#### 1 Overture SYNTAX AND SEMANTICS

$$v \in \mathbb{F}_p, \ w \in \text{String}, \ \iota \in \text{Clients} \subset \mathbb{N}$$

$$\varepsilon ::= r[w] | s[w] | m[w] | p[w] | expressions$$
$$v | \varepsilon - \varepsilon | \varepsilon + \varepsilon | \varepsilon * \varepsilon$$

$$x ::= r[w]@\iota | s[w]@\iota | m[w]@\iota | p[w] | out@\iota$$
 variables

$$\pi ::= m[w]@\iota := \varepsilon @\iota \mid p[w] := e@\iota \mid out@\iota := \varepsilon @\iota \mid \pi; \pi \quad protocols$$

$$(\sigma, x := \varepsilon \mathfrak{G}_l) \Rightarrow \sigma\{x \mapsto \llbracket \sigma, \varepsilon \rrbracket_l\} \qquad \frac{(\sigma_1, \pi_1) \Rightarrow \sigma_2 \qquad (\sigma_2, \pi_2) \Rightarrow \sigma_3}{(\sigma_1, \pi_1; \pi_2) \Rightarrow \sigma_3}$$

#### 2 Overture ADVERSARIAL SEMANTICS

$$(\sigma, x := \varepsilon @ \iota) \implies_{\mathcal{A}} \sigma \{x \mapsto \llbracket \sigma, \varepsilon \rrbracket_{\iota} \} \qquad \iota \in H$$

$$(\sigma, x := \varepsilon @ \iota) \implies_{\mathcal{A}} \sigma \{x \mapsto \llbracket rewrite_{\mathcal{A}}(\sigma_{C}, \varepsilon) \rrbracket_{\iota} \} \qquad \iota \in C$$

$$(\sigma, assert(\varepsilon_{1} = \varepsilon_{2})@ \iota) \implies_{\mathcal{A}} \sigma \qquad \text{if } \llbracket \sigma, \varepsilon_{1} \rrbracket_{\iota} = \llbracket \sigma, \varepsilon_{2} \rrbracket_{\iota} \text{ or } \iota \in C$$

$$(\sigma, assert(\phi(\varepsilon))@ \iota) \implies_{\mathcal{A}} \bot \qquad \text{if } \llbracket \sigma, \varepsilon_{1} \rrbracket_{\iota} = \llbracket \sigma, \varepsilon_{2} \rrbracket_{\iota} \text{ or } \iota \in C$$

$$(\sigma_{1}, \pi_{1}) \implies_{\mathcal{A}} \sigma_{2} \qquad (\sigma_{2}, \pi_{2}) \implies_{\mathcal{A}} \sigma_{3} \qquad \qquad \underbrace{(\sigma_{1}, \pi_{1}) \implies_{\mathcal{A}} \bot}_{(\sigma_{1}, \pi_{1}; \pi_{2}) \implies_{\mathcal{A}} \bot}$$

$$\underbrace{(\sigma_{1}, \pi_{1}; \pi_{2}) \implies_{\mathcal{A}} \sigma_{3}}_{(\sigma_{1}, \pi_{1}; \pi_{2}) \implies_{\mathcal{A}} \bot}$$

$$\underbrace{(\sigma_{1}, \pi_{1}; \pi_{2}) \implies_{\mathcal{A}} \bot}_{(\sigma_{1}, \pi_{1}; \pi_{2}) \implies_{\mathcal{A}} \bot}$$

#### 3 Overture CONSTRAINT TYPING

$$\phi ::= x | \phi + \phi | \phi - \phi | \phi * \phi$$

$$E ::= \phi \equiv \phi | E \wedge E$$

We write  $E_1 \models E_2$  iff every model of  $E_1$  is a model of  $E_2$ . Note that this relation is reflexive and transitive.

