



Institutt for Informasjonsteknologi

Postadresse: Postboks 4 St. Olavs plass, 0130 Oslo

Besøksadresse: Holbergs plass, Oslo

PROSJEKT NR.
23-2022

TILGJENGELIGHET
Offentlig

Telefon: 22 45 32 00

BACHELORPROSJEKT

HOVEDPROSJEKTETS TITTEL IoT - Oppgave	DATO 25.05.2022
	ANTALL SIDER / BILAG Over 9000
PROSJEKTDeltakere Andreas Torres Hansen Uy Quoc Nguyen Anders Hagen Ottersland	INTERN VEILEDER Jianhua Zhang

OPPDRAUGSGIVER Accenture	KONTAKTPERSON Daniel Meinecke
-----------------------------	----------------------------------

SAMMENDRAG Dette er en kjempe kul prosjekt 😊

3 STIKKORD Internet of Things
Raspberry Pi
Sentralisert System

DATA3900 - Bachelorproject

Final report

Gruppe 23:

Hansen, Andreas Torres (s338851)

Nguyen, Uy Quoc (s341864)

Ottersland, Anders Hagen (s341883)

Total pages: [41](#)

Last updated:

May 20, 2022

Abstract

Short summary of the project including the result that the group reached.

Preface

This is the report of our bachelor thesis at Oslo Metropolitan University, Faculty of Technology, Art and Design. Our project was done for Accenture, and lasted from January 2022 to May 2022. We have tried to develop a solution for traffic management with self-driving cars and server communication. A physical demonstration of our proposed solution is done with the use of a Raspberry Pi computer working as a car. In this project we have documented the functionality of our system, and our process of making it.

The report is split into 7 chapters. Introduction, research areas, process documentation, implementation, results, discussion and conclusion. At the end we have added a Moscow analysis, sprint overview and our project journal as appendices. Technical terms are in appendix for those with less technical knowledge. (Kan kanskje droppe dette)

We would like to thank everyone that has contributed to our project. We would especially like to thank:

- Ivar Fauske Aasen, Solfrid Johansen and Benjamin Vallestad, representatives from Accenture.
- Dr. Jianhua Zhang, internal supervisor at OsloMet.

Contents

1	Introduction	1
1.1	Stakeholders	1
1.1.1	Students	1
1.1.2	Product owner	1
1.1.3	Supervisors	1
1.1.4	Client	1
1.2	Project Description	2
1.2.1	Project background	2
1.2.2	Significance	2
1.2.3	Goals and requirements	2
1.2.4	Problem statement	3
2	Process documentation	4
2.1	Development method	4
2.2	Tools and technologies	6
3	Implementation	8
3.1	Process phases	8
3.2	Phase 1 - Planning and research phase	8
3.2.1	Choice of programming languages	9
3.2.2	Internet of Things	9
3.2.3	Preventing traffic congestions for a one lane road	9
3.2.4	Prioritization method	12
3.3	Phase 2 - REST API	12
3.3.1	Long polling	13
3.3.2	Implement REST API on the client	14
3.3.3	Webhooks	14
3.4	Phase 3 - SignalR	14
3.5	Phase 4 - Making a demo	15
3.5.1	Building a car	16
3.5.2	Calibration of the cars	16
3.5.3	Construction of semi physical demonstration	18
3.6	Results	21
3.6.1	Data	21
3.6.2	Real world scenario	21
3.6.3	Possible improvements	21

4	Discussion	23
4.1	Self evaluation	23
4.1.1	Educational Value	23
4.2	Real world application	24
4.2.1	Edge computing	24
5	Product documentation	26
5.1	Preface	26
5.2	Program description	26
5.3	Adherence to project requirements	28
5.4	User manual	28
5.5	Product specification	30
5.5.1	Initialization	30
5.5.2	Handshake and listener	32
5.5.3	Patch	35
5.5.4	VehiclesHubDatabase	37

Introduction

1.1 Stakeholders

1.1.1 Students

Andreas Torres Hansen, Software Engineering

Anders Hagen Ottersland, Software Engineering

Uy Quoc Nguyen, Software Engineering

1.1.2 Product owner

Benjamin Vallestad

1.1.3 Supervisors

Professor Jianhua Zhang, Internal Supervisor

Ivar Austin Fauske, External Supervisor

Solfrid Hagen Johansen, Application Development Analyst at Accenture AS, External Supervisor

1.1.4 Client

Accenture AS

Accenture is an international IT consulting firm that operates in 200 different countries across the world. The main office is located in Dublin, and the Norwegian main office is in Fornebu. Accenture has 674 thousand employees internationally, of which 1000 work in Norway (**accenture_earning_report_2021**). In 2021, Accenture generated a revenue of approximately \$50.3 billion (**accenture_about**).

1.2 Project Description

1.2.1 Project background

In 2020, Accenture supervised a bachelor student-group with the goal of developing a self-driving car. The group developed a model-sized car that uses artificial intelligence to analyze data from sensors and cameras, and makes decisions based on the data. Accenture wants to build further on this project, by exploring the addition of a centralized communication system for the vehicles.

The product owner of the project states various reasons that make it relevant for Accenture. According to Accenture, Norway is one of the countries that is most ready to start utilizing self-driving cars, although not all factors that need to be in place are ready. Self-driving cars alone will also likely not be able to solve all the problems related to today's traffic-problems. Accenture wants to explore if combining self-driving cars with an autonomous management system could provide greater value.

=====

Accenture has in many years offered final year students at OsloMet and Høyskolen i Kristiania interesting projects for their bachelor thesis. In 2020, a group of students from Høyskolen i Kristiania were developing model-sized self driving vehicles using Raspberry Pi in conjunction with machine learning as their project. This year our group was offered to extend this project further; to explore plausible improvement with the addition of a centrilized communication system.

Norway is one of the countries that are ready to utilize self-driving cars, according to Accenture. However, self-driving cars alone is likely not enough to solve all of today's traffic challenges. Hence, the purpose of this project is an attempt to solve the issue by introducing a management system for autonomous vehicles and evaluate the value such system can provide.

1.2.2 Significance

Accenture's values coincide with the project, seeing as they wish to build their knowledge in the form of technology and theory. Accenture also wants to explore the potential positive societal and climate effects a centralized communication system for transportation could provide.

1.2.3 Goals and requirements

The goal of the project is to end up with a prototype that can be shown to interesents and demonstrate how self-driving cars could be combined with a centralized system. For this we will use the self-driving car that Accenture already owns, as a result of the previous bachelor's-thesis done with them. The conditions are that the product must be possible to present, either as a physical or digital showcase. Preferably, the prototype can show at least one situation where the outcome differs depending on the use of self-driving cars plus a centralized system, versus only using self-driving cars. The system should also be

scalable, so that more vehicles can be added or removed at a later point in time.

1.2.4 Problem statement

Based on the goals and requirements set by Accenture, we have formulated the thesis question:

“How can we improve traffic flow, by using a combination of self-driving cars and a centralized communication system?”

