

```
# UNIT III: Acceptance Sampling Plan
## Comprehensive In-Depth Study Notes

**Duration**: 15 Hours
**Focus**: Principles of acceptance sampling, construction and statistical basis of sampling plans, variables and attributes sampling, operating characteristic curves, process capability from sampling, comparison between sampling methods.
```

---

```
## 1. Fundamental Concepts: Why Acceptance Sampling Exists
```

```
### 1.1 The Core Problem Acceptance Sampling Solves
```

In manufacturing and supply chain quality control, organizations face a recurring decision:

> "I have received a lot (batch) of N items. Should I accept this lot for use/sale, or reject it and send it back?"

\*\*Three approaches to answering this question:\*\*

1. \*\*100% Inspection\*\* (no sampling)
  - Inspect every single item in the lot
  - Definitive but expensive, time-consuming, and impossible for destructive tests
2. \*\*No Inspection\*\* (pure acceptance)
  - Accept all lots without checking
  - Fast and cheap but high quality risk
3. \*\*Acceptance Sampling\*\* (intelligent middle ground)
  - Inspect a random sample of n items from lot of N
  - Make accept/reject decision based on defectives found in sample
  - Balances cost, time, and risk statistically

\*\*Example scenario:\*\* A car manufacturer receives 5,000 electronic control modules from a supplier. Testing each one takes 2 hours and is expensive. Testing to failure is destructive. Solution: Randomly inspect 125 units, observe 3 defectives, decide: accept or reject the entire lot of 5,000?

```
### 1.2 When Acceptance Sampling Makes Economic and Practical Sense
```

\*\*Cost-Driven Situations:\*\*

- Inspection per unit is expensive (precision measurement, X-ray, ultrasonic testing)
- Large volumes make 100% inspection economically prohibitive
- Inspection labor costs are high relative to product cost

\*\*Destructive Testing Requirements:\*\*

- Strength testing (materials break during test)
- Battery life testing (consumes battery)
- Endurance testing (wears out the product)
- Cannot test 100% without destroying entire lot

\*\*Time-Critical Decisions:\*\*

- Production schedules demand fast lot decisions
- Need rapid material flow through manufacturing
- Quality assessment cannot block production lines

**\*\*Supplier Relationship Contexts:\*\***

- Long-standing supplier with good history (can rely on sampling)
- Established process stability and historical quality data
- Mutually agreed quality targets (AQL, LTPD) between supplier and customer

### ### 1.3 When Acceptance Sampling is Inappropriate or Dangerous

**\*\*Avoid acceptance sampling for:\*\***

- **Critical safety applications**: Aerospace, medical devices, automotive safety systems (must use 100% inspection or very stringent statistical plans)
- **Regulatory requirements**: Many regulations (FDA, FAA, automotive) mandate 100% inspection for certain characteristics
- **Very high quality needs**: Six Sigma level production (3.4 defects per million) cannot be verified by sampling
- **Unknown suppliers**: No historical quality data means cannot calibrate sampling plan appropriately
- **Unstable processes**: If process quality varies wildly, sampling plan assumptions collapse
- **Small lots**: Statistical basis becomes unreliable when lot size is only slightly larger than sample size

---

## ## 2. Anatomy of a Single-Sampling Plan

### ### 2.1 Formal Definition

A **single-sampling plan** for attributes is specified by exactly three parameters:

**\*\*Plan Notation: (N; n, c)\*\***

- **N** = Lot size (total number of items submitted for inspection)
- **n** = Sample size (number of items randomly selected and inspected from the lot)
- **c** = Acceptance number (maximum allowable number of defectives in the sample for lot acceptance)

### ### 2.2 The Decision Rule

**\*\*Procedure:\*\***

1. Randomly select  $n$  items from lot of  $N$  items
2. Inspect each of the  $n$  items
3. Count the number of defective items:  $d$
4. **Decision:**
  - If  $d \leq c \rightarrow \text{ACCEPT the lot}$  (release it to next process/customer)
  - If  $d > c \rightarrow \text{REJECT the lot}$  (return to supplier or 100% inspect)

