

- Claim: Let $(a_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of rationals then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n$$

Proof: let $L = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n \in \mathbb{R}$

We want to show that $(a_n)_{n=m}^{\infty}$ converges to L .

$$\forall \epsilon > 0 \exists N \geq m, \text{ s.t. } \forall n \geq N, |a_n - L| < \epsilon$$

Suppose for contradiction that $(a_n)_{n=m}^{\infty}$ doesn't converge to L .

Contrapositive:

$$\exists \epsilon > 0, \forall N \geq m, \exists n \geq N \text{ s.t. } |a_n - L| \geq \epsilon$$

Let $\epsilon > 0$ be such a positive number

For each $N \geq m$ there is always some $n \geq N$ s.t. $|a_n - L| \geq \epsilon$

There are infinitely many $n \geq N$ s.t. $L - \epsilon > a_n > L + \epsilon$

\Rightarrow Either there are infinitely many n s.t. $a_n > L + \epsilon$ or there are infinitely many n s.t. $a_n < L - \epsilon$.

(Pigeonhole principle)

We also know that $(a_n)_{n=m}^{\infty}$ is a Cauchy sequence
 $\forall \epsilon > 0 \exists N$ s.t. $\forall n, m \geq N$

$$\Rightarrow |a_n - a_m| \leq \epsilon$$

For $\epsilon = \epsilon_0/2$

$$|a_n - a_m| \leq \epsilon_0/2 \quad \forall n, m \geq N_0 \quad \text{--- (3)}$$

then

let $n_1 \geq N_0$ be the index s.t. $a_{n_1} > L + \epsilon_0$

From (3) $a_n \geq a_{n_1} - \epsilon_0/2$

$a_m - \epsilon_0/2 \leq a_n \leq a_m + \epsilon_0/2$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n \geq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (L + \epsilon_0/2)$$

$$L \geq L + \epsilon_0/2 \quad \text{for some } \epsilon_0 > 0 \quad [\text{Contradiction}]$$

Proof (Case 2): Let $n_2 \geq N_0$ be an index s.t.
 $a_{n_2} < L - \epsilon_0$

From (3) $a_n \leq a_{n_2} + \epsilon_0/2$
 $< L - \epsilon_0/2$

$$L = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n \leq L - \epsilon_0/2$$

$$\Rightarrow L \leq L - \epsilon_0/2 \quad \text{for some } \epsilon_0 > 0 \quad [\text{Contradiction}]$$

$\Rightarrow (a_n)_{n=m}^{\infty}$ is a convergent sequence converging to L
or $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = L$

• Bounded sequences: A sequence $(a_n)_{n=m}^{\infty}$ is said to be bounded iff \exists some $M \in \mathbb{R}$ s.t. $|a_n| \leq M \forall n \geq m$.

• Lemma: Every Cauchy sequence is bounded.

Proof: $(\downarrow a_1, a_2, \dots, a_N, \downarrow a_N, a_{N+1}, \dots)$

Cauchy sequence of reals.

• Limit laws:

Let $(a_n)_{n=m}^{\infty}$ and $(b_n)_{n=m}^{\infty}$ s.t.

$$x = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n, \quad y = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n$$

Then,

$$\textcircled{1} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (a_n \pm b_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n \pm \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n$$

$$\textcircled{2} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (a_n b_n) = \left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n \right) \left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n \right)$$

$\textcircled{3}$ If $b_n \neq 0$ & $y \neq 0$ then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{a_n}{b_n} \right) = \frac{\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n}{\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n}$$

(1, 2, 3, ...)

Extended real number system

$$\mathbb{R}^+ = \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty, -\infty\}$$

$$\text{Define } \begin{cases} -(+\infty) = -\infty \\ -(-\infty) = +\infty \end{cases}$$

Supremum on extended real line \mathbb{R}^*

Let $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^*$ then

- ① $\text{Sup}(E)$ = Least upper bound on E if $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}$
- ② If E contains $+\infty$, i.e. $+\infty \in E$ then $\text{Sup}(E) = +\infty$
- ③ If $+\infty \notin E$ & $-\infty \in E$ then

$$\text{Sup}(E) = \text{Sup}(E \setminus \{-\infty\})$$

$\text{Inf}(E) = -\text{Sup}(-E)$, where

$$-E = \{-x : x \in \mathbb{R}^+\} \text{ if } E = \{x : x \in \mathbb{R}^*\}$$

Supremum need not to be in the set.

