Convex Functions: Weekly Exercise 2

Name: Uzair Akbar ID: 03697290

Exercise 1

118/18

Assume J is I.s.c. To show that the epigraph is closed, we need to show that all sequences $\{(u_n, \alpha_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset epi(J)$ attain Their limit $(u_n, \alpha_n) \rightarrow (u^*, \alpha^*)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in epi(J), i.e. (u*, a*) e epi(J).

 $: J(u^*) \leq \lim_{n \to \infty} \inf J(u_n)$

Definition of I.s.c.]

 $\Rightarrow J(u^*) \leq \lim_{n \to \infty} \inf d_n$

[..(Un,dn) E epi(t)] |=> J(un) \(\) dn \

:.) (u*) ≤ d*

 $\left[\lim_{n \to \infty} \inf d_n = d^* \right]$

 $: (u^*, a^*) \in epi(J)$

Definition of epi(J)]

epi(J) is closed.

1:4

2:4

3:6

4:4

Conversely, if I is NOT I.s.c., then ¿(un, dn) 3 nen Cepi(J) should not have its limit point outside epi(J), i.e. (u*, d*) & epi(J), which is what we need to show.

As d is not l.s.c., hence for some EUn Fren C dom (d) such that linux = U*E dom (J), the I.s.c. property doesn't hold, i.e:

J(1) > lim inf J(un)

>> In*, J(u*)>J(un) for n > n*, or $\beta(u^*)-\epsilon \geq \beta(u_n)$, for some $\epsilon > 0$.

From (i) we can note that (un, J(u*)-E)Eepi(J) but the limit point (u*, J(u*)-E) & epi(J) since J(u*)-E \(J(u*) for E>0 (definition of epi(J)). Hence, if J is NOT I.s.c., then epi(1) is not closed.

Name: Uzair Akbar

ID:03697290

Exercise 2 Name: Uzair Akbar 10:03697290 Assume J:E-R is convex with dom (J)=R", and bounded above on Rr, but I is NOT a constant function. J(u) > J(v).

Then, $\exists u, v \in dom(J),$

Since J is convex, we have:

$$\Rightarrow J(u) \leq \partial J\left(\frac{u - (1 - \partial)v}{\partial v}\right) + (1 - \partial)J(v), \partial \varepsilon(0, 1)$$

The above follows from the definition of convexity $J(\partial u' + (1-\partial)v') \leq \mathbf{D}J(u') + (1-\partial)J(v'),$ with $u = \theta u' + (1-\theta)v'$ and v = v'.

$$\Rightarrow \underline{J(u)-(1-\theta)J(v)} \leq \underline{J(u-(1-\theta)v)}$$

$$\Rightarrow \underline{J(u)-J(v)}+J(v) \leq J\left(\frac{u-(1-\theta)v}{\theta}\right)$$

$$\Rightarrow \lim_{\theta \to 0^{+}} \left\{ \underbrace{J(u) - J(v)}_{\theta} + J(v) \right\} \leq \lim_{\theta \to 0^{+}} \left\{ \underbrace{J\left(\underbrace{u - (1 - \theta)v}_{\theta}\right)^{2}}_{\theta \to 0^{+}} \right\}$$

$$\Rightarrow \lim_{\theta \to 0^+} \left(\frac{J(u) - J(v)}{\theta} \right) + J(v) \leq J(\omega) , \omega \in dom(J)$$

such that $J(\omega) = \lim_{\delta \to 0^+} \left\{ J\left(\frac{u - (1 - \delta)v}{\delta}\right) \right\} \subset C$ J is bounded.

Now, since
$$J(u) > J(v) \Rightarrow J(v) > 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \lim_{\theta \to 0^+} \left\{ \frac{J(u) - J(v)}{\theta} \right\} = \infty$$

:
$$J(\omega) \geq \infty$$
, which is a contradiction since $J(\omega) \geq \infty$ which is a contradiction since $J(\omega) \leq C(\infty)$.

Hence proved that J is a constant function.

=
$$|D| ||u|| + || ||v|| = ||a|| + (1-a)||v|| + (1-a)||v|| = ||a|| + (1-a)||v|| + (1$$

Hence J(u):= ||u|| is convex since dom(J):= E(i.e. the normed vector space) is also convex following from the closure property of vector spaces wirt. addition and

scalar multiplication.

