

## 1 Overture SYNTAX AND SEMANTICS

$v \in \mathbb{F}_p$ ,  $w \in \text{String}$ ,  $\iota \in \text{Clients} \subset \mathbb{N}$

$\varepsilon ::= r[w] \mid s[w] \mid m[w] \mid p[w] \mid$  *expressions*  
 $v \mid \varepsilon - \varepsilon \mid \varepsilon + \varepsilon \mid \varepsilon * \varepsilon$

$x ::= r[w]@_\iota \mid s[w]@_\iota \mid m[w]@_\iota \mid p[w] \mid \text{out}@_\iota$  *variables*

$\pi ::= m[w]@_\iota := \varepsilon@_\iota \mid p[w] := e@_\iota \mid \text{out}@_\iota := \varepsilon@_\iota \mid \pi; \pi$  *protocols*

$$\begin{aligned} \llbracket \sigma, v \rrbracket_\iota &= v \\ \llbracket \sigma, \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 \rrbracket_\iota &= \llbracket \llbracket \sigma, \varepsilon_1 \rrbracket_\iota + \llbracket \sigma, \varepsilon_2 \rrbracket_\iota \rrbracket \\ \llbracket \sigma, \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2 \rrbracket_\iota &= \llbracket \llbracket \sigma, \varepsilon_1 \rrbracket_\iota - \llbracket \sigma, \varepsilon_2 \rrbracket_\iota \rrbracket \\ \llbracket \sigma, \varepsilon_1 * \varepsilon_2 \rrbracket_\iota &= \llbracket \llbracket \sigma, \varepsilon_1 \rrbracket_\iota * \llbracket \sigma, \varepsilon_2 \rrbracket_\iota \rrbracket \\ \llbracket \sigma, r[w] \rrbracket_\iota &= \sigma(r[w]@_\iota) \\ \llbracket \sigma, s[w] \rrbracket_\iota &= \sigma(s[w]@_\iota) \\ \llbracket \sigma, m[w] \rrbracket_\iota &= \sigma(m[w]@_\iota) \\ \llbracket \sigma, p[w] \rrbracket_\iota &= \sigma(p[w]) \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{(\sigma, x := \varepsilon@_\iota) \Rightarrow \sigma\{x \mapsto \llbracket \sigma, \varepsilon \rrbracket_\iota\} \quad (\sigma_1, \pi_1) \Rightarrow \sigma_2 \quad (\sigma_2, \pi_2) \Rightarrow \sigma_3}{(\sigma_1, \pi_1; \pi_2) \Rightarrow \sigma_3}$$

## 2 Overture CONSTRAINT TYPING

### 2.1 Constraint Satisfiability Modulo Finite Fields

$$\begin{aligned} \phi &::= x \mid \phi + \phi \mid \phi - \phi \mid \phi * \phi \\ E &::= \phi \equiv \phi \mid E \wedge E \end{aligned}$$

We write  $E_1 \models E_2$  iff every model of  $E_1$  is a model of  $E_2$ . Note that this relation is reflexive and transitive.

$$\begin{aligned} \lfloor x \rfloor &= x & \lfloor \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2@_\iota \rfloor &= \lfloor \varepsilon_2@_\iota \rfloor + \lfloor \varepsilon_1@_\iota \rfloor & \lfloor \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2@_\iota \rfloor &= \lfloor \varepsilon_2@_\iota \rfloor - \lfloor \varepsilon_1@_\iota \rfloor \\ \lfloor \varepsilon_1 * \varepsilon_2@_\iota \rfloor &= \lfloor \varepsilon_2@_\iota \rfloor * \lfloor \varepsilon_1@_\iota \rfloor \end{aligned}$$

$$\lfloor \text{OT}(\varepsilon_1@_{\iota_1}, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3)@_{\iota_2} \rfloor = (\lfloor \varepsilon_1@_{\iota_1} \rfloor \wedge \lfloor \varepsilon_3@_{\iota_2} \rfloor) \vee (\neg \lfloor \varepsilon_1@_{\iota_1} \rfloor \wedge \lfloor \varepsilon_2@_{\iota_2} \rfloor)$$

$$\lfloor x := \varepsilon@_\iota \rfloor = x \equiv \lfloor \varepsilon@_\iota \rfloor \quad \lfloor \pi_1; \pi_2 \rfloor = \lfloor \pi_1 \rfloor \wedge \lfloor \pi_2 \rfloor$$

