

## **Case studies**

**Functional Programming** 

**Utrecht University** 

1

#### Goals

- Decompose the problem into subproblems.
- *Compose* subsolutions into the solution.

#### Goals

- Decompose the problem into subproblems.
- Compose subsolutions into the solution.
- 1. Propositions
  - Tautology checker
  - Simplification
- 2. Arithmetic expressions
  - Differentiation

Chapters 8.6 from Hutton's book

# **Propositions**

#### **Definition**

Propositional logic is the simplest branch of logic, which studies the truth of *propositional formulae* or *propositions* 

Propositions  ${\cal P}$  are built up from the following components:

- Basic values,  $\top$  (true) and  $\bot$  (false)
- Variables,  $X, Y, \dots$
- Negation,  $\neg P$
- Conjunction,  $P_1 \wedge P_2$
- Disjunction,  $P_1 \vee P_2$
- Implication,  $P_1 \implies P_2$

For example,  $(X \wedge Y) \implies \neg Y$ 

4

#### **Truth value of a proposition**

Each proposition becomes either true or false given an assignment of truth values to each of its variables

Take  $(X \wedge Y) \implies \neg Y$ :

- + { X true, Y false } makes the proposition true
- {  $\boldsymbol{X}$  true,  $\boldsymbol{Y}$  true } makes the proposition false

# **Tautologies**

A proposition is called a  ${\bf tautology}$  if it is true for any assignment of variables

# **Tautologies**

A proposition is called a  ${\bf tautology}$  if it is true for any assignment of variables

• 
$$X \vee \neg X \vee \neg Y$$

# **Problem: Test for Tautologies**

• Problem: Compute if a proposition is a tautology.

### **Problem: Test for Tautologies**

- Problem: Compute if a proposition is a tautology.
- Approach:
  - 1. Design a data type Prop to represent Propositions
  - Write a function tv :: Assignment -> Prop -> Bool computes the truth value of a proposition
  - 3. Collect all possible assignments
  - 4. Write a function taut :: Prop -> Bool which computes if a given proposition is a tautology

### **Step 1: Propositions as a data type**

We can represent propositions in Haskell

```
data Prop = Basic Bool
            Var Char
            Not Prop
           | Prop :/\: Prop
           Prop :\/: Prop
           Prop :=>: Prop
          deriving Show
The example (X \wedge Y) \implies \neg Y becomes
(Var 'X' :/\: Var 'Y') :=>: (Not (Var 'Y'))
```

# Step 1: Assignments as a data type

• How to represent assignments?

# Step 1: Assignments as a data type

• How to represent assignments?

type Assigment = Map Char Bool

### Step 2: Cooking tv

1. Define the type

```
tv :: Assignment -> Prop -> Bool
```

2. Enumerate the cases

```
tv _ (Basic b) = _
tv m (Var v) = _
tv m (Not p) = _
tv m (p1 :/\: p2) = _
tv m (p1 :\/: p2) = _
tv m (p1 :=>: p2) = _
```

#### Step 2: Cooking tv

- 3. Define the simple (base) cases
  - The truth value of a basic value is itself
  - For a variable, we look up its value in the map

```
tv _ (Basic b) = b
tv m (Var v) =
    case lookup v m of
        Nothing -> error "Variable unknown!"
        Just b -> b
```

#### Step 2: Cooking tv

- 4. Define the other (recursive) cases
  - We call the function recursively and apply the corresponding Boolean operator

```
tv m (Not p) = not (tv m p)
tv m (p1 :/\: p2) = tv m p1 && tv m p2
tv m (p1 :\/: p2) = tv m p1 || tv m p2
tv m (p1 :=>: p2) = not (tv m p1) || tv m p2
```

Find all assignments

Find all assignments

```
assigns :: Prop -> [Assignment]
```

Find all assignments

```
assigns :: Prop -> [Assignment]
```

- Main idea:
  - a. Obtain all the variables in the formula

```
vars :: Prop -> [Char]
```

b. Generate all possible assignments

```
assigns' :: [Char] -> [Assignment]
```

Find all assignments

```
assigns :: Prop -> [Assignment]
  • Main idea:
       a. Obtain all the variables in the formula
    vars :: Prop -> [Char]
       b. Generate all possible assignments
    assigns' :: [Char] -> [Assignment]
assigns = assigns' . vars
```

## Step 3a: Cooking vars

- 1. Define the type
- 2. Enumerate the cases
- 3. Define the simple (base) cases
  - A basic value has no variables, a Var its own
- 4. Define the other (recursive) cases

```
vars :: Prop -> [Char]
vars (Basic b) = []
vars (Var v) = [v]
vars (Not p) = vars p
vars (p1 :/\: p2) = vars p1 ++ vars p2
vars (p1 :>: p2) = vars p1 ++ vars p2
vars (p1 :=>: p2) = vars p1 ++ vars p2
```

### Step 3a: Cooking vars

```
> vars ((Var 'X' :/\: Var 'Y') :=>: (Not (Var 'Y')))
"XYY"
```

This is not what we want, each variable should appear once

• Remove duplicates using nub from the Prelude

```
vars :: Prop -> [Char]
vars = nub . vars'
where vars' (Basic b) = []
    vars' (Var v) = [v]
    vars' ... -- as before
```

## Step 3b: Cooking assigns'

1. Define the type

```
assigns' :: [Char] -> [Assignment]
```

2. Enumerate the cases

```
assigns' [] = _
assigns' (v:vs) = _
```

- 3. Define the simple (base) cases
  - Be careful! You have one assignment for zero variables

```
assigns' [] = [empty]
```

• What happens if we return [] instead?

