

# Lecture 4. Data types and type classes

**Functional Programming** 

Utrecht University

# Why learn (typed) functional programming?

# Why Haskell?

- data-flow only through function arguments and return values
  - no hidden data-flow through mutable variables/state

- data-flow only through function arguments and return values
  - no hidden data-flow through mutable variables/state
- (almost) unique types
  - no inheritance hell

- · data-flow only through function arguments and return values
  - no hidden data-flow through mutable variables/state
- (almost) unique types
  - no inheritance hell
- high-level declarative data-structures
  - no explicit reference-based data structures

- · data-flow only through function arguments and return values
  - no hidden data-flow through mutable variables/state
- (almost) unique types
  - no inheritance hell
- high-level declarative data-structures
  - no explicit reference-based data structures
- · function call and return as only control-flow primitive
  - no loops, break, continue, goto

#### So far:

- data-flow only through function arguments and return values
  - no hidden data-flow through mutable variables/state
  - instead: tuples!

## **Today:**

- (almost) unique types
  - no inheritance hell
  - instead of classes + inheritance: variant types!
  - (almost): type classes

## Today:

- (almost) unique types
  - no inheritance hell
  - instead of classes + inheritance: variant types!
  - (almost): type classes
- high-level declarative data structures
  - no explicit reference-based data structures
  - instead: (immutable) algebraic data types!

### **Today:**

- (almost) unique types
  - no inheritance hell
  - instead of classes + inheritance: variant types!
  - (almost): type classes
- high-level declarative data structures
  - no explicit reference-based data structures
  - instead: (immutable) algebraic data types!

#### Next time:

function call and return as only control-flow primitive

## **Goals for today**

- Define your own algebraic data types:
  - tuples (recap), variants, and recursive
- Define your own type classes and instances
- Understand the difference between parametric and ad-hoc polymorphism
- Understand the value and limitations of algebraic data types

Chapter 8 (until 8.6) from Hutton's book

# **Data types**

# **Types and logic - Curry-Howard**

#### Observe

- So far: tuples are like AND
  - (A, B) holds pairs of an expression of type A AND one of type B

## **Types and logic - Curry-Howard**

#### Observe

- So far: tuples are like AND
  - (A, B) holds pairs of an expression of type A AND one of type B
- New today: variants/sum types are like OR to hold expressions that are either of type A OR
  of type B

## **Types and logic - Curry-Howard**

#### Observe

- So far: tuples are like AND
  - (A, B) holds pairs of an expression of type A AND one of type B
- New today: variants/sum types are like OR to hold expressions that are either of type A OR
  of type B
- Next time: functions are like IMPLIES
  - A  $\rightarrow$  B holds expressions which produce one of type B, IF we supply one of type A

## In the previous lectures...

## ... we have only used built-in types!

- Basic data types
  - Int, Bool, Char...
- Compound types parametrized by others
  - Some with a definite number of elements, like tuples
  - · Some with an indefinite number of them, like lists

#### It's about time to define our own!

#### **Direction**

- data declares a new data type
- The name of the type must start with **U**ppercase
- Then we have a number of constructors separated by |
  - Each of them also starting by uppercase
  - The same constructor cannot be used for different types
- Such a simple data type is called an *enumeration*

## **Building a list of directions**

> :t North

Each constructor defines a value of the data type

```
North :: Direction

You can use Direction in the same way as Bool or Int

> :t [North, West]

[North, West] :: [Direction]

> :t (North, True)

(North, True) :: (Direction, Bool)
```

## **Pattern matching over directions**

To define a function, you proceed as usual:

1. Define the type
 directionName :: Direction -> String

- 2. Enumerate the cases
  - · The cases are each of the constructors

```
directionName North = _
directionName South = _
directionName East = _
directionName West = _
```

## **Pattern matching over directions**

```
3. Define each of the cases
    directionName North = "N"
    directionName South = "S"
    directionName East = "E"
    directionName West = "W"

> map directionName [North, West]
["N","W"]
```

# **Built-in types are just data types**

• Bool is a simple enumeration

```
data Bool = False | True
```

• Int and Char can be thought as very long enumerations

```
data Int = ... | -1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | ...
data Char = ... | 'A' | 'B' | ...
```