The motivating idea is that we can interpret any protocol  $\pi$  as a set of equality constraints  $\lfloor \pi \rfloor$  and use an SMT solver to verify properties relevant to correctness, confidentiality, and integrity. Further, we can leverage entailment relation is critical for efficiency– we can use annotations to obtain a weakened precondition for relevant properties. That is, given  $\pi$ , program annotations or other cues can be used to find a minimal  $E$  with  $\lfloor \pi \rfloor \models E$  for verifying correctness and security.

### 2.1.1 Example: Correctness of 3-Party Addition.

```

m[s1]@2 := (s[1] - r[local] - r[x])@1
m[s1]@3 := r[x]@1
m[s2]@1 := (s[2] - r[local] - r[x])@2
m[s2]@3 := r[x]@2
m[s3]@1 := (s[3] - r[local] - r[x])@3
m[s3]@2 := r[x]@3
p[1] := (r[local] + m[s2] + m[s3])@1
p[2] := (m[s1] + r[local] + m[s3])@2
p[3] := (m[s1] + m[s2] + r[local])@3
out@1 := (p[1] + p[2] + p[3])@1
out@2 := (p[1] + p[2] + p[3])@2
out@3 := (p[1] + p[2] + p[3])@3

```

Letting  $\pi$  be this protocol, we can verify correctness as:

$$[\pi] \models \text{out}@3 \equiv s[1]@1 + s[2]@2 + s[3]@3$$

### 2.2 Confidentiality Types

```

t ::= x | c(x, T)
T ∈ 2t
Γ ::= ∅ | Γ; x : T

```

*Definition 2.1.*  $R_1; R_2 = R_1 \cup R_2$  iff  $R_1 \cap R_2 = \emptyset$ .

$\frac{\text{DEFTY}}{\emptyset, E \vdash \phi : \text{vars}(\phi)}$	$\frac{\text{ENCODE} \quad E \models \phi \equiv \phi' \oplus r[w]@_t \quad \oplus \in \{+, -\} \quad R, E \vdash \phi' : T}{R; \{r[w]@_t\}, E \vdash \phi : \{c(r[w]@_t, T)\}}$
$\frac{\text{SEND} \quad R, E \vdash [\varepsilon@_t] : T}{R, E \vdash x := \varepsilon@_t : (x : T)}$	$\frac{\text{SEQ} \quad R_1, E \vdash \pi_1 : \Gamma_1 \quad R_2, E \vdash \pi_2 : \Gamma_2}{R_1; R_2, E \vdash \pi_1; \pi_2 : \Gamma_1; \Gamma_2}$

*Definition 2.2.*  $R, E \vdash \pi : \Gamma$  is *valid* iff it is derivable and  $[\pi] \models E$ .

$\frac{\iota \in C}{\Gamma, C \vdash_{\text{leak}} \Gamma(m[w]@_\iota)}$	$\frac{\Gamma, C \vdash_{\text{leak}} T_1 \cup T_2}{\Gamma, C \vdash_{\text{leak}} T_1}$	$\frac{\Gamma, C \vdash_{\text{leak}} \{m[w]@_\iota\}}{\Gamma, C \vdash_{\text{leak}} \Gamma(m[w]@_\iota)}$
$\frac{\Gamma, C \vdash_{\text{leak}} \{r[w]@_\iota\} \quad \Gamma, C \vdash_{\text{leak}} \{c(r[w]@_\iota, T)\}}{\Gamma, C \vdash_{\text{leak}} T}$		

**THEOREM 2.3.** *If  $R, E \vdash \pi : \Gamma$  is valid and there exists no  $H, C$  and  $s[w]@_\iota$  for  $\iota \in H$  with  $\Gamma, C \vdash_{\text{leak}} \{s[w]@_\iota\}$ , then  $\pi$  satisfies gradual release.*

