingers for each subject in the test corpus. Table 64 shows success for specific finger positions over the entire test corpus. Similarly, Table 65 shows success for segmenting the same finger position from both hands.

The remainder of the tables show success per subject when considering combinations of subsets of the fingers in each slap image. Table 66 shows success for combinations of all fingers, Table 68 for the all except the little finger, and Table 67 for just the index and middle fingers.

Table 63: For each subject, the percentage that at least *Number of Fingers* fingers were correctly segmented, regardless of hand, for a maximum of eight correctly-segmented fingers. In *Standard Scoring*, scoring rules are followed exactly. In *Ignoring Bottom Y*, the bottom left and bottom right Y coordinates are ignored. *Ignoring Bottom X and Y* only checks the locations of the top left and top right coordinates. Values in square brackets represent a 95 % confidence interval after bootstrapping with 1 000 replicates.

Number of Fingers	Standard Scoring	Ignoring Bottom Y	Ignoring Bottom X and Y
1	90.8 [88.8, 92.9]	91.6 [89.6, 93.3]	92.9 [91.0, 94.6]
2	84.5 [81.8, 86.8]	86.3 [83.9, 88.8]	87.6 [85.2, 90.1]
3	75.1 [72.2, 78.3]	80.2 [77.2, 83.0]	83.8 [81.2, 86.4]
4	63.2 [59.7, 66.5]	71.8 [68.5, 74.7]	76.0 [72.9, 79.0]
5	50.1 [46.6, 53.6]	58.9 [55.1, 62.5]	62.5 [58.8, 66.0]
6	37.9 [34.3, 41.8]	47.4 [43.5, 50.9]	51.8 [48.1, 55.5]
7	26.2 [23.1, 29.4]	36.4 [33.3, 39.8]	41.2 [37.8, 45.1]
8	13.3 [10.9, 15.8]	23.2 [20.3, 26.2]	28.2 [25.2, 31.5]

Table 64: For all subjects, Percentage that a particular friction ridge generalized position was correctly segmented. In *Ignoring Bottom Y*, the bottom left and bottom right Y coordinates are ignored. *Ignoring Bottom X and Y* only checks the locations of the top left and top right coordinates. Values in square brackets represent a 95 % confidence interval after bootstrapping with 1 000 replicates.

Finger	Standard Scoring	Ignoring Bottom Y	Ignoring Bottom X and Y
Right			
Index	62.2 [58.6, 65.8]	68.6 [65.3, 72.2]	70.6 [67.6, 73.9]
Middle	54.8 [51.2, 58.2]	61.2 [57.7, 64.6]	63.8 [60.2, 67.4]
Ring	55.7 [52.3, 59.2]	61.0 [57.6, 64.6]	68.2 [64.8, 71.4]
Little	53.3 [49.3, 56.9]	58.8 [55.3, 62.5]	65.8 [62.6, 69.3]
Left			
Index	60.2 [56.9, 63.7]	65.6 [62.2, 69.2]	68.0 [64.7, 71.1]
Middle	53.3 [49.6, 56.9]	61.7 [58.4, 64.7]	63.1 [59.3, 66.4]
Ring	56.0 [52.5, 59.5]	63.9 [60.3, 67.2]	65.5 [62.1, 68.8]
Little	49.1 [45.5, 52.9]	58.9 [55.6, 62.5]	63.0 [59.4, 66.6]

Table 65: Percentage that a particular type of fingerprint was correctly segmented on *Either* or *Both* hands. In *Ignoring Bottom Y*, the bottom left and bottom right Y coordinates are ignored. *Ignoring Bottom X and Y* only checks the locations of the top left and top right coordinates. Values in square brackets represent a 95 % confidence interval after bootstrapping with 1 000 replicates.

Fingers	Standard Scoring	Ignoring Bottom Y	Ignoring Bottom X and Y
Index			
Either	78.7 [75.6, 81.6]	82.9 [80.4, 85.6]	85.1 [82.6, 87.5]
Both	42.8 [39.1, 46.2]	50.3 [46.8, 53.8]	52.4 [48.5, 56.0]
Middle			
Either	72.3 [69.0, 75.5]	78.8 [76.0, 81.6]	80.2 [77.3, 83.1]
Both	34.9 [31.5, 38.3]	43.1 [39.5, 46.5]	45.7 [42.0, 49.1]
Ring			
Either	74.4 [71.4, 77.7]	79.6 [76.7, 82.5]	83.0 [80.4, 85.8]
Both	36.4 [32.8, 40.2]	44.4 [41.0, 48.1]	49.7 [46.2, 53.1]
Little			
Either	71.0 [67.7, 73.9]	76.7 [73.6, 79.7]	81.0 [78.1, 83.8]
Both	30.6 [27.4, 34.0]	40.1 [37.0, 43.3]	46.8 [43.1, 50.3]