## Step 3b: Cooking assigns'

- 4. Define the other (recursive) cases
  - We duplicate the assignment for the rest of variables, once with the head assigned true and one with the head assigned false

```
assigns' (v:vs)
= [ insert v True as | as <- assigns' vs]
++ [ insert v False as | as <- assigns' vs]</pre>
```

# **Step 4: Checking for Tautologies**

• We want a function taut :: Prop -> Bool which checks that a given proposition is a tautology

#### **Step 4: Checking for Tautologies**

- We want a function taut :: Prop -> Bool which checks that a given proposition is a tautology
- Given the ingredients, taut is simple to cook

```
-- Using and :: [Bool] -> Bool

taut p = and [tv as p | as <- assigns p]

-- Using all :: (a -> Bool) -> [a] -> Bool

taut p = all (\as -> tv as p) (assigns p)

-- Using all :: (a -> Bool) -> [a] -> Bool

-- and flip :: (a -> b -> c) -> (b -> a -> c)

taut p = all (flip tv p) (assigns p)
```

## **Simplification**

#### A classic result in propositional logic

Any proposition can be transformed to an equivalent one which uses only the operators  $\neg$  and  $\land$ 

- 1. De Morgan law:  $A \lor B \equiv \neg(\neg A \land \neg B)$
- 2. Double negation:  $\neg(\neg A) \equiv A$
- 3. Implication truth:  $A \Longrightarrow B \equiv \neg A \vee B$

# **Cooking simp**

1. Define the type

```
simp :: Prop -> Prop
```

- 2. Enumerate the cases
- 3. Define the simple (base) cases

```
simp b@(Basic _) = b
simp v@(Var _) = v
```

### **Cooking simp**

- 4. Define the other (recursive) cases
  - · For negation, we simplify if we detect a double one

```
simp (Not p) = case simp p of
Not q -> q
q -> Not q
```

For conjunction we rewrite recursively

```
simp (p1 : / \cdot : p2) = simp p1 : / \cdot : simp p2
```

• For disjunction and implication, we simplify an equivalent form with less operators

```
simp (p1 : \ | p2) = simp (Not (Not p1 : \ | Not p2))

simp (p1 := \ | p2) = simp (Not p1 : \ | p2)
```

# **Arithmetic expressions**

#### **Expressions as a data type**

We define a Haskell data type for arithmetic expressions

In contrast with propositions, we separate the name of the operations from the structure of the expression

#### **Evaluation**

- Returns an integer value given values for the variables
- Similar to the truth value of a proposition

```
eval :: Map Char Integer -> ArithExpr -> Integer
eval (Constant c) = c
eval m (Variable v) = case lookup v m of
    Nothing -> error "unknown variable!"
    Just x \rightarrow x
eval m (Op o x v) = evalOp o (eval m x) (eval m v)
  where eval0p Plus = (+)
        eval0p Minus = (-)
        evalOp Times = (*)
        evalOp Div = div
```

Note that the result of evalop is a function

# **Differentiation**

### **Derivative / Afgeleide**

The *derivative* of a function is another function which measures the amount of change in the output with respect to the amount of change in the input

For example, velocity is the derivative of distance with respect to time

We write 
$$v=\displaystyle\frac{dx}{dt}$$
 following Leibniz's notation

#### **Rules for differentiation**

Differentiation is the process of finding the derivative

We just need to follow some simple rules

$$\begin{split} \frac{dx}{dx} &= 1 \quad \frac{dc}{dx} = 0 \text{ if } c \text{ is constant} \quad \frac{dy}{dx} = 0 \text{ if } y \not\equiv x \\ \frac{d(f \pm g)}{dx} &= \frac{df}{dx} \pm \frac{dg}{dx} \quad \frac{d(f \cdot g)}{dx} = \cdot \frac{df}{dx} \cdot g + f \cdot \frac{dg}{dx} \\ \frac{d\frac{f}{g}}{dx} &= \frac{\frac{df}{dx} \cdot g - f \cdot \frac{dg}{dx}}{g \cdot g} \end{split}$$

#### **Differentiation in Haskell**

$$\frac{dx}{dx} = 1 \quad \frac{dc}{dx} = 0 \text{ if } c \text{ is constant} \quad \frac{dy}{dx} = 0 \text{ if } y \not\equiv x$$
 diff (Constant \_) \_ = Constant 0 diff (Variable v) x 
$$\mid v == x \qquad = \text{Constant 1} \\ \mid \text{otherwise} \qquad = \text{Constant 0}$$
 
$$\frac{d(f \pm g)}{dx} = \frac{df}{dx} \pm \frac{dg}{dx}$$
 diff (Op Plus f g) x 
$$= \text{Op Plus (diff f x) (diff g x)}$$
 diff (Op Minus f g) x 
$$= \text{Op Minus (diff f x) (diff g x)}$$

#### **Differentiation in Haskell**

$$\frac{d(f\cdot g)}{dx} = \cdot \frac{df}{dx} \cdot g + f \cdot \frac{dg}{dx} \qquad \frac{d\frac{f}{g}}{dx} = \frac{\frac{df}{dx} \cdot g - f \cdot \frac{dg}{dx}}{g \cdot g}$$
 diff (Op Times f g) x 
$$= \text{Op Plus (Op Times (diff f x) g)}$$
 (Op Times f (diff g x)) diff (Op Div f g) x 
$$= \text{Op Div (Op Plus (Op Times (diff f x) g)}$$
 (Op Times f (diff g x))) (Op Times g g)

#### **Symbolic manipulation**

- eval, simp and diff manipulate expressions
  - As opposed to values such as numbers or Booleans
  - This is called *symbolic manipulation*
- Data types and pattern matching are essential to write these functions concisely
  - Functions operate as rules to rewrite expressions
- Source code can be represented in a similar way
  - · The corresponding data type is big
  - For that reason, Haskell is regarded as one of the best languages to write a compiler