#### 2.2.1 Examples.

```

m[s1]@2 := (s[1] - r[local] - r[x])@1
m[s1]@3 := r[x]@1

// m[s1]@2 : { c(r[x]@1, { c(r[local]@1, {s[1]@1} ) } ) }
// m[s1]@3 : { r[x]@1 }

```

```

50 m[x]@1 := s2(s[x], -r[x], r[x])@2
51
52 // m[x]@1 == s[x]@2 + -r[x]@2
53 // m[x]@1 : { c(r[x]@2, { s[x]@2 }) }
54
55 m[y]@1 := OT(s[y]@1, -r[y], r[y])@2
56
57 // m[y]@1 == s[y]@1 + -r[y]@2
58 // m[y]@1 : { c(r[y]@2, { s[y]@1 }) }
59

```

### 3 Overture ADVERSARIAL SEMANTICS

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\sigma, x := \varepsilon @ \iota) &\Rightarrow_{\mathcal{A}} \sigma \{x \mapsto \llbracket \sigma, \varepsilon \rrbracket_{\iota}\} & \iota \in H \\
 (\sigma, x := \varepsilon @ \iota) &\Rightarrow_{\mathcal{A}} \sigma \{x \mapsto \llbracket \text{rewrite}_{\mathcal{A}}(\sigma_C, \varepsilon) \rrbracket_{\iota}\} & \iota \in C
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\sigma, \text{assert}(\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_2) @ \iota) &\Rightarrow_{\mathcal{A}} \sigma & \text{if } \llbracket \sigma, \varepsilon_1 \rrbracket_{\iota} = \llbracket \sigma, \varepsilon_2 \rrbracket_{\iota} \text{ or } \iota \in C \\
 (\sigma, \text{assert}(\phi(\varepsilon)) @ \iota) &\Rightarrow_{\mathcal{A}} \perp & \text{if } \neg \phi(\sigma, \llbracket \sigma, \varepsilon \rrbracket_{\iota})
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\frac{(\sigma_1, \pi_1) \Rightarrow_{\mathcal{A}} \sigma_2 \quad (\sigma_2, \pi_2) \Rightarrow_{\mathcal{A}} \sigma_3}{(\sigma_1, \pi_1; \pi_2) \Rightarrow_{\mathcal{A}} \sigma_3} & \frac{(\sigma_1, \pi_1) \Rightarrow_{\mathcal{A}} \perp}{(\sigma_1, \pi_1; \pi_2) \Rightarrow_{\mathcal{A}} \perp} \\
 &\frac{(\sigma_1, \pi_1) \Rightarrow_{\mathcal{A}} \sigma_2 \quad (\sigma_2, \pi_2) \Rightarrow_{\mathcal{A}} \perp}{(\sigma_1, \pi_1; \pi_2) \Rightarrow_{\mathcal{A}} \perp}
 \end{aligned}$$

#### 3.1 Compositional Type Verification in *Prelude*

```

50  $\ell \in \text{Field}, y \in \text{EVar}, f \in \text{FName}$ 
51
52  $e ::= v \mid r[e] \mid s[e] \mid m[e] \mid p[e] \mid e \text{ binop } e \mid \text{let } y = e \text{ in } e \mid$ 
53  $f(e, \dots, e) \mid \{\ell = e; \dots; \ell = e\} \mid e.\ell$ 
54
55  $c ::= m[e]@e := e@e \mid p[e] := e@e \mid \text{out}@e := e@e \mid \text{assert}(e = e)@e \mid$ 
56  $f(e, \dots, e) \mid c; c \mid \text{pre}(E) \mid \text{post}(E)$ 
57
58  $\text{binop} ::= + \mid - \mid * \mid ++$ 
59
60  $v ::= w \mid \iota \mid \varepsilon \mid \{\ell = v; \dots; \ell = v\}$ 
61
62  $\text{fn} ::= f(y, \dots, y)\{e\} \mid f(y, \dots, y)\{c\}$ 
63
64  $\phi ::= r[e]@e \mid s[e]@e \mid m[e]@e \mid p[e] \mid \text{out}@e \mid \phi + \phi \mid \phi - \phi \mid \phi * \phi$ 
65
66  $E ::= \phi \equiv \phi \mid E \wedge E$ 

