

Dataset: Adverse Drug Events from week 9

Machine: 4 core CPU, 30 GiB of RAM

## Part 1:

Varying Maxlen (epochs set to 2)

Maxlen = 100

Epoch 1/2

588/588 ————— 25s 41ms/step - accuracy: 0.7548 -  
loss: 0.4946 - val\_accuracy: 0.8325 - val\_loss: 0.3745

Epoch 2/2

588/588 ————— 22s 38ms/step - accuracy: 0.8954 -  
loss: 0.2667 - val\_accuracy: 0.8367 - val\_loss: 0.3808

Maxlen = 200

Epoch 1/2

588/588 ————— 44s 72ms/step - accuracy: 0.7555 -  
loss: 0.4917 - val\_accuracy: 0.8165 - val\_loss: 0.4073

Epoch 2/2

588/588 ————— 80s 68ms/step - accuracy: 0.8905 -  
loss: 0.2657 - val\_accuracy: 0.9252 - val\_loss: 0.1834

Maxlen = 225

Epoch 1/2

588/588 ————— 49s 81ms/step - accuracy: 0.7561 -  
loss: 0.4970 - val\_accuracy: 0.9443 - val\_loss: 0.1800

Epoch 2/2

588/588 ————— 45s 76ms/step - accuracy: 0.8891 -  
loss: 0.2764 - val\_accuracy: 0.8382 - val\_loss: 0.3732

Maxlen = 250 :

Your notebook tried to allocate more memory than is available. It has restarted.

## Part 2:

Epoch = 5

Epoch 1/5

588/588 ————— 49s 81ms/step - accuracy: 0.7533 -  
loss: 0.4952 - val\_accuracy: 0.8971 - val\_loss: 0.2525

Epoch 2/5  
 588/588 ————— 45s 76ms/step - accuracy: 0.8928 -  
 loss: 0.2600 - val\_accuracy: 0.9345 - val\_loss: 0.1801

Epoch 3/5  
 588/588 ————— 83s 77ms/step - accuracy: 0.9438 -  
 loss: 0.1498 - val\_accuracy: 0.9324 - val\_loss: 0.1660

Epoch 4/5  
 588/588 ————— 81s 76ms/step - accuracy: 0.9703 -  
 loss: 0.0829 - val\_accuracy: 0.8480 - val\_loss: 0.4536

Epoch 5/5  
 588/588 ————— 45s 77ms/step - accuracy: 0.9777 -  
 loss: 0.0594 - val\_accuracy: 0.8863 - val\_loss: 0.3657

Since results are deteriorating I will reduce number of epochs to 3

### Epoch = 3

Epoch 1/3  
 588/588 ————— 49s 81ms/step - accuracy: 0.7441 -  
 loss: 0.5082 - val\_accuracy: 0.7864 - val\_loss: 0.4631

Epoch 2/3  
 588/588 ————— 79s 76ms/step - accuracy: 0.8902 -  
 loss: 0.2756 - val\_accuracy: 0.8663 - val\_loss: 0.3112

Epoch 3/3  
 588/588 ————— 44s 75ms/step - accuracy: 0.9454 -  
 loss: 0.1461 - val\_accuracy: 0.9562 - val\_loss: 0.1145

## Part 3:

**[Best model among all] Glove vectors (maxlen 225, epochs 5, batch size 64):**

Epoch 1/5  
 294/294 ————— 43s 137ms/step - accuracy: 0.7395 -  
 loss: 0.5073 - val\_accuracy: 0.7713 - val\_loss: 0.4765

Epoch 2/5  
 294/294 ————— 39s 131ms/step - accuracy: 0.8852 -  
 loss: 0.2811 - val\_accuracy: 0.8926 - val\_loss: 0.2596

Epoch 3/5  
 294/294 ————— 38s 130ms/step - accuracy: 0.9393 -  
 loss: 0.1565 - val\_accuracy: 0.8867 - val\_loss: 0.2832

Epoch 4/5  
 294/294 ————— 42s 133ms/step - accuracy: 0.9611 -  
 loss: 0.0989 - val\_accuracy: 0.8250 - val\_loss: 0.4649

Epoch 5/5  
 294/294 ————— 38s 129ms/step - accuracy: 0.9829 -  
 loss: 0.0498 - val\_accuracy: 0.9560 - val\_loss: 0.1238

## Word2Vec from current dataset

Epoch 1/5

294/294 ————— 23s 74ms/step - accuracy: 0.7265 -

loss: 0.5372 - val\_accuracy: 0.8348 - val\_loss: 0.3608

Epoch 2/5

294/294 ————— 20s 67ms/step - accuracy: 0.8767 -

loss: 0.2858 - val\_accuracy: 0.8608 - val\_loss: 0.3218

Epoch 3/5

294/294 ————— 20s 68ms/step - accuracy: 0.9381 -

loss: 0.1650 - val\_accuracy: 0.8767 - val\_loss: 0.3032

Epoch 4/5

294/294 ————— 20s 68ms/step - accuracy: 0.9712 -

loss: 0.0884 - val\_accuracy: 0.8276 - val\_loss: 0.4660

Epoch 5/5

294/294 ————— 20s 66ms/step - accuracy: 0.9764 -

loss: 0.0633 - val\_accuracy: 0.8869 - val\_loss: 0.3579

Observations:

300 maxlen would have been ideal too but I could not find a machine capable of running that computation. However a maxlen of 225 covers a sufficient majority. Intuitively 225 maxlen models should perform better than 200 maxlens but that is not necessarily the case.

For batch lens 32 and 64, 5 epochs end up being enough or more than enough for this datasets. The models begin to overfit after the 4th/5th epoch.

GloveVectors and GoogleNews Vectors performed almost similarly and very good. When forming vectors from the documents itself all values seen in validation accuracy were below 0.9.