

Lecture 2. Functions and types

Functional Programming 2019/20



Universiteit Utrecht

[Faculty of Science
Information and Computing
Sciences]

Goals

- ▶ Function definitions
 - ▶ Local definitions
 - ▶ Guards and pattern matching
- ▶ Working with lists
- ▶ Layout and comments
- ▶ Notions about types
 - ▶ What is **polymorphism**?

Chapters 4 (up to 4.4) and 3 from Hutton's book



Simple functions

From the previous lecture...

```
average ns = sum ns `div` length ns
```

- ▶ Function `average` and argument `ns` are in **lowercase**
- ▶ This line defines an **equation**
- ▶ Calling a function is done **without parentheses**
 - ▶ `div` is used as an **operator**



Basic list functions

- ▶ `null` tells whether a list is empty
- ▶ `head` returns the first element in a list
- ▶ `tail` returns all but the first element

```
> null [1,2,3]
```

```
False
```

```
> head [1,2,3]
```

```
1
```

```
> tail [1,2,3]
```

```
[2,3]
```



Basic list functions

- ▶ `null` tells whether a list is empty
- ▶ `head` returns the first element in a list
 - ▶ `head` fails if the list is empty
- ▶ `tail` returns all but the first element
 - ▶ `tail` fails if the list is empty

```
> null [1,2,3]
```

```
False
```

```
> head [1,2,3]
```

```
1
```

```
> head []
```

```
*** Exception: Prelude.head: empty list
```

```
> tail [1,2,3]
```

```
[2,3]
```



List constructors

- ▶ `[]` is the empty list
- ▶ `x : xs` puts element `x` in front of the list `xs`
 - > `1 : []`
`[1]`
 - > `1 : [2,3]`
`[1,2,3]`
- ▶ In fact, `[1,2,3]` is **sugar** for `1 : (2 : (3 : []))`



Types of the basic list functions

- ▶ What are the types of those functions?



Types of the basic list functions

- What are the types of those functions?

Here is the first one: `null` checks if a list is empty

```
null :: [a] -> Bool
```

What about `head`, `tail`, `[]`, and `(:)`?



Types of the basic list functions

- What are the types of those functions?

Here is the first one: `null` checks if a list is empty

```
null :: [a] -> Bool
```

What about `head`, `tail`, `[]`, and `(:)`?

```
head :: [a] -> a
```

```
tail :: [a] -> [a]
```

```
[] :: [a]
```

```
(:) :: a -> [a] -> [a]
```



Conditionals

if condition then expression else expression

```
abs n = if n < 0 then -n else n
```

```
firstordefault def list
```

```
    = if null list then def else head list
```

- ▶ condition must be a Bool expression
- ▶ You always need **both** branches
 - ▶ What would you return if one is missing?
 - ▶ Remember, **everything is an expression**



Layout rule

- ▶ Haskell does not have other delimiters but parentheses
 - ▶ Not completely true, but valid for human-produced code
 - ▶ The grouping is done by indentation
- ▶ The **layout rule** applies for indentation
 - ▶ Related elements must start on the same column
 - ▶ In the case of conditionals, no requirements

```
abs n = if n < 0
      then -n
      else n
```

```
abs n = if n < 0
      then -n
      else n
```



Guards

Instead of conditionals, we use equations with **guards**

- ▶ Each guard defines a condition over the arguments
- ▶ These conditions are checked in order
 - ▶ The first satisfiable one is applied
- ▶ We typically use `otherwise` for the default case

```
abs n | n < 0      = -n
      | otherwise = n
```



Nested conditionals versus guards

```
sign n = if n < 0
         then -1
         else if n == 0
              then 0
              else 1
```

What does this function do?



Nested conditionals versus guards

```
sign n = if n < 0
         then -1
         else if n == 0
              then 0
              else 1
```

What does this function do?

