

o) Division algorithm:

For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $q > 0$ \exists unique $m, r \in \mathbb{N}$ st
 $n = mq + r$ with $0 \leq r < q$.

i) uniqueness: Let there are pairs (m, r) and (m', r') with $0 \leq r < q$
 $\& 0 \leq r' < q$ st

$$n = mq + r$$

$$n = m'q + r'$$

For contradiction assume $m \neq m'$

(case 1) Let $m > m'$

$$\Rightarrow m \geq m' + 1$$

$$\Rightarrow n = mq + r$$

$$n \geq (m' + 1)q + r$$

$$= m'q + (q + r)$$

$$n > m'q + r' = n$$

relation between $(q+r)$ and r'

$$\textcircled{1} q + r \geq q$$

From uniqueness $\Rightarrow \textcircled{2} q > r'$

$$\Rightarrow n > n$$

$$\Rightarrow m = m'$$

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \textcircled{1} q + r \geq q \\ \textcircled{2} q > r' \end{array} \right\} (q+r) > r'$$

[contradiction]

For proving integers: $\textcircled{1} n=0$ $\textcircled{2} n>0$ $\textcircled{3} n<0$

classmate

Date

Page

II) $(m-m')q = (r'-r) \Rightarrow (r'-r) \text{ is multiple of } q \quad] \quad m-m'=0$
 $(r'-r) \text{ is between } -q \text{ \& } q$
 $-q < (r'-r) < q \quad [\because 0 \leq r < q \text{ and } 0 \leq r' < q]$

$$\Rightarrow m-m'=0$$

$$\Rightarrow m=m' \quad (r=r')$$

Generalization:

Let $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $q > 0$. Then \exists unique $m, r \in \mathbb{Z}$ with

$$0 \leq r < q \text{ st}$$

$$p = mq + r$$

$$-p = -(mq+r)$$

$$-p = -mq - r$$

$$0 \leq r < q \Rightarrow 0 > -r > -q$$

Proof:

Step 1:

Def:

A sequence a_0, a_1, \dots of \mathbb{N} or \mathbb{Z} or \mathbb{Q} is said to be infinite descent iff $a_0 > a_1 > a_2 \dots$

Lemma:

For natural numbers, we can't have any sequence that is infinite descent.

Proof:

Suppose that a_n is a sequence of natural numbers s.t.

$$a_n > a_{n+1} \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$$

$$\Rightarrow a_n \geq a_{n+1} + 1$$

$$\Rightarrow a_{n+1} \leq a_n - 1$$

From induction

$$a_n \leq a_0 - n \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$$

$$\text{If } a_0 < n \Rightarrow a_n < 0$$

which can't happen as $a_n \in \mathbb{N}$.

[CONTRADICTION]

\hookrightarrow We can't have a ~~sequence~~ sequence of natural numbers in infinite descent.

Proposition: [Interpersing of rationals inside integers]

Let x be a natural number. Then \exists a unique integer $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ s.t.

$$n \leq x < n+1$$

In particular, \exists a natural number N s.t.

$$x < N \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{Q}$$

Proof: Since $x \in \mathbb{Q}$, we have

$$x = p/q \quad \text{for some } p \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } q > 0 \in \mathbb{Z} (q \neq 0)$$

$\hookrightarrow \because p \in \mathbb{Z}$ so we can form both +ve & -ve numbers.

Division Algorithm:

$$p = mq + r \quad \text{for } m, r \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ with } 0 \leq r < q$$

$$x = \frac{p}{q} = \frac{mq}{q} + \frac{r}{q}$$

$$x = m + \frac{r}{q}$$

$$\geq m$$

$$\text{Also } x < m+1$$

$$0 \leq r < q$$

$$\Rightarrow 0 \leq r/q < 1$$

$$[r/q < 1]$$

$$\Rightarrow m \leq x < m+1 \quad \text{for some integer } m$$

Uniqueness:

Let us say $\exists m' \in \mathbb{Z}$ s.t.

$$m' \leq x < m'+1$$

$$m \leq x < m+1$$

$$\Rightarrow x < m'+1$$

$$\& \ x \geq m$$

$$x < m'+1 \& \ x \geq m'$$

$$m \leq x < m'+1$$

$$m+1 > x \geq m'$$

$$\Rightarrow (m-m') < 1 \dots \textcircled{1}$$

$$(m-m') \geq -1 \dots \textcircled{2}$$

Combine ① and ②

$$\Rightarrow |m-m'| < 1$$

$$\Rightarrow m = m' \quad (\because m, m' \in \mathbb{Z})$$

$$\bullet \quad m+1 > 0$$

$$N = m+1$$

$$\text{or } m+1 \leq 0$$

$$N = 0$$

Infinitely many rationals between m and $m+1$ ($m \in \mathbb{Z}$). Prove it.

