Lecture 5. Data types and type classes

Functional Programming 2019/20

Alejandro Serrano

One more note about higher-order functions

- ► How can we use functions?
- Can we pattern match on them?
- Can we inspect their source code from a higher-order function?

One more note about higher-order functions

- ► How can we use functions?
 - ▶ By applying them! That's it!
- Can we pattern match on them?
 - No! But we can feed them inputs and pattern match on the results!
- Can we inspect their source code from a higher-order function?
 - No! Only their input-output behaviour!

Goal of typed purely functional programming

Lecture 2:

- data-flow only through function arguments and return values
 - no hidden data-flow through mutable variables/state
 - instead: tuples!

Last time:

- function call and return as only control-flow primitive
 - no loops, break, continue, goto
 - instead: higher-order functions!

Goal of typed purely functional programming

Today:

- ► (almost) unique types
 - no inheritance hell
 - instead of classes + inheritance: variant types!
- high-level declarative data structures
 - no explicit reference-based data structures
 - instead: (immutable) algebraic data types!

Goals for today

- ► Define your own algebraic data types:
 - tuples (recap), variants, and recursive
- Define your own type classes and instances
- Understand the difference between parametric and ad-hoc polymorphism
- Understand the value and limitations of algebraic data types

Chapter 8 (until 8.6) from Hutton's book

In the previous lectures...

... we have only used built-in types!

- ► Basic data types
 - ▶ Int, Bool, Char...
- Compound types parametrized by others
 - Some with a definite amount of elements, like tuples
 - Some with an unbound number of them, like lists

It's about time to define our own!

Direction

- data declares a new data type
- ▶ The name of the type must start with **U**ppercase
- Then we have a number of constructors separated by I
 - Each of them also starting by uppercase
 - ▶ The same constructor cannot be used for different types
- Such a simple data type is called an enumeration



Building a list of directions

Each constructor defines a value of the data type

```
> :t North
North :: Direction
```

You can use Direction in the same way as Bool or Int

```
> :t [North, West]
[North, West] :: [Direction]
> :t (North, True)
(North, True) :: (Direction, Bool)
```

Pattern matching over directions

To define a function, you proceed as usual:

1. Define the type

```
directionName :: Direction -> String
```

- 2. Enumerate the cases
 - ► The cases are each of the constructors

```
directionName North = _
directionName South = _
directionName East = _
directionName West = _
```

Pattern matching over directions

3. Define each of the cases

```
directionName North = "N"
directionName South = "S"
directionName East = "E"
directionName West = "W"
```

```
> map directionName [North, West]
["N","W"]
```

Built-in types are just data types

▶ Bool is a simple enumeration

```
data Bool = False | True
```

Int and Char can be thought as very long enumerations

```
data Int = ... | -1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | ...
data Char = ... | 'A' | 'B' | ...
```

The compiler treats these in a special way

Points

Data types may store information within them

```
data Point = Pt Float Float
```

- The name of the constructor is followed by the list of types of each argument
- Constructor and type names may overlap data Point = Point Float Float

Using points

 To create a point, we use the name of the constructor followed by the value of each argument

```
> :t Pt 2.0 3.0
Pt 2.0 3.0 :: Point
```

To pattern match, we use the name of the constructor and further matchs over the arguments

```
norm :: Point -> Float
norm (Pt x y) = sqrt (x*x + y*y)
```

Do not forget the parentheses!

```
> norm Pt x y = x * x + y * y
<interactive>:2:6: error:
```

• The constructor 'Pt' should have 2 arguments, but has been given none



Constructors are functions

Each constructor in a data type is a function which build a value of that type given enough arguments

```
> :t North
North :: Direction -- No arguments
> :t Pt
Pt :: Float -> Float -> Point -- 2 arguments
```

They can be arguments or results of higher-order functions

```
zipPoint :: [Float] -> [Float] -> [Point]
zipPoint xs ys = map (uncurry Pt) (zip xs ys)
-- = [Pt \ x \ y \ / \ (x, \ y) <- \ zip \ xs \ ys]
```

Time to work!

Define the uncurry function:

Time to work!

