Event-Driven Quantitative Trading Strategies: Capitalizing on Post-Earnings Announcement Dynamics for Rapid Realization

I. Introduction to Event-Driven Trading Around Earnings Calls

A. The Significance of Earnings Announcements in Financial Markets

Earnings announcements represent pivotal events within the financial markets, serving as primary catalysts for the revaluation of publicly traded companies. These quarterly reports, a standard practice in the United States, provide critical new data that can significantly shift investor sentiment and trigger substantial movements in stock prices.[1] The period surrounding these announcements is consistently characterized by elevated trading volume, heightened volatility, and dynamic price action, making it a focal point for quantitative trading strategies. While a positive earnings surprise—where reported earnings exceed expectations—generally instills investor confidence and boosts stock value, the market's reaction is not always straightforward. For example, General Motors experienced a slight stock decline immediately after reporting strong first-quarter earnings that beat analysts' consensus estimates.[1] This unexpected dip was attributed to concerns raised during the earnings call, specifically regarding delayed and unclear forward guidance from management. This illustrates that the market processes information holistically, considering not only the quantitative figures but also qualitative aspects such as management commentary and future outlook. A quantitative strategy, therefore, cannot solely rely on a simple "beat/miss" signal. It must incorporate mechanisms to assess the broader market sentiment or the qualitative context surrounding the announcement to accurately gauge the true impact and its potential for sustained price movement. This suggests the necessity for advanced analytical techniques beyond mere numerical comparisons.

The inherent predictability of earnings reports, released on a defined quarterly schedule, creates a recurring and exploitable opportunity for quantitative traders.[2] This predictable

rhythm, coupled with the documented increase in market activity and volatility during earnings season, provides a unique set of circumstances for systematic trading. Unlike continuous market-making or long-term trend-following, earnings trading allows for a concentrated allocation of resources during specific, high-impact periods. This structured approach, leveraging the guaranteed surge in market activity, offers a distinct advantage for quantitative traders aiming for rapid realization, as the market is primed for significant, exploitable movements.

B. Defining Earnings Surprise and Shock for Quantitative Strategies

An "earnings surprise" fundamentally occurs when a company's actual reported earnings diverge from the consensus estimate projected by financial analysts. A positive surprise denotes reported earnings surpassing expectations, while a negative surprise indicates that earnings fell short. This quantifiable deviation serves as a primary input for any earnings-driven trading strategy.[1]

1. Standardized Unexpected Earnings (SUE)

Standardized Unexpected Earnings (SUE) is a widely adopted quantitative measure of earnings surprise. It is computed as the difference between a company's actual reported earnings and the consensus expected earnings (often derived from analyst forecasts or time-series models), normalized by the standard deviation of earnings surprises.[5] This normalization is crucial because it quantifies the surprise in terms of how many standard deviations it is above or below the consensus estimate. Expected earnings are frequently calculated using a seasonal random walk model with drift to account for historical patterns.[5] The normalization inherent in SUE addresses a critical aspect of assessing market impact across diverse firms. A simple dollar difference in earnings might be misleading; for instance, a $0.05 earnings beat for a large, stable blue-chip company might not be as "surprising" or "shocking" in its historical context as an identical $0.05 beat for a smaller, more volatile biotechnology firm. SUE resolves this by measuring the surprise relative to the company's own historical earnings volatility.[5] This ensures that the market impact is measured on a comparable scale. Consequently, SUE provides a robust, standardized metric for identifying significant earnings events across a varied universe of stocks. For quantitative strategies, this enables a consistent ranking and selection process, ensuring that the strategy targets genuinely impactful deviations rather than merely large nominal differences.

2. Earnings Announcement Return (EAR)

Earnings Announcement Return (EAR) represents another vital measure, defined as the

abnormal return of a firm's stock over a three-day window centered on the earnings announcement date.[5] This abnormal return is calculated as the excess return compared to a portfolio of firms with similar risk exposures.[5] EAR distinguishes itself from SUE by capturing the market's immediate, holistic reaction to all unexpected information released during the earnings event. This includes not only the reported earnings numbers but also qualitative aspects communicated during the earnings call, such as sales figures, margins, investment insights, and forward guidance.[5]

Strategies based on EAR have demonstrated strong predictive power, sometimes outperforming SUE-based strategies and exhibiting greater persistence without reversal.[5] Academic research suggests that combining EAR and SUE can yield even higher abnormal returns, as they appear to be independent sources of information.[5] While SUE quantifies the numerical deviation, EAR provides a real-time, market-based validation of the market impact. EAR inherently reflects how the collective market interprets the entire earnings narrative, including the qualitative nuances from the call. For rapid realization strategies, EAR is particularly valuable because it directly measures the immediate price impact. Using EAR alongside SUE allows a quantitative system to combine a fundamental surprise metric with a market-validated reaction metric, leading to more robust and responsive entry signals.

3. Clarifying "Shock" in the Context of "Surprise"

While "earnings surprise" refers to the quantifiable deviation from estimates, "earnings shock" is best understood as a *significant* earnings surprise that elicits a substantial and often immediate market revaluation. This revaluation is frequently followed by a prolonged price adjustment, a phenomenon known as Post-Earnings Announcement Drift (PEAD).[5] The magnitude of this market impact and the resulting price reaction are not solely dependent on the numerical surprise. They can be influenced by external factors, such as the context provided by other companies' earnings announcements on the previous day. For example, a company's stock price might fall on its earnings announcement, even with a positive surprise, if it follows a particularly strong positive surprise from a large company the day before, creating a strong comparative effect.[8] Research also suggests that negative earnings surprises may sometimes yield results that are easier to interpret for trading strategies.[9]

The market's perception of "shock" is thus not solely intrinsic to a company's reported numbers but is also relative to the broader market context and recent news flow. The magnitude of a stock's price reaction can be influenced by the previous day's earnings surprises.[8] This observation implies that a simple, static threshold for SUE or EAR might not always capture the true market impact. Advanced quantitative models could incorporate contextual factors, such such as aggregate market sentiment or recent sector-specific news, to better predict the
intensity of the market's reaction. This approach would refine entry signals, allowing for more precise targeting of high-impact events.

C. Overview of Post-Earnings Announcement Drift (PEAD)

1. The Academic Anomaly and its Persistence

Post-Earnings Announcement Drift (PEAD), also referred to as the SUE effect, is an academically well-documented and robust anomaly in financial economics.[2] It describes the consistent tendency for a stock's cumulative abnormal returns to continue drifting in the direction of an earnings surprise for an extended period following the initial announcement, often for several weeks or even several months.[5] This drift has been observed to persist for at least 60 days for firms reporting good news, with similar downward drifts for bad news.[6]

A particularly notable feature of PEAD is its predictable pattern: a disproportionate amount of the drift is concentrated around the three subsequent quarterly earnings announcements following the initial surprise.[6] For example, if a company reports a positive earnings surprise in the current quarter, this pattern predicts positive but declining earnings surprises in the next three quarters, followed by a negative surprise in the fourth subsequent quarter.[6] This phenomenon has been widely confirmed across various international markets, underscoring its robustness as a market anomaly.[2]

While the user's focus is on "fast realization," PEAD's persistence for "several weeks (even several months)" [5] indicates that the market's information processing is not instantaneous. This prolonged drift, confirmed across numerous academic studies, signifies a sustained, systematic inefficiency. This anomaly presents a significant opportunity for quantitative strategies that can capture this delayed price adjustment. PEAD allows for holding periods that are short relative to traditional investing (e.g., a quarter) but long enough to capture substantial abnormal returns, providing a balance between speed and magnitude of profit.

