### Lecture 9. Input and output

Functional Programming 2018/19

Alejandro Serrano



#### Goals

- ▶ Interact with the outside world in Haskell
  - Input/output and random generation
  - Learn the difference between pure and impure
- ▶ Introduce do-notation

Chapter 10 from Hutton's book



### **Interactive programs**

- ▶ In the olden days, all programs were *batch* programs
  - Introduce the program and input, sit and drink tea/coffee for hours, and get the output
  - Programs were isolated from each other
  - The part of Haskell your have learnt up to now
- ▶ In this modern era, programs are *interactive* 
  - Respond to user input, more like a dialogue
  - From the perspective of a program, it needs to communicate with an outside world
  - How do we model this in Haskell?



# Referential transparency

**Referential transparency** = you can always substitute a term by its definition without change in the meaning

▶ In let or where bindings:

let 
$$x = e$$
 in ...  $x$  ...  $x$  ... is always equivalent to:

► In anonymous functions:

$$let x = p in e$$

# Referential transparency

A more concrete example:

```
reverse xs ++ xs
where xs = filter p ys
```

is equivalent to:

```
reverse (filter p ys) ++ filter p ys
```

Note that the second version duplicates work, but we are speaking here about the *meaning* of the expression, not its efficiency



### Referential transparency

- ► Referential transparency decouples the meaning of the program from the order of evaluation
  - Inlining or duplicating does not change the program
- This has practical advantages:
  - The compiler can reorder your program for efficiency
  - Expressions are only evaluated when really needed
    - ► This is called *lazy evaluation*
  - Paralellism becomes much easier

#### **Side-effects**

Interaction with the world in not referentially transparent!

Suppose that getChar :: Char retrieves the next key stroke from the user

```
let k = getChar in k == k
```

is always True, whereas this is not the case with

```
getChar == getChar
```

We say that getChar is a side-effectful action

getChar is also called an impure function



#### **Side-effects**

- ▶ Many other actions have side-effects
  - Printing to the screen
  - ► Generate a random number
  - Communicate through a network
  - ▶ Talk to a database
- ▶ Intuitively, these actions influence the outside world
  - Key property: we cannot duplicate the world
  - And thus we cannot substitute for free

# **Modelling** output

Following this idea, we model an action by a function which changes the world

```
type IO = World -> World
```

Using IO we can give a type to putChar

```
putChar :: Char -> IO
putChar c world = ... -- details hidden
```

### Combining output actions

Executing two actions in sequence is plain composition

```
putAB :: IO
putAB world = putChar 'b' (putChar 'a' world)
-- or using composition
putAB = putChar 'b' . putChar 'a'
```

The order is not very intuitive

### Combining output actions

Executing two actions in sequence is plain composition

```
putAB :: IO
putAB world = putChar 'b' (putChar 'a' world)
-- or using composition
putAB = putChar 'b' . putChar 'a'
```

The order is not very intuitive

We introduce reverse composition

```
putAB = putChar 'a' >>> putChar 'b'
```



#### putStr, first version

putStr s prints the whole string to the screen

```
putStr :: String -> IO
putStr [] = id -- keep the world as it is
putStr (c:cs) = putChar c >>> putStr cd

putStrLn s does the same, with a newline at the end
putStrLn s = putStr s >>> putChar '\n'
```

# **Modelling input**

Our IO type is not suitable for getChar

▶ Solution: pair the output value with the new world

```
type IO a = World -> (a, World)
getChar :: IO Char
getChar = ... -- details hidden
```

What is now the return type of putChar?

