Student Information

Full Name: Utku Gungor Id Number: 2237477

Answer 1

1.1

We have $a_n - a_{n-1} = n^2$, let's say $f(n) = n^2$. We can use a formula for these types of situations:

$$a_n = a_0 + \sum_{i=0}^n f(i)$$
 where $f(n) = n^2$.

To find a_0 , we can use $a_1 = 0$. For n = 1, we get $a_1 = a_0 + 1 = 1 \rightarrow a_0 = 0$. Then we obtain $a_n = \sum_{i=0}^n i^2 = \frac{n(n+1)(2n+1)}{6} \rightarrow a_n = \frac{2n^3 + 3n^2 + n}{6}$.

1.2

This is a linear nonhomogeneous recurrence relation. We should first solve the homogeneous part which is $a_n = 2a_{n-1}$.

By using the formula $r^2 + c_1 r - c_2 = 0$ where our $c_1 = 2$ and we have no c_2 . So we have $r^2 - 2r = 0$ which has two roots (0 and 2). 0 does not affect the equation, hence $a_n^h = \alpha \cdot 2^n$.

For the nonhomogeneous part we could say $a_n^p = C.2^n$ but in the homogeneous part we have same root (2^n) . So, let's say $a_n^p = C.2^n$.n and then we have the equation:

 $C.2^{n}.n = 2.C.2^{n-1}.(n-1) + 2^{n}$

 $C.2^n.n = 2^n.C.(n-1) + 2^n$

 $C.2^n.n = 2^n.(Cn - C + 1)$

Cn = Cn - C + 1 \rightarrow C = 1 . So , $a_n^p = 2^n$.n is a particular solution . By Theorem 5 from the textbook, all solutions are of the form ;

 $a_n = \alpha \cdot 2^n + 2^n \cdot \mathbf{n} = 2^n (\alpha + \mathbf{n}) .$

Now we can find α by using $a_0=1$. For n=0 , we have $\alpha.1+1.0=1\rightarrow\alpha=1$.

The solution is $a_n = 2^n(n+1)$.

Answer 2

Let P(n) be the proposition that shows $f(n) \leq g(n)$.

Basis Step: P(1) is true since $21 \le 21$.

Inductive Step: Assume P(k) holds for an arbitrary positive integer k. We must show that P(k+1) holds.

```
If P(k) holds, we have k^2 + 15k + 5 \le 21k^2

f(k+1) = k^2 + 17k + 21 = f(k) + 2k + 16 then ,we can write this equation for P(k+1);

f(k+1) = f(k) + 2k + 16 \le 21k^2 + 2k + 16 < 21k^2 + 42k + 21 = 21(k+1)^2 = g(k+1)
```

We have showed that P(k+1) holds. Therefore, $f(n) \leq g(n)$ for all positive integers n.

Answer 3

3.2

3.2.a

Let's use h(T) for height of the tree. We can recursively define this height as:

Basis Step: The height of an arbitrary binary tree with single vertex is h(T) = 0 and the height of an empty tree is h(T) = -1.

Recursive Step: We can think T_1 and T_2 as left and right arbitrary trees such that $T = T_1$. T_2 . Then our arbitrary binary tree has height $h(T) = 1 + \max(h(T_1), h(T_2))$ where $h(T_1)$ and $h(T_2)$ are heights of those arbitrary trees.

3.2.b

Let's use f(223-tree) as max. number of vertices, g(223-tree) as min. number of vertices and h(T) as height of the tree.

For f(223-tree):

Basis Step: The max. number of vertices of the 223-tree which has only a root is f(223-tree) = 1.

Recursive Step :We can think T_1 and T_2 as left and right subtrees such that 223-tree = T_1 . T_2 . Then the 223-tree has the number of vertices $f(223\text{-tree}) = 1 + f(T_1) + f(T_2)$.

For g(223-tree):

Basis Step : The min. number of vertices of the 223-tree which has only a root is g(223-tree) = 1.

Recursive Step :We can think T_1 and T_2 as left and right subtrees such that 223-tree = T_1 . T_2 . Then the 223-tree has the number of vertices $g(223\text{-tree}) = 1 + g(T_1) + g(T_2)$.