2. Behavioral and Market Microstructure Explanations

The most widely accepted explanation for PEAD is investors' under-reaction to earnings announcements.[5] This under-reaction occurs because investors often fail to fully recognize the implications of current earnings for future earnings. They may rely on a "naive earnings expectation hypothesis," where they anticipate earnings to be similar to the same quarter of the previous year without fully accounting for the time-series properties of earnings.[6] This behavioral bias contributes to a strong connection between earnings momentum and price momentum.[5]

Market microstructure factors also significantly influence PEAD. The drift is more pronounced in stocks characterized by higher transaction costs (e.g., wider bid-ask spreads), lower share price and trading volume, lower institutional ownership, less analyst coverage, and higher idiosyncratic volatility.[6] Illiquidity levels are notably enhanced around earnings and

post-announcement periods, leading to increased adverse selection costs.[6] Furthermore, delayed disclosure of complete financial statement items until formal filings can increase arbitrage risk for attentive investors, leading to less aggressive immediate trading and, consequently, greater PEAD.[6] Divergence in investor opinion is also proposed as an additional risk factor contributing to the phenomenon.[5]

Understanding the root causes of PEAD is crucial for effective strategy design. If PEAD stems from systematic behavioral biases, these represent persistent inefficiencies that quantitative models can exploit. More importantly, the identified market microstructure factors provide concrete, actionable filters for stock selection. For example, focusing a PEAD strategy on smaller-capitalization stocks with lower analyst coverage and higher bid-ask spreads would likely yield stronger abnormal returns due to reduced arbitrage efficiency and higher information asymmetry.[6] This directly translates academic explanations into practical implementation. Quantitative strategies can enhance their alpha generation by not only identifying earnings surprises but also by strategically selecting the universe of stocks where these anomalies are most pronounced, thereby maximizing the rapid realization potential within the PEAD framework.

Table 1: Key Metrics for Measuring Earnings Surprise

Metric Name	Definition	Calculation/Formula	Significance
Earnings Surprise (Raw)	The absolute difference between a company's actual reported earnings and the consensus estimate projected by financial analysts.	Actual EPS - Expected EPS	Basic measure of deviation.
Standardized Unexpected Earnings (SUE)	The raw earnings surprise normalized by the standard deviation of earnings surprises.	(Actual EPS - Expected EPS) / Std Dev of Earnings Surprise (where Expected EPS is often from a seasonal random walk model with drift)	Quantifies the "shock" magnitude relative to historical volatility, enabling cross-company comparison.
Earnings Announcement Return (EAR)	The abnormal return of a stock over a three-day window centered on the earnings announcement date, in excess of a benchmark.	Stock Return (3-day window) - Benchmark Return (3-day window)	Captures the market's holistic reaction to all information, including qualitative aspects, for immediate price impact.

II. Quantitative Entry Strategies Post-Earnings Announcement

A. Core PEAD-Based Entry Signals

Quantitative strategies leveraging Post-Earnings Announcement Drift (PEAD) typically involve sorting a universe of stocks based on measures of earnings surprise, such as Standardized Unexpected Earnings (SUE) and Earnings Announcement Return (EAR).[5] Long positions are taken in stocks exhibiting strong positive surprises, while short positions are initiated in those with significant negative surprises. The entry point is commonly set for the second day after the actual earnings announcement. This timing allows for the initial, often volatile, market reaction to subside slightly while still capturing the prolonged drift.[5]
This explicit timing for entry, "the second day after the actual earnings announcement," is a key operational detail for PEAD strategies. It is not arbitrary; it implicitly recognizes that the immediate post-announcement period (day 0 and day 1) is often dominated by high-frequency trading (HFT) and rapid information assimilation. This makes it excessively noisy and susceptible to adverse selection for slower quantitative strategies.[13] By waiting one day, the strategy aims to capitalize on the *delayed* market reaction, often referred to as the "drift," rather than the instantaneous, high-frequency market impact. For quantitative traders, this suggests a strategic trade-off: attempting to capture the very first milliseconds of price reaction requires specialized HFT infrastructure, which is beyond the scope of many. Focusing on the "second day after" allows for a more accessible, yet still rapid realization approach by targeting the persistent under-reaction that unfolds over a slightly longer, more manageable timeframe.

1. Sorting Stocks by SUE and EAR Quintiles

A common methodology for PEAD strategies involves constructing a universe of tradable stocks, often excluding financial and utility firms and those priced below $5 to ensure liquidity and relevance. These stocks are then sorted into quintiles (e.g., five groups) based on their Standardized Unexpected Earnings (SUE) and Earnings Announcement Return (EAR) from the *previous quarter*.[5] This use of prior quarter's data is crucial to avoid look-ahead bias, a pervasive pitfall in backtesting, thereby ensuring that the strategy's backtested performance is genuinely reproducible.[17] Within each quintile, stocks are typically equally weighted to form portfolios. The strategy then involves going long the stocks in the top SUE and EAR quintiles

(indicating strong positive surprises and market reactions) and simultaneously going short the stocks in the bottom SUE and EAR quintiles (indicating strong negative surprises and reactions).[5]

The explicit mention of using "data from the previous quarter" to sort stocks and "avoid look-ahead bias" is a critical detail for quantitative strategy development. Look-ahead bias, where future information inadvertently influences past decisions, can lead to inflated and unrealistic historical performance. By strictly adhering to information available at the time of the trade, the strategy's validity and potential for live deployment are significantly enhanced. This highlights the paramount importance of rigorous data handling and backtesting methodologies in quantitative finance. For rapid realization strategies, where small edges are sought, even subtle biases can render a seemingly profitable strategy useless in live trading. This emphasizes the need for high-quality historical data and disciplined backtesting practices.

Table 2: Illustrative PEAD Entry Strategy Parameters

Parameter	Typical Value/Criteria
Investment Universe	All stocks from NYSE, AMEX, NASDAQ (excluding financials and utilities)
Stock Price Filter	Price > $5
Factors for Sorting	Standardized Unexpected Earnings (SUE) and Earnings Announcement Return (EAR)
Sorting Methodology	Stocks sorted into quintiles based on SUE and EAR from the *previous quarter*
Weighting	Equally weighted within each quintile
Entry Timing	Second day after actual earnings announcement
Holding Period	One quarter (60 working days)
Rebalancing Frequency	Quarterly

2. Adapting PEAD for Shorter Holding Periods (e.g., 3-day abnormal returns)

While traditional PEAD strategies often involve holding positions for a relatively long period, such as one quarter (60 working days) [5], the user's focus on "fast realization" necessitates adaptations. Research indicates that significant information assimilation can occur in much shorter windows. For instance, "overnight returns" following earnings announcements have been shown to be effective in capturing unexpected information and measuring earnings surprises.[6] Furthermore, the three-day abnormal returns around *subsequent* quarterly earnings announcements are predictable based on the current quarter's earnings surprise, mirroring the autocorrelation structure of quarterly earnings.[6] This suggests opportunities to capture predictable price movements in shorter, more frequent intervals.

The core PEAD anomaly is described as a multi-week or multi-month phenomenon.[5] However, the user explicitly requested rapid realization. The research offers a bridge by mentioning the effectiveness of "overnight returns" and the predictability of returns around *subsequent* earnings announcements.[6] This implies that while the full drift takes time, significant, exploitable price movements can be captured in much shorter timeframes, either immediately post-announcement or around future, predictable earnings-related events. This observation is crucial for tailoring PEAD to the user's specific need for speed. It suggests that a quantitative strategy can either target the initial, rapid price impact (if execution speed allows) or focus on the early stages of the PEAD, potentially exiting after a few days or weeks to achieve rapid realization without waiting for the full multi-month drift. This also opens the door to re-evaluating positions around subsequent earnings cycles as part of the overall strategy.