It reads much better with guards!

```
sign n | n < 0      = -1
      | n == 0     = 0
      | otherwise  = 1
-- Why not | n > 0 = 1 ?
```



Nested conditionals versus guards

Good style

Prefer guards over conditionals

```
firstOrDefault def lst
| null lst  = def
| otherwise = head lst
```



Tuples

- ▶ **Lists** are sequences of elements of the same type
 - ▶ Unknown length, uniform type
`[True, False] :: [Bool]`
- ▶ **Tuples** are made of a number of components
 - ▶ Known length, different types
`(True, 'a') :: (Bool, Char)`
`(1, 'b', 3) :: (Int, Char, Int)`
 - ▶ Useful for returning several values



Root of a quadratic equation

The solutions of $ax^2 + bx + c = 0$ are given by

$$x = \frac{-b \pm \sqrt{b^2 - 4ac}}{2a}$$



Root of a quadratic equation

The solutions of $ax^2 + bx + c = 0$ are given by

$$x = \frac{-b \pm \sqrt{b^2 - 4ac}}{2a}$$

```
quad :: Float -> Float -> Float -> (Float, Float)
quad a b c
    = ( (-b + sqrt (b*b - 4*a*c)) / (2*a)
      , (-b - sqrt (b*b - 4*a*c)) / (2*a) )
```



Root of a quadratic equation

The solutions of $ax^2 + bx + c = 0$ are given by

$$x = \frac{-b \pm \sqrt{b^2 - 4ac}}{2a}$$

```
quad :: Float -> Float -> Float -> (Float, Float)
```

```
quad a b c
```

```
  = ( (-b + sqrt (b*b - 4*a*c)) / (2*a)  
      , (-b - sqrt (b*b - 4*a*c)) / (2*a) )
```

- ▶ So much repetition!
- ▶ And this is not even correct
 - ▶ `quad 4 0 4 --> (NaN,NaN)`
 - ▶ We need to check that $b^2 - 4ac \geq 0$



Local definitions

expression where name = expression

```
quad a b c | discr >= 0
           = ( (-b + sqrt discr) / (2*a)
             , (-b - sqrt discr) / (2*a) )
           | otherwise = (0, 0)
where discr = b*b - 4*a*c
```

- ▶ Local definitions assign a **name** to an expression
 - ▶ In the larger expression, this name is available
- ▶ Multiple benefits
 - ▶ Maintainability: reduce repetition of code
 - ▶ Performance: the expression is only computed once
 - ▶ Documentation: assign names to concepts



Layout rule

```
quad a b c | discr >= 0 = (sol1, sol2)
           | otherwise = (0, 0)
where discr = b*b - 4*a*c
      sol1  = (-b + sqrt discr) / (2*a)
      sol2  = (-b - sqrt discr) / (2*a)
```

- ▶ You can have more than one local definition
 - ▶ Definitions may refer to each other
- ▶ The **layout rule** kicks in
 - ▶ All definition must start in the same column
 - ▶ Aligning '=' is not mandated, but good style



Normalized vector with where

- ▶ Given a vector \vec{v} , its normalized version has the same direction but norm 1
- ▶ For a two-dimensional $\vec{v} = (x, y)$
 - ▶ The norm is computed as $\|\vec{v}\| = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}$
 - ▶ The unit vector is $\left(\frac{x}{\|\vec{v}\|}, \frac{y}{\|\vec{v}\|}\right)$

```
unit :: (Float, Float) -> (Float, Float)
-- Define the function
```



Normalized vector with where

- ▶ Given a vector \vec{v} , its normalized version has the same direction but norm 1
- ▶ For a two-dimensional $\vec{v} = (x, y)$
 - ▶ The norm is computed as $\|\vec{v}\| = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}$
 - ▶ The unit vector is $\left(\frac{x}{\|\vec{v}\|}, \frac{y}{\|\vec{v}\|}\right)$

```
unit :: (Float, Float) -> (Float, Float)
-- Define the function
unit (x, y) = (x / n, y / n)
              where n = sqrt(x*x + y*y)
```



Normalized vector with `let`

`let name = expression in expression`

```
unit (x, y) = let n = sqrt(x*x + y*y)
              in (x / n, y / n)
```

- ▶ `let` does the same job as `where`
 - ▶ With `let` local definitions go before, with `where` after
- ▶ Rules to decide which one to use
 - ▶ `let` gives more importance to local definitions
 - ▶ `let` has a more “imperative” feeling



Normalized vector with two functions

In this case the preferred version is

```
norm (x, y) = sqrt(x*x + y*y)
```

```
unit (x, y) = (x / norm (x, y), y / norm (x, y))
```

- ▶ `norm` is often used in vector code
- ▶ `norm` is a concept in its own



Comments

-- The norm of a vector.

```
norm (x, y) = sqrt(x*x + y*y)
```

{-

Computes the unit vector in a given direction.