3.2.c

To reach max. number of vertices , we need a full binary tree instead of a tree with other type and we know the formula from the lecture slides for number of the vertices $f(T) = 2^{h(T)+1} - 1$ where h(T) is the height of the tree .

Proof for f(223-tree):

Basis Step : The result is satisfied for a binary tree which has only a root ,i.e. f(223-tree) = 1 and h(223-tree) = 0. $1 = 2^{0+1}-1$.

Recursive Step: We can think T_1 and T_2 as left and right subtrees of 223-tree. Assume $f(T_1) = 2^{h(T_1)+1} - 1$ and $f(T_2) = 2^{h(T_2)+1} - 1$. And we already know the recursive formula of n(T). We will use f(T) instead of n(T) since f(T) indicates the minimum number of vertices.

```
\begin{split} \text{f(223-tree)} &= 1 + \text{f}(T_1) + \text{f}(T_2) \\ &= 1 + \left(2^{h(T_1)+1} - 1\right) + \left(2^{h(T_2)+1} - 1\right) \\ &= 2 \cdot \max\left(2^{h(T_1)+1}, 2^{h(T_2)+1}\right) - 1 \\ &= 2 \cdot 2^{\max(h(T_1), h(T_2))} - 1 \\ &= 2 \cdot 2^{h(223 - tree)} - 1 \\ &= 2^{h(223 - tree) + 1} - 1 \; . \end{split}
```

To reach min. number of vertices , we need a tree with the property that for every vertex the absolute value of the difference of heights of its left subtree and right subtree is at most 2 instead of a full binary tree . Since full binary tree contains more vertices than the tree which has difference between left and right subtrees .

For a tree with h(223-tree) = 3 and difference between the height of the left and right subtrees is 2, min number of vertices g(223-tree) = 5.

For a tree with h(223-tree) = 4 and difference between the height of the left and right subtrees is 2, min number of vertices g(223-tree) = 7.

For a tree with h(223-tree) = 5 and difference between the height of the left and right subtrees is 2, min number of vertices g(223-tree) = 9.

By using these values , we obtain the formula for the minimum number of vertices g(T)=2.h(T) -1 .

Proof for g(223-tree):

Basis Step: The result is satisfied for a binary tree which has a right subtree with height 2 and left subtree with height 0, g(223-tree) = 2 and h(223-tree) = 2, i.e. $2 = 2 \cdot 2 - 1$.

Recursive Step: We can think T_1 and T_2 as left and right subtrees of 223-tree. Assume $g(T_1) = 2h(T_1) - 1$ and $g(T_2) = 2h(T_2) - 1$. And we already know the recursive formula of n(T). We will use g(T) instead of n(T) since g(T) indicates the minimum number of vertices.

```
\begin{split} \mathbf{g}(223\text{-tree}) &= 1 + \mathbf{g}(T_1) + \mathbf{g}(T_2) \\ &= 1 + (2\mathbf{h}(T_1) - 1) + (2\mathbf{h}(T_2) - 1) \\ &= \max(2\mathbf{h}(T_1), 2\mathbf{h}(T_2)) - 1 \\ &= 2.\max(\mathbf{h}(T_1), \mathbf{h}(T_2)) - 1 \\ &= 2.\mathbf{h}(223\text{-tree}) - 1 \;. \end{split}
```

Answer 4

4.1

We know the formula for combination with repetition from the text book . The Theorem 2 in the text book says there are $C(n+r-1\ , \, r)$ r-combinations from a set with n elements when repetition of elements is allowed .

4.1.a

In this question, we take n as the working number of the first loop which is n. And we take r as the number of nested loops. To find a, we use r = 2 and to find b, we use r = 3 since they are at different layers.

$$a = 2 \cdot C(n + 2 - 1, 2) = 2 \cdot C(n + 1, 2) = (n + 1) \cdot n = n^2 + n$$

We multiplied the combination by 2 since a is increasing 2 by 2. b =
$$C(n+3-1,3) = C(n+2,3) = \frac{(n+2).(n+1).n}{3!} = \frac{n^3+3n^2+2n}{6}$$

We did not do any multiplication for this combination since b is increasing 1 by 1.