B. Leveraging Algorithmic Speed and Price Action

1. The Algorithmic Advantage in Post-Announcement Trading

Algorithmic trading systems possess a significant advantage in the immediate aftermath of earnings announcements. They can react to and process new information faster and more accurately than human traders.[13] Studies show that during the initial surge in trading activity, particularly within the first 90 seconds post-announcement, algorithms time their trades more effectively and tend to be profitable, while non-algorithmic traders often incur losses during the same period.[13] This rapid algorithmic response accelerates the incorporation of new information into prices.[13] High-Frequency Trading (HFT), a subset of algorithmic trading, utilizes powerful computer programs and high-speed infrastructure to execute thousands of orders in milliseconds, exploiting fleeting price discrepancies.[20] Algorithmic tools are designed to immediately compare actual earnings figures against consensus forecasts, triggering rapid adjustments and volatility in the market as traders rebalance positions.[24]
The research unequivocally states that algorithms outperform human traders in the *first 90 seconds* after an announcement, often leading to profitable algorithmic trades and losing non-algorithmic trades.[13] This is a direct, strong causal relationship. For a user focused on rapid realization, this implies a significant "speed barrier." Unless the user possesses HFT capabilities, such as co-location, specialized data feeds, and ultra-low latency systems, attempting to capture the
initial price impact (the very first moments after the release) is likely to be unprofitable due to adverse selection and latency disadvantages. This observation steers the rapid realization strategy away from direct competition with HFT for immediate price jumps. Instead, it reinforces the approach of targeting the slightly delayed PEAD, or focusing on intraday patterns that emerge after the initial HFT-dominated period.

2. Intraday Strategies: Gap and Go, Breakouts, Momentum

For rapid realization within a single trading day, several intraday strategies can be deployed following earnings announcements, which typically lead to elevated volume, volatility, and price action.[3]

- **Gap and Go:** This strategy capitalizes on price "gaps" at market open, which frequently occur due to overnight news or earnings reports. If a stock opens significantly higher or lower than its previous close due to strong quarterly earnings, and pre-market volume supports the move, traders can enter long positions in the direction of the gap, aiming to profit from the continuation of that initial momentum within the first hour of trading.[25]
- **Breakout Trading:** Earnings announcements can cause stocks to break out of established trading ranges. This strategy involves entering a long position when a stock surpasses a key resistance level or a short position when it breaks below a support level, with the expectation that the momentum from the earnings news will sustain the move.[25] Volume is a crucial confirmation signal for breakouts; a high volume accompanying a price break suggests stronger interest and a greater likelihood of the move being sustained.[26]
- **Momentum Trading:** This involves identifying stocks that exhibit strong price movements with high volume immediately post-earnings. Traders enter early to ride this momentum, aiming to book profits before a reversal. This strategy is particularly effective in early market hours when volume is typically high.[25] Momentum strategies focus on riding sustained price trends, which are often initiated or accelerated by earnings surprises.[28]

Earnings announcements are known to generate significant trading volume and volatility.[3] This increased liquidity, particularly in the early market hours, is critical for intraday strategies that require quick entry and exit without significant price slippage.[25] The immediate directional move, often a "gap," provides a clear initial bias to exploit.[25] These strategies leverage the market's initial, often strong, reaction to new information, providing opportunities for rapid profit capture within the same trading session. The confluence of high volume and clear directional price action, driven by the earnings event, creates a fertile ground for these short-term approaches.

3. Volume Analysis as a Confirmation Signal

Volume is a critical indicator for price action traders, especially around earnings announcements. A high volume accompanying a price rise indicates strong buying interest, suggesting the move is likely real and sustainable. Conversely, a high volume during a price drop signals strong selling pressure.[26] Low volume, on the other hand, suggests a weak move that is not likely to last.[26] Major volume spikes often mark important turning points in price

action.[26] For instance, if a stock's price jumps on high volume (millions of shares) after an earnings report, the rise is likely genuine.[26] The earnings announcement premium, a predictable rise in stock price around announcement dates, is strongly related to the concentration of past trading activity around these dates. Stocks with high past announcement period volume tend to exhibit higher premiums, suggesting that buying pressure, potentially from individual investors, contributes to these price increases.[30]
The presence of high trading volume alongside a price movement post-earnings [3] serves as a crucial validation for quantitative strategies. A price change without significant volume backing it up may be misleading, indicating a lack of conviction behind the move.[26] High volume confirms that the market is actively processing the earnings information and that the price adjustment is robust. Furthermore, the correlation between high announcement volume and the earnings announcement premium [30] suggests that volume can also be a proxy for increased investor attention. This means that strategies focusing on high-volume, post-earnings movers are not just chasing price, but are aligning with periods of strong market consensus and liquidity, which are essential for rapid realization and efficient execution.

C. Advanced Signal Generation: Machine Learning and Sentiment Analysis

1. Predicting Earnings Surprise Direction with ML Models

Machine learning (ML) models are increasingly employed in quantitative finance to predict future earnings changes and generate trading signals. These models, particularly decision tree-based methods like random forests and stochastic gradient boosting, can analyze high-dimensional financial data, including detailed financial reports (e.g., XBRL documents), and uncover complex, non-linear relationships between predictors and earnings outcomes.[31] They demonstrate significant out-of-sample predictive power for the direction of one-year-ahead earnings changes, outperforming conventional linear models and even professional analysts' forecasts.[31] ML models are robust to overfitting through regularization techniques and can provide more accurate forecasts for smaller firms, firms without analyst coverage, and those with more volatile earnings, which are often the very stocks where PEAD is most pronounced.[31]
The application of machine learning to predict earnings surprises offers a substantial advantage by moving beyond simple historical averages or analyst consensus. ML models can ingest and process vast, complex datasets, including granular financial data from XBRL filings, to identify subtle patterns that human analysts or simpler models might miss.[31] This capability to discern non-linear relationships and handle high-dimensional data means that the

"surprise" component can be predicted with greater accuracy, especially for companies that are harder to forecast using traditional methods.[32] This enhanced predictive accuracy directly translates into more precise entry signals for earnings-driven strategies, potentially identifying profitable opportunities that are less obvious to the broader market and thus offering a greater edge for rapid realization.

2. Extracting Trading Signals from Earnings Call Transcript Sentiment

Sentiment analysis, powered by Natural Language Processing (NLP) and machine learning, extracts valuable insights from unstructured text data such as earnings call transcripts, financial news articles, and social media posts.[17] By classifying the emotional tone (positive, negative, neutral) within these texts, sentiment analysis can gauge market sentiment and its potential impact on asset values.[35] Models like FinBERT, pre-trained on financial corpora, are particularly effective at capturing domain-specific sentiment.[38] The sentiment scores derived from earnings call transcripts can be directly integrated into trading strategies, for example, by setting thresholds to trigger trades based on shifts in sentiment.[39] This approach allows quantitative traders to incorporate qualitative information, which often influences market reaction beyond raw numbers, into their automated decision-making processes. Research indicates that textual information from earnings calls can capture important aspects of firm value that numeric earnings alone do not reflect, leading to a larger PEAD.[6]
As previously noted, market reaction to earnings is not solely based on numerical beats or misses; qualitative information from earnings calls, such as management guidance or tone, plays a significant role.[1] Sentiment analysis provides a systematic, quantitative method to capture these qualitative nuances.[37] By analyzing the sentiment expressed in earnings call transcripts, a quantitative strategy can gain a more holistic understanding of the market impact beyond what SUE or EAR alone might convey.[6] This allows for the generation of more refined trading signals that account for the full spectrum of information influencing investor perception, potentially leading to more robust and higher-conviction trades for rapid realization. The ability to integrate such unstructured data into a quantitative framework represents a significant advancement in signal generation.

III. Exit Strategies for Fast Realization and Risk Management

Setting clear and effective exit strategies is as critical as entry signals for achieving rapid realization and managing risk in earnings-driven quantitative trading. The goal is to lock in profits quickly and limit potential losses, especially given the inherent volatility of these events.