Process documentation

2.1 Development method

The task given to us was open because we had few restrictions, and it was up to our group to decide which technologies to use. This meant that work methodology had to focus more on flexibility rather than planning. We therefore chose to use an agile work method. Agile work method focuses on continuous planning throughout the process, and having frequent communication with the client, in our case Accenture. We had meetings with our external supervisors from Accenture once every second week which meant the agile work was a good fit for our project.

We chose to use inspiration from two light frameworks, kanban and scrum. Scrum is an agile, light framework which helps people and teams work together. Scrum describes a set of meetings, roles and tools. Under is a figure describing a scrum work process:




Figure 2.1: Illustration of a generic scrum process. Here the sprints are in 30 day increments

A sprint is an essential part in using the Scrum framework. Sprints are a fixed time length, often between one and four weeks. In this specified time length the teams do tasks assigned from the sprint backlog . Each sprint starts with

a sprint planning and ends with a sprint retro perspective. For our project we found it most viable to plan in increments of two weeks. We chose two weeks increments because we felt it was an even balance between work and planning. As mentioned we also had meetings with our client Accenture every 2 weeks which fitted well with the time increment.

Our group also made use of the meetings in the Scrum framework. The meetings are sprint planning, sprint retrospect and daily standups. Sprint planning is a meeting or event which starts before a sprint. At the sprint planning the teams agree on a goal for the sprint, and the tasks from the backlog that should be worked on that contribute to the goal. Example of report from a sprint planning meeting is attached. The backlog is a list of functionality the product should contain. In addition we wrote down the tasks for the specific sprints in a digital document. These tasks were to be finished by the next sprint. Here is our sprint planning documents that shows our goals for each sprint:

(Legge til figur av sprint planning documentet vårt)

After a sprint we would have a sprint retro perspective where we would discuss what went well in that sprint, and what could have been done better. This helped us reflect over the prior week and adjust accordingly, if necessary. We would also discuss if the task assigned in the sprint meetings were finished or needed more work. This helped us figure out if we were on track with our initial plan.

In addition to the weekly meetings we also had daily standups. Daily standups is a short meeting, usually around five minutes, where each person answers three questions. What did you do last time? What are you doing today? Are there any challenges? We implemented daily standups because it helped our team get on the same page, and it made it easier to plan what each of us had to do that specific day.

Scrum often consists of a team with different roles. As a team of three where we did not feel the necessity to have specified roles, because we usually worked together on our project. However we alternated on being the scrum master. The scrum master's responsibility is to keep track of the backlog and lead the sprint planning meetings.

Our implementation of Kanban was to use a Kanban board as the backlog. A Kanban board is used to visualize where a task is in the work process. Here is an example from our project:

We have four columns that represent which phase a task is in. The backlog is the tasks in the to-do column. When we are working on a task we drag it over to the In progress column. After a task is finished it goes to the "Review in progress", where we review the task. If we concluded that the task was finished in the reviewing it gets dragged over to the "Done column". The git project tool also provides prioritization of tasks from high to low. We also took advantage of this. You can also connect the tasks to a specific branch so the task automatically gets finished when merging the branch into master. The Kanban board was a great tool to see which tasks to choose for our sprints, and also keep track of where the tasks were in their process.

However, we did not use the Kanban board throughout the whole process. This is




Figure 2.2: Extract of our kanban from Github.

because the backlog was changing a lot, and therefore the canban board needed many modifications to be up to date. In addition, we were able to keep track of the tasks by having frequent meetings. We figured out that it was more beneficial to focus on one framework, which in our case was scrum.

2.2 Tools and technologies

The circumstances surrounding Covid 19 meant that we were not able to meet our supervisors in person at the start, however many of the restrictions cleared up at the later part of the project. Luckily the group was still able to meet physically a few times a week. We still had to use a wide range of tools for communication.

- Email - Formal communication with supervisors and product owner
- Teams- Meetings, and the platform of choice for communicating with the external supervisors on an informal level.
- Zoom- Meetings
- Messenger - communication internally in the group. We used it to send messages to each other when we were not physically together, and to send pictures of code.

The project required us to collaborate while working on different personal computers which can lead to overlapping. Therefore, tools that helped us to work on the project together were important. Here is the tools that helped us:

- Git and Github - Version control of choice
- Google docs - Used to write our journal and other documents that need to get updated regularly, and to share documents.

We also needed text editors that supported our programming languages that we used. The client was built in python while the server was built in C#.

- Pycharm- Text IDE for coding in python
- Visual studio code- IDE for coding in C#
- Thonny - Text editor for coding in python on Raspberry pi

Project planning and documentation was also an important part of the project. Here is a few tools we used for the project planning:

- Github project- Kanban board and creating backlog tasks
- Excel- Used for visualizing our worklan by using tables and a gantt diagram

Implementation

3.1 Process phases

We chose to split our process into four phases:

Planning and research phase	week (x-x)
Rest API	week(x-x)
Signal-R	week (x-x)
Demonstration of our system	week(x-x)

3.2 Phase 1 - Planning and research phase

After we had gotten in touch with Accenture and spoken with the supervisors and the product owner, the group had to make a few decisions regarding the direction of the project.

The first choice to make was to either build an AI for the vehicles from scratch or use the AI from the group prior. Building the AI from scratch meant that we could make an AI which integrated our system from the start, however with the time constraint of the project we decided that it would take less time to use the product from the group prior. In addition, our group had more prior experience with networking than with raspberry Pi and AI. We therefore chose to use the AI from the project prior. We also had to decide which technologies and programming languages to use.

In this phase we did not have access to the vehicle made by the prior bachelor group and neither the code. In addition there was a need for project planning and research before we could start developing our IoT-system. The topics needed to be researched was:

- Research what causes traffic jams and solutions to fix it.
- Research IoT-systems and how they function with vehicles.
- Research different planning methods that will fit our project

We also used the pre-project phase to get to know Accenture, their guidelines and their workspace since we were going to work at their office.

3.2.1 Choice of programming languages

For the programming languages, we chose to use python for the client and C# for the server. The group prior had used python for their raspberry Pi vehicle. This meant that using python made it easier to extend the code from the project prior. Our group also had experience with networking in python, therefore making python a prime choice of programming language for the client. C# supports multiple ASP.NET Core libraries which was useful since we were going to work with networking. The server needs to be as efficient as possible and C# is considered a fast programming language. We also had some prior knowledge coding in C# as well.

3.2.2 Internet of Things

The Internet Of Things refers to physical objects that communicate with the use of sensors, cameras, software or other technologies that connect and exchange data. This communication takes place over the Internet or other communication forms. The number of connected IoT-devices in the world is increasing, and it is becoming a big part of society (**iot_analytics**). The field of IoT has also been evolving in recent years due to other technologies becoming more accessible, such as machine learning and the 5G network.