 The motivating idea is that we can interpret any protocol  $\pi$  as a set of equality constraints  $\lfloor \pi \rfloor$  and use an SMT solver to verify properties relevant to correctness, confidentiality, and integrity. Further, we can leverage entailment relation is critical for efficiency—we can use annotations to obtain a weakened precondition for relevant properties. That is, given  $\pi$ , program annotations or other cues can be used to find a minimal E with  $\lfloor \pi \rfloor \models E$  for verifying correctness and security.

### 3.1 Confidentiality Types

DEPTY
$$\emptyset, E \vdash \phi : vars(\phi)$$

$$E \vdash \phi \equiv \phi' \oplus r[w]@\iota \quad \oplus \in \{+, -\} \quad R, E \vdash \phi' : T$$

$$R; \{r[w]@\iota\}, E \vdash \phi : \{c(r[w]@\iota, T)\}$$

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{Send} & \text{Seq} \\ R, E \vdash \lfloor \varepsilon @ \iota \rfloor : T & \\ R, E \vdash x := \varepsilon @ \iota : x : T & \\ \hline \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ll} R_1, E \vdash \pi_1 : \Gamma_1 & R_2, E \vdash \pi_2 : \Gamma_2 \\ \hline R_1; R_2, E \vdash \pi_1; \pi_2 : \Gamma_1; \Gamma_2 & \\ \hline \end{array}$$

*Definition 3.1.*  $R, E \vdash \pi : \Gamma$  is *valid* iff it is derivable and  $|\pi| \models E$ .

$$\frac{\iota \in C}{\Gamma, C \vdash \Gamma(\mathsf{m}[w]@\iota)} \qquad \frac{\Gamma, C \vdash T_1 \cup T_2}{\Gamma, C \vdash T_1} \qquad \frac{\Gamma, C \vdash \{\mathsf{m}[w]@\iota\}}{\Gamma, C \vdash \Gamma(\mathsf{m}[w]@\iota)}$$
 
$$\frac{\Gamma, C \vdash \{r[w]@\iota\} \qquad \Gamma, C \vdash \{c(r[w]@\iota, T)\}}{\Gamma, C \vdash T}$$

THEOREM 3.2. If  $R, E \vdash \pi : \Gamma$  is valid and for all H, C it is not the case that  $\Gamma, C \vdash \{s[w]@\iota\}$  for  $\iota \in H$ , then  $\pi$  satisfies gradual release.

### 3.1.1 Example.

```
m[x]@1 := s2(s[x],-r[x],r[x])@2

// m[x]@1 == s[x]@2 + -r[x]@2

// m[x]@1 : { c(r[x]@2, { s[x]@2 }) }

m[y]@1 := OT(s[y]@1,-r[y],r[y])@2

// m[y]@1 == s[y]@1 + -r[y]@2

// m[y]@1 : { c(r[y]@2, { s[y]@1 }) }
```

## 3.2 Compositional Type Verification in Prelude

$$\frac{e_1 \Rightarrow \varepsilon \qquad e_2 \Rightarrow \iota \qquad R_1, E \Vdash \lfloor \varepsilon @ \iota \rfloor : (R_2, T)}{R_1, E \vdash x := e_1 @ e_2 : (x : T, R_1; R_2, E \land x \equiv \lfloor \varepsilon @ \iota \rfloor)}$$

$$\frac{e_1 \Rightarrow \varepsilon}{R_1, E \vdash x := e_1@e_2 \text{ as } e_3 \Rightarrow \phi \qquad E \models \lfloor \varepsilon@\iota \rfloor \equiv \phi \qquad R_1, E \vdash \phi : (R_2, T)}{R_1, E \vdash x := e_1@e_2 \text{ as } e_3 : (x : T, R_1; R_2, E \land x \equiv \phi)}$$

Арр

$$\underbrace{e_1 \Rightarrow v_1 \cdots e_n \Rightarrow v_n \quad \rho = [v_1/x_1] \cdots [v_n/x_n]}_{R_1, E \vdash f(e_1, \dots, e_n) : (\rho(\Gamma), R_1; \rho(R), E \land \rho(E_2))} E \models \rho(E_1)$$