### ### 2.3 Practical Example: Electronic Component Lot

**\*\*Scenario:\*\***

- Receiving inspection of printed circuit board assemblies
- Lot size:  $N = 2,000$  units
- Sampling plan:  $(2,000; 125, 3)$
- Interpretation: Sample 125 units; accept lot if  $\leq 3$  defectives found; reject if 4 or more

**\*\*Inspection Results:\*\***

- Unit 1: Good
- Unit 2: Defective (1st defect)
- Unit 3: Good
- Unit 4: Defective (2nd defect)
- ...continue inspecting...
- Unit 125: Defective (3rd defect)
- **\*\*Total defectives found:  $d = 3$ \*\***
- **\*\*Decision:  $d = 3 \leq c = 3$ , therefore ACCEPT lot\*\***

---

**## 3. The Operating Characteristic (OC) Curve: Heart of Acceptance Sampling**

### **### 3.1 Definition and Purpose**

**\*\*Operating Characteristic (OC) Curve:\*\*** A plot showing the **probability** that a lot will be accepted under the sampling plan as a function of the **true fraction defective** in that lot.

**\*\*Axes:\*\***

- x-axis:  $p$  = fraction defective in the lot (ranging from 0 to 1.0, or 0% to 100%)
- y-axis:  $P_a(p)$  = probability of lot acceptance under the plan (ranging from 0 to 1.0)

**\*\*Why this matters:\*\*** The OC curve is the "report card" of a sampling plan. It tells you:

- How likely is a "good" lot to be accepted?
- How likely is a "bad" lot to be rejected?
- How sharply does the plan discriminate between good and bad lots?

### **### 3.2 Mathematical Basis: The Binomial Distribution**

For a lot with large  $N$  (or infinite population equivalently), the number of defectives  $d$  found in sample size  $n$  follows a **binomial distribution** with parameters  $n$  and  $p$ .

---

**## 4. Producer's Risk ( $\alpha$ ), Consumer's Risk ( $\beta$ ), AQL, and LTPD**

### **### 4.1 The Two Key Risks**

**\*\*Every sampling plan involves two risks:\*\***

1. **\*\*Type I Error (Producer's Risk  $\alpha$ )\*\***
  - Definition: Probability of **rejecting a good lot**\*
  - Victim: The supplier/producer
  - Impact: Good product returned to producer unnecessarily; lost revenue, customer relation damage

2. \*\*Type II Error (Consumer's Risk  $\beta$ )\*\*
  - Definition: Probability of \*\*accepting a bad lot\*\*
  - Victim: The customer receiving defective product
  - Impact: Defective products in customer's supply chain; quality problems, warranty costs

### ### 4.2 AQL: Acceptable Quality Level

\*\*Definition:\*\* The poorest fraction defective (in percentage) that the \*\*customer considers satisfactory\*\* as a process average.

\*\*Key Characteristics:\*\*

- Not a specification or target for the supplier
- Not a property of the sampling plan
- Rather, it's the \*\*operating quality level\*\* the supplier claims to maintain
- Represents "good" process performance

\*\*Typical Values:\*\* 0.5%, 1.0%, 1.5%, 2.5%, depending on product criticality

### ### 4.3 LTPD: Lot Tolerance Percent Defective

\*\*Definition:\*\* The poorest quality level in an \*\*individual lot\*\* that the \*\*customer is willing to accept\*\*.

\*\*Key Characteristics:\*\*

- Represents "bad" lot quality
- The customer wants \*\*LOW\*\* acceptance probability at this point
- Typically  $P_a(LTPD) = \beta \approx 0.10$
- This means: if a lot is truly at LTPD quality, ~10% acceptance (bad luck), ~90% rejection (intended)

---

## ## 5. Dodge-Romig Sampling Inspection Tables

### ### 5.1 Purpose and Historical Development

\*\*Background:\*\*

- Developed by H.F. Dodge and H.G. Romig at Bell Laboratories, 1940s
- Pioneering work in statistical acceptance sampling
- Tables provide \*\*pre-computed optimal sampling plans\*\*
- Recognize two major objectives: minimize AOQL or minimize ATI