• The compiler treats these in a special way

#### **Points**

Data types may store information within them

```
data Point = Pt Float Float
```

- The name of the constructor is followed by the list of types of each argument
- Constructor and type names may overlap

```
data Point = Point Float Float
```

## **Using points**

• To create a point, we use the name of the constructor followed by the value of each argument

```
> :t Pt 2.0 3.0
Pt 2.0 3.0 :: Point
```

## **Using points**

 $\bullet\,$  To create a point, we use the name of the constructor followed by the value of each argument

```
> :t Pt 2.0 3.0
Pt 2.0 3.0 :: Point
```

• To pattern match, we use the name of the constructor and further matchs over the arguments

```
norm :: Point -> Float
norm (Pt x y) = sqrt (x*x + y*y)
```

## **Using points**

• To create a point, we use the name of the constructor followed by the value of each argument

```
> :t Pt 2.0 3.0
Pt 2.0 3.0 :: Point
```

• To pattern match, we use the name of the constructor and further matchs over the arguments

```
norm :: Point -> Float
norm (Pt x y) = sqrt (x*x + y*y)
```

Do not forget the parentheses!

```
> norm Pt x y = x * x + y * y
<interactive>:2:6: error:
```

 The constructor 'Pt' should have 2 arguments, but has been given none

#### **Constructors are functions**

Each constructor in a data type is a function which build a value of that type given enough arguments

```
> :t North
North :: Direction -- No arguments
> :t Pt
Pt :: Float -> Float -> Point -- 2 arguments
```

#### **Constructors are functions**

Each constructor in a data type is a function which build a value of that type given enough arguments

```
> :t North
North :: Direction -- No arguments
> : t Pt
Pt :: Float -> Float -> Point -- 2 arguments
They can be used just like any other function:
zipPoint :: [Float] -> [Float] -> [Point]
zipPoint xs ys = map (uncurry Pt) (zip xs ys) where
    uncurry :: (a -> b -> c) -> (a, b) -> c
    uncurry f(x, y) = f x y
             -- = [Pt \times v \mid (x, v) < -zip \times s vs]
```

## **Shapes**

A data type may have zero or more *constructors*, each of them holding zero or more *arguments* 

## **Pattern matching over shapes**

The function  $\ensuremath{\operatorname{\textbf{perimeter}}}$  returns the length of the boundary of a shape

```
perimeter :: Shape -> Float
```

# **Pattern matching over shapes**

The function perimeter returns the length of the boundary of a shape

Gentle basic geometry reminder

$$P_{\rm rect} = 2w + 2h$$
 
$$P_{\rm circle} = 2\pi r$$
 
$$P_{\rm triang} = {\rm dist}(a,b) + {\rm dist}(b,c) + {\rm dist}(c,a)$$

Try it yourself!

# **Pattern matching over shapes**

Each case starts with a constructor – in uppercase – and matches the arguments

```
area :: Shape -> Float
area (Rectangle w h) = w * h
area (Circle _{\rm r}) = pi * r ^{2}
area (Triangle x y z) = sqrt (s*(s-a)*(s-b)*(s-c))
                          -- Heron's formula
  where a = distance \times y
        b = distance y z
        c = distance \times z
        s = (a + b + c) / 2
distance (Pt u1 u2) (Pt v1 v2)
  = sqrt ((u1-v1)^2+(u2-v2)^2)
```

## **ADTs versus object-oriented classes**

```
abstract class Shape {
   abstract float area():
class Rectangle : Shape {
  public Point corner;
  public float width, height;
  public float area() { return width * height; }
  More for Circle and Triangle
```

- There is no *inheritance* involved in ADTs
- Constructors in an ADT are closed, but you can always add new subclasses in a OO setting
- Classes bundle methods, functions for ADTs are defined outside the data type

## **Nominal versus structural typing**

```
data Point = Pt Float Float
data Vector = Vec Float Float
```

- These types are structurally equal
  - · They have the same number of constructors with the same number and type of arguments
- But for the Haskell compiler, they are **unrelated** 
  - · You cannot use one in place of the other
  - This is called *nominal* typing

```
> :t norm
norm :: Point -> Float
> norm (Vec 2.0 3.0)
Couldn't match 'Point' with 'Vector'
```

#### Lists and trees of numbers

## Data types may refer to themselves

• They are called **recursive** data types; for example

#### data IntList

= EmptyList | Cons Int IntList

#### data IntTree

= EmptyTree | Node Int IntTree IntTree

#### Lists and trees of numbers

## Data types may refer to themselves

• They are called **recursive** data types; for example

#### data IntList

= EmptyList | Cons Int IntList

#### data IntTree

- = EmptyTree | Node Int IntTree IntTree
- Let's visualize an example!