You need not look further than to the smart-home consumer market to find applications in your life, of devices communicating to solve problems. It could also be applied in climate surveillance systems, energy or transportation. The benefits that an internet of connected devices could add billions in value to industries across the world, and to the global economy. In this thesis we will explore the possibilities of using IoT in transportation, more specifically in personal automobiles. The convergence of these fields is more commonly known as IoV, Internet of Vehicles, and it is a central theme of our thesis. An IoV system is a distributed system for wireless communication and information exchange between vehicles through agreed upon communication protocols (**chinese_iov**). The system could potentially integrate functionality for dynamic information exchange, vehicle control and smart traffic management. In our thesis we will explore these possibilities on a small scale, with the hopes of making a solution that can be scaled up at a later point.

3.2.3 Preventing traffic congestions for a one lane road

Traffic congestions also known as traffic jams are when a long line of vehicles are moving slowly or have stopped moving completely. Traffic jams are annoying and distructs nearby local environments with sound and gas emissions. There are many factors which can cause a traffic congestion such as: poorly designed roads, not wide enough roads, traffic light patterns, and accidents. In conclusion, an event that distructs the traffic flow can cause a traffic congestion.

With this in mind, we started by focusing on a simple scenario: when a car drastically reduces their speed, or completely stops, on a single lane road.

This scenario will lead to the vehicles behind needing to slow down drastically as well. Because of the human reaction not being perfect this can lead to multiple cars having to emergency break, distructing the traffic flow. To prevent this, the

vehicles behind has to decrease their velocity before they reach the destination of where the event happened. For this to happen a server needs to keep track on the cars positions and send information to the cars behind when needed.

After a few discussions in our group we came up with an idea of how the interactions between the server and the cars would be. First the car has to connect to the server and give information about its current speed, weight, width and length. The server will keep track on all the cars positions on the road. Since there is only a single road there was only one dimension to worry about. The cars would send information to the server if their velocity had changed. This would trigger an event on the server where it would tell all the cars behind the car that triggered the event to change their velocity to slow down accordingly. The velocity the cars would have to change to depend on their distance to the car slowing down and their current velocity. Here is a flow chart of a potential demonstration with the cars from the group prior for this solution:




Figure 3.1: Flow diagram for the demo for the first solution.

3.2.4 Prioritization method

MoSCoW method is a prioritization technique used in project management to prioritize requirements. The word is split into four sections: The "Mo" stands for must have and, is the number one priority requirements for our project. These are necessary for the success of our project. "S" stands for should have. These requirements should be included in our project, however not mandatory. The "Co" stands for could have. The requirements in this section are requirements that are extras we want to include in our project, however not prioritized. At last the "W" stands for will not have this time. The requirements in the "W" section is maybe for a later group if someone wants to further build on our project.

Since we were unsure how many use cases we finish within the time constraint of the project period, we thought a prioritization method was a good fit. Under is the visualization of the MoSCoW method.



Figure 3.2: Visualization MoSCoW method. Everything under "Mo" are requirements we must have in our project, under the "S" sections are requirements we should have, and under "Co" are requirements we should have, however not necessary. Under "W" are requirements we will not have this time

3.3 Phase 2 - REST API

The aim of the project was to connect Raspberry Pi devices to a system. Thus, the group decided that the best way to establish this connection was to implement a RESTful API on the server. In addition, the question on how the data should be stored was also discussed during this phase.

Representational state transfer (REST) *application programming interface* (API) provides a way for client and server to establish communication through *hyper-text transfer protocol* (HTTP). Using a REST API clients can send requests to a server to perform standard CRUD (create, read, update and delete) operations on a database (`rest_api`).

Due to the time sensitivity of the application we are trying to build, it was therefore necessary to choose an appropriate type of database for our system. In this case, we chose to incorporate the time series database. InfluxDB is a time series database created by InfluxData. It provides a SQL-like syntax for querying resources that is quick and scalable, and most importantly free. Moreover, InfluxDB client library, using the influxDB v2 API, provides both ease of install and use for a multitude of languages (**influxdb**).

During this phase the group implemented a standard REST API server with C# with the idea that the Raspberry Pi vehicles should exchange information on its velocity, acceleration and position to the server. Client should first POST itself to the server. The server will then add the vehicle to the influxDB to keep track of the vehicle's information. Then, the vehicle should be able to perform a GET request to the server to retrieve its information.

The client at this phase would be able to send a PATCH request to the server in order to update its information. In addition, the server will add a new entry into the database whenever it receives this request. The idea was that the client and server would be able to continuously communicate to each other such that the server could determine the behaviour of all connected clients.

However, a RESTful API server were not able to perform all its required task that the group wanted the server to do. Firstly, the server were only able to communicate with one client at the time, i.e. the client that sends a request. What the group wanted at this stage was that based on a request the server should also be able to send its own request to all the other clients. In order to achieve this, the server had to send an unprompted response to other clients that is not requesting a resource from the server, which was not possible with our current architecture.

A new solution had to be in place in order to achieve our goal. Other solutions were proposed during this stage.

3.3.1 Long polling

Polling is the idea that the server pushes resources to the client. There are mainly two types of polling; short- and long polling.

In short polling, a client requests a resource from the server and the server responds with nothing if the resource is not available. The client will then send a new request in a short amount of time and the cycle repeats until the client receives the resource it has requested.

Long polling is similar to short polling, however, the server does not send anything back before the resource is available. That is, the client sends a request to the server and the server is holding this request until it has a response available to the client. In our case, we wanted every client to perform a GET request to the server on a separate thread and instruct the server to hold onto this request until it had further instructions to the requesting client.

However, implementing a method on the server to block the response introduced more complication to the project. Also, with the asynchronous nature of the controllers implemented on the server it would also mean that the server will

consume a lot of the processor which also means that the performance of the server will be heavily deteriorated.

3.3.2 Implement REST API on the client

Another solution was to implement the client itself as a REST API server on its own. However, in order to achieve this, each client needed to also send the server its host and port information to the server. Also, the server has to be implemented as a client in order to connect to the vehicles.

3.3.3 Webhooks

Webhooks, according to **webhooks**, is a user-defined callback over HTTP. In our case, implementing webhooks to post notifications on clients based on events sent to the server. This was a good contender to solve our issue. However, implementing webhooks includes extensive research into a system the group had never heard of, in addition to scarce information on how to create such a system. The group decided that the time constraint of this project did not justify the time it would take to implement such a system.

3.4 Phase 3 - SignalR

After exhaustive discussions on how to solve the two-way communication discussed in [3.3 Phase 2: REST API](#), the group agreed that websockets would be a good solution to our problem. Websockets is a protocol that provides a bidirectional communication between clients and server by establishing a single TCP connection in both direction (**rfc_websockets**). Hence, using websockets both client and server can transfer data whenever they see fit. However, **microsoft_websockets** discourage developers from implementing raw websockets for most applications, and recommends using SignalR instead.

