

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549 FORM 10-Q [X]Quarterly Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 FOR THE QUARTERLY PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 2000. []Transition Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 For the Transition Period From to . ----- Commission file number 1-8400. AMR CORPORATION ----- (Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter) Delaware 75-1825172 ----- (State or other jurisdiction (I.R.S.

Employer Identification No.) of incorporation or organization) 4333 Amon Carter Blvd. Fort Worth, Texas 76155 ----- (Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code) Registrant's telephone number, including area code (817) 963-1234 ----- Not Applicable ----- (Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report) Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes X No . ----- Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date. Common Stock, \$1 par value - 149,957,759 as of July 25, 2000 -----

2 INDEX AMR CORPORATION PART I: FINANCIAL INFORMATION Item 1. Financial Statements Consolidated Statements of Operations -- Three and six months ended June 30, 2000 and 1999 Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets - June 30, 2000 and December 31, 1999 Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows -- Six months ended June 30, 2000 and 1999 Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements - June 30, 2000 Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk PART II: OTHER INFORMATION Item 1. Legal Proceedings Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders Item 6. Exhibits and Reports on Form 8-K SIGNATURE 3 PART I: FINANCIAL INFORMATION ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AMR CORPORATION CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS (Unaudited) (In millions, except per share amounts) -----

Three Months
Ended Six Months
Ended June 30,
June 30, -----

----- 2000
1999 2000 1999 -

REVENUES
Passenger--
American Airlines,
Inc. \$ 4,186 \$
3,751 \$ 7,956 \$
7,071 -- American
Eagle 368 340 706
611 Cargo 180
164 347 309
Other revenues
277 286 579 557

Total operating
revenues 5,011
4,541 9,588 8,548

EXPENSES
Wages, salaries
and benefits 1,674
1,561 3,291 3,028
Aircraft fuel 567
414 1,120 763
Depreciation and
amortization 294
268 582 521
Maintenance,
materials and
repairs 272 223

543-480
Commissions to
agents 273-298
530-586-Other
rentals and landing
fees 256-241-493
470 Food-service
198-185-383-352
Aircraft rentals 151-
162-304-322
Other operating
expenses 809-775
1,613-1,566-----

-----Total
operating expenses
4,494-4,127-8,859
8,088-----

-----OPERATING
INCOME 517
414-729-460
OTHER
INCOME
(EXPENSE)

Interest income-34
17-66-41-Interest
expense (115) (94)
(234) (187)
Interest capitalized
36-29-74-62
Miscellaneous-net
50 (6) 44-24-----

-----5 (54)
(50) (60)-----

-----INCOME
FROM
CONTINUING
OPERATIONS
BEFORE
INCOME TAXES
522-360-679-400
Income tax
provision 201-144
269-167-----

-----INCOME
FROM
CONTINUING
OPERATIONS
321-216-410-233
INCOME FROM
DISCONTINUED
OPERATIONS,
NET OF
APPLICABLE
INCOME TAXES
AND MINORITY
INTEREST---52

43-129 GAIN ON
SALE OF
DISCONTINUED
OPERATIONS,
NET OF
APPLICABLE
INCOME TAXES
-----64-----

-----NET
EARNINGS \$
321 \$ 268 \$ 453 \$
426-----

----- Continued on next page. -1- 4 AMR CORPORATION
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS (CONTINUED) (Unaudited) (In millions, except per share amounts) -----

Three Months
Ended Six Months
Ended June 30,
June 30, -----

----- 2000
1999 2000 1999 -

EARNINGS
APPLICABLE
TO COMMON
SHARES \$ 321 \$
268 \$ 453 \$ 426

EARNINGS PER
COMMON
SHARE BASIC
Income from
Continuing
Operations \$ 2.15
\$ 1.41 \$ 2.75 \$
1.49 Discontinued
Operations --- 0.35
0.29 1.24-----

-----Net
Earnings \$ 2.15 \$
1.76 \$ 3.04 \$
2.73-----

DILUTED Income
from Continuing
Operations \$ 1.96
\$ 1.36 \$ 2.58 \$
1.45 Discontinued

Operations --- 0.34
0.27 1.20 -----

----- Net
Earnings \$ 1.96 \$
1.70 \$ 2.85 \$
2.65 -----

NUMBER OF
SHARES USED
IN
COMPUTATION
Basic 150-153
149-156

Diluted 164-158
159-161

----- The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial
statements. -2- 5 AMR CORPORATION CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (Unaudited) (In millions) -----

June 30, December 31,
2000 1999 -----

ASSETS-CURRENT
ASSETS-Cash \$ 128 \$
85-Short-term
investments 2,269
1,706- Receivables, net
1,447 1,134
Inventories, net 713
708-Deferred income
taxes 612 612-Other
current assets 208 179

----- Total current
assets 5,377 4,424
EQUIPMENT AND
PROPERTY-Flight
equipment, net 12,621
11,323-Other
equipment and
property, net 1,527
1,433- Purchase
deposits for flight
equipment 1,491 1,582

----- 15,639 14,338
EQUIPMENT AND
PROPERTY UNDER
CAPITAL LEASES
Flight equipment, net
1,785 1,851-Other

equipment and
property, net 98 98

----- 1,883 1,949
Route acquisition costs,
net 872 887 Other
assets, net 1,702 2,776

----- \$ 25,473 \$
24,374

LIABILITIES AND
STOCKHOLDERS'
EQUITY-CURRENT
LIABILITIES

Accounts payable \$
1,273 \$ 1,115 Accrued
liabilities 2,114 1,956
Air traffic liability 2,977
2,255 Current
maturities of long-term
debt 496 302 Current
obligations under
capital leases 246 236