eg. $\{x : x \in \mathbb{R}, x^2 < 2\} = A$

$$\text{Sup}(A) = \sqrt{2} \text{ but } \sqrt{2} \notin A$$

Let $(a_n)_{n=m}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of reals then

Def: $\sup(a_n)_{n=m}^{\infty} := \sup(E)$

$$E = \{a_n \in \mathbb{R} : n \geq m\}$$

$$\inf(a_n)_{n=m}^{\infty} = \inf E$$

- Every convergent and/or Cauchy sequence is bounded

Is converse true? No

$\{1, -1, 1, -1, \dots\} \leftarrow$ Bounded but not convergent

But we can impose more conditions on bounded sequence to get convergence? Yes.

- Proposition:

- ① Let $(a_n)_{n=m}^{\infty}$ be a sequence that is upper bounded by some $M \in \mathbb{R}$ and $a_{n+1} \geq a_n \forall n \geq m$ then $(a_n)_{n=m}^{\infty}$ is convergent. In particular,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = \sup(a_n)_{n=m}^{\infty} \leq M$$

- ② Let $(b_n)_{n=m}^{\infty}$ be a sequence that is lower bounded by some $M \in \mathbb{R}$ and $a_{n+1} \leq a_n \forall n \geq m$ then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n = \inf(b_n)_{n=m}^{\infty} \geq M$

Proof:

① Since $(a_n)_{n=m}^{\infty}$ has an upper bound, this means $\sup(a_n)_{n=m}^{\infty}$ exists

$$L := \sup(a_n)_{n=m}^{\infty} = \sup(E), \text{ where } E = \{a_n : n \geq m\}$$

We want to show that $(a_n)_{n=m}^{\infty}$ converges to L

$$\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists N \geq m \text{ s.t. } \forall n \geq N$$

$$|a_n - L| < \epsilon$$

Let us fix some $\epsilon > 0$ then $L - \epsilon$ cannot be an Upper bound on E .

$\Rightarrow \exists$ some $N_0 \geq m$ for which $a_{N_0} > L - \epsilon$

For $n \geq N_0$

$$a_n \geq a_{N_0} > L - \epsilon \text{ --- ①}$$

② But L is an upper bound on E

$$a_n \leq L \text{ (} n \geq N_0 \text{)}$$

$$< L + \epsilon \text{ --- ②}$$

\Rightarrow ① & ② \Rightarrow

$$L + \epsilon > a_n > L - \epsilon$$

$$\text{or } |a_n - L| < \epsilon \quad \forall n \geq N_0$$

$\Rightarrow \forall \epsilon > 0, \exists \text{ some } N_0 \text{ s.t. } \forall n \geq N_0$

$$|a_n - L| < \epsilon \Rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = L$$

- Upper Bounded + Increasing \Rightarrow convergent
- Lower Bounded + Decreasing \Rightarrow convergent

- Not convergent \Rightarrow Not upper bounded OR Not increasing

Ex:

① $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x^n = 0 \quad \forall 0 < x < 1$

② $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x^n = +\infty \quad \forall x > 1$

\Rightarrow ①

$$x^{n+1} = x^n \cdot x < x^n$$

It is a decreasing sequence

Also, $x^n > 0$ (because $x > 0$)

$\Rightarrow (x^n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is convergent

$$\Rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x^n := L$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x^{n+1} = \left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x^n \right) \left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x \right)$$

$$\Rightarrow L = Lx$$

since $x < 1$, $L = 0$

$$b) \ x > 1 > 0$$

$$(b_n) = \left(\frac{1}{x^n}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$$

$$b_{n+1} = \frac{1}{x^{n+1}} = \frac{1}{x^n} \cdot \frac{1}{x} < b_n \quad \text{--- ①}$$

$$\text{Also since } x > 1 \Rightarrow \frac{1}{x} > 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{x^n} > 0 \quad \text{--- ②}$$

$$\Rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{x^n} \text{ exists}$$

Now suppose for contradiction that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x^n$ exists

$$\text{Then } 1 = x^n \cdot \frac{1}{x^n}$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 1 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (x^n) \times \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{1}{x^n}\right)$$

$$1 = 0 \Rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n \text{ doesn't exist.}$$