A. Time-Based Exit Rules for Short-Term Trades

For strategies prioritizing rapid realization, time-based exits are among the simplest yet most efficient methods.[41] This approach involves automatically closing a position after a predefined period, regardless of price movement. This period can range from minutes or days for intraday or very short-term strategies, to several weeks for PEAD-focused approaches. A time-based exit ensures that capital is not tied up in a trade indefinitely and can reduce drawdowns by ensuring an early exit if a trend reverses or a market enters a downturn.[41] For instance, a strategy might exit after five days, which has historically shown strong returns in some contexts.[41] For day trading, exiting at the end of the trading session is effective as volatility often decreases, reducing reversal risk.[42] This approach aligns with the short-term nature of earnings trading, where the most significant price moves often occur shortly after the announcement.[29]

The core objective of rapid realization demands that capital is not exposed to market risk for longer than necessary. Time-based exits directly address this by imposing a strict, pre-defined holding period.[41] This approach is particularly valuable in event-driven trading where the initial, most impactful price movement occurs shortly after the announcement. By setting a time limit, a quantitative system ensures that it captures the immediate liquidity and directional bias, then frees up capital for subsequent opportunities, preventing the erosion of profits from prolonged exposure to unpredictable market conditions or the gradual dissipation of the earnings effect. This also helps to avoid the "it will come back" mentality, promoting disciplined execution.[43]

B. Dynamic Stop-Loss Orders

Stop-loss orders are crucial risk management tools that automatically trigger the sale of a security when its price drops to a predetermined level, thereby limiting potential losses.[43] For earnings-driven strategies, particularly those seeking rapid realization, dynamic stop-loss orders offer enhanced flexibility compared to fixed stops.

1. Percentage-Based Stop-Loss

A common method for setting stop-loss levels is to define a fixed percentage below the purchase price or the current market price. For example, a trader might set a stop-loss at 5% to 15% below their entry price, depending on their comfort level and the stock's volatility.[44] This ensures that losses are capped at a predefined percentage of the investment, promoting disciplined risk management. In options trading, some strategies may involve exiting trades at a 50% loss.[45] This approach provides a clear, consistent boundary for risk on each trade.

Earnings announcements are inherently volatile events.[3] A percentage-based stop-loss provides a standardized method of risk control that scales with the price of the asset, ensuring that the maximum potential loss is a consistent proportion of the capital allocated to each trade. This is particularly important when trading a portfolio of diverse stocks, as it allows for uniform risk management regardless of individual stock price or absolute volatility. This approach helps to prevent significant drawdowns and preserves capital, which is paramount for sustainable rapid realization strategies.[43]

2. Volatility-Adjusted Trailing Stops

Trailing stop-loss orders are dynamic stops that adjust as the price moves in the desired direction, effectively locking in gains.[41] If the price rises, the trailing stop moves up accordingly, maintaining a preset distance (either a percentage or a fixed dollar amount) below the highest price attained. If the price falls, the stop remains stationary, triggering a market order if the price hits it.[41] For earnings trading, where volatility can be extreme, adjusting the stop-loss according to the market's volatility, potentially using indicators like Average True Range (ATR), is advisable.[47] A wider stop might be necessary for highly volatile markets, while a tighter stop can be used in less erratic conditions.[50] ATR measures a stock's volatility by calculating the average trading range over a period; a multiple of the ATR can be added to the entry price to project an exit target.[50]
Earnings-driven price movements can be sharp and extend beyond initial expectations. A static stop-loss might be triggered prematurely by normal fluctuations or be too wide to effectively limit losses during sharp reversals. Volatility-adjusted trailing stops provide an adaptive risk management mechanism that dynamically responds to prevailing market conditions.[47] By linking the stop to the asset's current volatility, the strategy can accommodate normal price noise while still protecting capital from significant adverse movements. This allows for both profit protection in favorable trends and loss limitation in reversals, which is essential for maximizing rapid realization opportunities in a volatile environment.

C. Profit Target Mechanisms

Setting a clear profit target is vital for disciplined trading and rapid realization. It defines a predetermined price level at which a trade will be closed to lock in gains, removing emotional decision-making from the trading process.[42]

1. Fixed Percentage Targets

One straightforward method for profit-taking is to set a fixed percentage gain as the target.

For instance, some options traders aim for a 100% gain on their positions.[45] This approach simplifies the exit decision and ensures that profits are taken once a predefined return threshold is met. This aligns directly with the "fast realization" objective by not waiting for potentially larger, but less certain, gains that might reverse.
In the context of rapid realization, simplicity and speed of decision-making are paramount. Fixed percentage targets provide a clear, unambiguous exit signal that can be easily automated within a quantitative system.[45] This approach ensures that profits are consistently captured once a predefined return is achieved, preventing the erosion of gains if the market reverses after the initial earnings-driven move. It prioritizes consistent, smaller profits over chasing maximal, potentially elusive, returns, which is a hallmark of efficient short-term trading.

2. Dynamic Profit Targets (e.g., ATR-based, Support/Resistance)

Dynamic profit targets adjust based on market conditions or technical indicators, allowing profits to run in strong trends while providing an adaptive exit.

- **ATR-based targets:** These utilize the Average True Range (ATR) indicator to set adaptive price targets. A multiple of the ATR is added to the entry price to project an exit target. As volatility increases, the ATR band widens, and the profit target moves further away from the entry price, and vice versa. This approach is well-suited for momentum-based strategies.[51]
- **Support and Resistance Levels:** These are price levels where the market has historically found limits on movement. Resistance levels act as a ceiling where selling activity is expected to increase. Traders can set profit targets just below resistance levels for long positions, anticipating a reversal or pause in the upward trend.[42] This leverages established technical analysis principles to identify likely points of price exhaustion.
- **Dynamic Profit Zones Indicator:** This tool uses adaptive moving averages to display levels where price has a higher probability of meeting exhaustion, suggesting potential pause or reversal points for profit-taking.[52]

Earnings-driven price movements can be sharp and extend beyond initial expectations. Dynamic profit targets, such as those based on ATR or support/resistance levels, offer a method to optimize profit capture by allowing trades to run further when momentum is strong, while still providing clear exit signals.[51] This contrasts with fixed targets that might leave significant profits on the table. By adapting to the asset's volatility and historical price action, these targets enable a quantitative system to capture a larger portion of the earnings-driven move, aligning with the goal of rapid, yet maximized, realization.

D. Managing Implied Volatility (IV) Crush in Options Strategies

Implied volatility (IV) crush is a significant phenomenon in options trading around earnings announcements. IV, which reflects the market's expectation of future volatility, typically spikes before an earnings announcement due to increased uncertainty. However, immediately after the earnings release, this uncertainty dissipates, causing a sudden and significant decrease in implied volatility, leading to a sharp drop in option prices, even if the underlying stock moves in the predicted direction.[3]

1. Understanding IV Behavior Post-Earnings

Leading up to an earnings event, the implied volatility of options contracts expiring around the announcement date typically experiences a spike.[53] This occurs because market participants anticipate a large price movement and demand higher premiums for options. In the wake of earnings, once the uncertainty is resolved, this implied volatility often drops back to "normal" levels or even lower. This abrupt decline is known as the post-earnings IV crush.[53] For example, if implied volatility in a hypothetical stock is trading at 50, and its 52-week range is between 25 and 75, an IV Rank of 50% indicates it is in the middle of its historical range.[53] A high IV Rank before earnings suggests options are "overpriced" relative to their historical volatility.
The predictable pattern of IV spiking before earnings and crashing afterwards [53] presents an inherent challenge for strategies that involve
buying options (long calls or puts) directly before the announcement. Even if the underlying stock moves favorably, the rapid decline in IV can erode the option's value, leading to losses.[54] This means that for rapid realization, simply predicting the stock's direction is insufficient; the impact of IV crush must be explicitly accounted for. This understanding is critical for selecting appropriate options strategies.

