MNIST Digit Classification Using Perceptron Learning Algorithm (PLA)

Objective:

This notebook compares the performance of two variants of the Perceptron Learning Algorithm (PLA) on the MNIST digit classification task:

- Clean PLA: Standard perceptron without enhancements.
- Pocket PLA: Enhanced perceptron that stores the best-performing weights.

Dataset:

- MNIST dataset (60,000 training samples and 10,000 test samples).
- Images normalized to range [0, 1] and bias term added.

Evaluation Metrics:

- Confusion matrices
- Overall accuracy (ACC)
- Sensitivity (True Positive Rate TPR) for each digit class
- Training and testing error curves for detailed iteration analysis

Goals:

- Evaluate and compare model accuracy and robustness between Clean and Pocket PLA.
- Visualize and analyze model performance in depth.

```
In [1]: | %%capture run_output
        %matplotlib inline
        import sys
        import os
        # Assuming 'notebooks/' is one folder below your project root
        project_root = os.path.abspath(os.path.join(os.getcwd(), '..'))
        sys.path.insert(0, project_root)
        import numpy as np
        import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
        from core.logger.config import logger
        from core.data.mnist_loader import load_mnist
        from core.data.data_preprocessing import preprocess_data
        from core.models.perceptron.multi_class_perceptron import MultiClassPerceptron
        from core.analysis.evaluation_functions import evaluate_model
        from core.analysis.plotting import plot_error_curves
        # Ensure results directories exist
        os.makedirs("results/perceptron_results/clean", exist_ok=True)
        os.makedirs("results/perceptron_results/pocket", exist_ok=True)
```

1. Load and Preprocess the MNIST Dataset

We'll load the MNIST dataset using our custom loader (mnist_loader) and then apply preprocessing (data_preprocessing), which normalizes each image to [0,1] and adds a bias term.

```
In [2]: from core.data.mnist_loader import load_mnist
    from core.data.data_preprocessing import preprocess_data
# Load raw MNIST data (X: images, y: labels)
X_raw, y_raw = load_mnist()
print("Raw MNIST data shapes:")
```

```
print("X_raw:", X_raw.shape, "y_raw:", y_raw.shape)

# Preprocess (normalize & add bias = True)
X = preprocess_data(X_raw, add_bias=True, normalize=True)
print("Preprocessed shape:", X.shape)

# Split into train/test manually or with 60k/10k as the task suggests
X_train, y_train = X[:60000], y_raw[:60000]
X_test, y_test = X[60000:], y_raw[60000:]
print("Train set:", X_train.shape, y_train.shape)
print("Test set: ", X_test.shape, y_test.shape)

Raw MNIST data shapes:
X_raw: (70000, 784) y_raw: (70000,)
Preprocessed shape: (70000, 785)
Train set: (60000, 785) (60000,)
Test set: (10000, 785) (10000,)
```

2. Train Clean vs Pocket Perceptron

We instantiate our MultiClassPerceptron in **clean** (no-pocket) mode and **pocket** mode, train each one on the MNIST training set, and evaluate on the test set.

```
In [3]: from core.logger.config import logger
         \textbf{from} \texttt{ core.models.perceptron.multi\_class\_perceptron} \textbf{ import} \texttt{ MultiClassPerceptron}
         # === Clean PLA ===
         logger.info("=== Training Clean PLA ===")
         clean_perceptron = MultiClassPerceptron(
            num_classes=10,
             max_iter=1000,
            use_pocket=False
         clean_perceptron.fit(X_train, y_train)
         # === Pocket PLA ===
         logger.info("=== Training Pocket PLA ===")
         pocket_perceptron = MultiClassPerceptron(
            num classes=10.
             max_iter=1000,
             use_pocket=True
         pocket_perceptron.fit(X_train, y_train)
         print("Training complete.")
```

Training complete.

3. Evaluate Models and Plot Training Error Curves


Here we:

- 1. **Evaluate** both models using evaluate_model (confusion matrices, accuracy, sensitivity).
- 2. **Analyze** confusion matrices more deeply (optional advanced metrics).
- 3. Plot the training error curves immediately after the evaluation for an integrated view.

```
cm_clean, acc_clean, sens_clean = evaluate_model(
   model=clean_perceptron,
   X=X_test,
   y=y_test,
   classes=classes,
   plot_dir=plot_dir_clean
print(f"[CLEAN] Accuracy: {acc_clean:.4f}")
# ====== Evaluate Pocket PLA =======
logger.info("=== Evaluating Pocket PLA ===")
cm_pocket, acc_pocket, sens_pocket = evaluate_model(
   model=pocket_perceptron,
   X=X_test,
   y=y_test,
   classes=classes,
   plot_dir=plot_dir_pocket
print(f"[POCKET] Accuracy: {acc_pocket:.4f}")
# ========== Plot Training Error Curves ===========
def aggregate_iteration_losses(mcp):
   Aggregates iteration-level train/test losses across all digits
   into an overall 'train_curve' and 'test_curve' by averaging.
   num_classes = mcp.num_classes
   max len = 0
   for cls_idx in range(num_classes):
       length_i = len(mcp.loss_history[cls_idx]["train"])
       if length_i > max_len:
           max_len = length_i
   all_train = []
   for cls_idx in range(num_classes):
        t_arr = mcp.loss_history[cls_idx]["train"][:]
       # If the classifier converged earlier, pad with the last value
       if len(t_arr) < max_len and len(t_arr) > 0:
           t_arr += [t_arr[-1]] * (max_len - len(t_arr))
       elif len(t_arr) == 0:
           t_arr = [0] * max_len
       all_train.append(t_arr)
   all_train = np.array(all_train) # shape (num_classes, max_len)
   train_curve = np.mean(all_train, axis=0) # shape (max_len,)
   return train_curve
logger.info("=== Plotting Average Training Curves for Clean vs Pocket PLA ===")
clean_train_curve = aggregate_iteration_losses(clean_perceptron)
pocket_train_curve = aggregate_iteration_losses(pocket_perceptron)
plot_error_curves(
   train_curve=clean_train_curve,
   test_curve=pocket_train_curve,
   title="Clean PLA vs. Pocket PLA (Avg. Train Error)",
   save_path="results/perceptron_results/train_curve_comparison.png"
)
```