Table 66: Percentage of segmentation success by hand for combinations of all eight fingers of a FiveInch slap. In *Ignoring Bottom Y*, the bottom left and bottom right Y coordinates are ignored. *Ignoring Bottom X and Y* only checks the locations of the top left and top right coordinates. Values in square brackets represent a 95 % confidence interval after bootstrapping with 1 000 replicates.

Fingers	Standard Scoring	Ignoring Bottom Y	Ignoring Bottom X and Y
Right			
Any	79.4 [76.8, 80.8]	80.5 [78.6, 82.5]	83.4 [81.3, 84.9]
At Least Two	65.3 [62.2, 66.9]	69.9 [67.4, 71.9]	73.7 [70.1, 74.7]
At Least Three	50.3 [46.8, 51.7]	58.8 [55.9, 61.0]	63.7 [59.7, 64.7]
All Four	31.1 [27.4, 32.0]	40.4 [38.5, 43.6]	47.7 [43.9, 48.6]
Left			
Any	78.2 [76.8, 80.8]	80.8 [78.6, 82.5]	83.0 [81.3, 84.9]
At Least Two	63.8 [62.2, 66.9]	69.4 [67.4, 71.9]	71.1 [70.1, 74.7]
At Least Three	48.3 [46.8, 51.7]	58.2 [55.9, 61.0]	60.7 [59.7, 64.7]
All Four	28.2 [27.4, 32.0]	41.8 [38.5, 43.6]	44.8 [43.9, 48.6]

Table 67: Percentage of segmentation success by hand when only considering combinations of index and middle fingers. In *Ignoring Bottom Y*, the bottom left and bottom right Y coordinates are ignored. *Ignoring Bottom X and Y* only checks the locations of the top left and top right coordinates. Values in square brackets represent a 95 % confidence interval after bootstrapping with 1 000 replicates.

Fingers	Standard Scoring	Ignoring Bottom Y	Ignoring Bottom X and Y
Right			
Either Index or Middle	71.1 [67.8, 72.3]	74.7 [71.8, 76.4]	76.3 [73.4, 77.6]
Both Index and Middle	45.9 [42.4, 47.7]	55.1 [52.1, 56.8]	58.1 [54.8, 59.8]
Left			
Either Index or Middle	69.1 [67.8, 72.3]	73.5 [71.8, 76.4]	74.9 [73.4, 77.6]
Both Index and Middle	44.4 [42.4, 47.7]	53.8 [52.1, 56.8]	56.2 [54.8, 59.8]

Table 68: Percentage of segmentation success by hand when only considering combinations of index, middle, and ring fingers. In *Ignoring Bottom Y*, the bottom left and bottom right Y coordinates are ignored. *Ignoring Bottom X and Y* only checks the locations of the top left and top right coordinates. Values in square brackets represent a 95 % confidence interval after bootstrapping with 1 000 replicates.

Fingers	Standard Scoring	Ignoring Bottom Y	Ignoring Bottom X and Y
Right			
Any	76.3 [73.1, 77.7]	78.2 [75.8, 79.8]	81.1 [77.8, 81.8]
At Least Two	58.1 [55.3, 60.3]	65.0 [62.7, 67.5]	68.5 [65.5, 70.1]
All Three	38.3 [35.5, 40.3]	47.6 [45.5, 50.7]	53.1 [49.4, 54.4]
Left			
Any	74.7 [73.1, 77.7]	77.3 [75.8, 79.8]	78.9 [77.8, 81.8]
At Least Two	57.3 [55.3, 60.3]	65.4 [62.7, 67.5]	67.1 [65.5, 70.1]
All Three	37.5 [35.5, 40.3]	48.5 [45.5, 50.7]	50.7 [49.4, 54.4]

C.2 Jaccard Index

Table 69: For each subject, the percentage that at least *Number of Fingers* fingers were segmented with a Jaccard index in the indicated range.

