

10-Q 1 a10-q.txt 10-Q SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549 FORM 10-Q (Mark one) /X/ QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934. For the quarterly period ended April 30, 2000 OR / / TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934. For the transition period from _____ to _____ Commission file number: 001-15405 AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC. ---
 ----- (Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter) Delaware 77-0518772 -----
 ----- State or other jurisdiction of (IRS Employer incorporation or organization) Identification No.) 395 Page Mill Road, Palo Alto, California 94306 ----- (Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code) Registrant's telephone number, including area code (650) 752-5000 ----- Former address: 3000 Hanover Street, Palo Alto, California 94304 -----
 ----- (Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)
 Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes /X/ No / / Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date. Class Outstanding at April 30, 2000 ----- Common Stock, \$0.01 par value 452,271,967 shares AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES INDEX
 Page Number

 Part I:
 Financial
 Information 3
 Item 1:
 Financial
 Statements 3
 Condensed
 Consolidated
 Balance
 Sheets
 (Unaudited)
 as of April 30,
 2000 and
 October 31,
 1999 3
 Condensed
 Consolidated
 Statements of
 Earnings
 (Unaudited)
 for the Three
 and Six
 Months
 Ended April
 30, 2000 and
 April 30,
 1999 4
 Condensed
 Consolidated
 Statements of
 Cash Flows
 (Unaudited)
 for the Six
 Months
 Ended April
 30, 2000 and
 April 30,
 1999 5 Notes
 to Condensed
 Consolidated
 Financial
 Statements
 (Unaudited) 6
 Item 2:
 Management's

Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	17
Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	43
Part II. Other Information	
Item 1. Legal Proceedings	43
Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders	43
Item 6. Exhibits and Reports on Form 8-K	44
Signature	44
Exhibits	Index
	45

2 PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION Item 1. Financial Statements Agilent Technologies, Inc. and Subsidiaries Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets (Unaudited) (in millions, except par value and share amounts)

Apr. 30, 2000	Oct. 31, 1999	-----	ASSETS
Current assets: Cash and cash equivalents.....			
			\$978 \$
--Accounts receivable.....			
	1,826	1,635	Due from Hewlett-Packard, net.....
		63	--
Inventory.....			
	1,622	1,499	Other current assets.....
			568 404
-----Total current assets.....			
			5,057
3,538 Property, plant and equipment, net.....			
		1,453	1,387 Other assets.....
	811	519	-----Total assets.....
			\$7,321 \$5,444
-----LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY			
Current liabilities:			
Notes payable and short-term borrowings.....			
			\$ 98 \$--
Accounts payable.....			
			509
510 Employee compensation and benefits.....			
		644	550
Deferred revenue.....			
			329
241 Accrued income taxes.....			
			192
Other accrued liabilities.....			
		414	380
-----Total current liabilities.....			
		2,186	1,681
Other liabilities.....			
	493	381	Commitments and contingencies
Stockholders' equity: Preferred stock; \$.01 par value; 125,000,000 shares authorized; none issued and outstanding.....			
			Common stock; \$.01 par value; 2,000,000,000 shares authorized; 452,271,967 shares at April 30, 2000 and 380,000,000 shares at October 31, 1999 issued and outstanding.....
	5	4	Additional paid-in capital.....
		4,344	3,378
Retained earnings.....			
			297
Other comprehensive losses.....			
		(4)	-----Total stockholders' equity.....
		4,642	3,382
-----Total liabilities and stockholders' equity.....			
			\$7,321 \$5,444

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements. 3 Agilent Technologies, Inc. and Subsidiaries Condensed Consolidated Statements of Earnings (Unaudited) (in millions, except per share amounts)

Three Months Ended Six Months Ended
April 30, April 30, 2000 1999 2000 1999 -

----- Net revenue:			
Products.....			
\$1,999 \$1,524 \$3,799 \$2,839	Products to		
Hewlett-Packard.....			
156 190 296 378	Services and		
other.....	330 296		
636 579			
----- Total net			
revenue.....	2,485		
2,010 4,731 3,796			
----- Costs and			
expenses: Cost of			
products.....			
1,052 846 2,028 1,655	Cost of services		
and other.....	209 173		
393 338	Research and		
development.....	296		
241 586 463	Selling, general and		
administrative.....	714 510		
1,339 999			
----- Total costs and			
expenses.....	2,271		
1,770 4,346 3,455			
----- Earnings			
from operations.....			
214 240 385 341	Other income (expense),		
net.....	42 2 73 15		
----- Earnings before			
taxes.....	256 242		
458 356	Provision for		
taxes.....	90 85		
161 125			
----- Net			
earnings.....			
\$ 166 \$ 157 \$ 297 \$ 231			
=====			
Basic net earnings per			
share.....	\$.37 \$.41 \$		
.67 \$.61	Diluted net earnings per		
share.....	\$.36 \$.41 \$		
.66 \$.61	Average shares used in computing		
net earnings per share:			
Basic.....			
452 380 445 380			
Diluted.....			
457 380 448 380	Pro forma net earnings		
	per share:		
Basic.....			
\$.37 \$.66			
Diluted.....			
\$.36 \$.64	Average shares used in		
computing pro forma net earnings per share:			
Basic.....			
452 452			
Diluted.....			
465 462			

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements. 4 Agilent Technologies, Inc. and Subsidiaries Condensed Consolidated

Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited) (in millions)

Six Months Ended April 30, 2000 1999 ---- ---- Cash

flows from operating activities: Net earnings.....	
\$ 297 \$ 231 Adjustments to reconcile net earnings to net cash provided by operating activities: Depreciation and amortization.....	199 235
Gain on sale of equity investments.....	
Deferred taxes on earnings.....	(59) (69)
Changes in assets and liabilities: Accounts receivable.....	
(187) (59) Due from Hewlett-Packard, net.....	(63) --
Inventory.....	
(118) 18 Accounts payable.....	11
(32) Accrued income taxes.....	
192 -- Other current assets and liabilities.....	110 (24) Other, net.....
(11) (77) ----- Net cash provided by operating activities.....	346 223
Cash flows from investing activities: Investments in property, plant and equipment.....	
(222) (196) Dispositions of property, plant and equipment.....	97 31 Sales of equity investments.....
53 -- Acquisitions, net of cash acquired.....	
(486) (12) Cash proceeds of divestitures.....	- 39
Other, net.....	
9 6 ----- Net cash used in investing activities.....	(549) (132) --
Cash flows from financing activities: Initial public offering proceeds.....	
2,068 -- Initial public offering proceeds distributed to Hewlett-Packard.....	(2,068) -- Issuance of common stock under employee stock plans.....
2 -- Change in notes payable and short-term borrowings.....	
98 -- Net funding from (to) Hewlett-Packard.....	1,081 (91) -----
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities.....	
1,181 (91) -- Change in cash and cash equivalents.....	978 --
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period.....	
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period.....	
\$ 978 \$ --	

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements. 5 Agilent Technologies, Inc. and Subsidiaries Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited) 1. Overview and Basis of Presentation On March 2, 1999, Hewlett-Packard Company (HP) announced a plan to create a separate company, subsequently named Agilent Technologies, Inc. (Agilent), comprised of HP's test and measurement,

semiconductor products, healthcare solutions and chemical analysis businesses, related portions of Hewlett-Packard Laboratories and associated infrastructure. Agilent was incorporated in Delaware in May 1999 as a wholly-owned subsidiary of HP with 125,000,000 shares of \$.01 par value preferred stock and 2,000,000,000 shares of \$.01 par value common stock authorized and 10,000,000 shares of common stock issued to HP. Effective October 21, 1999, Agilent's Board of Directors declared a 38-for-one stock split in the form of a stock dividend. As a result of the stock split, common stock issued and outstanding increased to 380,000,000 shares. On November 18, 1999, Agilent launched its initial public offering of 72,000,000 shares of common stock at \$30 per share. The net proceeds of the offering of \$2.1 billion were paid to HP as a dividend on November 23, 1999. On April 7, 2000, HP announced that its board of directors had declared a stock dividend of all of HP's shares in Agilent. The dividend was distributed on June 2, 2000 (the distribution date), to HP shareholders of record as of 5 p.m. Eastern Daylight Time on May 2, 2000. The distribution was on the basis of 0.3814 of an Agilent share for each HP common share outstanding. The consolidated 1999 financial information has been prepared using HP's historical bases in the assets and liabilities and the historical results of operations of Agilent. Agilent began accumulating retained earnings on November 1, 1999. The consolidated 1999 financial information includes allocations of certain HP corporate expenses, including centralized research and development, legal, accounting, employee benefits, real estate, insurance services, information technology services, treasury and other HP corporate and infrastructure costs. The expense allocations were determined on bases that HP and Agilent considered to be a reasonable reflection of the utilization of services provided or the benefit received by Agilent. However, the 1999 financial information included herein may not reflect the consolidated financial position, operating results, and cash flows of Agilent in the future or what they would have been had Agilent operated as a separate, stand-alone entity during 1999. In fiscal 2000, Agilent entered into interim service level agreements with HP covering the provision of various services, including information technology, financial, accounting, building, legal and other services (Note 8). Effective November 1, 1999, Agilent began operating as a stand-alone company. In November 1999, HP transferred to Agilent a majority of the assets and liabilities relating to its businesses and also provided Agilent with cash funding of approximately \$1.1 billion. HP retained some of Agilent's assets and liabilities, including some of its accounts receivable and accounts payable, accrued payroll and related items and taxes payable, except deferred taxes, and transferred to Agilent some of the assets and liabilities related to its business, including some of the accounts receivable, accounts payable and other liabilities of Hewlett-Packard Japan. In addition, HP transferred to Agilent \$521 million to fund its acquisition of Yokogawa Electric Corporation's 25% minority equity ownership of Hewlett-Packard Japan (Note 9). In December 1999, HP provided Agilent with additional cash funding of approximately \$200 million based on its and HP's balance sheets as of October 31, 1999. Of the total \$1.8 billion of funding received from HP in the six months ended April 30, 2000, \$1.1 billion was classified as net cash provided by financing activities and \$0.7 billion was classified among several categories as net cash provided by operating activities in the condensed consolidated statement of cash flows for the six months ended April 30, 2000.

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

In the opinion of Agilent's management, the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements contain all adjustments (which comprise only normal and recurring accruals) necessary to present fairly its consolidated financial position as of April 30, 2000 and its consolidated results of operations and cash flows for the three and six months ended April 30, 2000 and 1999. Certain amounts in the condensed consolidated statements of operations for the three and six months ended April 30, 1999 have been reclassified to conform to the current period's presentation. The preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the condensed consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual results could differ from those estimates. The results of operations for the three and six months ended April 30, 2000 are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the full year. The information included in this Form 10-Q should be read in conjunction with Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations as well as the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in Agilent's 1999 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

3. Accounting Pronouncements

In accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 115, "Accounting for Certain Investments in Debt and Equity Securities," Agilent recorded \$4 million of accumulated other comprehensive losses to stockholders' equity in the six months ended April 30, 2000. Prior period financial statements were not materially impacted by the statement. In June 1998, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 133, "Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities." This statement establishes accounting and reporting standards for derivative instruments and requires recognition of all derivatives as assets or liabilities in the balance sheet and measurement of those instruments at fair value. The statement is effective for years beginning after June 15, 2000. Agilent will adopt the standard no later than the first quarter of its fiscal year 7 2001 and is in the process of determining the impact that adoption will have on its consolidated financial statements. In December 1999, the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) issued Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 101, "Revenue Recognition in Financial Statements." The Staff Accounting Bulletin is effective no later than the first quarter of Agilent's fiscal year 2001. Agilent is in the process of determining the impact that adoption will have on its consolidated financial statements.

