| Array Size | Merge | TMerge 2 | TMerge 4 | TMerge 16 | TMerge 32 |
|------------|--------|----------|----------|-----------|-----------|
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 0 | 59 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 | 0 | 38 | 52 | 0 | 0 |
| 8 | 0 | 47 | 63 | 1 | 0 |
| 16 | 1 | 43 | 55 | 198 | 2 |
| 32 | 4 | 50 | 55 | 205 | 388 |
| 64 | 8 | 40 | 67 | 198 | 388 |
| 128 | 18 | 46 | 63 | 201 | 396 |
| 256 | 39 | 56 | 60 | 212 | 403 |
| 512 | 85 | 84 | 89 | 225 | 449 |
| 1024 | 181 | 138 | 142 | 260 | 476 |
| 2048 | 404 | 269 | 250 | 348 | 572 |
| 4096 | 826 | 489 | 516 | 496 | 742 |
| 8192 | 2003 | 987 | 1047 | 849 | 1028 |
| 16384 | 3735 | 2052 | 1610 | 1622 | 2042 |
| 32768 | 7934 | 4265 | 3271 | 3169 | 3592 |
| 65536 | 16791 | 9096 | 6435 | 6663 | 7211 |
| 131072 | 34810 | 18413 | 13130 | 13026 | 14303 |
| 262144 | 72910 | 38593 | 26892 | 26328 | 29179 |
| 524288 | 153746 | 82356 | 53679 | 52579 | 58401 |
| 1048576 | 321836 | 171643 | 152034_ | 204117 | 164039 |

The speed *improved* for larger arrays and a certain number of threads.

The time taken to sort each subarray is significant for large arrays. By parallelizing this step, you reduce the overall sorting time substantially. Dividing the work across multiple threads reduces the computational load per thread. Thread creation and management introduce overhead. For small arrays, this overhead can dominate the total execution time, making threaded versions slower. With larger arrays, the sorting work becomes much more significant than the threading overhead. Sorting enormous arrays benefits from efficient memory access patterns.

The most challenging was ensuring optimal performance. The merge phase requires careful coordination. Merging sorted subarrays in parallel without overwriting shared data or causing race conditions is complex. The size of the subarrays to merge decreases as the algorithm

progresses, making it challenging to distribute work evenly among threads. Designing an algorithm that efficiently utilizes threads at each merging level is not intuitive.

The easiest part was parallelizing the initial sorting of the subarrays. Each thread works on a distinct portion of the array without communicating or synchronizing with other threads while sorting its subarray. Since threads do not interfere with each other's data, you do not have to implement complex synchronization mechanisms for this phase. I know this is not the most optimized way, but it was easy to implement to get something working quickly.