ASP.NET SignalR is a library that at the top layer provides real-time communication using websockets while also provides other transport methods such as long polling as fallback (**microsoft_signalr**). Furthermore, SignalR API supports *remote procedure calls* (RPC) using hubs, meaning we can invoke subroutines on the client from the server and vice versa (**microsoft_signalr**).

During this phase we disregarded our old REST API server and InfluxDB completely. First, setting up an echo server using SignalR, while simultaneously implementing the client code. The client code is required to be implemented independent from the Raspberry Pi code because our goal was to create a communication module that could be reused through inheritance for other devices, e.g. traffic lights, should it be required to set up a new hub with other devices.

After successfully implementing all the necessary methods on the client. The vehicle class that represented the Raspberry Pi device was created. Vehicle class inherits the client class which gives it the ability to connect, listen and send data to the server. Furthermore, the client can also subscribe to events that the server can trigger using RPC.

After witnessing a successful connection between the Raspberry Pi vehicle and our SignalR server we started to implement necessary functionality on the server. A simple scenario was first taken into consideration when we first developed new functionalities. The client will inform the server whenever its velocity has been changed. In this case, the server should inform every vehicles behind that vehicle on the same road to adjust their own velocity accordingly. As a result of this functionality, we are required to continuously keep track of the vehicle's position. Thus, raising a new issue on how the vehicle information should be stored.

InfluxDB could in theory be used to store the vehicle's position however, since the position is constantly changing it would require the server to continuously read and write on Influx. Hence, the group concluded that in theory this will impact latency on server responsiveness. Thus, unanimously we determined that a live-in-memory database using lists would be better. Using simple mathematics the server could recalculate the vehicle's position based on its previous velocity and a stopwatch whenever it retrieves the information of a vehicle instead.

Expanding further on this concept, new functionalities on the SignalR server was developed to handle vehicles approaching an intersection. With a more complex topology it is also required to expand the database to account for the new road network. Hence, road models and intersections models were created to represent these concepts. Furthermore, the vehicle model on the serverside now also composed of a route planner to represent what it means to be approaching an intersection.

With these improvements, SignalR server proves successful in establishing communications with clients. In addition, with the implemented functionalities the server is able to command the clients to adjust their velocity to avoid collision between vehicles approaching an intersection simultaneously. By reducing velocity of some vehicles it also became apparent that traffic flow is improved, in contrast to stopping a vehicle.

3.5 Phase 4 - Making a demo

At this point in the development we were sure about what kind of situation we wanted to simulate to make a satisfying product for Accenture, and to answer the problem statement we had decided on. Following the work requirements we now needed to make a demonstration that showed how the system worked. At this point we also decided that we wanted to make a physical demonstration instead of making a digital simulation, although this was a solution that would take less effort and still be a valid solution. At the start of this work phase we had started to consider making only a digital simulation, but after a meeting with our external supervisors at Accenture, in which we were advised that a physical demonstration was more in line with Accenture's goals for the project, we finally decided to make a physical demonstration.

3.5.1 Building a car

The previous group had only built one car for their project. To show a situation where two cars meet at an intersection we needed to build a new car. Luckily, Accenture kept a box of unused components from the previous group. However, we only had one Tpu, the Coral USB Accelerator. This was an important component for giving extra processing power to the computer, and it was necessary to run the artificial intelligence the previous group had used (source from previous project).

Without this accelerator we could not run the artificial intelligence that the previous group had made. Due to the global chip shortage caused by the Covid pandemic, the accelerator was not available to purchase anywhere. This also made the camera and distance measuring sensor redundant, as these used artificial intelligence to process data. Not having two cars that utilized artificial intelligence could be a challenge, because one of the required features of our solution was that the cars should be able to override the server. We decided that as long as we had one car that could override the server commands the other car could drive solely on commands from the server. With the components, and the product documentation of the previous project (source from previous project), we were able to build a copy of the car as seen in [Figure 3.3](#).




Figure 3.3: Figure of the two cars we built. To the left is the car without a camera. To the right is the car with a camera on top, and a Coral USB Accelerator Edge Tpu. This car can take advantage of the on-board AI.

3.5.2 Calibration of the cars

When the server, vehicles and client were implemented, and the second vehicle built, we did some testing to figure out how the car's behaved when given direc-

Power (?)	Length (cm)	Time (s)	Velocity (cm/s)
40	467	8.98	52.00
50	425	7.28	58.38
60	400	6.06	66.01
70	357	5.18	68.92
80	325	4.49	72.32
90	314	4.03	77.92
100	286	3.62	79.01

Table 3.1: Test text

tions by the server. In this test the vehicles were given a specific velocity and driving distance by the server. When the vehicles arrived at their destination the server would tell them to stop. The car's drove in a straight line.

The vehicles were able to send information, and respond correctly to the servers commands. We also observed that the vehicles drove a different length for each velocity given even though the length was the same. This is because the velocity given to the vehicles is the amount of power going into the car's motors, not the actual velocity of the car's. We wanted the demo to be accurate so our group did some further testing where we wrote down the results.

The data Power was the velocity given by the server. Velocity was the actual velocity in our testing, which is length divided by time. As you can see the velocity was not the same as the power. We then made a graph to visualize the two values. The y -axis was the velocity while the x -axis is the power.

Figure 3.4: Graph of velocity as a function of power

We observed that the correlation between power and velocity seemed linear. This means we could make a specific formula that describes the correlation between the two values. We used linear regression to figure out this formula:

Figure 3.5: Graph of velocity as a function of power with linear regression

The formula we ended up with was as follows: $v(P) = 0.4516 \cdot P + 36.189$, where P is power and v is velocity, with a mean square error of $R^2 = 0.9653$. When we coded the formula into the vehicles we did another set of testing. We observed that the vehicles drove more or less the same distance for each power given. If we wanted an even more accurate formula we could have tuned the formula with the test results from our new test. Although the results were not hundred percent accurate, we concluded it was accurate enough for our demonstration.

To test the solution we have worked on, we made a physical demonstration with two cars that meet at an intersection, as part of the product documentation. We want to test that a combination of a centralized communication system and artificial intelligence can improve traffic flow. What we wanted to observe was if the velocity of the vehicles were not drastically changed and therefore not disrupting the traffic flow.

3.5.3 Construction of semi physical demonstration

We found a space at accenture that was big enough to build the track. Because of the limitations of the raspberry pi were the power given to the vehicles could not be under 40 and over 100 (We are not sure what the metrics is for power), we needed a road that could be over three meters long. If the road was under three meters the vehicle that had be given a power by the server which were under the limit. The server works in a way that the demo would not start until both vehicles had connected to it. Theoretically this means that the vehicles should start at the same time. We also placed the vehicles at the same lenght apart from the intersection so that they would crash if the server did not intervene. This way we could know for sure that the server were giving directions to the vehicles. We also made the server log the velocity it sent to the cars so we could keep track of how much the cars velocities changed.