Defined by dividing the vector by its norm.

-}

```
unit (x, y) = (x / norm (x, y), y / norm (x, y))
```

- ▶ `--` comments skip until the end of the line
- ▶ `{-` comments skip until its matching `-}`
 - ▶ Warning! These comments nest



Pattern matching, fac

From the previous lecture...

```
fac 0 = 1
```

```
fac n = n * fac (n-1)
```

- ▶ The first equation is chosen if the arguments is 0
- ▶ Otherwise, the second branch is executed
- ▶ This is an example of **pattern matching**



Pattern matching, conjunction

- For Booleans, we can list all the possible values

```
conj :: Bool -> Bool -> Bool
```

```
conj True  True  = True
```

```
conj True  False = False
```

```
conj False True  = False
```

```
conj False False = False
```



Pattern matching, conjunction

- ▶ For `Bools`, we can list all the possible values

```
conj :: Bool -> Bool -> Bool
```

```
conj True  True  = True
```

```
conj True  False = False
```

```
conj False True  = False
```

```
conj False False = False
```

- ▶ But this is very repetitive!
 - ▶ All last three equations return `False`
- ▶ **Wildcard patterns** `_` match **any** value

```
conj True  True  = True
```

```
conj _     _     = False
```



Pattern matching, replicate

- ▶ For a call `replicate n x`,
 - ▶ If `n` is 0, we return an empty list
 - ▶ Otherwise, we attach a copy of `x` to the result of replicating the element `n-1` times



Pattern matching, replicate

- ▶ For a call `replicate n x`,
 - ▶ If `n` is 0, we return an empty list
 - ▶ Otherwise, we attach a copy of `x` to the result of replicating the element `n-1` times

```
replicate      :: Int -> a -> [a]
replicate 0 _ = []
replicate n x = x : replicate (n-1) x
```

- ▶ **Good style:** use `_` if you don't care about a value



Pattern matching for lists and tuples

- ▶ The syntax for construction can be used for matching
- ▶ Information is extracted by giving **names** to the parts
 - ▶ As usual, starting with lowercase

```
null [] = True  
null _  = False
```

```
length []          = 0  
length (_ : xs) = 1 + length xs
```

```
norm (x, y) = sqrt (x*x + y*y)
```



Nested patterns

- ▶ Instead of just giving a name, you can further pattern match in a list or tuple
 - ▶ You can go as deep as you want

```
trimstart (' ' : xs) = trimstart xs
trimstart ('\t' : xs) = trimstart xs
trimstart xs          = xs
```

```
iszero (0, 0) = True
iszero _      = False
```

```
sumifthree (a : b : c : []) = a + b + c
sumifthree _                  = 0
```



Pattern matching versus guards with ==

```
length xs | xs == [] = 0  
          | otherwise = 1 + length (tail xs)
```

Two problems with this definition:



Pattern matching versus guards with ==

```
length xs | xs == [] = 0
          | otherwise = 1 + length (tail xs)
```

Two problems with this definition:

- ▶ == is more expensive than matching
- ▶ You need to call `tail`

Good style for defining a function

- ▶ Pattern matching, maybe with guards
 - ▶ But **not** guards with ==
- ▶ Single equation with guards
- ▶ Conditionals



Pattern matching versus guards with ==

```
length xs | xs == []    = 0  
          | otherwise = 1 + length (tail xs)
```

The correct way to write `length` is:

```
length []          = 0  
length (_ : xs) = 1 + length xs
```

- ▶ Substitute check of `[]` by pattern matching
- ▶ Access the tail of the list by matching `(_ : xs)`



Exercise: define the `existsPositive` function

`existsPositive xs` should return `True` if and only if (at least) one of the elements in the list `xs` is positive, that is, greater than 0



Exercise: define the existsPositive function

`existsPositive xs` should return `True` if and only if (at least) one of the elements in the list `xs` is positive, that is, greater than 0

```
existsPositive []                = False
existsPositive (x:xs) | x > 0    = True
                       | otherwise = existsPositive xs
```



Exercise: define the existsPositive function

`existsPositive xs` should return `True` if and only if (at least) one of the elements in the list `xs` is positive, that is, greater than 0

```
existsPositive []      = False
existsPositive (x:xs) = x > 0 || existsPositive xs
```

Next lecture is devoted to functions over lists



Operators

From the previous lecture...

