Sort of Sorting

The best performance for my test cases in SortOfSortTester is sortingTester2 because the time complexity for that test is 8ms and comparing the other test case’s time complexity they are all the same speed. For example, sortingTester1,3,4,5 all have 9ms response time. The worst test case performance would be sortingTester4 and the reasoning behind it is the inputs are mixed integers of negative and positive numbers that range from -1500 to 999 even though the time complexity has the same time I think this one was the worst one. The average test case performance would have to sortingTester1 where the inputs are only even numbers starting from zero and the performance was not tedious, but I feel like the time complexity can be a little bit shorter.