```

$$\begin{aligned}
 R \Vdash x : (\emptyset, \{x\}) &\quad \frac{R \Vdash \phi_1 : (R_1, T_1) \quad R \Vdash \phi_2 : (R_2, T_2) \quad \oplus \in \{+, -, *\}}{R_1 \Vdash \phi_1 \oplus \phi_2 : (R_1; R_2, T_1 \cup T_2)} \\
 R \Vdash \phi : (R_1, T) &\quad r[w]@i \notin R \quad \oplus \in \{+, -\} \\
 R_1 \Vdash \phi \oplus r[w]@i : & (R_1 \cup \{r[w]@i\}, \{c(r[w]@i, T)\})
 \end{aligned}$$

(\*Need to fix the following to allow reduction of x. – Chris\*)

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \text{MMSG} \\
 \frac{e_1 \Rightarrow \varepsilon \quad e_2 \Rightarrow \iota \quad R_1 \Vdash [\varepsilon @ \iota] : (R_2, T)}{R_1, E \vdash x := e_1 @ e_2 : (x : T, R_1; R_2, E \wedge x \equiv [\varepsilon @ \iota])} \\
 \\
 \text{ENCODE} \\
 \frac{e_1 \Rightarrow \varepsilon \quad e_2 \Rightarrow \iota \quad e_3 \Rightarrow \phi \quad E \models [\varepsilon @ \iota] \equiv \phi \quad R_1 \Vdash \phi : (R_2, T)}{R_1, E \vdash x := e_1 @ e_2 \text{ as } e_3 : (x : T, R_1; R_2, E \wedge x \equiv \phi)} \\
 \\
 \text{APP} \\
 \frac{\text{sig}(f) = \{E_1\} x_1, \dots, x_n \{\Gamma, R, E_2\} \quad e_1 \Rightarrow v_1 \cdots e_n \Rightarrow v_n \quad \rho = [v_1/x_1] \cdots [v_n/x_n] \quad E \models \rho(E_1)}{R_1, E \vdash f(e_1, \dots, e_n) : (\rho(\Gamma), R_1; \rho(R), E \wedge \rho(E_2))} \\
 \\
 \text{SEQ} \\
 \frac{R_1, E_1 \vdash \pi_1 : (\Gamma_2, R_2, E_2) \quad R_2, E_2 \vdash \pi_2 : (\Gamma_3, R_3, E_3)}{R_1, E_1 \vdash \pi_1; \pi_2 : (\Gamma_2; \Gamma_3, R_3, E_3)} \\
 \\
 \text{SIG} \\
 \frac{\rho = [v_1/x_1] \cdots [v_n/x_n] \quad C(f) = x_1, \dots, x_n, \mathbf{c} \quad \emptyset, \rho(E_1) \vdash \rho(\mathbf{c}) : (\rho(\Gamma), \rho(R), E) \quad E \models \rho(E_2)}{f : \{E_1\} x_1, \dots, x_n \{\Gamma, R, E_2\}}
 \end{array}$$

*Definition 3.1.* sig is verified iff  $f : \text{sig}(f)$  is valid for all  $f \in \text{dom}(\text{sig})$ .

The following theorem holds for protocols with default preprocessing.

**THEOREM 3.2.** If sig is verified and  $\emptyset, \emptyset \vdash e : (\Gamma, R, E)$  then  $e \Rightarrow \pi$  and  $R, E \vdash \pi : \Gamma$  is valid.