- ▶ Operators are functions whose name is **exclusively** made out of **symbols**
- ▶ Operators are written **between** the arguments
 - ▶ Both for definition and call
$$\begin{array}{rcl} \text{True} & \& \& \text{True} & = & \text{True} \\ - & \& \& - & = & \text{False} \end{array}$$
- ▶ Anywhere else, you need to use parentheses
$$(\&\&) :: \text{Bool} \rightarrow \text{Bool} \rightarrow \text{Bool}$$



Associativity and precedence

How should we read the following expressions?

$$1 + 2 - 3$$

$$1 * 2 + 3 / 4$$

We make it explicit by introducing parentheses

$$1 + (2 - 3)$$

$$(1 * 2) + (3 / 4)$$

- ▶ We say that + **associates to the right**
 - ▶ So $1 + 2 + 3$ means $1 + (2 + 3)$
- ▶ We say that * and / have **higher precedence** than +



Declaring associativity and precedence

`infixr/infixl/infix` precedence operator

- ▶ `infixr` and `infixl` declare associativity
- ▶ `infix` makes the operator **non**-associative
 - ▶ `==` and `/=` are examples of those
- ▶ Precedence ranges between 1 and 9
 - ▶ Function application has the highest number, 10

```
infixr 3 &&
```



Types



Universiteit Utrecht

[Faculty of Science
Information and Computing
Sciences]

Expressions have types

Type = collection of related values

- ▶ In Haskell, every **expression** has a **type**
- ▶ We write it as `expression :: type`

```
True      :: Bool
'a'       :: Char
[1, 2]    :: [Int]
(1, 'a')  :: (Int, Char)
not       :: Bool -> Bool
```

- ▶ This includes applied functions

```
1 + 2     :: Int
not True  :: Bool
```



Static typing and type safety

- ▶ Haskell forbids executing code with type errors
 - ▶ This is known as **static** typing
 - ▶ Other languages are **dynamically** typed
 - ▶ E.g., Python, JavaScript, Ruby...
- ▶ As a result, no run-time error may arise from this
 - ▶ We say that Haskell programs are **type safe**
- ▶ Some “valid” expressions are rejected
 - ▶ Code execution is not taken into account

```
if True then 1 else False
```



Type checking and inference

General rule: if $f :: A \rightarrow B$ and $e :: A$, then $f\ e :: B$

This rule can be used in two ways:

- To **check** whether an application is correct

```
not :: Bool -> Bool
'a'  :: Char
not 'a'
-- Couldn't match expected type 'Bool'
--           with actual type 'Char'
```

- To **infer** the result of an expression

```
f :: Bool -> String
f True :: String -- No further details needed!
```



Parse errors are not type errors

```
> isZero x = x = 0  
<interactive>:1:14: error:  
    parse error on input '='
```

Parse error = code does not follow the **syntax**

- ▶ The structure of the code cannot be understood
 - ▶ In this case, where does the real definition start?
- ▶ Parsing happens before typing
- ▶ Check the shape and the upper/lowercase distinction



Parse errors are not type errors

```
> isZero x = x = 0  
<interactive>:1:14: error:  
    parse error on input '='
```

Parse error = code does not follow the **syntax**

- ▶ The structure of the code cannot be understood
 - ▶ In this case, where does the real definition start?
- ▶ Parsing happens before typing
- ▶ Check the shape and the upper/lowercase distinction

```
> isZero x = x == 0
```



Basic types

- ▶ `Bool`: logical values, that is, either `True` or `False`
- ▶ `Char`: single characters like `'a'`
- ▶ Integral types:
 - ▶ `Int`: machine integers with a fixed range
> `maxBound :: Int`
`9223372036854775807`
 - ▶ `Integer`: integers with unlimited range
- ▶ Floating-point types:
 - ▶ Numbers with a decimal comma
 - ▶ `Float`: single-precision
 - ▶ `Double`: double-precision, take up more space



Compound types

These types are parametrized by other types

- ▶ Lists $[T]$, uniform sequences of T s
- ▶ Tuples come in different **arities**
 - ▶ Pairs (T_1, T_2)
 - ▶ Triples (T_1, T_2, T_3)
 - ▶ ... up to 62 in GHC 8.0.1
- ▶ Functions $T_1 \rightarrow T_2 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow R$