4. Earnings Per Share

Basic net earnings per share is computed by dividing net earnings available to common stockholders (numerator) by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding (denominator) during the period and excludes the dilutive effect of stock options. Diluted net earnings per share gives effect to all potentially dilutive common shares outstanding during the period. In computing diluted net earnings per share, the average stock price for the period is used in determining the number of shares assumed to be purchased from the proceeds of stock option exercises. Pro forma basic net earnings per share has been computed by dividing net earnings by the sum of the 380,000,000 common shares held by HP plus the 72,000,000 shares issued in Agilent's initial public offering, as the proceeds of the offering were distributed to HP. Pro forma diluted net earnings per share has been computed by dividing net earnings by the sum of the 380,000,000 common shares held by HP plus the 72,000,000 shares issued in the initial public offering plus the estimated effect of dilutive stock options and other employee stock plans, including an estimate of those HP shares or options as of April 30, 2000 that were converted to Agilent stock or options on the distribution date. The 72,000,000 shares issued in Agilent's initial public offering are assumed to have been outstanding for the entire first six months of 2000. The following is a reconciliation of the numerators and denominators of the basic and diluted net earnings per share computations for the periods presented below.

Three Months Ended Six Months Ended April
30, April 30, 2000 1999 2000 1999 ---- ----

---- (in millions, except per share data)

Numerators: Net

earnings..... \$

166 \$ 157 \$ 297 \$ 231 Denominators: Basic

weighted average

shares..... 452 380 445

380 Potentially dilutive common shares—stock

options..... 5 3

Diluted weighted

average shares..... 457

380 448 380 Net earnings per share:

Basic.....

\$0.37 \$0.41 \$0.67 \$0.61

Diluted.....

\$0.36 \$0.41 \$0.66 \$0.61 Pro forma

denominators: Basic pro forma

shares..... 452 452

Potentially dilutive pro forma common shares—

stock options and other employee stock

plans..... 13 10

Diluted pro forma

shares..... 465 462

Pro forma net earnings per share:

Basic.....

\$0.37 \$0.66

Diluted.....

\$0.36 \$0.64

5. Inventory

April 30, October 31, 2000 1999 --

-- (in millions) Finished

goods.....

\$ 528 \$ 639 Purchased parts and

fabricated assemblies.....

1,094 860

--- \$1,622 \$1,499

6. Comprehensive Earnings For the three months ended April 30, 2000, Agilent recorded an unrealized loss, net of tax, of \$57 million, which was subtracted from net earnings of \$166 million to compute comprehensive earnings of \$109 million. For the six months ended April 30, 2000, Agilent recorded an unrealized loss, net of tax, of \$4 million, which was subtracted from net earnings of \$297 million to compute comprehensive earnings of \$293 million. Prior to November 1, 1999, Agilent had no material components of comprehensive earnings or losses. 7. Supplemental Cash Flow Information The condensed consolidated statement of cash flows for the six months ended April 30, 2000 excludes a net asset transfer of \$22 million to Agilent from HP. This non-cash event was accounted for as a change in paid-in capital. 8. Related Party Transactions Agilent's net revenue from sales of products to HP was \$156 million and \$296 million for the three and six months ended 9 April 30, 2000, respectively, compared to \$190 million and \$378 million in the same periods of 1999. As of April 30, 2000, there was \$84 million of accounts receivable from HP included in accounts receivable recorded in the condensed consolidated balance sheet. In 1999 and 2000, Agilent purchased certain products from HP, at prices that management believes approximate the prices an unrelated third party would pay. For 1999, these products were solely for inclusion in Agilent products sold to third parties while in 2000, they also included products purchased for internal use. These purchases from HP totaled \$68 million and \$104 million in the three and six months ended April 30, 2000, respectively, and \$41 million and \$61 million in the same periods of 1999. No purchases at cost were made during fiscal 2000 while purchases at cost for internal use totaled \$19 million and \$34 million in the three and six months ended April 30, 1999. Agilent has entered into interim service level agreements with HP covering the provision of various services, including information technology, financial, accounting, building, legal and other services by HP to Agilent or, in some circumstances, vice versa. These services are generally being provided for fees equal to the actual direct and indirect costs of providing the services plus 5%. The interim service level agreements generally have a term of two years or less from the date of separation from HP. However, some interim service level agreements, including those for building services and information technology services, may be extended beyond the initial two-year period. If these agreements are extended, their terms will change so that the lessor will receive fair market rental value for the rental component of the building services and the costs plus 10% for information technology and other services and non-rental components of building services. The total cost of services Agilent received from HP was approximately \$115 million and \$226 million in the three and six months ended April 30, 2000, respectively. The total cost of services HP received from Agilent was approximately \$41 million and \$86 million in the same periods, respectively. Agilent's costs and expenses in the three and six months ended April 30, 1999 included

allocations from HP for centralized research and development, legal, accounting, employee benefits, real estate, insurance services, information technology services, treasury and other HP corporate and infrastructure costs. These allocations were determined on bases that HP and Agilent considered to be a reasonable reflection of the utilization of services provided or the benefit received by Agilent. The allocation methods included relative sales, headcount, square footage, transaction processing costs, adjusted operating expenses and others. Allocated costs included in the accompanying condensed consolidated statements of earnings for the three and six months ended April 30, 1999 follow. 10

Three Months Six Months

Ended April 30, Ended
April 30, 1999 1999 -----

(in millions) Costs of
products and
services..... \$ 57 \$
96 Research and
development.....
37 71 Selling, general and
administrative..... 107
212

For purposes of governing certain of the ongoing relationships between Agilent and HP at and after the separation and to provide for an orderly transition, Agilent and HP have entered into various agreements. A brief description of each of the agreements follows. Each of these agreements were filed as exhibits to Agilent's Registration Statement on Form S-1. MASTER SEPARATION AND DISTRIBUTION AGREEMENT. The separation agreement contains the key provisions relating to the separation, Agilent's initial funding, initial public offering and the distribution. The agreement lists the documents and items that the parties had to deliver in order to accomplish the transfer of assets and liabilities from HP to Agilent, effective on the separation date. The agreement also contains conditions that had to occur prior to the initial public offering and the distribution. The parties also entered into ongoing covenants that survive the transactions, including covenants to establish interim service level agreements, exchange information, notify each other of changes in accounting principles and resolve disputes in particular ways. GENERAL ASSIGNMENT AND ASSUMPTION AGREEMENT. The General Assignment and Assumption Agreement identifies the assets that HP transferred to Agilent and the liabilities that Agilent assumed from HP in the separation. In general, the assets that were transferred and the liabilities that were assumed are those that appear on the condensed consolidated balance sheet at October 31, 1999, after adjustment for certain assets and liabilities that were retained by HP. INDEMNIFICATION AND INSURANCE MATTERS AGREEMENT. Effective as of November 1, 1999 (the separation date), Agilent and HP each released the other from any liabilities arising from events occurring on or before the separation date. The agreement also contains provisions governing indemnification. In general, Agilent and HP will each indemnify the other from all liabilities arising from its business, any of its liabilities, any of its contracts or a breach of the separation agreement. In addition, HP and Agilent will each indemnify the other against liability for specified environmental matters. Agilent reimbursed HP for the cost of any insurance coverage from the separation date to the distribution date. EMPLOYEE MATTERS AGREEMENT. The Employee Matters Agreement allocates responsibility for, and liability related to, the employment of those employees of HP who have become Agilent employees. The agreement also contains provisions describing Agilent's benefit and equity plans. Agilent established employee benefit plans comparable to those of HP for its active, inactive and former employees. However, in certain cases, certain of its employees will continue to participate in the HP benefit plans. The transfer to Agilent of employees at certain of HP's international operations, and of certain pension and employee benefit plans, may not take place until Agilent receives consents or approvals or has satisfied other applicable requirements. TAX SHARING AGREEMENT. The tax sharing agreement provides for HP's and Agilent's obligations concerning various tax 11 liabilities. The tax sharing agreement provides that HP generally will pay, and indemnify Agilent if necessary, for all federal, state, local and foreign taxes relating to Agilent's business for any taxable period ending prior to November 1, 1999. In addition, the tax sharing agreement provides that HP and Agilent will make payments between them such that the amount of taxes to be paid by HP and Agilent will be determined, subject to specified adjustments, as if HP and Agilent and each of their subsidiaries included in HP's consolidated tax returns had filed their own consolidated, combined or unitary tax return for that period. For U.S. federal income tax purposes, such consolidated return period is November 1, 1999 through June 2, 2000. The tax sharing agreement allocates responsibility for various taxes arising from restructurings related to the spinoff between HP and Agilent. In addition, Agilent will bear 18% of unanticipated taxes related to the distribution where neither party is at fault. In addition, the tax sharing agreement provides that Agilent will indemnify HP for any taxes arising out of the failure of the distribution or certain of the transactions related to it to qualify as tax free as a result of actions taken, or the failure to take required actions, by Agilent. Specifically, Agilent is required under the tax sharing agreement to comply with the representations made to the Internal Revenue Service, or the IRS, in connection with the private letter ruling that has been issued to HP from the IRS regarding the tax-free nature of the distribution of Agilent's stock by HP to HP's stockholders. The tax sharing agreement further provides for cooperation with respect to certain tax matters, the exchange of information and the retention of records which may affect the income tax liability of either party. REAL ESTATE MATTERS AGREEMENT. The Real Estate Matters Agreement addresses real estate matters relating to the HP leased and owned properties that HP transferred to or shares with Agilent. The agreement describes the manner in which HP transferred to or shares with Agilent various leased and owned properties. The Real Estate Matters Agreement also provided that all costs required to effect the transfers, including landlord consent fees, landlord attorneys' fees, title insurance fees and transfer taxes, were paid by HP. MASTER IT SERVICE LEVEL AGREEMENT. The Master IT Service Level Agreement governs the provision of information technology services by HP and Agilent to each other, on an interim basis, until November 1, 2001, unless extended for specific services or otherwise indicated in the agreement. The services include data processing and telecommunications services, such as voice telecommunications and data transmission, and corporate support services, including accounting, financial management, tax, payroll, stockholder and public relations, legal, human resources administration, procurement, real estate management and other administrative functions. Specified charges for such services are generally intended to allow the providing company to recover the direct and indirect costs of providing the services, plus 5% until November 1, 2001, and such costs plus 10% thereafter. The Master IT Service Level Agreement also covers the provision of certain additional information 12 technology services

identified from time to time after the separation date that were inadvertently or unintentionally omitted from the specified services, or that are essential to effectuate an orderly transition under the separation agreement, so long as the provision of such services would not significantly disrupt the providing company's operations or significantly increase the scope of the agreement. In addition, the Master IT Service Level Agreement will provide for the replication of some computer systems, including hardware, software, data storage or maintenance and support components. Generally, the party needing the replicated system bears the costs and expenses of replication. Generally, the party purchasing new hardware or licensing new software bears the costs and expenses of purchasing the new hardware or obtaining the new software licenses.

INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY AGREEMENTS. The Master Technology Ownership and License Agreement, the Master Patent Ownership and License Agreement, the Master Trademark Ownership and License Agreement and the ICBT Technology Ownership and License Agreement together are referred to as the Intellectual Property Agreements. Under the Intellectual Property Agreements, HP transferred to Agilent its rights in specified patents, specified trademarks and other intellectual property related to Agilent's current business and research and development efforts. HP and Agilent each are licensed under the other's patents issued on patent applications with effective filing dates before November 1, 2004, subject to field restrictions. HP and Agilent are also licensed to use technology that has been disclosed to such licensed company or that is in the licensed company's possession as of the separation date, with certain limitations. The agreements include certain rights to sublicense for both parties. Agilent is licensed to use some HP trademarks, and this license is royalty-bearing after five years.