----- Total current
liabilities 7,106 5,864
Long-term debt, less
current maturities 3,930
4,078 Obligations
under capital leases,
less current obligations
1,465 1,611 Deferred
income taxes 2,012
1,846 Other liabilities,
deferred gains, deferred
credits and
postretirement benefits
4,186 4,117

STOCKHOLDERS'
EQUITY-Common
stock 182 182
Additional paid-in
capital 2,999 3,061
Treasury stock (2,002)
(2,101) Accumulated
other comprehensive
income (2) (2) Retained
earnings 5,597 5,718

----- 6,774 6,858

----- \$ 25,473 \$
24,374

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements. -3- 6 AMR CORPORATION CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (Unaudited) (In millions)
Six Months
Ended June

30, -----

----- 2000
1999 -----

NET CASH
PROVIDED
BY
OPERATING
ACTIVITIES
\$ 1,809 \$
1,116 CASH
FLOW
FROM
INVESTING
ACTIVITIES:

Capital
expenditures,
including net
change in
purchase
deposits for
flight
equipment
(1,917)
(1,977) Net
decrease
(increase) in
short-term
investments
(563) 274
Acquisitions
and other
investments
(41) (99)
Proceeds
from Dividend
from Sabre,
Inc. (Sabre)
559 Sale of
equipment and
property 159
40 Sale of
other
investments 94
31 Sale of
discontinued
operations--
259 Other--

18 -----
----- Net
cash used for
investing
activities
(1,709)
(1,454)
CASH
FLOW
FROM
FINANCING
ACTIVITIES:

Payments on
long-term debt
and capital
lease
obligations
(364) (158)
Repurchases
of common
stock -- (664)
Proceeds
from Issuance
of long-term
debt 286 770
Exercise of
stock options
21 15 Short-
term loan from
Sabre -- 300
Sale-
leaseback
transactions --
54 -----
----- Net
cash provided
by (used for)
financing
activities (57)
317 Net
increase
(decrease) in
cash 43 (21)
Cash at
beginning of
period 85 87 --

---- Cash at
end of period
\$ 128 \$ 66
=====

ACTIVITIES
NOT
AFFECTING
CASH:
Distribution of
Sabre shares
to AMR
shareholders \$
581 \$ --
Payment of
short-term
loan from
Sabre against
receivable
from Sabre --
300 Capital
lease
obligations
incurred -- 54

accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by generally accepted accounting principles for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, these financial statements contain all adjustments, consisting of normal recurring accruals, necessary to present fairly the financial position, results of operations and cash flows for the periods indicated. Results of operations for the periods presented herein are not necessarily indicative of results of operations for the entire year. The balance sheet at December 31, 1999 has been derived from the audited financial statements at that date. The results of operations, cash flows and net assets for Sabre, Inc. (Sabre), AMR Services, AMR Combs and TeleService Resources have been reflected in the consolidated financial statements as discontinued operations (see Note 6 below), and accordingly, related information previously reported in the June 30, 1999 Form 10-Q has been restated. For further information, refer to the consolidated financial statements and footnotes thereto included in the AMR Corporation (AMR or the Company) Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1999.

2. Accumulated depreciation of owned equipment and property at June 30, 2000 and December 31, 1999, was \$7.7 billion and \$7.4 billion, respectively. Accumulated amortization of equipment and property under capital leases at June 30, 2000 and December 31, 1999, was \$1.4 billion and \$1.3 billion, respectively.

3. As discussed in the notes to the consolidated financial statements included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1999, the Miami International Airport Authority is currently remediating various environmental conditions at Miami International Airport (Airport) and funding the remediation costs through landing fee revenues. Future costs of the remediation effort may be borne by carriers operating at the Airport, including American Airlines, Inc. (American), a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company, through increased landing fees and/or other charges. In addition, the Company is subject to environmental issues at various other airport and non-airport locations. Management believes, after considering a number of factors, that the ultimate disposition of these environmental issues is not expected to materially affect the Company's consolidated financial position, results of operations, or cash flows. Amounts recorded for environmental issues are based on the Company's current assessments of the ultimate outcome and, accordingly, could increase or decrease as these assessments change.

4. As of June 30, 2000, the Company had commitments to acquire the following aircraft: 69 Boeing 737-800s, 20 Boeing 757-200s, 15 Boeing 777-200IGWs, 87 Embraer regional jets and 25 Bombardier CRJ-700s. Deliveries of these aircraft extend through 2006. Payments for these aircraft will approximate \$1.1 billion during the remainder of 2000, \$2.3 billion in 2001, \$650 million in 2002 and an aggregate of approximately \$1.0 billion in 2003 through 2006.

5. During 1999, the Company entered into an agreement with priceline.com Incorporated (priceline) whereby ticket inventory provided by the Company may be sold through priceline's e-commerce system. In conjunction with this agreement, the Company received warrants to purchase approximately 5.5 million shares of priceline common stock. In the second quarter of 2000, the Company sold these warrants for proceeds of approximately \$94 million, and recorded a pre-tax gain of \$57 million (\$36 million after-tax), which is included in Miscellaneous - net on the consolidated statements of operations.