# 3.3 Integrity Types

$$\begin{array}{lll} \text{Value} & \text{Secret} & \text{Rando} \\ \Gamma,\varnothing,E\vdash_{\iota}v:\varnothing\cdot\text{High} & \Gamma,\varnothing,E\vdash_{\iota}\mathsf{s[w]}:\{\mathsf{s[w]@\iota}\}\cdot\mathcal{L}(\iota) & \Gamma,\varnothing,E\vdash_{\iota}\mathsf{r[w]}:\{\mathsf{r[w]@\iota}\}\cdot\mathcal{L}(\iota) \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{Mesg} & \text{PubM} \\ \Gamma,\varnothing,E \vdash_{\iota} \mathsf{m[w]} : \Gamma(\mathsf{m[w]@\iota}) & \Gamma,\varnothing,E \vdash_{\iota} \mathsf{p[w]} : \Gamma(\mathsf{p[w]}) \end{array} \\ & \frac{\Gamma,R,E \vdash_{\iota} \varepsilon : T \cdot \varsigma_{1}}{\Gamma,R,E \vdash_{\iota} \varepsilon : T \cdot \varsigma_{2}} \\ \end{array}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, \emptyset, E \vdash_{\iota} \varepsilon : T \cdot \varsigma \qquad E \models \lfloor \varepsilon @_{\iota} \rfloor = \phi \oplus r[w] @_{\iota}' \qquad \oplus \in \{+, -\}}{\Gamma, r[w] @_{\iota}, E \vdash_{\iota} \varepsilon : \{c(r[w] @_{\iota}', \Gamma(\phi))\} \cdot \varsigma}$$

 $\frac{\Gamma, R_1, E \vdash_{\iota} \varepsilon_1 : T_1 \cdot \varsigma \qquad \Gamma, R_2, E \vdash_{\iota} \varepsilon_2 : T_2 \cdot \varsigma \qquad \oplus \in \{+, -, *\}}{\Gamma, R_1; R_2, E \vdash_{\iota} \varepsilon_1 \oplus \varepsilon_2 : T_1 \cup T_2 \cdot \varsigma}$ 

$$\frac{\Gamma, R, E \vdash_{\iota} \varepsilon : T \cdot \mathcal{L}(\iota) \qquad E' \models E \land x = \lfloor \varepsilon @ \iota \rfloor}{\Gamma, R, E \vdash_{\iota} \varepsilon : E \Leftrightarrow \iota : \Gamma; x : T \cdot \mathcal{L}(\iota), E'} \qquad \frac{Assert}{E \models \lfloor \varepsilon_1 @ \iota \rfloor = \lfloor \varepsilon_2 @ \iota \rfloor}{\Gamma, R, E \vdash_{\iota} assert(\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_2) @ \iota : \Gamma, E}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma_1, R_1, E_1 \vdash \pi_1 : \Gamma_2, E_2}{\Gamma_1, R_1; R_2, E_1 \vdash \pi_1; \pi_2 : \Gamma_3, E_3} \qquad \frac{\Gamma_1, R, E_1 \vdash \pi_1 : \Gamma_2, E_2}{\Gamma_1, R, E_1 \vdash \pi_1; \pi_2 : \Gamma_3, E_3} \qquad \frac{\Gamma_1, R, E_1 \vdash \pi_1 : \Gamma_2, E_2}{\Gamma_1, R, E_1' \vdash \pi_1 : \Gamma_2, E_2} \qquad \frac{\Gamma_1, R, E_1' \vdash \pi_1 : \Gamma_2, E_2}{\Gamma_1, R, E_1' \vdash \pi_1 : \Gamma_2, E_2'}$$