Makeing the demo one hundred percent accurate were not possible ij our circum-stanced. This is beacause of the limitations of the raspberry pi. As mentioned it was not a vast gap between the lowest and the highest velocity of the vehicles. The vehicles were not able to recieve the messages at the exccat same time as well. This ment that they could start with a difference of half a secound. Another factor was that the vehicles were not always moving completely straight forward which the server assumed. However we were able to get a consistent demo with enough margins. We made vast margins by making a buffer zone around the vehicles. The buffer zone was about twenty centimeters. An example of a non-successful demo can be seen in figure 3.6.

After we implemented the buffersone we were able to get a consistent semi physical demonstration. Here is how a succesfull demo would go:

When both cars connected to the server, they startet at the same velocity which were specifcly set to 80cm/s in our demo. We decided on this velocity because it was the highest velocity that the Raspberry Pi cars could have, according to our previous measurments. Not long after they started to drive, the server recognizes that the cars are near the intersection. Then the server calculates which car has to slow down and how much the car needs to slow down to avoid collision. The server calculates using the cars velocity, position and length. In our case the server calculated the velocity 55 cm/s which was the lowest possible velocity according to our measurements. Further the server sends its calculated velocity to the car that needs to slow down. The car that needs to slow down is the car that would enter last into the intersection. After the other car has supposedly passed the intersection the car that slowed down gets told by the server to speed up to its original velocity. An example of a successful demo can be seen in figure 3.7.




Figure 3.6: Here we can observe the two cars colliding in the intersection. The colliding happened because one of the cars started about 5 centimeters further behind than the other car as well as starting a few milliseconds after. Meanwhile the server assumed they started at the exact same time at equal distance to the intersection. Those small errors led to a crash because we did not have enough margin for error in our demo yet.




Figure 3.7: Here is a snippet from a successful demo. The car to the left has just passed the intersection which is marked by the white tape. The car furthest up is therefore about to accelerate up to its original velocity. Here we can observe that the margins were big enough to prevent the cars colliding

3.6 Results

The summary of the results from the process was:

A server where multiple vehicles can communicate with each other. The server functions to increase traffic flow, and has responsibility for calculations and decision making.

A client, in our case the two cars which are able to send information to a server. These cars can drive both when connected to the server or not.

A semi physical demonstration that shows how the system works in an intersection.

A more technical description of how the applications work can be found in the product documentation.

3.6.1 Data

The data we can extract from this project comes from our semi physical demonstration. We observed throughout multiple semi physical demos, that even if the cars started at the same distance from an intersection and had the same initial velocity, they would be able to pass the intersection without having to stop. This is because prior to the intersection the server would tell the car that would enter the intersection last to slow down. An example of a successful demo can be seen in figure 3.6. According to what the server was logging, the car that slowed down prior to the intersection had changed its velocity from 80 cm/s to 55 cm/s. The car had then returned to 80 cm/s after the other car had passed the intersection.

Change of velocity can lead to a disruption of traffic flow. We can therefore conclude that in the specific scenario showed in the semi physical demonstration the server improved traffic flow.

3.6.2 Real world scenario

If we compare the data from the semi physical demonstration to a real world scenario where instead of 80 cm/h the cars would drive in 80 km/h prior to an intersection. In the real world, one of the cars would have to stop before a traffic light while the other could drive past the intersection. This would lead to a velocity change from 80 km/h to 0. In our scenario the car would only have to slow down to 55 km/h.

However there are more factors to consider in a real world scenario such as: curved roads, human mistakes and animals jumping onto the road.

3.6.3 Possible improvements

Although we were able to fulfill the product requirements there are room for improvement. All the requirements in the MoSCoW method from "could have" to "won't have this time" are requirements for a future project, either for an accenture to improve or for a future bachelor project. In addition there are also improvements that can be done with the accuracy of the demo, specifically by

doing more calibration tests and make a more accurate formula than we were able to produce. A more accurate demonstration can also be made by changing some of the wheels so that the vehicles can drive more straight.

Our demo only contains two cars but our server is built in a way where multiple vehicles can connect to it. There are also possibilities to connect other devices to the server, for example traffic lights. However there are no specific functionalities regarding traffic lights on the server as of now. Scaling the IoT system for functionalities with traffic lights is a task for future development. Adding roads and making a more complex road system would also be a task for future development.

As mentioned we made a semi-physical demonstration. For the sake of getting more data a virtual simulation could be made. Here multiple scenarios could be tested with more vehicles.

Making a product that is viable in the real world is a long way ahead. That will require a lot more testing and implementation on a bigger scale. However, we hope that our testing and research can be of value towards that step.

Discussion

Here we will discuss our own process and how such and IoT-system would apply to the real world. We will use our own data and research to discuss this.

4.1 Self evaluation

As mentioned earlier we used inspiration from two agile frameworks: scrum and canban, but chose to lean more towards scrum in the end. We felt the use of scrum was helpful to reach our goals, however in hindsight we could have included our external supervisors more in the scrum process. We could have done this by including them in sprint retrospective meetings, and discussing together what our next sprint goals should have been.

We were able to satisfy most of the product goals and requirements in the end, however some extra hours were needed towards the end. Because of the time constraint we found it difficult to focus on scalability. We chose to mainly focus on getting a working demonstration of our IoT-system. In terms of scalability, we can add more vehicles, but the roadmodel does not fully support functionalities for having more roads than we currently have in our demonstration.

One challenge in the process was that our group were not able to meet as a whole as much as we had wished because of work. If we had worked more throughout the process, the need for extra work in the end could have been prevented. In the MosCoW method (figure 3.1) we were able to finish all the requirements in "must have" and "should have" section, but none of the "can have" section. All in all the group was satisfied with the process.

4.1.1 Educational Value

Developing said program has been a challenging process, which our group has learned a lot from. We all feel that we have evolved into better developers, and that we now would be better suited for solving such a project in the future.

The project description we were given was very open to interpretation, which gave us a lot of room for exploration. We chose to go with an IoT-solution using the car Accenture already owned, and also building a new one. This choice has given us significant insight into the making of IoT-systems and ways to set

up communication between them. We believe this resulted in a much more interesting demonstration for Accenture to showcase. Further, how to combine such a system this with artificial intelligence has been a very interesting learning possibility. Due to the time constraints of such a project we, for instance, did not get to develop our own AI model, that could have helped the server make decisions based on optimal rulesets. This is an improvement that can be considered for further work.

While we still were in the first development phase, we decided to adopt the code of the previous group, instead of developing our own AI model. We also believe that this was the right choice, as we believe it would have been a big time sink to start from scratch. Although it was a challenge to understand the program the previous group had written our assumption was that this way we would get to focus more on our demonstration. The importance of good documentation has also been made clear to us, which has benefited our own documentation.