6. During the first quarter of 1999, the Company completed the sales of AMR Services, AMR Combs and TeleService Resources. As a result of these sales, the Company recorded a gain of approximately \$64 million, net of income taxes of approximately \$19 million. Effective after the close of business on March 15, 2000, AMR distributed 0.722652 shares of Sabre Class A common stock for each share of AMR stock owned by AMR's shareholders. The record date for the dividend of Sabre stock was the close of business on March 1, 2000. In addition, on February 18, 2000, Sabre paid a special one-time cash dividend of \$675 million to shareholders of record of Sabre common stock at the close of business on February 15, 2000. Based upon its approximate 83 percent interest in Sabre, AMR received -5- 8 AMR CORPORATION NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED) (Unaudited) ----- approximately \$559 million of this dividend. These funds will be used for general corporate purposes, including the acquisition of aircraft. The dividend of AMR's entire ownership interest in Sabre's common stock resulted in a reduction to AMR's retained earnings in March of 2000 equal to the carrying value of the Company's investment in Sabre on March 15, 2000, which approximated \$581 million. In addition, effective March 15, 2000, the Company reduced the exercise price and increased the number of stock options and awards by approximately 18 million to offset the dilution to the holders, which occurred as a result of the spin-off. These changes were made to keep the holders in the same economic position as before the spin-off. This dilution adjustment was determined in accordance with Emerging Issues Task Force Consensus No. 90-9, "Changes to Fixed Employee Stock Option Plans as a Result of Equity Restructuring," and accordingly, had no impact on earnings. The results of operations for Sabre, AMR Services, AMR Combs and TeleService Resources have been reflected in the consolidated statements of operations as discontinued operations. The net assets of Sabre of approximately \$1.0 billion were classified in the condensed consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 1999 in other assets. Other summarized financial information of the discontinued operations is as follows (in millions):

Three Months
 Ended Six
 Months Ended
 June 30, June
 30, -----

 2000 1999
 2000 1999 ----

 SABRE
 Revenues \$ --- \$
 639 \$ 542 \$
 1,277 Minority
 interest --- 11 10
 27 Income taxes
 --- 36 36 92 Net
 income --- 52 43
 129 AMR
 SERVICES,
 AMR COMBS
 AND
 TELESERVICE
 RESOURCES
 Revenues \$ --- \$
 --- \$ 97
 Income taxes ---
 --- Net
 income ---
 --

7. In connection with a secondary offering by Equant N.V. in February 1999, the Company sold approximately 923,000 depository certificates for a pre-tax gain of \$66 million (\$37 million after-tax). Of this amount, approximately 489,000 depository certificates, or a pre-tax gain of \$35 million, related to depository certificates held by the Company on behalf of Sabre and is included in income from discontinued operations on the consolidated statements of operations. The remaining \$31 million is included in Miscellaneous - net on the consolidated statements of operations. -6- 9 AMR CORPORATION NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED) (Unaudited) -----

----- 8. The following table sets forth the computations of basic and diluted earnings per share from continuing operations (in millions, except per share data):

Three Months
 Ended Six Months
 Ended June 30,
 June 30, -----

----- 2000
 1999 2000 1999 --

 NUMERATOR:
 Income from
 continuing
 operations ---
 numerator for basic
 and diluted earnings
 per share \$ 321 \$
 216 \$ 410 \$ 233
 =====
 =====
 =====
 =====

DENOMINATOR:

Denominator for
basic earnings per
share -- weighted-
average shares 150
153 149 156 Effect
of dilutive securities:
Employee options
and shares 32 11
23 12 Assumed
treasury shares
purchased (18) (6)
(13) (7) -----

Dilutive potential
common shares 14
5 10 5

Denominator for
diluted earnings per
share -- adjusted
weighted-average
shares 164 158
159 161

=====

Basic earnings per
share from
continuing
operations \$ 2.15 \$
1.41 \$ 2.75 \$ 1.49

=====

Diluted earnings per
share from
continuing
operations \$ 1.96 \$
1.36 \$ 2.58 \$ 1.45

-7- 10 ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS FOR THE THREE MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2000 AND 1999 SUMMARY AMR's income from continuing operations during the second quarter of 2000 was \$321 million, or \$1.96 per common share diluted, as compared to \$216 million, or \$1.36 per common share diluted, for the same period in 1999. AMR's operating income of \$517 million increased \$103 million compared to the same period in 1999. AMR's second quarter 2000 results include an approximate \$36 million after-tax gain, or \$0.21 per common share diluted, related to the sale of the Company's warrants to purchase 5.5 million shares of priceline.com Incorporated (priceline) common stock. The Company's REVENUES increased \$470 million, or 10.4 percent, in the second quarter of 2000 versus the same period last year. American's PASSENGER REVENUES increased by 11.6 percent, or \$435 million, compared to the second quarter of 1999. American's YIELD (the average amount one passenger pays to fly one mile) of 13.75 cents increased by 6.0 percent compared to the same period in 1999. Domestic yields increased 5.5 percent from the second quarter of 1999. International yields increased 7.2 percent, reflecting an increase of 12.3 percent, 9.9 percent and 4.3 percent in Pacific, Europe and Latin American yields, respectively. The increase in revenues was due primarily to a strong U.S. economy, which led to strong demand for air travel both domestically and internationally, and a favorable pricing climate. American's traffic or REVENUE PASSENGER MILES (RPMs) increased 5.3 percent to 30.4 billion miles for the quarter ended June 30, 2000. American's capacity or AVAILABLE SEAT MILES (ASMs) decreased 0.8 percent to 40.1 billion miles in the second quarter of 2000. American's domestic traffic increased 4.2 percent on a capacity decrease of 2.7 percent and international traffic increased 7.7 percent on capacity increases of 3.5 percent. The decrease in domestic capacity was due primarily to the Company's

