6.1800 Spring 2024

Lecture #10: Routing at scale, and with policy

Katrina's favorite protocol to teach

Katrina LaCurts | lacurts@mit.edu | 6.1800 2024

# 6.1800 in the news

Understanding How Facebook Disappeared from the Internet

10/04/2021

Celso Martinho   Tom Strickx

This post is also available in 简体中文, 繁體中文, 日本語, 한국어, *Deutsch, Français, Español, Português, Русский, and Italiano.*

The Internet - A Network of Networks

"Facebook can't be down, can it?", we thought, for a second.

1970s: ARPAnet	1978: flexibility and layering	early 80s: growth → change	late 80s: growth → problems	1993: commercialization

hosts.txt **distance-vector routing**   TCP, UDP   **OSPF**, EGP, DNS   congestion collapse   policy routing   CIDR

(a link-state routing protocol)

CAIDA's IPv4 AS Core,
January 2020
(https://www.caida.org/projects/
cartography/as-core/2020/)

application   the things that actually generate traffic

transport   sharing the network, reliability (or not)
examples: TCP, UDP

network   naming, addressing, routing
examples: IP

link   communication between two directly-connected nodes
examples: ethernet, bluetooth, 802.11 (wifi)

last time: neither distance-vector nor link-state routing will scale to the size of the Internet, nor do either let us address policy routing

| 1970s: ARPAnet	1978: flexibility and layering	early 80s: growth → change	late 80s: growth → problems	1993: commercialization

hosts.txt | distance-vector routing | TCP, UDP | OSPF, **EGP**, DNS | congestion collapse | **policy routing** | CIDR

CAIDA's IPv4 AS Core, January 2020 (https://www.caida.org/projects/cartography/as-core/2020/)

this time: scale and policy!
(so we're thinking about the Internet specifically today, not just any network)

notice that we're *not* highlighting the network layer; we'll talk about why

application   the things that actually generate traffic

transport   sharing the network, reliability (or not)
examples: TCP, UDP

network   naming, addressing, routing
examples: IP

link   communication between two directly-connected nodes
examples: ethernet, bluetooth, 802.11 (wifi)

Katrina LaCurts | lacurts@mit.edu | 6.1800 2024

neither one of these algorithms will scale to the size of the internet, nor do either of them allow for *policy routing*

link state	distance vector
what's in an advertisement	
its **link costs** to each of its **neighbors**	its **current costs** to **every node it's aware of**
who gets a node's advertisement	
effectively, **every other node** (via flooding)	only its **neighbors**
what happens when things fail?	
flooding makes link-state routing very resilient to failure	failures can be complicated because of timing
what limits scale?	
the **overhead** of flooding	failure handling

**scalable routing:** a few different things allow us to route across the Internet

scalable routing: a few different things allow us to route across the Internet

1. **hierarchy of routing:** route between ASes, and then within an AS

scalable routing: a few different things allow us to route across the Internet

1. **hierarchy of routing:** route between ASes, and then within an AS

2. **path-vector routing:** advertisements include the path, to better detect routing loops

`[(C, 1, <B, C>)]`

scalable routing: a few different things allow us to route across the Internet

1. **hierarchy of routing:** route between ASes, and then within an AS

2. **path-vector routing:** advertisements include the path, to better detect routing loops

`[(C, 1, <B, C>)]`

3. **topological addressing:** assign addresses in contiguous blocks to make advertisements smaller

`18.0.0.0, … ,18.0.0.255`

`18.0.0.0/24`

scalable routing: a few different things allow us to route across the Internet

1. **hierarchy of routing:** route between ASes, and then within an AS

2. **path-vector routing:** advertisements include the path, to better detect routing loops

   `[(C, 1, <B, C>)]`

3. **topological addressing:** assign addresses in contiguous blocks to make advertisements smaller

   `18.0.0.0, … ,18.0.0.255`

   `18.0.0.0/24`

now that we have **scale**, we want a means to implement **policy**

common AS relationships

arrows describe the flow of money; traffic may flow in both directions

common AS relationships
arrows describe the flow of money; traffic may flow in both directions

customer pays **provider** for transit

common AS relationships
arrows describe the flow of money; traffic may flow in both directions

customer pays **provider** for transit

E

💰$

B

customer pays **provider** for transit

E

makes money whenever *any* traffic flows on this link

B

common AS relationships
arrows describe the flow of money; traffic may flow in both directions

customer pays **provider** for transit

G

💰

E

💰 E makes money
whenever *any* traffic
flows on this link

B

common AS relationships

arrows describe the flow of money; traffic may flow in both directions

customer pays **provider** for transit

G

E

💰 G — makes money whenever *any* traffic flows on this link

B

💰 E — makes money whenever *any* traffic flows on this link

common AS relationships

arrows describe the flow of money; traffic may flow in both directions

typically a provider will charge more money to its customers than it pays its own provider, so **(E)** still makes a profit here