Define the uncurry function:

```
uncurry :: (a -> b -> c) -> (a, b) -> c
-- Choose your own style
uncurry f (x, y) = f x y
uncurry f = \( (x, y) -> f x y \)
```

Shapes

A data type may have zero or more *constructors*, each of them holding zero or more *arguments*



Pattern matching over shapes

The function perimeter returns the length of the boundary of a shape

perimeter :: Shape -> Float

Pattern matching over shapes

The function perimeter returns the length of the boundary of a shape

perimeter :: Shape -> Float

Gentle basic geometry reminder

$$P_{\rm rect} = 2w + 2h$$

$$P_{\rm circle} = 2\pi r$$

$$P_{\rm triang} = {\rm dist}(a,b) + {\rm dist}(b,c) + {\rm dist}(c,a)$$

Try it yourself!



Pattern matching over shapes

Each case starts with a constructor – in uppercase – and matches the arguments

```
distance (Pt u1 u2) (Pt v1 v2)
= sqrt ((u1-v1)^2+(u2-v2)^2)
```



ADTs versus object-oriented classes

```
abstract class Shape {
   abstract float area();
}
class Rectangle : Shape {
  public Point corner;
  public float width, height;
  public float area() { return width * height; }
}
// More for Circle and Triangle
```

- ► There is no *inheritance* involved in ADTs
- Constructors in an ADT are closed, but you can always add new subclasses in a OO setting
- Classes bundle methods, functions for ADTs are defined outside the data type



Nominal versus structural typing

```
data Point = Pt Float Float
data Vector = Vec Float Float
```

- These types are structurally equal
 - They have the same number of constructors with the same number and type of arguments
- But for the Haskell compiler, they are unrelated
 - You cannot use one in place of the other
 - ► This is called *nominal* typing

```
> :t norm
norm :: Point -> Float
> norm (Vec 2.0 3.0)
Couldn't match 'Point' with 'Vector'
```



Lists and trees of numbers

Data types may refer to themselves

► They are called **recursive** data types

data IntList

= EmptyList | Cons Int IntList

data IntTree

= EmptyTree | Node Int IntTree IntTree



Cooking elemList

1. Define the type

```
elemList :: Int -> IntList -> Bool
```

- 2. Enumerate the cases
 - One equation per constructor

```
elemList x EmptyList = _
elemList x (Cons y ys) = _
```

3. Define the cases

Cooking elemTree

Time to think!

```
elemTree :: Int -> IntTree -> Bool
```

Cooking elemTree

1. Define the type

```
elemTree :: Int -> IntTree -> Bool
```

- 2. Enumerate the cases
 - ► Each constructor needs to come with as many variables as arguments in its definition

```
elemTree x EmptyTree = _
elemTree x (Node y rs ls) = _
```

3. Define the simple (base) cases

```
elemTree x EmptyTree = False
```

Cooking elemTree

- 4. Define the other (recursive) cases
 - Each recursive appearance of the data type as an argument usually leads to a recursive call in the function

```
elemTree x (Node y rs ls)
  | x == y = True
  | otherwise = elemTree x rs || elemTree x ls

-- Or simpler
elemTree x (Node y rs ls)
  = x == y || elemTree x rs || elemTree x ls
```

Cooking treeHeight

The function treeHeight computes the height of a tree, that is, the length of the maximum path from the root to an EmptyTree.

Try it yourself!



Tree height and size

- ► The tree *height* is the length of the maximum path from the root to an EmptyTree.
- ▶ The tree *size* is the number of nodes it has.

Question

Can you write a single higher-order function which can be instantiated to both?

Cooking treeToList

Define the type

```
treeToList :: IntTree -> IntList
```

2. Enumerate the cases

```
treeToList EmptyTree = _
treeToList (Node x ls rs) = _
```

3. Define the simple (base) cases

```
treeToList EmptyTree = EmptyList
```

How do we proceed now?