Types can be nested as much as we want



Some differences

`([1, 2], [True])`

`[(1, True), (2, False)]`



Some differences

```
[1, 2], [True]           -- ↓ Tuple of lists
                        :: ([Int], [Bool])
[1, True], [2, False]    -- ↓ List of tuples
                        :: [(Int, Bool)]
```



Some differences

```
-- ↓ Tuple of lists
([1, 2], [True])      :: ([Int], [Bool])
-- ↓ List of tuples
[(1, True), (2, False)] :: [(Int, Bool)]

f :: (Int, Int) -> Int

g :: Int -> Int -> Int
```



Some differences

```
-- ↓ Tuple of lists
([1, 2], [True])      :: ([Int], [Bool])
-- ↓ List of tuples
[(1, True), (2, False)] :: [(Int, Bool)]

f :: (Int, Int) -> Int  -- Takes one argument
                        -- which is a pair
g :: Int -> Int -> Int  -- Takes two arguments

> f (1, 2)  -- OK
> g 1 2     -- OK
> g (1, 2)
-- Couldn't match expected type 'Int'
--           with actual type '(Int, Int)'
```



Some differences

```
-- ↓ Tuple of lists
([1, 2], [True])      :: ([Int], [Bool])
-- ↓ List of tuples
[(1, True), (2, False)] :: [(Int, Bool)]

f :: (Int, Int) -> Int  -- Takes one argument
                        -- which is a pair
g :: Int -> Int -> Int  -- Takes two arguments

> f (1, 2)  -- OK
> g 1 2     -- OK
> g (1, 2)
-- Couldn't match expected type 'Int'
--           with actual type '(Int, Int)'
```



Functions are first-class citizens

-- Functions can be put in a list

```
[(+), (*), (-)] :: [Int -> Int -> Int]
```

```
[(&&), (||)]    :: [Bool -> Bool -> Bool]
```

-- Elements must agree in their type

```
[(+), (&&)]      -- Type error!
```

-- Functions can be arguments and results

-- 'flip' takes one function and swaps the order

```
flip :: (a -> b -> c) -> (b -> a -> c)
```



length is polymorphic

```
length [1, 2, 3]      -- OK
length [True, False] -- OK
length "abcd"         -- OK
```

- ▶ `length` can be applied to any expression which is a list
 - ▶ In type terms, to any $[T]$, regardless of T
 - ▶ We say that `length` is **polymorphic**
 - ▶ From Greek, Πολυμορφισμός “of many forms/shapes”
- ▶ How does this show up in the type?

```
length :: [a] -> Int
```

- ▶ Types starting with lowercase are **variables**
- ▶ They can be substituted with whatever we need



Other polymorphic list functions

```
null      :: [a] -> Bool
(++ )     :: [a] -> [a] -> [a]   -- Concatenation
reverse   :: [a] -> [a]
```

Important! A variable has to be substituted **uniformly** throughout the whole type

```
[1, 2] ++ [3, 4] :: [Int]
-- OK, 'a' is substituted by 'Int'
```

```
[1, 2] ++ [True, False]
-- Couldn't match expected type 'Int'
--           with actual type 'Bool'
```

This is the **#1 type error** in Haskell programming



Build your own polymorphic function

```
id x = x
```

What is the type of `id`?



Build your own polymorphic function

`id x = x`

What is the type of `id`?

1. It is a function with one argument
 - ▶ $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$ for yet unknown α and β
2. We return the same type we are given
 - ▶ $\alpha \rightarrow \alpha$ for a yet unknown type α
3. There are no further constraints for `x`
 - ▶ We reach the final type $a \rightarrow a$
 - ▶ This function works for **any** type



Inferring the type of `id id`

Expect these kind of problems in the exam

`id id :: ?`



Inferring the type of `id id`

Expect these kind of problems in the exam

`id id :: ?`

1. Disambiguate the names of variables for each `id`
 - ▶ First `id :: $\alpha \rightarrow \alpha$`
 - ▶ Second `id :: $\beta \rightarrow \beta$`
2. If `f :: A -> B`, in `f e` we must have `e :: A`
 - ▶ In this case, α must be $\beta \rightarrow \beta$
 - ▶ Thus, first `id :: $(\beta \rightarrow \beta) \rightarrow (\beta \rightarrow \beta)$`
3. The result type of `f e` is B
 - ▶ In this case, `id id :: $\beta \rightarrow \beta$`
4. Finally, replace by variables types without constraints
 - ▶ `id id :: a -> a`