2. Options Strategies to Exploit or Mitigate IV Crush

To navigate IV crush and achieve rapid realization, options traders can employ strategies that either avoid buying long options directly before earnings or are designed to profit from a decline in implied volatility.

- **Avoiding Long Options Pre-Earnings:** The most straightforward way to avoid IV crush is to refrain from buying long options (calls or puts) that expire shortly after the earnings announcement, as their value is highly susceptible to the post-announcement IV drop.[53]
- **Selling Premium (Vega-Negative Strategies):** Strategies that involve selling options, such as credit spreads (e.g., selling out-of-the-money vertical spreads) or short iron condors, are "vega-negative." This means they profit as implied volatility declines. By selling "overpriced" options before earnings, these strategies aim to capitalize on the IV crush itself.[54]

- **Volatility Arbitrage:** This advanced strategy compares the average historical earnings move of a stock with the current implied move priced into options. If options are pricing in a much larger move than historically observed (i.e., implied volatility is excessively high), it could signal an overpricing of options, making vega-negative strategies attractive. Conversely, if implied volatility is underpricing the expected move, long-volatility strategies (e.g., long straddles, long debit spreads) might be considered, though these are more susceptible to IV crush if the expected move doesn't materialize.[54]
- **Diagonal Spreads:** These involve selling short-term, "overpriced" options while simultaneously taking a longer-term position in the same direction. The premium from the short-term option reduces the cost of the long-term option, helping to mitigate the impact of IV crush on the overall position.[54]

The predictable IV crush post-earnings necessitates a shift in options strategy from simply directional bets to volatility-centric approaches.[53] For rapid realization, strategies that *sell* volatility (vega-negative) before the announcement and profit from its subsequent collapse are often more suitable than buying volatility. This requires a nuanced understanding of options pricing and the ability to construct complex spread strategies. The ability to identify when options are over- or under-pricing the expected post-earnings move, through volatility arbitrage, further refines the entry and exit points, allowing a quantitative system to exploit market inefficiencies beyond simple directional bets.

Table 3: Comparison of Fast Realization Exit Strategies

Strategy Type	Description	Advantages for Fast Realization	Disadvantages/Considerations
Time-Based Exit	Close position after a predefined duration (e.g., 5 days, end of day).	Simplicity, ensures capital velocity, limits prolonged exposure, reduces drawdowns.	May exit profitable trades early, misses longer-term drifts.
Percentage-Based Stop-Loss	Automatically close position if price drops by a fixed percentage from entry or peak.	Clear risk limits, prevents large losses, promotes discipline, scales across assets.	Can be triggered by normal market noise if too tight, may limit upside.
Volatility-Adjusted Trailing Stop	Stop-loss that moves with favorable price action, maintaining a set distance (%, $), and triggers if price reverses.	Locks in profits, adapts to strong trends, protects against reversals while allowing gains to run.	Requires careful calibration to avoid premature exits, can still incur losses if price gaps.
Fixed Percentage Profit Target	Close position once a predetermined percentage gain is achieved.	Simple, ensures consistent profit capture, removes emotional bias.	May leave significant profits on the table if trend continues, can be arbitrary.
Dynamic Profit Target	Close position at	Optimizes profit	Requires more

(e.g., S/R, ATR)	predefined technical levels (Support/Resistance) or based on volatility (ATR multiple).	capture in volatile moves, adapts to market structure, leverages technical analysis.	complex analysis, levels may not hold, susceptible to false signals.

IV. Practical Implementation and Backtesting Considerations

A. Data Requirements and Granularity

The effectiveness of quantitative trading strategies, particularly those focused on rapid realization around earnings events, hinges critically on the quality and granularity of historical data.

1. The Imperative of High-Resolution (Tick) Data for Fast Strategies

For high-frequency and intraday strategies, historical tick data is essential. Tick data represents the most granular level of market information, capturing every individual quote or trade (price, volume, timestamp, bid/ask prices, sizes) as it occurs.[55] Unlike aggregated end-of-day or minute-level data, tick data allows for precise modeling of execution timing, realistic slippage, partial fills, and accurate spread analysis.[18] This level of detail is crucial for true-to-life trade modeling, especially when strategies rely on milliseconds of advantage or need to understand intra-minute price behavior and order book dynamics.[55] Without tick-level history, backtesting assumptions are based on a "blurry screen," potentially leading to costly decisions in live markets.[55]

The pursuit of rapid realization often means exploiting very small price movements and fleeting inefficiencies. This necessitates a level of data granularity that standard daily or even minute-bar data cannot provide.[55] Tick data allows for the accurate simulation of real-world trading conditions, including bid-ask spreads, slippage, and market impact, which are critical cost factors in high-frequency, short-term strategies. Without this precision, backtested performance can be significantly overstated, leading to strategies that appear profitable on paper but fail in live trading. This emphasis on tick data underscores that for true rapid realization, the infrastructure and data quality must match the ambition of the strategy.

2. Sourcing Earnings, Analyst Estimates, and Textual Data

Beyond price and volume data, earnings-driven strategies require specific fundamental and alternative data. This includes historical earnings reports, analyst consensus estimates, and qualitative data from earnings call transcripts. For backtesting, it is crucial to source earnings release dates and analyst estimates, ensuring that historical data is used without look-ahead bias.[17] Textual data from earnings call transcripts can be processed using Natural Language Processing (NLP) for sentiment analysis, providing valuable non-numeric signals.[17] Alternative data sources, such as social media sentiment, can also provide unique insights into market trends and consumer behavior, enhancing predictive capabilities.[17]

The market's reaction to earnings is a complex interplay of quantitative results, qualitative commentary, and broader sentiment. Relying solely on price data or simple earnings beats/misses limits the robustness of a quantitative strategy. Incorporating analyst estimates provides the benchmark for "surprise," while textual data from earnings calls offers a deeper understanding of management's tone and future guidance.[1] This multi-faceted data approach allows for the construction of more sophisticated signals that capture the full information environment surrounding an earnings event, leading to more informed and potentially more profitable rapid realization trades. The ability to integrate these diverse data types is a hallmark of advanced quantitative trading.

Table 4: Data Granularity for Earnings Event-Driven Backtesting

Data Granularity	Description	Best Suited For	Key Considerations
Tick Data	Every individual quote or trade (price, volume, bid/ask, timestamp).	High-Frequency Trading (HFT), Intraday Scalping, Order Book Simulations, Latency Arbitrage, precise slippage modeling.	Most computationally intensive, requires terabytes of storage, critical for realistic execution modeling.
Minute-Level Data	Aggregated data for each minute (OHLCV).	Intraday Momentum, Breakout Strategies, short-term PEAD adaptations (e.g., 3-day holding periods), real-time risk checks.	Less granular than tick but sufficient for many intraday strategies, more manageable data volume.
Daily Data	Aggregated data for each trading day (OHLCV).	Longer-term PEAD strategies (e.g., 60-day holding periods), factor research, cross-sectional studies, lower-frequency	Simplest, lowest resolution, susceptible to missing intraday price action or rapid reversals.

		strategies.	

B. Robust Backtesting Methodologies

Backtesting is a critical step in developing any quantitative trading strategy, involving the application of a strategy's rules to historical market data to estimate its performance.[17] For earnings-driven strategies, robust backtesting is essential to validate viability and identify potential pitfalls before deploying real capital.

1. Avoiding Common Pitfalls: Lookahead Bias, Survivorship Bias, Data Snooping

Several common pitfalls can invalidate backtesting results, leading to strategies that appear profitable historically but fail in live trading.