Our group had little to no experience working with an agile work methodology. In retrospective we believe we benefitted from this choice. Our daily stand ups allowed us to have a clear vision of the group's collective challenges. Although we did not implement all aspects of scrum-development or kanban-development we have gained insight into how —

4.2 Real world application

How to implement self-driving in society in the best way is a question that will take a long time to answer. Through our work with this proof-of-concept we believe we have made an addition to this discussion. Due to time constraints, we have chosen not to implement some features that would make the product work in a more complex environment. These features could be explored if this project were to be further developed and applied to the real world.

4.2.1 Edge computing

In the future when self-driving vehicles become more prominent, and the 5G network becomes more available there could be a possibility for IoT-systems handling traffic management. Our group therefore did some research regarding how the system will extend to the real world's applications.

The IoT systems usually follow the fog or edge computing architecture with distributed or even decentralized concepts (**iot_platforms**). This is to prevent overloading on servers handling a lot of data.

One solution to distribution of data is that each road has one server responsible for their respective road. If a road is long it will be split into geographical areas where one server has responsibility for their geographical area. Intersections will have a server handling information from both road's respective servers, since information from both servers are needed to make decisions in intersections.

In the traffic there are a lot of unforeseen situations that can happen. Traditional coding will not be able to cover every outcome in a traffic situation, therefore there will be a need for AI on the servers in addition to the cars.

System security

Security and privacy are important topics for any IoT-system. Because these systems gather and work with huge amounts of data, they are naturally prone to being attacked. And as the systems grow and become more interconnected, with many devices around the world, the imposed risk of such an attack increases drastically [kilde på dette].

Therefore a lot of security measures needs to be implemented in the real world application.

Product documentation

5.1 Preface

The product documentation is a technical description of the product.

The product documentation assumes the reader has some prior knowledge in basic programming.

5.2 Program description

The program is an IoT-system where vehicles can communicate with each other through a server. It consists of a server which has the responsibility for the calculations and decision making, and a client which are the vehicles. The function of the program is to increase traffic flow and prevent traffic congestion. As of now the program can be used in an intersection with two vehicles, but can be scaled to include more vehicles. Here is a diagram that describes the flow of our server in the demonstration:




Figure 5.1: Flow diagram for the server. This diagram specifically shows the flow of the demo

5.3 Adherence to project requirements

Our solution adheres to the requirements Accenture set for us. Our prototype cars can work both with, and without being connected to a server. The server can handle multiple connections and adjust traffic based on the situation on the road. Through our demonstration we also showed a situation where the outcome differs depending on if the cars are connected to the server or just driving on the on-board AI.

5.4 User manual

We have written a manual for people who want to recreate our demonstration. The demonstration could for example be shown off at exhibitions. The manual could also be used for people who want to further test and develop our IoT-system.

First check the IP-address of the internet you are connected to, and the usable ports. Make sure that your computer hosting the server and the vehicles are connected to the same network.

```
$ipconfig getifaddr en0
192.168.56.208
```

Then open the Server solution in your code editor, we have used visual studio. Under the folder “properties” there is a file called launchSettings.json. In that file write in the ip address and the port in the applicationUrl-section:

```
"profiles": {
  "SignalRServer": {
    "commandName": "Project",
    "dotnetRunMessages": true,
    "launchBrowser": false,
    "applicationUrl": "https://192.168.56.208:7058;http
    ↪ ://192.168.56.208:5048",
    "environmentVariables": {
      "ASPNETCORE_ENVIRONMENT": "Development"
    }
  },
}
```

After that open the Client solution. Here we used Pycharm as the IDE. Open the config.json document and write in the same ip-address and port:

```
"client": {
  "host": "192.168.56.208",
  "port": 5048,
  "delay": 0.1
},
```

If the vehicles haven't connected to that network before, they need to log on that network. To log on to a new network you will need to connect the raspBerry pi's to a screen. That could be done via the micro usb-port at the raspberry pi.

When the raspberry pi has booted up, click on the internet-icon and connect to the same network as the server. If you have connected to the internet it will be saved and the vehicle should connect to that internet automatically when booting up.

If you want to change the velocities of the vehicles it can be done here in the server:

```
public class VehiclesHubDatabase : IVehiclesHubDatabase
{
    private readonly Intersection _intersection;

    private readonly HashSet<Vehicle> _vehicles = new();
    private readonly HashSet<Lane> _lanes = new();
    public int Count => _vehicles.Count;

    private readonly Dictionary<string, Vehicle>
        ↪ _connectionIds = new();
    private readonly Dictionary<Vehicle, string>
        ↪ _vehiclesConnectionId = new();
    public Dictionary<Vehicle, Thread?> VehicleThreads { get;
        ↪ }= new();
    public Thread? GlobalThread { get; set; }
    public Stopwatch Clock { get; } = new();

    public double SpeedLimit => 80;
```

The file is located at VehicleHubDatabase under the Database folder. The variable you want to change is the SpeedLimit.

Right under you can change the length of the roads:

```
public VehiclesHubDatabase()
{
    _intersection = new Intersection().
        AddRoad(new Road {Length = 300}.
            AddLane(null, true).
            AddLane()).
        AddRoad(new Road {Length = 300}.
            AddLane(null, true).
            AddLane());

    _intersection.ConnectedLanes().
        ForEach(lane => _lanes.Add(lane));
}
```

The length is in centimeters and needs to correlate with the length of the physical track. We used tape to show where the roads were, however this is not necessary. For the best results, we recommend a track between three to four meters long.

We have written more about the specifics of the system in our product documentation. Then place the vehicles down at the start of the track, turn on

the server and connect to the power banks. The vehicles should automatically connect to the server after 20-30 seconds. The demonstration starts when both vehicles has connected to the server.

5.5 Product specification

The main part of this project is to develop a client-server communication system, with the purpose of producing a physical simulation on how a centralized system can contribute to an improved traffic flow. Due to the nature of this project, no graphical user interfaces has been developed. Hence, it is deemed necessary to present key parts of the code that are responsible for such a system to work. This section will therefore elaborate, in detail, how essential code snippets are interacting with each to produce the result.

Furthermore, the code that has been written during this project has been written in the languages Python and C# using Pycharm and Rider IDE respectively. Therefore, syntax highlighting has also been used to best simulate the same syntax highlighting used in both IDE respectively. In addition, some artistic freedom has been used to present the code snippets; the symbol ... has been used to indicate irrelevant code to the current discussion and the symbol ↪ simply means that the line of code following ↪ is on the same line above but is broken up due to lack of space. Also, each code snippets starts with the class and method it belongs to.

5.5.1 Initialization

Client.py

The client package is the main module in the Raspberry Pi vehicles. The class that is mainly responsible to connect, handle and sending data to the server is the client class in client.py. Client class is not meant to be used alone but rather as a super class for other IoT devices. Hence, it was developed with the intention to be inherited and handle everything that pertains to client-server communication in the background.