"More Room Throughout Coach" initiative. The increase in international traffic was driven by a 10.1 percent increase in traffic to Europe on capacity growth of 6.4 percent, a 9.7 percent increase in traffic to the Pacific on a capacity increase of 0.8 percent and a 4.8 percent increase in traffic to Latin America on capacity growth of 1.8 percent. The Company's OPERATING EXPENSES increased 8.9 percent, or \$367 million. American's cost per ASM increased 10.0 percent to 10.24 cents. WAGES, SALARIES AND BENEFITS increased 7.2 percent, or \$113 million, primarily due to an increase in the average number of equivalent employees, contractual wage rate and seniority increases that are built into the Company's labor contracts and an increase in the provision for profit-sharing. AIRCRAFT FUEL expense increased 37.0 percent, or \$153 million, due to a 33.9 percent increase in the Company's average price per gallon and a 2.5 percent increase in the Company's fuel consumption. The increase in fuel expense is net of gains of approximately \$110 million recognized during the second quarter of 2000 related to the Company's fuel hedging program. MAINTENANCE, MATERIALS AND REPAIRS increased \$49 million, or 22.0 percent, due primarily to an increase in airframe and engine maintenance volumes at the Company's maintenance bases and an approximate \$17 million one-time credit the Company received in the second quarter of 1999. COMMISSIONS TO AGENTS decreased 8.4 percent, or \$25 million, despite an increase of approximately 11.3 percent in passenger revenues, due primarily to the benefit from the international base commission structure change implemented in October 1999 and a decrease in commissionable transactions. INTEREST INCOME increased approximately \$17 million due primarily to higher investment balances. INTEREST EXPENSE increased approximately \$21 million, or 22.3 percent, due to an increase in long-term debt. INTEREST CAPITALIZED increased 24.1 percent, or \$7 million, due to an increase in purchase deposits for flight equipment. MISCELLANEOUS - NET increased approximately \$56 million due primarily to the \$57 million gain on sale of the Company's warrants to purchase 5.5 million shares of priceline common stock. -8- 11 RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (CONTINUED) OPERATING STATISTICS

Three
Months
Ended June
30, -----

----- 2000
1999 -----

AMERICAN
AIRLINES
Revenue
passenger
miles
(millions)
30,449
28,908
Available
seat miles
(millions)
40,095
40,406
Cargo ton
miles
(millions) 574
514
Passenger
load factor
75.9%
71.5%
Breakeven
load factor
65.6%
63.2%
Passenger
revenue yield
per
passenger
mile (cents)
13.75-12.97
Passenger
revenue per
available seat

mile (cents)	
10.44	9.28
Cargo	
revenue yield	
per ton-mile	
(cents)	31.04
	31.67
Operating	
expenses per	
available seat	
mile (cents)	
10.24	9.31
Fuel	
consumption	
(gallons, in	
millions)	759
745	Fuel
price per	
gallon (cents)	
71.0	53.0
Fuel price	
per gallon,	
excluding fuel	
taxes (cents)	
65.9	48.4
Operating	
aircraft at	
period-end	
712	697
AMR	
EAGLE	
Revenue	
passenger	
miles	
(millions)	961
	885
Available	
seat miles	
(millions)	
1,546	1,422
Passenger	
load factor	
62.2%	
62.2%	
Operating	
aircraft at	
period-end	
272	260

Operating aircraft at June 30, 2000 included:

AMERICAN
 AIRLINES
 AIRCRAFT:
 AMR
 EAGLE
 AIRCRAFT:
 Airbus
 A300-600R
 35 ATR-42
 31 Boeing
 727-200-64
~~Embraer-135~~
 20 Boeing
~~737-800-36~~
~~Embraer-145~~
 50 Boeing
~~757-200-102~~
 Super ATR
~~43 Boeing~~
~~767-200-8~~
 Saab-340
~~103 Boeing~~
~~767-200~~
 Extended
 Range-22
~~Saab-340B~~
~~Plus-25-----~~
 --Boeing
~~767-300~~
 Extended
 Range-49
~~Total-272~~

~~Boeing-777-~~
~~200IGW-22~~
~~Fokker-100~~
 75
 McDonnell
~~Douglas-DC-~~
~~10-10-3~~
 McDonnell
~~Douglas-DC-~~
~~10-30-5~~
 McDonnell
 Douglas
~~MD-11-9~~
 McDonnell
 Douglas
~~MD-80-277~~
 McDonnell
 Douglas
~~MD-90-5----~~
 -----Total
 712

Average aircraft age is 10.7 years for American's aircraft and 6.5 years for AMR Eagle aircraft. -9- 12 RESULTS OF OPERATIONS
 (CONTINUED) FOR THE SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2000 AND 1999 SUMMARY AMR's income from continuing operations for the six months ended June 30, 2000 was \$410 million, or \$2.58 per common share diluted. This compares with income from continuing operations of \$233 million, or \$1.45 per common share diluted, for the same period in 1999. AMR's operating income of \$729 million increased 58.5 percent, or \$269 million, compared to the same period in 1999. AMR's 2000 results from continuing operations include an approximate \$36 million after-tax gain, or \$0.22 per common share diluted, related to the sale of the Company's warrants to purchase 5.5 million shares of priceline common stock. AMR's 1999