- **Lookahead Bias:** This occurs when a strategy inadvertently uses information that would not have been available at the time of the simulated trade. For earnings strategies, this means strictly using earnings data and analyst estimates from *prior* periods for sorting and signal generation.[5] Corporate action adjustments, such as for splits or dividends, should only be applied at or after the ex-date to prevent future information from influencing past decisions.[18]
- **Survivorship Bias:** This bias arises from excluding companies that have gone bankrupt or been delisted from historical datasets. Using only data from currently existing companies can artificially inflate historical performance, as the dataset omits the failures.[17]
- **Data Snooping:** This is the tendency to overfit a strategy to historical data by repeatedly testing and tweaking parameters until a seemingly profitable result is found. Such strategies often fail in live trading because they have merely optimized for noise in the historical data rather than capturing true market inefficiencies. Mitigation involves using out-of-sample testing and walk-forward analysis, where the strategy is tested on data it has not "seen" during its development.[17]

The prevalence of these biases in quantitative backtesting means that a seemingly profitable historical equity curve can be entirely fictitious.[17] For rapid realization strategies, which often operate on thinner margins and require consistent performance, the integrity of the backtest is paramount. By rigorously avoiding these pitfalls, a quantitative trader can have higher confidence that the strategy's historical performance is a true reflection of its potential in live markets, thereby reducing the risk of capital loss due to flawed design. This disciplined approach to backtesting is a cornerstone of successful quantitative trading.

2. Key Performance Metrics for Strategy Evaluation (Sharpe Ratio, Max

Drawdown, Profit Factor)

Evaluating a strategy's effectiveness goes beyond raw returns. Key metrics provide a comprehensive view of its risk-adjusted performance and robustness.

- **Sharpe Ratio:** This metric measures risk-adjusted return, indicating the return generated per unit of risk (standard deviation of returns). A Sharpe Ratio greater than 1 is generally considered acceptable, with values above 2.0 indicating strong performance.[28] It is important to note that Sharpe ratios can be inflated by multiple testing and require adjustment to reflect true statistical significance.[60]
- **Maximum Drawdown:** Represents the largest peak-to-trough decline in portfolio value during a specific period. A lower maximum drawdown indicates greater stability and better risk management, which is crucial for capital preservation.[5]
- **Profit Factor:** Calculated by dividing the total gross profit from winning trades by the total gross loss from losing trades. A profit factor greater than 1 indicates profitability, with values between 1.75 and 4 often considered optimal. It provides a clear ratio of how much profit is made for every unit of loss, offering insight into the strategy's efficiency.[28]

Focusing solely on total returns can be misleading, especially for rapid realization strategies that inherently involve higher turnover and potentially higher risk. Metrics like Sharpe Ratio, Maximum Drawdown, and Profit Factor provide a holistic view of a strategy's quality.[28] A high Sharpe Ratio indicates efficient use of capital, a low maximum drawdown demonstrates resilience during adverse market conditions, and a strong profit factor confirms that winning trades sufficiently offset losing ones. This multi-metric evaluation ensures that the strategy is not only profitable but also robust and capable of managing risk effectively, which is critical for long-term viability in dynamic earnings-driven environments.

C. Comprehensive Risk Management Framework

Risk management is paramount in event-driven trading, given the inherent uncertainty and volatility surrounding earnings announcements. A well-defined risk management plan is essential to protect capital and make confident decisions, ensuring the sustainability of any quantitative strategy.[17]

1. Position Sizing and Portfolio Diversification

Position Sizing: This involves adjusting the size of each trade to limit potential losses to a predefined percentage of total capital. A common guideline, such as the "one-percent rule" for day traders, suggests never risking more than 1% (or up to 2%) of the trading account on a single trade.[25] This ensures that no single adverse trade can significantly impact the overall portfolio, providing a crucial layer of capital protection.

Portfolio Diversification: Spreading investments across different asset classes, industries, or even different types of earnings events (e.g., positive surprises, negative surprises, different sectors) can help minimize the impact of market volatility and specific event risks on the overall portfolio.[46] For event-driven strategies, a balanced approach might involve keeping the majority of a portfolio in stable, long-term investments while allocating a smaller, carefully managed portion (e.g., 5-10%) to higher-risk, rapid realization earnings strategies.[65] This balanced allocation provides stability while allowing for opportunistic gains.
Earnings events, by their nature, concentrate risk around specific company announcements. Effective position sizing ensures that even if a trade goes completely awry, the capital at risk is limited to a small, acceptable fraction of the total portfolio.[46] Diversification across multiple earnings plays or other uncorrelated strategies further mitigates idiosyncratic risk, meaning that a poor outcome from one earnings surprise does not derail the entire portfolio.[62] This layered approach to risk management is fundamental for maintaining capital and achieving sustainable rapid realization.

2. Adapting Strategies to Different Market Regimes and Liquidity Conditions

Market conditions, or "market regimes," significantly influence the profitability and risk of trading strategies. Quantitative models must be adaptive to changes in macroeconomic factors (e.g., GDP growth, inflation, interest rates, employment data) and overall market sentiment, as these can dramatically alter expectations and impact corporate earnings and stock prices.[66]
Liquidity: Earnings announcements often lead to elevated trading volume and volatility, which generally improves liquidity, making it easier to enter and exit positions.[3] However, liquidity can deteriorate significantly
before earnings announcements due to increased information asymmetry, leading to wider bid-ask spreads and higher transaction costs.[12] High-frequency trading firms contribute to market liquidity and narrower spreads, but this liquidity can be momentary, disappearing quickly.[20] Strategies should account for these liquidity dynamics, potentially adjusting position sizes or order types (e.g., using limit orders instead of market orders in illiquid conditions).[12]
Market Neutral Strategies: In volatile or uncertain market regimes, market neutral strategies can be particularly effective. These strategies aim for a net market exposure of zero by balancing long and short investments, thereby greatly reducing the influence of overall market fluctuations on the strategy's returns.[70] They profit from the dispersion in performance between long and short positions, which tends to be highest when markets are volatile and uncertain, offering a diversifying return stream with low correlation to broad asset classes.[70]
Market regimes are not static, and a strategy that performs well in one environment may fail in another.[19] The impact of macroeconomic factors on earnings and stock prices is profound.[68] Therefore, quantitative strategies must incorporate mechanisms to adapt to changing market conditions. This could involve dynamically adjusting position sizing, rotating between sectors, or even shifting between different types of earnings strategies (e.g., more directional in clear

trends, more market-neutral in uncertain times). The ability to recognize and adapt to varying liquidity conditions around earnings, for instance by using limit orders when spreads widen [69], ensures efficient execution and preserves profitability. This dynamic adaptability is crucial for the long-term resilience and profitability of rapid realization strategies.

V. Conclusion and Future Directions

Event-driven quantitative trading strategies focused on post-earnings announcement dynamics offer compelling opportunities for rapid realization. The core of these strategies lies in systematically identifying and exploiting earnings surprises and shocks, primarily through the well-documented Post-Earnings Announcement Drift (PEAD). While the immediate aftermath of an earnings call is often dominated by ultra-low latency algorithmic trading, quantitative strategies can effectively target the slightly delayed, yet persistent, price drift that unfolds over days to weeks.

For effective entry, a robust quantitative approach involves calculating Standardized Unexpected Earnings (SUE) and Earnings Announcement Return (EAR). SUE provides a normalized measure of the numerical surprise, allowing for cross-company comparisons of impact, while EAR captures the market's holistic reaction to all information released during the event, including qualitative nuances. Combining these metrics, potentially with advanced signals derived from machine learning models predicting earnings direction and sentiment analysis of earnings call transcripts, can significantly enhance signal precision. These advanced techniques enable a deeper understanding of market impact beyond simple numerical deviations, accounting for the contextual nature of market "shock." Entry timing, often on the second day post-announcement, helps avoid the most intense high-frequency trading competition. Intraday strategies such as Gap and Go, Breakouts, and Momentum trading, confirmed by volume analysis, offer additional avenues for rapid realization within a single trading session by capitalizing on the elevated liquidity and clear directional biases.