ENVIRONMENTAL MATTERS AGREEMENT. HP has agreed to retain and indemnify Agilent for liabilities associated with properties transferred to Agilent which are undergoing environmental investigation and remediation and for which HP has accrued a reserve as of the separation date. The purpose of the Environmental Matters Agreement is to address, in a general way, HP's and Agilent's rights and obligations with respect to that investigation and remediation.

9. Acquisition of Hewlett-Packard Japan On July 6, 1999, HP entered into an agreement with Yokogawa Electric Corporation (Yokogawa) of Japan to acquire Yokogawa's 25% minority equity ownership of Hewlett-Packard Japan (HPJ) for approximately \$521 million. Under the terms of the agreement which were assigned to Agilent, Agilent will acquire Yokogawa's shares through a series of purchase transactions. In the initial step, which occurred in January 2000, Agilent purchased approximately 10.4% of HPJ shares from Yokogawa for approximately \$206 million. In the second step, which occurred in April 2000, Agilent purchased approximately 10.4% of additional HPJ shares from Yokogawa for approximately \$216 million. Agilent will purchase the remaining 4.2% of HPJ shares owned by Yokogawa prior to March 31, 2003. HP has provided the 13 funding for all steps of this transaction. Hewlett-Packard Japan, Ltd. has changed its name to Agilent Technologies Japan, Ltd. An independent valuation has been performed to determine the portion of the purchase price attributable to Agilent's business and the remaining HP business and to allocate the purchase price to identifiable assets and liabilities. Of the total purchase price, \$391 million is attributable to Agilent's business, of which approximately \$278 million will be recorded as goodwill and amortized over 10 years. The net book value of goodwill associated with the two payments for the purchase of approximately 20.8% of HPJ shares from Yokogawa was approximately \$233 million at April 30, 2000. The remainder of the purchase price was allocated to tangible assets.

10. Restructuring During the three months ended July 31, 1999, Agilent recognized an impairment loss of \$51 million related to a building that was under construction for the intended purpose of housing manufacturing operations for eight-inch semiconductor wafers. Agilent has an active plan to sell the building. During 1998, management committed to transfer the production of eight-inch semiconductor wafers to a third-party contractor. The restructuring costs included \$85 million related to non-cash asset impairments primarily for equipment. Of the equipment impairment charge, \$39 million was attributable to equipment abandoned at the time of the charge and written down to its net realizable value. An additional \$46 million was attributable to equipment that remained in service for a transition period. Agilent has sold a portion of the equipment and has an active plan to sell the remaining equipment.

11. Segment Information The following tables reflect the results of Agilent's reportable segments under the Agilent management system. These results are not necessarily a depiction that is in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles. The performance of each segment is measured based on several metrics, including earnings from operations. These results are used, in part, by management, in evaluating the performance of, and in allocating resources to, each of the segments.

Test and Semiconductor Healthcare Chemical Total Measurement Products Solutions Analysis Segments									
(in millions) Three months ended April 30, 2000: External									
revenue.....	\$1,385	\$497	\$343	\$260	\$2,485	Internal revenue.....	11	11	
Total net revenue.....							\$1,385	\$508	\$343
							\$260	\$2,496	

Earnings (loss) from operations. \$ 193 \$58 (\$30) \$ 1 \$ 222

Three months ended April 30, 1999: External revenue.....									
	\$ 964	\$408	\$377	\$261	\$2,010	Internal			
revenue.....	17	8				Total			
net revenue.....	\$ 965	\$415	\$377	\$261	\$2,018				

Earnings from operations..... \$ 86 \$56 \$50 \$35 \$ 227

THE FOLLOWING TABLE RECONCILES THE SEGMENT INFORMATION ABOVE TO AGILENT, AS REPORTED

Three Months Ended April 30, 2000 1999 -

--- ---- (in millions) Net revenue: Total reportable segments.....
\$2,496 \$2,018 Elimination of internal revenue..... (11)
(8) ----- Total net revenue, as reported.....
\$2,485 \$2,010 -----

Earnings before taxes: Total reportable segments' earnings from operations..... \$222 \$227
Corporate and unallocated.....
(8) 13 Other income (expense); net..... 42 2 ---
----- Total earnings before taxes, as reported..... \$256 \$242

Test and Semiconductor Healthcare Chemical Total Measurement Products Solutions Analysis Segments
----- (in millions) Six months ended April 30, 2000: External revenue..... \$2,546 \$944 \$738 \$503 \$4,731 Internal revenue..... 20 20 -----
----- Total net revenue..... \$2,546 \$964 \$738 \$503 \$4,751

Earnings (loss) from operations: \$ 317 \$89 (\$13) \$14 \$ 407

Six months ended April 30, 1999: External revenue..... \$1,853 \$773 \$671 \$499 \$3,796 Internal revenue..... 3 12 --- 15

15 ----- Total net revenue..... \$1,856 \$785 \$671 \$499 \$3,811

Earnings from operations..... \$ 151

\$65 \$45 \$63 \$ 324

THE FOLLOWING TABLE RECONCILES THE SEGMENT INFORMATION ABOVE TO AGILENT, AS REPORTED

Six Months Ended April 30, 2000 1999 ---- -

--- (in millions) Net revenue: Total reportable segments.....
\$4,751 \$3,811 Elimination of internal revenue..... (20)
(15) ----- Total net revenue, as reported.....
\$4,731 \$3,796 -----

Earnings before taxes: Total reportable segments' earnings from operations..... \$407 \$324
Corporate and unallocated.....
(22) 17 Other income (expense); net..... 73 15 ---
----- Total earnings before taxes, as reported..... \$458 \$356

Corporate and unallocated expenses primarily relate to employee related benefit programs. The expenses for these programs are recorded by the segments at a pre-determined rate and are adjusted at the corporate level to reflect the actual rate. This adjustment is not allocated to the segments. Corporate and unallocated expenses also include certain unallocated depreciation and goodwill amortization. 16 Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations THE FOLLOWING DISCUSSION SHOULD BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND NOTES THERETO INCLUDED ELSEWHERE IN THIS FORM 10-Q. THE FOLLOWING DISCUSSION CONTAINS FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS THAT INVOLVE RISKS AND

UNCERTAINTIES. WE USE WORDS SUCH AS "ANTICIPATES," "BELIEVES," "PLANS," "EXPECTS," "FUTURE," "INTENDS," "MAY," "WILL," "SHOULD," "ESTIMATES," "PREDICTS," "PROJECTS," "POTENTIAL," "CONTINUE" AND SIMILAR EXPRESSIONS TO IDENTIFY FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS. OUR ACTUAL RESULTS COULD DIFFER MATERIALLY FROM THE RESULTS CONTEMPLATED BY THESE FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS DUE TO CERTAIN FACTORS, INCLUDING THOSE DISCUSSED BELOW IN "FACTORS THAT MAY AFFECT FUTURE RESULTS" IN THIS FORM 10-Q.

Overview On March 2, 1999, Hewlett-Packard announced a plan to create a separate company, subsequently named Agilent Technologies, Inc. (Agilent), that comprised Hewlett-Packard's test and measurement, semiconductor products, healthcare solutions and chemical analysis businesses, related portions of Hewlett-Packard Laboratories, and associated infrastructure. We were incorporated in Delaware in May 1999 as a wholly-owned subsidiary of Hewlett-Packard. Our businesses historically were operated as internal units of Hewlett-Packard. In November 1999, Hewlett-Packard transferred to us a majority of the assets and liabilities relating to our businesses and also provided us with cash funding of approximately \$1.1 billion. Hewlett-Packard retained some of our assets and liabilities including some of our accounts receivable and accounts payable, accrued payroll and related items and taxes payable, except deferred taxes, and transferred to us some of the assets and liabilities related to its business, including some of the accounts receivable, accounts payable and other liabilities of Hewlett-Packard Japan. In addition, Hewlett-Packard transferred to us \$521 million to fund our acquisition of Yokogawa Electric Company's 25% minority equity ownership of Hewlett-Packard Japan. In December 1999, Hewlett-Packard provided us with cash funding of approximately \$200 million based on our and Hewlett-Packard's balance sheets as of October 31, 1999. After the completion of our initial public offering in November 1999, Hewlett-Packard owned approximately 84.1% of our outstanding common stock. On April 7, 2000, Hewlett-Packard announced that its board of directors had declared a stock dividend of all of Hewlett-Packard's shares in Agilent. The dividend was distributed on June 2, 2000, to Hewlett-Packard shareholders of record as of 5 p.m. Eastern Daylight Time on May 2, 2000. The distribution was on the basis of 0.3814 of an Agilent share for each Hewlett-Packard common share outstanding. 17

Hewlett-Packard and we have entered into various agreements related to certain ongoing relationships between the companies. For a brief description of these agreements, see Note 8 of Item 1. In addition, we have entered into agreements with Hewlett-Packard under which Hewlett-Packard will provide services to us during a transition period which began November 1, 1999. The agreements relate primarily to information technology, finance, accounting, and building services. Under these agreements, we generally reimburse Hewlett-Packard for its cost of the service plus 5%. The transition period varies depending on the agreement but is generally less than two years. Some of the agreements, including those for building services and information technology services, may be extended beyond the initial transition period. If these agreements are extended, we will reimburse Hewlett-Packard at its cost plus 10% for information technology services and most other services and at negotiated market rates for building services. The agreements do not necessarily reflect the costs of obtaining the services from unrelated third parties or of our providing the applicable services ourselves. However, we believe that purchasing these services from Hewlett-Packard provides us with an efficient means of obtaining these services during the transition period. In addition, we provide some transition services to Hewlett-Packard, for which we are reimbursed at our cost plus 5%.

Basis of Presentation The financial information presented in this Form 10-Q is not indicative of our consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows in the future nor is it necessarily indicative of what our consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows would have been had we been a separate, stand-alone entity for the 1999 periods presented. The 1999 financial information presented in this Form 10-Q does not reflect the many significant changes that occurred in our funding and operations as a result of our becoming a stand-alone entity and our initial public offering.

Cyclical Business Several significant industries and markets into which we sell our products and services are cyclical, causing a corresponding impact on our financial results. Shifts in the semiconductor market, electronics industry and computer industry, as well as rapidly shifting global economic conditions, have had significant impacts on our businesses. Our revenue and operating results for the three and six months ended April 30, 2000 compared to the corresponding periods in 1999 have improved as a result of an upturn in the semiconductor industry. Additionally, as a capital equipment provider, our revenue is driven by the capital expenditure budgets and spending patterns of our customers who often delay or accelerate purchases in reaction to variations in their business. For instance, increasing pressure on hospitals from the balanced-budget amendment in the United States has slowed capital purchasing of our hospital customers. We expect some portions of our businesses to remain cyclical in the future. Given that a high proportion of our costs are fixed, variability in revenue as a result of these business cycles could disproportionately affect our quarterly and annual operating results.

Economic Conditions in Asia Our revenue and operating results for the three months and six months ended April 30, 2000 compared to the corresponding periods in 1999 have improved in part as a result of the upturn in Asian economies.