\*\*Why tables matter:\*\*

- Eliminates need for manual OC curve calculations
- Provides industry-standard, proven plans
- Easy implementation without statistical expertise
- Ensures plans are statistically optimized for stated objectives

### ### 5.2 Two Types of Dodge-Romig Tables

\*\*Type 1: AOQL Plans\*\*

- Design objective: Achieve specified maximum average outgoing quality limit
- Typical values: AOQL = 0.5%, 1.0%, 1.5%, 2.0%, 2.5%, 3.0%, 4.0%, 5.0%

- Given process average (e.g., 1% defective), tables return  $(n, c)$  that guarantee AOQL
- Also provided: producer risk  $\alpha$  at the process average point
- Plans minimize ATI while hitting AOQL target

### ### 5.3 How to Use Dodge-Romig AOQL Tables

**\*\*Example:** AOQL = 2.0% Table\*\*

**\*\*Step 1: Define Requirements\*\***

- Target AOQL: 2.0%
- Process average (most likely incoming defect rate): 1.0%
- Lot size: 2,000 units

**\*\*Step 2: Select Table\*\***

- Look for table titled "Dodge-Romig AOQL = 2.0%"

**\*\*Step 3: Locate Lot Size Row\*\***

- Tables organized by lot size ranges
- Find "Lot sizes 1,201 to 3,200" row

**\*\*Step 4: Find Process Average Column\*\***

- Column headers: 0.5%, 1.0%, 1.5%, 2.0%, ...
- Select "1.0%" column

**\*\*Step 5: Read  $(n, c)$ \*\***

- Intersection gives recommended plan
- Example might show:  $n = 125$ ,  $c = 2$
- Provides acceptance probability at AQL (typically ~0.90-0.95)

---

## ## 6. Average Outgoing Quality (AOQ) and AOQL

### ### 6.1 What is Rectifying Inspection?

**\*\*Assumption:\*\*** When a lot is **rejected** under the sampling plan, it undergoes **100% inspection**. All defectives found are:

- Removed and scrapped, or
- Removed and reworked, or
- Replaced with good items from stock

**\*\*Result:\*\*** Rejected lots exit inspection with essentially **zero defectives**.

This is called **rectifying inspection** because it "corrects" or "rectifies" the quality of rejected lots.

### ### 6.2 Average Outgoing Quality (AOQ)

**\*\*Definition:\*\*** The **expected fraction defective** in products leaving the inspection area (accepted lots + screened rejected lots), over a long sequence of lots from a process with fraction defective  $p$ .

**\*\*AOQL: Average Outgoing Quality Limit\*\***

**\*\*Definition:\*\*** The **maximum value** of the AOQ curve across all possible incoming quality levels.

---

## ## 7. Double-Sampling Plans: A More Efficient Alternative

### ### 7.1 Why Double Sampling?

\*\*Single sampling drawbacks:\*\*

- Fixed sample size regardless of early evidence
- May over-inspect when evidence is clear
- May under-inspect when evidence is borderline

\*\*Double sampling advantage:\*\*

- Take small first sample
- If evidence is clear (very few or many defects), decide immediately
- If borderline, take second sample before final decision
- Typically lower Average Sample Number (ASN) than single sampling

### ### 7.2 Double-Sampling Plan Parameters

\*\*Specified by:\*\*  $(N; n_1, c_1, n_2, c_2)$

- $n_1$ : first sample size
- $c_1$ : first acceptance number (accept lot if  $d_1 \leq c_1$ )
- $r_1 = c_1 + 1$ : first rejection number (reject lot if  $d_1 > r_1$ )
- $n_2$ : second sample size
- $c_2$ : combined acceptance number (accept lot if  $d_1 + d_2 \leq c_2$ )
- $r_2 = c_2 + 1$ : combined rejection number (reject if  $d_1 + d_2 > c_2$ )

---

## ## 8. Lot Formation: Critical Success Factor

### ### 8.1 Why Lot Formation Matters

The \*\*lot\*\* is the fundamental unit of acceptance sampling. How lots are formed directly impacts:

- OC curve validity
- Ability to detect quality problems
- Effectiveness of corrective actions

### ### 8.2 Requirements for Valid Lot Formation

\*\*1. Homogeneity\*\*

- Items in a lot should be produced under \*\*same conditions\*\*
- Same machine, same operator, same shift if possible
- Same raw material batch
- Produced at \*\*approximately the same time\*\*

\*\*2. Larger Lots Preferred\*\*

- Statistically more valid ( $n/N$  ratio better)
- Economically more efficient to inspect large lots than small ones
- Reduces overhead of lot-handling per item

\*\*3. Practical Conformability\*\*

- Lot size should match physical handling systems at supplier and customer

- Packaging should minimize damage in transit
  - Should be easy to select random sample from lot
- 

## ## 9. Random Sampling: Non-Negotiable Requirement

### ### 9.1 The Sampling Principle

Every item in the lot must have **\*\*equal probability\*\*** of being selected for the sample. Otherwise, bias is introduced.

### ### 9.2 Implementation Methods

**\*\*Method 1: Random Number Approach\*\***

- Assign number (1 to N) to every item in lot
- Use random number table or computer generator
- Select items corresponding to n random numbers
- Inspect those specific items

**\*\*Method 2: Serial Number/Code\*\***

- If items have serial/code numbers, use those
  - Generate n random numbers in appropriate range
  - Select items matching those numbers
- 

## ## 10. Comprehensive Advantages and Disadvantages of Acceptance Sampling

### ### 10.1 Major Advantages

<b>**Advantage**</b>	<b>Why It Matters</b>	<b>Business Impact</b>
<b>Cost Reduction (50-90% lower inspection cost)</b>	Sample size n usually << lot size N   Lower QC budget; higher profitability	
<b>Time Efficiency</b>	Faster decisions on lot acceptance   Faster material flow; reduce delays	
<b>Applicable to Destructive Tests</b>	Only way for destructive testing   Enables quality verification	
<b>Statistical Basis</b>	Objective, quantified risks ( $\alpha$ , $\beta$ )   Defensible in disputes	
<b>Risk Control</b>	Explicitly defined risks   Both parties can agree on risks	
<b>Scalability</b>	Works well for mass production   Practical for many industries	
<b>AOQL Guarantee</b>	Customer assured max quality   Long-term confidence	
<b>Standardized Methodology</b>	Dodge-Romig, ANSI Z1.4, ISO 2859   Easy to implement	

### ### 10.2 Major Disadvantages

<b>**Disadvantage**</b>	<b>Why It's a Problem</b>	<b>Risk/Impact</b>
<b>Sampling Risk</b>	Chance of Type I & II errors   Unfair to suppliers or risk to customer	
<b>No Process Improvement</b>	Reactive screening only   Doesn't address root causes	

**Assumption Dependency**   Relies on stable process	Breaks if assumptions violated
**Not for Critical Applications**   Cannot use for aerospace/medical	Must use 100% inspection
**Training Required**   Personnel need statistical knowledge	Errors common with untrained staff
**Small Lots Problem**   Statistical basis weak	Cannot use for small batches

---

## ## 11. ANSI Z1.4 and ISO 2859: Modern Standards

\*\*ANSI/ASQ Z1.4 (and equivalent ISO 2859-1):\*\*

- Comprehensive sampling system
- Includes multiple sampling schemes
- \*\*Switching rules:\*\* Normal  $\leftrightarrow$  Tightened  $\leftrightarrow$  Reduced based on acceptance history
- Adaptive: adjusts inspection level based on recent performance
- Level I (reduced), Level II (normal), Level III (tightened)

\*\*Benefits:\*\*

- Encourages continuous improvement
- Rewards good suppliers with reduced inspection
- Penalizes deteriorating quality with tightened inspection
- Industry standard (recognized globally)

---

## ## 12. Complete Workflow: From Requirements to Implementation

### ### 12.1 Design Phase

\*\*Step 1: Define Quality Objectives\*\*

- Agree on AQL with supplier (good lot quality level)
- Agree on LTPD with customer (rejectable lot level)
- Select acceptable risks  $\alpha$  (typically 0.05) and  $\beta$  (typically 0.10)