Number of Fingers	≥ 0.5	≥ 0.6	≥ 0.7	≥ 0.8	≥ 0.9	≥ 0.95	≥ 0.98
1	95.3	94.6	93.3	85.2	31.4	12.3	11.9
2	92.5	92.1	88.1	70.5	13.0	7.5	7.5
3	89.5	88.0	81.0	54.2	6.2	4.6	4.6
4	86.0	81.8	73.1	39.4	2.9	2.5	2.5
5	78.3	72.7	60.9	26.7	1.4	1.3	1.3
6	70.6	62.7	47.8	15.3	0.5	0.5	0.5
7	63.9	52.2	34.9	7.9	0.3	0.3	0.3
8	50.2	35.3	20.3	2.4	0.3	0.3	0.3

Table 70: For all subjects, percentage that a particular friction ridge generalized position was segmented with a Jaccard index in the indicated range.

Finger	$0-0.5$	$0.5-0.6$	$0.6-0.7$	$0.7-0.8$	$0.8-0.9$	$0.9-1.0$
Right						
Index	19.2	5.3	7.7	17.4	42.0	8.4
Middle	24.5	3.7	10.4	25.5	26.5	9.4
Ring	19.8	5.4	11.2	33.0	24.6	6.0
Little	18.5	7.2	14.5	33.5	21.8	4.5
Left						
Index	20.0	4.1	8.2	21.1	40.5	6.1
Middle	26.8	6.4	8.0	21.2	30.6	7.0
Ring	20.0	5.2	11.4	24.3	31.2	7.9
Little	20.0	9.8	9.3	23.5	30.4	7.0

Table 71: Percentage of segmentation obtaining a Jaccard index in the indicated ranges, by hand, for combinations of all ten fingers of a FiveInch slap.

Fingers	≥ 0.5	≥ 0.6	≥ 0.7	≥ 0.8	≥ 0.9	≥ 0.95	≥ 0.98
Right							
Any	90.2	89.6	85.2	65.0	18.0	8.0	7.7
At Least Two	83.5	80.9	72.9	44.0	5.7	4.1	4.1
At Least Three	79.0	73.0	57.6	24.7	2.9	2.9	2.9
All Four	65.4	52.9	37.0	9.4	1.7	1.7	1.7
Left							
Any	89.9	88.5	84.9	70.2	19.4	7.2	7.0
At Least Two	83.4	79.6	71.9	50.5	5.6	2.9	2.9
At Least Three	76.5	69.4	57.3	29.7	2.7	2.1	2.1
All Four	63.3	50.3	36.7	10.3	0.5	0.5	0.5





Figure 27: Boxplot of Jaccard similarity indices as compared to the traditional success metrics. Outliers have been removed for clarity.

Jaccard Similarity by Friction Ridge Generalized Position

Participant: DecaturImp/0004, Image Kind: Upper Palm



24 January 2022, 06:01:17 AM EST

Figure 28: Boxplot of Jaccard similarity indices for each friction ridge generalized position. Outliers have been removed for clarity.

Table 72: Percentage of segmentation obtaining a Jaccard index in the indicated ranges, by hand, for combinations of index and middle fingers of a FiveInch slap.

Fingers	≥ 0.5	≥ 0.6	≥ 0.7	≥ 0.8	≥ 0.9	≥ 0.95	≥ 0.98
Right							
Either Index or Middle	85.6	83.6	77.3	58.5	14.1	6.0	5.7
Both Index and Middle	70.7	63.7	52.0	27.8	3.7	3.1	3.1
Left							
Either Index or Middle	83.2	80.9	74.8	57.2	10.1	4.6	4.5
Both Index and Middle	70.0	61.8	51.7	27.1	3.1	2.5	2.5

Table 73: Percentage of segmentation obtaining a Jaccard index in the indicated ranges, by hand, for combinations of index, middle, and ring fingers of a FiveInch slap.

Fingers	≥ 0.5	≥ 0.6	≥ 0.7	≥ 0.8	≥ 0.9	≥ 0.95	≥ 0.98
Right							
Any	88.2	87.1	81.8	62.2	16.2	6.9	6.6
At Least Two	79.9	75.9	66.1	37.5	4.9	3.6	3.6
All Three	68.5	59.0	44.9	17.2	2.7	2.7	2.7
Left							
Any	86.7	85.3	80.5	64.6	14.6	6.1	6.0
At Least Two	79.2	74.3	64.6	41.0	4.1	2.8	2.8
All Three	67.2	58.0	44.8	17.8	2.4	2.1	2.1

D Full Palm (“EightInch” Data)

D.1 Bootstrap Confidence for Segmentation Statistics

NOTE: The following segmentation statistics are based on a limited subset (approximately 15%) of the anticipated Full Palm dataset. This analysis will be updated as soon as NIST can obtain the remainder of the dataset.