### 3.1.1 Examples.

andtableygc(g,x,y)

{

let table = (~r[g],~r[g],~r[g],r[g])

in permute4(r[x],r[y],table)

}

m[x]@1 := s2(s[x],r[x],~r[x])@2 as s[x]@2 xor r[x]@2

// m[x]@1 : { c(r[x]@2, { s[x]@2 }) }

m[y]@1 := OT(s[y]@1,r[y],~r[y])@2 as s[y]@1 xor r[y]@2;

// m[y]@1 : { c(r[y]@2, { s[y]@1 }) }

m[ag]@1 := OT4(m[x]@1, m[y]@1, andtable(ag,r[x],r[y]))@2

as ~( (r[x]@2 = m[x]@1) and (r[y]@2 = m[y]@1) ) xor r[ag]@2

// m[ag]@1 : { c(r[ag]@2, { r[x]@2, r[y]@2, m[x]@1, m[y]@1 }) }

```

148 p[o] := OT2(m[ag]@1, perm2(r[ag],(false,true)))@2
149
150 // p[o] : { c(r[ag]@2, {r[x]@2, r[y]@2, m[x]@1, m[y]@1}), r[ag]@2 }
151
152 out@1 := p[o]@1
153
154 // out@1 == s[x] and s[y]
155
156 encodegmw(in, i1, i2) {
157   m[in]@i2 := (s[in] xor r[in])@i1;
158   m[in]@i1 := r[in]@i1
159 }
160
161 andtablegmw(x, y, z) {
162   let r11 = r[z] xor (m[x] xor true) and (m[y] xor true) in
163   let r10 = r[z] xor (m[x] xor true) and (m[y] xor false) in
164   let r01 = r[z] xor (m[x] xor false) and (m[y] xor true) in
165   let r00 = r[z] xor (m[x] xor false) and (m[y] xor false) in
166   { row1 = r11; row2 = r10; row3 = r01; row4 = r00 }
167 }
168
169 andgmw(z, x, y) {
170   let table = andtablegmw(x,y,z) in
171   m[z]@2 := OT4(m[x],m[y],table,2,1)
172   as ~((m[x]@1 xor m[x]@2) and (m[y]@1 xor m[y]@2)) xor r[z]@1;
173   m[z]@1 := r[z]@1
174 }
175
176 // and gate correctness postcondition
177 { } andgmw { m[z]@1 xor m[z]@2 == (m[x]@1 xor m[x]@2) and (m[y]@1 xor m[y]@2) }
178
179 // and gate type
180 andgmw :
181   Pi z,x,y .
182   { }
183   { { r[z]@1 },
184     (m[z]@1 : { r[z]@1 }; m[z]@2 : {c(r[z]@1, { m[x]@1, m[x]@2, m[y]@1, m[y]@2 })} ),
185     m[z]@1 xor m[z]@2 == (m[x]@1 xor m[x]@2) and (m[y]@1 xor m[y]@2)}
186
187 xorgmw(z, x, y) {
188   m[z]@1 := (m[x] xor m[y])@1; m[z]@2 := (m[x] xor m[y])@2;
189 }
190
191 decodegmw(z) {
192   p["1"] := m[z]@1; p["2"] := m[z]@2;
193   out@1 := (p["1"] xor p["2"] )@1;
194   out@2 := (p["1"] xor p["2"] )@2
195 }
196

```

```

197
198 prot() {
199   encodegmw("x", 2, 1);
200   encodegmw("y", 2, 1);
201   encodegmw("z", 1, 2);
202   andgmw("g1", "x", "z");
203   xorgmw("g2", "g1", "y");
204   decodegmw("g2")
205 }
206
207 {} prot { out@1 == (s["x"]@1 and s["z"]@2) xor s["y"]@1 }
208
209