results from continuing operations include a labor disagreement that disrupted the Company's operations and negatively impacted the Company's 1999 net earnings by an estimated \$140 million, or \$0.87 per common share diluted, partially offset by an approximate \$19 million after-tax gain, or \$0.12 per common share diluted, related to the sale of a portion of American's holdings in Equant, N.V. (Equant). The Company's REVENUES increased approximately \$1.0 billion, or 12.2 percent, during the first six months of 2000 versus the same period last year. American's PASSENGER REVENUES increased by 12.5 percent, or \$885 million. American's YIELD of 13.84 cents increased by 6.1 percent compared to the same period in 1999. Domestic yields increased 5.8 percent from the first six months of 1999. International yields increased 7.1 percent, reflecting an increase of 16.9 percent, 8.6 percent and 4.8 percent in Pacific, Europe and Latin American yields, respectively. The increase in revenues was due primarily to a strong U.S. economy, which led to strong demand for air travel both domestically and internationally, and a favorable pricing climate. In addition, the first quarter of 1999 includes a schedule disruption which impacted the Company's operations. American's traffic or REVENUE PASSENGER MILES (RPMs) increased 6.0 percent to 57.4 billion miles for the six months ended June 30, 2000. American's capacity or AVAILABLE SEAT MILES (ASMs) increased 2.6 percent to 80.1 billion miles in the first six months of 2000. American's domestic traffic increased 4.8 percent on capacity growth of 1.1 percent and international traffic increased 8.9 percent on capacity increases of 6.0 percent. The increase in domestic capacity was due primarily to the addition of new aircraft, mostly offset by the Company's "More Room Throughout Coach" initiative. The increase in international traffic was driven by a 16.2 percent increase in traffic to the Pacific on capacity growth of 5.2 percent, an 11.0 percent increase in traffic to Europe on a capacity increase of 9.9 percent and a 5.6 percent increase in traffic to Latin America on capacity growth of 3.2 percent. AMR Eagle's passenger REVENUES increased 15.5 percent, or \$95 million, due primarily to the acquisition of Business Express, Inc. in March 1999. Cargo REVENUES increased \$38 million, or 12.3 percent, due primarily to a fuel surcharge implemented in February 2000 and the Company's increase in cargo capacity from the addition of new aircraft in late 1999 and 2000. The Company's OPERATING EXPENSES increased 9.5 percent, or \$771 million. American's cost per ASM increased by 6.8 percent to 10.10 cents. WAGES, SALARIES AND BENEFITS increased \$263 million, or 8.7 percent, primarily due to an increase in the average number of equivalent employees, contractual wage rate and seniority increases that are built into the Company's labor contracts and an increase in the provision for profit-sharing. AIRCRAFT FUEL expense increased 46.8 percent, or \$357 million, due to a 40.4 percent increase in the Company's average price per gallon and a 4.9 percent increase in the Company's fuel consumption. The increase in fuel expense is net of gains of approximately \$232 million recognized during the six months ended June 30, 2000 related to the Company's fuel hedging program. DEPRECIATION AND AMORTIZATION expense increased \$61 million, or 11.7 percent, due primarily to the addition of new aircraft. MAINTENANCE, MATERIALS AND REPAIRS expense increased 13.1 percent, or \$63 million, due primarily to an increase in airframe and engine maintenance volumes at the Company's maintenance bases and an approximate \$17 million one-time credit the Company received in the second quarter of 1999. COMMISSIONS TO AGENTS decreased 9.6 percent, or \$56 million, despite an increase of approximately 12.8 percent in passenger revenues, due primarily to the benefit from the international base commission structure change implemented in October 1999 and a decrease in commissionable transactions. -10- 13 RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (CONTINUED) INTEREST INCOME increased approximately 61.0 percent, or \$25 million, due primarily to higher investment balances. INTEREST EXPENSE increased approximately \$47 million, or 25.1 percent, due to an increase in long-term debt. INTEREST CAPITALIZED increased 19.4 percent, or \$12 million, due to an increase in purchase deposits for flight equipment. MISCELLANEOUS - NET increased approximately 83.3 percent, or \$20 million, due primarily to the gain on sale of the Company's warrants to purchase 5.5 million shares of priceline common stock in the second quarter of 2000, which resulted in a \$57 million gain. In the first quarter of 1999, the Company recorded an approximate \$31 million gain on the sale of a portion of the Company's interest in Equant. OPERATING STATISTICS

Six Months
 Ended June
 30, -----

 ----- 2000
 1999 -----

 AMERICAN
 AIRLINES
 Revenue
 passenger
 miles
 (millions)
 57,471
 54,198
 Available
 seat miles
 (millions)
 80,115
 78,109
 Cargo ton
 miles
 (millions)
 1,117,942

Passenger
load factor
71.7%
69.4%
Breakeven
load factor
64.6%
64.9%
Passenger
revenue yield
per
passenger
mile (cents)
13.84 13.05
Passenger
revenue per
available seat
mile (cents)
9.93 9.05
Cargo
revenue yield
per ton mile
(cents) 30.69
32.36
Operating
expenses per
available seat
mile (cents)
10.10 9.46
Fuel
consumption
(gallons, in
millions)
1,489 1,432
Fuel price
per gallon
(cents) 71.6
51.0 Fuel
price per
gallon,
excluding fuel
taxes (cents)
66.3 46.6
Operating
aircraft at
period-end
712 697
AMR
EAGLE
Revenue
passenger
miles
(millions)
1,822 1,591
Available
seat miles
(millions)
3,060 2,633
Passenger
load factor
59.6%