Client's init method does several things: It reads from the config.json file to store the defined host and port it is going to connect to.

```
class Client:
    def __init__(self, properties=None, **kwargs):
        ...
        with open("client/config.json") as f:
            config = json.load(f).get('client')
            if config is not None:
                self.__uri = f"://{config.get('host')}:{config.get('port')}
                ↪ }/{self.__class__.__name__.lower()}sHub"
                self.__delay = config.get('delay')
        ...
```

Then, it starts a negotiation process with the server where it receives a connection id that the client will use during its connection lifetime to the hub.

```

class Client:
    def __init__(self, properties=None, **kwargs):
        ...
        urllib3.disable_warnings()
        response = requests.post(f"http{self.__uri}/negotiate?
            ↪ negotiateVersion=0", verify=False)
        self.connection_id = response.json().get("connectionId")
        self.websocket_uri = f"ws{self.__uri}?id={self.connection_id}"
        ...

```

The client also gives itself a random id that is stored as one of its properties. The client's id is also stored on the server and is mostly used to retrieve and update the client's information on the server.

Furthermore, `Client.__init__` also stores a dictionary of events.

```

class Client:
    def __init__(self, properties=None, **kwargs):
        ...
        self.subscribed_events = {
            "disconnect": self.disconnect,
            "force_patch": self.force_patch,
            "continuously_patch": self.continuously_force_patch
        }
        ...

```

The values of this dictionary is a reference to a function in this class and is used to invoke certain behaviours by the server. For instance, `await Clients.Client(Context.ConnectionId).SendAsync("disconnect");` from the server will call `def disconnect(self)` in the client.

Vehicle.py

The vehicle class is supposed to contain all the data and methods of the vehicle. Furthermore, `class Vehicle(Client)` inherits the client class which enables Vehicle to perform all the necessary operations to establish connection upon initiation. An important remark is that `Client` performs the negotiation to the server using endpoint

`{self.__class__.__name__.lower()}sHub/negotiate?negotiateVersion=0` meaning that through inheritance and initialization of `Vehicle`, the subclass negotiates with the endpoint `vehiclesHub/negotiate?negotiateVersion=0`, which is mapped in `Program.cs` with

```

...
app.MapHub<VehiclesHub>("/vehiclesHub");
...

```

Furthermore, `Vehicle` also reads from `config.json` to define it's initial properties with the snippet shown below:

```

class Vehicle(Client):
    def __init__(self, properties=None, **kwargs):

```

```

...
if properties is None and len(kwargs) == 0:
    with open("client/config.json") as f:
        config = json.load(f).get('vehicle')
        if config is not None:
            self.properties.update(config)
...

```

Vehicle also utilizes the property builder of Client.

```

class Vehicle(Client):
    def __init__(self, properties=None, **kwargs):
        ...
        self.property_builder(
            required={'length', 'height', 'width', 'mass'},
            optional={'velocity': 0, 'position': 0, 'travel_plan': None
                    ↪ },
        )
        ...

```

In short, the property builder is used to define the required properties of the vehicle class. That is, if one should directly initialize Vehicle without using config.json one must assign values to length, height, width and mass. The meaning is to somewhat restrict what data the vehicle class should contain.

Lastly, Vehicle adds an additional subscribed events that the server can invoke:

```

class Vehicle(Client):
    def __init__(self, properties=None, **kwargs):
        ...
        self.subscribed_events.update({
            "adjust_velocity": self.adjust_velocity
        })

```

Likewise, as in Client should other events be required for vehicle, one can add it to the dictionary as proposed above.

5.5.2 Handshake and listener

After initializing the vehicle class as a client with

```

async def main():
    ...
    client = Vehicle()
    ...

```

then the client's listen method can be called:

```

async def main():
    ...
    listener = asyncio.create_task(client.listen())
    ...

```

The listener method is responsible handling responses and requests from the server. Hence, it is required to run concurrently as the vehicle continuously sends data to the server.

When the listener is called, the client performs the following code:

```
class Client:
    ...
    async def listen(self):
        async with websockets.connect(self.websocket_uri) as websocket
            ↪ :
            self.__websocket = websocket
            await self.__handshake()
            await self.__listen()
    ...
```

As shown above, the method first opens a websocket connection using the stored uri and stores this as a private variable for later use. Then, a handshake with the server is performed:

```
class Client:
    ...
    async def __handshake(self, protocol: str = "json", version:
        ↪ int = 1):
        data = self.signalr_encode_message({"protocol": protocol, "
            ↪ version": version})
        await self.__websocket.send(data)
        response = self.signalr_decode_message(await self.__websocket.
            ↪ recv())
        if "error" in response:
            print(response)
        else:
            await self.send_non_blocking("AddClient", self.properties)
    ...
```

The code above describes the handshake process between the client and the server. First, the client informs the server of the protocols that it will use throughout its lifetime. Then, it also informs the server to store the client, in this case the vehicle, to the server using the defined properties.

Further elaboration, the client invokes the method

```
public partial class VehiclesHub : Hub
{
    ...
    public async Task AddClient(JsonDocument jsonDocument) {...}
    ...
}
```

on the server. This method first creates a vehicle with all the provided information sent by the client

```
public partial class VehiclesHub : Hub
```

```

{
    ...
    public async Task AddClient(JsonDocument jsonDocument)
    {
        ...
        var vehicle = Vehicle.Create(jsonDocument);
        ...
    }
    ...
}

```

using the static method defined by the Vehicle model. In addition, it assigns the travel plan to the vehicle by using values defined by config.json from the client:

```

{
    ...
    "vehicle": {
        ...
        "travel_plan": {
            "start": {
                "road": 0,
                "lane_reversed": false
            },
            "end": {
                "road": 0,
                "lane_reversed": false
            }
        }
    }
}

```

Using the information provided above the vehicle's current lane is also assigned to keep track on which lane the vehicle is driving on. The vehicle is then added to the database, i.e. `public class VehiclesHubDatabase`, together with its connection id `Context.ConnectionId` for easy retrieval.

Furthermore, for the sake of the demo the server is also instructed to wait for a second vehicle to connect before allowing the vehicles to drive

```

public partial class VehiclesHub : Hub
{
    ...
    public async Task AddClient(JsonDocument jsonDocument)
    {
        ...
        vehicle.Velocity = 0;
        _database.Update(vehicle);
        await Clients.Client(Context.ConnectionId).SendAsync("
            ↪ adjust_velocity", vehicle);
        await WaitForVehicles(vehicle, _database.SpeedLimit, 2);
    }
}

```



```

    }
    ...
}

```

by first setting the velocity of the vehicle to zero, updating the new velocity in the database and also adjusting the velocity of the client to zero. Lastly, it calls the `WaitForVehicles` method which will adjust every client's velocity to the defined `SpeedLimit` in `VehiclesHubDatabase`.