```

### 3.2 Integrity Types

VALUE	SECRET	RANDO
$\Gamma, \emptyset, E \vdash_t v : \emptyset \cdot \text{High}$	$\Gamma, \emptyset, E \vdash_t s[w] : \{s[w]@_t\} \cdot \mathcal{L}(t)$	$\Gamma, \emptyset, E \vdash_t r[w] : \{r[w]@_t\} \cdot \mathcal{L}(t)$
MESG	PUBM	INTEGRITYWEAKEN
$\Gamma, \emptyset, E \vdash_t m[w] : \Gamma(m[w]@_t)$	$\Gamma, \emptyset, E \vdash_t p[w] : \Gamma(p[w])$	$\frac{\Gamma, R, E \vdash_t \varepsilon : T \cdot \zeta_1 \quad \zeta_1 \leq \zeta_2}{\Gamma, R, E \vdash_t \varepsilon : T \cdot \zeta_2}$
ENCODE	$\frac{\Gamma, \emptyset, E \vdash_t \varepsilon : T \cdot \zeta \quad E \models \lfloor \varepsilon@_t \rfloor = \phi \oplus r[w]@_{t'} \quad \oplus \in \{+, -\}}{\Gamma, r[w]@_t, E \vdash_t \varepsilon : \{c(r[w]@_{t'}, \Gamma(\phi))\} \cdot \zeta}$	
BINOP	$\frac{\Gamma, R_1, E \vdash_t \varepsilon_1 : T_1 \cdot \zeta \quad \Gamma, R_2, E \vdash_t \varepsilon_2 : T_2 \cdot \zeta \quad \oplus \in \{+, -, *\}}{\Gamma, R_1; R_2, E \vdash_t \varepsilon_1 \oplus \varepsilon_2 : T_1 \cup T_2 \cdot \zeta}$	
SEND	$\frac{\Gamma, R, E \vdash_t \varepsilon : T \cdot \mathcal{L}(t) \quad E' \models E \wedge x = \lfloor \varepsilon@_t \rfloor}{\Gamma, R, E \vdash_t x := \varepsilon@_t : \Gamma; x : T \cdot \mathcal{L}(t), E'}$	ASSERT
		$\frac{E \models \lfloor \varepsilon_1@_t \rfloor = \lfloor \varepsilon_2@_t \rfloor}{\Gamma, R, E \vdash_t \text{assert}(\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_2)@_t : \Gamma, E}$
SEQ	$\frac{\Gamma_1, R_1, E_1 \vdash \pi_1 : \Gamma_2, E_2 \quad \Gamma_2, R_2, E_2 \vdash \pi_2 : \Gamma_3, E_3}{\Gamma_1, R_1; R_2, E_1 \vdash \pi_1; \pi_2 : \Gamma_3, E_3}$	CONSTRAINT
		$\frac{\Gamma_1, R, E_1 \vdash \pi : \Gamma_2, E_2 \quad E'_1 \models E'_1 \quad E_2 \models E'_2}{\Gamma_1, R, E'_1 \vdash \pi : \Gamma_2, E'_2}$
MAC	$\frac{E \models m[wm]@_t = m[wk]@_t + (m[\text{delta}]@_t * m[ws]@_t) \quad \Gamma(m[ws]@_t) = T \cdot \zeta}{\Gamma, R, E \vdash_t \text{assert}(m[wm] = m[wk] + (m[\text{delta}] * m[ws]))@_t : \Gamma; m[ws]@_t : T \cdot \text{High}, E}$	

## 4 Prelude SYNTAX AND SEMANTICS

 $\ell \in \text{Field}, y \in \text{EVar}, f \in \text{FName}$ 

$$\begin{aligned} e &::= v \mid r[e] \mid s[e] \mid m[e] \mid p[e] \mid e \text{ binop } e \mid \text{let } y = e \text{ in } e \mid \\ &\quad f(e, \dots, e) \mid \{\ell = e; \dots; \ell = e\} \mid e.\ell \\ c &::= m[e]@e := e@e \mid p[e] := e@e \mid \text{out}@e := e@e \mid \text{assert}(e = e)@e \mid \\ &\quad f(e, \dots, e) \mid c; c \mid \text{pre}(E) \mid \text{post}(E) \\ \text{binop} &::= + \mid - \mid * \mid ++ \\ v &::= w \mid \iota \mid \varepsilon \mid \{\ell = v; \dots; \ell = v\} \\ fn &::= f(y, \dots, y)\{e\} \mid f(y, \dots, y)\{c\} \\ \phi &::= r[e]@e \mid s[e]@e \mid m[e]@e \mid p[e] \mid \text{out}@e \mid \phi + \phi \mid \phi - \phi \mid \phi * \phi \\ E &::= \phi \equiv \phi \mid E \wedge E \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{e[v/y] \Rightarrow v'}{\text{let } y = v \text{ in } e \Rightarrow v'}$$