-11- 14 LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES NET CASH PROVIDED BY OPERATING ACTIVITIES in the six-month period ended June 30, 2000 was \$1.8 billion, an increase of \$693 million over the same period in 1999. This increase resulted primarily from an increase in the air traffic liability due to higher advanced sales and an increase in income from continuing operations. Capital expenditures for the first six months of 2000 were \$1.9 billion, and included the acquisition of 11 Boeing 777-200IGWs, 12 Boeing 737-800s, 11 Embraer 135 aircraft and five Embraer 145 aircraft. These capital expenditures were financed with internally generated cash and the \$559 million of cash received from the Sabre dividend, except for the Embraer aircraft acquisitions which were funded through secured debt agreements. As of June 30, 2000, the Company had commitments to acquire the following aircraft: 69 Boeing 737-800s, 20 Boeing 757-200s, 15 Boeing 777-200IGWs, 87 Embraer regional jets and 25 Bombardier CRJ-700s. Deliveries of these aircraft extend through 2006. Payments for these aircraft will approximate \$1.1 billion during the remainder of 2000, \$2.3 billion in 2001, \$650 million in 2002 and an aggregate of approximately \$1.0 billion in 2003 through 2006. The Company expects to fund its remaining 2000 capital expenditures from the Company's existing cash and short-term investments, internally generated cash, and new financing depending upon capital market conditions and the Company's evolving view of its long-term needs.

OTHER INFORMATION DALLAS LOVE FIELD In 1968, as part of an agreement between the cities of Fort Worth and Dallas to build and operate Dallas/Fort Worth Airport (DFW), a bond ordinance was enacted by both cities (the Bond Ordinance). The Bond Ordinance required both cities to direct all scheduled interstate passenger operations to DFW and was an integral part of the bonds issued for the construction and operation of DFW. In 1979, as part of a settlement to resolve litigation with Southwest Airlines, the cities agreed to expand the scope of operations allowed under the Bond Ordinance at Dallas' Love Field. Congress enacted the Wright Amendment to prevent the federal government from acting inconsistent with this agreement. The Wright Amendment limited interstate operations at Love Field to the four states contiguous to Texas (New Mexico, Oklahoma, Arkansas, and Louisiana) and prohibited through ticketing to any destination outside that perimeter. In 1997, without the consent of either city, Congress amended the Wright Amendment by (i) adding three states (Kansas, Mississippi, and Alabama) to the perimeter and (ii) removing some federal restrictions on large aircraft configured with 56 seats or less (the 1997 Amendment). In October 1997, the City of Fort Worth filed suit in state district court against the City of Dallas and others seeking to enforce the Bond Ordinance. Fort Worth contended that the 1997 Amendment does not preclude the City of Dallas from exercising its proprietary rights to restrict traffic at Love Field in a manner consistent with the Bond Ordinance and, moreover, that Dallas has an obligation to do so. American joined in this litigation. On October 15, 1998, the state district court granted summary judgment in favor of American and Fort Worth. On May 25, 2000, the Fort Worth Court of Appeals overturned the district court order and concluded that the Bond Ordinance was preempted by federal law. American and Fort Worth filed motions asking the Fort Worth Court of Appeals to reconsider its decision. In the same lawsuit, DFW filed claims alleging that irrespective of whether the Bond Ordinance was enforceable, the DFW Use Agreement prohibited American and other DFW signatory airlines from moving any interstate operations to Love Field. Dallas filed a separate declaratory judgment action in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Texas, Dallas Division, seeking to have the court declare that, as a matter of law, the 1997 Amendment precludes the City of Dallas from exercising any restrictions on operations at Love Field. Further, in May 1998, Continental Airlines and Continental Express filed a lawsuit in Dallas federal court seeking a judicial declaration that the Bond Ordinance cannot be enforced to prevent them from operating flights from Love Field to Cleveland using regional jets. These two federal court lawsuits were consolidated and stayed.

-12- 15 In December 1998, the Department of Transportation (DOT) issued an order on the federal law questions concerning the Bond Ordinance, local proprietary powers, DFW's Use Agreement with DFW carriers such as American, and the Wright and 1997 Amendments, and concluded that the Bond Ordinance was preempted by federal law and was therefore not enforceable. The DOT also found that the DFW Use Agreement did not preclude American from conducting interstate operations at Love Field. Fort Worth, American and DFW appealed the DOT's order to the Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals, and on February 1, 2000, the Fifth Circuit affirmed the DOT's order in all respects. American, Fort Worth and DFW each filed petitions for writ of certiorari with the United States Supreme Court, which were denied on June 29, 2000. Shortly thereafter, American, Fort Worth and DFW dismissed all remaining motions and claims in the Texas state court litigation. Consequently, litigation over the right to provide scheduled passenger operations at Love Field on aircraft with fewer than 57 seats to destinations beyond the Wright Amendment perimeter has ended. In January 2000, the Department of Justice, at the behest of the DOT, filed a lawsuit in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Texas, Dallas Division, against Fort Worth and American seeking to enforce the DOT's order and to prevent any party from interfering with any carrier operating under that order. On May 1, 2000, American commenced service from Love Field to Chicago and Los Angeles using terminal space leased from Continental Express on a short-term basis. American has further announced that it will offer service from Love Field to New York's LaGuardia airport commencing August 31, 2000. American is seeking long-term facilities at Love Field from the City of Dallas for its Love Field operations. As a result of the foregoing, an increase in operations at Love Field and/or the inability of American to obtain adequate facilities to compete effectively at Love Field could adversely impact American's business.

