

## Class 1

All course materials are still under development, and subject to change until the first class.

### Schedule

Before **Thursday's class**: (visit <https://uvacs2102.github.io> for the web version of these notes with links)

- Join the [cs2102 slack group](#) and set up your profile with a pronounceable name (not your UVA email id) (setting up your profile photo is encouraged, but not required).
- Read the [Course Syllabus](#) and post any questions or comments you have on it on the course [slack group \(#general\)](#).
- Read the *Introduction* and *Chapter 1: What is a Proof?* from the [MCS Book](#). The book is freely available on-line under a Creative Commons License. Students are strongly encouraged to print out the readings to read them more effectively on paper.

Before **Friday, 6:29pm**:

- Read, print, and sign the [Course Pledge](#). You should print the [PDF version](#) for signing, and submit a scan of your signed pledge using Collab.
- Read Jeremy Kun's *Habits of highly mathematical people*.
- Submit a [Course Registration Survey] form (which includes some questions based on Kun's essay).

### Notes and Questions

Why is most of the math used in computer science *discrete*?

Why is most of the math you have used in school previously *continuous*?

What are the differences between how scientists, philosophers, and mathematicians establish *truth*?

A *proposition* is a statement that is either \_\_\_\_\_ or \_\_\_\_\_.

A *predicate* is a proposition whose truth may depend on the value of variables.

A *theorem* is a \_\_\_\_\_ that has been proven true.

A proposition that is believed to be true, but unproven, is called a \_\_\_\_\_.

An *axiom* is a proposition that is *accepted to be true*. Axioms are not proven; they are *assumed* to be true.

**Definition.** A *mathematical proof* of a proposition is a chain of *logical deductions* starting from a set of accepted *axioms* that leads to the proposition.

## Rules of Inference

The possible steps that can be used in a proof are logical deductions based on inference rules.

Inference rules are written as:

antecedents

\_\_\_\_\_

conclusion

*Modus Ponens*: It proves  $Q$  if you can prove  $P$  and prove that  $P$  implies  $Q$ .

$$\frac{P, P \text{ implies } Q}{Q}$$

An inference rule is *sound* if it works for all values of its variables.

$$\frac{P, P \text{ implies } Q}{Q}$$

What makes a proof *good*?