G

💰 **(G)** makes money whenever *any* traffic flows on this link

E

💰 **(E)** makes money whenever *any* traffic flows on this link

B

💰

customer pays **provider** for transit

customer pays **provider** for transit

G

💰$

E

💰$

B

common AS relationships
arrows describe the flow of money; traffic may flow in both directions

customer pays **provider** for transit

common AS relationships
arrows describe the flow of money; traffic may flow in both directions

customer pays **provider** for transit

peers allow (free*) mutual access to each other's customers

*as long as the amount of traffic in each direction is roughly equal

common AS relationships
arrows describe the flow of money; traffic may flow in both directions

customer pays **provider** for transit

peers allow (free*) mutual access to each other's customers

*as long as the amount of traffic in each direction is roughly equal

common AS relationships
arrows describe the flow of money; traffic may flow in both directions

customer pays **provider** for transit

peers allow (free*) mutual access to each other's customers

*as long as the amount of traffic in each direction is roughly equal

**question:** suppose traffic travels the path A-D-E-F-C. which of those ASes make money as a result?

common AS relationships
arrows describe the flow of money; traffic may flow in both directions

customer pays **provider** for transit

**peers** allow (free*) mutual access to each other's customers

*as long as the amount of traffic in each direction is roughly equal

Katrina LaCurts | lacurts@mit.edu | 6.1800 2024

question: suppose traffic travels the path A-D-E-F-C. which of those ASes make money as a result?

if (E) allows its two peers to send traffic through it to their respective customers, it makes no money

common AS relationships
arrows describe the flow of money; traffic may flow in both directions

customer pays **provider** for transit

peers allow (free*) mutual access to each other's customers

*as long as the amount of traffic in each direction is roughly equal

common AS relationships
arrows describe the flow of money; traffic may flow in both directions

customer pays **provider** for transit

peers allow (free*) mutual access to each other's customers

*as long as the amount of traffic in each direction is roughly equal

common AS relationships
arrows describe the flow of money; traffic may flow in both directions

customer pays **provider** for transit

peers allow (free*) mutual access to each other's customers

*as long as the amount of traffic in each direction is roughly equal

these relationships are reflected in **export policies**

which routes to advertise, and to whom

common AS relationships

arrows describe the flow of money; traffic may flow in both directions

customer pays **provider** for transit

peers allow (free*) mutual access to each other's customers

*as long as the amount of traffic in each direction is roughly equal

these relationships are reflected in
export policies

which routes to advertise, and to whom

we're focusing on the middle node (E) right now; ignore the gray nodes

common AS relationships

arrows describe the flow of money; traffic may flow in both directions

customer pays **provider** for transit

peers allow (free*) mutual access to each other's customers

*as long as the amount of traffic in each direction is roughly equal

....

these relationships are reflected in
export policies

which routes to advertise, and to whom

a provider wants its customers to send and receive *as much traffic through the provider as possible*

G

💰

E

💰

B

we're focusing on the middle node (E) right now; ignore the gray nodes

common AS relationships

arrows describe the flow of money; traffic may flow in both directions

customer pays **provider** for transit

**peers** allow (free*) mutual access to each other's customers

*as long as the amount of traffic in each direction is roughly equal

a provider wants its customers to send and receive *as much traffic through the provider as possible*

these relationships are reflected in
export policies

which routes to advertise, and to whom

providers tell all neighbors about their customers, and tell their customers about all neighbors*

we're focusing on the middle node (E) right now; ignore the gray nodes

* they'll also tell all neighbors about themselves; for example, E lets G know that it can reach all machines within E

common AS relationships

arrows describe the flow of money; traffic may flow in both directions

a provider wants its customers to send and receive *as much traffic through the provider as possible*

customer pays **provider** for transit

peers allow (free*) mutual access to each other's customers

*as long as the amount of traffic in each direction is roughly equal

i can reach B

these relationships are reflected in
## export policies

which routes to advertise, and to whom

providers tell all neighbors about their customers, and tell their customers about all neighbors*

we're focusing on the middle node (E) right now; ignore the gray nodes

* they'll also tell all neighbors about themselves; for example, E lets G know that it can reach all machines within E