$$\frac{C(f) = y_1, \dots, y_n, e \quad e_1 \Rightarrow v_1 \dots e_n \Rightarrow v_n \quad e[v_1/y_1] \dots [v_n/y_n] \Rightarrow v}{f(e_1, \dots, e_n) \Rightarrow v}$$

$$\frac{e_1 \Rightarrow v_1 \dots e_n \Rightarrow v_n}{\{\ell_1 = e_1; \dots; \ell_n = e_n\} \Rightarrow \{\ell_1 = v_1; \dots; \ell_n = v_n\}} \quad \frac{e \Rightarrow \{\dots; \ell = v; \dots\}}{e.\ell \Rightarrow v} \quad \frac{e_1 \Rightarrow w_1 \quad e_2 \Rightarrow w_2}{e_1 ++ e_2 \Rightarrow w_1 w_2}$$

$$\frac{e_1 \Rightarrow \varepsilon_1 \quad e_2 \Rightarrow \varepsilon_2 \quad e \Rightarrow \iota}{(\pi, (E_1, E_2), \text{on}, \text{assert}(e_1 = e_2)@e) \Rightarrow (\pi; \text{assert}(\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_2)@_{\iota}, (E_1, E_2 \wedge \lfloor \varepsilon_1 @_{\iota} \rfloor = \lfloor \varepsilon_2 @_{\iota} \rfloor), \text{on})}$$

$$\frac{e_1 \Rightarrow \varepsilon_1 \quad e_2 \Rightarrow \varepsilon_2 \quad e \Rightarrow \iota}{(\pi, (E_1, E_2), \text{off}, \text{assert}(e_1 = e_2)@e) \Rightarrow (\pi; \text{assert}(\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_2)@_{\iota}, (E_1, E_2, \text{off}))}$$

$$\frac{e_1 \Rightarrow w \quad e_2 \Rightarrow \iota_1 \quad e_3 \Rightarrow \varepsilon \quad e_4 \Rightarrow \iota_2}{(\pi, (E_1, E_2), \text{on}, m[e_1]@e_2 := e_3@e_4) \Rightarrow (\pi; m[w]@_{\iota_1} := \varepsilon@_{\iota_2}, (E_1 \wedge m[w]@_{\iota_1} = \lfloor \varepsilon@_{\iota_2} \rfloor, E_2), \text{on})}$$

$$\frac{e_1 \Rightarrow w \quad e_2 \Rightarrow \iota_1 \quad e_3 \Rightarrow \varepsilon \quad e_4 \Rightarrow \iota_2}{(\pi, (E_1, E_2), \text{off}, m[e_1]@e_2 := e_3@e_4) \Rightarrow (\pi; m[w]@_{\iota_1} := \varepsilon@_{\iota_2}, (E_1, E_1), \text{off})}$$

$$(\pi, (E_1, E_2), \text{on}, \text{pre}(E)) \Rightarrow (\pi, E_1, E_2 \wedge E, \text{off})$$

$$(\pi, (E_1, E_2), \text{off}, \text{post}(E)) \Rightarrow (\pi, (E_1 \wedge E, E_2), \text{on})$$

$$\frac{(\pi_1, (E_{11}, E_{12}), \text{sw}_1, c_1) \Rightarrow (\pi_2, (E_{21}, E_{22}), \text{sw}_2) \quad (\pi_2, (E_{21}, E_{22}), \text{sw}_2, c_2) \Rightarrow (\pi_3, (E_{31}, E_{32}), \text{sw}_3)}{(\pi_1, (E_{11}, E_{12}), \text{sw}_1, c_1; c_2) \Rightarrow (\pi_3, (E_{31}, E_{32}), \text{sw}_3)}$$

$$\frac{C(f) = y_1, \dots, y_n, c \quad e_1 \Rightarrow v_1 \dots e_n \Rightarrow v_n \quad (\pi_1, (E_{11}, E_{12}), \text{sw}_1, c[v_1/y_1] \dots [v_n/y_n]) \Rightarrow (\pi_2, (E_{21}, E_{22}), \text{sw}_2)}{(\pi_1, (E_{11}, E_{12}), \text{sw}_1, f(e_1, \dots, e_n)) \Rightarrow (\pi_2, (E_{21}, E_{22}), \text{sw}_2)}$$