INDUSTRY CONSOLIDATION Two competitors of the Company, UAL Corporation and US Airways Group, Inc., recently announced that they have entered into a definitive merger agreement. If that merger were to be consummated or other significant consolidation were to occur in the airline industry, conditions and competition in the industry could be significantly altered. If the Company were involved in a business combination with a competitor, the financial condition and prospects of the resulting corporation could be materially different from those of the Company.

FORWARD-LOOKING INFORMATION Statements in this report contain various forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, which represent the Company's expectations or beliefs concerning future events. When used in this report, the words "expects," "plans," "anticipates," and similar expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements. All forward-looking statements in this report are based upon information available to the Company on the date of this report. The Company undertakes no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statement, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise. Forward-looking statements are subject to a number of factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from our expectations. Additional information concerning these and other factors is contained in the Company's Securities and Exchange Commission

filings, included but not limited to the Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1999. ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK There have been no material changes in market risk from the information provided in Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1999.

-13- 16 PART II: OTHER INFORMATION ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS In connection with its frequent flyer program, American was sued in several purported class action cases currently pending in the Circuit Court of Cook County, Illinois. In *Wolens et al. v. American Airlines, Inc.* and *Tucker v. American Airlines, Inc.* (hereafter, "*Wolens*"), plaintiffs seek money damages and attorneys' fees claiming that a change made to American's AAdvantage program in May 1988, which limited the number of seats available to participants travelling on certain awards, breached American's agreement with its AAdvantage members. (Although the *Wolens* complaint originally asserted several state law claims, only the plaintiffs' breach of contract claim remains after the U.S. Supreme Court ruled that the Airline Deregulation Act preempted the other claims). In *Guterman et al. v. American Airlines, Inc.* (hereafter, "*Guterman*"), plaintiffs also seek money damages and attorneys' fees claiming that the February 1995 increase in the award mileage required to claim a certain AAdvantage travel award breached the agreement between American and its AAdvantage members. On June 23, 1998, the court certified the *Guterman* case as a class action. In February 2000, American and the *Wolens* and *Guterman* plaintiffs reached a settlement of both lawsuits. Pursuant to the agreement, American and the plaintiffs agreed to ask the court to consolidate the *Wolens* and *Guterman* lawsuits for purposes of settlement. Further, American and the *Wolens* plaintiffs agreed to ask the court to certify a *Wolens* class of AAdvantage members who had at least 35,000 unredeemed AAdvantage miles as of December 31, 1988. In addition, American and the *Guterman* plaintiffs agreed to ask the court to decertify the existing *Guterman* class and to certify a new *Guterman* class of AAdvantage members who as of December 31, 1993 (a) had redeemed 25,000 or 50,000 AAdvantage miles for certain AAdvantage awards and/or (b) had between 4,700 and 24,999 unredeemed miles in his or her account that were earned in 1992 or 1993. Depending upon certain factors, *Wolens* and *Guterman* class members will be entitled to receive certificates entitling them to mileage off certain AAdvantage awards or dollars off certain American fares. As part of the settlement, American agreed to pay the *Wolens* and *Guterman* plaintiffs' attorneys and the cost of administering the settlement, which amounts were accrued as of December 31, 1999. In consideration for the relief provided in the settlement agreement, *Wolens* and *Guterman* class members will release American from all claims arising from any changes that American has made to the AAdvantage program and reaffirming American's right to make changes to the AAdvantage program in the future. On May 2, 2000, the court preliminarily approved the settlement and authorized sending notice of the settlement to class members. Before the settlement can become effective, the court must give final approval of the settlement agreement after providing any objectors an opportunity to be heard. On August 7, 1998, a purported class action was filed against American Airlines in state court in Travis County, Texas (*Boon Ins. Agency v. American Airlines, Inc., et al.*) claiming that the \$75 reissuance fee for changes to non-refundable tickets is an unenforceable liquidated damages clause and seeking a refund of the fee on behalf of passengers who paid it, as well as interest and attorneys' fees. On September 23, 1998, Continental, Delta, and America West were added as defendants to the lawsuit. On February 2, 1999, prior to any discovery being taken and a class being certified, the court granted the defendants' motion for summary judgment holding that plaintiff's claims are preempted by the Airline Deregulation Act. Plaintiff has filed an appeal of the dismissal of the lawsuit. On March 30, 2000, the Texas Court of Appeals in Austin affirmed the granting of defendants' motion for summary judgment. The plaintiff is seeking review by the Supreme Court of Texas. American is vigorously defending all claims against it.