# Cooking elemList

1. Define the type

```
elemList :: Int -> IntList -> Bool
```

- 2. Enumerate the cases
  - One equation per constructor

```
elemList x EmptyList = _
elemList x (Cons y ys) = _
```

3. Define the cases

# Cooking elemTree

# Try it yourself!

```
elemTree :: Int -> IntTree -> Bool
```

### Cooking elemTree

1. Define the type

```
elemTree :: Int -> IntTree -> Bool
```

- 2. Enumerate the cases
  - Each constructor needs to come with as many variables as arguments in its definition

```
elemTree x EmptyTree = _
elemTree x (Node y rs ls) = _
```

3. Define the simple (base) cases

```
elemTree x EmptyTree = False
```

### Cooking elemTree

- 4. Define the other (recursive) cases
  - Each recursive appearance of the data type as an argument usually leads to a recursive call in the function

### Cooking treeHeight

The function treeHeight computes the height of a tree, that is, the length of the maximum path from the root to an EmptyTree.

### Try it yourself!

### Cooking treeToList

1. Define the type

```
treeToList :: IntTree -> IntList
```

2. Enumerate the cases

```
treeToList EmptyTree = _
treeToList (Node x ls rs) = _
```

3. Define the simple (base) cases

```
treeToList EmptyTree = EmptyList
```

How do we proceed now?

### Cooking treeToList

4. Define the other (recursive) cases treeToList (Node x ls rs) = Cons x (concatList ls' rs') where ls' = treeTolist ls rs' = treeToList rs -- Left as an exercise to the audience concatlist :: Intlist -> Intlist -> Intlist concatList xs =

### **Polymorphic data types**

We have seen examples of types which are parametric

- Lists like [Int], [Bool], [IntTree]...
- Tuples (A, B), (A, B, C) and so on

Functions over these data types can be polymorphic

They work regardless of the parameter of the type

```
(++) :: [a] -> [a] -> [a]
zip :: [a] -> [b] -> [(a, b)]
```

### **Optional values**

Maybe T represents a value of type T which might be absent

- In the declaration of a polymorphic data type, the name Maybe is followed by one or more type variables
  - Type *variables* start with a lowercase letter
- The constructors may refer to the type variables in their arguments
  - In this case, Just holds a value of type a

#### **Optional values**

> :t Just True

Maybe Bool

> :t Nothing

Maybe a

Note that Nothing has a polymorphic type, since there is no information to fix what a is

# **Cooking find**

find p xs finds the first element in xs which satisfies p

- Such an element may not exist
  - Think of find even [1,3], or find even []
- Other languages resort to null or magic -1 values
- Haskell always marks a possible absence using Maybe
- 1. Define the type

find :: 
$$(a \rightarrow Bool) \rightarrow [a] \rightarrow Maybe a$$

2. Enumerate the cases

find p [] = 
$$\_$$
  
find p (x:xs) =  $\_$ 

# **Cooking find**

3. Define the simple (base) cases

```
find _ [] = Nothing
```

4. Define the other (recursive) cases

```
find p (x:xs) | p x = Just x
| otherwise = find p xs
```

#### elem in terms of find

Let's define a small utility function

```
isJust :: Maybe a -> Bool
isJust Nothing = False
isJust (Just _) = True
```

Then we can define elem as a composition of other functions

```
elem :: Eq a => a -> [a] -> Bool
elem x = isJust . find (== x)
```

### Trees for any type

We can generalize our IntTree data type

- This is a polymorphic and recursive data type
- Mind the parentheses around the arguments

# More recipes with trees

#### Lecture 6

Many more operations over trees!