We use the empty tuple as a dummy value

```
putChar :: Char -> IO ()
```



# Combining input and output

Suppose that we want to echo a character

```
echo = putChar getChar
```

• Couldn't match expected type 'Char' with actual type 'IO Char'

# Combining input and output

Let's try again with function composition

Types do not fit, since b should be both (Char, World) – from getChar – and Char – from putChar



[Faculty of Science Information and Computing Sciences]

#### Solution: bind

(>>=) – pronunced "bind" – takes care of threading the world around

$$(>>=)$$
 :: IO a -> (a -> IO b) -> IO b

Based on the output of the first action, we choose which action to perform next

## **Uppercase** input

We want to build a getUpper function which returns the uppercase version of the last keystroke

```
getChar :: IO Char
```

toUpper :: Char -> Char

```
getUpper = getChar >>= \c -> toUpper c
```

Couldn't match expected type 'IO Char'
 with actual type 'Char'



## **Uppercase** input

We need a way to *embed* pure computations, like toUpper, in the impure world

```
return :: a -> 10 a
```

Warning! return is indeed a very confusing name

- ▶ Does not "break" the flow of the function
- A more apt synonym is available, pure

```
getUpper = getChar >>= \c -> return (toUpper c)
    -- getChar >>= return . toUpper
    -- getChar >>= (toUpper >>> return)
```



## Preserving purity

There is no bridge back from the impure to the pure world

```
backFromHell :: IO a -> a
```

In this way we ensure that the outside world never "infects" pure expressions

Referential transparency is preserved

# Cooking getLine

When dealing with IO, we cannot directly pattern match

▶ We often use case expressions after (>>=)

# Cooking getLine

When dealing with IO, we cannot directly pattern match

▶ We often use case expressions after (>>=)

Working directly with (>>=) is very cumbersome!



#### do-notation

Luckily, Haskell has specific notation for IO

Blocks for IO start with the keyword do

- <- gives a name to the result of an IO action</p>
- ▶ The notation was chosen to "look imperative"



# Cooking putStr

Let us rewrite putStr with the new combinators

```
putStr :: String -> IO ()
putStr [] = return ()
putStr (c:cs) = putChar c >>= (\_ -> putStr cs)
```

What is happening is much clearer with do-notation

#### do-notation, in general

A general do block is translated as nested (>>=)

```
      do x1 \leftarrow a1
      a1 >>= (\x1 \rightarrow x2 \leftarrow a2)

      x2 \leftarrow a2
      a2 >>= (\x2 \rightarrow x2 \rightarrow x2)

      xn \leftarrow an
      an >>= (\xn \rightarrow x2 \rightarrow x2)

      expr
      expr
```

In addition, if you don't care about a value, you can write simply ai instead of \_ <- ai

Rule of thumb: do not think about (>>=) at all, just use do



#### Guess a number

```
Pick a number between 1 and 100.
Is it 50? (g = greater, l = less, c = correct)
g
Is it 75? (g = greater, l = less, c = correct)
Is it 62? (g = greater, l = less, c = correct)
g
Is it 68? (g = greater, l = less, c = correct)
Is it 65? (g = greater, l = less, c = correct)
C
Guessed
```

#### Guess a number

We do binary search over the list of numbers

▶ At each step, we pick the middle value as a guess

```
guess :: Int -> Int -> IO ()
guess 1 u
  = do let m = (u + 1) \dot div 2
       putStr ("Is it " ++ show m ++ "?")
       putStrLn ("(g = greater, l = less, c = correct)
       k <- getChar
       case k of
         'g' -> guess (m + 1) u
         'l' -> guess l (m - 1)
         'c' -> putStrLn "Guessed"
             -> do putStrLn "Press type g/l/c!"
                    guess 1 u
                                           [Faculty of Science
```

### Guess a number, main program

When an executable written in Haskell starts, the main function is called

main always has type IO ()

- ▶ getArgs :: IO [String] obtains program arguments
- ▶ read :: Read a => String -> a
  - Parses a String into a value
  - ▶ In this case, we parse it into an Int



# Summary of basic I/O actions

```
return :: a -> IO a
```

```
getChar :: IO Char getLine :: IO String
```

```
putChar :: Char -> IO ()
putStr :: String -> IO ()
putStrLn :: String -> IO ()
```

# Dealing with files

The simplest functions to work with files in Haskell

```
type FilePath = String
readFile :: FilePath -> IO String
```

The following functions are often convenient

writeFile :: FilePath -> String -> IO ()

```
lines :: String -> [String] -- break at '\n'
unlines :: [String] -> String -- join lines
```

```
-- convert back and forth
show :: Show a => a -> String
read :: Read a => String -> a
```