Katrina LaCurts | lacurts@mit.edu | 6.1800 2024

common AS relationships
arrows describe the flow of money; traffic may flow in both directions

a provider wants its customers to send and receive *as much traffic through the provider as possible*

customer pays **provider** for transit

**peers** allow (free*) mutual access to each other's customers

*as long as the amount of traffic in each direction is roughly equal

---

these relationships are reflected in
export policies
which routes to advertise, and to whom

providers tell all neighbors about their customers, and tell their customers about all neighbors*

we're focusing on the middle node (E) right now; ignore the gray nodes

* they'll also tell all neighbors about themselves; for example, E lets G know that it can reach all machines within E

i can reach B

i can reach G

common AS relationships

arrows describe the flow of money; traffic may flow in both directions

customer pays **provider** for transit

peers allow (free*) mutual access to each other's customers

*as long as the amount of traffic in each direction is roughly equal

these relationships are reflected in
export policies

which routes to advertise, and to whom

providers tell all neighbors about their customers, and tell their customers about all neighbors*

i can reach **B**

G

i can reach **G**

E   **F**

B   **C**

this slide represents one "round" of advertisements from node E; other routes will be discovered in subsequent rounds (see next slide)

* they'll also tell all neighbors about themselves; for example, E lets G know that it can reach all machines within E

common AS relationships
arrows describe the flow of money; traffic may flow in both directions

customer pays **provider** for transit

peers allow (free*) mutual access to each other's customers

*as long as the amount of traffic in each direction is roughly equal

these relationships are reflected in
export policies
which routes to advertise, and to whom

**providers** tell all neighbors about their customers, and tell their customers about all neighbors*

peers tell each other about their customers

i can reach B

i can reach B

i can reach G

i can reach B

this slide represents one "round" of advertisements from node E; other routes will be discovered in subsequent rounds (see next slide)

* they'll also tell all neighbors about themselves; for example, E lets G know that it can reach all machines within E

Katrina LaCurts | lacurts@mit.edu | 6.1800 2024

common AS relationships

arrows describe the flow of money; traffic may flow in both directions

customer pays **provider** for transit

peers allow (free*) mutual access to each other's customers

*as long as the amount of traffic in each direction is roughly equal

these relationships are reflected in
export policies

which routes to advertise, and to whom

providers tell all neighbors about their customers, and tell their customers about all neighbors*

peers tell each other about their customers

* they'll also tell all neighbors about themselves; for example, E lets G know that it can reach all machines within E

notice that peers *do not* tell each other about their own providers; they would lose money providing that transit

i can reach B

i can reach B

i can reach G

this slide represents one "round" of advertisements from node E; other routes will be discovered in subsequent rounds (see next slide)

common AS relationships
arrows describe the flow of money; traffic may flow in both directions

notice that peers *do not* tell each other about their own providers; they would lose money providing that transit

i can reach B

i can reach B

i can reach G

i can reach F

this slide represents one "round" of advertisements from node E; other routes will be discovered in subsequent rounds (see next slide)

customer pays **provider** for transit

peers allow (free*) mutual access to each other's customers

*as long as the amount of traffic in each direction is roughly equal

## these relationships are reflected in
export policies
which routes to advertise, and to whom

providers tell all neighbors about their customers, and tell their customers about all neighbors*

peers tell each other about their customers

* they'll also tell all neighbors about themselves; for example, E lets G know that it can reach all machines within E

question: after all advertisements have been sent, does C know about a route to G?

notice that peers *do not* tell each other about their own providers; they would lose money providing that transit

i can reach B

i can reach B

i can reach G
i can reach F

this slide represents one "round" of advertisements from node E; other routes will be discovered in subsequent rounds (see next slide)

common AS relationships
arrows describe the flow of money; traffic may flow in both directions

customer pays **provider** for transit

peers allow (free*) mutual access to each other's customers

*as long as the amount of traffic in each direction is roughly equal

these relationships are reflected in
# export policies
which routes to advertise, and to whom

providers tell all neighbors about their customers, and tell their customers about all neighbors*

peers tell each other about their customers

* they'll also tell all neighbors about themselves; for example, E lets G know that it can reach all machines within E

common AS relationships
arrows describe the flow of money; traffic may flow in both directions

customer pays **provider** for transit

peers allow (free*) mutual access to each other's customers

*as long as the amount of traffic in each direction is roughly equal

---

in this example, some of our ASes are **unable** to send traffic to G ; they do not know about any routes to it

these relationships are reflected in **export policies**

which routes to advertise, and to whom

providers tell all neighbors about their customers, and tell their customers about all neighbors*