MAC

$$\frac{E \models \texttt{m[wm]@}\iota = \texttt{m[wk]@}\iota + (\texttt{m[delta]@}\iota * \texttt{m[ws]@}\iota) \qquad \Gamma(\texttt{m[ws]@}\iota) = T \cdot \varsigma}{\Gamma, R, E \vdash \texttt{assert(m[wm]} = \texttt{m[wk]} + (\texttt{m[delta]} * \texttt{m[ws]}))@}\iota : \Gamma; \texttt{m[ws]@}\iota : T \cdot \mathsf{High}, E}$$

```
Prelude SYNTAX AND SEMANTICS
99
100
                                      \ell \in \text{Field}, \ y \in \text{EVar}, \ f \in \text{FName}
101
                                                 e ::= v | r[e] | s[e] | m[e] | p[e] | e binop e | let y = e in e |
102
                                                                    f(e,...,e) \mid \{\ell = e;...; \ell = e\} \mid e.\ell
103
                                                       = m[e]@e := e@e | p[e] := e@e | out@e := e@e | assert(e = e)@e |
104
                                                                    f(e,...,e) \mid \mathbf{c}; \mathbf{c} \mid \mathsf{pre}(E) \mid \mathsf{post}(E)
105
                                       binop ::= + | - | * | ++
106
                                                v ::= w \mid \iota \mid \varepsilon \mid \{\ell = v; \ldots; \ell = v\}
107
                                              fn ::= f(y,...,y)\{e\} \mid f(y,...,y)\{c\}
108
                                                \phi ::= r[e]@e | s[e]@e | m[e]@e | p[e] | out@e | \phi + \phi | \phi - \phi | \phi * \phi
110
                                                E ::= \phi \equiv \phi \mid E \wedge E
111
112
                                                                                                      \frac{e[v/y] \Rightarrow v'}{\text{let } y = v \text{ in } e \Rightarrow v'}
113
114
115
                                        C(f) = y_1, \dots, y_n, \ e \qquad e_1 \Rightarrow v_1 \cdots e_n \Rightarrow v_n \qquad e[v_1/y_1] \cdots [v_n/y_n] \Rightarrow v
f(e_1, \dots, e_n) \Rightarrow v
116
117
118
119
               \frac{e_1 \Rightarrow \nu_1 \cdots e_n \Rightarrow \nu_n}{\{\ell_1 = e_1; \dots; \ell_n = e_n\} \Rightarrow \{\ell_1 = \nu_1; \dots; \ell_n = \nu_n\}} \qquad \frac{e \Rightarrow \{\dots; \ell = \nu; \dots\}}{e \cdot \ell \Rightarrow \nu} \qquad \frac{e_1 \Rightarrow w_1 \qquad e_2 \Rightarrow w_2}{e_1 + e_2 \Rightarrow w_1 w_2}
120
121
122
                     \frac{e_1 \Rightarrow \varepsilon_1 \qquad e_2 \Rightarrow \varepsilon_2 \qquad e \Rightarrow \iota}{(\pi, (E_1, E_2), \text{on, assert}(e_1 = e_2)@e) \Rightarrow (\pi; \text{assert}(\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_2)@\iota, (E_1, E_2 \land \lfloor \varepsilon_1@\iota \rfloor = \lfloor \varepsilon_2@\iota \rfloor), \text{on)}}
123
125
126
                                       \frac{e_1 \Rightarrow \varepsilon_1 \qquad e_2 \Rightarrow \varepsilon_2 \qquad e \Rightarrow \iota}{(\pi, (E_1, E_2), \mathsf{off}, \mathsf{assert}(e_1 = e_2)@e) \Rightarrow (\pi; \mathsf{assert}(\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_2)@\iota, (E_1, E_2, \mathsf{off}))}
127
128
129