This section shows the same detailed results of segmentation of EightInch data from Section 5.3, but with an added bootstrap confidence interval. For each observation, a bootstrap routine with 1 000 replicates was run, and a 95 % confidence interval extracted. The lower and upper confidence from that confidence interval are printed in each column within square brackets.

In Table 74, results are shown of how successful DecaturImp+0004 segmented fingers for each subject in the test corpus. Table 75 shows success for specific finger positions over the entire test corpus. Similarly, Table 76 shows success for segmenting the same finger position from both hands.

The remainder of the tables show success per subject when considering combinations of subsets of the fingers in each slap image. Table 77 shows success for combinations of all fingers, Table 79 for the all except the little finger, and Table 78 for just the index and middle fingers.

Table 74: For each subject, the percentage that at least *Number of Fingers* fingers were correctly segmented, regardless of hand, for a maximum of eight correctly-segmented fingers. In *Standard Scoring*, scoring rules are followed exactly. In *Ignoring Bottom Y*, the bottom left and bottom right Y coordinates are ignored. *Ignoring Bottom X and Y* only checks the locations of the top left and top right coordinates. Values in square brackets represent a 95 % confidence interval after bootstrapping with 1 000 replicates.

Number of Fingers	Standard Scoring	Ignoring Bottom Y	Ignoring Bottom X and Y
1	94.3 [92.5, 95.7]	99.8 [99.4, 100.0]	99.8 [99.4, 100.0]
2	85.7 [83.3, 87.9]	99.4 [98.9, 99.9]	99.5 [99.1, 99.9]
3	74.6 [71.7, 77.5]	98.5 [97.7, 99.3]	99.1 [98.4, 99.7]
4	63.9 [60.8, 67.2]	97.0 [95.9, 98.0]	97.5 [96.3, 98.5]
5	48.5 [44.9, 51.7]	92.5 [90.7, 94.3]	94.1 [92.5, 95.7]
6	35.7 [32.9, 39.2]	87.9 [85.7, 90.2]	90.0 [88.0, 91.8]
7	23.0 [20.1, 25.9]	76.4 [73.7, 79.3]	81.1 [78.4, 83.7]
8	12.3 [10.1, 14.6]	51.8 [48.6, 55.3]	58.7 [55.3, 61.8]

Table 75: For all subjects, Percentage that a particular friction ridge generalized position was correctly segmented. In *Ignoring Bottom Y*, the bottom left and bottom right Y coordinates are ignored. *Ignoring Bottom X and Y* only checks the locations of the top left and top right coordinates. Values in square brackets represent a 95 % confidence interval after bootstrapping with 1 000 replicates.

Finger	Standard Scoring	Ignoring Bottom Y	Ignoring Bottom X and Y
Right			
Index	50.0 [46.8, 53.3]	86.2 [84.0, 88.5]	88.2 [86.2, 90.2]
Middle	61.1 [58.0, 64.6]	86.7 [84.3, 88.9]	89.2 [87.0, 91.3]
Ring	59.4 [56.2, 62.6]	87.4 [84.8, 89.5]	90.7 [88.7, 92.5]
Little	58.0 [54.7, 61.3]	86.0 [83.6, 88.2]	90.6 [88.6, 92.5]
Left			
Index	49.3 [46.0, 52.8]	87.6 [85.1, 89.7]	89.7 [87.6, 91.7]
Middle	56.1 [53.0, 59.3]	89.5 [87.6, 91.5]	90.2 [88.3, 92.3]
Ring	49.1 [45.9, 52.3]	90.5 [88.5, 92.4]	91.3 [89.4, 93.1]
Little	54.9 [51.5, 58.2]	89.7 [87.7, 91.7]	90.1 [88.2, 92.0]

Table 76: Percentage that a particular type of fingerprint was correctly segmented on *Either* or *Both* hands. In *Ignoring Bottom Y*, the bottom left and bottom right Y coordinates are ignored. *Ignoring Bottom X and Y* only checks the locations of the top left and top right coordinates. Values in square brackets represent a 95 % confidence interval after bootstrapping with 1 000 replicates.