5.5.3 Patch

After initialization and handshake elaborated in [5.5.1 Initialization](#) and [5.5.2 Handshake and listener](#) respectively, the Raspberry Pi vehicles starts to drive into an intersection simultaneously. Throughout the journey the cars are continuously patching to the server, by calling the client's `async def send_patch` method.

```

class Client:
    ...
    async def send_patch(self, **kwargs) -> None:
        if self.properties_has_changed(**kwargs) or self.
            ↪ __continuously_patch:
            await self.send_invocation("patch", self.properties)
        else:
            await asyncio.sleep(self.__delay)

```

As seen above `send_patch` calls the `async def send_invocation` method, which communicates the vehicle's current information by invoking `public async Task Patch` on `VehiclesHub`.

The patch method on `VehiclesHub` is responsible for handling the behaviour, specifically adjusting the velocity of individual vehicles:

```

public partial class VehiclesHub : Hub
{
    ...
    public async Task Patch(JsonDocument jsonDocument)
    {
        var vehicle = Vehicle.Create(jsonDocument);
        _database.Update(vehicle);
        vehicle = _database.Fetch(vehicle);
        ...
    }
}

```

The snippet above shows that the method first creates a new vehicle using the information provided by the `Client`. However, since this new vehicle does not contain all the information, such as the travel plan, the method first update the existing vehicle in the database in order to refresh the vehicle with the available information. It then fetch the same vehicle that was stored in the handshake, mentioned in [5.5.2 Handshake and listener](#). Assuming that the vehicle has been successfully retrieved it will then handle this vehicle accordingly:

```
public partial class VehiclesHub : Hub
{
    ...
    public async Task Patch(JsonDocument jsonDocument)
    {
        ...
        await HandleIntersection(vehicle);
        await HandleInsideIntersection(vehicle);
        await HandleEndOfRoute(vehicle);
        ...
    }
}
```

Shortly summarized `HandleIntersection` is responsible to adjust the velocity of every vehicle approaching the intersection to avoid collisions. Furthermore, `HandleInsideIntersection` increases the speed to `VehiclesHubDatabase` defined `SpeedLimit`. Lastly, `HandleEndOfRoute` ensure that any vehicles that has completed their journey, defined during the handshake, terminates their connection with the server.

5.5.4 VehiclesHubDatabase

The `VehiclesHubDatabase` played a key role in this project. Coming to the realization on [3.4 Phase 3 - Signal R](#) the project needed a database that could handle the continuous changes in each `Vehicle` position in real time. During research the group was unable to conclude on any databases that would fit our requirement. Hence, `VehiclesHubDatabase` was created to serve as a live in-memory database that could continuously update the positions of each vehicle on each lane.




Figure 5.2: This figure shows two roads each with two lanes and a total length of $300cm$. The overlapping part forms a square which is the intersection. This configuration was heavily considered when creating representational models on the server, and also used for the demo as a result.

Before starting with `VehiclesHubDatabase`, it was required to define what a road, lane and intersection is, respectively. Thus, `Road.cs`, `Lane.cs` and `Intersection.cs` was developed. Consequently, `VehiclesHubDatabase` was created.

```
public class VehiclesHubDatabase : IVehiclesHubDatabase
{
    private readonly Intersection _intersection;
```

```

private readonly HashSet<Vehicle> _vehicles = new();
private readonly HashSet<Lane> _lanes = new();
public int Count => _vehicles.Count;
private readonly Dictionary<string, Vehicle> _connectionIds =
    ↪ new();
private readonly Dictionary<Vehicle, string>
    ↪ _vehiclesConnectionId = new();
...
public double SpeedLimit => 80;
...
}

```

Currently, `VehiclesHubDatabase` only holds one intersection, due to time constraint this was not extended for a configuration with multiple intersections. Moreover, both vehicles and lanes are stored inside a hashset for fast retrieval. In addition, `Count` is used in `WaitForVehicles`, elaborated in sub-section 5.5.2 [Handshake and listener](#), and `_connectionId` and `_vehiclesConnectionId` is used during `Patch` to invoke `adjust_velocity` on individual vehicles. While, `SpeedLimit` defines the upper speed vehicles are limited to on the two roads shown in [Figure 5.2](#).

The road configuration found in [Figure 5.2](#) is defined in the constructor using a builder pattern.

```

public class VehiclesHubDatabase : IVehiclesHubDatabase
{
    ...
    public VehiclesHubDatabase()
    {
        _intersection = new Intersection().
            AddRoad(new Road {Length = 300}.
                AddLane(null, true).
                AddLane()).
            AddRoad(new Road {Length = 300}.
                AddLane(null, true).
                AddLane());

        _intersection.ConnectedLanes().
            ForEach(lane => _lanes.Add(lane));
    }
    ...
}

```

Lastly, `VehiclesHubDatabase` is added as a singleton service in `Program.cs` to ensure that we have a static database throughout the lifetime of the program:

```

...
builder.Services.AddSingleton<IVehiclesHubDatabase>(new
    ↪ VehiclesHubDatabase());
...

```

It is also worth to mention some of the core functionalities of `VehiclesHubDatabase`:

Adding vehicles

Calling the `Add` method makes it possible to add vehicles:

```
public class VehiclesHubDatabase : IVehiclesHubDatabase
{
    ...
    public void Add(Vehicle vehicle, string? connectionId = null)
        ↪ {...}

    public void Add(Vehicle vehicle, Lane? lane = null) {...}
    ...
}
```

Removing vehicles

Removing vehicles can be achieved by calling the `Remove` method:

```
public class VehiclesHubDatabase : IVehiclesHubDatabase
{
    ...
    public void Remove(Vehicle vehicle) {...}
    ...
}
```

Updating vehicles

Updating either a specific information of a vehicle or all vehicles can be done by calling the `Update` method:

```
public class VehiclesHubDatabase : IVehiclesHubDatabase
{
    ...
    public void Update(Vehicle? vehicle = null) {...}
    ...
}
```

Fetching vehicles

One can fetch an existing vehicle from the database by passing a vehicle with the same GUID with:

```
public class VehiclesHubDatabase : IVehiclesHubDatabase
{
    ...
    public Vehicle? Fetch(Vehicle vehicle) {...}
    ...
}
```

Get the connection Id of a particular vehicle

By passing a vehicle into

```
public class VehiclesHubDatabase : IVehiclesHubDatabase
{
    ...
    public string? ConnectionId(Vehicle vehicle) {...}
    ...
}
```

one can retrieve the connection Id that the given vehicle is using.

Find vehicles approaching the intersection

Maybe the most important feature of `VehiclesHubDatabase` is the two method shown below:

```
public class VehiclesHubDatabase : IVehiclesHubDatabase
{
    ...
    public IEnumerable<Vehicle> NextVehiclesIn() {...}
    public IEnumerable<Vehicle> OnlyFirstIntoNextVehiclesIn() {...}
}
```

The first method `NextVehiclesIn` returns a list of vehicles currently approaching the intersection defined in the constructor, ordered with respect to the vehicle closest to the intersection. The latter method `OnlyFirstIntoNextVehiclesIn` returns an ordered list of the closest vehicle per lane.

Acronyms

AI - Artificial intelligence

IoT - Internet of things

IoV - Internet of vehicles