peers tell each other about their customers

knows routes to

E   F

G   C

knows routes to

B   E   F

* they'll also tell all neighbors about themselves; for example, E lets G know that it can reach all machines within E

common AS relationships

arrows describe the flow of money; traffic may flow in both directions

in this example, some of our ASes are **unable** to send traffic to G ; they do not know about any routes to it

customer pays **provider** for transit

peers allow (free*) mutual access to each other's customers

*as long as the amount of traffic in each direction is roughly equal

in fact, there are quite a few ASes here that are disconnected from one another

these relationships are reflected in
export policies

which routes to advertise, and to whom

providers tell all neighbors about their customers, and tell their customers about all neighbors*

peers tell each other about their customers

* they'll also tell all neighbors about themselves; for example, E lets G know that it can reach all machines within E

Katrina LaCurts | lacurts@mit.edu | 6.1800 2024

common AS relationships
arrows describe the flow of money; traffic may flow in both directions

customer pays **provider** for transit

peers allow (free*) mutual access to each other's customers

*as long as the amount of traffic in each direction is roughly equal

these relationships are reflected in
export policies
which routes to advertise, and to whom

providers tell all neighbors about their customers, and tell their customers about all neighbors*

peers tell each other about their customers

* they'll also tell all neighbors about themselves; for example, E lets G know that it can reach all machines within E

Katrina LaCurts | lacurts@mit.edu | 6.1800 2024

common AS relationships

arrows describe the flow of money; traffic may flow in both directions

customer pays **provider** for transit

peers allow (free*) mutual access to each other's customers

*as long as the amount of traffic in each direction is roughly equal

these relationships are reflected in
export policies

which routes to advertise, and to whom

**providers** tell all neighbors about their customers, and tell their customers about all neighbors*

peers tell each other about their customers

* they'll also tell all neighbors about themselves; for example, E lets G know that it can reach all machines within E

Katrina LaCurts | lacurts@mit.edu | 6.1800 2024

common AS relationships
arrows describe the flow of money; traffic may flow in both directions

customer pays **provider** for transit

peers allow (free*) mutual access to each other's customers

*as long as the amount of traffic in each direction is roughly equal

these relationships are reflected in
export policies
which routes to advertise, and to whom

providers tell all neighbors about their customers, and tell their customers about all neighbors*

peers tell each other about their customers

* they'll also tell all neighbors about themselves; for example, E lets G know that it can reach all machines within E

Katrina LaCurts | lacurts@mit.edu | 6.1800 2024

common AS relationships

arrows describe the flow of money; traffic may flow in both directions

customer pays **provider** for transit

peers allow (free*) mutual access to each other's customers

*as long as the amount of traffic in each direction is roughly equal

these relationships are reflected in
export policies

which routes to advertise, and to whom

providers tell all neighbors about their customers, and tell their customers about all neighbors*

peers tell each other about their customers

* they'll also tell all neighbors about themselves; for example, E lets G know that it can reach all machines within E

on the Internet, all of the top tier ("tier-1") ISPs peer, to provide global connectivity

this is an extremely simplified diagram. you'd expect to see other sorts of peering agreements in this graph, and in fact other sorts of AS relationships

common AS relationships

arrows describe the flow of money; traffic may flow in both directions

customer pays **provider** for transit

peers allow (free*) mutual access to each other's customers

*as long as the amount of traffic in each direction is roughly equal

these relationships are also reflected in

import policies

which routes to *use*

on the Internet, all of the top tier ("tier-1") ISPs peer, to provide global connectivity

this is an extremely simplified diagram. you'd expect to see other sorts of peering agreements in this graph, and in fact other sorts of AS relationships

common AS relationships

arrows describe the flow of money; traffic may flow in both directions

customer pays **provider** for transit

peers allow (free*) mutual access to each other's customers

*as long as the amount of traffic in each direction is roughly equal

these relationships are also reflected in **import policies**

which routes to *use*

ASes set their own *import policies*. typically, if an AS hears about multiple routes to a destination, it will prefer to use its customers first, then peers, then providers

if that's not enough, a variety of other attributes are provided

on the Internet, all of the top tier ("tier-1") ISPs peer, to provide global connectivity

this is an extremely simplified diagram. you'd expect to see other sorts of peering agreements in this graph, and in fact other sorts of AS relationships

Katrina LaCurts | lacurts@mit.edu | 6.1800 2024

on the Internet, all of the top tier ("tier-1") ISPs peer, to provide global connectivity

this is an extremely simplified diagram. you'd expect to see other sorts of peering agreements in this graph, and in fact other sorts of AS relationships