Achieving fast realization necessitates equally robust exit strategies. Time-based exits ensure capital velocity and limit prolonged exposure to risk. Dynamic stop-loss orders, including percentage-based and volatility-adjusted trailing stops, provide adaptive risk control that responds to changing market conditions, protecting capital while allowing profits to run. Similarly, profit target mechanisms, whether fixed percentage or dynamic (e.g., ATR-based or leveraging support/resistance levels), ensure systematic profit capture. For options strategies, a critical consideration is managing implied volatility (IV) crush. Strategies that sell premium (vega-negative approaches) before earnings, such as credit spreads or short iron condors, are better positioned to profit from the post-announcement decline in IV, rather than being adversely affected by it.

Practical implementation demands meticulous attention to data. High-resolution tick data is imperative for accurate backtesting of rapid, intraday strategies, allowing for realistic modeling of execution costs and slippage. Sourcing comprehensive earnings data, analyst estimates, and textual information for sentiment analysis is also crucial. Rigorous backtesting

methodologies are non-negotiable, with a strong emphasis on avoiding pitfalls like lookahead bias, survivorship bias, and data snooping to ensure strategy reproducibility and real-world applicability. Performance evaluation must extend beyond raw returns to include risk-adjusted metrics such as Sharpe Ratio, Maximum Drawdown, and Profit Factor. Finally, a comprehensive risk management framework, encompassing disciplined position sizing, portfolio diversification, and the ability to adapt strategies to different market regimes and liquidity conditions, is essential for long-term viability and sustainable profitability in this dynamic trading domain.

Future directions in this field will likely involve further advancements in machine learning, particularly in the integration of large language models (LLMs) for more nuanced sentiment analysis and the development of adaptive algorithms that can dynamically adjust to evolving market microstructures and macroeconomic shifts. The continuous refinement of data sourcing and backtesting infrastructure will also remain critical for maintaining a competitive edge in capitalizing on these high-impact, rapid-realization opportunities around earnings calls.

Works cited

1. Earnings Surprise: Meaning, Impact, Causes & Examples - POEMS, accessed July 15, 2025, https://www.poems.com.sg/glossary/technicals/earning-surprise/
2. trading earnings - QuantPedia, accessed July 15, 2025, https://quantpedia.com/strategy-tags/trading-earnings/
3. Trading strategies for corporate earnings - Questrade, accessed July 15, 2025, https://www.questrade.com/learning/the-markets/how-companies-report-earnings/trading-strategies-for-corporate-earnings
4. www.poems.com.sg, accessed July 15, 2025, https://www.poems.com.sg/glossary/technicals/earning-surprise/#:~:text=An%20earnings%20surprise%20refers%20to,industry%20trends%2C%20and%20company%20guidance.
5. Post-Earnings Announcement Effect - Quantpedia, accessed July 15, 2025, https://quantpedia.com/strategies/post-earnings-announcement-effect
6. Post–earnings-announcement drift - Wikipedia, accessed July 15, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Post%E2%80%93earnings-announcement_drift
7. www.westga.edu, accessed July 15, 2025, https://www.westga.edu/~bquest/2002/unexpected.htm#:~:text=SUE%20measures%20the%20earnings%20surprise,or%20below%20the%20consensus%20estimate.
8. Surprise! Yesterday's Bad Earnings News Can Be Good | Chicago Booth Review, accessed July 15, 2025, https://www.chicagobooth.edu/review/surprise-yesterdays-bad-earnings-news-can-be-good
9. Earnings Announcements and Stock Returns – A Study of Efficiency in the Norwegian Capital Market - UiS Brage, accessed July 15, 2025, https://uis.brage.unit.no/uis-xmlui/bitstream/handle/11250/183823/Masteroppgave

%20final.pdf?sequence=1&isAllowed=y
10. Earnings Autocorrelation and the Post-Earnings-Announcement Drift: Experimental Evidence | MADOC - Uni Mannheim, accessed July 15, 2025, https://madoc.bib.uni-mannheim.de/64450/1/earnings-autocorrelation-and-the-post-earnings-announcement-drift-experimental-evidence.pdf
11. Post Earnings Announcement Trading Strategy - Stockholm School of Economics, accessed July 15, 2025, http://arc.hhs.se/download.aspx?MediumId=297
12. Stock liquidity in forefront of anticipated announcements - EconStor, accessed July 15, 2025, https://www.econstor.eu/bitstream/10419/113176/1/VfS_2015_pid_778.pdf
13. (PDF) An empirical analysis of algorithmic trading around earnings announcements, accessed July 15, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/303537452_An_empirical_analysis_of_algorithmic_trading_around_earnings_announcements
14. An empirical analysis of algorithmic trading around earnings announcements - CORE, accessed July 15, 2025, https://core.ac.uk/download/pdf/84840401.pdf
15. An empirical analysis of algorithmic trading around earnings announcements, accessed July 15, 2025, https://ro.uow.edu.au/articles/journal_contribution/An_empirical_analysis_of_algorithmic_trading_around_earnings_announcements/27725913
16. High frequency trading and price discovery - European Central Bank, accessed July 15, 2025, https://www.ecb.europa.eu/pub/pdf/scpwps/ecbwp1602.pdf
17. Event-Driven Trading Strategies - Number Analytics, accessed July 15, 2025, https://www.numberanalytics.com/blog/advanced-event-driven-trading-strategies
18. A Practical Breakdown of Vector-Based vs. Event-Based Backtesting - Interactive Brokers, accessed July 15, 2025, https://www.interactivebrokers.com/campus/ibkr-quant-news/a-practical-breakdown-of-vector-based-vs-event-based-backtesting/
19. Guide to Quant Investing 7: Risks of Quantitative Investing - Wright Research, accessed July 15, 2025, https://www.wrightresearch.in/blog/guide-to-quant-investing-7-risks-of-quantitative-investing/
20. High Frequency Trading (HFT): A 2025 Guide For Forex traders - ITB Broker, accessed July 15, 2025, https://itbfx.com/trading/high-frequency-trading/
21. High-Frequency Trading (HFT): What It Is, How It Works, and Example - Investopedia, accessed July 15, 2025, https://www.investopedia.com/terms/h/high-frequency-trading.asp
22. Examples of High Frequency Trading Strategies: A Comprehensive Guide - Magmio, accessed July 15, 2025, https://www.magmio.com/news/132-hft-strategies
23. High-frequency trading - Wikipedia, accessed July 15, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/High-frequency_trading
24. While Inflation Grabs Headlines, Employment Numbers Drive Market Moves, accessed July 15, 2025,