4.1.b

If a = b, we need solve an equation for the values that we found in part (a).

$$n^{2} + n = \frac{n^{3} + 3n^{2} + 2n}{6}$$

$$6n^{2} + 6n = n^{3} + 3n^{2} + 2n$$

$$n^{3} - 3n^{2} - 4n = 0$$
By taking n parenthesis;

$$n(n^2 - 3n - 4) = 0$$

$$n(n-4)(n+1) = 0$$

We have n = 0, n = -1 and n = 4 but we know $n \ge 1$ since n is the working number of the first loop which means we just use the value n = 4. Therefore, n = 4.

4.2

4.2.a

$$C(10,2) = \frac{10!}{2!.8!} = 45$$

$$C(8,2) = \frac{8!}{2!.6!} = 28$$

$$C(6,2) = \frac{6!}{2! \cdot 4!} = 15$$

 $\binom{10}{2}$. $\binom{8}{2}$. $\binom{6}{2} = 45$. 28. 15 = 18900 ways to distribute 10 different fruits into 3 distinguishable plates, each plate has exactly 2 fruits.

4.2.b

$$C(10,1) = \frac{10!}{1!.9!} = 10$$

$$C(9,2) = \frac{9!}{2! \cdot 7!} = 36$$

$$C(7,3) = \frac{7!}{3! \cdot 4!} = 35$$

$$C(4,4) = \frac{4!}{4!.0!} = 1$$

$$\binom{10}{1}$$
 . $\binom{9}{2}$. $\binom{7}{3}$. $\binom{4}{4}=10$. 36 . 35 . 1 = 12600 ways .

4.2.c

If we use 1 plate , we can distribute fruits by using only $\binom{6}{6} = 1$ way .

For 2 plates, we can distribute fruits like 5-1, 4-2, 3-3. Then, we have:

- $\binom{6}{5}$. $\binom{1}{1} = 6$
- $\binom{6}{4}$. $\binom{2}{2} = 15$
- $\binom{6}{3}$. $\binom{3}{3} = 20$

So, there are 41 ways.

For 3 plates, we can distribute fruits like 4-1-1, 3-2-1, 2-2-2. Then, we have:

- $\binom{6}{4}$. $\binom{2}{1}$. $\binom{1}{1} = 30$
- $\binom{6}{3}$. $\binom{3}{2}$. $\binom{1}{1} = 60$
- $\binom{6}{2}$. $\binom{4}{2}$. $\binom{2}{2} = 90$

So ,there are 180 ways.

For 4 plates, we can distribute fruits like 3-1-1-1, 2-2-1-1. Then, we have:

- $\binom{6}{3}$. $\binom{3}{1}$. $\binom{2}{1}$. $\binom{1}{1}$ = 120
- $\binom{6}{2}$. $\binom{4}{2}$. $\binom{2}{1}$. $\binom{1}{1}$ = 180

So ,there are 300 ways.

Therefore, there are 1+41+180+300=522 ways to distribute 6 different fruits into 4 indistinguishable plates .

4.2.d

We don't have to use all of the dragons . So , we can use formula for using no dragon , 1 dragon , 2 dragons , 3 dragons and so on . There are C(n+r-1 , n-1) ways to place r indistinguishable

objects into n distinguishable boxes from the lecture slides .

```
For 0 dragon fruit, r=0 and n=4: C(4+0-1,4-1)=1 For 1 dragon fruit , r=1 and n=4: C(4,3)=4 For 2 dragon fruits , r=2 and n=4: C(5,3)=10 For 3 dragon fruits , r=3 and n=4: C(6,3)=20 For 4 dragon fruits , r=4 and n=4: C(7,3)=35 For 5 dragon fruits , r=5 and n=4: C(8,3)=56 For 6 dragon fruits , r=6 and n=4: C(9,3)=84 Hence there are 1+4+10+20+35+56+84=210 ways .
```