Elements in a list have to match

```
> :t sin
sin :: Float -> Float
> :t [sin, id]
[sin,id] :: [Float -> Float]
```

1. We can choose any type for the `a` in `id`
2. All elements in a list must have the same type
3. The only solution is to make `a` be `Float`



Elements in a list have to match

What about these?

```
> :t [length, head]  
> :t [head, null]  
> :t [tail, null]
```



Elements in a list have to match

What about these?

```
> :t [length, head]
```

```
> :t [head, null]
```

```
> :t [tail, null]
```

```
> :t [length, head]
```

```
[length,head] :: [[Int] -> Int]
```

```
> :t [head, null]
```

```
[head,null] :: [[Bool] -> Bool]
```

```
> :t [tail, null]
```

```
Couldn't match type '[a]' with 'Bool'
```



Overloaded addition

In Haskell, addition works for different types:

```
> 1 + 2  -- Integers
```

```
3
```

```
> 1.0 + 2.5  -- Floating-point
```

```
3.5
```

But not for any type!

```
> 'a' + 'b'
```

No instance for (Num Char)
arising from a use of '+'



Overloaded addition

Addition cannot be given the following type

$(+) :: a \rightarrow a \rightarrow a$

because it does not work for **any** type.



Overloaded addition

Addition cannot be given the following type

```
(+) :: a -> a -> a
```

because it does not work for **any** type.

Let's ask GHC what is its real type:

```
> :t (+)
```

```
(+) :: Num a => a -> a -> a
```

- ▶ The `Num a` before the `=>` symbol is a **constraint**
- ▶ It restricts `(+)` to types which satisfy the constraint
 - ▶ In this case `a` must be “numeric”
- ▶ `Num` is called a **type class**
 - ▶ **Warning!** Not to be confused with C++/C#/Java classes



Basic type classes

- ▶ Num for numeric types
 - ▶ Includes (+), (*), abs, among others
 - ▶ For example, Int, Integer, Float, and Double
 - ▶ Char or [Int] are not numeric



Basic type classes

- ▶ Num for numeric types
- ▶ Eq for types which support equality checks

```
(==) :: Eq a => a -> a -> Bool  -- Equals
```

```
(/=) :: Eq a => a -> a -> Bool  -- Not equals
```

- ▶ For example, Int, Char, and Bool
- ▶ Also [T] if T is itself a member of Eq
 - ▶ Like [Int] or String
- ▶ But not function types

```
> sin == cos
```

```
No instance for (Eq (Float -> Float))
```



Basic type classes

- ▶ `Num` for numeric types
- ▶ `Eq` for types which support equality checks
- ▶ `Ord` for types which in addition have an ordering

`(<)`, `(>)` `:: Ord a => a -> a -> Bool`

`(<=)`, `(>=)` `:: Ord a => a -> a -> Bool`

`min`, `max` `:: Ord a => a -> a -> a`

- ▶ For example, `Int`, `Char`, and `Bool`
- ▶ Every type which is `Ord` is also `Eq`



Basic type classes

- ▶ Num for numeric types
- ▶ Eq for types which support equality checks
- ▶ Ord for types which in addition have an ordering
- ▶ Show for turning things into strings

```
show :: Show a => a -> String
```

```
age :: Int -> String
```

```
age y = "You are " ++ show y ++ " years old"
```

- ▶ Almost everything is in Show, but not functions
- ▶ We need an explicit call to show to preserve type safety



Basic type classes

- ▶ `Num` for numeric types
- ▶ `Eq` for types which support equality checks
- ▶ `Ord` for types which in addition have an ordering
- ▶ `Show` for turning things into strings
- ▶ And many more!

You can also define your own (later in the course)



Important concepts

- ▶ Every expression has a type
- ▶ Types are used in two different ways
 - ▶ **Checking** that types match
 - ▶ **Inferring** a type for an expression
- ▶ Two forms of **polymorphism**
 - ▶ Functions that work for any type, **parametric**
 - ▶ Functions that work for a subset of types, **ad-hoc**

Check exercises at the end of chapter 3 of Hutton's book

