Exam 2

Revisiting Exam 1

1. (Average: 6.1, Median: 7) Explain why the set of real numbers x where $-1 \le x \le 1$ is not well ordered by <. (Expected answers will give a good intuitive reason; better-than-expected answers will provide a convincing proof.)

A well-written intuitive argument that there is no smallest real number above -1 would be worth 10 points. For 10 points, the intuitive answer must mention that there is a subset of the given set that has no minimum.

Proof by contradiction: Assume by contradiction that, R_1 , the set of real numbers between -1 and 1 is well ordered. By the definition of a well-ordered set, all non-empty subsets of R_1 have a minimum element. Consider $S = R_1 - -1$. We know $S \subset R_1$ since every element of S is an element of R_1 .

We show that R_1 is not well-ordered by contradiction. By the assumption that R_1 is well ordered, since S is a non-empty subset of R_1 it must have a minimum, m.

Define $m^* = (-1 + m)/2$. Then $m^* < m$ since m > -1 and the average of -1 and m must be greater than -1. But $m^* \in S$ since it is a real number between -1 and 1. This contradicts the assumption that m is the minimum of S. This contradicts the assumption that R_1 is well-ordered, since we have shown a nonempty subset of R_1 that has no minimum.

State Machines and Invariants

- 2. (Average 6.8, Median 7) Suppose $M = (S, G \subseteq S \times S, q_0 \in S)$ is a state machine, and R is the set of reachable states in M. For each of the statements below, indicate if the implication stated is valid (must always be true) or invalid (might be false). Provide a short justification supporting your answer.
- a. If R = M (namely all the states are reachable), then $G \cup \{(q_0, q_0)\}$ is a surjective relation.

Circle one: Valid or Invalid

Justification: Since all the states are reachable, there is at least one arrow into every state in G (except the start state q_0). Thus, $G \cup \{(q_0, q_0)\}$ is a surjective relation because it includes an arrow into q_0 , as well as all the arrows in G which must include at least one arrow into every other state.

b. If P(q) is a *preserved invariant* for machine $M=(S,G,q_0)$, and P(q) is false for some reachable state $q \in R$, then $P(q_0)$ is false.

Circle one: Valid or Invalid

Justification: If $P(q_0)$ is true, by the invariant principle, P(q) must hold for all $q \in R$. So, it must be the case that $P(q_0)$ is false if there is any reachable state where P(q) is false.

cs2102: Exam 2 2

c. If R is a finite set, then G is a finite set as well. (Note that as a subset of $S \times S$, G is a set itself.)

Circle one: Valid or Invalid

Justification: Here's one counterexample: $S = \mathbb{N}, G = \{(n, 2n) | n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq 1\}$. G is infinite, but $R = \{0\}$ since $q_0 = 0$ and there are no edges from 0.

Recursive Data Types

Consider the recursive data types, NBF (short for Nand-Based Formula) defined by:

- **Base:** *True* is a *NBF*.
- **Base:** *False* is a *NBF*.
- **Constructor:** for all *NBF* objects $f_1, f_2, NAND(f_1, f_2)$ is a *NBF*.

The Value of a NBF, f, is logical Boolean value of it when evaluated as a logical formula based on NAND gates. So, for example,

$$Value(NAND(NAND(True, True), False)) = **True **.$$

3. (Average 5.9, Median 6) Provide a precise and complete definition of Value for all *NBF* objects.

```
Value(True) = True

Value(False) = False

Value(NAND(f_1, f_2)) = NAND(Value(f_1), Value(f_2))
```

4. (Average 6.8, Median 8) Prove by structural induction that for all *NBF* objects *f* it holds that

$$Value(NAND(f, f)) = \neg(Value(f))$$

where $\neg(Z)$ is simply the logical negation of the logical (**True** or **False**) variable Z. Note that for this problem it is important that you specify all the required steps of the structural induction.

Prove by structural induction:

1. Base cases: True. False

```
a. f = True: Value(NAND(True, True)) = False = \neg(Value(True)) = \neg(True)
b. f = False: Value(NAND(False, False)) = True = \neg(Value(False)) = \neg(False)
```

2. Constructor case: $f = NAND(f_1, f_2)$.

We need to show that $Value(NAND(f,f)) = \neg(Value(f))$. By the definition of Value, Value(f) could either be **False** or **True**. By the definition of Value, Value(NAND(f,f)) = NAND(Value(f), Value(f)). The value of Value(f) is either **True** and **False**. So, we need to cover two cases, and show the equality holds for both: NAND(**False**, **False**) = \neg (**False**) is **True** since NAND(**False**, **False**) = **True**, and NAND(**True**, **True**) = \neg (**True**) is also true.