                      \frac{e_1 \Rightarrow w \qquad e_2 \Rightarrow \iota_1 \qquad e_3 \Rightarrow \varepsilon \qquad e_4 \Rightarrow \iota_2}{(\pi, (E_1, E_2), \mathsf{on}, \mathsf{m} \llbracket e_1 \rrbracket @ e_2 := e_3 @ e_4) \Rightarrow (\pi; \mathsf{m} \llbracket w \rrbracket @ \iota_1 := \varepsilon @ \iota_2, (E_1 \land \mathsf{m} \llbracket w \rrbracket @ \iota_1 = \lfloor \varepsilon @ \iota_2 \rfloor, E_2), \mathsf{on})}
130
131
132
                                           \frac{e_1 \Rightarrow w \qquad e_2 \Rightarrow \iota_1 \qquad e_3 \Rightarrow \varepsilon \qquad e_4 \Rightarrow \iota_2}{(\pi, (E_1, E_2), \mathsf{off}, \mathsf{m}[e_1]@e_2 := e_3@e_4) \Rightarrow (\pi; \mathsf{m}[w]@\iota_1 := \varepsilon@\iota_2, (E_1, E_1), \mathsf{off})}
133
134
135
136
                                                                          (\pi, (E_1, E_2), \mathsf{on}, \mathsf{pre}(E)) \Rightarrow (\pi, E_1, E_2 \land E, \mathsf{off})
137
138
                                                                      (\pi, (E_1, E_2), \mathsf{off}, \mathsf{post}(E)) \Rightarrow (\pi, (E_1 \land E, E_2), \mathsf{on})
139
140
                   (\pi_1, (E_{11}, E_{12}), sw_1, \mathbf{c}_1) \Rightarrow (\pi_2, (E_{21}, E_{22}), sw_2) \qquad (\pi_2, (E_{21}, E_{22}), sw_2, \mathbf{c}_2) \Rightarrow (\pi_3, (E_{31}, E_{32}), sw_3)
141
                                                                       (\pi_1, (E_{11}, E_{12}), sw_1, \mathbf{c}_1; \mathbf{c}_2) \Rightarrow (\pi_3, (E_{31}, E_{32}), sw_3)
142
143
                                                                                                      C(f) = y_1, \ldots, y_n, \mathbf{c}
144
                         e_1 \Rightarrow v_1 \cdots e_n \Rightarrow v_n \qquad (\pi_1, (E_{11}, E_{12}), sw_1, \mathbf{c}[v_1/y_1,] \cdots [v_n/y_n]) \Rightarrow (\pi_2, (E_{21}, E_{22}), sw_2)
145
                                                               (\pi_1, (E_{11}, E_{12}), sw_1, f(e_1, \dots, e_n)) \Rightarrow (\pi_2, (E_{21}, E_{22}), sw_2)
146
```

```
5 EXAMPLES
```

```
149
          encodegmw(in, i1, i2) {
150
            m[in]@i2 := (s[in] xor r[in])@i2;
151
            m[in]@i1 := r[in]@i2
152
          }
153
          andtablegmw(b1, b2, r) {
155
            let r11 = r xor (b1 xor true) and (b2 xor true) in
156
            let r10 = r xor (b1 xor true) and (b2 xor false) in
157
            let r01 = r \times r  (b1 xor false) and (b2 xor true) in
158
            let r00 = r xor (bl xor false) and (b2 xor false) in
159
            \{ \text{ row1} = \text{r11}; \text{ row2} = \text{r10}; \text{ row3} = \text{r01}; \text{ row4} = \text{r00} \}
160
          }
161
162
          andgmw(z, x, y) \{
163
            pre();
164
            let r = r[z] in
165
            let table = andtablegmw(m[x], m[y], r) in
            m[z]@2 := OT4(m[x], m[y], table, 2, 1);
            m[z]@1 := r@1;
            post(m[z]@1 xor m[z]@2 == (m[x]@1 xor m[x]@2) and (m[y]@1 xor m[y]@2))
          }
171
          xorgmw(z, x, y)  {
172
            m[z]@1 := (m[x] \times m[y])@1; m[z]@2 := (m[x] \times m[y])@2;
173
          }
174
175
          decodegmw(z) {