## 5 EXAMPLES

```

295
296 secopen(w1,w2,w3,i1,i2) {
297     pre(m[w1++"m"]@i2 == m[w1++"k"]@i1 + (m["delta"]@i1 * m[w1++"s"]@i2 /\
298         m[w1++"m"]@i2 == m[w1++"k"]@i1 + (m["delta"]@i1 * m[w1++"s"]@i2));
299     let locsum = macsum(macshare(w1), macshare(w2)) in
300     m[w3++"s"]@i1 := (locsum.share)@i2;
301     m[w3++"m"]@i1 := (locsum.mac)@i2;
302     auth(m[w3++"s"],m[w3++"m"],mack(w1) + mack(w2),i1);
303     m[w3]@i1 := (m[w3++"s"] + (locsum.share))@i1
304 }
305
306
307 _open(x,i1,i2){
308     m[x++"exts"]@i1 := m[x++"s"]@i2;
309     m[x++"extm"]@i1 := m[x++"m"]@i2;
310     assert(m[x++"extm"] == m[x++"k"] + (m["delta"] * m[x++"exts"]));
311     m[x]@i1 := (m[x++"exts"] + m[x++"s"]@i2
312 }`
313
314 _sum(z, x, y,i1,i2) {
315     pre(m[x++"m"]@i2 == m[x++"k"]@i1 + (m["delta"]@i1 * m[x++"s"]@i2 /\
316         m[y++"m"]@i2 == m[y++"k"]@i1 + (m["delta"]@i1 * m[y++"s"]@i2));
317     m[z++"s"]@i2 := (m[x++"s"] + m[y++"s"]@i2);
318     m[z++"m"]@i2 := (m[x++"m"] + m[y++"m"]@i2);
319     m[z++"k"]@i1 := (m[x++"k"] + m[y++"k"]@i1);
320     post(m[z++"m"]@i2 == m[z++"k"]@i1 + (m["delta"]@i1 * m[z++"s"]@i2)
321 }
322
323 sum(z,x,y) { _sum(z,x,y,1,2);_sum(z,x,y,2,1) }
324
325 open(x) { _open(x,1,2); _open(x,2,1) }
326
327
328 sum("a","x","d");
329 open("d");
330 sum("b","y","e");
331 open("e");
332 let xys =
333     macsum(macctimes(macshare("b"), m["d"]),
334         macsum(macctimes(macshare("a"), m["e"]),
335             macshare("c")))
336 let xyk = mack("b") * m["d"] + mack("a") * m["e"] + mack("c")
337
338 secopen("a","x","d",1,2);
339     secopen("a","x","d",2,1);
340     secopen("b","y","e",1,2);
341     secopen("b","y","e",2,1);
342
343

```



```

344   let xys =
345       macsum(macctimes(macshare("b"), m["d"]),
346             macsum(macctimes(macshare("a"), m["e"]),
347                     macshare("c")))
348   in
349   let xyk = mack("b") * m["d"] + mack("d") * m["d"] + mack("c")
350   in
351   secreveal(xys,xyk,"1",1,2);
352   secreveal(maccsum(xys,m["d"] * m["e"]),
353             xyk - m["d"] * m["e"],
354             "2",2,1);
355   out@1 := (p[1] + p[2])@1;
356   out@2 := (p[1] + p[2])@2;
357
358
359
360
361
362
363
364
365
366
367
368
369
370
371
372
373
374
375
376
377
378
379
380
381
382
383
384
385
386
387
388
389
390
391
392

```