\*\*Step 2: Determine Lot Parameters\*\*

- Lot size N based on production batch sizes
- Ensure lots are homogeneous
- Plan logistics of lot formation

\*\*Step 3: Select Sampling Method\*\*

- Single sampling: simplest, easiest administration
- Double sampling: lower inspection for clear cases
- ANSI Z1.4: adaptive, industry standard

\*\*Step 4: Determine (n, c)\*\*

- Use OC curve design
- Or use Dodge-Romig tables (AOQL or LTPD minimizing)
- Verify AOQL/ATI acceptable
- Document plan clearly

### ### 12.2 Implementation Phase

\*\*Step 1: Communication\*\*

- Distribute plan details to all stakeholders

- Explain decision rule clearly: "Accept if  $d \leq c$ "
- Set expectations on timelines

**\*\*Step 2: Training\*\***

- Train inspection personnel on random sampling
- Explain importance of objectivity
- Document inspection procedures

**\*\*Step 3: Infrastructure\*\***

- Prepare lot labels and tracking
- Set up recording forms
- Establish communication channel for lot decisions

### ### 12.3 Monitoring and Evolution Phase

**\*\*Ongoing:\*\***

- Track acceptance/rejection rates
- Monitor if actual quality matches expected
- Use ANSI Z1.4 switching rules if applicable

**\*\*Adjustments:\*\***

- If too many rejections: investigate supplier process
- If zero defects streak: consider reduced inspection
- If quality degrades: tighten plan, reduce acceptance numbers

---

### ## 13. Key Takeaways

After mastering UNIT III, you should understand:

1. Why acceptance sampling exists and its economic trade-offs
2. OC curve fundamentals: how to read, interpret, and use them
3. Design concepts: AQL, LTPD,  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  and how they relate to planning
4. AOQ and AOQL: what they guarantee and when to use them
5. Dodge-Romig tables: how to select appropriate plans quickly
6. Advantages and disadvantages: when to use/avoid acceptance sampling
7. Proper implementation: random sampling, lot formation, training
8. Evolution path: from sampling → SPC → partnership with suppliers
9. Mathematical foundation: binomial distribution and its application

---

### ## 14. Summary Comparison Table: Quick Reference

**Aspect**	**Single Sampling**	**Double Sampling**	**ANSI Z1.4**
**Dodge-Romig AOQL**			
**Complexity**	Simple	Moderate	Complex (adaptive)
(tables)			
**ASN**	$n$ (fixed)	Lower (typically)	Varies with switching
			Fixed for given $p$
**OC Control**	Fixed ( $n, c$ )	Flexible ( $n_1, c_1, n_2, c_2$ )	Adaptive rules
	Designed for AOQL		
**Best Use**	First-time implementation	Well-understood suppliers	Ongoing relationships
			AOQL critical
**Admin Burden**	Minimal	Moderate	Higher (tracking)
			Minimal

| \*\*Learning Curve\*\* | Easiest | Moderate | Steep | Easiest |

---

## # 15. References and Further Study

### \*\*Primary Textbooks:\*\*

- Montgomery, D.C. (2020). Introduction to Statistical Quality Control (9th ed.). John Wiley & Sons.
- Duncan, A.J. (1986). Quality Control and Industrial Statistics (5th ed.). Richard D. Irwin.

### \*\*Standards and Tables:\*\*

- ANSI/ASQ Z1.4-2018. Sampling Procedures and Tables for Inspection by Attributes
- ISO 2859-1:2021. Sampling procedures for inspection by attributes
- Dodge, H.F., & Romig, H.G. (1959). Sampling Inspection Tables: Single and Double Sampling (2nd ed.)

---

\*\*Document Prepared\*\*: November 27, 2025

\*\*Course\*\*: Statistical Quality Control - UNIT III

\*\*Scope\*\*: Acceptance Sampling Plans (15 hours)

\*\*Audience\*\*: Undergraduate/Graduate students; Quality Control professionals

\*This comprehensive guide teaches acceptance sampling concepts deeply and prepares you for examination and professional application.\*