## SUBJECTIVE QUESTION

1. Which are the top three variables in your model which contribute most towards the probability of a lead getting converted?

The coefficients of these influential variables are as follows:

```
a.'Tags Lost to EINS' (Coefficient factor = 8.745353)
```

b.'Tags\_Closed by Horizzon' (Coefficient factor = 8.753978)

c'.Lead Quality\_Worst' (Coefficient factor = -3.539447) The model achieved a remarkable Sensitivity of 1, implying that it correctly predicts 100% of the converted customers (Positive conversions).

2. What are the top 3 categorical/dummy variables in the model which should be focused the most on in order to increase the probability of lead conversion?

In the ultimate model, the three most influential categorical/dummy variables are 'Tags\_Lost to EINS', 'Tags\_Closed by Horizzon', and 'Lead Quality\_Worst,' as determined by the absolute values of their coefficient factors.

'Tags\_Lost to EINS' and 'Tags\_Closed by Horizzon' were derived from the original categorical variable 'Tags' through encoding, while 'Lead Quality\_Worst' resulted from encoding the categorical variable 'Lead Quality.'

3. X Education has a period of 2 months every year during which they hire some interns. The sales team, in particular, has around 10 interns allotted to them. So during this phase, they wish to make the lead conversion more aggressive. So they want almost all of the potential leads (i.e. the customers who have been predicted as 1 by the model) to be converted and hence, want to make phone calls to as much of such people as possible. Suggest a good strategy they should employ at this stage.

```
1 leadScore_build_model_cutoff(X_leadScore_train[leadScore_column], y_leadScore_train, X_leadScore_test[leadScore_column],
-----Result of training data-----
Prospect ID Converted Convert_Probability Convert_predicted Lead_Score
   2746 1 0.998158 1
6543 0 0.002923 0
7458 1 0.910774 1
7448 1 0.988297 1
6134 1 0.950594 1
0
1
                                                         0
                                                        91
                                                1
2
3
                                                1
                                                        99
4
      6134
                  1
                            0.950594
                                                1
------Result of test data-----
Prospect ID Converted Convert_Probability Convert_predicted Lead_Score
                                     1
                               1.0
0 141 0
      1
                                                         100
2
                                                         100
                                                        100
3
                                                1
                                                        100
------Model Evaluation Metrics-----
lead Score Confusion Matrix :
[[ 6 1267]
[ 0 761]]
lead score Accuracy : 0.37708947885939037
lead Score Sensitivity: 1.0
lead score Specificity: 0.004713275726630008
lead score Precision: 0.3752465483234714
```

	Prospect ID	Converted	Convert_Probability	Convert_predicted	Lead_Score
0	141	0	1.0	1	100
1	7418	0	1.0	1	100
2	6408	1	1.0	1	100
3	3128	0	1.0	1	100
4	1490	1	1.0	1	100
	***	(444)	Service	S-14	
2029	6261	0	1.0	.1	100
2030	5868	1	1.0	1	100
2031	1180	1	1.0	1	100
2032	5656	1	1.0	1	100
2033	9119	0	1.0	1	100

2034 rows × 5 columns

4. Similarly, at times, the company reaches its target for a quarter before the deadline. During this time, the company wants the sales team to focus on some new work as well. So during this time, the company's aim is to not make phone calls unless it's extremely necessary, i.e. they want to minimize the rate of useless phone calls. Suggest a strategy they should employ at this stage.

1 leadScore\_build\_model\_cutoff(X\_leadScore\_train[leadScore\_column], y\_leadScore\_train, X\_leadScore\_test[leadScore\_column]

	Prospect ID	Converted	Convert_Probability	Convert_predicted	Lead_Score
0	2746	1	0.998158	1	100
1	6543	0	0.002923	0	6
2	7458	1	0.910774	1	91
3	7448	1	0.988297	1	99
4	6134	1	0.950594	1	95
		Result o	f test data		
	Prospect ID	Converted	Convert_Probability	Convert_predicted	Lead_Score
0	141	0	1.0	1	100
1	7418	0	1.0	1	100
2	6408	1	1.0	1	100
3	3128	0	1.0	1	100
4	1490	1	1.0	1	100
		Model Ev	aluation Metrics		
le	ad Score Conf	usion Matri	x :		
[	[ 14 1259]				
Ī	0 761]]				
le	ad score Accu	racy : 0.38	10226155358899		
le	ad Score Sens	itivity : 1	.0		
le	ad score Spec	ificity : 0	.010997643362136685		
10	ad score Prec	ision: 0.3	7673267326732673		

Prospect ID	Converted	Convert_Probability	Convert_predicted	Lead_Score
141	0	1.0	1	100
7418	0	1.0	1	100
6408	1	1.0	1	100
3128	0	1.0	1	100
1490	1	1.0	1	100
***	***	***	***	***
6261	0	1.0	1	100
5868	1	1.0	1	100
1180	1	1.0	1	100
5656	1	1.0	1	100
9119	0	1.0	1	100
	141 7418 6408 3128 1490  6261 5868 1180	141 0 7418 0 6408 1 3128 0 1490 1 6261 0 5868 1 1180 1 5656 1	141     0     1.0       7418     0     1.0       6408     1     1.0       3128     0     1.0       1490     1     1.0            6261     0     1.0       5868     1     1.0       1180     1     1.0       5656     1     1.0	7418       0       1.0       1         6408       1       1.0       1         3128       0       1.0       1         1490       1       1.0       1               6261       0       1.0       1         5868       1       1.0       1         1180       1       1.0       1         5656       1       1.0       1

2034 rows × 5 columns