Scalar multiplication.

Part B Let u, v ∈ dom(J), then for
$$\theta \in [0,1]$$

Part B Let u, v ∈ dom(J), then for $\theta \in [0,1]$

⇒ $J(\theta u + (1-\theta)v) := F(K(\theta u + (1-\theta)v)) = F(\theta Ku + (1-\theta)Kv)$

Since F

$$\theta_{u+}(1-\theta)v) := F(K(\theta_{u+}(1-\theta)v)) = F(Ku) + (1-\theta)V)$$

$$= F(Ku) + (1-\theta)F(Kv) \qquad [since F is convex]$$

$$= 0 J(u) + (1-0) J(v)$$

Hence, J(u) := F(Ku) is convex over 1 dom (J).

Name: Uzair Akbar

Part C Note that for J(u):= max &J,(u), J2(u)}, (>dom(s)=dom(s) depicted in the adjoining figure. Since dom())
intersection preserves convexity, hence dom (U) = dom (U) / dom (U2) as is convex for convex dom() and dom(2). => J(Ou+ (1-0) V)= max {J, (Ou+ (1-0) V), J2 (Ou+ (1-0) V)} Assume $J_1(\partial_u + (1-\partial)v) > J_2(\partial_u + (1-\partial)v)$, then: $\Rightarrow J(\partial u + (1-\partial)v) = J_1(\partial u + (1-\partial)v)$ $\leq 0 J(Lw) + (1-0)J(Lv)$ [:J, convex] $\leq \theta_{\text{max}}(J_1(u), J_2(u))$ + (1-0) max (d, (v), d2(v)) $:\int \left(\partial u + (1-\theta)v\right) \leq \partial J(u) + (1-\theta)J(v) \qquad \left[: \max(x,y) \geq x\right]$ The same can be shown for $J_2(Au+(1-\theta)v) > J(Du+(1-\theta)v)$ Hence, J(u):= max &J, (u), J2(u) } is convex for d, and dz convex.

Name: Uzair Akbar

<u>ID:03697290</u>

Exercise 4 Name: Uzair Akbar 10:03697290

Assume d is convex. Then Yu, u+td EU, t>0

(possible since U is open), the Taylor

expansion yields:

:
$$J(u+td) = J(u) + t d^{T} \nabla J(u) + \frac{t^{2}}{2} d^{T} \nabla^{2} J(u) d + o(t^{2})$$

$$\Rightarrow J(u+td)-J(u)-td^{T}\nabla J(u)=\frac{t^{2}}{2}d^{T}\nabla^{2}J(u)d+o(t^{2})$$

..
$$J(u+td)-J(u)-td^T\nabla J(u) \ge 0$$
 [: J convex and $u+td$, $u\in U$]

$$\Rightarrow 0 \leq \frac{t^2}{2} d^T \nabla^2 J(\omega) d + o(t^2)$$

$$\Rightarrow 0 \leq \frac{1}{2} \text{ (a) d} + 2 \frac{1}{2} \text{ [Multiplying by } 2 + 2 \frac{1}{2} \text{ [Multiplying by } 2 + 2 \frac{1}{2} \text{ [Authority of } 2 + 2 \frac{1}{2} \text{ (b) } 2 + 2 \frac{1}$$

$$0 \le d^T \nabla^2 J(u) d$$
 [for $t \to 0$]
: $0 \le d^T \nabla^2 J(u) d$ [for $t \to 0$]

Hence $\nabla^2 J(\omega)$ is positive semidefinite for convex J.

Now, assume $\forall (u)$ positive semidefinite with no convex assumption of J. For $\forall u$, $u + d \in U$

assumption of 3. For
$$\frac{1}{2}$$
 $\int (u+d)d$
 $\Rightarrow \int (u+d) = \int (u) + \int \sqrt{1} \sqrt{1} \int (u) + \int d^{T} \nabla^{2} \int (u+d)d$

$$\Rightarrow J(u+d)-J(u)-d^{T}\nabla J(u)=\frac{1}{2}d^{T}\nabla^{2}J(u+td)d\geq 0$$

Hence J is convex as u, u+dell by assumption and d=(u+d)-(u).

Isind $\nabla^2 J(u)$ is positive semi definite I for uEU and utdell for ju, u+dell as the former is a convex combination of the latter.

Scanned by CamScanner