Impact of Foreign Currencies We sell our products in many countries and a substantial portion of our sales and a portion of our costs and expenses are denominated in foreign currencies, especially the Japanese yen and the Euro which was introduced on January 1, 1999 to replace 11 European national currencies. In 1999, our currency exposures were hedged as part of Hewlett-Packard's global hedging program, which was designed to minimize exposure to foreign currency fluctuations. We implemented a similar hedging program upon our separation from Hewlett-Packard in November 1999.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements In accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 115, "Accounting for Certain Investments in Debt and Equity Securities," we recorded \$4 million of accumulated other comprehensive losses to stockholders' equity in the six months ended April 30, 2000. Prior period financial statements were not materially impacted by the statement. In June 1998, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 133, "Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities." This statement establishes accounting and reporting standards for derivative instruments and requires recognition of all derivatives as assets or liabilities in our balance sheet and measurement of those instruments at fair value. The statement is effective for years beginning after June 15, 2000. We will adopt the standard no later than the first quarter of our fiscal year 2001 and we are in the process of determining the impact that adoption will have on our consolidated financial statements. In December 1999, the Securities and Exchange Commission issued Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 101, "Revenue Recognition in Financial Statements." The Staff Accounting Bulletin is effective no later than the first quarter of our fiscal year 2001. We are in the process of determining the impact that adoption will have on our consolidated financial statements.

19 Results of Operations Our results of operations for the three and six months ended April 30, 2000 and 1999 in dollars and as a percentage of total net revenue follow:

Three Months Ended April 30, ----

----- As a Percentage of

Total Net Revenue Dollars -----

----- 2000 1999 2000

1999 ----- (in
millions) Net revenue:

Products.....

\$2,155 \$1,714 86.7 85.3 Services

and other..... 330

296 13.3 14.7

----- Total net

revenue..... 2,485

2,010 100.0 100.0

----- Costs

and expenses: Cost of

products.....

1,052 846 42.3 42.1 Cost of

services and other.....

209 173 8.4 8.6 Research and

development..... 296

241 11.9 12.0 Selling, general and

administrative..... 714 510

28.8 25.4

----- Total costs and

expenses..... 2,271

1,770 91.4 88.1

----- Earnings

from operations.....

214 240 8.6 11.9 Other income

(expense), net..... 42 2

1.7 0.1

----- Earnings before

taxes..... 256 242

10.3 12.0 Provision for

taxes..... 90 85

3.6 4.2

----- Net

earnings.....

\$166 \$157 6.7 7.8

=====

===== Cost

of products as a percentage of

products

revenue.....

48.8 49.4 Cost of services as a

percentage of services

revenue.....

63.3 58.4

Six Months Ended April 30, -----

----- As a Percentage of
Total Net Revenue Dollars -----
----- 2000 1999 2000

1999 ----- (in
millions) Net revenue:

Products.....

\$4,095 \$3,217 86.6 84.7 Services

and other..... 636

579 13.4 15.3 -----

----- Total net

revenue..... 4,731

3,796 100.0 100.0 -----

----- Costs

and expenses: Cost of

products.....

2,028 1,655 42.9 43.6 Cost of

services and other.....

393 338 8.3 8.9 Research and

development..... 586

463 12.4 12.2 Selling, general and

administrative..... 1,339 999

28.3 26.3 -----

----- Total costs and

expenses..... 4,346

3,455 91.9 91.0 -----

----- Earnings

from operations.....

385 341 8.1 9.0 Other income

(expense), net..... 73

15 1.6 0.4 -----

----- Earnings before

taxes..... 458 356

9.7 9.4 Provision for

taxes..... 161

125 3.4 3.3 -----

----- Net

earnings.....

\$297 \$231 6.3 6.1

----- Cost

of products as a percentage of

products

revenue.....

49.5 51.4 Cost of services as a

percentage of services

revenue.....

61.8 58.4

Certain amounts in the condensed consolidated statements of operations for the three and six months ended April 30, 1999 have been reclassified to conform to the current period's presentation. 20 NET REVENUE Total net revenue increased 23.6 percent to \$2.5 billion and 24.6 percent to \$4.7 billion in the three and six months ended April 30, 2000, respectively, compared to \$2.0 billion and \$3.8 billion in the same periods in 1999. The increases were the result of a number of factors, including continued growth in revenue from the communications and electronics markets as well as robust demand in the semiconductor businesses. In addition, improvements in economic conditions in Asia continued to contribute to overall revenue growth. In the three months ended April 30, 2000, the increase was partially offset by a decline in revenue in our healthcare solutions business and no growth in revenue in our chemical analysis business. United States revenue increased 17.1 percent to \$1.0 billion and 20.1 percent to \$2.0 billion in the three and six months ended April 30, 2000, respectively, compared to \$888 million and \$1.7 billion in the same periods in 1999. International revenue increased 28.8 percent to \$1.5 billion and 28.2 percent to \$2.7 billion in the three and six months ended April 30, 2000, respectively, compared to \$1.1 billion and \$2.1 billion in the same periods in 1999. The higher net revenue growth in the international arena was primarily attributable to improved economic conditions in Asia, particularly Japan and Korea. There was minimal currency impact on net revenue growth in the three and six months ended April 30, 2000. In the three months ended April 30, 2000, revenue from products increased 25.7 percent while revenue from services increased

11.5 percent, compared to the same period in 1999. In the first six months of 2000, revenue from products increased 27.3 percent while revenue from services increased 9.8 percent, compared to the same period in 1999. The higher product revenue growth was primarily due to the growing communications market, a strengthening of the semiconductor industry and improved economic conditions in Asia. Generally, service revenue growth follows behind product revenue as our installed base of products increases. Demand for our products and services in the communications and electronics markets has continued to be strong in the three months ended April 30, 2000. This increase in demand has continued to put pressure on our manufacturing capacity in the three months ended April 30, 2000, particularly on products for the wireless and fiber optic markets. We are continuing to work on many fronts to boost capacity to meet this demand but we may experience additional capacity constraints or parts shortages in the future if demand continues to exceed our expectations. We currently project our net revenue for fiscal 2000 will be \$10.3 billion, representing a year-over-year growth of 24 percent.

EARNINGS FROM OPERATIONS 21 Earnings from operations decreased 10.8 percent to \$214 million and increased 12.9 percent to \$385 million in the three and six months ended April 30, 2000, respectively, compared to \$240 million and \$341 million in the same periods in 1999. The decrease in the three months ended April 30, 2000 was primarily due to costs and expenses related to branding and operating on our own as well as weaker gross margin contribution from our healthcare solutions and chemical analysis businesses. The increase in the six months ended April 30, 2000 was primarily due to strong results in the test and measurement and semiconductor businesses, partially offset by the performance of our healthcare solutions business, planned investments in life sciences, as well as on-going costs associated with operating on our own. Cost of products and services, as a percentage of net revenue, remained constant at 50.7 percent in the three months ended April 30, 2000, compared to the same period in 1999. Cost of products and services, as a percentage of net revenue, decreased 1.3 percentage points, to 51.2 percent, in the six months ended April 30, 2000, compared to 52.5 percent in the same period in 1999. The flat cost of products and services, as a percentage of revenue, in the three months ended April 30, 2000 resulted from lower costs as a percentage of revenue in our semiconductor and test and measurement businesses partially offset by higher costs as a percentage of revenue in our healthcare solutions and chemical analysis businesses. The decrease in the six months ended April 30, 2000 was primarily attributable to higher volumes and a more profitable product mix within the test and measurement and semiconductor businesses. Operating expenses as a percentage of net revenue increased 3.3 percentage points to 40.7 percent and 2.2 percentage points to 40.7 percent in the three and six months ended April 30, 2000, respectively, compared to 37.4 percent and 38.5 percent in the same periods in 1999. The increases were primarily due to higher infrastructure costs related to operating on our own as well as higher marketing costs including branding expenses. Research and development expenses increased 22.8 percent and 26.6 percent in the three and six months ended April 30, 2000, respectively, compared to the same periods in 1999. The increases reflect ongoing investments in developing new products and new technologies. Selling, general and administrative expenses increased 40.0 percent and 34.0 percent in the three and six months ended April 30, 2000, respectively, compared to the same periods in 1999. The increases were primarily due to higher infrastructure costs related to operating on our own as well as higher marketing costs including branding expenses. Costs related to our operating as a separate, stand-alone entity include significant incremental expenditures. We expect operating expenses for the remainder of 2000 compared to 1999, primarily infrastructure costs and branding expenses, to increase as a result of our stand-alone operations. We also expect that annual net earnings will be a bit over 6 percent of net revenue in 2000.

22 OTHER INCOME (EXPENSE), NET Other income (expense), net increased \$40 million to income of \$42 million and increased \$58 million to income of \$73 million in the three and six months ended April 30, 2000, respectively. The increases were primarily due to approximately \$25 million of gains on sales of equity investments that no longer supported our business strategies and interest income earned on the initial cash funding received from Hewlett-Packard.

PROVISION FOR TAXES Our effective tax rate was 35.0 percent in the three and six months ended April 30, 2000 and 1999. The rate is based on estimates of our earnings before taxes in the various tax jurisdictions in which we operate throughout the world. While changes in our mix of earnings before taxes in these tax jurisdictions can cause our effective tax rate to fluctuate, we currently expect our effective tax rate to remain at 35.0 percent throughout 2000.

TEST AND MEASUREMENT

Three Months Ended April 30, ----	
----- 2000 1999	
---- (dollars in millions) Net	
revenue.....	
\$1,385 \$964 Earnings from	
operations.....	193
86 As a percentage of net	
revenue.....	13.9% 8.9%
Six Months Ended April 30, -----	
----- 2000 1999 --	
-- (dollars in millions) Net	
revenue.....	
\$2,546 \$1,853 Earnings from	
operations.....	317
151 As a percentage of net	
revenue.....	12.5% 8.2%

NET REVENUE Net revenue from our test and measurement business increased 43.7 percent to \$1.4 billion and 37.4 percent to \$2.5 billion in the three and six months ended April 30, 2000, respectively, compared to \$1.0 billion and \$1.9 billion in the same periods in 1999. The increases were attributable to extraordinary growth in the optical, wireless and transmission test businesses as well as in the semiconductor test system business. This growth rate was affected in part by a comparison with the year-ago first half that was negatively impacted by weakness in the semiconductor industry and the Asian markets. Revenue growth was also extremely strong for products and systems 23 that enable our customers to design and develop next-generation communications networks, deploy new technologies and services as well as manage and optimize existing networks. In the three months ended April 30, 2000, our net revenue from products increased 42.5 percent while our net revenue from services increased 17.6 percent, compared to the same period in 1999. In the six months ended April 30, 2000, our net revenue from products increased 39.3 percent while our net revenue from

services increased 12.6 percent, compared to the same period in 1999. The higher product revenue growth was primarily due to the growing communications market and the improved economic conditions in Asia. Generally, service revenue growth follows product revenue as our installed base of products increases. EARNINGS FROM OPERATIONS Earnings from operations from our test and measurement business increased 124.4 percent to \$193 million and 109.9 percent to \$317 million in the three and six months ended April 30, 2000, respectively, compared to \$86 million and \$151 million in the same periods in 1999. The increases resulted from increased revenue, lower cost of products and services as a percentage of revenue, as well as lower operating expenses as a percentage of revenue. Cost of products and services as a percentage of net revenue decreased 1.8 percentage points and 2 percentage points in the three and six months ended April 30, 2000, respectively, as compared to the same periods in 1999. The decreases were substantially attributable to higher volumes and a more profitable product mix, primarily in wireless communications products and automated test equipment. To a lesser extent, diminished pricing pressure contributed to the decrease, which was partially offset by the use of other equipment manufacturers products as a strategy to meet customer demands. Operating expenses as a percentage of net revenue decreased 3.2 percentage points and 2.2 percentage points in the three and six months ended April 30, 2000, respectively, compared to the same periods of 1999. The decreases were due to higher net revenue partially offset by higher expenses. Research and development expense increased 19.7 percent and 22.3 percent in the three and six months ended April 30, 2000, respectively, compared to the same periods in 1999. The increases reflect our continuing investments in new product development such as new Flash memory and router testers. Selling, general and administrative expense increased 39.7 percent and 34.3 percent in the three and six months ended April 30, 2000, respectively, compared to the same periods in 1999. The increases were primarily due to higher infrastructure costs related to operating on our own as well as higher marketing costs including branding expenses. 24

SEMICONDUCTOR PRODUCTS

Three Months Ended April 30, ----

----- 2000 1999

---- (dollars in millions) Net
revenue.....

