Assignment 1

Surname: Krasser First name: Konstantin Matr.No.: 12028653

Exercise 1: Mathematical induction

(a)
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} i = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$$

Base: n = 1, LHS = 1, RHS = 1. Holds.

Inductive step: Assume $\sum_{i=1}^{n} i = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$. Then,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} i = \sum_{i=1}^{n} i + (n+1) = \frac{n(n+1)}{2} + (n+1) = \frac{(n+1)(n+2)}{2}.$$

Holds for n+1. Proven.

(b)
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{i} j = \frac{1}{6}n(n^2 + 3n + 2)$$

Base: n=1, LHS = 1, RHS = 1. Holds. Inductive step: Assume $\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^i j = \frac{1}{6} n(n^2+3n+2)$. Then,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \sum_{j=1}^{i} j = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{i} j + \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} j.$$

Using $\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} j = \frac{(n+1)(n+2)}{2}$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \sum_{j=1}^{i} j = \frac{1}{6} n(n^2 + 3n + 2) + \frac{(n+1)(n+2)}{2} = \frac{1}{6} (n+1)((n+1)^2 + 3(n+1) + 2).$$

Holds for n+1. Proven.

(c)
$$\sum_{i=0}^{n} 2^i = 2^{n+1} - 1$$

Base: n=0, LHS = 1, RHS = 1. Holds. Inductive step: Assume $\sum_{i=0}^n 2^i=2^{n+1}-1.$ Then,

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n+1} 2^i = \sum_{i=0}^n 2^i + 2^{n+1} = (2^{n+1} - 1) + 2^{n+1} = 2^{n+2} - 1.$$

Holds for n+1. Proven.

(d)
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=i}^{n} j = \frac{1}{6}n(2n^2 + 3n + 1)$$

Base: n = 1, LHS = 1, RHS = 1. Holds.

Inductive step: Assume $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=i}^{n} j = \frac{1}{6}n(2n^2 + 3n + 1)$. Then,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \sum_{j=i}^{n+1} j = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=i}^{n} j + \sum_{j=n+1}^{n+1} j.$$

Using $\sum_{j=n+1}^{n+1} j = n+1$,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \sum_{j=i}^{n+1} j = \frac{1}{6}n(2n^2 + 3n + 1) + (n+1).$$

After simplification,

$$\frac{1}{6}(n+1)(2(n+1)^2+3(n+1)+1).$$

Holds for n+1. Proven.

Exercise 2: Pseudocode and Runtime Analysis

```
 \begin{aligned} & \textbf{Algorithm 1 algorithm}(\mathcal{A}) \\ & \textbf{for } \pi \in \text{Perm}(n) \ \textbf{do} \\ & \textbf{if } \ \textit{procedure}(\pi(\mathcal{A})) \ \textbf{then Return } \pi(\mathcal{A}) \\ & \textbf{end if } \\ & \textbf{end for} \end{aligned}
```

Abbildung 1: Runtime Analysis

- What is the output of algorithm1? Explain intermediate steps the algorithm does, in particular procedure(A)
 - The procedure checks if an array is sorted by iterating through each element and checking if it's \leq
- What is the runtime of algorithm1? Analyze the worst and the best case
 - Best runtime: is when the array is already sorted, in which case the runtime is O(n).
 - Worst runtime: is when the array is sorted in descending order, in which case the runtime is $O(n^2)$.

Exercise 3: Runtime Analysis

Abbildung 2: Runtime Analysis

- What is the output of each of the algorithms?
 - $a) \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$
 - **− b**) 0

Always 0, since it's initialized as 0

- c) $\frac{n(n+1)}{2}$ Since **Algorithm B(10n)**'s output is always 0
- What is the runtime of each of the algorithms?
 - $a) O(n^2)$
 - **b)** O(n)
 - $c) O(n^2) + O(n) = O(n^2)$

Exercise 4: Landau Notation

Definition of the O-notation to prove the following

(a) $0.01 \log_c n = \Theta(\ln n)$ for any c > 1

By change of base formula, $\log_c n = \frac{\ln n}{\ln c}$, so $0.01 \log_c n = \frac{0.01}{\ln c} \ln n$. Since $\frac{0.01}{\ln c}$ is a constant, the result follows.

(b) $\max\{f(n), g(n)\} = \Theta(f(n) + g(n))$

Since $f(n) \le f(n) + g(n)$ and $g(n) \le f(n) + g(n)$, we have $\max\{f(n), g(n)\} \le f(n) + g(n)$. Ergo, $f(n) + g(n) \le 2 \max\{f(n), g(n)\}$, proving the claim.

Use the limit criteria to prove the following

(c)
$$(\log_2 n)^2 = \Omega(2^{\log_2(n^2)})$$

Using $2^{\log_2(n^2)} = n^2$, we need to show $(\log_2 n)^2 = \Omega(n^2)$. The limit criterion gives:

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{(\log_2 n)^2}{n^2}=0,$$

which contradicts $\Omega(n^2)$. Thus, the claim is false.

(d)
$$2n^3 + 4n^2 + 7\sqrt{n} = O(n^3)$$

Taking the limit:

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{2n^3 + 4n^2 + 7\sqrt{n}}{n^3} = 2 + \frac{4}{n} + \frac{7\sqrt{n}}{n^3}.$$

Since all terms except 2 vanish as $n \to \infty$, the function is $O(n^3)$.

Exercise 5: Average Runtime of Linear Search