Cooking treeToList

4. Define the other (recursive) cases treeToList (Node x ls rs) = Cons x (concatList ls' rs') where ls' = treeToList ls rs' = treeToList rs -- Left as an exercise to the audience concatList :: IntList -> IntList -> IntList concatList xs =

Polymorphic data types

We have seen examples of types which are parametric

- Lists like [Int], [Bool], [IntTree]...
- ► Tuples (A, B), (A, B, C) and so on

Functions over these data types can be polymorphic

They work regardless of the parameter of the type

```
(++) :: [a] -> [a] -> [a]
zip :: [a] -> [b] -> [(a, b)]
```

Optional values

Maybe T represents a value of type T which might be absent

- In the declaration of a polymorphic data type, the name Maybe is followed by one or more type variables
 - ► Type *variables* start with a lowercase letter
- The constructors may refer to the type variables in their arguments
 - In this case, Just holds a value of type a

Optional values

```
> :t Just True
Maybe Bool
> :t Nothing
```

Maybe a

Note that Nothing has a polymorphic type, since there is no information to fix what a is

Cooking find

find p xs finds the first element in xs which satisfies p

- Such an element may not exist
 - ► Think of find even [1,3], or find even []
- ▶ Other languages resort to null or magic -1 values
- Haskell always marks a possible absence using Maybe
- 1. Define the type

```
find :: (a \rightarrow Bool) \rightarrow [a] \rightarrow Maybe a
```

2. Enumerate the cases

```
find p [] = _
find p (x:xs) = _
```



Cooking find

3. Define the simple (base) cases

```
find _ [] = Nothing
```

4. Define the other (recursive) cases

elem in terms of find

Let me define a small utility function

```
isJust :: Maybe a -> Bool
isJust Nothing = False
isJust (Just _) = True
```

Then we can define elem as a composition of other functions

```
elem :: Eq a \Rightarrow a \Rightarrow [a] \Rightarrow Bool
elem x = isJust . find (== x)
```

Trees for any type

We can generalize our IntTree data type

- ► This is a polymorphic and recursive data type
- Mind the parentheses around the arguments

More recipes with trees

Next lecture

Many more operations over trees! ► Including *search* trees



Benefits and downsides of ADTs

- + Immutable
- + Pattern matching and recursion
- Limited to directed, acyclic data types

Type classes

Polymorphism: definitions across many types

Parametric polymorphism - Generics

- ▶ Define once, not inspecting type
- Works at every instance of parametric data type (infinitely many)

```
reverse :: [a] -> [a]
```

Polymorphism: definitions across many types

Parametric polymorphism - Generics

- Define once, not inspecting type
- Works at every instance of parametric data type (infinitely many)

```
reverse :: [a] -> [a]
```

Ad-hoc polymorphism - Overloading

- Define many times, inspecting types
- Works at finitely many types, called instances of type class, e.g. Num, Eq

```
(+) :: Num a => a -> a -> Bool
```

▶ Warning! Terminology conflict with other languages



Polymorphism

Mixing polymorphism

Mixing 2 type classes

```
\x -> x == 7 :: (Eq a, Num a) => a -> Bool 
 \f -> f 0 == f 1 :: (Eq b, Num a) => (a -> b) -> Bool
```

Mixing type classes with parametric polymorphism

$$f x \rightarrow f (x + 1) :: Num a \rightarrow (a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow a \rightarrow b$$

Class definition

```
class Eq a where
  (==) :: a -> a -> Bool
  (/=) :: a -> a -> Bool
```

- ▶ The name of the type class starts with **U**ppercase
- ▶ We declare a type variable a in this case to stand for the overloaded type in the rest of the declaration
- ► Each type class defines one or more **methods** which must be implemented for each instance
 - ▶ We do *not* write the constraint in the methods



Missing instances

```
> Pt 2.0 3.0 == Pt 2.0 3.0
<interactive>:2:1: error:
     No instance for (Eq Point)
     arising from a use of '=='
```

- You have to give the instance declaration for your own data types, even for built-in type classes
 - In some cases, the compiler can write them for you



Instance declarations

```
instance Eq Point where
  Pt x y == Pt u v = x == u && y == v
  Pt x y /= Pt u v = x /= u || y /= v
```

- ► Almost like the class declaration, except that
 - ► The type variable is substituted by a real type
 - Instead of method types, you give the implementation

```
> Pt 2.0 3.0 == Pt 2.0 3.0 True
```



Recursive instances

Type class instances for polymorphic types may depend on their parameters

- For example, equality of lists, tuples, and trees
- These requisites are listed in front of the declaration



Overlapping instances

Imagine that I want tuples of Ints to work slightly different

```
instance Eq (Int, Int) where
(x, y) == (u, v) = x * v == y * u
```

You *cannot* do this! This instance **overlaps** with the other one given for generic tuples