\$497 \$408 Earnings from
operations..... 58

56 As a percentage of net
revenue..... 11.7% 13.7%

Six Months Ended April 30, -----

----- 2000 1999 --

-- (dollars in millions) Net
revenue.....

\$944 \$773 Earnings from
operations..... 89

65 As a percentage of net
revenue..... 9.4% 8.4%

NET REVENUE Net revenue from our semiconductor products business increased 21.8 percent to \$497 million and 22.1 percent to \$944 million in the three and six months ended April 30, 2000, respectively, compared to \$408 million and \$773 million in the same periods in 1999. Net revenue growth was primarily driven by strong growth in all semiconductor products except ASICs, where growth was affected by the planned phaseout of microprocessor sales and temporary supply chain adjustments by Hewlett-Packard in the three months ended January 31, 2000. High speed networking products, mobile communications products and digital imaging products achieved strong growth during the three and six months ended April 30, 2000. In addition, fiber optics components and RF/wireless products had strong demand as well. As a percentage of net revenue for the semiconductor products business, revenue from sales to Hewlett-Packard, consisting of primarily ASICs and motion control products, was 28.4 percent and 29.0 percent for the three and six months ended April 30, 2000, respectively, compared to 35.3 percent and 36.9 percent for the same periods in 1999. In the three months ended April 30, 2000, we expanded our existing joint venture relationship with Royal Philips Electronics, N.V. and transferred a portion of our light-emitting diode (LED) business into the joint venture. LEDs are used for various lighting and display purposes. Since we do not have a majority ownership interest in the joint venture, the revenue, costs and expenses of the business transferred to the joint venture are no longer consolidated in our results. Instead, we record our portion of the joint venture's net earnings or loss in other income (expense), net, which in the first half of 2000, was minimal. Adjusting the 1999 base for revenues relating to the LED business, net revenue growth would be 27.1 percent and 27.2 percent for the three and six months ended April 30, 2000, respectively. EARNINGS FROM OPERATIONS Earnings from operations from our semiconductor products business increased 3.6 percent to \$58 million and 36.9 percent to \$89 million in the three and six months ended April 30, 2000, respectively, compared to \$56 million and \$65 million in the same periods in 1999. The increases resulted from higher net revenue and lower cost of products as a percentage of net revenue, partially offset by higher operating expenses. Cost of products as a percentage of net revenue decreased 2.1 percentage points and 4.2 percentage points in the three and six months ended April 30, 2000, respectively, compared to the same periods in 1999. The decreases were primarily driven by increased volumes and a more favorable product mix. In addition, the transfer of a portion of our LED business to the joint venture in the three months ended April 30, 2000 contributed to the decrease. 25 Operating expenses as a percentage of net revenue increased 4.2 percentage points and 3.2 percentage points in the three and six months ended April 30, 2000, respectively, compared to the same periods in 1999. The increase was primarily due to increased research and development investment and infrastructure costs related to operating on our own. Research and development expense increased 29.4 percent and 30.2 percent in the three and six months ended April 30, 2000, respectively, compared to the same periods in 1999. The increases reflect increased investments in the fast-growing fiber optics, high-speed networking, and image and position sensor businesses. Selling, general and administrative expenses increased 56.0 percent and 45.0 percent in the three and six months ended April 30, 2000, respectively, compared to the same periods in 1999. The increases were primarily due to higher infrastructure costs related to operating on our own as well as higher marketing costs including branding expenses. HEALTHCARE SOLUTIONS

Three Months Ended April 30, ----

----- 2000
1999 ---- (dollars in millions)
Net
revenue.....
\$343 \$377 Earnings (loss) from
operations..... (30) 50
As a percentage of
revenue..... (8.7)%
13.3%

Six Months Ended April 30, -----

----- 2000 1999 -
--- ---- (dollars in millions) Net
revenue.....
\$738 \$671 Earnings (loss) from
operations..... (13) 45
As a percentage of
revenue..... (1.8)%
6.7%

NET REVENUE Net revenue from our healthcare solutions business decreased 9.0 percent to \$343 million and increased 10.0 percent to \$738 million in the three and six months ended April 30, 2000, respectively, compared to \$377 million and \$671 million in the same periods in 1999. The decrease in the three months ended April 30, 2000 was mainly due to a strong prior year comparison related to a transition to a new enterprise resource planning system in the three months ended January 31, 1999, which contributed to the delay of product shipments into the three months ended April 30, 1999. In addition, the decrease of revenue in the three months ended April 30, 2000 was exacerbated by a slow-down in capital expenditure by hospitals, mainly in the United States. In the three months ended April 30, 2000, our net revenue from products decreased 12.9 percent while our net revenue from services increased 8.8 percent compared to the same period in 1999. In the first six months of 2000, our net revenue from products increased 9.4 percent while our net revenue from 26 services increased 12.5 percent, compared to the same period in 1999. Service revenue growth follows product revenue based on our installed base of products. Our overall anticipated revenue growth for the remainder of 2000 is low to mid single digits.

EARNINGS (LOSS) FROM OPERATIONS The loss from operations from our healthcare solutions business was \$30 million and \$13 million in the three and six months ended April 30, 2000, respectively, compared to earnings from operations of \$50 million and \$45 million in the same periods in 1999. The decline in earnings from operations in the three months ended April 30, 2000 was due to disappointing net revenue as well as higher costs and expenses. The decline in earnings from operations in the six months ended April 30, 2000 was primarily due to higher costs and expenses. Cost of products and services as a percentage of net revenue increased by 9.7 percentage points and 3.6 percentage points in the three and six months ended April 30, 2000, respectively, compared to the same periods in 1999. The increase in the three months ended April 30, 2000 was primarily attributable to lower net revenue. The increase in the six months ended April 30, 2000 was primarily due to an unfavorable product mix. Operating expenses as a percentage of net revenue increased 12.2 percentage points and 5.0 percentage points in the three and six months ended April 30, 2000, respectively, compared to the same periods in 1999. The increases were primarily due to increased research and development investments and higher infrastructure costs and branding expenses related to operating on our own. Research and development expense increased 11.8 percent and 21.2 percent in the three and six months ended April 30, 2000, respectively, compared to the same periods in 1999. The increases were largely a result of our on-going development projects including the new automatic external defibrillator. Selling, general and administrative expenses increased 26.3 percent and 24.8 percent in the three and six months ended April 30, 2000, respectively, compared to the same periods in 1999. The increases were primarily due to higher infrastructure costs and branding expenses related to operating on our own. 27 **CHEMICAL ANALYSIS**

Three Months Ended April 30, -----

----- 2000 1999 ----
-- (dollars in millions) Net
revenue.....
\$260 \$261 Earnings from
operations..... 1 35
As a percentage of
revenue..... 0.4%
13.4%

Six Months Ended April 30, -----

----- 2000 1999 ----
(dollars in millions) Net
revenue.....
\$503 \$499 Earnings from
operations..... 14
63 As a percentage of
revenue..... 2.8%
12.6%

NET REVENUE Net revenue from our chemical analysis business decreased 0.4 percent to \$260 million and increased 0.8 percent to \$503 million in

the three and six months ended April 30, 2000, respectively, compared to \$261 million and \$499 million in the same periods in 1999. The flat revenue growth was due to slower sales in some mature markets as well as a difficult comparison to a strong three and six months ended April 30, 1999 where we had unusually strong sales due to a promotional campaign. Service revenue was also flat in the three and six months ended April 30, 2000, compared to the same periods in 1999. **EARNINGS FROM OPERATIONS** Earnings from operations from our chemical analysis business decreased 97.1 percent to \$1 million and 77.8 percent to \$14 million in the three and six months ended April 30, 2000, respectively, compared to \$35 million and \$63 million in the same periods in 1999. The decreases were primarily due to higher infrastructure costs and branding expenses related to the costs of operating on our own as well as planned investments in life sciences to launch new products. Cost of products and services as a percentage of net revenue increased by 2.5 percentage points and 0.2 percentage points for the three and six months ended April 30, 2000, respectively, compared to the same periods in 1999. The increase in the three months ended April 30, 2000 was primarily due to certain increased inventory parts costs. Operating expenses as a percentage of net revenue increased 10.5 percentage points and 9.6 percentage points in the three and six months ended April 30, 2000, respectively, compared to the same periods of 1999. The increases resulted primarily from increased life science investments and higher infrastructure costs and branding expenses related to operating on our own. Research and development expense increased 28.6 percent and 36.6 percent in the three and six months ended April 30, 2000, respectively, compared to the same periods in 1999. The 28 increases reflect increased new product development programs in life science products. Selling, general and administrative expense increased 30.0 percent and 26.3 percent in the three and six months ended April 30, 2000, respectively, compared to the same periods in 1999. The increases were primarily due to higher infrastructure costs and branding expenses related to operating on our own. **FINANCIAL CONDITION LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES** We believe that the Company's financial position remains strong, with cash and cash equivalents and short-term investments of approximately \$1.0 billion at April 30, 2000. Prior to November 1, 1999, cash receipts associated with our businesses were transferred to Hewlett-Packard on a daily basis and Hewlett-Packard provided funds to cover our disbursements. Accordingly, we reported no cash or cash equivalents at October 31, 1999. In accordance with our separation agreement with Hewlett-Packard, as of November 1, 1999, Hewlett-Packard retained some of our assets and liabilities and Hewlett-Packard transferred to us some of the assets and liabilities related to its business. In November and December 1999, Hewlett-Packard made cash payments to us totaling \$1.3 billion to fund our working capital and other needs of our operations as a separate, stand-alone entity. In addition, Hewlett-Packard transferred approximately \$0.5 billion to fund our acquisition of Yokogawa's 25% minority equity ownership of Hewlett-Packard Japan. The net proceeds of our initial public offering of \$2.1 billion were received in November 1999 and immediately distributed to Hewlett-Packard as a dividend. Of the total \$1.8 billion received from Hewlett-Packard, \$1.1 billion was classified as net cash provided by financing activities and \$0.7 billion was classified among several categories as net cash provided by operating activities in the condensed consolidated statement of cash flows for the six months ended April 30, 2000. We generated cash flows from operations of \$346 million during the six months ended April 30, 2000, compared to \$223 million for the corresponding period of 1999. The increase in cash flows from operating activities in the six months ended April 30, 2000 was attributed in part to higher net earnings before non-cash charges for depreciation and amortization. In addition, the increase in cash from operations for the six months ended April 30, 2000 resulted from an increase in other current liabilities. Net cash used for investing activities in the six months ended April 30, 2000 was \$549 million, compared to \$132 million for the corresponding period of 1999. The increase in investing activity was primarily due to our first and second payments for the acquisition of Yokogawa's 25% minority equity ownership of Hewlett-Packard Japan. Our liquidity is affected by many factors, some of which are based on the normal ongoing operations of our businesses and some of which arise from uncertainties related to global economies. We believe that the cash funding we received from Hewlett-Packard together with cash generated from operations and our unused lines of credit, which total \$500 million, will be sufficient to satisfy our working capital, capital expenditure and research and development requirements for the foreseeable future. However, we may require or choose to obtain additional debt or equity financing in the future. We cannot be assured that additional financing, if needed, will be available on favorable terms. **FACTORS THAT MAY AFFECT FUTURE RESULTS IF WE DO NOT INTRODUCE NEW PRODUCTS AND SERVICES IN A TIMELY MANNER, OUR PRODUCTS AND SERVICES WILL BECOME OBSOLETE, AND OUR OPERATING RESULTS WILL SUFFER.** We sell our products in several industries that are characterized by rapid technological changes, frequent new product and service introductions and evolving industry standards. Without the timely introduction of new products, services and enhancements, our products and services will likely become technologically obsolete over time, in which case our revenue and operating results would suffer. The success of our new product and service offerings will depend on several factors, including our ability to: — properly identify customer needs; — price our products competitively; — innovate and develop new technologies and applications; — successfully commercialize new technologies in a timely manner; — manufacture and deliver our products in sufficient volumes on time; and — differentiate our offerings from our competitors' offerings. Many of our products are used by our customers to develop, test and manufacture their new products. We therefore must anticipate industry trends and develop products in advance of the commercialization of our customers' products. Development of new products generally requires a substantial investment before we can determine the commercial viability of these innovations. Our other businesses will encounter similar challenges. In our healthcare business, new technologies that we develop may not be quickly accepted because of industry-specific factors such as the need for regulatory clearance, entrenched patterns of clinical practice, uncertainty over third-party reimbursement and clinicians' fears of malpractice suits. We would suffer competitive harm if we dedicate a significant amount of resources to the development of products and technologies that do not achieve broad market acceptance. **IF DEMAND FOR OUR PRODUCTS EXCEEDS OUR MANUFACTURING CAPACITY, OUR REVENUES MAY SUFFER.** Demand for our products has put increased pressure on our manufacturing capacity, especially in the wireless and fiber optic areas. If we are not able to increase our manufacturing capacity in the time necessary to meet demand, if we experience difficulties in obtaining parts or components needed for manufacturing, or if demand exceeds our expectations, we may experience insufficient manufacturing capacity. If our manufacturing capacity does not keep pace with product demand, we will not be able to fulfill orders in a timely manner which in turn may have a negative effect on our revenues and overall business. **ECONOMIC, POLITICAL AND OTHER RISKS ASSOCIATED WITH INTERNATIONAL SALES AND OPERATIONS, PARTICULARLY IN KOREA AND JAPAN, COULD ADVERSELY AFFECT OUR SALES.** Since we sell our products worldwide, our businesses are subject to risks associated with doing business internationally. We anticipate that revenue from international operations will continue to represent a substantial portion of our total revenue. In addition, many of our manufacturing facilities and suppliers are located outside the United States. Accordingly, our future results could be harmed by a variety of factors, including: — changes in foreign currency exchange rates; — changes in a specific country's or region's political or economic conditions, particularly