-14- 17 PART II ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS (CONTINUED) On May 20, 1999, several class action lawsuits filed against the Allied Pilots Association (APA) seeking compensation for passengers and cargo shippers adversely affected by a labor disagreement that disrupted operations in February 1999 were consolidated in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Texas, Dallas Division (In re Allied Pilots Association Class Action Litigation). Plaintiffs are not seeking to hold American independently liable. Instead, Plaintiffs named American as a defendant because American has a \$45.5 million judgment against the APA. APA filed cross claims against American in one of the cases now consolidated in the Northern District of Texas alleging that American must indemnify pilots who put themselves on the sick list. APA also filed a motion to dismiss all claims against it. A United States District Court Magistrate recommended that the court dismiss all the claims in the lawsuit, concluding that certain claims are preempted by federal law and that certain other claims should be brought in state court, rather than federal court. The Magistrate's recommendations are pending before the court. On July 24, 2000, American announced that it had reached a tentative agreement with the APA that would modify the existing labor contract between American and the APA which, if approved by the APA membership, will resolve among other issues American's \$45.5 million judgment against the APA. If this agreement is approved, it may impact claims against American in this litigation. In the meanwhile, American is vigorously defending all claims against it. On July 26, 1999, a class action lawsuit was filed, and in November 1999 an amended complaint was filed, against AMR Corporation, American Airlines, Inc., AMR Eagle Holding Corporation, Airlines Reporting Corporation, and the Sabre Group Holdings, Inc. in the United States District Court for the Central District of California, Western Division (*Westways World Travel, Inc. v. AMR Corp., et al.*). The lawsuit alleges that requiring travel agencies to pay debit memos to American for violations of American's fare rules (by customers of the agencies) (1) breaches the Agent Reporting Agreement between American and American Eagle and plaintiffs, (2) constitutes unjust enrichment, and (3) violates the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act of 1970 (RICO). The as yet uncertified class includes all travel agencies who have been or will be required to pay monies to American for debit memos for fare rules violations from July 26, 1995 to the present. Plaintiffs seek to enjoin American from enforcing the pricing rules in question and to recover the amounts paid for debit memos, plus treble damages, attorneys' fees, and costs. Defendants' motion to dismiss all claims is pending. American intends to vigorously defend the lawsuit. On May 13, 1999, the United States (through the Antitrust Division of the Department of Justice) sued AMR Corporation, American Airlines, Inc., and AMR Eagle Holding Corporation in federal court in Wichita, Kansas. The lawsuit alleges that American unlawfully monopolized or attempted to monopolize airline passenger service to and from Dallas/Fort Worth International Airport (DFW) by increasing service when new competitors began flying to DFW, and by matching these new competitors' fares. The Department of Justice seeks to enjoin American from engaging in the alleged improper conduct and to impose restraints on American to remedy the alleged effects of its past conduct. American intends to defend the lawsuit vigorously. Between May 14, 1999 and June 7, 1999, seven class action lawsuits were filed against AMR Corporation, American Airlines, Inc., and AMR Eagle Holding Corporation in the United States District Court in Wichita, Kansas seeking treble damages under federal and state antitrust laws, as well as injunctive relief and attorneys' fees. (*King v. AMR Corp., et al.*; *Smith v. AMR Corp., et al.*; *Team Electric v. AMR Corp., et al.*; *Warren v. AMR Corp., et al.*; *Whittier v. AMR Corp., et al.*; *Wright v. AMR Corp., et al.*; and *Youngdahl v. AMR Corp., et al.*). Collectively, these lawsuits allege that American unlawfully monopolized or attempted to monopolize airline passenger service to and from DFW by increasing service when new competitors began

flying to DFW, and by matching these new competitors' fares. Two of the suits (Smith and Wright) also allege that American unlawfully monopolized or attempted to monopolize airline passenger service to and from DFW by offering discounted fares to corporate purchasers, by offering a frequent flyer program, by imposing certain conditions on the use and availability of certain fares, and by offering override commissions to travel agents. The suits propose to certify several classes of consumers, the broadest of which is all persons who purchased tickets for air travel on American into or out of DFW since 1995 to the present. On November 10, 1999, the District Court stayed all of these actions pending developments in the case brought by the Department of Justice. As a result, to date no class has been certified. American intends to defend these lawsuits vigorously. -15- 18 PART II ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS (CONTINUED) On March 1, 2000, American was served with a federal grand jury subpoena calling for American to produce documents relating to de-icing operations at DFW since 1992. American is not able at this time to determine either the full scope of the grand jury's investigation or American's role in the investigation. American intends to fully cooperate with the government's investigation. ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS The owners of 134,113,443 shares of common stock, or 90 percent of shares outstanding, were represented at the annual meeting of stockholders on May 17, 2000 at the American Airlines Training & Conference Center, 4501 Highway 360 South, Fort Worth, Texas. Elected as directors of the Corporation, each receiving a minimum of 132,601,686 votes were: David L. Boren Ann D. McLaughlin Edward A. Brennan Charles H. Pistor, Jr. Donald J. Carty Philip J. Purecell Armando M. Codina Joe M. Rodgers Earl G. Graves Judith Rodin

Stockholders ratified the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as independent auditors for the Corporation for 2000. The vote was 133,752,052 in favor; 62,885 against; and 298,506 abstaining. A stockholder proposal relating to the future location of the annual meetings of stockholders -- submitted by Mrs. Evelyn Y. Davis -- was defeated. The vote was 4,818,151 in favor; 109,828,256 against; 1,136,947 abstaining; and 18,330,089 non-voting. -16- 19 PART II ITEM 6. EXHIBITS AND REPORTS ON FORM 8-K The following exhibits are included herein: 12 Computation of ratio of earnings to fixed charges for the three and six months ended June 30, 2000 and 1999. 27.1 Financial Data Schedule as of June 30, 2000. 27.2 Restated Financial Data Schedule as of June 30, 1999. On April 20, 2000, AMR filed a report on Form 8-K relative to a press release issued to report the Company's second quarter 2000 earnings. -17- 20 SIGNATURE Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized. AMR CORPORATION Date: July 28, 2000 BY: /s/ Thomas W. Horton ----- Thomas W. Horton Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer -18- 21 INDEX TO EXHIBITS

EXHIBIT
NUMBER
DESCRIPTION

-- 12
Computation of
ratio of earnings
to fixed charges
for the three and
six months
ended June 30,
2000 and 1999.
27.1 Financial
Data Schedule
as of June 30,
2000. 27.2
Restated
Financial Data
Schedule as of
June 30, 1999.