Recursive instances

Write the Eq instance for the Tree data type:

Recursive instances

Write the Eq instance for the Tree data type:

Superclasses

A class might demand that other class is implemented

- We say that such a class has a superclass
- For example, any class with an ordering 0rd has to implement equality – Eq

The meanings of =>

- ► In a type, it constraints a polymorphic function elem :: Eq a => a -> [a] -> Bool
- ► In a class declaration, it introduces a superclass class Eq a => Ord a where ...
 - All instances of Ord must be instances of Eq
- ► In an instance declaration, it defines a requisite instance Eq a => Eq [a] where ...
- ► A list [T] supports equality only if T supports it Before => you write an assumption or precondition



Default definitions

We could also write the following instance Eq Point

```
instance Eq Pt where
Pt ... == Pt ... = _ -- as before
p /= q = not (p == q)
```

In fact, this definition of (/=) works for any type

- ► You can include a *default* definition in Eq
- If an instance does not have a explicit definition for that method, the default one is used

```
class Eq a where
  (==), (/=) :: a -> a -> Bool
  x /= y = not (x == y)
```

Default definitions

▶ You could have also defined (/=) outside of the class

```
(/=) :: Eq a => a -> a -> Bool
x /= y = not (x == y)
```

- ▶ This definition cannot be overriden in each instance
- ▶ Why do we prefer (/=) to live in the class?
 - ► Performance! For some data types it is cheaper to check for disequality than for equality

Automatic derivation

- Writing equality checks is boring
 - ► Go around all constructors and arguments
- Writing order checks is even more boring
- Turning something into a string is also boring

Let the compiler work for you!

Historical note: many of the advances in automatic derivation of type classes where done here at UU

Define your own data types!

Data types in Haskell are simple and cheap to define

▶ Introduce one per concept in your program

```
-- the following definition

data Status = Stopped | Running

data Process = Process ... Status ...

-- is better than

data Process = Process ... Bool ...

-- what does 'True' represent here?
```

▶ Use type classes to share commonalities

Example: scalable things

Both shapes and vector have a notion of scaling

Scale the size or scale the norm

```
class Scalable s where
  scale :: Float -> s -> s
```

Example: scalable things

Both shapes and vector have a notion of scaling

Scale the size or scale the norm

```
class Scalable s where
  scale :: Float -> s -> s
instance Scalable Vector where
 scale s v@(Vec x y) = Vec (n*x) (n*y)
   where n = s / norm v
instance Scalable Shape where
  scale s (Rectangle p w h) = Rectangle p (s*w) (s*h)
  scale s (Circle p r) = Circle p (s*r)
  scale s (Triangle x y z) = ... -- This is hard
```

Generic functions for scalable things

- Some functions now work over any scalable thing double :: Scalable s => s -> s double = scale 2.0
- We may generic instances for composed scalables instance Scalable s => Scalable [s] where scale s = map (scale s)

Group work time!

- 1. Think about a generic notion (like scaling)
- 2. Define a type class with the least primitive operations
- 3. Think of instances for that type class
- 4. Think of derived operations using the type class

Overloaded syntax



Numeric constants' weird type

What is going on?

```
> :t 3
3 :: Num t => t
```

Numeric constants can be turned into any Num type

```
> 3 :: Integer
3
> 3 :: Float
3.0
> 3 :: Rational -- Type of fractions
3 % 1 -- Numerator % Denominator
```

Range syntax

The range syntax [n .. m] is a shorthand for

enumFromTo n m

enumFromTo lives in the class Enum

▶ Bool and Char are instances, among others

"abcdefghijklmnopqrstuvwxyz"

More range syntax

```
enumFrom :: a \rightarrow [a]
enumFromThenTo :: a \rightarrow a \rightarrow a \rightarrow [a]
```

- enumFrom does not specify a bound for the range
 - ► The list is possibly infinite

```
> take 5 [1 ..] [1,2,3,4,5]
```

 enumFromThenTo generates a list where each pair of adjacent elements has the same distance

```
> [1.0, 1.2 .. 2.0]
[1.0,1.2,1.4,1.599999999999999,
   1.7999999999999998,1.99999999999999]
```



Deriving Enum

enumFromTo can be automatically derived for enumerations

Data types without data in their constructors

```
> [South .. West]
[South, East, West]
```