• Including *search* trees



#### **Benefits and downsides of ADTs**

- + Immutable and persistent
- + Pattern matching and recursion
- Limited to directed, acyclic data types
- Incur complexity cost for persistence  $\,$

# **Type classes**

# Polymorphism: definitions across many types

### Parametric polymorphism - Generics

- Define once, not inspecting type
- Works at every instance of parametric data type (infinitely many)

```
reverse :: [a] -> [a]
```

# Polymorphism: definitions across many types

#### Parametric polymorphism - Generics

- Define once, not inspecting type
- Works at every instance of parametric data type (infinitely many)

```
reverse :: [a] -> [a]
```

#### Ad-hoc polymorphism - Overloading

- · Define many times, inspecting types
- Works at finitely many types, called *instances* of *type class*, e.g. Num, Eq

```
(+) :: Num a => a -> a -> a
```

Warning! Terminology conflict with other languages

### Mixing polymorphism

Mixing 2 type classes:

```
foo :: ???
foo x = x == 7
bar :: ???
bar x y = (x + 7, y == y)
```

```
baz :: ???
baz x y = (x + 7, y)
```

#### Mixing polymorphism

Mixing 2 type classes:

```
foo :: (Eq a, Num a) => a -> Bool
foo x = x == 7

bar :: ???
bar x y = (x + 7, y == y)
```

```
baz :: ???
baz x y = (x + 7, y)
```

#### Mixing polymorphism

Mixing 2 type classes:

```
foo :: (Eq a, Num a) => a -> Bool
foo x = x == 7
bar :: (Eq a, Num b) => b -> a -> (b, Bool)
bar x y = (x + 7, y == y)
```

```
baz :: ???
baz x y = (x + 7, y)
```

#### Mixing polymorphism

Mixing 2 type classes:

```
foo :: (Eq a, Num a) => a -> Bool
foo x = x == 7
bar :: (Eq a, Num b) => b -> a -> (b, Bool)
bar x y = (x + 7, y == y)
```

```
baz :: Num b => b -> a -> (b, a)
baz x y = (x + 7, y)
```

#### **Class definition**

#### class Eq a where

```
(==) :: a -> a -> Bool
(/=) :: a -> a -> Bool
```

- The name of the type class starts with **U**ppercase
- We declare a type variable a in this case to stand for the overloaded type in the rest of the declaration
- Each type class defines one or more **methods** which must be implemented for each instance
  - We do *not* write the constraint in the methods

# **Missing instances**

- · You have to give the instance declaration for your own data types, even for built-in type classes
  - In some cases, the compiler can write them for you

#### **Instance declarations**

#### instance Eq Point where

- Almost like the class declaration, except that
  - The type variable is substituted by a real type
  - Instead of method types, you give the implementation

True

#### **Conditional and recursive instances**

Type class instances for polymorphic types may depend on their parameters

- For example, equality of lists, tuples, and trees
- These requisites are listed in front of the declaration

```
instance (Eq a, Eq b) => Eq (a, b) where
(x, y) == (u, v) = x == u && y == v
```

### **Overlapping instances**

Imagine that I want tuples of Ints to work slightly different

```
instance Eq (Int, Int) where
  (x, y) == (u, v) = x * v == y * u
```

You *cannot* do this! This instance **overlaps** with the other one given for generic tuples

#### **Recursive instances**

Write the Eq instance for the Tree data type:

#### **Recursive instances**

Write the Eq instance for the Tree data type:

```
data Tree a = EmptyTree
              Node a (Tree a) (Tree a)
instance Eq a => Eq (Tree a) where
  EmptyTree == EmptyTree
        = True
  (Node x1 11 r1) == (Node x2 12 r2)
        = x1 == x2 && 11 == 12 && r1 == r2
        = False
```

### **Superclasses**

A class might demand that other class is implemented

- We say that such a class has a superclass
- For example, any class with an ordering Ord has to implement equality Eq

### The meanings of =>

• In a type, it constrains a polymorphic function

• In a class declaration, it introduces a superclass

- All instances of Ord must be instances of Eq
- · In an instance declaration, it defines a requisite