BGP is an **application layer** protocol, even though it deals with routing

|	
application	the things that actually generate traffic
transport	sharing the network, reliability (or not) *examples: TCP, UDP*
network	naming, addressing, routing *examples: IP*
link	communication between two directly-connected nodes *examples: ethernet, bluetooth, 802.11 (wifi)*

does BGP scale?

on the Internet, all of the top tier ("tier-1") ISPs peer, to provide global connectivity

this is an extremely simplified diagram. you'd expect to see other sorts of peering agreements in this graph, and in fact other sorts of AS relationships

does BGP scale?

it works on the Internet (which is good), but the size of routing tables, route instability, multihoming, and iBGP all cause scaling issues

on the Internet, all of the top tier ("tier-1") ISPs peer, to provide global connectivity

this is an extremely simplified diagram. you'd expect to see other sorts of peering agreements in this graph, and in fact other sorts of AS relationships

on the Internet, all of the top tier ("tier-1") ISPs peer, to provide global connectivity

does BGP scale?

it works on the Internet (which is good), but the size of routing tables, route instability, multihoming, and iBGP all cause scaling issues

is BGP secure?

does BGP scale?

it works on the Internet (which is good), but the size of routing tables, route instability, multihoming, and iBGP all cause scaling issues

is BGP secure?

it is not!

on the Internet, all of the top tier ("tier-1") ISPs peer, to provide global connectivity

this is an extremely simplified diagram. you'd expect to see other sorts of peering agreements in this graph, and in fact other sorts of AS relationships

on the Internet, all of the top tier ("tier-1") ISPs peer, to provide global connectivity

this is an extremely simplified diagram. you'd expect to see other sorts of peering agreements in this graph, and in fact other sorts of AS relationships

does BGP scale?

it works on the Internet (which is good), but the size of routing tables, route instability, multihoming, and iBGP all cause scaling issues

is BGP secure?

it is not!

Mark Imbriaco
@markimbriaco

BGP basically relies on the honor system

holly @girlziplocked
What's a dirty secret that everybody in your industry knows about but anyone outside of your line of work would be scandalized to hear?

Show this thread

on the Internet, all of the top tier ("tier-1") ISPs peer, to provide global connectivity

does BGP scale?

it works on the Internet (which is good), but the size of routing tables, route instability, multihoming, and iBGP all cause scaling issues

is BGP secure?

it is not!

does BGP matter?

absolutely — it is a huge part of the Internet's infrastructure

on the Internet, all of the top tier ("tier-1") ISPs peer, to
provide global connectivity

this is an extremely simplified diagram. you'd expect to see other sorts of peering
agreements in this graph, and in fact other sorts of AS relationships

Understanding How Facebook Disappeared from the Internet

10/04/2021

Celso Martinho   Tom Strickx

This post is also available in 简体中文, 繁體中文, 日本語, 한국어, Deutsch, Français, Español, Português, Русский, and Italiano.

The Internet - A Network of Networks

"Facebook can't be down, can it?", we thought, for a second.

https://blog.cloudflare.com/october-2021-facebook-outage/

Katrina LaCurts | lacurts@mit.edu | 6.1800 2024

on the Internet, all of the top tier ("tier-1") ISPs peer, to provide global connectivity

this is an extremely simplified diagram. you'd expect to see other sorts of peering agreements in this graph, and in fact other sorts of AS relationships

This was the source of yesterday's outage. During one of these routine maintenance jobs, a command was issued with the intention to assess the availability of global backbone capacity, which unintentionally took down all the connections in our backbone network, effectively disconnecting Facebook data centers globally. Our systems are designed to audit commands like these to prevent mistakes like this, but a bug in that audit tool prevented it from properly stopping the command.

https://engineering.fb.com/2021/10/05/networking-traffic/outage-details/

Katrina LaCurts | lacurts@mit.edu | 6.1800 2024

1970s: ARPAnet   1978: flexibility and layering   early 80s: growth → change   late 80s: growth → problems   1993: commercialization

hosts.txt   distance-vector routing   TCP, UDP   OSPF, **EGP**, DNS   congestion collapse   **policy routing**   CIDR

CAIDA's IPv4 AS Core,
January 2020
(https://www.caida.org/projects/
cartography/as-core/2020/)

application — the things that actually generate traffic

transport — sharing the network, reliability (or not)
examples: TCP, UDP

network — naming, addressing, routing
examples: IP

link — communication between two directly-connected nodes
examples: ethernet, bluetooth, 802.11 (wifi)

on the Internet, we have to solve all of the "normal" networking problems (addressing, routing, transport) **at massive scale, while supporting a diverse group of applications and competing economic interests**