Fingers	Standard Scoring	Ignoring Bottom Y	Ignoring Bottom X and Y
Index			
Either	67.1 [63.8, 70.3]	97.0 [95.7, 98.2]	97.7 [96.7, 98.6]
Both	32.2 [29.0, 35.4]	76.8 [73.9, 79.4]	80.1 [77.5, 82.9]
Middle			
Either	76.6 [73.8, 79.3]	97.8 [96.8, 98.7]	98.4 [97.5, 99.2]
Both	40.7 [37.4, 43.9]	78.4 [75.7, 81.1]	81.0 [78.3, 83.6]
Ring			
Either	73.1 [69.9, 76.2]	97.9 [96.9, 98.9]	98.4 [97.6, 99.2]
Both	35.4 [32.1, 38.9]	79.9 [77.2, 82.5]	83.6 [81.1, 86.1]
Little			
Either	74.1 [71.1, 77.0]	97.2 [96.0, 98.3]	98.2 [97.2, 99.0]
Both	38.9 [35.4, 42.1]	78.4 [75.7, 81.1]	82.5 [79.9, 85.2]

Table 77: Percentage of segmentation success by hand for combinations of all eight fingers of a EightInch slap. In *Ignoring Bottom Y*, the bottom left and bottom right Y coordinates are ignored. *Ignoring Bottom X and Y* only checks the locations of the top left and top right coordinates. Values in square brackets represent a 95 % confidence interval after bootstrapping with 1 000 replicates.

Fingers	Standard Scoring	Ignoring Bottom Y	Ignoring Bottom X and Y
Right			
Any	86.9 [82.8, 86.3]	97.9 [96.8, 98.3]	98.5 [97.1, 98.5]
At Least Two	69.8 [64.1, 68.7]	94.8 [94.0, 96.0]	96.6 [94.9, 97.0]
At Least Three	47.7 [42.2, 46.9]	87.5 [87.2, 90.2]	91.1 [89.5, 92.2]
All Four	24.3 [21.6, 25.7]	66.0 [68.4, 72.5]	72.4 [73.1, 77.2]
Left			
Any	82.2 [82.8, 86.3]	97.2 [96.8, 98.3]	97.2 [97.1, 98.5]
At Least Two	63.0 [64.1, 68.7]	95.3 [94.0, 96.0]	95.4 [94.9, 97.0]
At Least Three	41.4 [42.2, 46.9]	89.9 [87.2, 90.2]	90.8 [89.5, 92.2]
All Four	22.9 [21.6, 25.7]	74.8 [68.4, 72.5]	77.8 [73.1, 77.2]

Table 78: Percentage of segmentation success by hand when only considering combinations of index and middle fingers. In *Ignoring Bottom Y*, the bottom left and bottom right Y coordinates are ignored. *Ignoring Bottom X and Y* only checks the locations of the top left and top right coordinates. Values in square brackets represent a 95 % confidence interval after bootstrapping with 1 000 replicates.

Fingers	Standard Scoring	Ignoring Bottom Y	Ignoring Bottom X and Y
Right			
Either Index or Middle	71.6 [67.7, 72.1]	93.3 [92.8, 95.2]	95.3 [94.1, 96.1]
Both Index and Middle	39.5 [36.1, 40.6]	79.5 [79.1, 82.9]	82.1 [81.9, 85.2]
Left			
Either Index or Middle	68.3 [67.7, 72.1]	94.7 [92.8, 95.2]	94.9 [94.1, 96.1]
Both Index and Middle	37.1 [36.1, 40.6]	82.4 [79.1, 82.9]	84.9 [81.9, 85.2]

Table 79: Percentage of segmentation success by hand when only considering combinations of index, middle, and ring fingers. In *Ignoring Bottom Y*, the bottom left and bottom right Y coordinates are ignored. *Ignoring Bottom X and Y* only checks the locations of the top left and top right coordinates. Values in square brackets represent a 95 % confidence interval after bootstrapping with 1 000 replicates.

Fingers	Standard Scoring	Ignoring Bottom Y	Ignoring Bottom X and Y
Right			
Any	80.9 [76.4, 80.2]	95.9 [95.3, 97.2]	97.2 [96.1, 97.7]
At Least Two	58.4 [52.1, 56.7]	90.3 [89.8, 92.3]	92.5 [91.0, 93.6]
All Three	31.3 [27.9, 32.2]	74.0 [74.6, 78.4]	78.3 [78.4, 82.1]
Left			
Any	75.6 [76.4, 80.2]	96.7 [95.3, 97.2]	96.7 [96.1, 97.7]
At Least Two	50.1 [52.1, 56.7]	91.7 [89.8, 92.3]	92.2 [91.0, 93.6]
All Three	28.7 [27.9, 32.2]	79.2 [74.6, 78.4]	82.3 [78.4, 82.1]

D.2 Jaccard Index

Table 80: For each subject, the percentage that at least *Number of Fingers* fingers were segmented with a Jaccard index in the indicated range.


