Page No.

```
TASK-1

* ALCORITHM: FindLCS (string SI, strings):

11 Computes the longest common subsequence
```

between two strings

1) Input: 2 strings 31 2 82

11 Ochput: ILSS of both strings

concerte table with met rows and nel cols.

for i=1 to m:

for j=1 to n:

if $S[[i-1] = 3 \cdot 82[j-1]$:

table $[i][j] = table (i-1)[j-1] \cdot 1$

else.

table [i](j) = larger of table[i-](j)

and table[i](j-i)

neturn table [m] [n]

Trme Complexity of algorithm

inverted the constant time taken for the inverted for the inverted operation in the loops

The first loop nums from 1 to m

The second loop nums from 1 to m

7 T.C = 4 & C

= (m)(h) + c? $T \cdot (- = 0 (m * n)$ The T.C. is O(mn) where m and m are the lengths of stringe 81 & 82 respectively.

Algorithm (And LCS & Multiple (strings):

11 Computes the LCS among n strings

11 Input: Array 100 n strings

11 Output: LCS of n strings

SET max - Seq = 1 " "

for ?= 0 to n-1:

LCS = SC?] 10

for ?= 0 to n-1

101176 + 19f1176 + jp

LCS = AND LCS (SIC, 8(3))

19911396 of LCS == ""3

e (1) (1) for break

mar seq = mar (max-seq, LCS, key=length)

neton max-seq

TIME COMPLEXITY:

other from 0 to not as well.

for the workt cose possible.

TC = ZZ cl2 = (n)(n) cl2

: T.c. = 0 (n2 L2)

* ALOORTHM MATRIXCHAINMU (No art) 119 reput: An array containing N' matrix dimensions 11 output: Smellest number of muttiplications & optimal order Set dp[1....N][1....N] as a 2D array to store min multiplication costs. Set S [1 N][1 ... N] of the SPLIT Points for L=2 to N1: 11 Chain segment's length for ?=1 to N-L : 11 Start md. 9=2+4-1 | End and do [3][3] = 00 for K=? to j-1 ? // Postble split points 9 = dp[7][k] + dp[x+1][] + = + 20148 * E1-13 * am E13 * am E13 if q < dp[:][]: dp[3][7] = q 1000 = 000 S [1][]= K

return [13(N-1), optimal-order

ALGO Get Ophimal Order (9,3):

if i== j

retorn "M" ==

u = split [i][3] th

left = Gelsophimal Order (i, k)

right = Get & pfinel Order (4+1,3)
return (lift x fight)

(= 15 m) a

TIME COMPLEXITY:

- There are 3 nexted loops in our algorithms:

 Octoberloop : L=2 to N-1

 Middle loops : I=1 to 10-1

 Inner loop : K=8 to 1-1-2
- Summing up the loop operations $TG = \underbrace{\sum_{l=2}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N-l} X_{-l}^{2}}_{L-2} \times \underbrace{\sum_{l=2}^{N-l} \sum_{j=1}^{N-l} X_{-l}^{2}}_{L-2} \times \underbrace{\sum_{l=2}^{N-l} \sum_{j=1}^{N-l} X_{-l}^{2}}_{L-2} \times \underbrace{\sum_{l=2}^{N-l} \sum_{j=1}^{N-l} X_{-l}^{2}}_{L-2} \times \underbrace{\sum_{l=2}^{N-l} \sum_{l=2}^{N-l} X_{-l}^{2}}_{L-2} \times \underbrace{\sum_{l=2}^{N-l} X_{-l}^{2}}_{L-2}$

2 7.6 ≈ O(N3)

CONCUSION:

Here, use're using the LCS algorithm to compute the longest common subsequence to low 2 sequences with a Time complexity of O(M*N) making it a heliable approach with Dynamic Programming. The MCM algorithm with a Time Complexity of BC n3) finds the aptimal order for multiplying matrices which solves our metacological problem. This also is more computationally intensive for larger datasets.