Note that we did not need to use the induction hypothesis at all in the constructor case proof (that is, it never depends on the property holding for f_1 or f_2 , only knowing that Value(f) for any NBF object is True or False. So, we didn't really need to prove the base case!

cs2102: Exam 2 3

Program Verification

Consider the Python program below, that returns the sum of the elements of the input list p. You may assume p is a non-empty list of natural numbers.

```
def sum_elements(p):
    x = 0
    i = len(p)
    while i > 0:
        i = i - 1
        x = x + p[i]
    return x
```

5. (Average 8.9, Median 9) Complete the definition of the state machine below that models sum_elements.

$$S = \{(x, i) \mid x, i \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

 $q_0 = (0, \text{len(p)})$
 $G = \{(x, i) \to (x', i') \mid i > 0 \land i' = i - 1 \land x' = x + p[i - 1] \}$

- 6. (Average 9.8, Median 10) Prove that the state machine (from problem 5) always terminates. Since we start in state (0, len(p)) and each transition decreases the value of the i part of the state by one, it will reach i=0 in len(p) steps. There are no transitions from a state where $i\leq 0$, hence the machine must eventually terminate.
- 7. (Average 7.1, Median 7) Prove that sum_elements, as modeled by the state machine from Problem 6, always returns the sum of the elements of that list. (Hint: for this goal, you need to (1) find an appropriate invariant property (and prove that it is indeed a preserved invariant), (2) show that the property at a final ending state implies correctness, and (3) it also holds over the original state.)

We prove the sum correctness using the Invariant Principle. For the preserved invariant we choose:

$$P(q = (x, i)) ::= x = p[i] + p[i + 1] + \dots + p[len(p) - 1].$$

Our goal is to prove that in all final states, $x = p[0] + p[1] + \ldots + p[len(p) - 1]$. So, we need to show that (1) P is a preserved invariant for M, that (2) $P(final) \implies x =$ the sum of all elements in p, and (3) the $P(q_0)$ is true.

(1) We need to show P is a preserved invariant. All transitions are from $(x,i) \to (x+p[i-1],i-1)$ when i>0. So, $P(q=(x,i))=x=p[i]+p[i+1]+\ldots+p[len(p)-1]$. Because the transition is $q\to r=(x+p[i-1],i-1]$), we can add p[i-1] to both sides: $x+p[i-1]=(p[i]+p[i+1]+\ldots+p[len(p)-1])+p[i-1]=p[i-1]+p[i]+\ldots+p[len(p)-1)$. This is P(r=(x+p[i-1],i-1)), so we have shown the invariant P is preserved.

cs2102: Exam 2 4

(2) As we argued for 6, the final states are states where i=0. So, if we are in a final state $q_f=(x,0)$, $P(q_f)=x=p[0]+p[1]+\ldots+p[len(p)-1]$. This is the correctness property we need, so we have satisfied $P(final) \implies x=$ the sum of all elements in p.

(3) $P(q_0 = (0, len(p)))$ is true since x = 0 = empty sum. The sume is empty since it is $p[i] + p[i + 1] + \ldots + p[len(p) - 1]$, and i = len(p) which is greater than the last index.

Infinite Cardinalities

8. (Average 9.0, Median 10) For each set defined below, answer if the set is = *Finite* or *Countably Infinite* or *Uncountable*. Support your answer with a convincing and concise justification. Recall that $\mathbb N$ is the set of natural numbers, $\mathbb Z$ is the set of integers, $\mathbb R$ is the set of real numbers, and pow(A) is the set of subsets of A.

a. pow(Z) where Z is the set of all electrons in the Milky Way galaxy.

Circle one: Finite or Countably Infinite or Uncountable

Justification: The number of all electrons finite, and the power set of any finite set is also finite. (We gave full credit to answers that interpreted Z here as \mathbb{Z} (the integers), and explained that $pow(\mathbb{Z})$ is uncountable, so long your justification made it clear, since the question used a confusing notation (and mispelled the name of our galaxy).

b. $pow(\mathbb{R})$

Circle one: Finite or Countably Infinite or <u>Uncountable</u>

Justification: \mathbb{R} is uncountable and we know for all sets |pow(S)| > |S|, so $pow(\mathbb{R})$ must be uncountable.

c. $\{(a,b) \mid a \in \mathbb{N}, b \in \mathbb{R}, b = a/2\}$

Circle one: Finite or Countably Infinite or Uncountable

Justification: \mathbb{N} is countably infinite. There is a bijection between $S = \{(a,b) \mid a \in \mathbb{N}, b \in \mathbb{R}, b = a/2\}$ and \mathbb{N} : simply map each element of S = (a,b) to the element of \mathbb{N} that matches its a value. Since there is a bijection, the sets have the same cardinality, and S is countably infinite.

UVA cs2102 Course Staff