in emerging markets;— trade protection measures and import or export licensing requirements;— potentially negative consequences from changes in tax laws;— difficulty in staffing and managing widespread operations;— differing labor regulations;— differing protection of intellectual property; and— unexpected changes in regulatory requirements. We do a substantial portion of our businesses in Korea and Japan, which have been subject to increased economic instability in recent years. Our businesses declined in 1998 when Korea and Japan experienced economic difficulties. The recurrence of weakness in these economies or weakness in other international economies could have a significant negative effect on our future operating results. **FLUCTUATIONS IN OUR QUARTERLY OPERATING RESULTS MAY CAUSE OUR STOCK PRICE TO DECLINE.** Given the nature of the markets in which we participate, we cannot reliably predict future revenue and profitability, and unexpected changes may cause us to adjust our operations. A high proportion of our costs are fixed, due in part to our significant sales, research and development and manufacturing costs. Thus, relatively small declines in revenue could disproportionately affect our operating results in a quarter. For example, when our revenue declined in the second half of 1998 as a result of the financial crisis in Asia, it caused significant negative fluctuations in our operating results. 31 Other factors that could affect our quarterly operating results include:— demand for and market acceptance of our products;— competitive pressures resulting in lower selling prices;— adverse changes in the level of economic activity in the United States and other major regions in which we do business;— adverse changes in industries, such as semiconductors and electronics, on which we are particularly dependent;— changes in the relative portion of our revenue represented by our various products and customers;— unanticipated delays or problems in the introduction of new products;— our competitors' announcements of new products, services or technological innovations;— increased costs of raw materials or supplies;— changes in the timing of product orders; and— our inability to forecast revenue in a given quarter from large system sales. **THE CURRENT TECHNOLOGY LABOR MARKET IS VERY COMPETITIVE, AND OUR BUSINESSES WILL SUFFER IF WE ARE NOT ABLE TO HIRE AND RETAIN SUFFICIENT PERSONNEL.** Our future success depends partly on the continued service of our key research, engineering, sales, marketing, manufacturing, executive and administrative personnel. If we fail to retain and hire a sufficient number of these personnel, we will not be able to maintain and expand our businesses. Competition for qualified personnel in the technology area is intense, and we operate in several geographic locations where labor markets are particularly competitive, including the Silicon Valley region of Northern California where our headquarters and central research and development laboratories are located. Although we believe we offer competitive salaries and benefits, certain of our businesses have had to increase spending in order to retain personnel. We also believe we have benefited from Hewlett-Packard's name and reputation as an employer in the past. To the extent we do not obtain similar popular recognition, our ability to attract and retain personnel could be harmed. **OUR OPERATING RESULTS COULD BE HARMED IF THE INDUSTRIES INTO WHICH WE SELL OUR PRODUCTS ARE IN DOWNWARD CYCLES.** 32 Several significant industries and markets into which we sell our products are cyclical. For example, in 1998 the operating results of our test and measurement and semiconductor products businesses were harmed by downturns in the semiconductor market. From time to time, the electronics industry has also experienced significant downturns, often in connection with, or in anticipation of, maturing product cycles and declines in general economic conditions. In addition, the computer industry is subject to seasonal and cyclical fluctuations in demand for its products. These industry downturns have been characterized by diminished product demand, excess manufacturing capacity and the subsequent accelerated erosion of average selling prices. In addition, the healthcare industry has experienced a significant increase in cost pressures resulting from hospital consolidation and the trend by insurance companies to reduce payments to healthcare providers. Any significant downturn in our customers' markets or in general economic conditions would likely result in a reduction in demand for our products and services and could harm our businesses. **AS A SEPARATE COMPANY FROM HEWLETT-PACKARD, WE MAY EXPERIENCE INCREASED COSTS RESULTING FROM DECREASED PURCHASING POWER WHICH COULD DECREASE OUR PROFITABILITY.** Prior to our separation from Hewlett-Packard, our businesses were able to take advantage of Hewlett-Packard's size and purchasing power in procuring goods, services and technology, such as computer software licenses. As a separate, stand-alone entity, we may be unable to obtain goods, services and technology at prices and on terms as favorable as those we obtained prior to the separation. **OUR SEMICONDUCTOR TECHNOLOGY LICENSING AND SUPPLY ARRANGEMENTS WITH HEWLETT-PACKARD LIMIT OUR ABILITY TO SELL TO OTHER COMPANIES AND COULD RESTRICT OUR ABILITY TO EXPAND OUR BUSINESSES.** We do not have a license under Hewlett-Packard's patents, patent applications and invention disclosures for, with some exceptions, inkjet products, printer products (including printer supplies, accessories and components), document scanners and computing products. In addition, our ICBT Technology Ownership and License Agreement, which generally covers integrated circuit technology that is used in integrated circuits for Hewlett-Packard's printers, scanners and computers, provides that for a period of three years in some cases and 10 years in other cases we are prohibited, with some exceptions, from using this integrated circuit technology for the development and sale of integrated circuits for use in inkjet products, printer products (including printer supplies, accessories and components), document scanners and computing products to third parties other than Hewlett-Packard. 33 Although we have entered into a supply agreement for the sale to Hewlett-Packard of these kinds of integrated circuits, the supply agreement does not require Hewlett-Packard to purchase a minimum amount of product from us. In the event that Hewlett-Packard reduces its purchase of our integrated circuits, we would be unable to address this reduction through sales of these kinds of integrated circuits for these types of products to other customers. **IF DEMAND FOR HEWLETT-PACKARD'S PRINTER, WORKSTATION AND SERVER PRODUCTS DECLINES, OR IF HEWLETT-PACKARD CHOOSES A DIFFERENT SUPPLIER, OUR SEMICONDUCTOR PRODUCTS BUSINESS REVENUE WILL DECLINE SIGNIFICANTLY.** Historically, our semiconductor products business has sold products to Hewlett-Packard and have engaged in product development efforts with divisions of Hewlett-Packard. For the three and six months ended April 30, 2000, Hewlett-Packard accounted for 6.3% and 6.3% of our total net revenue and 28.4% and 29.0% of our semiconductor products business' net revenue, respectively. In comparison, for the three and six months ended April 30, 1999, Hewlett-Packard accounted for 9.5% and 10.0% of our total net revenue and 35.3% and 36.9% of our semiconductor products business' net revenue, respectively. **OUR ABILITY TO COMPETE FOR HEWLETT-PACKARD'S BUSINESS MAY SUFFER AS A RESULT OF OUR SEPARATION DUE TO DECREASED ACCESS TO HEWLETT-PACKARD'S RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT STRATEGY, TECHNOLOGY PLANS, FUTURE PRODUCT FEATURES AND PRODUCT SUPPLY NEEDS.** In the past, we have benefited from our access to Hewlett-Packard's research and development strategy, technology plans, future product features and product supply needs in competing for Hewlett-Packard's business. If our competitors were to gain better access to Hewlett-Packard as a result of our separation, our competitors may be able to develop products that better meet the future needs of Hewlett-Packard, decreasing the competitiveness of our products. In addition, we have taken advantage of collaborative relationships with some of Hewlett-Packard's businesses and