Number of Fingers	≥ 0.5	≥ 0.6	≥ 0.7	≥ 0.8	≥ 0.9	≥ 0.95
1	99.9	97.7	91.4	80.2	9.4	0.3
2	99.3	93.1	82.4	63.9	2.1	0
3	98.7	87.0	69.0	48.0	0.2	0
4	97.0	79.8	58.6	35.5	0.1	0
5	93.8	70.1	46.0	21.7	0	0
6	89.2	58.6	35.1	14.8	0	0
7	80.6	44.8	22.8	7.9	0	0
8	63.1	29.1	12.6	3.3	0	0

Table 81: For all subjects, percentage that a particular friction ridge generalized position was segmented with a Jaccard index in the indicated range.

Finger	0-0.5	0.5-0.6	0.6-0.7	0.7-0.8	0.8-0.9	0.9-1.0
Right						
Index	10.2	22.7	15.5	14.8	34.4	2.4
Middle	5.7	16.8	23.7	17.8	34.8	1.2
Ring	7.7	19.3	23.0	20.1	29.3	0.6
Little	12.8	16.8	10.0	29.9	30.3	0.2
Left						
Index	11.3	25.0	14.9	13.7	33.6	1.5
Middle	7.2	18.5	22.8	13.2	35.9	2.4
Ring	7.1	22.8	24.1	14.7	29.2	2.1
Little	16.3	19.5	8.4	18.1	36.2	1.5

Table 82: Percentage of segmentation obtaining a Jaccard index in the indicated ranges, by hand, for combinations of all ten fingers of a EightInch slap.

Fingers	≥ 0.5	≥ 0.6	≥ 0.7	≥ 0.8	≥ 0.9	≥ 0.95
Right						
Any	98.2	93.0	84.0	65.2	4.0	0.0
At Least Two	96.6	83.2	64.4	39.7	0.3	0.0
At Least Three	91.8	67.6	44.8	20.6	0.0	0.0
All Four	77.0	44.3	22.6	7.8	0.0	0.0
Left						
Any	98.5	90.7	80.5	66.8	6.1	0.3
At Least Two	95.5	79.2	60.9	43.7	1.3	0.0
At Least Three	91.0	62.9	39.1	22.4	0.1	0.0
All Four	73.0	39.4	21.5	9.4	0.0	0.0




24 January 2022, 06:01:22 AM EST

Figure 29: Boxplot of Jaccard similarity indices as compared to the traditional success metrics. Outliers have been removed for clarity.

Jaccard Similarity by Friction Ridge Generalized Position

Participant: DecaturImp/0004, Image Kind: Full Palm



24 January 2022, 06:01:21 AM EST

Figure 30: Boxplot of Jaccard similarity indices for each friction ridge generalized position. Outliers have been removed for clarity.

Table 83: Percentage of segmentation obtaining a Jaccard index in the indicated ranges, by hand, for combinations of index and middle fingers of a EightInch slap.

Fingers	≥ 0.5	≥ 0.6	≥ 0.7	≥ 0.8	≥ 0.9	≥ 0.95
Right						
Either Index or Middle	96.9	85.1	68.4	51.0	3.4	0
Both Index and Middle	87.1	59.5	37.0	21.7	0.1	0
Left						
Either Index or Middle	96.3	82.5	64.4	51.3	3.6	0.2
Both Index and Middle	85.2	55.4	35.9	22.1	0.3	0

Table 84: Percentage of segmentation obtaining a Jaccard index in the indicated ranges, by hand, for combinations of index, middle, and ring fingers of a EightInch slap.

Fingers	≥ 0.5	≥ 0.6	≥ 0.7	≥ 0.8	≥ 0.9	≥ 0.95
Right						
Any	97.7	89.9	74.9	58.2	3.9	0
At Least Two	94.8	74.8	51.7	30.5	0.2	0
All Three	83.8	52.9	28.7	14.0	0	0
Left						
Any	97.0	86.9	71.5	58.6	4.9	0.3
At Least Two	94.1	72.5	47.9	32.6	1.0	0
All Three	83.2	48.6	26.8	13.3	0	0