Universiteit Utrecht



### Guess a number, bounds from file

#### IO as first-class citizens

#### ID actions are first-class

#### In the same way as you do with functions

- ► An IO action can be an argument or result of a function
- ▶ IO actions can be put in a list or other container

```
map (\name -> putStrLn ("Hello, " ++ name))
    ["Mary", "John"] :: [IO ()]
```

## Building versus execution of IO actions

```
map (\name -> putStrLn ("Hello, " ++ name))
    ["Mary", "John"] :: [IO ()]
```

Running this code prints **nothing** to the screen

We say that it builds the IO actions: describes what needs to be done but does not do it yet

To obtain the side-effects, you need to execute the actions

- At the interpreter prompt
- In a do block which is ultimately called by main
- An executed action always has a IO T type



#### **Execute a list of actions**

sequence\_ as performs the side-effects of a list of actions

1. Define the type

```
sequence_ :: [IO a] -> IO ()
```

2. Enumerate the cases

```
sequence_ [] = _
sequence_ (a:as) = _
```

3. Define the cases



#### **Execute a list of actions**

We have all the ingredients to greet a list of people

This combination is very common, so the library defines

```
mapM_ :: (a -> IO b) -> [a] -> IO ()
greet = mapM_ (\name -> putStrLn ("Hello, " ++ name))
```

#### **Execute a list of actions**

By just flipping the order of arguments, we can write "imperative-looking" code

#### Answer to a yes-no questions

poseQuestion q prints a question to the screen, obtains a y or n input from the user and returns it as a Boolean

# Gathering all answers

Once again, if we map over the list the actions are inside



# Gathering all answers

Now we can gather answers to all questions at once

```
poseQuestions :: [String] -> IO [Bool]
poseQuestions = sequence . map poseQuestion
```

We have non-forgetful versions of the previous functions

```
mapM :: (a \rightarrow I0 b) \rightarrow [a] \rightarrow I0 [b]
forM :: [a] \rightarrow (a \rightarrow I0 b) \rightarrow I0 [b]
```

Naming convention: a function which ends in \_ throws away information

## Lifting, the problem

Take the last equation of sequence

Or a function which reads two numbers and returns its sum

```
inputSum = do x <- getLine
     y <- getLine
     return (read x + read y)</pre>
```

In both cases we are just gathering a sequence of values from actions and applying a (pure) function at the very end



#### Lifting, the solution

#### From a previous lecture

How do we make (+) work with Maybe values?

#### Lifting, the solution

#### From a previous lecture

How do we make (+) work with Maybe values?

The same function is available for IO!

## Lifting, examples

The code becomes much shorter!

```
sequence (a:as) = liftM2 (:) a (sequence as)
```

## Lifting, examples

The code becomes much shorter!

```
sequence (a:as) = liftM2 (:) a (sequence as)
```

In fact, since IO is a monad, people often write

```
sequence (a:as) = (:) < a <*> sequence as
```

This style of programming is covered later in the course

#### **Randomness**

## Random generation

Random generation is provided by the System.Random module of the random package

#### class Random a where

```
randomR :: RandomGen g \Rightarrow (a, a) \rightarrow g \rightarrow (a, g)
random :: RandomGen g \Rightarrow g \rightarrow (a, g)
```

- a is the type of value you want to generate
- ▶ g is the type of random generators
  - Usually, random generators keep some additional information called the seed



# Generating several random numbers

If you want to generate several values, you need to keep track of the seed yourself

# Obtaining the seed

An initial value for the generator needs external input

 The following function takes care of obtaining a new seed, performing random generation and updating the seed at the end

```
getStdRandom :: (StdGen -> (a, StdGen)) -> IO a
```

Note the use of a higher-order function to encapsulate the part of the program which needs randomness

Because of its ubiquity, the following functions are provided



# **Summary**

- Actions with side-effects which return a value of type a are represented by IO a
  - Pure and impure parts are perfectly delineated
  - ► The main in a Haskell program has type IO ()
- ▶ To sequence IO actions, use do-notation
  - Under the hood it translates to nested (>>=) (bind)
- ▶ IO actions are first-class citizens