• A list [T] supports equality only if T supports it

Before => you write an assumption or precondition

#### **Default definitions**

We could also write the following instance Eq Point

#### instance Eq Point where

```
Pt ... == Pt ... = _ -- as before
p /= q = not (p == q)
```

In fact, this definition of (/=) works for any type

- You can include a default definition in Eq
- If an instance does not have a explicit definition for that method, the default one is used

#### class Eq a where

```
(==), (/=) :: a -> a -> Bool
x /= y = not (x == y)
```

#### **Default definitions**

You could have also defined (/=) outside of the class

```
(/=) :: Eq a => a -> a -> Bool
x /= y = not (x == y)
```

- · This definition cannot be overriden in each instance
- Why do we prefer (/=) to live in the class?
  - Performance! For some data types it is cheaper to check for disequality than for equality

#### **Automatic derivation**

- · Writing equality checks is boring
  - Go around all constructors and arguments
- Writing order checks is even more boring
- Turning something into a string is also boring

### Let the compiler work for you!

Historical note: many of the advances in automatic derivation of type classes where done here at UU

# **Example: scalable things**

Both shapes and vector have a notion of scaling

• Scale the size or scale the norm

```
class Scalable s where
  scale :: Float -> s -> s
```

## **Example: scalable things**

Both shapes and vector have a notion of scaling

· Scale the size or scale the norm

```
class Scalable s where
  scale :: Float -> s -> s
instance Scalable Vector where
  scale s (Vec \times v) = Vec (s*x) (s*v)
instance Scalable Shape where
  scale s (Rectangle p w h) = Rectangle p (s*w) (s*h)
  scale s (Circle p r) = Circle p (s*r)
  scale s (Triangle x y z) = \dots -- This is hard
```

## **Generic functions for scalable things**

Some functions now work over any scalable thing

```
double :: Scalable s => s -> s
double = scale 2.0
```

We may generic instances for composed scalables

```
instance Scalable s => Scalable [s] where
  scale s = map (scale s)
```

#### **Exercise**

- 1. Think about a generic notion (like scaling)
- 2. Define a type class with the least primitive operations
- 3. Think of instances for that type class
- 4. Think of derived operations using the type class
- 5. Post it in the FP Team!

# **Summary**

#### **Define your own data types!**

Data types in Haskell are simple and cheap to define

• Introduce one per concept in your program

```
-- the following definition
data Status = Stopped | Running
data Process = Process ... Status ...
-- is better than
data Process = Process ... Bool ...
-- what does 'True' represent here?
```

Use type classes to share commonalities

### **Important concepts**

- Algebraic data types: tuples, variants, recursive (e.g., trees!)
  - how to write functions on them using pattern matching

#### **Important concepts**

- Algebraic data types: tuples, variants, recursive (e.g., trees!)
  - how to write functions on them using pattern matching
- Parameterized data types:
  - parametric polymorphism

#### **Important concepts**

- Algebraic data types: tuples, variants, recursive (e.g., trees!)
  - · how to write functions on them using pattern matching
- Parameterized data types:
  - parametric polymorphism
- Type classes and their instances:
  - ad-hoc polymorphism

## **Overloaded syntax**

## **Numeric constants' weird type**

```
What is going on?
```

```
> :t 3
3 :: Num t => t
```

Numeric constants can be turned into any Num type

```
> 3 :: Integer
3
> 3 :: Float
3.0
> 3 :: Rational -- Type of fractions
3 % 1 -- Numerator % Denominator
```

## **Range syntax**

The range syntax [n .. m] is a shorthand for

enumFromTo n m

enumFromTo lives in the class Enum

- Bool and Char are instances, among others
- > ['a' .. 'z']
- "abcdefghijklmnopqrstuvwxyz"

#### **More range syntax**

```
enumFrom :: a \rightarrow [a] enumFromThenTo :: a \rightarrow a \rightarrow a \rightarrow [a]
```

- enumFrom does not specify a bound for the range
  - The list is possibly infinite

```
> take 5 [1 ..]
[1,2,3,4,5]
```

 enumFromThenTo generates a list where each pair of adjacent elements has the same distance

```
> [1.0, 1.2 .. 2.0]
[1.0,1.2,1.4,1.599999999999999
1.799999999999998]
```

#### **Deriving Enum**

enumFromTo can be automatically derived for enumerations

Data types without data in their constructors