we may not continue to enjoy all of the benefits of these collaborative relationships. WE FACE AGGRESSIVE COMPETITION IN ALL AREAS OF OUR BUSINESSES, AND IF WE DO NOT COMPETE EFFECTIVELY, OUR BUSINESSES WILL BE HARMED. We encounter aggressive competition in all areas of our businesses. Our competitors are numerous, ranging from some of the world's largest corporations, such as General Electric Company, International Business Machines Corporation, Lucent Technologies, Inc. and Siemens AG, to many highly specialized firms, such as Anritsu Corporation, PE Biosystems, Teradyne, Inc. and Waters Corporation, as well as many smaller technology startups. We may not be able to compete effectively with all of these competitors. To remain competitive, we will need to develop new products and periodically enhance our existing products in a timely manner. We anticipate that we may have to adjust prices of many of our products to stay competitive, and we will have to manage financial returns effectively. In addition, new competitors may emerge, and entire product lines may be threatened by new technologies or market trends which reduce the value of these product lines. WE MAY FACE SIGNIFICANT COSTS IN ORDER TO COMPLY WITH LAWS AND REGULATIONS IN THE MANUFACTURE, PROCESSING AND DISTRIBUTION OF CHEMICALS, AND, IF WE FAIL TO COMPLY, WE COULD BE SUBJECT TO CIVIL OR CRIMINAL PENALTIES OR BE PROHIBITED FROM DISTRIBUTING OUR PRODUCTS. Some of our chemical analysis business' products are used in conjunction with chemicals whose manufacture, processing and distribution are regulated by the United States Environmental Protection Agency under the Toxic Substances Control Act, and by regulatory bodies in other countries with laws similar to the Toxic Substances Control Act. We must conform the manufacture, processing and distribution of these chemicals to these laws, and adapt to regulatory requirements in all countries as these requirements change. If we fail to comply with these requirements in the manufacture or distribution of our products, then we could be made to pay civil penalties, face criminal prosecution and, in some cases, be prohibited from distributing our products in commerce until the products or component substances are brought into compliance. IF WE FAIL TO MAINTAIN SATISFACTORY COMPLIANCE WITH THE FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION'S REGULATIONS, WE MAY BE FORCED TO RECALL PRODUCTS AND CEASE THEIR MANUFACTURE AND DISTRIBUTION, AND WE COULD BE SUBJECT TO CIVIL OR CRIMINAL PENALTIES. The medical device products produced by our healthcare solutions business are subject to regulation by the United States Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and similar international agencies. Their regulations govern a wide variety of product activities from design and development to labeling, manufacturing, promotion, sales and distribution. For example, we received a warning letter from the FDA in 1996 alleging non-compliance with the FDA's quality system regulations at one of our facilities. The FDA's quality systems regulation includes elaborate design, testing, control, documentation and other quality assurance requirements. We had to apply considerable resources to address the FDA's concerns. We believe we have resolved the issues identified in the FDA's letter and the FDA has concurred with our assessment, but we cannot assure you that the FDA will not identify other areas of noncompliance. If we fail to maintain satisfactory compliance with the FDA's quality system and other regulations, we may have to recall products and cease their manufacture and distribution. In addition, we could be subject to fines or criminal prosecution. In addition, our chemical analysis products are used in the drug design and production processes to test compliance with the Toxic Substances Control Act, the Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act and similar regulations. Therefore, we must continually adapt our chemical analysis products to changing regulations. COST CONTAINMENT MEASURES IN THE HEALTHCARE INDUSTRY AND THE EFFECT OF ANY HEALTHCARE REFORM COULD HARM OUR PROFITABILITY. 35 Our healthcare customers rely on third-party payors, such as government programs and private health insurance plans, to reimburse some or all of the cost of the procedures in which our products are used. The continuing efforts of government, insurance companies and other payors of healthcare costs to contain or reduce those costs could lead our customers to reduce or eliminate purchases of our products. Likewise, legislative proposals to reform healthcare or reduce government programs could result in lower prices for or rejection of our products. The cost containment measures that healthcare providers are instituting and the effects of any healthcare reform, both in the United States and internationally, could harm our ability to operate profitably. ENVIRONMENTAL CONTAMINATION FROM PAST OPERATIONS COULD SUBJECT US TO UNREIMBURSED COSTS AND COULD HARM ON-SITE OPERATIONS AND THE FUTURE USE AND VALUE OF THE PROPERTIES INVOLVED. Some of our properties are undergoing remediation by Hewlett-Packard for known subsurface contamination. Hewlett-Packard has agreed to retain the liability for all known subsurface contamination, perform the required remediation and indemnify us with respect to claims arising out of that contamination. The determination of the existence and cost of any additional contamination caused by us could involve costly and time-consuming negotiations and litigation. In addition, Hewlett-Packard will have access to our properties to perform remediation. While Hewlett-Packard has agreed to minimize interference with on-site operations at those properties, remediation activities and subsurface contamination may require us to incur unreimbursed costs and could harm on-site operations and the future use and value of the properties. We cannot assure you that Hewlett-Packard will fulfill its indemnification or remediation obligations. We are indemnifying Hewlett-Packard for any liability associated with contamination from past operations at all other properties transferred from Hewlett-Packard to us other than those properties currently undergoing remediation by Hewlett-Packard. While we are not aware of any material liabilities associated with existing subsurface contamination at any of those properties, subsurface contamination may exist, and we may be exposed to material liability as a result of the existence of that contamination. ENVIRONMENTAL CONTAMINATION CAUSED BY ONGOING OPERATIONS COULD SUBJECT US TO SUBSTANTIAL LIABILITIES IN THE FUTURE. We are responsible for any contamination to our properties arising out of our operations following the separation. Our semiconductor and other manufacturing processes involve the use of substances regulated under various international, federal, state and local laws governing the environment. We may be subject to liabilities for environmental contamination, and these liabilities may be substantial. Although our policy is to apply strict standards for environmental protection at our sites inside and outside the United States, even if not subject to regulations imposed by foreign governments, we may not be aware of all conditions that could subject us to liability. 36 WE ARE SUBJECT TO LAWS AND REGULATIONS GOVERNING GOVERNMENT CONTRACTS, AND OUR FAILURE TO ADDRESS THESE LAWS AND REGULATIONS OR COMPLY WITH GOVERNMENT CONTRACTS COULD HARM OUR BUSINESSES. We have agreements relating to the sale of our products to government entities and as a result we are subject to various statutes and regulations that apply to companies doing business with the government. The laws governing government contracts differ from the laws governing private contracts. For example, many government contracts contain pricing terms and conditions that are not applicable to private contracts. We are also subject to investigation for compliance with the terms of government contracts. We have received and are complying with formal requests for information by the government regarding our sales of products to some of the government agencies with which we have contracted. Based on our review to date, we have not found that there are any violations of the pertinent laws or regulations relating to these contracts. However, these requests may result in legal proceedings against us or liability.

WE AND OUR CUSTOMERS ARE SUBJECT TO VARIOUS OTHER GOVERNMENTAL REGULATIONS, AND WE MAY INCUR SIGNIFICANT EXPENSES TO COMPLY WITH THESE REGULATIONS AND DEVELOP OUR PRODUCTS TO BE COMPATIBLE WITH THESE REGULATIONS. Several of our product lines are subject to other significant international, federal, state and local, health and safety, packaging, product content and labor regulations. These regulations are complex, change frequently and have tended to become more stringent over time. We may be required to incur significant expenses to comply with these regulations or remedy past violations of these regulations. Any failure by us to comply with applicable government regulations could also result in cessation of portions or all of our operations, impositions of fines and restrictions on our ability to carry on or expand our operations. In addition, because many of our products are regulated or sold into regulated industries, we must comply with additional regulations in marketing our products. Our products and operations are also often subject to the rules of industrial standards bodies, like the International Standards Organization, as well as regulation of other agencies such as the United States Federal Communications Commission. We also must comply with work safety rules. If we fail to adequately address any of these regulations, our businesses will be harmed. THIRD PARTIES MAY CLAIM WE ARE INFRINGING THEIR INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY, AND WE COULD SUFFER SIGNIFICANT LITIGATION OR LICENSING EXPENSES OR BE PREVENTED FROM SELLING PRODUCTS. Third parties may claim that we are infringing their intellectual property rights, and we may be found to infringe those intellectual property rights. While we do not believe that any of our products infringe the valid intellectual property rights of third parties, we may be unaware of intellectual property rights of others that may cover some of our technology, products and services. Moreover, in connection with future intellectual property infringement claims, we will only have the benefit of asserting counterclaims based on Hewlett-Packard's intellectual property portfolio in limited circumstances, and we will only be able to offer licenses to Hewlett-Packard's intellectual property in order to resolve claims in limited circumstances. Any litigation regarding patents or other intellectual property could be costly and time-consuming, and divert our management and key personnel from our business operations. The complexity of the technology involved and the uncertainty of intellectual property litigation increases these risks. Claims of intellectual property infringement might also require us to enter into costly royalty or license agreements. However, we may not be able to obtain royalty or license agreements on terms acceptable to us, or at all. We also may be subject to significant damages or injunctions against development and sale of certain of our products. We often rely on licenses of intellectual property useful for our businesses. We cannot assure you that these licenses will be available in the future on favorable terms or at all. In addition, our position with respect to the negotiation of licenses may change as a result of our separation from Hewlett-Packard. Our patent cross-license agreement with Hewlett-Packard gives us a conditional right to sublicense only a portion of Hewlett-Packard's intellectual property portfolio. As a result, in negotiating patent cross-license agreements with third parties, we may be unable to obtain agreements on terms as favorable as we may have been able to obtain if we could sublicense Hewlett-Packard's entire intellectual property portfolio. THIRD PARTIES MAY INFRINGE OUR INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY, AND WE MAY EXPEND SIGNIFICANT RESOURCES ENFORCING OUR RIGHTS OR SUFFER COMPETITIVE INJURY. Our success depends in large part on our proprietary technology. We rely on a combination of patents, copyrights, trademarks and trade secrets, confidentiality provisions and licensing arrangements to establish and protect our proprietary rights. If we fail to successfully enforce our intellectual property rights, our competitive position could suffer, which could harm our operating results. Our pending patent and trademark registration applications may not be allowed or competitors may challenge the validity or scope of these patents or trademark registrations. In addition, our patents may not provide us a significant competitive advantage. We may be required to spend significant resources to monitor and police our intellectual property rights. We may not be able to detect infringement and may lose competitive position in the market before we do so. In addition, competitors may design around our technology or develop competing technologies. Intellectual property rights may also be unavailable or limited in some foreign countries, which could make it easier for competitors to capture market share. IF OUR FACTORIES OR FACILITIES WERE TO EXPERIENCE CATASTROPHIC LOSS DUE TO EARTHQUAKE, OUR OPERATIONS WOULD BE SERIOUSLY HARMED. Several of our facilities could be subject to a catastrophic loss caused by earthquake due to their location. We have significant facilities in areas with above average seismic activity, such as our production facilities, headquarters and 38 Agilent Laboratories in California and our production facilities in Washington and Japan. If any of these facilities were to experience a catastrophic loss, it could disrupt our operations, delay production, shipments and revenue, and result in large expenses to repair or replace the facility. Agilent self-insures against such losses and does not carry catastrophic insurance policies to cover potential losses resulting from earthquakes. OUR NEW NAME IS NOT YET RECOGNIZED AS A BRAND IN THE MARKETPLACE, AND AS A RESULT OUR PRODUCT SALES COULD SUFFER. The loss of the "Hewlett-Packard" brand name may hinder our ability to establish new relationships. In addition, our current customers, suppliers and partners may react negatively to the separation. In connection with our separation from Hewlett-Packard, we changed the brand name and most of the trademarks and trade names under which we conduct our businesses. This transition to our new name occurred rapidly in the case of some products and will occur over specified periods of time in the case of other products. We believe that sales of our products have benefited from the use of the "Hewlett-Packard" brand name. In addition, although we believe we have all necessary rights to use the new brand name, our rights to use it may be challenged by others. WE CURRENTLY STILL USE SOME OF HEWLETT-PACKARD'S INFORMATION SYSTEMS, AND WE ARE IN THE PROCESS OF DEVELOPING OUR OWN INFORMATION SYSTEMS. We currently use Hewlett-Packard's systems to support a portion of our operations, mainly customer support and networks. We have an agreement with Hewlett-Packard for Hewlett-Packard to continue to provide these information services to us for up to the next eighteen months. During this time period, while we are developing our own systems, we will be dependent on Hewlett-Packard for the provision of these information technology services that are critical to running our businesses. Many of the systems we currently use are proprietary to Hewlett-Packard and are very complex. We are in the process of creating our own information systems to eventually replace Hewlett-Packard's systems. We may not be successful in implementing these systems and transitioning data from Hewlett-Packard's systems to ours. We are currently in the process of selecting and implementing new enterprise resource planning software applications to manage some of our information systems. Our chemical analysis and healthcare solutions businesses have each migrated to new enterprise resource planning software, and each experienced disruptions during the transition process that negatively affected their operating results for the period in which the transition occurred. Any failure or significant downtime in Hewlett-Packard's or our own information systems could prevent us from taking customer orders, shipping products or billing customers and could harm our businesses. THE TRANSITIONAL SERVICES BEING PROVIDED TO US BY HEWLETT-PACKARD MAY NOT BE SUFFICIENT TO MEET OUR NEEDS, AND WE MAY PAY INCREASED COSTS TO REPLACE THESE SERVICES AFTER OUR AGREEMENTS WITH HEWLETT-PACKARD EXPIRE. Hewlett-Packard has agreed to provide certain transitional