176
            p["1"] := m[z]@1; p["2"] := m[z]@2;
177
            out@1 := (p["1"] xor p["2"])@1;
178
            out@2 := (p["1"] xor p["2"])@2
179
          }
180
181
          encodegmw("x",2,1);
          encodegmw("y",2,1);
183
          encodegmw("z",1,2);
184
          andgmw("g1", "x", "z");
185
          xorgmw("g2","g1","y");
186
          decodegmw("g2")
187
          pre();
188
          post(out@1 == (s["x"]@1 and s["z"]@2) xor s["y"]@1)
189
190
        secopen(w1,w2,w3,i1,i2) {
191
            pre(m[w1+++w]]@i2 == m[w1+++w]]@i1 + (m[wdelta]]@i1 * m[w1+++w]]@i2 /\
192
                 m[w1++"m"]@i2 == m[w1++"k"]@i1 + (m["delta"]@i1 * m[w1++"s"]@i2));
193
            let locsum = macsum(macshare(w1), macshare(w2)) in
194
            m[w3++"s"]@i1 := (locsum.share)@i2;
195
196
```

```
m[w3++"m"]@i1 := (locsum.mac)@i2;
197
            auth(m[w3++"s"],m[w3++"m"],mack(w1) + mack(w2),i1);
198
            m[w3]@i1 := (m[w3++"s"] + (locsum.share))@i1
       }
200
201
202
203
       _{open(x,i1,i2)}
204
         m[x++"exts"]@i1 := m[x++"s"]@i2;
         m[x++"extm"]@i1 := m[x++"m"]@i2;
205
          assert(m[x++"extm"] == m[x++"k"] + (m["delta"] * m[x++"exts"]));
206
         m[x]@i1 := (m[x++"exts"] + m[x++"s"])@i2
207
       }`
208
209
       _{sum}(z, x, y, i1, i2) {
210
            pre(m[x++"m"]@i2 == m[x++"k"]@i1 + (m["delta"]@i1 * m[x++"s"]@i2 /\
211
                m[y++"m"]@i2 == m[y++"k"]@i1 + (m["delta"]@i1 * m[y++"s"]@i2));
212
            m[z++"s"]@i2 := (m[x++"s"] + m[y++"s"])@i2;
213
214
            m[z++"m"]@i2 := (m[x++"m"] + m[y++"m"])@i2;
            m[z++"k"]@i1 := (m[x++"k"] + m[y++"k"])@i1;
            post(m[z++"m"]@i2 == m[z++"k"]@i1 + (m["delta"]@i1 * m[z++"s"]@i2)
       }
217
218
219
       sum(z,x,y) \{ sum(z,x,y,1,2); sum(z,x,y,2,1) \}
       open(x) \{ open(x,1,2); open(x,2,1) \}
221
222
223
       sum("a", "x", "d");
224
225
       open("d");
       sum("b", "y", "e");
226
       open("e");
228
       let xys =
            macsum(macctimes(macshare("b"), m["d"]),
229
                   macsum(macctimes(macshare("a"), m["e"]),
230
                           macshare("c")))
231
       let xyk = mack("b") * m["d"] + mack("a") * m["e"] + mack("c")
232
233
       secopen("a", "x", "d", 1, 2);
234
          secopen("a", "x", "d", 2, 1);
235
          secopen("b", "y", "e", 1, 2);
236
          secopen("b", "y", "e", 2, 1);
237
         let xys =
238
            macsum(macctimes(macshare("b"), m["d"]),
239
                   macsum(macctimes(macshare("a"), m["e"]),
240
                           macshare("c")))
241
242
          in
          let xyk = mack("b") * m["d"] + mack("d") * m["d"] + mack("c")
243
          in
244
245
```

```
secreveal(xys,xyk,"1",1,2);
246
247
           secreveal(maccsum(xys,m["d"] * m["e"]),
                       xyk - m["d"] * m["e"],
248
249
                       "2",2,1);
           out@1 := (p[1] + p[2])@1;
251
           out@2 := (p[1] + p[2])@2;
253
255
257
259
260
261
262
263
265
267
269
270
271
272
273
274
275
276
277
278
279
280
281
282
283
284
285
286
287
288
289
```