https://www.institutionalinvestor.com/article/sponsored-content/while-inflation-grabs-headlines-employment-numbers-drive-market-moves
25. 10 Best Intraday Trading Strategies for Stock Traders (With Examples) - Unstop, accessed July 15, 2025, https://unstop.com/blog/10-best-intraday-trading-strategies
26. An Introduction to Price Action Trading Strategies - Investopedia, accessed July 15, 2025, https://www.investopedia.com/articles/active-trading/110714/introduction-price-action-trading-strategies.asp
27. 12 Rules for Picking Stocks in Intraday Trading - Investopedia, accessed July 15, 2025, https://www.investopedia.com/day-trading/pick-stocks-intraday-trading/
28. Guide to Quantitative Trading Strategies and Backtesting - QuantVPS, accessed July 15, 2025, https://www.quantvps.com/blog/guide-to-quantitative-trading-strategies-and-backtesting
29. Short-Term Trading Strategy – Rules, Performance, and Backtest Analysis - QuantifiedStrategies.com, accessed July 15, 2025, https://www.quantifiedstrategies.com/short-term-trading-strategy/
30. Earnings Announcement Premium - QuantPedia, accessed July 15, 2025, https://quantpedia.com/strategies/earnings-announcement-premium
31. Predicting Future Earnings Changes Using Machine Learning and Detailed Financial Data - NYU Stern, accessed July 15, 2025, https://www.stern.nyu.edu/sites/default/files/assets/documents/SSRN-id3741015.pdf
32. Machine Learning, Earnings Forecasting, and Implied Cost of Capital - US and International Evidence - Bauer College of Business, accessed July 15, 2025, https://www.bauer.uh.edu/departments/accy/research/documents/machine-learning-earnings-forecasting-and-implied-cost-of-capital.pdf
33. From Deep Learning to LLMs: A survey of AI in Quantitative Investment - arXiv, accessed July 15, 2025, https://arxiv.org/html/2503.21422v1
34. stefan-jansen/machine-learning-for-trading: Code for Machine Learning for Algorithmic Trading, 2nd edition. - GitHub, accessed July 15, 2025, https://github.com/stefan-jansen/machine-learning-for-trading
35. Machine Learning for Trading: Applications, Advantages and Challenges, accessed July 15, 2025, https://www.a3logics.com/blog/machine-learning-for-trading/
36. Building a Trading Strategy with Machine Learning Models and Yahoo Finance in Python., accessed July 15, 2025, https://ai.gopubby.com/building-a-trading-strategy-with-machine-learning-models-and-yahoo-finance-in-python-ec9228ffbae6
37. Sentiment Analysis in Financial News: Enhancing Predictive Models for Stock Market Behavior - ResearchGate, accessed July 15, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/390056578_Sentiment_Analysis_in_Financial_News_Enhancing_Predictive_Models_for_Stock_Market_Behavior
38. Advanced Deep Learning Techniques for Analyzing Earnings Call Transcripts:

Methodologies and Applications - arXiv, accessed July 15, 2025, https://arxiv.org/html/2503.01886v1
39. How to use LLMs for sentiment trading? - Quantra by QuantInsti, accessed July 15, 2025, https://quantra.quantinsti.com/glossary/how-to-use-llms-for-sentiment-trading
40. Transcripts Model (Earnings Call Sentiment Analysis) - FactSet, accessed July 15, 2025, https://www.factset.com/marketplace/catalog/product/transcripts-model-earnings-call-sentiment-analysis
41. Five Exit Strategies in Trading: When to Exit a Trade To Maximize Profits - QuantifiedStrategies.com, accessed July 15, 2025, https://www.quantifiedstrategies.com/trading-exit-strategies/
42. Exit Strategy from a Trade - Mondfx, accessed July 15, 2025, https://mondfx.com/exit-strategy-from-a-trade/
43. What is a Stop-Loss Order? | Importance & Limitations - Groww, accessed July 15, 2025, https://groww.in/p/stop-loss-order
44. How to Place Stop Loss Profit Target - Quant Savvy, accessed July 15, 2025, https://quantsavvy.com/how-to-place-stop-loss-profit-target/
45. Three Types of Options Exit Strategies - Charles Schwab, accessed July 15, 2025, https://www.schwab.com/learn/story/three-types-options-exit-strategies
46. Risk Management Techniques for Active Traders - Investopedia, accessed July 15, 2025, https://www.investopedia.com/articles/trading/09/risk-management.asp
47. Dynamic/Trailing Stop Loss Orders and Take Profit - Brokstock, accessed July 15, 2025, https://brokstock.co.za/blog/trading/dynamic-trailing-stop-loss-orders-and-take-profit/
48. Trailing Stop/Stop-Loss Combo Leads to Winning Trades - Investopedia, accessed July 15, 2025, https://www.investopedia.com/articles/trading/08/trailing-stop-loss.asp
49. Trailing Stop Loss: Meaning, Advantages & Disadvantages - 5paisa, accessed July 15, 2025, https://www.5paisa.com/stock-market-guide/generic/trailing-stop-loss
50. Trading Exit Strategies: When to Exit a Trade with Profits | IG International, accessed July 15, 2025, https://www.ig.com/en/trading-strategies/trading-exit-strategies--a-complete-guide-for-traders-210208
51. Profit Target in Trading: Definition, Calculation, Strategies, Setup, Factors, Limitations, accessed July 15, 2025, https://www.strike.money/stock-market/profit-target
52. About – Dynamic Profit Zones | Simpler Trading, accessed July 15, 2025, https://www.simplertrading.com/tutorials/about-dynamic-profit-zones
53. What is Implied Volatility (IV Crush) & How to Avoid it - tastylive, accessed July 15, 2025, https://www.tastylive.com/concepts-strategies/iv-crush
54. Implied Volatility Crush: How to Beat IV Crush with Options - Market Rebellion, accessed July 15, 2025, https://marketrebellion.com/news/trading-insights/implied-volatility-crush-how-t

o-beat-iv-crush-with-options/
55. Historical Tick Data for Backtesting: Powering Performance - Intrinio, accessed July 15, 2025, https://intrinio.com/blog/historical-tick-data-for-backtesting-powering-performance
56. Quantitative Modeling: Modeling the Minutiae: Quantitative Approaches to Tick Data, accessed July 15, 2025, https://www.fastercapital.com/content/Quantitative-Modeling--Modeling-the-Minutiae--Quantitative-Approaches-to-Tick-Data.html
57. Backtesting Events (Earnings, Analyst Estimates etc) - TrendSpider, accessed July 15, 2025, https://help.trendspider.com/kb/strategy-tester/backtesting-events-earnings-analyst-estimates-etc
58. Leveraging Historical Stock and Options Data for Enhanced Financial Analysis - Intrinio, accessed July 15, 2025, https://intrinio.com/blog/leveraging-historical-stock-and-options-data-for-enhanced-financial-analysis
59. Algorithmic Trading using Sentiment Analysis and Reinforcement Learning - CS229, accessed July 15, 2025, https://cs229.stanford.edu/proj2017/final-reports/5222284.pdf
60. Evaluating Trading Strategies - UC Berkeley Statistics, accessed July 15, 2025, https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~aldous/157/Papers/harvey.pdf
61. Profit Factor In Trading: Definition, Calculator, Video and Formula - QuantifiedStrategies.com, accessed July 15, 2025, https://www.quantifiedstrategies.com/profit-factor/
62. Event Driven Trading Strategies: How They Work and Why They Matter - LevelFields AI, accessed July 15, 2025, https://www.levelfields.ai/news/event-driven-trading-strategies-how-they-work-and-why-they-matter
63. Earnings Report Trading Strategies | TrendSpider Learning Center, accessed July 15, 2025, https://trendspider.com/learning-center/earnings-report-trading-strategies/
64. The Impact of Market Volatility on Options and Futures Trading - GEPL Capital, accessed July 15, 2025, https://geplcapital.com/knowledge-centers/blog-article/the-impact-of-market-volatility-on-options-and-futures-trading
65. Event-Driven Trading Strategies: Maximize Gains from Corporate Events - LevelFields AI, accessed July 15, 2025, https://www.levelfields.ai/news/event-driven-trading-strategies-maximize-gains-from-corporate-events
66. Fundamental Analysis: Principles, Types, and How to Use It - Investopedia, accessed July 15, 2025, https://www.investopedia.com/terms/f/fundamentalanalysis.asp
67. Earnings Surprise: Overview, Examples, and Formulas - Investopedia, accessed July 15, 2025, https://www.investopedia.com/terms/e/earningssurprise.asp

68. How do macroeconomic factors shape the US stock market? - Winvesta, accessed July 15, 2025, https://www.winvesta.in/blog/macroeconomic-factors-affecting-us-stock-market
69. Trading in stock options around earnings announcement date | Freedom24, accessed July 15, 2025, https://www.freedom24.com/faq/14744-trading-stock-options-announcement
70. Market Neutral Investing | BlackRock, accessed July 15, 2025, https://www.blackrock.com/us/individual/insights/market-neutral-investing
71. The Impact of Earnings Surprises on Stock Returns: Theory and Evidence - ResearchGate, accessed July 15, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/228316891_The_Impact_of_Earnings_Surprises_on_Stock_Returns_Theory_and_Evidence