Annotated Confusion Matrix - 1000 - 800 Actual Label 5 4 - 600 - 400 - 200 - 0 ò Predicted Label

[CLEAN] Accuracy: 0.8633



[POCKET] Accuracy: 0.9045






4. Visualize Training Error Curves

Each digit-specific classifier within MultiClassPerceptron stores iteration-level training errors. We'll **aggregate** them across all digits to create an average training curve. This provides a high-level overview of how the algorithm's error evolves over time.

```
In [5]: from core.logger.config import logger
        from core.analysis.plotting import plot_error_curves
        import numpy as np
        def aggregate_iteration_losses(mcp):
            Aggregates iteration-level train/test losses across all digits
            into an overall 'train_curve' and 'test_curve' by averaging.
            num_classes = mcp.num_classes
            # Find the max iteration length among all digits
            max_len = 0
            for cls_idx in range(num_classes):
                length_i = len(mcp.loss_history[cls_idx]["train"])
                if length_i > max_len:
                    max_len = length_i
            # Pad and sum
            all_train = []
            for cls_idx in range(num_classes):
                t_arr = mcp.loss_history[cls_idx]["train"][:]
                # If the classifier converged earlier, pad with last value
                if len(t_arr) < max_len:</pre>
                    t_arr += [t_arr[-1]] * (max_len - len(t_arr))
                all_train.append(t_arr)
            # Convert to numpy, compute mean
            all_train = np.array(all_train) # shape (num_classes, max_len)
            train_curve = np.mean(all_train, axis=0) # shape (max_len,)
            # Return average train curve (No test curve stored in this example)
            return train_curve
        logger.info("=== Plotting Average Training Curves for Clean vs Pocket PLA ===")
        clean_train_curve = aggregate_iteration_losses(clean_perceptron)
        pocket_train_curve = aggregate_iteration_losses(pocket_perceptron)
```

```
plot_error_curves(
    train_curve=clean_train_curve,
    test_curve=pocket_train_curve,
    title="Clean PLA vs. Pocket PLA (Avg. Train Error)",
    save_path="results/perceptron_results/train_curve_comparison.png"
)
```



```
In [7]: from IPython.display import display, Markdown
        import numpy as np
        # Assuming these variables exist from the evaluation step
        acc_clean_str = f"{acc_clean * 100:.2f}%"
        acc_pocket_str = f"{acc_pocket * 100:.2f}%"
        sens_clean_str = f"{np.mean(sens_clean) * 100:.2f}%"
        sens_pocket_str = f"{np.mean(sens_pocket) * 100:.2f}%"
        # Dynamically format the table
        summary_table = f"""
        ## Final Results Summary
        | Metric
                                           | PLA Clean
                                                                | PLA Pocket
        | **Overall Accuracy**
                                         {acc_clean_str.ljust(18)} | {acc_pocket_str.lj
        **Average Sensitivity (TPR)** | {sens_clean_str.ljust(18)} | {sens_pocket_str.
        ### Observations:
        - Pocket PLA generally maintains or improves performance thanks to storing the best
        - Both methods converged relatively quickly for MNIST data, indicating near-linearl
        - Additional improvements might come from more advanced methods or hyperparameter t
        ### Choice of `max_iter = 1000`:
        - The iteration limit was set to **1000**.
        - Higher values (e.g., 1000) might lead to marginal improvements but significantly
        - The task requirement suggested that training should complete within **a few minut
        ### Recommendations for Future Work:
        - Investigate performance variations for different values of `max_iter` or alternat
        - Compare results with logistic or linear regression models on the same dataset.
        - Evaluate the effect of noise or partial occlusion on classification robustness.
        ....
        # Display dynamically formatted markdown
        display(Markdown(summary_table))
```

Final Results Summary

Metric	PLA Clean	PLA Pocket
Overall Accuracy	86.33%	90.45%
Average Sensitivity (TPR)	86.16%	90.32%

Observations:

- Pocket PLA generally maintains or improves performance thanks to storing the bestperforming weights.
- Both methods converged relatively quickly for MNIST data, indicating near-linearly separable conditions for many digits.
- Additional improvements might come from more advanced methods or hyperparameter tuning.

Choice of max_iter = 1000:

- The iteration limit was set to **1000**.
- Higher values (e.g., 1000) might lead to marginal improvements but significantly increase computation time.
- The task requirement suggested that training should complete within **a few minutes**, which max_iter = 1000 satisfies but we can use much less iteration.

Recommendations for Future Work:

- Investigate performance variations for different values of max_iter or alternative update rules.
- Compare results with logistic or linear regression models on the same dataset.
- Evaluate the effect of noise or partial occlusion on classification robustness.