lemmas used to prove propositions which are used to prove theorems

classmate

Date _____
Page _____

Proposition:

For 2 rationals x & y s.t. $x < y$, \exists another rational z s.t.

$$x < z < y$$

Proof: choose $z = \frac{x+y}{2}$

Is z rational? Yes $\rightarrow \left[\frac{\text{Rational}}{\text{Rational}} = \text{Rational} \right] \left[\frac{x+y}{2} \right]$ 'closure on rational'

$$x < z < y$$

$$z = \frac{x+y}{2} < \frac{y+y}{2} = y$$

$$z = \frac{x+y}{2} > \frac{x+x}{2} = x$$

$$\Rightarrow x < z < y$$

This way we can prove there are many rationals in between rationals.

Lemma:

There is no rational number x s.t. $x^2 = 2$.

Proof:

suppose there exists a rational $x = \frac{p}{q}$ for $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $q \neq 0$ s.t.

$$\left(\frac{p}{q} \right)^2 = 2$$

$$\text{or } p^2 = 2q^2$$

we can take $p, q > 0$ "without loss of generality."

$$(p, q) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$$

$$\hookrightarrow p^2 = 2q^2 : \text{+ve number } \frac{p}{q}$$

True $\frac{p}{q} \in \mathbb{Q}$

Def:

$p \in \mathbb{N}$ is said to be even if $p = 2k$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

$p \in \mathbb{N}$ is said to be odd if $p = 2k+1$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

claim: Natural numbers can either be even or odd not both.

Proof: Assume no. is both even and odd.

So $p^2 = 2q^2$

$\Rightarrow p^2$ is even $\Rightarrow p$ is even.

\hookrightarrow Assume $p^2 = \text{odd} \Rightarrow p = \text{odd}$ [contradiction]

$\therefore p$ is even $\Rightarrow \exists k \in \mathbb{N}$ st $p = 2k$

$$p^2 = 2q^2$$

$$4k^2 = 2q^2$$

$$q^2 = 2k^2$$

Also $p^2 = 2q^2 \Rightarrow p^2 > q^2 \Rightarrow p > q$ (as, $p, q > 0$)

We started with pair (p, q) st $p^2 = 2q^2$ and we got pair (q, k) st $q^2 = 2k^2$.

$$(p, q) \rightarrow (p', q') \rightarrow (p'', q'') \rightarrow \dots$$

st all are solutions of $p^2 = 2q^2$ st $p > p' > p''$.

which means we have constructed a sequence $p > p' > p''$ which is in infinite descent. This is a contradiction.

$$\Rightarrow \left(\frac{p}{q}\right)^2 \neq 2 \text{ for any } p, q \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ or } x \in \mathbb{Q}$$

o) Proposition:

For every rational $\epsilon > 0$ there exists rational $x > 0$ s.t.

$$x^2 < 2 < (x + \epsilon)^2$$

Proof:

Suppose for contradiction there does not exist $x > 0 \in \mathbb{Q}$ s.t. for all $\epsilon > 0$, $x^2 < 2 < (x + \epsilon)^2$

This means that if $x^2 < 2$ then $(x + \epsilon)^2 \leq 2$

We can't have $(x + \epsilon)^2 = 2$ [as a (rational)² $\neq 2$]

$$\Rightarrow (x + \epsilon)^2 < 2$$

Now if $x = 0$

$$0^2 = 0 < 2 \Rightarrow (0 + \epsilon)^2 < 2 \Leftrightarrow \epsilon^2 < 2$$

$$\text{if } x = \epsilon \Rightarrow (2\epsilon)^2 < 2$$

\Downarrow

$$(n\epsilon)^2 < 2 \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$$

But $n\epsilon$ is a +ve rational number

For each ϵ we can choose n s.t.

$$2 < n\epsilon$$

$$\text{choose } n \text{ s.t. } \frac{n\epsilon}{2} > \frac{2}{\epsilon} \Rightarrow 4 < (n\epsilon)^2 < 2$$

$$\Rightarrow 4 < 2 \quad (\text{impossible}) \Rightarrow (x + \epsilon)^2 > 2$$