services to us, including services related to: — information technology systems; — buildings and facilities; and — finance and accounting. These services may not be provided at the same level as when we were part of Hewlett-Packard, and we may not be able to obtain the same benefits. We also lease and sublease certain office and manufacturing facilities from Hewlett-Packard. These transitional service and leasing arrangements generally have a term of less than two years following the separation. After the expiration of these various arrangements, we may not be able to replace the transitional services or enter into appropriate leases in a timely manner or on terms and conditions, including cost, as favorable as those we receive from Hewlett-Packard. These agreements were made in the context of a parent-subsidiary relationship and were negotiated in the overall context of our separation from Hewlett-Packard. As a result, some of these agreements may have terms and conditions that are less specific than some agreements that are negotiated at arms-length. The prices charged to us under these agreements may be different from the prices that we may be required to pay third parties for similar services or the costs of similar services if we undertake them ourselves. **SUBSTANTIAL SALES OF COMMON STOCK MAY OCCUR IN CONNECTION WITH THE DISTRIBUTION, WHICH COULD CAUSE OUR STOCK PRICE TO DECLINE.** Hewlett-Packard distributed approximately 380,000,000 shares of Agilent common stock to Hewlett-Packard on June 2, 2000. Substantially all of these shares are eligible for immediate resale in the public market. We are unable to predict whether significant amounts of common stock will be sold in the open market or whether there will be a sufficient number of buyers for this stock. 40 Some of our stock is held by institutional stockholders who may not be allowed by their charters to hold the stock of companies that do not pay dividends. Since we currently do not intend to pay dividends, we expect that these stockholders will sell the shares of our common stock distributed to them. Any sales of substantial amounts of common stock in the public market, or the perception that such sales might occur, whether as a result of this distribution or otherwise, could harm the market price of our common stock. **OUR HISTORICAL 1999 FINANCIAL INFORMATION MAY NOT BE REPRESENTATIVE OF OUR RESULTS AS A SEPARATE COMPANY.** The historical 1999 financial information we have included has been carved out from Hewlett-Packard's consolidated financial statements and does not reflect what our financial position, results of operations and cash flows would have been, had we been a separate, stand-alone entity during the periods presented. Hewlett-Packard did not account for us as, and we were not operated as, a single stand-alone entity for the 1999 periods presented. In addition, the historical information is not necessarily indicative of what our results of operations, financial position and cash flows will be in the future. We did not make adjustments to reflect the many significant changes that will occur in our cost structure, funding and operations as a result of our separation from Hewlett-Packard, including changes in our employee base, changes in our tax structure, increased costs associated with reduced economies of scale, increased marketing expenses related to establishing a new brand identity and increased costs associated with being a public, stand-alone company. **WE MAY HAVE POTENTIAL BUSINESS CONFLICTS OF INTEREST WITH HEWLETT-PACKARD WITH RESPECT TO OUR PAST AND ONGOING RELATIONSHIPS THAT COULD HARM OUR BUSINESS OPERATIONS.** Conflicts of interest may arise between Hewlett-Packard and us in a number of areas relating to our past and ongoing relationships, including: — labor, tax, employee benefit, indemnification and other matters arising from our separation from Hewlett-Packard; — intellectual property matters; — employee retention and recruiting; — major business combinations involving us; 41 — the nature, quality and pricing of transitional services Hewlett-Packard has agreed to provide us; and — business opportunities that may be attractive to both Hewlett-Packard and us. Nothing restricts Hewlett-Packard from competing with us other than some restrictions on the use of patents licensed to Hewlett-Packard by us. **OUR DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS MAY HAVE CONFLICTS OF INTEREST BECAUSE OF THEIR OWNERSHIP OF HEWLETT-PACKARD COMMON STOCK.** Many of our directors and executive officers have a substantial amount of their personal financial portfolios in Hewlett-Packard common stock and options to purchase Hewlett-Packard common stock. Ownership of Hewlett-Packard common stock by our directors and officers after our separation from Hewlett-Packard could create, or appear to create, potential conflicts of interest when directors and officers are faced with decisions that could have different implications for Hewlett-Packard and us. 42 **Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk** We are exposed to foreign currency exchange rate risks inherent in our sales commitments, anticipated sales, and assets and liabilities denominated in currencies other than the United States dollar. Prior to fiscal 2000, our exposure to exchange rate risks had been managed on an enterprise-wide basis as part of Hewlett-Packard's risk management strategy. This strategy utilized derivative financial instruments, including forwards, swaps and purchased options, to hedge certain foreign currency exposures, with the intent of offsetting gains and losses that occur on the underlying exposures with gains and losses on the derivative contracts hedging them. We implemented a similar hedging program upon our separation from Hewlett-Packard in November 1999. We do not currently and do not intend to utilize derivative financial instruments for trading purposes. We performed a sensitivity analysis assuming a hypothetical 10% adverse movement in foreign exchange rates to the hedging contracts and the underlying exposures described above. As of April 30, 2000, the analysis indicated that these hypothetical market movements would not have a material effect on our consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows. **PART II — OTHER INFORMATION** **Item 1. Legal Proceedings** We are involved in lawsuits, claims, investigations and proceedings, including patent, commercial and environmental matters, which arise in the ordinary course of business. There are no matters pending that we expect to be material in relation to our business, consolidated financial condition, results of operations or cash flows. There have been no material developments in the litigation previously reported in our Form 10-K for the period ended October 31, 1999. **Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders** (a) The Annual Meeting of Stockholders of Agilent Technologies, Inc. was held at 10:00 a.m. Pacific Standard Time, on February 29, 2000 at the Flint Center for the Performing Arts located at 21250 Stevens Creek Boulevard, Cupertino, California. The three proposals presented at the meeting were: 1. To elect two (2) directors for a term of three years. 2. To ratify the appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as the company's independent accountants for the 2000 fiscal year. 3. A management proposal to approve the Agilent Technologies, Inc. Pay-for-Results Plan. (b) Each of the two directors was elected for a term of three years and received the number of votes set forth below:

Name For
 Withheld
 Edward W.
 Barnholt
 441,446,445
 127,649
 Gerald
 Grinstein
 441,433,300
 140,794

The term of office of Walter B. Hewlett, Randall L. Tobias, Thomas E. Everhart and David M. Lawrence, M.D. as directors continued after the meeting. 43 (c) The ratification of the appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as the company's independent accountants for the 2000 fiscal year was approved by a vote of 441,459,307 shares in favor, 52,358 shares against, and 62,429 shares abstaining. The Agilent Technologies, Inc. Pay-for-Results Plan was approved by a vote of 441,097,534 for, 369,950 against, and 106,610 abstaining. Item 6. Exhibits and Reports on Form 8-K (a) A list of exhibits is set forth in the Exhibit Index found on page 45 of this report. (b) Reports on Form 8-K: (i) Form 8-K dated April 11, 2000 reporting under Item 5 "Other Events" the issuance of a press release on April 7, 2000, announcing that the Board of Directors of Hewlett-Packard Company approved the declaration by Hewlett-Packard Company of a dividend of all of Hewlett-Packard Company's shares of Agilent Technologies, Inc. common stock. (ii) Form 8-K dated April 26, 2000 reporting under Item 5 "Other Events" the issuance of a press release on April 25, 2000, announcing that the Board of Directors of Agilent Technologies, Inc. approved the adoption of a Preferred Stock Rights Agreement. AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES SIGNATURE Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized. AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC. (Registrant) Dated: June 12, 2000 By: /s/ Robert R. Walker _____ Robert R. Walker Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

44 AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES EXHIBIT INDEX Exhibit Number: Description: _____ 1. Not applicable. 2.1 Master Separation and Distribution Agreement between Hewlett-Packard and the Company effective as of August 12, 1999. Incorporated by reference from Exhibit 2.1 of the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (Registration No. 333-85249). 2.2 General Assignment and Assumption Agreement between Hewlett-Packard and the Company. Incorporated by reference from Exhibit 2.2 of the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (Registration No. 333-85249). 2.3 Master Technology Ownership and License Agreement between Hewlett-Packard and the Company. Incorporated by reference from Exhibit 2.3 of the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (Registration No. 333-85249). 2.4 Master Patent Ownership and License Agreement between Hewlett-Packard and the Company. Incorporated by reference from Exhibit 2.4 of the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (Registration No. 333-85249). 2.5 Master Trademark Ownership and License Agreement between Hewlett-Packard and the Company. Incorporated by reference from Exhibit 2.5 of the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (Registration No. 333-85249). 2.6 ICBD Technology Ownership and License Agreement between Hewlett-Packard and the Company. Incorporated by reference from Exhibit 2.6 of the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (Registration No. 333-85249). 2.7 Employee Matters Agreement between Hewlett-Packard and the Company. Incorporated by reference from Exhibit 2.7 of the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (Registration No. 333-85249). 2.8 Tax Sharing Agreement between Hewlett-Packard and the Company. Incorporated by reference from Exhibit 2.8 of the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (Registration No. 333-85249). 2.9 Master IT Service Level Agreement between Hewlett-Packard and the Company. Incorporated by reference from Exhibit 2.9 of the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (Registration No. 333-85249). 2.10 Real Estate Matters Agreement between Hewlett-Packard and the Company. Incorporated by reference from Exhibit 2.10 of the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (Registration No. 333-85249). 2.11 Environmental Matters Agreement between Hewlett-Packard and the Company. Incorporated by reference from Exhibit 2.11 of the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (Registration No. 333-85249). 2.12 Master Confidential Disclosure Agreement between Hewlett-Packard and the Company. Incorporated by reference from Exhibit 2.12 of the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (Registration No. 333-85249). 2.13 Indemnification and Insurance Matters Agreement between Hewlett-Packard and the Company. Incorporated by reference from Exhibit 2.13 of the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (Registration No. 333-85249). 2.14 Non U.S. Plan. Incorporated by reference from Exhibit 2.14 of the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (Registration No. 333-85249). 2.15 Five Year Credit Agreement as dated November 5, 1999. Incorporated by reference from Exhibit 2.15 of the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (Registration No. 333-85249). 2.16 364-Day Credit Arrangement dated as of November 5, 1999. Incorporated by reference from Exhibit 2.16 of the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (Registration No. 333-85249). 3.1 Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation. Incorporated by reference from Exhibit 3.1 of the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (Registration No. 333-85249). 3.2 Bylaws. Incorporated by reference from Exhibit 3.2 of the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (Registration No. 333-85249). 4. None. 5-9. Not applicable. 10.1 Employee Stock Purchase Plan. Incorporated by reference from Exhibit 10.1 of the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (Registration No. 333-85249). * 10.2 1999 Stock Plan. Incorporated by reference from Exhibit 10.2 of the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (Registration No. 333-85249). * 10.3 1999 Non-Employee Director Stock Plan. Incorporated by reference from Exhibit 10.3 of the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (Registration No. 333-85249). * 10.4 Yokogawa Electric Corporation and Hewlett-Packard Company Agreement for the Redemption and Sale of Shares and Termination of Joint Venture Relationship. Incorporated by reference from Exhibit 10.4 of the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (Registration No. 333-85249). 10.5 Form of Indemnification Agreement entered into by the Company with each of its directors and executive officers. Incorporated by reference from Exhibit 10.5 of the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (Registration No. 333-85249). * 10.6 Executive Deferred Compensation Plan. Incorporated by reference from Exhibit 10.6 of the Company's Form 10-K for the period ended October 31, 1999. * 11. See Item 4 in Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements on Page 8. 12-14. Not applicable. 15. None. 16-17. Not applicable. 18-19. None. 20-21. Not applicable. 22-24. None. 25-26. Not applicable. 27.1 Financial Data Schedule. 28. Not applicable. 99. None. _____ * Indicates management contract or compensatory plan, contract or arrangement.

